

A Note on Randomized Element-wise Matrix Sparsification

Abhisek Kundu ^{*} Petros Drineas [†]

Abstract

Given a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we present a randomized algorithm that sparsifies \mathbf{A} by retaining some of its elements by sampling them according to a distribution that depends on both the square and the absolute value of the entries. We combine the ideas of [4, 1] and provide an elementary proof of the approximation accuracy of our algorithm following [4] without the truncation step.

1 Introduction

Element-wise matrix sparsification was pioneered in [2, 3] and was later improved in [4, 1]. More specifically, the original work of [2, 3] sampled entries from a matrix with probabilities depending on the square of an entry for “large” entries and on the absolute value of an entry for “small” entries. [4] proposed to zero out the small entries and then used sampling with respect to the squares of the remaining entries in order to sparsify the matrix; an elegant proof was possible via a matrix-Bernstein inequality. Very recently, [1] argued that the zeroing out step could be avoided by sampling with respect to the absolute values of the matrix entries. Theorem 1 combines the ideas of [4, 1] to provide an elementary proof that bypasses the zeroing out step. More specifically, we avoid zeroing out the small elements of the input matrix by constructing a sampling probability distribution that depends on both the absolute values *as well as* the squares of the entries of the input matrix.

2 Our Result

We present our main algorithm (Algorithm 1) and the related Theorem 1, which is our main quality-of-approximation result for Algorithm 1.

2.1 Notation

We use bold capital letters (e.g., \mathbf{X}) to denote matrices and bold lowercase letters (e.g., \mathbf{x}) to denote column vectors. Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We use $\mathbb{E}(X)$ to denote the expectation of a random variable X ; when \mathbf{X} is a random matrix, $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})$ denotes the element-wise expectation of each entry of \mathbf{X} . For a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the Frobenius norm $\|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ is defined as $\|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^2$, and the spectral norm $\|\mathbf{X}\|_2$ is defined as $\|\mathbf{X}\|_2 = \max_{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}\|_2$. For symmetric matrices \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} we say that $\mathbf{B} \succeq \mathbf{A}$ if and only if $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. \mathbf{I}_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $\ln x$ denotes the natural logarithm of x . Finally, we use \mathbf{e}_i to denote standard basis vectors whose dimensionalities will be clear from the context.

^{*}Department of Computer Science, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, kundua2@rpi.edu.

[†]Department of Computer Science, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, drinep@rpi.edu.

2.2 Algorithm

Our main algorithm (Algorithm 1) randomly samples (in independent, identically distributed trials) s elements of a given matrix \mathbf{X} according to a probability distribution $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$ over the elements of \mathbf{X} .

Algorithm 1 Element-wise Matrix Sparsification Algorithm

- 1: **Input:** $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$ such that $p_{ij} \geq 0$ (for all i, j) and $\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} p_{ij} = 1$, integer $s > 0$.
 - 2: **For** $t = 1 \dots s$ (i.i.d. trials with replacement) **randomly sample** pairs of indices $(i_t, j_t) \in \{1 \dots m\} \times \{1 \dots n\}$ with $\mathbb{P}[(i_t, j_t) = (i, j)] = p_{ij}$.
 - 3: **Output:** set of sampled pairs of indices $\Omega = \{(i_t, j_t), t = 1 \dots s\}$.
 - 4: **Sampling operator:** $\mathcal{S}_\Omega : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\Omega(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{t=1}^s \frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t}}{p_{i_t j_t}} \mathbf{e}_{i_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t}^T$.
-

Theorem 1 Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be an accuracy parameter. Let $\mathcal{S}_\Omega : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be the sampling operator of the element-wise sampling algorithm (Algorithm 1) and assume that the sampling probabilities $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{m,n}$ satisfy

$$p_{ij} \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}^2}{\|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2} + \frac{|\mathbf{X}_{ij}|}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\mathbf{X}_{ij}|} \right) \quad (1)$$

for all i, j and some $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\Omega(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X}\|_2 \leq \epsilon,$$

if either (i) $\epsilon \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ and $s \geq \frac{6 \max\{m, n\} \ln((m+n)/\delta)}{\beta \epsilon^2} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2$,
or (ii) $\epsilon > \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ and $s \geq \frac{6 \max\{m, n\} \ln((m+n)/\delta)}{\beta \epsilon} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$.

We now restate the above bound in terms of the stable rank of the input matrix. Recall that the stable rank is defined as $\mathbf{sr}(\mathbf{X}) := \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 / \|\mathbf{X}\|_2^2$ and is upper bounded by the rank of \mathbf{X} .

Corollary 1 Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be an accuracy parameter such that $\mathbf{sr}(\mathbf{X}) \geq \epsilon^2$, and let $\mathcal{S}_\Omega(\mathbf{X})$ be the sparse sketch of \mathbf{X} constructed via Algorithm 1 with the p_{ij} 's satisfying the bounds of eqn. (1). If

$$s \geq \frac{6 \max\{m, n\} \ln((m+n)/\delta)}{\beta \epsilon^2} \mathbf{sr}(\mathbf{X}),$$

then, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathcal{S}_\Omega(\mathbf{X})\|_2 \leq \epsilon \|\mathbf{X}\|_2.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we provide a proof of Theorem 1 following the lines of [4]. First, we rephrase the non-commutative matrix-valued Bernstein bound theorem of [5] using our notation.

Theorem 2 [Theorem 3.2 of [5]] Let $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2, \dots, \mathbf{M}_s$ be independent, zero-mean random matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Suppose $\max_{t \in [s]} \{ \|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T)\|_2, \|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{M}_t^T \mathbf{M}_t)\|_2 \} \leq \rho^2$ and $\|\mathbf{M}_t\|_2 \leq \gamma$ for all $t \in [s]$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{s} \sum_{t=1}^s \mathbf{M}_t \right\|_2 \leq \epsilon$$

holds, subject to a failure probability of at most

$$(m+n) \exp\left(\frac{-s\epsilon^2/2}{\rho^2 + \gamma\epsilon/3}\right).$$

For all $t \in [s]$ we define the matrix $\mathbf{M}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_t = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t}}{p_{i_t j_t}} \mathbf{e}_{i_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t}^T - \mathbf{X}. \quad (2)$$

It now follows that

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{t=1}^s \mathbf{M}_t = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{t=1}^s \left[\frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t}}{p_{i_t j_t}} \mathbf{e}_{i_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t}^T - \mathbf{X} \right] = S_\Omega(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X}.$$

Let $\mathbf{0}_{m \times n}$ denote the $m \times n$ all-zeros matrix and note that $\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T$. The following derivation is immediate (for all $t \in [s]$):

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{M}_t) = \mathbb{E}(S_\Omega(\mathbf{X})) - \mathbf{X} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} p_{ij} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}}{p_{ij}} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T - \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}_{m \times n}.$$

The next lemma bounds $\|\mathbf{M}_t\|_2$ for all $t \in [s]$.

Lemma 1 Using our notation, $\|\mathbf{M}_t\|_2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{mn}}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ for all $t \in [s]$.

Proof: Notice that sampling according to the element-wise probabilities of eqn. (1) satisfies

$$p_{ij} \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{X}_{ij}|}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\mathbf{X}_{ij}|}.$$

We can use the above inequality to get

$$\|\mathbf{M}_t\|_2 = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t}}{p_{i_t j_t}} \mathbf{e}_{i_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t}^T - \mathbf{X} \right\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbf{X}_{ij}| + \|\mathbf{X}\|_2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{mn}}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F.$$

In the above we used $\beta \leq 1$, $\|\mathbf{X}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$, and (from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\mathbf{X}_{ij}| \leq \sqrt{mn \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^2} = \sqrt{mn} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F.$$

Thus, we get a new bound for Lemma 2 of [4], bypassing the need for a truncation step. \diamond

Next we bound the spectral norm of the expectation of $\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T$. The spectral norm of the expectation of $\mathbf{M}_t^T \mathbf{M}_t$ can be bounded using a similar analysis.

Lemma 2 Using our notation, $\|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T)\|_2 \leq \frac{2n}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2$ for all $t \in [s]$.

Proof: Recall that $\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T$ and $\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} p_{ij} = 1$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t} \mathbf{e}_{i_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t}^T - \mathbf{X}}{p_{i_t j_t}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{i_t j_t} \mathbf{e}_{j_t} \mathbf{e}_{i_t}^T - \mathbf{X}^T}{p_{i_t j_t}} \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} p_{ij} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T - \mathbf{X}}{p_{ij}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i^T - \mathbf{X}^T}{p_{ij}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}^2 \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i^T}{p_{ij}} \right) - \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \right) \mathbf{X}^T - \mathbf{X} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{X}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_i^T \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} p_{ij} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}^2 \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^T}{p_{ij}} \right) - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that sampling according to the element-wise sampling probabilities of eqn. (1) satisfies $p_{ij} \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}^2}{\|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2}$ and so we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T] = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}^2 \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^T}{p_{ij}} \right) - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T \preceq \frac{2 \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2}{\beta} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^T - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T = \frac{2n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2}{\beta} \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T.$$

Using Weyl's inequality we get

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_t \mathbf{M}_t^T]\|_2 \leq \max \left\{ \|\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T\|_2^2, \frac{2n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2}{\beta} \|\mathbf{I}_m\|_2 \right\} = \frac{2n}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2.$$

We can now apply Theorem 2 with $\rho^2 = \frac{2n}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2$ and $\gamma = \frac{3\sqrt{mn}}{\beta} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ to conclude that $\|\mathcal{S}_\Omega(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ holds subject to a failure probability at most \diamond

$$(m+n) \exp \left(\frac{-s\beta\epsilon^2}{4n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + 2\epsilon\sqrt{mn} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F} \right).$$

Setting the failure probability equal to δ , we conclude that it suffices to set s as follows:

$$s \geq \frac{1}{\beta\epsilon^2} (4n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + 2\epsilon\sqrt{mn} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F) \ln \left(\frac{m+n}{\delta} \right).$$

We now consider two cases. First, if $\epsilon \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$,

$$\begin{aligned}
4n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + 2\epsilon\sqrt{mn} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F &\leq \max\{m, n\} (4 \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + 2\epsilon \|\mathbf{X}\|_F) \\
&\leq 6 \max\{m, n\} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which immediately proves the first case of Theorem 1. Similarly, if $\epsilon > \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$,

$$4n \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + 2\epsilon\sqrt{mn} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \leq 6\epsilon \max\{m, n\} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$$

and the second case of Theorem 1 follows.

References

- [1] D. Achlioptas, Z. Karnin, and E. Liberty. Matrix entry-wise sampling: Simple is best. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2013.
- [2] D. Achlioptas and F. McSherry. Fast computation of low rank matrix approximations. In *Proceedings of Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 611–618, 2001.
- [3] D. Achlioptas and F. McSherry. Fast computation of low-rank matrix approximations. *Journal of the ACM*, page 54(2):9, 2007.
- [4] P. Drineas and A. Zouzias. A note on element-wise matrix sparsification via a matrix-valued Bernstein inequality. In *Information Processing Letters*, pages 385–389, 111(8), 2011.
- [5] B. Recht. A simpler approach to matrix completion. In *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, pages 3413–3430, 12, 2011.