## Singular points of non-monotone potential operators

## BIAGIO RICCERI

Dedicated to the memory of Francesco Saverio De Blasi

**Abstract:** In this paper, we establish some results about the singular points of certain non-monotone potential operators. Here is a sample: If X is an infinite-dimensional reflexive real Banach space and if  $T: X \to X^*$  is a non-monotone, closed, continuous potential operator such that the functional  $x \to \int_0^1 T(sx)(x)ds$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $\lim_{\|x\|\to+\infty} (\int_0^1 T(sx)(x)ds + \varphi(x)) = +\infty$  for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ , then the set of all singular points of T is not  $\sigma$ -compact.

Key words: Potential operator, non-monotone operator, Fredholm operator, singular point, minimax theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47G40, 47H05, 47A75, 47A53, 58C15.

Here and in what follows,  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a reflexive real Banach space, with topological dual  $X^*$ , and  $T: X \to X^*$  is a continuous potential operator. This means that the functional

$$x \to J_T(x) := \int_0^1 T(sx)(x) ds$$

is of class  $C^1$  in X and its Gâteaux derivative is equal to T.

Let us recall a few classical definitions.

T is said to be monotone if

 $(T(x) - T(y))(x - y) \ge 0$ 

for all  $x, y \in X$ . This is equivalent to the fact that the functional  $J_T$  is convex.

T is said to be closed if for each closed set  $C \subseteq X$ , the set T(C) is closed in  $X^*$ .

T is said to be compact if for each bounded set  $B \subset X$ , the set  $\overline{T(B)}$  is compact in  $X^*$ .

T is said to be proper if for each compact set  $K \subset X^*$ , the set  $T^{-1}(K)$  is compact in X.

T is said to be a local homeomorphism at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if there are a neighbourhood U of  $x_0$  and a neighbourhood V of  $T(x_0)$  such that the restriction of T to U is a homeomorphism between U and V. If T is not a local homeomorphism at  $x_0$ , we say that  $x_0$  is a singular point of T.

We denote by  $S_T$  the set of all singular points of T. Clearly, the set T is closed.

Assume that the restriction of T to some open set  $A \subseteq X$  is of class  $C^1$ .

We then denote by  $\tilde{S}_{T|A}$  the set of all  $x_0 \in A$  such that the operator  $T'(x_0)$  is not invertible. Since the set of all invertible operators belonging to  $\mathcal{L}(X, X^*)$  is open in  $\mathcal{L}(X, X^*)$ , by the continuity of T', the set  $\tilde{S}_{T|A}$  is closed too.

Also, T is said to be a Fredholm operator of index zero in A if, for each  $x \in A$ , the codimension of T'(x)(X) and the dimension of  $(T'(x))^{-1}(0)$  are finite and equal.

A set in a topological space is said to be  $\sigma$ -compact if it is the union of an at most countable family of compact sets.

A functional  $I: X \to \mathbf{R}$  is said to be coercive if

$$\lim_{\|x\|\to+\infty} I(x) = +\infty \; .$$

The aim of this note is to establish the following results:

THEOREM 1. - If X is infinite-dimensional, if T is closed and non-monotone, if  $J_T$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $J_T + \varphi$  is coercive for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ , then both  $S_T$  and  $T(S_T)$  are not  $\sigma$ -compact.

THEOREM 2. - In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1, suppose that there exists a closed,  $\sigma$ -compact set  $B \subset X$  such that the restriction of T to  $X \setminus B$  is of class  $C^1$ .

Then, both  $\tilde{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}}$  and  $T(\tilde{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}})$  are not  $\sigma$ -compact.

THEOREM 3. - Assume that X is a Hilbert space, with  $\dim(X) \ge 3$ , and that T is compact and of class  $C^1$  with

$$\liminf_{\|x\|\to+\infty} \frac{J_T(x)}{\|x\|^2} \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lim_{\|x\|\to+\infty} \|x+\lambda T(x)\| = +\infty$$
(2)

for all  $\lambda > 0$  large enough.

For each  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ , set

$$A_{\mu} = \{ x \in X : T'(x)(y) = \mu y \text{ for some } y \in X \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) the operator T is not monotone;
- (ii) there exists  $\mu < 0$  such that  $A_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $\tilde{\mu} < 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in ]\tilde{\mu}, 0[$ , the set  $A_{\mu}$  contains an accumulation point.

The previous theorems extend and improve the results of [3] in a remarkable way. The reason for this resides in the tools used to prove them. Precisely, in [3], the main tools were Theorems A and B below jointly with the minimax theorem proved in [2]. This latter contains a severe restriction: one of the two variables on which the underlying function depends must run over a real interval. In the current paper, we still continue to use Theorems A and B in an essential way but, this time, jointly with a consequence of another very recent minimax theorem ([4], Theorem 3.2) which is not affected by the above recalled restriction.

So, let us recall Theorems A and B.

THEOREM A ([5], Theorem 2.1). - If X is infinite-dimensional, if T is closed and if  $S_T$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then the restriction of T to  $X \setminus S_T$  is a homeomorphism between  $X \setminus S_T$  and  $X \setminus T(S_T)$ .

THEOREM B ([1], Theorem 5). - If dim $(X) \ge 3$ , if T is a  $C^1$  proper Fredholm operator of index zero and if  $\tilde{S}_T$  is discrete, then T is a homeomorphism between X and  $X^*$ .

As we said above, besides Theorems A and B, the other tool major that we will use is a consequence of the following minimax theorem (here stated in a particular version which is enough for our purposes):

THEOREM C ([4], Theorem 3.2). - Let Y be a convex set in a real vector space E and let  $f : X \times E \to \mathbf{R}$ be sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive in X, and linear in E. Assume also that

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f < \inf_X \sup_Y f \ .$$

Then, there exists  $\tilde{y} \in Y$  such that the functional  $f(\cdot, \tilde{y})$  has at least two global minima.

Let us introduce the following notations. We denote by  $\mathbf{R}^X$  the space of all functionals  $\varphi : X \to \mathbf{R}$ . For each  $I \in \mathbf{R}^X$  and for each of non-empty subset A of X, we denote by  $E_{I,A}$  the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^X$  such that  $I + \varphi$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive, and

 $\inf_A \varphi \leq 0 \ .$ 

Here is the above mentioned consequence of Theorem C:

THEOREM 4. - Let  $I: X \to \mathbf{R}$  be a functional and A, B two non-empty subsets of X such that

$$\sup_{A} I < \inf_{B} I . \tag{3}$$

Then, for every convex set  $Y \subseteq E_{I,A}$  such that

$$\inf_{x \in B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x) \ge 0 \quad and \quad \inf_{x \in X \setminus B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x) = +\infty , \tag{4}$$

there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima.

PROOF. Consider the function  $f: X \times \mathbf{R}^X \to \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(x,\varphi) = I(x) + \varphi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^X$ . Fix  $\varphi \in Y$ . In view of (3), we also can fix  $\epsilon \in ]0, \inf_B I - \sup_A I[$ . Since  $\inf_A \varphi \leq 0$ , there is  $\bar{x} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{x}) < \epsilon$ . Hence, we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) \le I(\bar{x}) + \varphi(\bar{x}) < \sup_A I + \epsilon \ ,$$

from which it follows that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) \le \sup_{A} I + \epsilon < \inf_{B} I .$$
(5)

 $\triangle$ 

On the other hand, in view of (4), one has

$$\inf_{B} I \le \inf_{x \in B} (I(x) + \sup_{\varphi \in Y} \varphi(x)) = \inf_{x \in B} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} (I(x) + \varphi(x)) .$$
(6)

Finally, from (5) and (6), it follows that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} f(x, \varphi) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in Y} f(x, \varphi)$$

Therefore, the function f satisfies the assumptions of Theorem C, and the conclusion follows.

More precisely, we will use the following corollary of Theorem 4:

COROLLARY 1. - Let  $I: X \to \mathbf{R}$  be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, non-convex functional such that  $I + \varphi$  is coercive for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ .

Then, for every convex set  $Y \subseteq X^*$ , dense in  $X^*$ , there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima.

PROOF. Since I is not convex, there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$\lambda I(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)I(x_2) < I(x_3)$$

where

$$x_3 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2 \; .$$

Fix  $\psi \in X^*$  so that

$$\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2) = I(x_1) - I(x_2)$$

and put

$$I(x) = I(x_3 - x) - \psi(x_3 - x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . It is easy to check that

$$\tilde{I}(\lambda(x_1 - x_2)) = \tilde{I}((1 - \lambda)(x_2 - x_1)) < \tilde{I}(0) .$$
(7)

Fix a convex set  $Y \subseteq X^*$  dense in  $X^*$  and put

$$\tilde{Y} = -Y - \psi \; .$$

Hence,  $\tilde{Y}$  is convex and dense in  $X^*$  too. Now, set

$$A = \{\lambda(x_1 - x_2), (1 - \lambda)(x_2 - x_1)\}$$

Clearly, we have

$$X^* \subset E_{\tilde{I},A} . \tag{8}$$

Since  $\tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $X^*$ , we have

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \tilde{Y}} \varphi(x) = +\infty$$

for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, in view of (7) and (8), we can apply Theorem 4 with  $B = \{0\}$ ,  $I = \tilde{I}$ ,  $Y = \tilde{Y}$ . Accordingly, there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $\tilde{I} - \tilde{\varphi} - \psi$  has two global minima in X, say  $u_1, u_2$ . At this point, it is clear that  $x_3 - u_1, x_3 - u_2$  are two global minima of the functional  $I + \tilde{\varphi}$ , and the proof is complete.

REMARK 1. - We remark that Corollary 1 was also obtained very recently in [6] by means of a radically different proof.

We now establish the following technical proposition:

PROPOSITION 1. - If V is an infinite-dimensional real Banach space space and if  $U \subset V$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact set, then there exists a convex cone  $C \subset V$ , dense in V, such that  $U \cap C = \emptyset$ .

PROOF. This proposition was proved in [3] when V is a Hilbert space ([3], Proposition 2.4). As in [3], we distinguish two cases. First, we assume that V is separable. In this case, the proof provided in [3] can be repeated word for word, and so we omit it. So, assume that V is not separable. Let  $\{x_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$  be a Hamel basis of V. Set

$$\Lambda = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : x_{\gamma} \notin \operatorname{span}(U) \}$$

and

$$L = \operatorname{span}(\{x_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Lambda\}) .$$

Clearly, span(U) is separable since U is so. Hence,  $\Lambda$  is infinite. Introduce in  $\Lambda$  a total order  $\leq$  with no greatest element. Next, for each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , let  $\psi_{\gamma} : L \to \mathbf{R}$  be a linear functional such that

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x_{\alpha}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \alpha \end{cases}.$$

Now, set

$$D = \{ x \in L : \exists \beta \in \Lambda : \psi_{\beta}(x) > 0 \text{ and } \psi_{\gamma}(x) = 0 \ \forall \gamma > \beta \}$$

Of course, D is a convex cone. Fix  $x \in L$ . So, there is a finite set  $I \subset \Lambda$  such that  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in I} \psi_{\gamma}(x) x_{\gamma}$ . Now, fix  $\beta \in \Lambda$  so that  $\beta > \max I$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , put

$$y_n = x + \frac{1}{n} x_\beta \; .$$

Clearly,  $\psi_{\beta}(y_n) = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\psi_{\gamma}(y_n) = 0$  for all  $\gamma > \beta$ . Hence,  $y_n \in D$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = x$ , we infer that D is dense in L. At this point, it is immediate to check the set  $D + \operatorname{span}(U)$  is a convex cone, dense in V, which does not meet U.  $\triangle$ 

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us prove that  $S_T$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary. Then, by Theorem A, for each  $\varphi \in X^* \setminus T(S_T)$ , the equation

$$T(x) = \varphi$$

has a unique solution in X. Moreover, since T is continuous,  $T(S_T)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact too. Therefore, in view of Proposition 1, there is a convex set  $Y \subset X^*$ , dense in  $X^*$ , such that  $T(S_T) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, thanks to Corollary 1, there is  $\tilde{\varphi} \in Y$  such that the functional  $J_T - \tilde{\varphi}$  has at least two global minima in X which are therefore solutions of the equation

$$T(x) = \tilde{\varphi} ,$$

a contradiction. Now, let us prove that  $T(S_T)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary. Consequently, since T is proper ([6], Theorem 1),  $T^{-1}(T(S_T))$  would be  $\sigma$ -compact. But then, since  $S_T$  is closed and  $S_T \subseteq T^{-1}(T(S_T))$ ,  $S_T$  would be  $\sigma$ -compact, a contradiction. The proof is complete. Δ

Proof of Theorem 2. By Theorem 1, the set  $S_T$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Now, observe that if  $x \in X \setminus$  $(S_{T_{l(X\setminus B)}} \cup B)$ , then, by the inverse function theorem, T is a local homeomorphism at x, and so  $x \notin S_T$ . Hence, we have

$$S_T \subseteq \tilde{S}_{T_{|(X \setminus B)}} \cup B$$
.

We then infer that  $\tilde{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}}$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact since, otherwise,  $\tilde{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}} \cup B$  would be so, and hence also  $S_T$ would be  $\sigma$ -compact being closed. Finally, the fact that  $T(\tilde{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}})$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact follows as in the final part of the proof of Theorem 1, taking into account that  $\hat{S}_{T_{|(X\setminus B)}}$  is closed.  $\triangle$ 

Proof of Theorem 3. Clearly, since X is a Hilbert space, we are identifying  $X^*$  to X. Let us prove that  $(i) \rightarrow (iii)$ . So, assume (i). Since  $J_T$  is not convex, for each  $\lambda > 0$  large enough, the functional

$$x \to I_{\lambda}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \lambda J_T(x)$$

is not convex too. Since T is compact, on the one hand,  $J_T$  is sequentially weakly continuous ([9], Corollary 41.9) and, on the other hand, in view of (8), the operator  $x \to x + \lambda T(x)$  (that is the derivative of  $I_{\lambda}$ ) is proper ([8], Example 4.43) for each  $\lambda > 0$  large enough. The compactness of T also implies that, for each  $x \in X$ , the operator T'(x) is compact ([8], Proposition 7.33) and so, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , the operator  $y \to y + \lambda T'(x)(y)$ is Fredholm of index zero ([8], Example 8.16). Accordingly, fix  $\lambda > 0$  so that  $I'_{\lambda}$  is non-monotone, proper and Fredholm of index zero for all  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$ . Fix  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$ . Clearly, by (1), the functional  $I_{\lambda} + z$  is coercive for all  $z \in X$ . Then, in view of Corollary 1, the operator  $I'_{\lambda}$  is not injective. At this point, we can apply Theorem B to infer that the set  $S_{I'_{\lambda}}$  contains an accumulation point. Finally, notice that

$$\tilde{S}_{I_{\lambda}'} = A_{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \, .$$

Hence, (*iii*) follows with  $\tilde{\mu} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}$ . The implication (*iii*)  $\rightarrow$  (*ii*) is trivial. Finally, the implication (*ii*)  $\rightarrow$  (*i*) is classical ([7], Theorem 2.1.11).  $\triangle$ 

Acknowledgement. The author has been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

## References

[1] R. A. PLASTOCK, Nonlinear Fredholm maps of index zero and their singularities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1978), 317-322.

[2] B. RICCERI, A further improvement of a minimax theorem of Borenshtein and Shul'man, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 2 (2001), 279-283.

[3] B. RICCERI, On the singular set of certain potential operators in Hilbert spaces, in Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **75**, 377-391, Birkhäuser, 2007.

[4] B. RICCERI, A strict minimax inequality criterion and some of its consequences, Positivity, **16** (2012), 455-470.

[5] R. S. SADYRKHANOV, On infinite dimensional features of proper and closed mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** (1986), 643–658.

[6] J. SAINT RAYMOND, *Characterizing convex functions by variational properties*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **14** (2013), 253-262.

[7] C. ZÅLINESCU, Convex analysis in general vector spaces, World Scientific, 2002.

[8] E. ZEIDLER, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, vol. I, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[9] E. ZEIDLER, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Department of Mathematics University of Catania Viale A. Doria 6 95125 Catania Italy *e-mail address*: ricceri@dmi.unict.it