

ON THE FOURIER DIMENSION AND A MODIFICATION

FREDRIK EKSTRÖM, TOMAS PERSSON, AND JÖRG SCHMELING

ABSTRACT. We give a sufficient condition for the Fourier dimension of a countable union of sets to equal the supremum of the Fourier dimensions of the sets in the union, and show by example that the Fourier dimension is not countably stable in general. A natural approach to finite stability of the Fourier dimension for sets would be to try to prove that the Fourier dimension for measures is finitely stable, but we give an example showing that it is not in general. We also describe some situations where the Fourier dimension for measures is stable or is stable for all but one value of some parameter. Finally we propose a way of modifying the definition of the Fourier dimension so that it becomes countably stable, and show that a measure has modified Fourier dimension greater than or equal to s if and only if it annihilates all sets with modified Fourier dimension less than s .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a Borel subset of \mathbf{R}^d . One way to prove a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of A is to consider integrals of the form

$$I_s(\mu) = \iint |x - y|^{-s} d\mu(x) d\mu(y);$$

if μ is a Borel measure such that $\mu(A) > 0$ and $I_s(\mu) < \infty$ for some s , then $\dim_H A \geq s$. For a *finite* Borel measure μ , the *Fourier transform* is defined as

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\mu(x),$$

where $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and \cdot denotes the Euclidean inner product. It can be shown [4, Lemma 12.12] that if μ has compact support then

$$I_s(\mu) = \text{const.}(d, s) \int |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{s-n} d\xi$$

for $0 < s < d$, and thus $I_{s_0}(\mu)$ is finite if $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ for some $s > s_0$ (here and in the remainder, $f(\xi) \lesssim g(\xi)$ means that there exists a constant C such that $|f(\xi)| \leq C|g(\xi)|$ for all ξ). This motivates defining the *Fourier dimension* of A as

$$\dim_F A = \sup \left\{ s \in [0, d]; \widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denotes the set of Borel probability measures on A (it would not make any difference if the supremum was taken only over probability measures on A with compact support, for if $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ then there is a compactly supported probability measure $\nu \ll \mu$ such that $\widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ by Lemma 1 below). Thus the Fourier dimension is a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension. The Fourier dimension of a finite Borel measure μ on \mathbf{R}^d is defined as

$$\dim_F \mu = \sup \left\{ s \in [0, d]; \widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2} \right\},$$

or equivalently

$$\dim_F \mu = \min \left(d, \liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-2 \log |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|}{\log |\xi|} \right),$$

so that

$$\dim_F A = \sup \{ \dim_F \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \}.$$

If $A \subset B$ then $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and hence

$$\dim_F(A) = \sup \{ \dim_F \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \} \leq \sup \{ \dim_F \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(B) \} = \dim_F(B),$$

showing that the Fourier dimension is monotone. It seems not to be previously known whether the Fourier dimension is stable under finite or countable unions, that is, whether

$$(1) \quad \dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right) = \sup_k \dim_F A_k,$$

where $\{A_k\}$ is a finite or countable family of sets. The inequality \geq follows from the monotonicity, but there might be sets for which the inequality is strict. In Section 2 we show that (1) holds if for each n the intersection $A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k}$ has small “modified Fourier dimension” (defined below), and in particular if all such intersections are countable. We also give an example of a countably infinite family of sets such that (1) does *not* hold.

This still leaves open the question of *finite* stability. The most straight-forward approach would be to prove a corresponding stability for the Fourier dimension of measures, namely that

$$(2) \quad \dim_F(\mu + \nu) = \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

From this one could derive the finite stability for sets, using that any probability measure on $A \cup B$ is a convex combination of probability measures on A and B . The inequality \geq always holds in (2) since the set of functions that are $\lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ is closed under finite sums, but we give an example in Section 3 showing that strict inequality can occur. We also describe some situations in which (2) *does* hold — this seems to be the typical case.

To achieve countable stability, we consider the following modification of the Fourier dimension.

Definition. The modified Fourier dimension of a Borel set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ is defined as

$$\dim_{\text{FM}} A = \sup \{ \dim_F \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu(A) > 0 \},$$

and the modified Fourier dimension of a finite Borel measure μ is defined as

$$\dim_{\text{FM}} \mu = \sup \{ \dim_F \nu; \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu \ll \nu \}.$$

Thus

$$\dim_{\text{FM}} A = \sup \{ \dim_{\text{FM}} \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \}.$$

In Section 4, we investigate some basic properties of the modified Fourier dimension, and give examples to show that it is different from the usual Fourier dimension and the Hausdorff dimension.

In Section 5, we show that the measures that have modified Fourier dimension greater than or equal to s are precisely those measures for which all sets with modified Fourier dimension less than s are null sets. Other classes of measures that can be characterised by their null sets in this way are the measures that

are absolutely continuous to some fixed measure, and, less trivially, the measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mu}(\xi) = 0$ (see [3]). A necessary condition for such a characterisation to be possible is that the class of measures is a *band*, meaning that any measure that is absolutely continuous to some measure in the class lies in the class. The definition of the modified Fourier dimension is natural from this point of view, since the class of measures that have modified Fourier dimension greater than or equal to s is the smallest band that includes the measures that have (usual) Fourier dimension greater than or equal to s .

1.1. Some remarks. It is not so difficult to see that the Fourier dimension for measures is invariant under translations and invertible linear transformations, and thus the Fourier dimension and modified Fourier dimension for sets are invariant as well.

For any finite Borel measure μ on \mathbf{R}^d ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \mu(\{x\})^2$$

(this is a variant of Wiener's lemma). If μ has an atom it is thus not possible that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mu}(\xi) = 0$, so $\dim_F \mu = 0$, and also $\dim_{FM} \mu = 0$ since ν has an atom whenever $\mu \ll \nu$. It follows that any countable set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ has $\dim_F A = \dim_{FM} A = 0$.

Suppose next that A is a countable union of k -dimensional hyperplanes in \mathbf{R}^d with $k < d$. If μ gives positive measure to A , then there must be a hyperplane P such that $\mu(P) > 0$. But then the projection of μ onto any line L that goes through the origin and is orthogonal to P has an atom, so $\widehat{\mu}$ does not decay along L . This shows that $\dim_F A = \dim_{FM} A = 0$. Thus for example a line segment in \mathbf{R}^2 has Fourier dimension 0 even though an interval in \mathbf{R} has Fourier dimension 1.

From a special case of a theorem by Davenport, Erdős and LeVeque [1], it can be derived [6, Corollary 7.4] that if μ is a probability measure on \mathbf{R} such that $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then μ -a.e. x is normal to any base (meaning that $(b^k x)_{k=0}^\infty$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for any $b \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$). Thus if $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ does not contain any number that is normal to all bases, then $\dim_F A = \dim_{FM} A = 0$. In particular this applies to the Cantor set, since it consists of numbers that do not have any 1 in their ternary decimal expansion and hence are not normal to base 3.

One might consider to define the Fourier dimension and the modified Fourier dimension of any $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, by taking the supremum over all measures in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ that give full or positive measure to some Borel set $B \subset A$. Then \dim_F and \dim_{FM} are not even finitely stable, for there is (using the well ordering theorem for sets with cardinality \mathfrak{c}) a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that any closed subset of A or A^c is countable [5, Theorem 5.3]. Thus any non-atomic measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ gives measure 0 to any compact subset of A or A^c , and by inner regularity to any Borel subset of A or A^c . It follows that A and A^c have Fourier dimension and modified Fourier dimension 0, but $A \cup A^c = \mathbf{R}$ has dimension 1.

2. STABILITY OF THE FOURIER DIMENSION FOR SETS

In this section it is shown that the Fourier dimension is stable under finite or countable unions of sets that satisfy a certain intersection condition, see Theorem 2 below. Then an example is given, showing that the Fourier dimension is not countably stable in general.

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 2, and also in Section 3 and Section 5.

Lemma 1. *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbf{R}^d and let f be a non-negative C^m -function with compact support, where $m = \lceil 3d/2 \rceil$. Define the measure ν on \mathbf{R}^d by $d\nu = f d\mu$. Then*

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2} \implies \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$$

for all $s \in [0, d]$, and in particular

$$\dim_F \nu \geq \dim_F \mu.$$

Proof. Since f is of class C^m and has compact support, there is a constant M such that

$$|\widehat{f}(t)| \leq \frac{M}{1 + |t|^m}$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$. In particular \widehat{f} is Lebesgue integrable, and from this it also follows that the Fourier inversion formula holds pointwise everywhere for f . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu}(\xi) &= \int e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} f(x) d\mu(x) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} \left(\int e^{2\pi i t \cdot x} \widehat{f}(t) dt \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int \left(\int e^{-2\pi i (\xi - t) \cdot x} d\mu(x) \right) \widehat{f}(t) dt = \int \widehat{\mu}(\xi - t) \widehat{f}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|\xi - t| < |\xi|/2\}} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi - t) \widehat{f}(t)| dt &\leq \int_{\{|t| \geq |\xi|/2\}} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{R}^d) M}{1 + |t|^m} dt \leq \\ \mu(\mathbf{R}^d) M \int_{\{|t| \geq |\xi|/2\}} |t|^{-m} dt &= \text{const.} \cdot |\xi|^{d-m} \lesssim |\xi|^{-d/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and if $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \leq C|\xi|^{-s/2}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$ then

$$\int_{\{|\xi - t| \geq |\xi|/2\}} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi - t) \widehat{f}(t)| dt \leq \frac{C 2^{s/2}}{|\xi|^{s/2}} \int |\widehat{f}(t)| dt \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}.$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-d/2} + |\xi|^{-s/2}$$

whenever $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$, which proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $\{A_k\}$ be a finite or countable family of Borel subsets of \mathbf{R}^d such that*

$$\sup_n \dim_{\text{FM}} \left(A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right) < \dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right).$$

Then

$$\dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right) = \sup_k \dim_F A_k.$$

Proof. The inequality \geq is immediate from the monotonicity of \dim_F . To see the other inequality, take an arbitrary $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_k A_k)$ such that

$$\sup_n \dim_{FM} \left(A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right) < \dim_F \mu$$

and let n be such that $\mu(A_n) > 0$. Then by the definition of the modified Fourier dimension,

$$\mu \left(A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and thus} \quad \mu \left(A_n \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right) > 0.$$

Let f be a non-negative C^∞ -function that is 0 on $\overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k}$ and positive everywhere else. Then $\mu(f) > 0$, and there is a non-negative C^∞ -function g with compact support such that $\mu(fg) > 0$ as well. The measure ν defined by

$$d\nu = \frac{fg}{\mu(fg)} d\mu$$

is a probability measure on A_n , so

$$\sup_k \dim_F A_k \geq \dim_F A_n \geq \dim_F \nu \geq \dim_F \mu,$$

where the last inequality is by Lemma 1. Taking supremum on the right over all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\bigcup_k A_k)$ gives the inequality \leq in the statement. \square

Corollary 3. *Let $\{A_k\}$ be a finite or countable family of Borel subsets of \mathbf{R}^d such that*

$$\sup_n \dim_{FM} \left(A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right) \leq \sup_k \dim_F A_k.$$

Then

$$\dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right) = \sup_k \dim_F A_k.$$

Proof. If the conclusion does not hold then neither does the assumption, since then

$$\sup_k \dim_F A_k < \dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right) \leq \sup_n \dim_{FM} \left(A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k} \right),$$

where the second inequality is by Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $\{A_k\}$ be a finite or countable family of Borel subsets of \mathbf{R}^d such that*

$$A_n \cap \overline{\bigcup_{k \neq n} A_k}$$

is countable for all n . Then

$$\dim_F \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right) = \sup_k \dim_F A_k.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3 since any countable set has modified Fourier dimension 0. \square

Example 6 below shows that the Fourier dimension is not countably stable in general, and also that the strict inequality in the assumption of Theorem 2 cannot be changed to a non-strict inequality. The following lemma is used in the example.

Lemma 5. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\inf_{\mu} \sup_{j \geq 1} |\widehat{\mu}(j)| > 0,$$

where the infimum is over all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}([\varepsilon, 1])$ and the supremum is over all positive integers j .

Proof. Let

$$f_j(\mu) = |\widehat{\mu}(j)|, \quad f(\mu) = \sup_{j \geq 1} f_j(\mu).$$

Each f_j is continuous with respect to the weak-* topology, so f is lower semicontinuous and thus attains its infimum on the compact set $\mathcal{P}([\varepsilon, 1])$. Moreover, f is positive on $\mathcal{P}([\varepsilon, 1])$ since the only probability measure μ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\widehat{\mu}(j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ is Lebesgue measure. This proves the lemma. \square

Example 6. This example shows that the Fourier dimension for sets is not in general countably stable.

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ let

$$c_k = \inf_{\mu} \sup_{j \geq 1} |\widehat{\mu}(j)|,$$

where the infimum is over all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}([2^{-k}, 1])$ and the supremum over all positive integers j . By Lemma 5 each c_k is positive, so it is possible to find an increasing sequence (l_k) of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k \cdot 2^{sl_k/2} = \infty$$

for all $s > 0$ (for instance $l_k = \lceil (\log c_k)^2 \rceil$). Let

$$A_k = \{x \in [0, 1]; x_{l_k+1} \dots x_{l_k+k} \neq 0^k\},$$

where $x = 0.x_1x_2\dots$ is the binary decimal expansion of x , and let

$$B_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k.$$

Take any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(B_n)$ and let μ_k be the image of μ under the map $x \mapsto 2^{l_k}x \pmod{1}$. If $k \geq n$ then μ_k gives full measure to $[2^{-k}, 1]$, so there is some $j_k \geq 1$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(2^{l_k}j_k) = \widehat{\mu}_k(j_k) \geq \frac{c_k}{2}.$$

Thus for any $s > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| |\xi|^{s/2} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(2^{l_k}j_k)| (2^{l_k}j_k)^{s/2} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_k \cdot 2^{sl_k/2}}{2} = \infty.$$

It follows that $\dim_F(B_n) = 0$ for all n .

Let λ be Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Then for each n

$$\lambda \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \geq \lambda(B_n) \geq 1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1 - 2^{-(n-1)},$$

so that $\lambda(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = 1$ and hence $\dim_F(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = 1$.

The sets $\{B_n\}$ do not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 2, but they would satisfy the assumption if the strict inequality was replaced by a non-strict inequality. Thus it is not possible to weaken the assumption of Theorem 2 in that way.

3. STABILITY OF THE FOURIER DIMENSION FOR MEASURES

As mentioned in the introduction, finite stability of the Fourier dimension for sets would follow if it could be shown that

$$(3) \quad \dim_F(\mu + \nu) = \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu)$$

for all finite Borel measures μ and ν . The inequality \geq always holds, but Example 8 below shows that strict inequality is possible. The following lemma is used in that example.

Lemma 7. *Let α and β be two distinct real numbers. Then*

$$\left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i \alpha x} \sin(2\pi \beta x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{||\alpha| - |\beta||}.$$

Proof. For any $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i \gamma x} dx \right| &= \left| \int_0^{\frac{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}{\gamma}} e^{2\pi i \gamma x} dx + \int_{\frac{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}{\gamma}}^1 e^{2\pi i \gamma x} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\frac{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}{\gamma}}^1 e^{2\pi i \gamma x} dx \right| \leq 1 - \frac{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor}{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

From this together with the identity

$$e^{2\pi i \alpha x} \sin(2\pi \beta x) = \frac{i}{2} \left(e^{2\pi i(\alpha - \beta)x} - e^{2\pi i(\alpha + \beta)x} \right),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i \alpha x} \sin(2\pi \beta x) dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i |\alpha - \beta| x} dx \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i |\alpha + \beta| x} dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{|\alpha - \beta|} + \frac{1}{|\alpha + \beta|} \right) \leq \frac{1}{||\alpha| - |\beta||}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example 8. This example shows that the Fourier dimension for measures is not in general finitely stable.

Let

$$g(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sin(2\pi \cdot 2^{k^2} x);$$

this is a continuous non-negative function. Define the probability measure μ on $[0, 1]$ by $d\mu = g dx$. Using that

$$\int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i l x} \sin(2\pi l x) dx = \int_0^1 \cos(2\pi l x) \sin(2\pi l x) dx - i \int_0^1 \sin^2(2\pi l x) dx = \frac{-i}{2}$$

for $l \in \mathbf{N}$, one sees that for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{\mu}(2^{n^2}) + i \cdot 2^{-(n+1)} \right| &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \neq n}} 2^{-k} \left| \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i \cdot 2^{n^2} x} \sin(2\pi \cdot 2^{k^2} x) dx \right| \\ &\stackrel{*}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \neq n}} \frac{2^{-k}}{|2^{n^2} - 2^{k^2}|} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}}{2^{n^2} - 2^{(n-1)^2}} \leq \frac{2}{2^{n^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality at $*$ is by Lemma 7. Thus for any $s > 0$,

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| |\xi|^{s/2} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \widehat{\mu}(2^{n^2}) \right| \cdot 2^{sn^2/2} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2^{-(n+1)} - \frac{2}{2^{n^2}} \right) \cdot 2^{sn^2/2} = \infty,$$

and it follows that $\dim_F \mu = 0$.

Next, let

$$h(x) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sin(2\pi \cdot 2^{k^2} x)$$

and define the probability measure ν on $[0, 1]$ by $d\nu = h dx$. Then $\dim_F \nu = 0$ as well, but $\mu + \nu$ is twice Lebesgue measure, which has Fourier dimension 1.

Even though (3) does not hold in general, it does hold if μ and ν have different Fourier dimensions. For suppose that, say, $\dim_F \mu < \dim_F \nu$. Then for every $s \in (\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu)$ there is a sequence (ξ_k) with $|\xi_k| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi_k)| |\xi_k|^{s/2} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\nu}(\xi_k)| |\xi_k|^{s/2} = 0,$$

so that

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi) + \widehat{\nu}(\xi)| |\xi|^{s/2} = \infty$$

and hence $\dim_F(\mu + \nu) \leq s$. Thus

$$\dim_F(\mu + \nu) \leq \inf\{s \in (\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu)\} = \dim_F \mu \leq \dim_F(\mu + \nu).$$

For the same reason, any convex combination of μ and ν satisfies

$$\dim_F((1 - \lambda)\mu + \lambda\nu) = \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

Next suppose that $\dim_F \mu = \dim_F \nu = s$ and that there is some $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\dim_F((1 - \lambda_0)\mu + \lambda_0\nu) > s.$$

Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$, the measure $(1 - \lambda)\mu + \lambda\nu$ is a convex combination of $(1 - \lambda_0)\mu + \lambda_0\nu$ and one of μ, ν , so it has Fourier dimension s . Thus there is at most one convex combination of μ and ν that has Fourier dimension greater than s .

The results in the rest of this section describe situations where (3) holds, or where it fails for at most one value of some parameter.

Proposition 9. *Let μ and ν be finite Borel measures on \mathbf{R}^d whose supports are compact and disjoint. Then*

$$\dim_F(\mu + \nu) = \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

Proof. Let f be a non-negative, smooth and compactly supported function that has the value 1 on $\text{supp } \mu$ and the value 0 on $\text{supp } \nu$. Then $f d(\mu + \nu) = d\mu$, so

$$\dim_F \mu \geq \dim_F(\mu + \nu)$$

by Lemma 1. Similarly,

$$\dim_F \nu \geq \dim_F(\mu + \nu),$$

and thus

$$\dim_F(\mu + \nu) \leq \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

The proposition now follows since the opposite inequality always holds. \square

Proposition 10. *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbf{R}^d with compact support and let μ_t be the translation of μ by $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Then*

$$\dim_F(\mu + \mu_t) = \dim_F \mu.$$

Proof. Since the Fourier dimension is translation invariant,

$$\dim_F(\mu + \mu_t) \geq \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \mu_t) = \dim_F \mu.$$

The opposite inequality clearly holds if $t = 0$, so assume that $t \neq 0$ and let n be an odd integer so large that $\text{supp } \mu \cap \text{supp } \mu_{nt} = \emptyset$. Note that

$$\left| \widehat{\mu + \mu_t}(\xi) \right| = \left| (1 + e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi}) \widehat{\mu}(\xi) \right| = 2 \left| \cos(\pi t \cdot \xi) \right| |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|,$$

and similarly

$$\left| \widehat{\mu + \mu_{nt}}(\xi) \right| = 2 \left| \cos(\pi n t \cdot \xi) \right| |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|.$$

Since $\cos(nx)/\cos x$ is bounded, this gives

$$\left| \widehat{\mu + \mu_{nt}}(\xi) \right| = 2 \left| \frac{\cos(\pi n t \cdot \xi)}{\cos(\pi t \cdot \xi)} \right| \left| \cos(\pi t \cdot \xi) \right| |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim \left| \widehat{\mu + \mu_t}(\xi) \right|.$$

Thus

$$\dim_F(\mu + \mu_t) \leq \dim_F(\mu + \mu_{nt}) = \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \mu_{nt}) = \dim_F \mu,$$

where the first equality is by Proposition 9. \square

Proposition 11. *Let μ and ν be finite Borel measures on \mathbf{R}^d with compact supports, and for $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$ let ν_t be the translation of ν by t . Then there is at most one t such that*

$$\dim_F(\mu + \nu_t) > \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

Proof. It shall be shown that

$$\min(\dim_F(\mu + \nu_{t_1}), \dim_F(\mu + \nu_{t_2})) \leq \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu)$$

whenever $t_1 \neq t_2$. By the translation invariance of \dim_F , this is equivalent to

$$\min(\dim_F(\kappa + \nu), \dim_F(\kappa + \nu_\Delta)) \leq \min(\dim_F \kappa, \dim_F \nu),$$

where κ is the translation of μ by $-t_1$ and $\Delta = t_2 - t_1$. Suppose that s is less than the expression on the left — then $s < d$ and

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\kappa}(\xi) + \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2} \\ \widehat{\kappa}(\xi) + e^{-2\pi i \Delta \cdot \xi} \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}. \end{cases}$$

Let n be an integer so large that $\text{supp } \kappa \cap \text{supp } \nu_{n\Delta} = \emptyset$. Subtracting the second relation in (4) from the first gives

$$\sin(\pi \Delta \cdot \xi) \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim (1 - e^{-2\pi i \Delta \cdot \xi}) \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2},$$

and since $\sin(nx)/\sin x$ is bounded it follows that

$$(1 - e^{-2\pi i n \Delta \cdot \xi}) \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim \frac{\sin(\pi n \Delta \cdot \xi)}{\sin(\pi \Delta \cdot \xi)} \sin(\pi \Delta \cdot \xi) \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}.$$

Subtracting this from the first relation in (4) then gives

$$\widehat{\kappa}(\xi) + e^{-2\pi i n \Delta \cdot \xi} \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s},$$

and thus

$$s \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\kappa + \nu_{n\Delta}) = \min(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \kappa, \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \nu_{n\Delta}) = \min(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \kappa, \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \nu),$$

where the first equality is by Proposition 9. \square

Lemma 12. *Let $B \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$ be an invertible matrix such that $|\lambda| \neq 1$ for all eigenvalues λ of B , and let $f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} f(\xi) = 0$ and*

$$|f(\xi) - f(B\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$f(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Using that $|B^{-1}\xi| \geq \|B\|^{-1}|\xi|$ one sees that

$$|f(B^{-1}\xi) - f(\xi)| \lesssim |B^{-1}\xi|^{-\alpha} \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha},$$

and thus there is a constant C such that

$$|f(\xi) - f(B\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad |f(\xi) - f(B^{-1}\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-\alpha}$$

for all ξ .

Let

$$V_u = \bigoplus_{|\lambda| > 1} E_\lambda, \quad V_s = \bigoplus_{|\lambda| < 1} E_\lambda,$$

where E_λ denotes the (generalised) eigenspace of B corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Then there is some $c > 1$ and an m such that if $k \geq m$ then $|B^k\xi| \geq c^m|\xi|$ for all $\xi \in V_u$ and $|B^{-k}\xi| \geq c^k|\xi|$ for all $\xi \in V_s$ (this need not be true with $m = 1$, for instance if B is a large Jordan block with diagonal entries only slightly larger than 1).

Take any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and write it as $\xi = \xi_u + \xi_s$ with $\xi_u \in V_u$ and $\xi_s \in V_s$. Suppose first that $|\xi_u| \geq |\xi_s|$, or equivalently that $|\xi_u| \geq |\xi|/\sqrt{2}$. Then for any $n \geq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\xi) - f(B^n\xi)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(B^k\xi) - f(B^{k+1}\xi)| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |B^k\xi|^{-\alpha} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |B^k\xi_u|^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|B^{-1}\|^{k\alpha} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c^{-k\alpha} \right) |\xi_u|^{-\alpha} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|B^{-1}\|^{k\alpha} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c^{-k\alpha} \right) 2^{\alpha/2} |\xi|^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ shows that $f(\xi) \leq D|\xi|^{-\alpha}$ with

$$D = 2C \left(\max \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|B^{-1}\|^{k\alpha}, \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|B\|^{k\alpha} \right) + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c^{-k\alpha} \right) 2^{\alpha/2}.$$

Similarly, if $|\xi_s| \geq |\xi_u|$ then for any $n \geq m$,

$$|f(\xi) - f(B^{-n}\xi)| \leq \dots \leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|B\|^{k\alpha} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c^{-k\alpha} \right) 2^{\alpha/2} |\xi|^{-\alpha},$$

and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ shows that $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \leq D|\xi|^{-\alpha}$ in this case as well. \square

Proposition 13. *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbf{R}^d such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mu}(\xi) = 0$, and let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$ be an invertible matrix such that $|\lambda| \neq 1$ for all eigenvalues λ of A . Then*

$$\dim_F(\mu + A\mu) = \dim_F \mu.$$

Proof. Since the Fourier dimension is invariant under invertible linear transformations,

$$\dim_F(\mu + A\mu) \geq \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F(A\mu)) = \dim_F \mu,$$

and in particular the lemma is true if $\dim_F(\mu + A\mu) = 0$. To see the opposite inequality when $\dim_F(\mu + A\mu) > 0$, take any $s \in (0, \dim_F(\mu + A\mu))$ and let $B = A^T$, so that

$$\widehat{A\mu}(\xi) = \widehat{\mu}(A^T \xi) = \widehat{\mu}(B\xi).$$

Then

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \widehat{\mu}(B\xi)| \leq |\widehat{\mu}(\xi) + \widehat{\mu}(B\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2},$$

so Lemma 12 applied to $f(\xi) = |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|$ says that $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ and therefore $s \leq \dim_F \mu$. \square

Proposition 14. *Let μ and ν be finite Borel measures on \mathbf{R}^d such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mu}(\xi) = \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\nu}(\xi) = 0$, and let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$ be a matrix such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$ for all eigenvalues λ of A . For $t \in \mathbf{R}$, let $\nu_t = \exp(tA)\nu$. Then there is at most one t such that*

$$\dim_F(\mu + \nu_t) > \min(\dim_F \mu, \dim_F \nu).$$

Proof. The statement is trivially true if $\dim_F \mu \neq \dim_F \nu$, so assume that $\dim_F \mu = \dim_F \nu = s_0$. Take any distinct t_1, t_2 and suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} s &< \min(\dim_F(\mu + \nu_{t_1}), \dim_F(\mu + \nu_{t_2})) \\ &= \min(\dim_F(\kappa + \nu), \dim_F(\kappa + \nu_{t_2 - t_1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \exp(-t_1 A)\mu$. Then $s < d$ and

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\kappa}(\xi) + \widehat{\nu}(\xi) &\lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2} \\ \widehat{\kappa}(\xi) + \widehat{\nu}(B\xi) &\lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}, \end{cases}$$

where $B = \exp((t_2 - t_1)A)^T$, and subtracting the second relation from the first gives

$$|\widehat{\nu}(\xi) - \widehat{\nu}(B\xi)| \leq |\widehat{\nu}(\xi) + \widehat{\nu}(B\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}.$$

The matrix B has no eigenvalue on the unit circle, so $\widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}$ by Lemma 12 applied to $f(\xi) = |\widehat{\nu}(\xi)|$. Thus $s \leq \dim_F \nu = s_0$. \square

4. THE MODIFIED FOURIER DIMENSION

Recall that the modified Fourier dimension of a Borel set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ is defined by

$$\dim_{\text{FM}} A = \sup \{ \dim_{\text{F}} \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu(A) > 0 \}.$$

Theorem 15. *The modified Fourier dimension is monotone and countably stable, and satisfies $\dim_{\text{F}} A \leq \dim_{\text{FM}} A \leq \dim_{\text{F}} A$ for any Borel set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$.*

Proof. If $A \subset B$ then $\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(A) > 0\} \subset \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(B) > 0\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\text{FM}} A &= \sup \{ \dim_{\text{F}} \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu(A) > 0 \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \dim_{\text{F}} \mu; \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d), \mu(B) > 0 \} = \dim_{\text{FM}} B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus \dim_{FM} is monotone.

Let $\{A_k\}$ be a finite or countable family of Borel sets. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\mu(\bigcup A_k) > 0$ there must be some n such that $\mu(A_n) > 0$, and thus

$$\sup_k \dim_{\text{FM}} A_k \geq \dim_{\text{FM}} A_n \geq \dim_{\text{F}} \mu.$$

Taking supremum on the right over $\{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(\bigcup A_k) > 0\}$ shows that

$$\sup_k \dim_{\text{FM}} A_k \geq \dim_{\text{FM}} \left(\bigcup_k A_k \right).$$

The opposite inequality holds by monotonicity.

It is obvious that $\dim_{\text{F}} A \leq \dim_{\text{FM}} A$ since any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ that gives full measure to A in particular gives positive measure to A . The proof that $\dim_{\text{F}} A \leq \dim_{\text{H}} A$ (see the introduction) works without modification if \dim_{F} is replaced by \dim_{FM} . \square

The following two examples show that \dim_{FM} is not the same as either of \dim_{F} and \dim_{H} .

Example 16. The sets B_n defined in Example 6 were shown to have Fourier dimension 0 but positive Lebesgue measure, and hence modified Fourier dimension 1.

Example 17. The Cantor set has modified Fourier dimension 0 (see the introduction), but Hausdorff dimension $\log 2 / \log 3$.

5. NULL SETS OF s -DIMENSIONAL MEASURES

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \dim_{\text{FM}} \mu \geq s \}.$$

In this section it will be shown that \mathcal{M}_s is characterised by its class of common null sets, or more precisely that

$$(5) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_s \iff \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_s,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_s &= \{ E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_s \} \\ &= \{ E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d); \dim_{\text{FM}} E < s \}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^\perp &= \{E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathcal{C}\} \\ \mathcal{E}^\perp &= \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{M}_s^\perp$ and the condition (5) can be expressed as $\mathcal{M}_s = \mathcal{E}_s^\perp$, or equivalently as $\mathcal{M}_s^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{M}_s$.

It is also natural to consider the sets

$$\mathcal{C}_s = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \exists \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \text{ such that } \mu \ll \nu \text{ and } \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}\}.$$

For $s \in (0, d]$ they are related to \mathcal{M}_s by

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \bigcap_{t < s} \mathcal{C}_t$$

(this is also true for $s = 0$ if one allows negative t 's in the intersection).

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 18. *The sets \mathcal{C}_s and \mathcal{M}_s satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_s^{\perp\perp} &= \mathcal{C}_s, & \text{for } 0 \leq s, \\ \mathcal{M}_s^{\perp\perp} &= \mathcal{M}_s, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq d.\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is trivial for $s = 0$ since $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^\perp = \mathcal{M}_0^\perp = \{\emptyset\}$. For general s , the first step in the proof is to reduce the problem using some properties of \perp that are collected in the next lemma.

Lemma 19. *Let \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}_1 , \mathcal{D}_2 and $\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be subsets of either $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ or $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

- i) $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp}$
- ii) $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \implies \mathcal{D}_2^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}_1^\perp$
- iii) $\mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp\perp} = \mathcal{D}^\perp$
- iv) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^\perp \subset \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha\right)^\perp$
- v) $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^\perp = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha\right)^\perp$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{X} be the space that the \mathcal{D} 's are subsets of and let \mathcal{Y} be the “dual” space, that is,

$$\mathcal{Y} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) & \text{if } \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

If $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then the equation $\{x, y\} = \{\mu, E\}$ determines uniquely a measure μ and a set E . Thus it is possible to define

$$(x, y) = \mu(E), \quad \text{where } \{x, y\} = \{\mu, E\}.$$

i) Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $(x, y) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, which by definition of $\mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp}$ means that $x \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp}$.

ii) Let $y \in \mathcal{D}_2^\perp$. Then $(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_2$, and thus $(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_1$ since $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2$. Hence $y \in \mathcal{D}_1^\perp$.

iii) Applying ii) to the statement of i) shows that $\mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp\perp} \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$ and applying i) to \mathcal{D}^\perp shows that $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp\perp}$.

iv) From ii) it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^\perp \subset \left(\bigcap_{\alpha' \in I} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha'}\right)^\perp$$

for any $\alpha \in I$, and hence

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^\perp \subset \left(\bigcap_{\alpha' \in I} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha'} \right)^\perp.$$

v) The definition of \mathcal{D}^\perp can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{D}^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \{y \in \mathcal{Y}; (x, y) = 0\} = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \{x\}^\perp,$$

and thus

$$\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha} \{x\}^\perp = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha} \{x\}^\perp = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^\perp. \quad \square$$

Once it has been proved that $\mathcal{C}_s^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{C}_s$ it follows by Lemma 19 that $\mathcal{M}_s^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{M}_s$ as well, for then

$$\mathcal{M}_s^{\perp\perp} = \left(\bigcap_{t < s} \mathcal{C}_t \right)^{\perp\perp} \subset \left(\bigcup_{t < s} \mathcal{C}_t^\perp \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{t < s} \mathcal{C}_t^{\perp\perp} = \bigcap_{t < s} \mathcal{C}_t = \mathcal{M}_s$$

by ii), iv) and v), and the opposite inclusion holds by i). Moreover, if \mathcal{D} has the form $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'^{\perp\perp}$ then iii) gives

$$\mathcal{D}^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{D}'^{\perp\perp\perp\perp} = \mathcal{D}'^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{D}.$$

To prove Theorem 18, it thus suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}_s = \mathcal{C}_s'^{\perp\perp}$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_s' = \{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d); \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{C}_s'^{\perp\perp}$ (see the first part of the proof of Theorem 18, on page 16), but the other inclusion takes a bit of work. The idea is to take an arbitrary measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_s'^{\perp\perp}$ and decompose it as $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ such that μ_1 is absolutely continuous to some measure in \mathcal{C}_s' (thus $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{C}_s$) and μ_2 is singular to all measures in \mathcal{C}_s' , and then show that $\mu_2 = 0$ so that $\mu = \mu_1 \in \mathcal{C}_s$.

5.1. Decomposition of μ with respect to \mathcal{C}_s' .

Definition. A set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is countably quasiconvex if for any finite or infinite sequence (ν_k) in \mathcal{C} there is a sequence (p_k) of positive numbers such that $\sum_k p_k = 1$ and

$$\sum_k p_k \nu_k \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Thus any countable convex combination of measures in a countably quasiconvex set \mathcal{C} is equivalent to some measure in \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 20. For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$, the set \mathcal{C}_s' is countably quasiconvex.

Proof. If $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots \in \mathcal{C}_s'$, then there are constants $C_1, C_2, \dots \geq 1$ such that for each k

$$|\widehat{\nu}_k(\xi)| \leq C_k |\xi|^{-s/2} \quad \text{for all } \xi.$$

Now set

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2^k C_k}, \quad p_k = \frac{a_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i}.$$

Then the probability measure

$$\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \nu_k$$

satisfies

$$|\widehat{\nu}(\xi)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k |\widehat{\nu}_k(\xi)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k C_k |\xi|^{-s/2} \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2},$$

so $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_s$. □

Lemma 21. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be countably quasiconvex and let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then there is a set $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu|_E &\ll \nu && \text{for some } \nu \in \mathcal{C}, && \text{and} \\ \mu|_{E^c} &\perp \nu && \text{for all } \nu \in \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$r = \sup \{ \mu(F); F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d) \text{ and } \mu|_F \ll \nu \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{C} \},$$

and for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ let $\nu_k \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be such that $\mu|_{F_k} \ll \nu_k$ and $\mu(F_k) \geq r - 1/k$. Set

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k.$$

By assumption, there is a sequence (p_k) of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \nu_k \in \mathcal{C},$$

and since all p_k are positive, $\mu|_E$ is absolutely continuous with respect to this measure.

Suppose towards a contradiction that there is some $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mu|_{E^c} \not\ll \nu$. Then by Lebesgue decomposition of $\mu|_{E^c}$ with respect to ν there is a Borel set $S \subset E^c$ such that

$$\mu|_S \ll \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(S) > 0.$$

For each k , there is a $\lambda_k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1 - \lambda_k)\nu + \lambda_k \nu_k \in \mathcal{C},$$

and $\mu|_{S \cup F_k}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to this measure. Moreover,

$$\mu(S \cup F_k) = \mu(S) + \mu(F_k) \geq \mu(S) + r - \frac{1}{k}$$

which is greater than r for large enough k — this is a contradiction. □

5.2. Proof of Theorem 18. The following theorem by Goulet de Rugy [2] is used in the proof.

Theorem 22 (Goulet de Rugy). *Let T be a compact Hausdorff space and let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be subsets of $\mathcal{P}(T)$ of the form*

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k, \quad \mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k,$$

where the \mathcal{A}_k and \mathcal{B}_k are weak-* compact and convex, such that $\mu \perp \nu$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist disjoint $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets $T_1, T_2 \subset T$ such that $\mu(T_1) = 1$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\nu(T_2) = 1$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof of Theorem 18. As remarked in the beginning of the section, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{C}_s$. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_s$ there is some $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_s$ such that $\mu \ll \nu$. Then any $E \in \mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp}$ is a null set for ν and hence also for μ , which means that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp\perp}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp\perp}$.

To see the other inclusion, take any $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp\perp}$. By Lemma 20 and Lemma 21, it is possible to write $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ such that μ_1 is absolutely continuous to some measure in \mathcal{C}'_s (hence $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{C}_s$) and μ_2 is singular to all measures in \mathcal{C}'_s . It will be shown that $\mu_2 = 0$, from which it follows that $\mu = \mu_1 \in \mathcal{C}_s$.

For $N, R \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ let

$$\mathcal{B}_R^N = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}([-R, R]^d) ; \widehat{\nu}(\xi) \leq N|\xi|^{-s/2} \text{ for all } \xi \right\}.$$

These sets are weak-* compact and convex, so Theorem 22 applied to

$$\mathcal{A}_R = \left\{ \mu_2|_{[-R, R]^d} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{B}_R = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_R^N$$

gives for each R a Borel set E_R such that $\mu_2(E_R) = 0$ and

$$\nu(\mathbf{R}^d \setminus E_R) = \nu([-R, R]^d \setminus E_R) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathcal{B}_R.$$

Let

$$E = \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} E_R.$$

Then $\mu_2(E) = 0$ and $\nu(E^c) = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_s$ that have compact support.

If ν is *any* measure in \mathcal{C}'_s , define the measure ν_R by $d\nu_R = \varphi_R d\nu$, where φ_R for each R is a smooth function such that $\chi_{[-R, R]^d} \leq \varphi_R \leq \chi_{[-2R, 2R]^d}$. Then each ν_R lies in \mathcal{C}'_s by Lemma 1 and ν_R has compact support, so

$$\nu(E^c) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E^c \cap [-R, R]^d) \leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \nu_R(E^c) = 0.$$

This shows that $E^c \in \mathcal{C}'_s{}^{\perp}$ and hence $\mu(E^c) = 0$. Since also $\mu_2(E) = 0$, this implies that $\mu_2 = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Davenport, P. Erdős, and W. J. LeVeque. On Weyl's criterion for uniform distribution. *Michigan Math. J.*, 10(3):311–314, aug 1963.
- [2] Alain Goulet de Rugy. Sur les mesures étrangères. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 272(1):123–126, jan 1971.
- [3] Russell Lyons. Seventy Years of Rajchman Measures. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, pages 363–377, 1995. Kahane Special Issue.

- [4] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces — Fractals and Rectifiability*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [5] John C. Oxtoby. *Measure and Category*. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1980.
- [6] Martine Queffélec and Olivier Ramaré. Analyse de Fourier des fractions continues à quotients restreints. *Enseign. Math.*, 49(3–4):335–356, 2003.

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LUND UNIVERSITY, BOX 118, 22 100 LUND, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `fredrike@maths.lth.se`

E-mail address: `tomasp@maths.lth.se`

E-mail address: `joerg@maths.lth.se`