

Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a space-dependent anyon Boltzmann equation.

Leif ARKERYD and Anne NOURI

Mathematical Sciences, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden,
LATP, Aix-Marseille University, France

Abstract. A fully non-linear kinetic equation for anyons and large initial data is studied in a periodic 1d setting. Strong L^1 solutions are obtained for the Cauchy problem. The main results concern global existence, uniqueness and L^1 -convergence to equilibrium.

1 Anyons and the Boltzmann equation.

Let us first recall the definition of anyon. Consider the wave function $\psi(R, \theta, r, \varphi)$ for two identical particles with center of mass coordinates (R, θ) and relative coordinates (r, φ) . Exchanging them, $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \pi$, gives a phase factor $e^{2\pi i}$ for bosons and $e^{\pi i}$ for fermions. In three or more dimensions those are all possibilities. Leinaas and Myrheim proved 1977 [10], that in one and two dimensions any phase factor is possible in the particle exchange. This became an important topic after the first experimental confirmations in the early 1980-ies, and Frank Wilczek in analogy with the terms bos(e)-ons and fermi-ons coined the name any-ons for the new quasi-particles with any phase. Anyon quasi-particles with e.g. fractional electric charge, have since been observed in various types of experiments.

By moving to a definition in terms of a generalized Pauli exclusion principle, Haldane [9] extended this to a fractional exclusion statistics valid for any dimension, and coinciding with the anyon definition in the one and two dimensional cases. Haldane statistics has also been realized for neutral fermionic atoms at ultra-low temperatures in three dimensions [3]. Wu later derived [19] occupation-number distributions for ideal gases under Haldane statistics by counting states under the new fractional exclusion principle. From the number of quantum states of N identical particles occupying G states being

$$\frac{(G + N - 1)!}{N!(G - 1)!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G!}{N!(G - N)!}$$

in the boson resp. fermion cases, he derived the interpolated number of quantum states for the fractional exclusions to be

$$\frac{(G + (N - 1)(1 - \alpha))!}{N!(G - \alpha N - (1 - \alpha))!}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

He then obtained for ideal gases the equilibrium statistical distribution

$$\frac{1}{w(e^{(\epsilon - \mu)/T}) + \alpha}, \quad (1.2)$$

¹2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 82C10, 82C22, 82C40.

²Key words; anyon, Haldane statistics, low temperature kinetic theory, quantum Boltzmann equation.

where ϵ is particle energy, μ chemical potential, T temperature, and the function $w(\zeta)$ satisfies

$$w(\zeta)^\alpha (1 + w(\zeta))^{1-\alpha} = \zeta \equiv e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T}.$$

In particular $w(\zeta) = \zeta - 1$ for $\alpha = 0$ (bosons) and $w(\zeta) = \zeta$ for $\alpha = 1$ (fermions).

In elastic pair collisions, the velocities (v, v_*) before and (v', v'_*) after a collision are related by $v' = v - n[(v - v_*) \cdot n]$, $v'_* = v_* + n[(v - v_*) \cdot n]$, $n \in S^{d-1}$. This preserves mass, linear momentum, and energy in Boltzmann type collision operators. We shall write $f = f(v)$, $f_* = f(v_*)$, $f' = f(v')$, $f'_* = f(v'_*)$. An important question for gases with fractional exclusion statistics, is how to calculate their transport properties, in particular how the Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f)$$

gets modified. An answer was given by Bhaduri, Bhalerao, and Murthy [2] by generalizing to anyons the filling factors $F(f)$ from the fermion and boson cases, $F(f) = (1 + \eta f)$, $\eta = \mp 1$. The filling factors appear because quantum transitions also depend on the occupancy of the final state. With a filling factor $F(f)$ in the collision operator Q , the entropy production term becomes

$$\int Q(f) \log \frac{f}{F(f)},$$

which for equilibrium implies

$$\frac{f'}{F(f')} \frac{f'_*}{F(f'_*)} = \frac{f}{F(f)} \frac{f_*}{F(f_*)}.$$

Using the conservation laws, we may conclude as usual, that in equilibrium

$$\frac{f}{F(f)}$$

is a Maxwellian. Inserting Wu's equilibrium (1.2) for f and Maxwellian, this gives

$$f = \frac{1}{w(e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T}) + \alpha}, \quad F(f) = f e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T} = \frac{e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T}}{w(e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T}) + \alpha}.$$

In particular in the fermion and boson cases,

$$f = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T} - \eta}, \quad F(f) = \frac{e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T}}{e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T} - \eta}, \quad \eta = \mp 1.$$

This is consistent with taking an interpolation between the fermion and boson factors as general filling factor, $F(f) = (1 - \alpha f)^\alpha (1 + (1 - \alpha)f)^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. It gives as collision operator Q under Haldane statistics ([2]),

$$Q(f)(v) = Q^+(f) - Q^-(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} B(v - v_*, \omega) \times [f' f'_* F(f) F(f_*) - f f_* F(f') F(f'_*)] dv_* d\omega. \quad (1.3)$$

Here $d\omega$ corresponds to the Lebesgue probability measure on the $(d-1)$ -sphere. The collision kernel $B(z, \omega)$ in the variables $(z, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is positive, locally integrable, and only depends on $|z|$ and $|(z, \omega)|$. See [2] for further discussion of the kernel B . The Boltzmann equation for $0 < \alpha < 1$ retains important properties from the Fermi-Dirac case, but it has so far not been validated from basic quantum theory.

In the filling factor $F(f) = (1 - \alpha f)^\alpha (1 + (1 - \alpha)f)^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, the real factor $(1 - \alpha f)^\alpha$ requires the value of f to be between 0 and $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. This is formally preserved by the equation, since the gain term vanishes for $f = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, making the Q -term (1.3) and the derivative left hand side of the Boltzmann equation negative there. And the derivative equals the positive gain term for $f = 0$, where the loss term vanishes. F is concave with maximum value one at $f = 0$ for $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and maximum value $(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)^{1-2\alpha} > 1$ at $f = \frac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$ for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. The collision operator vanishes identically for the equilibrium distribution functions obtained by Wu, but for no other functions.

The derivation and basic properties of the Boltzmann equation for the limiting cases, representing boson statistics ($\alpha = 0$) and fermion statistics ($\alpha = 1$), was obtained by Nordheim [16] and Uehling, Uhlenbeck [18]. Here the quartic terms in the collision integral cancel, which is used in the analysis. General existence results for the space-homogeneous isotropic boson large data case were obtained in [12], followed by a number of other papers, e.g. [8], [13], [14], [15], and for the space-dependent case near equilibrium in [17]. In the space-dependent fermion case general existence results were obtained in [7] and [11].

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ there are no cancellations in the collision term. Moreover, the Lipschitz continuity of the collision term is replaced by a weaker Hölder continuity near $f = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. The space-homogeneous initial value problem for the Boltzmann equation with Haldane statistics is

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Q(f), \quad f(0, v) = f_0(v). \quad (1.4)$$

Because of the filling factor F , the range for the initial value f_0 should belong to $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$, which is also formally preserved by the equation. A good control of $\int f(t, x, v) dv$, which in the space-homogeneous case is given by the mass conservation, can be used to also keep f uniformly away from $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, and $F(f)$ Lipschitz continuous. That was a basic observation behind the existence result for the space-homogeneous anyon Boltzmann equation.

Proposition 1.1 [1] *Consider the space-homogeneous equation (1.4) with velocities in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$ and for hard force kernels with*

$$0 < B(z, \omega) \leq C|z|^\beta |\sin \theta \cos \theta|^{d-1}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $d > 2$, and $0 < \beta < 1$, $d = 2$. Let the initial value f_0 have finite mass and energy. If $0 < f_0 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\text{ess sup}(1 + |v|^s)f_0 < \infty$ for $s = d - 1 + \beta$, then the initial value problem for (1.4) has a strong solution in the space of functions continuous from $t \geq 0$ into $L^1 \cap L^\infty$, which conserves mass and energy, and for $t_0 > 0$ given, has $\text{ess sup}_{v, t \leq t_0} |v|^{s'} f(t, v)$ bounded, where $s' = \min(s, \frac{2\beta(d+1)+2}{d})$.

In this proposition, stronger limitations on B would allow for weaker conditions on the initial value f_0 . The proof implies stability; given a sequence of positive initial values $(f_{0n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\sup_n \text{ess sup}_v f_{0n}(v) < \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

and converging in L^1 to f_0 , there is a subsequence of the solutions converging in L^1 to a solution with initial value f_0 .

2 The main results.

The present paper considers the space-dependent anyon Boltzmann equation in a slab. Anyons only exist in one and two dimensions, and their velocities are restricted to $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The kernel $B(n \cdot \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}, |v-v_*|)$ is assumed measurable with

$$0 \leq B \leq B_0, \quad (2.1)$$

for some $B_0 > 0$. It is also assumed for some $\gamma, \gamma' > 0$, that

$$B(n \cdot \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}, |v-v_*|) = 0 \text{ for } |n \cdot \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}| < \gamma', \quad \text{for } ||n \cdot \frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}| - 1| < \gamma', \quad \text{and for } |v-v_*| < \gamma, \quad (2.2)$$

and that

$$\int B(\omega, |v-v_*|) d\omega \geq c_B > 0 \quad \text{for } |v-v_*| \geq \gamma. \quad (2.3)$$

The initial datum $f_0(x, v)$, periodic in x , is assumed to be a measurable function with values in $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$, and such that

$$(1 + |v|^2) f_0(x, v) \in L^1([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} f_0(x, v) dv = c_0 < \infty, \quad \inf_{x \in [0, 1]} f_0(x, v) > 0, \quad \text{a.a. } v \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

With v_1 denoting the component of v in the x -direction, consider for functions periodic in x , the initial value problem

$$\partial_t f(t, x, v) + v_1 \partial_x f(t, x, v) = Q(f)(t, x, v), \quad f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \quad (2.5)$$

in one space-dimension. The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1

There exists a strong solution $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty[; L^1([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2))$ of (2.5) with $0 < f(t, \cdot) < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ for $t > 0$. There is $t_m > 0$ such that for any $T > t_m$, there is $\eta_T > 0$ so that $f \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} - \eta_T$ for $t_m \leq t \leq T$. The solution is unique and is stable in the L^1 -norm on each interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. It conserves mass, first moment and energy.

Remarks.

The above results seem to be new also in the fermion case where $\alpha = 1$.

The approach in the paper can also be used to obtain regularity results.

Contrary to the space-homogeneous case, here the control of $\int f(t, x, v) dv$ is non-trivial.

An entropy connected to (2.5) is

$$\int \left(f \log f + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - f \right) \log(1 - \alpha f)^\alpha - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} + f \right) \log(1 + (1 - \alpha)f)^{1 - \alpha} \right) dx dp.$$

It is used to prove Proposition 4.3, stating that the solution converges strongly in L^1 to a space-homogeneous equilibrium solution of type (1.2) with the same mass, first velocity moments, and energy as the initial value.

An open problem is the behaviour of (2.5) beyond the anyon frame, i.e. for higher v -dimensions

under Haldane statistics. It seems likely that a close to equilibrium approach as in the classical case, could work with fairly general kernels B for close to equilibrium initial values f_0 with some regularity and strong decay conditions for large velocities. Any progress on the large data case in several space-dimensions under Haldane statistics would be quite interesting.

The proof in this paper uses the control of the Bony functional, only known for one space dimension. Due to the filling factor $F(f)$, the study is restricted to the anyon case, i.e. with $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The lack of Lipschitz continuity of $F(f)$ when f is in a neighborhood of $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ requires some care. Since the gain term vanishes when $f = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and the derivative becomes negative there, f should start decreasing before reaching this value. The proof that this takes place uniformly over phase-space and approximations, is based on a good control of $\int f(t, x, v) dv$ in the integration of the gain and loss parts of Q . That is a main topic in Section 3 together with the study of a family of approximating equations with large velocity cut-off. Based on those results and using the Lipschitz continuity of $F(\cdot)$ away from $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, in Section 4 contraction mapping techniques prove the well-posedness of the problem, when the initial value f_0 stays uniformly away from $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. That restriction is removed by a local initial value analysis, which only assumes Hölder continuity of $F(\cdot)$. Finally, the time-asymptotic behaviour is studied, using entropy and entropy dissipation control.

3 Approximations and control of mass density.

For any $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, denote by ψ_j , the cut-off function with

$$\psi_j(r) = 0 \quad \text{if } r > j \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_j(r) = 1 \quad \text{if } r \leq j,$$

and set

$$\chi_j(v, v_*, v', v'_*) = \psi_j(|v|) \psi_j(|v_*|) \psi_j(|v'|) \psi_j(|v'_*|).$$

Let F_j be defined on $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ by

$$F_j(y) = \frac{1 - \alpha y}{(\frac{1}{j} + 1 - \alpha y)^{1-\alpha}} (1 + (1 - \alpha)y)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Denote by Q_j the operator

$$Q_j(f)(v) := \int B(v, v_*, \omega) \chi_j(v, v_*, v', v'_*) \left(f' f'_* F_j(f) F_j(f_*) - f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) \right) dv_* d\omega,$$

and set $f_{0,j} = \min\{f_0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{j}\}$. The following lemma concerns a corresponding approximation of (2.5).

Lemma 3.1 *There is a unique solution $f_j \in C([0, +\infty[; L^1([0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\}))$ to*

$$\partial_t f_j + v_1 \partial_x f_j = Q_j(f_j), \quad f_j(0, \cdot, \cdot) = f_{0,j}, \tag{3.1}$$

with values in $]0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \eta_j]$, for some $\eta_j > 0$. It conserves mass, first moment and energy.

Proof of Lemma 3.1.

Let us prove that for any $T > 0$, there is a unique solution $f_j \in C([0, T]; L^1([0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\}))$ to (3.1). Let $T > 0$ be given. For $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$, let a mollifier φ_ϵ be defined by $\varphi_\epsilon(x, v) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \varphi(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, \frac{v}{\epsilon})$, where

$$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{support}(\varphi) \subset [0, 1] \times \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2; |v| \leq 1\}, \quad \varphi \geq 0, \quad \int \varphi(x, v) dx dv = 1.$$

Let $f_{0,j,\epsilon}$ be the restriction to $[0, 1] \times \{|v| \leq j\}$ of $(\min\{f_0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{j}\}) * \varphi_\epsilon$. Let $\tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}$ be defined on $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ by

$$\tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(y) = \frac{\epsilon + 1 - \alpha y}{(\frac{1}{j} + 1 - \alpha y)^{1-\alpha}} (1 + (1 - \alpha)y)^{1-\alpha}.$$

For a real number y , set

$$\bar{y} = 0 \text{ if } y < 0, \quad \bar{y} = y \text{ if } y \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha}], \quad \bar{y} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \text{ if } y > \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Let the operators $Q_{\epsilon,j}$ and $\bar{Q}_{\epsilon,j}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\epsilon,j}(f)(v) &= \int B(v, v_*, \omega) \chi_j \left(f' f'_* F_j(f) F_j(f_*) - f f_* \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(f') \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(f'_*) \right) dv_* d\omega, \\ \bar{Q}_{\epsilon,j}(f)(v) &= \int B(v, v_*, \omega) \chi_j \left(\bar{f}' \bar{f}'_* F_j(f) F_j(\bar{f}_*) - f \bar{f}_* \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}') \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}'_*) \right) dv_* d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

We shall first prove by contraction that for $t_0 > 0$ and small enough, there is a unique solution $f_{\epsilon,j} \in C([0, t_0] \times [0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\})$ to the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_{\epsilon,j} + v_1 \partial_x f_{\epsilon,j} + f_{\epsilon,j} \int B \chi_j \bar{f}_{\epsilon,j*} \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}'_{\epsilon,j}) \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}'_{\epsilon,j*}) dv_* d\omega &= F_j(f_{\epsilon,j}) \int B \chi_j \bar{f}'_{\epsilon,j} \bar{f}'_{\epsilon,j*} F_j(\bar{f}_{\epsilon,j*}) dv_* d\omega, \\ f_{\epsilon,j}(0, \cdot, \cdot) &= f_{0,j,\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Extending the approximation approach from [7] in the fermion case, let the map \mathcal{C} be defined on $C([0, T] \times [0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\})$ by $\mathcal{C}(f) = g$, where

$$\partial_t g + v_1 \partial_x g = \bar{Q}_{\epsilon,j}(f), \quad g(0, \cdot, \cdot) = f_{0,j,\epsilon}.$$

\mathcal{C} is a contraction in the sup-norm on $[0, T_1]$, for $T_1 > 0$ small enough, since the partial derivatives of the map

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 F_j(x_1) F_j(\bar{x}_2) - x_1 \bar{x}_2 \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{x}_3) \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{x}_4)$$

are bounded. The initial value is $f_{0,j,\epsilon} > 0$, and the right hand side of the first equation of (3.2) is initially positive. It follows by continuity for some $T_1 \geq t_0 > 0$, that $1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} f_{\epsilon,j} > 0$ for $t \leq t_0$ uniformly in x, v . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\epsilon,j}^\#(t, x, v) &:= f_{\epsilon,j}(t, x + tv_1, v) \geq f_{0,j,\epsilon}(x, v) e^{-\int_0^t \int B \chi_j (\bar{f}_* \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}') \tilde{F}_{\epsilon,j}(\bar{f}'_*))^\#(s) dv_* d\omega ds} \\ &\geq f_{0,j,\epsilon}(x, v) e^{-cj^2 t} > 0, \quad t \in [0, t_0], x \in [0, 1], |v| \leq j. \end{aligned}$$

An analogous result holds for $1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} f_{\epsilon,j}$. Thus $f_{\epsilon,j}$ locally maps into $]0, \frac{1}{\alpha}[$, and in (3.2) the bar can be removed from $f_{\epsilon,j}$, giving a local solution in $C([0, t_0] \times [0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\})$ to

$$\partial_t f_{\epsilon,j} + v_1 \partial_x f_{\epsilon,j} = Q_{\epsilon,j}(f_{\epsilon,j}), \quad f_{\epsilon,j}(0, \cdot, \cdot) = f_{0,j,\epsilon}. \quad (3.3)$$

The argument can be repeated and the solution can be continued up to $t = T$. Consequently, for some $\eta_j > 0$, there is a solution $f_{\epsilon,j} \in C([0, T] \times [0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\})$ to (3.3) with values in $]0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \eta_j]$. The family $(f_{\epsilon,j})_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$ is Cauchy. Indeed, for any $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$\int |f_{\epsilon,j} - f_{\epsilon',j}|(t, x, v) dx dv \leq \int |f_{0,j,\epsilon} - f_{0,j,\epsilon'}|(x, v) dx dv + c_1 \int_0^t \int |f_{\epsilon,j} - f_{\epsilon',j}|(t, x, v) dx dv + c_2 |\epsilon - \epsilon'|,$$

for some constants c_1 and c_2 depending on j and independent on (ϵ, ϵ') . Using a Gronwall argument and taking into account that $\int |f_{0,j,\epsilon} - f_{0,j,\epsilon'}|(x, v) dx dv \rightarrow 0$ when ϵ and ϵ' tend to zero, it follows that $(f_{\epsilon,j})_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$ is Cauchy in $L^1([0, T] \times [0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\})$. Denote by f_j its limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It is a solution to (3.1) and belongs to $C([0, T]; L^1([0, 1] \times \{v; |v| \leq j\}))$ since $Q_j(f_j)$ is bounded. If there were another nonnegative local solution \tilde{f}_j to (3.1), defined on $[0, T']$ for some $T \geq T' > 0$, then by the exponential form it would stay strictly below $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. The difference $f_j - \tilde{f}_j$ would for some constant $c_{T'}$ satisfy

$$\int |(f_j - \tilde{f}_j)^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv \leq c_{T'} \int_0^t |(f_j - \tilde{f}_j)^\sharp(s, x, v)| ds dx dv, \quad t \in [0, T'], \quad (f_j - \tilde{f}_j)^\sharp(0, x, v) = 0,$$

implying that the difference is identically zero on $[0, T']$. Thus f_j is the unique solution on $[0, T]$ to (3.1), and has its range contained in $]0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \eta_j]$. ■

The remaining part of this section is devoted to obtaining a uniform control with respect to $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of

$$\int \sup_{t>0, x \in [0,1]} f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) dv.$$

It relies on the following four lemmas, where the first is an estimate of the Bony functionals,

$$\bar{B}_j(t) := \int_0^1 \int |v - v_*|^2 B \chi_j f_j f_{j*} F_j(f'_j) F_j(f'_{j*}) dv dv_* d\omega dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.2

It holds that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \bar{B}_j(t) dt \leq c'_0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

with c'_0 only depending on $\int (1 + |v|^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2.

Denote f_j by f for simplicity. The lemma follows by an extension of the classical proof (cf [4], [6]), as follows. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int (v_1 - u_1)^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*)(s, x, v, v_*, \omega) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ & \leq c \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int (v_1 - u_1)^2 f f_*(s, x, v, v_*) dv dv_* dx ds, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $u_1 = \frac{\int v_1 f dv}{\int f dv}$. The right hand side can also be written

$$\frac{c}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int (v_1 - v_{*1})^2 f f_*(s, x, v, v_*) dv dv_* dx ds,$$

which is bounded by a constant depending only on $\int (1 + v^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$ (see [5] with a proof for smooth solutions but, adding a convolution argument, also holding for strong solutions).

Multiply equation (3.1) for f by v_1^2 , integrate and use that $\int v_1^2 Q_j(f) dv = \int (v_1 - u_1)^2 Q_j(f) dv$. It results

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int (v_1 - u_1)^2 B \chi_j f' f'_* F_j(f) F_j(f_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &= \int v_1^2 f(t, x, v) dx dv - \int v_1^2 f_0(x, v) dx dv + \int_0^t \int (v_1 - u_1)^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dx dv dv_* d\omega ds \\ &< c_0, \end{aligned}$$

where c_0 is a constant only depending on $\int (1 + v^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$.

After a collision transform the left hand side can be written

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int (v'_1 - u_1)^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int (c_1 - n_1[(v - v_*) \cdot n])^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dx dv dv_* d\omega ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = v_1 - u_1$. Expand $(c_1 - n_1[(v - v_*) \cdot n])^2$, and remove the positive term containing c_1^2 .

The term containing $n_1^2[(v - v_*) \cdot n]^2$ is estimated from below. When v' and v'_* are shifted, n is replaced by an orthogonal unit vector n_\perp , and the product $f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*)$ is unchanged. In \mathbb{R}^2 the ratio between the sum of the integrand factors $n_1^2[(v - v_*) \cdot n]^2 + n_{\perp 1}^2[(v - v_*) \cdot n_\perp]^2$ and $|v - v_*|^2$, is, outside of the angular cut-off, uniformly bounded from below by some constant $r > 0$. Indeed, if α denotes the angle between $\frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}$ and n ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1^2 \left[\frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot n \right]^2 + n_{\perp 1}^2 \left[\frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot n_\perp \right]^2 &= \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \alpha \\ &\geq \gamma'^2 \cos^2 \alpha + \gamma'(2 - \gamma') \sin^2 \alpha \\ &\geq \gamma'^2, \quad \gamma' < |\cos \theta| < 1 - \gamma', \quad \alpha \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{aligned}$$

This is where the condition $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is used.

That leads to the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int n_1^2[(v - v_*) \cdot n]^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\geq r \int_0^t \int |v - v_*|^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned} & r \int_0^t \int |v - v_*|^2 B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\leq c_0 + 2 \int_0^t \int (v_1 - u_1) n_1[(v - v_*) \cdot n] B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\leq 2c_0 + 2 \int_0^t \int (v_1(v_2 - v_{*2}) n_1 n_2) B \chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int u_1(v_1 - v_{*1})n_1^2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx \\ &= \int u_1(v_2 - v_{*2})n_1 n_2 \chi_j B f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by an exchange of the variables v and v_* . Moreover, exchanging first the variables v and v_* ,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t \int v_1(v_2 - v_{*2})n_1 n_2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int (v_1 - v_{*1})(v_2 - v_{*2})n_1 n_2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \int_0^t \int (v_1 - v_{*1})^2 n_1^2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\quad + \frac{r}{4} \int_0^t \int (v_2 - v_{*2})^2 n_2^2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{r} + \frac{r}{4} \int_0^t \int (v_2 - v_{*2})^2 n_2^2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^t \int |v - v_*|^2 B\chi_j f f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \leq c'_0,$$

with c'_0 only depending on $\int (1 + v^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$. That completes the proof of the lemma. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.3

There exists a constant c'_1 only depending on $\int (1 + |v|^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$, so that

$$\int \sup_{t \geq 0} f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv < c'_1, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3.

Denote f_j by f for simplicity. Since

$$f^\sharp(t, x, v) = f_0(x, v) + \int_0^t Q_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) ds,$$

it holds that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} f^\sharp(t, x, v) \leq f_0(x, v) + \int_0^{+\infty} Q_j^+(f)(t, x + tv_1, v) dt. \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.5) with respect to (x, v) and using Lemma 3.2, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \sup_{t \geq 0} f^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv &\leq \int f_0(x, v) dx dv + \int_0^{+\infty} \int B \chi_j \\
&\quad f(t, x + tv_1, v') f(t, x + tv_1, v'_*) F_j(f)(t, x + tv_1, v) F_j(f)(t, x + tv_1, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx dt \\
&\leq \int f_0(x, v) dx dv + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int B \chi_j |v - v_*|^2 \\
&\quad f(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) F_j(f)(t, x, v) F_j(f)(t, x, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx dt \\
&\leq \int f_0(x, v) dx dv + \frac{c'_0}{\gamma^2}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4

Given $\delta_1 > 0$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$, only depending on $\int (1 + |v|^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$, so that

$$\sup_{x_0 \in [0, 1]} \int_{|x - x_0| < \delta_2} \sup_{t \leq t_0} f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv < \delta_1, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4.

Denote f_j by f for simplicity. It holds,

$$\begin{aligned}
f^\sharp(t, x, v) &= f_0(x, v) + \int_0^t Q_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) ds \\
&\leq f_0(x, v) + \int_0^t Q_j^+(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{t \leq t_0} f^\sharp(t, x, v) \leq f_0(x, v) + \int_0^{t_0} Q_j^+(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) ds.$$

Integrating with respect to (x, v) and using Lemmas 3.2-3, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x - x_0| < \delta_2} \sup_{t \leq t_0} f^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv \\
&\leq \int_{|x - x_0| < \delta_2} f_0(x, v) dx dv \\
&+ \int_0^{t_0} \int B \chi_j f(s, x + sv_1, v') f(s, x + sv_1, v'_*) F_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) F_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\
&\leq \int_{|x - x_0| < \delta_2} f_0(x, v) dx dv \\
&+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|v - v_*| \geq \lambda} B \chi_j |v - v_*|^2 f(s, x, v') f(s, x, v'_*) F_j(f)(s, x, v) F_j(f)(s, x, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\
&+ \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|v - v_*| < \lambda} B \chi_j f(s, x, v) f(s, x, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds \\
&\leq \int_{|x - x_0| < \delta_2} f_0(x, v) dx dv + \frac{c'_0}{\lambda^2} + t_0 c c'_1 \lambda^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Suitably choosing δ_2 , λ , and t_0 in that order and depending on δ_1 , the lemma follows. \blacksquare

The previous lemmas imply an exponential growth for the v -integral of $f_j^\#$ with the growth coefficients only depending on $\int(1 + |v|^2)f_0(x, v)dx dv$, as will now be proved.

Lemma 3.5

The solution f_j of (3.1) satisfies

$$\int \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} f_j^\#(t, x, v) dv \leq c_1 e^{c_2 T}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad T > 0,$$

where c_1 and c_2 only depend on $\int(1 + |v|^2)f_0(x, v)dx dv$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \leq t} f^\#(s, x, v) &\leq f_0(x, v) + \int_0^t Q_j^+(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) ds = f_0(x, v) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int B\chi_j f(s, x + sv_1, v') f(s, x + sv_1, v'_*) F_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v) F_j(f)(s, x + sv_1, v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds \\ &\leq f_0(x, v) + cA, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$A = \int_0^t \int B\chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds.$$

For ω outside of the angular cutoff, let n be the unit vector in the direction $v - v'$, and n_\perp the orthogonal unit vector in the direction $v - v'_*$. With e_1 a unit vector in the x -direction,

$$\max(|n \cdot e_1|, |n_\perp \cdot e_1|) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For $\delta_2 > 0$ that will be fixed later, split A into $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \int_0^t \int_{|n \cdot e_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} B\chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds, \\ A_2 &= \int_0^t \int_{|n \cdot e_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t|v_1 - v'_1| < \delta_2} B\chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds, \\ A_3 &= \int_0^t \int_{|n_\perp \cdot e_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} B\chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds, \\ A_4 &= \int_0^t \int_{|n_\perp \cdot e_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t|v_1 - v'_1| < \delta_2} B\chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*) dv_* d\omega ds. \end{aligned}$$

In A_1 and A_2 , bound the factor $\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1}), v'_*)$ by its supremum over $x \in [0, 1]$, and make the change of variables

$$s \rightarrow y = x + s(v_1 - v'_1).$$

For any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, denote by $I(a, b)$ the interval with end points a and b . It holds that

$$A_1 \leq \int_{t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} \frac{B\chi_j}{|v_1 - v'_1|} \left(\int_{y \in I(x, x + t(v_1 - v'_1))} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v') dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v'_*) dv_* d\omega,$$

and

$$A_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\gamma'} \int_{|n \cdot e_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t|v_1 - v'_1| < \delta_2} B\chi_j \left(\int_{|y-x| < \delta_2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v') dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v'_*) dv_* d\omega.$$

Then, performing the change of variables $(v, v_*, \omega) \rightarrow (v', v'_*, -\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} A_1 dv \\ & \leq \int_{t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} \frac{B\chi_j}{|v_1 - v'_1|} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left(\int_{y \in I(x, x+t(v'_1 - v_1))} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} A_1 dv \\ & \leq \int_{t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} \frac{B\chi_j}{|v_1 - v'_1|} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left(\int_{y \in I(x, x+E(t(v'_1 - v_1)+1))} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega \\ & = \int_{t|v_1 - v'_1| > \delta_2} \frac{B\chi_j}{|v_1 - v'_1|} (E(t(v'_1 - v_1) + 1)) \left(\int_0^1 \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega \\ & \leq t(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2}) \int B\chi_j \left(\int_0^1 \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy \right) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv dv_* d\omega \\ & \leq c \frac{t}{\delta_2} \int \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy dv \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

Here $E(x)$ is the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $E(x) \leq x < E(x) + 1$. Apply Lemma 3.3, so that

$$\int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} A_1 dv \leq \frac{cc'_1 t}{\delta_2} \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_*. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, performing the change of variables $(v, v_*, \omega) \rightarrow (v'_*, v', -\omega)$,

$$\int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} A_2 dv \leq c \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left(\int_{|y-x| < \delta_2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v_*) dy dv_* \right) \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v) dv.$$

Given δ_1 , apply Lemma 3.4 with the corresponding δ_2 and t_0 and consider $t \leq t_0$, so that for some constant c ,

$$\int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} A_2 dv \leq c\delta_1 \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0, t_0] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v) dv. \quad (3.7)$$

The terms A_3 and A_4 are treated similarly, with the change of variables $s \rightarrow y = x + s(v_1 - v'_{*1})$. Using (3.6)-(3.7) and similar bounds obtained for A_3 and A_4 in (3.6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sup_{(s, x) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(s, x, v) dv & \leq \int \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} f_0(x, v) dv + \frac{tc'_1}{\delta_2} \int \sup_{(s, x) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(s, x, v) dv \\ & \quad + c_9 \delta_1 \int \sup_{(s, x) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]} f^\#(s, x, v) dv, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c_9 . The choice of δ_2 and t_0 are now made as given by Lemma 3.4 for $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2c_9}$. And so,

$$\int \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(s,x,v) dv \leq c \int \sup_x f_0(x,v) dv + \frac{tcc'_1}{\delta_2} \int \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(s,x,v) dv$$

for $t \leq t_0$. Since c'_1 and t_0 only depend on $\int (1+|v|^2) f_0(x,v) dx dv$, it follows that $\int \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(s,x,v) dv$ is at most exponentially increasing,

$$\int \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(s,x,v) dv \leq c_1 e^{c_2 t},$$

where c_1 and c_2 only depend on $\int (1+|v|^2) f_0(x,v) dx dv$. ■

4 Proof of the main theorem and the asymptotic behavior.

The following two preliminary lemmas are needed for the control of large velocities.

Lemma 4.1

Given $t > 0$, there is a constant $c_t > 0$ such that the solutions of (3.1) satisfy

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int_0^1 \int_{|v| > \lambda} |v| \sup_{s \leq t} f_j^\#(s,x,v) dv dx \leq \frac{c_t}{\lambda}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1.

For convenience j is dropped from the notation f_j . As in Section 3,

$$\sup_{s \leq t} f^\#(s,x,v) \leq f_0(x,v) + \int_0^t Q_j^+(f)(s,x+sv_1,v) ds.$$

Integration with respect to (x,v) for $|v| > \lambda$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{|v| > \lambda} |v| \sup_{s \leq t} f^\#(s,x,v) dv dx &\leq \int \int_{|v| > \lambda} |v| f_0(x,v) dv dx + \int_0^t \int_{|v| > \lambda} B \chi_j \\ &|v| f(s,x+sv_1,v') f(s,x+sv_1,v'_*) F(f)(s,x+sv_1,v) F(f)(s,x+sv_1,v_*) dv dv_* d\omega dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the last integral, either $|v'|$ or $|v'_*|$ is the largest and larger than $\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$. The two cases are symmetric, and we discuss the case $|v'| \geq |v'_*|$. After a translation in x , estimate the integrand by $c|v'| f^\#(s,x,v') \sup_{x \in [0,1], s \leq t} f^\#(s,x,v'_*)$. The change of variables $(v,v_*,\omega) \rightarrow (v',v'_*,-\omega)$, the integration over $(s,x,v,v_*,\omega) \in [0,t] \times [0,1] \times \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2; |v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ and Lemma 3.5 give the bound

$$\frac{c}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^t \int |v|^2 f^\#(s,x,v) dx dv ds \right) \left(\int \sup_{s \leq t, x \in [0,1]} f^\#(s,x,v_*) dv_* \right) \leq \frac{cte^{c_2 t}}{\lambda} \int |v|^2 f_0(x,v) dx dv.$$

The lemma follows. ■

Lemma 4.2

Given $t > 0$, there is a constant $c'_t > 0$, such that the solutions f_j of (3.1) satisfy

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \int_{|v| > \lambda} \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f_j^\#(s, x, v) dv \leq \frac{c'_t}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2.

Take $\lambda > 2$. As previously,

$$\int_{|v| > \lambda} \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(s, x, v) dv \leq \int_{|v| > \lambda} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} f_0(x, v) dv + C, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$C = c \int_{|v| > \lambda} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^t \int B \chi_j f^\#(s, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v') f^\#(s, x + s(v_1 - v'_1), v'_*) dv dv_* d\omega ds.$$

For v', v'_* outside of the angular cutoff, let n be the unit vector in the direction $v - v'$, and n_\perp the orthogonal unit vector in the direction $v - v'_*$. Let e_1 be a unit vector in the x -direction.

Split C as $C = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6} C_i$, where C_1 (resp. C_2, C_3) refers to integrating on

$$\{(v_*, \omega); \quad n \cdot e_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad |v'| \geq |v'_*|\},$$

$$(\text{resp. } \{(v_*, \omega); n \cdot e_1 \geq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}}, |v'| \leq |v'_*|\}, \quad \{(v_*, \omega); n \cdot e_1 \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}}], |v'| \leq |v'_*|\}),$$

and similar definitions for C_i , $4 \leq i \leq 6$, with n replaced by n_\perp . By symmetry, C_i , $4 \leq i \leq 6$ can be treated as C_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, so we only discuss the control of C_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

By the change of variables $(v, v_*, \omega) \rightarrow (v', v'_*, -\omega)$ and noticing that $|v'| \geq \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$ in the domain of integration of C_1 , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\leq \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^t \int_{n \cdot e_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} B \chi_j f^\#(s, x + s(v'_1 - v_1), v) f^\#(s, x + s(v'_1 - v_1), v_*) dv_* d\omega ds dv \\ &\leq \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^t \int_{n \cdot e_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} B \chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, x + s(v'_1 - v_1), v) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_* d\omega ds dv. \end{aligned}$$

With the change of variables $s \rightarrow y = x + s(v'_1 - v_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\leq \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{n \cdot e_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \int_{y \in I(x, x + t(v'_1 - v_1))} \frac{B \chi_j}{|v'_1 - v_1|} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dy dv_* d\omega dv \\ &\leq \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \int_{n \cdot e_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{E(t(v'_1 - v_1)) + 1}{|v'_1 - v_1|} \int_0^1 B \chi_j \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dy dv_* d\omega dv \\ &\leq c(t+1) \int_0^1 \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy dv \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_* \\ &\leq \frac{c(t+1)}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \int_{|v| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} |v| \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy dv \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 4.1,

$$C_1 \leq o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)(t+1)c_1 e^{c_2 t}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &\leq \int_{|v'| > \lambda, |v_*| > |v|, n \cdot e_1 \geq \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \frac{\chi_j B}{|v'_1 - v_1|} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{y \in I(x, x+t(v'_1 - v_1))} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) \\ &\quad \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dy dv dv_* d\omega \\ &\leq c(t+1) \int_{n \cdot e_1 \geq \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}}} d\omega \int \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v) dy dv \int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v_*) dv_* \leq \frac{c(t+1)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &\leq \int_{|v_*| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq n_\perp \cdot e_1 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v) \frac{\chi_j B}{|v'_1 - v_{*1}|} \\ &\quad \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left(\int_{y \in I(x, x+t(v'_1 - v_{*1}))} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v_*) dy \right) dv dv_* d\omega \\ &\leq c(t+1) \sqrt{\lambda} \left(\int \sup_{(\tau, X) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} f^\#(\tau, X, v) dv \right) \left(\int_{|v_*| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v_*) dy dv_* \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5,

$$C_3 \leq c(t+1) \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{|v_*| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} f^\#(\tau, y, v_*) dy dv_*,$$

and so by Lemma 4.1,

$$C_3 \leq c(t+1) \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{c_T}{\lambda}$$

The lemma follows. ■

Using the previous lemmas, the results in Section 3, and an initial layer analysis, the main result of the paper follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.1

For any $T > 0$, we shall prove the convergence in $C([0, T]; L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2))$ of the sequence (f_j) to a solution f of (2.5). Denote by

$$\tilde{\nu}_j(f) := \int B \chi_j f' f'_* F_j(f_*) dv_* d\omega, \quad \nu_j(f) := \int B \chi_j f_* F_j(f') F_j(f'_*) dv_* d\omega,$$

so that

$$Q_j(f) = F_j(f) \tilde{\nu}_j(f) - f \nu_j(f).$$

Consider

$$\nu_j(f_j)^\#(t, x, v) = \int B \chi_j f(t, x + tv_1, v_*) F_j(f(t, x + tv_1, v')) F_j(f(t, x + tv_1, v'_*)) dv_* d\omega.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that for ω outside the integration cut-off, the measure of the set

$$Z_{(j,t,x,v,\omega)} := \{v_*; f(t, x + tv_1, v') > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad f(t, x + tv_1, v'_*) > \frac{1}{2}\} \quad (4.2)$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to (x, v, ω) , $t \leq T$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Take j_T so large that πj_T^2 is at least eight times this uniform bound. Notice that here j_T only depends on T and $\int (1 + v^2) f_0(x, v) dx dv$. Using the exponential form for the solution, one gets using Lemma 3.5 that

$$f_j^\#(t, x, v_*) \geq c'_T f_0(x, v_*) > 0, \quad j \geq j_T, \quad t \leq T,$$

with c'_T independent of $j \geq j_T$. It follows that

$$\nu_j(f_j)^\#(t, x, v) > c_{2T} > 0, \quad (t, x, v) \in [0, T] \times [0, 1] \times \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2; |v| \leq j\}, \quad (4.3)$$

uniformly with respect to $j \geq j_T$, and with c_{2T} only depending on T and f_0 .

Moreover, using the $v_* \rightarrow v'$ change of variables on half the unit circle and $v_* \rightarrow v'_*$ on the other half unit circle, together with Lemma 3.5, one obtains that for some constant $\tilde{c}_T > 0$,

$$\tilde{\nu}_j^\#(f_j)(t, x, v) \leq \tilde{c}_T, \quad j \geq j_T, \quad (t, x, v) \in [0, T] \times [0, 1] \times \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2; |v| \leq j\}.$$

The functions defined on $]0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ by $x \rightarrow \frac{F_j(x)}{x}$ are uniformly bounded with respect to j by $x \rightarrow \alpha^{\alpha-1} \frac{(1-\alpha x)^\alpha}{x}$, that is continuous and decreasing to zero at $x = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Hence there is $\mu \in]0, \frac{1}{\alpha}[$ such that

$$x \in]\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}] \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{F_j(x)}{x} < \frac{c_{2T}}{2\tilde{c}_T}, \quad j \geq j_T.$$

Consequently, for $j \geq j_T$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^\#(t, x, v) \in]\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}] &\Rightarrow D_t f_j^\#(t, x, v) = (F_j(f_j^\#) \tilde{\nu}_j^\# - \frac{1}{2} f_j^\# \nu_j^\#)(t, x, v) - \frac{1}{2} f_j^\# \nu_j^\#(t, x, v) \\ &< -\frac{1}{2} f_j^\# \nu_j^\#(t, x, v) \\ &< -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \gamma\right) c_{2T} := -b_1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a maximum time $t_1 = \frac{\gamma}{b_1}$ for $f_j^\#$ to reach $\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu$ from an initial value $f_0(x, v) \in]\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$. On this time interval $D_t f_j^\# \leq -b_1$. If $t_1 \geq T$, then at $t = T$ the value of $f_j^\#$ is bounded from above by $\frac{1}{\alpha} - b_1 T := \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu'$ with $0 < \mu' \leq \mu$. Take $t_m = \min(t_1, T)$, and from now on $\mu = t_m b_1$. For any (x, v) , if $f_j(0, x, v) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu$ were to reach $\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu$ at (t, x, v) with $t \leq t_m$, then $D_t f_j^\#(t, x, v) \leq -b_1$, which excludes such a possibility. It follows that $f_j \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu$ everywhere for $t \in [t_m, T]$. The previous estimates leading to the definition of t_m are independent of $j \geq j_T$.

Let us prove that (f_j) converges when $j \rightarrow \infty$. We shall prove that given $\beta > 0$, there exists $a \geq \max\{1, j_T\}$, so that

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \int |g_j(t, x, p)| dx dv < \beta, \quad j > a, \quad (4.4)$$

where $g_j = f_j - f_a$. The function g_j satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t g_j + p_1 \partial_x g_j = & \int (\chi_j - \chi_a) B \left(f'_j f'_{j*} F_j(f_j) F_j(f_{j*}) - f_j f_{j*} F_j(f'_j) F_j(f'_{j*}) \right) dv_* d\omega \\
& + \int \chi_a B (f'_j f'_{j*} - f'_a f'_{a*}) F_j(f_j) F_j(f_{j*}) dv_* d\omega \\
& - \int \chi_a B (f_j f_{j*} - f_a f_{a*}) F_j(f'_j) F_j(f'_{j*}) dv_* d\omega \\
& + \int \chi_a B f'_a f'_{a*} \left(F_j(f_{j*}) (F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)) + F_a(f_a) (F_j(f_{j*}) - F_j(f_{a*})) \right) dv_* d\omega \\
& + \int \chi_a B f'_a f'_{a*} \left(F_j(f_{j*}) (F_j(f_a) - F_a(f_a)) + F_a(f_a) (F_j(f_{a*}) - F_a(f_{a*})) \right) dv_* d\omega \\
& - \int \chi_a B f_a f_{a*} \left(F_j(f'_{j*}) (F_j(f'_j) - F_j(f'_a)) + F_a(f'_a) (F_j(f'_{j*}) - F_j(f'_{a*})) \right) dv_* d\omega \\
& - \int \chi_a B f_a f_{a*} \left(F_j(f'_{j*}) (F_j(f'_a) - F_a(f'_a)) + F_a(f'_a) (F_j(f'_{a*}) - F_a(f'_{a*})) \right) dv_* d\omega. \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int (\chi_j - \chi_a) B \left(f'_j f'_{j*} F_j(f_j) F_j(f_{j*}) + f_j f_{j*} F_j(f'_j) F_j(f'_{j*}) \right) dx dv dv_* d\omega & \leq c \int_{|v| > \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}} f_j(t, x, v) dx dv \\
& \leq \frac{c}{a^2} \text{ by the conservation of energy of } f_j,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \chi_a B |f_j f_{j*} - f_a f_{a*}| F_j(f'_j) F_j(f'_{j*}) dx dv dv_* d\omega & \leq c \left(\int \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) dv \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} f_a^\sharp(t, x, v) dv \right) \int |(f_j^\sharp - f_a^\sharp)(t, x, v)| dx dv \\
& \leq c \int |(f_j^\sharp - f_a^\sharp)(t, x, v)| dx dv \quad \text{by Lemma 3.5.}
\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \chi_a B \left(f'_a f'_{a*} F_j(f_{j*}) |F_j(f_a) - F_a(f_a)| \right)^\sharp dx dv dv_* d\omega & = \int \chi_a B \left(f'_a f'_{a*} F_j(f_{j*}) (1 - \alpha f_a) \left| \frac{1}{j} + 1 - \alpha f_a \right|^{\alpha-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1 - \alpha f_a \right)^{\alpha-1} |1 + (1 - \alpha) f_a|^{1-\alpha} \right) dx dv dv_* d\omega
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, this integral restricted to the set where $1 - \alpha f_a(t, x, v) \leq \frac{2}{a}$, is bounded by $C \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$. For the remaining domain of integration where $1 - \alpha f_a(t, x, v) \geq \frac{2}{a}$, it holds $|F_j(f_a) - F_a(f_a)| \leq C(1 - \alpha f_a)^\alpha \left| \left(\frac{1}{j(1 - \alpha f_a)} + 1 \right)^{\alpha-1} - \left(\frac{1}{a(1 - \alpha f_a)} + 1 \right)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq \frac{C}{a^\alpha}$. And so the integral restricted to this set is also bounded by $C \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$. Finally

$$\int \chi_a B \left(f'_a f'_{a*} F_j(f_{j*}) |F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)| \right)^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv dv_* d\omega \leq c \int |F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)|^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv.$$

Split the (x, v) -domain of integration of the latest integral into

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \{(x, v); (f_j^\sharp(t, x, v), f_a^\sharp(t, x, v)) \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu]^2\}, \\ A_2 &:= \{(x, v); (f_j^\sharp(t, x, v), f_a^\sharp(t, x, v)) \in [\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}]^2\}, \\ A_3 &:= \{(x, v); f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) \in [\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}], f_a^\sharp(t, x, v) \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu]\}, \\ A'_3 &:= \{(x, v); f_a^\sharp(t, x, v) \in [\frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu, \frac{1}{\alpha}], f_j^\sharp(t, x, v) \in [0, \frac{1}{\alpha} - \mu]\}. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} |F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)|^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv &\leq c(\alpha\mu)^{\alpha-1} \int_{A_1} |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv, \\ \int_{A_2} |F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)|^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv &\leq ct^{\alpha-1} \int_{A_2} |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv, \\ \int_{A_3} |F_j(f_j) - F_j(f_a)|^\sharp(t, x, v) dx dv &\leq c((\alpha\mu)^{\alpha-1} + t^{\alpha-1}) \int_{A_3} |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms in (4.5) are of the same types as the ones just estimated. Consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv \leq \frac{c}{a^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + c(1 + t^{\alpha-1}) \left(\int |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv \right).$$

And so,

$$\int |g_j^\sharp(t, x, v)| dx dv \leq \left(\int_{|v|>a} f_0(x, v) dx dv + \frac{cT}{a^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right) e^{c(T + \frac{T\alpha}{\alpha})},$$

which tends to zero when $a \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly w.r.t. $j \geq a$. This ends the proof of the existence of a solution f to (2.5).

One can similarly prove that the solution is unique and stable. The energy is non-increasing. The conservation of mass and first momentum of f follow from the boundedness of the total energy.

Energy conservation will follow if the energy is non-decreasing. Taking $\psi_\epsilon = \frac{|v|^2}{1+\epsilon|v|^2}$ as approximation for $|v|^2$, it is enough to bound

$$\int Q(f, f(t, x, v)) \psi_\epsilon dx dv = \int B \psi_\epsilon \left(f' f'_* F(f) F(f_*) - f f_* F(f') F(f'_*) \right) dx dv dv_* d\omega$$

from below by zero in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now [\[13\]](#)

$$\begin{aligned} \int Q(f, f) \psi_\epsilon dx dv &= \frac{1}{2} \int B f f_* F(f') F(f'_*) \left(\psi_\epsilon(v') + \psi_\epsilon(v'_*) - \psi_\epsilon(v) - \psi_\epsilon(v_*) \right) dx dv dv_* d\omega \\ &\geq - \int B f f_* F(f') F(f'_*) \frac{\epsilon |v|^2 |v_*|^2}{(1 + \epsilon |v|^2)(1 + \epsilon |v_*|^2)} dx dv dv_* d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

The previous line, with the integral taken over a bounded set in (v, v_*) , converges to zero when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In integrating over $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 \geq 2\lambda^2$, there is symmetry between the subset of the domain with $|v|^2 > \lambda^2$ and the one with $|v_*|^2 > \lambda^2$. We discuss the first sub-domain, for which the integral in the last line is bounded from below by

$$-c \int dx \int dv_* |v_*|^2 f(t, x, v_*) \int_{|v| \geq \lambda} dv \int d\omega B \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t, x \in [0, 1]} f^\sharp(s, x, v) \geq -c \int_{|v| \geq \lambda} dv \sup_{0 \leq t, x \in [0, 1]} f^\sharp(s, x, v).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that the right hand side tends to zero when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that the energy is non-decreasing, and bounded from below by its initial value. That completes the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

An entropy connected to (1.3) is $\int s(f)dx dv$, where

$$s(f) := f \log f + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - f\right) \log(1 - \alpha f)^\alpha - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} + f\right) \log(1 + (1 - \alpha)f)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proposition 4.3 *The solution f to (2.5) has a long-time equilibrium limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t, x, v) = f_\infty(v)$ in $L^1([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ that is an anyon equilibrium distribution of type (1.2) with the same mass, first v -moments, and energy as f_0 .*

Proof of Propostion 4.3.

Writing the equation (2.4) in mild form gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f^\#(t+h) - f^\#(t)| dx dv &= \int \left| \int_t^{t+h} Q(f)^\# ds \right| dx dv \leq 2 \int_t^{t+h} ds \int_0^1 dx \int dv dv_* d\omega B f f_* F(f') F(f'_*) \\ &\leq c \int \int_t^{t+h} ds \int_0^1 dx \int dv dv_* d\omega |v - v_*|^2 B f f_* F(f') F(f'_*) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 3.2 was used in the last step. This proves the convergence of $f(t)$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a limit f_∞ with the same mass and first v -moments as f_0 . Energy is conserved by the earlier argument. Also f_∞ is a solution to (2.5) with collision operator zero, hence dose not depend on x .

The solution f of Theorems 2.1 has its range in $[0, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ and conserve mass and energy. This implies that $f \log f(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in L^1([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\int f(t, x, v) \log f(t, x, v) dx dv$ uniformly bounded in time. A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} f \log f + f \log \alpha &\leq f \log f + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - f\right) \log(1 - \alpha f)^\alpha \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} + f\right) \log(1 + (1 - \alpha)f)^{1-\alpha} \leq f \log f. \end{aligned}$$

And so the entropy $\int s(f)(t, x, v) dx dv$ of f is uniformly bounded in time. The entropy-entropy dissipation equation holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \int s(f)(t) &= \int s(f_0) - \frac{1}{4} \int ds \int BF(f)F(f_*)F(f')F(f'_*) \left(\frac{f'f'_*}{F(f')F(f'_*)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{ff_*}{F(f)F(f_*)} \right) \left(\log \frac{f'f'_*}{F(f')F(f'_*)} - \log \frac{ff_*}{F(f)F(f_*)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with the entropy dissipation integral convergent on $[0, \infty[\times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2$. This implies for the limit f_∞ that $\frac{f_\infty}{F(f_\infty)} = e^{-\frac{(v-v_0)^2 - \mu}{T}}$, which can be written $f_\infty = (w(e^{\frac{(v-v_0)^2 - \mu}{T}}) + \alpha)^{-1}$ with $w(\zeta)^\alpha(1 + w(\zeta))^{1-\alpha} = \zeta \equiv e^{\frac{(v-v_0)^2 - \mu}{T}}$. That is the equilibrium equation for anyons [19]. And so f_∞ is an equilibrium solution for anyons. \blacksquare

References

- [1] L. Arkeryd, A quantum Boltzmann equation for Haldane statistics and hard forces; the space-homogeneous initial value problem, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 298 (2010), 573-583.
- [2] R. K. Bhaduri, R. S. Bhalerao, M. V. Murthy, Haldane exclusion statistics and the Boltzmann equation, *Jour. Stat. Phys.*, 82 (1996), 1659-1668.
- [3] R. K. Bhaduri, M. V. Murthy, M. Brack, Fermionic ground state at unitarity and Haldane exclusion statistics, *Jour. Phys. B*, 41 (2008), 115301.
- [4] J.-M. Bony, Solutions globales bornées pour les modèles discrets de l'équation de Boltzmann, en dimension 1 d'espace, in: *Journées "Équations aux dérivées partielles"*, Exp. XVI, École Polytech. (1987), Palaiseau, 1-10.
- [5] C. Cercignani, A remarkable estimate for the solution of the Boltzmann equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 5 (5) (1992), 59-62.
- [6] C. Cercignani, Errata: Weak solutions of the Boltzmann equation and energy conservation, *Appl. Math. Letters* 8 (1995), 95-99.
- [7] J. Dolbeault, Kinetic models and quantum effects: a modified Boltzmann equation for Fermi-Dirac particles, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 127 (1994), 101-131.
- [8] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, M. Valle, Homogeneous Boltzmann equation in quantum relativistic kinetic theory, *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns.*, Monograph 04 (2003).
- [9] F. D. Haldane, Fractional statistics in arbitrary dimensions: a generalization of the Pauli principle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67 (1991), 937-940.
- [10] J. M. Leinaas, J. Myrheim, On the theory of identical particles, *Nuovo Cim. B N.1* (1977), 1-23.
- [11] P. L. Lions, Compactness in Boltzmann's equation via Fourier integral operators and applications I, III, *Jour. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 34 (1994), 391-427, 539-584.
- [12] X. Lu, A modified Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles: isotropic solutions and long time behaviour, *Jour. Stat. Phys.*, 98 (2000), 1335-1394.
- [13] X. Lu, On isotropic distributional solutions to the Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles, *Jour. Stat. Phys.* 116 (2004), 1597-1649.
- [14] X. Lu, The Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles: velocity concentration and convergence to equilibrium, *Jour. Stat. Phys.* 119 (2005), 1027-1067.
- [15] Lu, X., The Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles: condensation in finite time, *J. Stat. Phys.* 150 (2013), 1138-1176.

- [16] L. W. Nordheim, On the kinetic methods in the new statistics and its applications in the electron theory of conductivity, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 119 (1928), 689-698.
- [17] G. Royat, Etude de l'équation d'Uehling-Uhlenbeck: existence de solutions proches de Planckiennes et étude numérique, Thèse, Marseille 2010.
- [18] Uehling, E.A., Uhlenbeck, G.E., 'Transport phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac gases', Phys. Rev. 43 (1933), 552-561.
- [19] Y. S. Wu, Statistical distribution for generalized ideal gas of fractional-statistics particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994), 922-925.