

K-THEORY OF BOUTET DE MONVEL ALGEBRAS WITH CLASSICAL SG-SYMBOLS ON THE HALF SPACE.

PEDRO T. P. LOPES AND SEVERINO T. MELO

ABSTRACT. We compute the K -groups of the C^* -algebra of bounded operators generated by the Boutet de Monvel operators with classical SG-symbols of order $(0,0)$ and type 0 on \mathbb{R}_+^n , as defined by Schrohe, Kapanadze and Schulze. In order to adapt the techniques used in Melo, Nest, Schick and Schrohe's work on the K-theory of Boutet de Monvel's algebra on compact manifolds, we regard the symbols as functions defined on the radial compactifications of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. This allows us to give useful descriptions of the kernel and the image of the continuous extension of the boundary principal symbol map, which defines a C^* -algebra homomorphism. We are then able to compute the K -groups of the algebra using the standard K-theory six-term cyclic exact sequence associated to that homomorphism.

Keywords: Pseudodifferential operators, K -Theory of C^* algebras, elliptic boundary value problems.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Basic definitions. | 2 |
| 2. Boutet de Monvel calculus in the classical SG-framework. | 4 |
| 3. Principal Boundary Value Symbol. | 8 |
| 4. The kernel of $\bar{\gamma}$. | 14 |
| 5. The image of $\bar{\gamma}$. | 17 |
| 6. The K-Theory of the algebra. | 20 |
| Acknowledgements | 27 |
| References | 27 |

Boutet de Monvel introduced his algebra of pseudodifferential boundary value problems in 1971 [2]. It is essentially the smallest algebra that contains the differential elliptic boundary value problems as well as their parametrices. In [2], using the Atiyah-Singer index Theorem [1], he proved that, in the case of compact manifolds with boundary, the Fredholm index for the elliptic elements of his algebra also factors through the K-theory of the cotangent bundle of the interior. Later that fact was used by several authors [6, 25] to give formulas for the index in terms of the symbols.

In recent years, C^* -algebra K -theory techniques allowed the extension and simplification of some results obtained by Boutet de Monvel [14, 7, 15, 16, 17]. In the context of noncompact manifolds,

Date: July 1, 2018.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19K56, 46L80, 35S15.

The authors were supported by CNPq, Brazil.

growth estimates naturally have to be imposed on the symbols. Using what became known as SG-estimates (whose definition goes back at least to Cordes [3] and Parenti [23]), Schrohe was able to construct a Boutet de Monvel algebra on non-compact manifolds and to obtain results about the spectral invariance of those operators [28]. The SG-Boutet de Monvel algebra for classical symbols (i.e., with symbols possessing asymptotic expansions in homogeneous components) was studied more recently by Kapanadze and Schulze with operator-valued symbols techniques [11, 12].

Our study of the K-theory of the classical SG-Boutet de Monvel algebra on the half-space combines techniques used in the study of the K-theory of Boutet de Monvel's algebra on compact manifolds [14, 15] with the geometric characterization of SG-symbols via the radial compactification, as explained by Melrose [20], and used by Nicola [21] to compute the K-theory of the SG-algebra on \mathbb{R}^n . Our strategy is to find explicit descriptions of the image and the kernel of the C^* -homomorphism defined by the continuous extension of the (operator-valued) boundary principal symbol. As in [5, 14, 18], it then turns out that the analysis of the standard K-theory six-term cyclic exact sequence associated to that homomorphism suffices for the computation of the K-groups.

Many authors regard the leading terms in the two asymptotic expansions (with respect to x and with respect to ξ) as two different principal symbols. Not only for K-theory computations, but for the study of the Fredholm property as well, it is very convenient, and it is the point of view adopted here, to regard those two symbols as only one function defined on the infinity points of a certain compactification of the cotangent bundle of the underlying manifold, which is the half-space in our case. Similarly, we also regard the boundary principal symbol as only one (operator-valued) function defined on the infinity points of a compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. This function is obtained by pointwise conjugation of the usual boundary principal symbols by a unitary operator valued function.

The proofs of many of the estimates needed in this paper are straightforward adaptations of published results, and would be considered standard by experts. We omit them, quoting works where similar proofs can be found. A very detailed exposition of our results can also be found in the first author's PhD thesis [13].

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS.

In this section, we recall some of the main properties of the SG-calculus. For proofs we refer to [29, 8, 22, 23, 11, 4].

We denote by $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. The set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of nonnegative integers is denoted by \mathbb{N}_0 . If \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ are Hilbert spaces, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ denotes the set of bounded operators from \mathcal{H} to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of compact operators on \mathcal{H} . As usual, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space of smooth functions whose derivatives are rapidly decreasing. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of restrictions of Schwartz functions to Ω . The main examples are $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n)$, where \mathbb{R}_+^n is the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_n > 0\}$.

Let us now recall the radial compactification, as introduced by Melrose [20, section 6.3], see also [19]. Let $\mathbb{S}_+^n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |z| = 1 \text{ and } z_{n+1} \geq 0\}$. The radial compactification is obtained regarding the map

$$RC : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+^n,$$

$$RC(z) = \left(\frac{z}{\langle z \rangle}, \frac{1}{\langle z \rangle} \right),$$

as an embedding.

Using this map we define:

Definition 1. (*Classical SG-symbols*) The space of classical SG-symbols of order $(0, 0)$, denoted by $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, is the space of functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$a \circ (RC^{-1} \times RC^{-1}) : (\mathbb{S}_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \times (\mathbb{S}_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

can be extended, uniquely, to a function $\tilde{a} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ - we note that $\mathbb{S}_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ is the interior of the manifold with boundary \mathbb{S}_+^n . Let $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then a classical SG-symbol of order $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a function $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(x, \xi) \mapsto \langle x \rangle^{-\nu} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\mu} a(x, \xi) \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The set consisting of classical symbols of order (μ, ν) is denoted by $S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$

It is necessary to make two remarks about this definition. The first one is that, as a consequence of the above definition, every function $a \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy the following estimates

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{\nu-|\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{\mu-|\alpha|},$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ are positive constants that depend only on a , α and β . A smooth function that satisfies these estimates is called a SG-symbol. The set of SG-symbols is denoted by $S^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is clear that $S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

As a second remark, we recall that a function a is smooth on the manifold with corners $\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n$ if, and only if, a can be extended to a smooth function in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$. Using the variables $t = \frac{1}{|x|}$, $\Omega_1 = \frac{x}{|x|}$ and $s = \frac{1}{|\xi|}$, $\Omega_2 = \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}$, we see that $a \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ iff it has a smooth extension for $t = 0$ and $s = 0$, when it is written in terms of the variables (x, s, Ω_2) , (t, Ω_1, ξ) and $(t, \Omega_1, s, \Omega_2)$. We can then take Taylor series in the variables t and s and conclude that the following asymptotic expansions for symbols of order $(0, 0)$ hold:

(i) $a \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{(-k),.}$, where $a_{(-k),.}$ is homogeneous of order $-k$ in the ξ variable. In this case $(x, \xi) \mapsto |\xi|^k a_{(-k),.}(x, \xi)$ determines a unique function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ given by

$$(z, \omega) \in \mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \mapsto a_{(-k),.}(RC^{-1}(z), \omega).$$

(ii) $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{.,(-j)}$, where $a_{.,(-j)}$ is homogeneous of order $-j$ in the x variable. In this case $(x, \xi) \mapsto |x|^j a_{.,(-j)}(x, \xi)$ determines a unique function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$, similarly as above.

(iii) $a_{(-k),.} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{(-k),(-j)}$, $a_{.,(-j)} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{(-k),(-j)}$, where $a_{(-k),(-j)}$ is homogeneous of order $-k$ in the ξ variable and homogeneous of order $-j$ in the x variable. In this case $(x, \xi) \mapsto |x|^j |\xi|^k a_{(-k),(-j)}(x, \xi)$ determines a unique function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, similarly as above.

Conversely, any smooth function that has such an asymptotic expansion defines a classical SG-symbol, as can be shown using Borel Theorem.

In particular, $a_{(0),\cdot}$ can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and $a_{\cdot,(0)}$ can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$. We can even associate the pair $(a_{(0),\cdot}, a_{\cdot,(0)})$ in an obvious way to a unique function

$$\sigma(a) \in C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)),$$

where $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n) = \mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n$. We are making the identification $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^n) = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For our proposes, it is convenient to call $\sigma(a)$ the principal symbol of a .

It is not hard to prove that if $\sigma(a)$ vanishes, then $a \in S_{cl}^{-1,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We can make the same definitions for symbols that assume values in Banach spaces. If E is a complex Banach space, then we define the spaces $S^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, E)$ and $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, E)$ in the same way as before, but using $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n, E)$, instead of $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$.¹

To each symbol $S^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we can define a pseudodifferential operator.

Definition 2. Let $a \in S^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. A pseudodifferential operator $A = op(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with symbol a is an operator such that, for all $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function Au is the function which assumes at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the value

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$.

The assignment of a symbol to its operator is injective. This allows us to identify a symbol with its operator. Hence we call classical those operators whose symbols are classical.

As in the usual pseudodifferential theory, the zero order operators, that is, the ones whose symbols $a \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are such that $\mu \leq 0$ and $\nu \leq 0$, extend continuously to bounded operators $A = op(a) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover if $\mu < 0$ and $\nu < 0$, then A is a compact operator [22, Theorem 1.4.2].

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of [21, Proposition 3.2].

Theorem 3. Let $A = op(a)$, $a \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi|=1} |a_{(0),\cdot}(x, \xi)|, \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x|=1} |a_{\cdot,(0)}(x, \xi)| \right\},$$

As a consequence, we conclude that if $a \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the operator $A = op(a) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is Fredholm if, and only if, $\sigma(a)$ never vanishes.

2. BOUTET DE MONVEL CALCULUS IN THE CLASSICAL SG-FRAMEWORK.

In this section we recall the main properties of the Boutet de Monvel calculus with classical SG-symbols. A full description of this calculus can be found in the work of Schrohe [28] for symbols that are not necessarily classical and in the book and article of Kapanadze and Schulze [11, 12].

¹Sometimes the concept of “operator-valued symbol” involves the action of groups of unitary operators on the symbols [11, 27, 29]. In our definition of $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, E)$, however, we do not consider any action. Hence, for instance, if F and G are Banach spaces, $a \in S^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(F, G))$ if, and only if, $\left\| \partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(F, G)} \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-|\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$.

We use the following notation: if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $x = (x', x_n)$, where $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We are interested in the symbols that satisfy the so-called transmission property, in the nomenclature of Rempel and Schulze [25]. We give this definition only for zero-order operators. In what follows \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \int e^{ix_n \xi_n} \varphi(x_n) dx_n$, $r^+ : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ the restriction operator, $e^+ : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the extension operator to zero on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $e^- : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_-^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the extension operator to zero on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$, where $\mathbb{R}_-^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_n < 0\}$. Finally we denote by \mathcal{H}_0 the set $\mathcal{F}(e^+(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n))) \oplus \mathcal{F}(e^-(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_-^n))) \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

We say that a symbol of order $(0, 0)$, $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, satisfies the transmission property if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$((x', \xi', \xi_n) \mapsto (\partial_{x_n}^k p)(x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n)) \in S^{0,-k}(\mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^{n-1}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{0,\xi_n},$$

where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed projective tensor product.

We denote the class of symbols that satisfy the transmission property by $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$.

As an example, let $\tilde{a} \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, χ and ψ be two functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We define $a(x, \xi) := \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \psi\left(\frac{\xi_n}{\langle \xi' \rangle}\right) \tilde{a}(x', \xi')$. Hence $a \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$.

The transmission property has a very important implication. If $a \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then $r^+ op(a) e^+(u) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$: that is, not only it is smooth on \mathbb{R}_+^n , which is true as the operator $op(a)$ is pseudo-local, but also the function has a smooth extension to a Schwartz function on all \mathbb{R}^n . Hence $r^+ op(a) e^+$ is an operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

A classical SG-Boutet de Monvel operator is an operator of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \end{array},$$

where $P_+ = r^+ P e^+$, with P a classical SG-pseudodifferential operator that satisfies the transmission property. T is called a trace operator. The class of all trace operators contains the trace operators of classical boundary value problems. Finally the other terms make this into an algebra closed under adjoints, for zero order operators, and that contains the parametrices of the elliptic operators. The entry P_+ is called the pseudodifferential part, G is called a singular Green operator, K is called a Poisson operator, T is called a Trace operator and S is called the pseudodifferential operator of the border. ²

Using order reducing operators, see for instance [28], for index proposes we can restrict the study to Boutet de Monvel Operators of order $(0, 0)$ and type zero. We give precise definitions only in the case where the type is zero.

²Actually, we could define operators from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^N \oplus \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^M$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{N'} \oplus \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^{M'}$, for all N, N', M and M' that belong to \mathbb{N}_0 . Nevertheless, for the study of the K -Theory only the case $N = N' = M = M' = 1$ matters. (see [14, Section 1.5])

Our notation is similar to Grubb's [9, 10]. We will always denote by \mathcal{S}_+ and \mathcal{S}_{++} the spaces $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, respectively. Without changing notation, we now consider RC also defined on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with values in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} . Thus we regard $\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$ as a compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Definition 4. The space $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ is the set of functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

1) For each fixed (x', ξ') the function $(x_n \mapsto f(x', x_n, \xi')) \in \mathcal{S}_+$.

2) Let $f^{[0]} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ be the function $f^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi') = \langle \xi' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(x', \langle \xi' \rangle^{-1} x_n, \xi')$. Then the function $f^{[0]} \circ (RC^{-1} \times Id \times RC^{-1}) : (\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) \times (\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_+$ given by

$$(z, w) \mapsto (x_n \mapsto f(RC^{-1}(z), x_n, RC^{-1}(w)))$$

can be extended to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$.

Let $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The space $S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ consists of functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

$$\left((x', x_n, \xi') \mapsto \langle x' \rangle^{-\nu} \langle \xi' \rangle^{-\mu} f(x', x_n, \xi') \right) \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+).$$

Definition 5. The space $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$ consists of functions $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

1) For each fixed (x', ξ') the function $((x_n, y_n) \mapsto g(x', x_n, y_n, \xi')) \in \mathcal{S}_{++}$.

2) Let $g^{[0]} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ be the function

$$g^{[0]}(x', x_n, y_n, \xi') = \langle \xi' \rangle^{-1} g(x', \langle \xi' \rangle^{-1} x_n, \langle \xi' \rangle^{-1} y_n, \xi').$$

Then the function $g^{[0]} \circ (RC^{-1} \times Id \times RC^{-1}) : (\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) \times (\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{++}$ given by

$$(z, w) \mapsto ((x_n, y_n) \mapsto g(RC^{-1}(z), x_n, y_n, RC^{-1}(w)))$$

can be extended to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$.

Let $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The space $S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$ consists of functions $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

$$\left((x', x_n, y_n, \xi') \mapsto \langle x' \rangle^{-\nu} \langle \xi' \rangle^{-\mu} g(x', x_n, y_n, \xi') \right) \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++}).$$

Definition 6. (i) A classical SG-trace operator $op(t) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of order $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and type 0 is an operator of the form

$$op(t)u(x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix'\xi'} \int_0^\infty t(x', x_n, \xi') \hat{u}(\xi', x_n) dx_n d\xi',$$

where $t \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $\hat{u}(\xi', x_n) = \int e^{-ix'\xi'} u(x', x_n) dx'$. The set of these operators is denoted by $\mathcal{T}^{(\mu,\nu),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

(ii) A classical SG-Poisson operator $op(k) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ of order $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is an operator defined by

$$op(k)u(x', x_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix'\xi'} k(x', x_n, \xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi',$$

where $k \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $\hat{u}(\xi') = \int e^{-ix'\xi'} u(x') dx'$. The set of these operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}^{(\mu,\nu)}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

(iii) A classical SG-singular Green operator $op(g) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ of order $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and type 0 is an operator of the form

$$op(g)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix'\xi'} \int_0^\infty g(x', x_n, y_n, \xi') \hat{u}(\xi', y_n) dy_n d\xi',$$

where $g \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$ and $\hat{u}(\xi', x_n) = \int e^{-ix'\xi'} u(x', x_n) dx'$. The set of these operators is denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu,\nu),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

(iv) A classical SG-pseudodifferential operator on the boundary $op(s) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of order $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $s \in S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. This means that

$$op(s)u(x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix'\xi'} s(x', \xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi',$$

where as usual $\hat{u}(\xi') := \int e^{-ix'\xi'} u(x') dx'$.

(v) The pseudodifferential part $P_+ : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ of a Boutet de Monvel operator of order $(0, 0)$ acting on \mathbb{R}_+^n is an operator of the form $P_+ = r^+ op(p) e^+$, where p is a symbol that belongs to $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, that is, it satisfies the transmission property.

Theorem 7. [28, Section 4] *The operators above have the following continuous extensions:*

- (i) *If $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, then P_+ has a continuous extension $P_+ : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.*
- (ii) *If $G \in \mathcal{G}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then G has a continuous extension $G : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.*
- (iii) *If $T \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then T has a continuous extension $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.*
- (iv) *If $K \in \mathcal{K}^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then K has a continuous extension $K : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.*

In order to understand the regularizing operators, we use the following definitions and propositions - see [28].

Definition 8. 1) We say that the pseudodifferential part P_+ is regularizing if $P = op(p)$, where

$$p \in \cap_{\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = S^{-\infty, -\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

$P_+ : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is regularizing iff it is an integral operator whose kernel belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

2) We say that a singular Green operator G is regularizing of type 0 if $G = op(g)$, where

$$g \in \cap_{\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++}).$$

A singular Green operator of type zero $G : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is regularizing iff it is an integral operator whose kernel belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n)$. The regularizing singular Green operators of type zero are therefore equal to the regularizing pseudodifferential operators P_+ .

3) We say that a trace operator T is regularizing of type 0 if $T = op(t)$, where

$$t \in \cap_{\mu,\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{cl}^{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+).$$

A trace operator of type zero $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ is regularizing iff it is an integral operator whose kernel belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

4) We say that a Poisson operator K is regularizing if $K = op(k)$, where

$$k \in \cap_{\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{cl}^{\mu, \nu}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+).$$

A Poisson operator $K : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is regularizing iff it is an integral operator whose kernel belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

The following theorem says that away from the boundary $\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}; x_n = 0\}$, the singular Green, the trace and the Poisson operators are regularizing.

Theorem 9. [28, Theorem 2.10] *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function that assumes the value 1 in a neighborhood of zero. Let us define the function $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by*

$$\Phi(x) := 1 - \phi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right).$$

Let G, T and K be a singular Green operator, a trace operator and a Poisson operator of order $(0, 0)$ and type 0 - when a type is defined, respectively. Then ΦK is a regularizing Poisson operator, $T\Phi$ is a regularizing trace operator of type zero, $G\Phi$ and ΦG are regularizing singular Green operator of type zero.

Definition 10. The set of classical SG-Boutet de Monvel operators of order $(0, 0)$ and type 0, denoted by $\mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, is the set of operators of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \end{array},$$

where $P = r^+ Op(p)e^+$, with $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $S = Op(s)$, with $s \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

We say that A is regularizing of type 0 if all the terms in the matrix are regularizing.

We note that $\mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is closed under composition and taking formal adjoints. Hence it is an $*$ -algebra. Furthermore its elements extend to bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

3. PRINCIPAL BOUNDARY VALUE SYMBOL.

As in the case of classical SG-symbols, explained in section 1, we say that a function f belongs to $S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ iff $f^{[0]}$ has a smooth extension to the boundary of the compactification $\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$. We can then take Taylor expansions in the variables $t = \frac{1}{|x'|}$ and $s = \frac{1}{|\xi' |}$ (the other variables are $\Omega_1 = \frac{x'}{|x'|}$ and $\Omega_2 = \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}$) to obtain the following asymptotic expansions:

(i) $f^{[0]} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{(-k),.}^{[0]}$, where $f_{(-k),.}^{[0]}$ is homogeneous of order $-k$ in the ξ' variable. Using the radial compactification, the function

$$(x', \xi') \mapsto \left(x_n \mapsto |\xi'|^k f_{(-k),.}^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi') \right)$$

can be identified with the function

$$(z, \omega) \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \mapsto \left(x_n \mapsto f_{(-k),.}^{[0]}(RC^{-1}(z), x_n, \omega) \right)$$

in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}, \mathcal{S}_+)$.

(ii) $f^{[0]} \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty f_{.,(-j)}^{[0]}$, where $f_{.,(-j)}^{[0]}$ is homogeneous of order $-j$ in the x' variable. Using the radial compactification the function

$$(x', \xi') \mapsto \left(x_n \mapsto |x'|^j f_{.,(-j)}^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi') \right)$$

can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ similarly as in (i).

(iii) $f_{(-k),.}^{[0]} \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty f_{(-k),(-j)}^{[0]}$, $f_{.,(-j)}^{[0]} \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_{(-k),(-j)}^{[0]}$, where $f_{(-k),(-j)}^{[0]}$ is homogeneous of order $-k$ in the ξ' variable and homogeneous of order $-j$ in the x' variable. Using the radial compactification the function

$$(x', \xi') \mapsto \left(x_n \mapsto |x'|^j |\xi'|^k f_{(-k),(-j)}^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi') \right)$$

can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ similarly as in (i).

In particular, $f_{(0),.}^{[0]}$ can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $f_{.,(0)}^{[0]}$ can be identified to a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$. We can even associate the pair $(f_{(0),.}^{[0]}, f_{.,(0)}^{[0]})$ in a obvious way to a unique function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{S}_+)$, where $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}) = \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \cup \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$. We are making the identification $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}) = \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. We consider two complex-valued functions $f_{(0),.}$ and $f_{.,(0)}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, respectively, by

$$(3.1) \quad f_{(0),.}(x', x_n, \xi') := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(x', \lambda^{-1} x_n, \lambda \xi') = |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{(0),.}^{[0]}(x', |\xi'| x_n, \xi')$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad f_{.,(0)}(x', x_n, \xi') := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda x', x_n, \xi') = \langle \xi' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{.,(0)}^{[0]}(x', \langle \xi' \rangle x_n, \xi').$$

In precisely the same way, we define $g_{(0),.}$ and $g_{.,(0)}$ for a function $g \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$. We only need to replace S_+ by S_{++} , $\langle \xi' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $\langle \xi' \rangle$ and $|\xi'|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $|\xi'|$.

Definition 11. Let $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, $g \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$, $k \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$, $t \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $s \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Then to each classical SG-Boutet de Monvel operator

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} op(p)_+ + op(g) & op(k) \\ op(t) & op(s) \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) & & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \\ & \oplus & \rightarrow \oplus \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) & & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \end{array},$$

we assign two functions: $A_{(0),.} : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})$ and $A_{.,(0)} : (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$A_{(0),.}(x', \xi') := \begin{pmatrix} p_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)_+ + g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) & k_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) \\ t_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) & s_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi') := \begin{pmatrix} p_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)_+ + g_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D) & k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D) \\ t_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D) & s_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D) \end{pmatrix},$$

where:

(i) the operator $p_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D)_+ : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by

$$p_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D)_+ u(x_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix_n \xi_n} p_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', \xi_n) \widehat{e^+ u}(\xi_n) d\xi_n,$$

where $\widehat{e^+ u}(\xi_n) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ix_n \xi_n} u(x_n) dx_n$;

(ii) the operator $g_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by

$$g_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) u(x_n) = \int_0^{\infty} g_{(0)\cdot}(x', x_n, y_n, \xi') u(y_n) dy_n;$$

(iii) the operator $k_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by

$$k_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) c = k_{(0)\cdot}(x', x_n, \xi') c;$$

(iv) the operator $t_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$t_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) u(x_n) = \int_0^{\infty} t_{(0)\cdot}(x', x_n, \xi') u(x_n) dx_n;$$

(v) the operator $s_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$s_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi', D) c = s_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi') c.$$

For $p_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)_+$, $g_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$, $t_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$, $k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$ and $s_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$ hold similar definitions.

The assignment $A \mapsto (A_{(0)\cdot}, A_{\cdot,(0)})$ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

Using the arguments of Rempel and Schulze [25, Section 2.3.4], it is straightforward to prove the following theorem, analogous to our Theorem 3. It is important to remark that if $P_+ + G = P'_+ + G'$ then the principal symbols of P and P' are equal for $x_n > 0$ (details can be found in [13]).

Theorem 12. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be given by*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix},$$

Let $A_{(0)\cdot}$ and $A_{\cdot,(0)}$ be functions defined as above. Let $p_{(0)\cdot}$ and $p_{\cdot,(0)}$ be the first terms of the asymptotic expansion of the symbol of P . Then the following estimate holds:

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, |\xi|=1} |p_{(0)\cdot}(x, \xi)|, \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, |x|=1} |p_{\cdot,(0)}(x, \xi)|, \right. \\ \left. \sup_{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |\xi'|=1} \|A_{(0)\cdot}(x', \xi')\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})}, \sup_{\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |\xi'|=1} \|A_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi')\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})} \right\}.$$

Let us show now how to assign to each pair $A_{(0),\cdot}$ and $A_{\cdot,(0)}$ a unique function that belongs to $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}))$, where $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}) = \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \cup \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$.

We start with the Poisson operator. The others are treated very similarly. Let us consider a function $k \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and let $op(k) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be a Poisson operator.

The functions $k_{(0),\cdot}$ and $k_{\cdot,(0)}$, see equations (3.1) and (3.2), define, for each (x', ξ') , functions $k_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, as we have already seen. Let us now define the unitary operator $\kappa_\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by $\kappa_\lambda u(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(\lambda x)$. Hence it is easy to check that

$$\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} k_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)c = |\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} k_{(0),\cdot}(x', |\xi'|^{-1} x_n, \xi')c = k_{(0),\cdot}^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi')c$$

and

$$\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)c = \langle \xi' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \langle \xi' \rangle^{-1} x_n, \xi')c = k_{\cdot,(0)}^{[0]}(x', x_n, \xi')c.$$

We know that $k_{(0),\cdot}^{[0]}$ and $k_{\cdot,(0)}^{[0]}$ can be seen as a unique function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{S}_+)$. As $\mathcal{S}_+ \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ continuously and, therefore, $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{S}_+)$ has a continuous inclusion in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$, we conclude that $\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} k_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)$ and $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$ determine in a unique way a function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$.

Putting everything together we obtain the following result:

Proposition 13. (i) Let $k \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $op(k)$ be a Poisson operator. Let $k_{(0),\cdot}$ and $k_{\cdot,(0)}$ be as defined in equations (3.1) and (3.2). Hence $\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} k_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)$ and $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} k_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$ determine, in a unique way, a function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$. Furthermore, the closure of all functions constructed in this way is equal to $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$

(ii) Let $t \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ and $op(t)$ be a trace operator. Let $t_{(0),\cdot}$ and $t_{\cdot,(0)}$ be as defined in equations (3.1) and (3.2). Hence $t_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|}$ and $t_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}$ determine, in a unique way, a function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C}))$. Furthermore the closure of all functions constructed in this way is equal to $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C}))$

(iii) Let $g \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$ and $op(g)$ be a Green operator. Let $g_{(0),\cdot}$ and $g_{\cdot,(0)}$ be as defined in equations (3.1) and (3.2). Hence $\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} g_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|}$ and $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} g_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}$ determine, in a unique way, a function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$. Furthermore the closure of all functions constructed in this way is equal to $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$

Proof. It remains only to prove the statement about the closure of these sets of functions. We will prove only (ii) as (i) and (iii) follow similarly. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and consider the operator $\langle \varphi | \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})$, where we are using the Dirac notation: $\langle \varphi | (u) = \int \varphi(x)u(x)dx$. Let $p \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, then we can define a function $t \in \mathcal{S}_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_+)$ by $t(x', x_n, \xi') = \langle \xi' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} p(x', \xi') \varphi(\langle \xi' \rangle x_n)$.

This function is such that $t_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} = p_{\cdot,(0)}(x', \xi') \langle \varphi |$ and $t_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|} = p_{(0),\cdot}(x', \xi') \langle \varphi |$. The set of these functions is clearly equal to $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \otimes C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ But this set is dense on $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C}))$ - we are making the identification $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. In (iii) we just observe that the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ appear because operators with kernel in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ form a dense set of compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. \square

Also for the pseudodifferential operator there is a similar result.

Proposition 14. *Let $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$. Then the function $(x', \xi') \mapsto \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}}$ belongs to*

$$S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))).$$

Proof. First we observe that it is an SG-symbol. We know that

$$\left(\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}} \right) u(x_n) = p(x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle D)_{+} u(x_n)$$

and we note that

$$\partial_{x'}^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha (p(x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n)) = \text{sum } a(\xi') \xi_n^k \left(\partial_{x'}^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\xi_n}^k p \right) (x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n),$$

where $a \in S^{-l,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ is a symbol independent of x' , $|\alpha'| + k + l = |\alpha|$. Finally we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| a(\xi') \xi_n^k \left(\partial_{x'}^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\xi_n}^k p \right) (x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle D)_{+} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} = \\ & \sup_{\xi_n} \left| a(\xi') \xi_n^k \left(\partial_{x'}^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{\xi_n}^k p \right) (x', 0, \xi', \langle \xi' \rangle \xi_n) \right| \leq C \langle \xi' \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \langle x' \rangle^{-|\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Toeplitz operator property in the first equality. That this is true is a consequence of the fact that p satisfies the transmission property, see, for instance, [10, Lemma 3.1.5].

The verification that this symbol is classical follows from the observation that it has the required asymptotic expansion in the variables x' and ξ' , as the symbols p and $\xi' \mapsto \langle \xi' \rangle$ are both classical. We can, for instance, compute the first term of the asymptotic expansion in ξ' by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}} \right)_{(0),.} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\langle \lambda \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(x', 0, \lambda \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \lambda \xi' \rangle}} = \\ & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} p(x', 0, \lambda \xi', \langle \lambda \xi' \rangle D) = p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', |\xi'| D) = \kappa_{|\xi'|}^{-1} p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{|\xi'|}}. \end{aligned}$$

and in x' by

$$\left(\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}} \right)_{.,(0)} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p(\lambda x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}} = \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}}.$$

□

Corollary 15. *The functions $\kappa_{|\xi'|}^{-1} p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{|\xi'|}}$ and $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}^{-1} p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_{+\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}}$ define, in a unique way, a function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$.*

Using these results we define the *-homomorphism γ , which we call the boundary principal symbol.

Definition 16. The function $\gamma : \mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}))$ is the function that assign to each operator $\begin{pmatrix} op(p)_+ + op(g) & op(k) \\ op(t) & op(s) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ the unique function in $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}))$ that corresponds - as in Proposition 13 and in the previous corollary - to the functions

$$(x', \xi') \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}p_{(0),.}}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{|\xi'|} + \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}g_{(0),.}}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|} & \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}k_{(0),.}}(x', \xi', D) \\ t_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|} & s_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(x', \xi') \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}p_{.,(0)}}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} + \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}g_{.,(0)}}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} & \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}k_{.,(0)}}(x', \xi', D) \\ t_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} & s_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\|\gamma(A)\|$ the supremum over all $(z, w) \in \partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})$ of $\|\gamma(A)(z, w)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})}$. We know that $\kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle}$ and $\kappa_{|\xi'|}$ are unitary. Therefore the matrices below are also unitary:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{|\xi'|} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\left\| \gamma \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \|A_{(0),.}(x', \xi')\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})}, \sup_{(x', \xi')} \|A_{.,(0)}(x', \xi')\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})} \right\},$$

Let us now give another way of understanding the estimate modulo compact of the classical SG-Boutet de Monvel operator. In order to do that, we need to define some sets.

$$\mathbb{S}_{++}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |z| = 1, z_n \geq 0, z_{n+1} \geq 0\}.$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |z| = 1, z_n \geq 0, z_{n+1} \geq 0 \text{ and } |w| = 1, w_{n+1} \geq 0\}.$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |z| = 1, z_n \geq 0, z_{n+1} \geq 0 \text{ and } |w| = 1, w_{n+1} = 0\} \cup \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |z| = 1, z_n \geq 0, z_{n+1} = 0 \text{ and } |w| = 1, w_{n+1} \geq 0\}.$$

We note that using the radial compactification, the interior of the set \mathbb{S}_{++}^n is identified with the set \mathbb{R}_+^n . In fact if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto z = \left(\frac{x}{|x|}, \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \in \mathbb{S}_+^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then $x_n \geq 0 \iff z_n \geq 0$ and $x_n = 0 \iff z_n = 0$. We can therefore define the following set:

Definition 17. The space $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)_{tr}$ consists of the principal symbols $\sigma(p)$ of functions $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, restricted to the space $x_n > 0$, where we are using the identification of \mathbb{R}_+^n and \mathbb{S}_{++}^n , provided by the radial compactification. The restriction of $\sigma(p)$ to $\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n$ is denoted by $\sigma(p)^+$.

Using the above definitions, we can write Theorem 12 as:

Corollary 18. *The estimate modulo compact of the classical SG-Boutet de Monvel operators can be written as*

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|A + C\| = \max \{ \|\gamma(A)\|, \|\sigma(p)^+\| \},$$

where p is the symbol of the pseudodifferential part of A , and $\|\sigma(p)^+\|$ is the sup norm on $\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n$.

As a consequence of the above estimate, we conclude that γ is continuous and can be extended to the closure of $\mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$, which we denote by \mathfrak{A} . We will call this extension $\bar{\gamma}$.

$$\bar{\gamma} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})).$$

For future reference, we note that \mathfrak{A} can be written as

$$\mathfrak{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A}_{11} & \mathfrak{A}_{12} \\ \mathfrak{A}_{21} & \mathfrak{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathfrak{A}_{ij} is the closure of the entry (i, j) of the matrix in Definition 10.

Hence, also $\bar{\gamma}$ can be written as a map of the form

$$\bar{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma}_{11}(A_{11}) & \bar{\gamma}_{12}(A_{12}) \\ \bar{\gamma}_{21}(A_{21}) & \bar{\gamma}_{22}(A_{22}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{ij} \in \mathfrak{A}_{ij}$.

4. THE KERNEL OF $\bar{\gamma}$.

Proposition 19. *The kernel of the function $\gamma : \mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}))$ is equal to the algebra consisting of operators of the form*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix},$$

where $G \in \mathcal{G}^{(-1,-1),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^{(-1,-1)}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}^{(-1,-1),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $S = op(s)$ for some $s \in S_{cl}^{-1,-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $P_+ = r^+ op(p)e^+$, where $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$ is such that $p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi) = 0$ and $p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi) = 0$. That is, the operators G , K , T and S are of lower order and the principal symbol of P vanishes at the boundary.

Proof. If A is an element that satisfies the above conditions, then $A_{(0),.}(x', \xi') = 0$ and $A_{.,(0)}(x', \xi') = 0$ for all (x', ξ') . Hence $A \in ker(\gamma)$.

If $\gamma(A) = 0$, then the principal symbols of t , k and s are equal to 0. Therefore they are all of lower orders. If for all (x', ξ') the function $p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D) = 0$, then $p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi)$ and $g_{(0),.}(x', x_n, y_n, \xi')$ are equal to zero, for all x' , ξ' , ξ_n , x_n and y_n , as $g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)$ is a compact operator - its kernel belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{++})$ - and $p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+$ is a compact Toeplitz operator, hence its symbol vanishes. The same happens with $p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+$ and $g_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$. We conclude that A satisfies the above conditions. \square

Definition 20. The algebra \mathcal{J} is the closure of $ker(\gamma)$. It is given by

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{11} & \mathcal{J}_{12} \\ \mathcal{J}_{21} & \mathcal{J}_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathcal{J}_{ij} is the closure of all operators that appear in the corresponding entry in equation (4.1).

We need also to fix some notation: $\|\bar{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|$ denotes

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{(0), \cdot}(x', \xi', D) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))}, \right. \\ \left. \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{\cdot, (0)}(x', \xi', D) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right\},$$

where $\sup_{(x', \xi')}$ means the sup of all (x', ξ') where the functions are well defined. It is clear that under the usual identification provided by the radial compactification of functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with functions in $\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$, the above sup can be considered as the supremum over $\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})$.

In the same way $\|\bar{\gamma}_{12}(K)\| = \sup_{(x', \xi')} \|\bar{\gamma}_{12}(K)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))}$, $\|\bar{\gamma}_{21}(T)\| = \sup_{(x', \xi')} \|\bar{\gamma}_{21}(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C})}$ and $\|\bar{\gamma}_{22}(S)\| = \sup_{(x', \xi')} |\bar{\gamma}_{22}(S)|$.

Proposition 21. (Analogous to [14, Lemma 2]) *Let $P_+ = op(p)_+$, where $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, and $G \in \mathcal{G}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that the following estimate holds*

$$\inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \|P_+ + G + Q_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|\bar{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|,$$

where \mathcal{Q} is the set of all operators $Q_+ = r^+ op(q)e^+$, where $q \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$, $q_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi) = 0$ and $q_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi) = 0$.

Proof. Case 1: $P_+ = 0$. Then

$$\inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \|G + Q_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \|G + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} = \|\bar{\gamma}_{11}(G)\|,$$

because the operators $op(q)_+$, with $q \in S^{-\infty, -\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, belong to \mathcal{Q} and they form a dense subset of the set of compact operators. The second equality follows from Corollary 18.

Case 2: $G = 0$.

Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and that it is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of 0. Let $\tilde{p} \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given by $\tilde{p}(x, \xi) = p(x', 0, \xi) \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right)$. If $\tilde{P} = op(\tilde{p})$, then $(P - \tilde{P})_+ \in \mathcal{Q}$, as $p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi) = \tilde{p}_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi)$ and $p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi) = \tilde{p}_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi)$. Therefore

$$\inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \|P_+ + Q_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} = \inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\| P_+ + (\tilde{P}_+ - P_+) + Q_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} = \inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\| \tilde{P}_+ + Q_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}.$$

Again, as \mathcal{Q} contains a dense set of compact operators:

$$\inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\| \tilde{P}_+ + Q_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left\| \tilde{P}_+ + C_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left\| \tilde{P} + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Using Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left\| \tilde{P} + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \max \left\{ \sup_{(x, \xi)} \left| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi) \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \right|, \sup_{(x, \xi)} \left| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi) \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \right| \right\} \leq \\ \max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \sup_{\xi_n} |p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi)|, \sup_{(x', \xi')} \sup_{\xi_n} |p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi)| \right\} =$$

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))}, \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right\} = \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+)\|.$$

In the second equality, we used the Toeplitz operators properties [10, Lemma 3.1.5].

Case 3: General case.

Again by [10, Lemma 3.1.5] and because $g_{(0), \cdot}(x', \xi', D)$ is compact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_{11}(P_+)\| &= \max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))}, \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right\} = \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left(\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \left\| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left(\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \left\| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right) \right\} \leq \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{(0), \cdot}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{(0), \cdot}(x', \xi', D) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sup_{(x', \xi')} \left\| p_{\cdot, (0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{\cdot, (0)}(x', \xi', D) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right\} = \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(G)\| \leq \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\| + \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+)\| \leq 2 \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|.$$

Finally we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \|P_+ + G + Q_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \\ &\inf_{Q_{1+} \in \mathcal{Q}} \|P_+ + Q_{1+}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \inf_{Q_{2+} \in \mathcal{Q}} \|G + Q_{2+}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+)\| + \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(G)\| \leq 3 \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 22. *The following estimate holds for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{11}$:*

$$\inf_{A' \in \mathcal{J}_{11}} \|A + A'\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(A)\|.$$

Proof. We remark that $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{J}_{11}$. Therefore for any $P_+ + G$ we have that

$$\inf_{A' \in \mathcal{J}_{11}} \|P_+ + G + A'\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \inf_{Q_+ \in \mathcal{Q}} \|P_+ + G + Q_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)\|.$$

Now we only have to use that $\overline{\gamma}_{11} : \mathfrak{A}_{11} \rightarrow C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$ is a continuous map and that the operators $P_+ + G$ form a dense subset of \mathfrak{A}_{11} . □

Finally the main result of all this discussion is:

Corollary 23. $\ker(\overline{\gamma}) = \mathcal{J} := \overline{\ker(\gamma)}$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\ker(\gamma) \subset \ker(\bar{\gamma})$. Hence $\mathcal{J} \subset \ker(\bar{\gamma})$, as $\ker(\bar{\gamma})$ is closed. In order to prove that $\ker(\bar{\gamma}) \subset \mathcal{J}$, let us denote $A \in \ker(\bar{\gamma})$ by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

We know that the regularizing operators belong to \mathcal{J} and, therefore, also the compact operators. By Corollary 18, we conclude that

$$\inf_{A'_{12} \in \mathcal{J}_{12}} \|A_{12} + A'_{12}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \|A_{12} + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \|\bar{\gamma}_{12}(A_{12})\|,$$

$$\inf_{A'_{21} \in \mathcal{J}_{21}} \|A_{21} + A'_{21}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|A_{21} + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq \|\bar{\gamma}_{21}(A_{21})\|,$$

$$\inf_{A'_{22} \in \mathcal{J}_{22}} \|A_{22} + A'_{22}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|A_{22} + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq \|\bar{\gamma}_{22}(A_{22})\|.$$

As $\bar{\gamma}_{jk}(A_{jk}) = 0$, we conclude that $A_{jk} \in \mathcal{J}_{jk}$ if $(j, k) \neq (1, 1)$. For $(j, k) = (1, 1)$ we have

$$\inf_{A'_{11} \in \mathcal{J}_{11}} \|A_{11} + A'_{11}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq c \|\bar{\gamma}_{11}(A_{11})\|.$$

As $\bar{\gamma}_{11}(A_{11}) = 0$, we finally conclude that $A \in \mathcal{J}$. □

5. THE IMAGE OF $\bar{\gamma}$.

Definition 24. We define the set \mathfrak{T} as the C^* algebra of bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ generated by $\{p(D)_+; p \in \mathcal{H}_0\}$, where \mathcal{H}_0 was defined on page 5. Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $p(D)_+$ is defined by

$$p(D)_+ u = r^+ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-it\xi} p(\xi) \widehat{e^+ u}(\xi) d\xi \right).$$

Using that [10, Lemma 3.1.5]

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \|p(D)_+ + C\| = \sup_{\xi_n \in \mathbb{R}} |p(\xi_n)|$$

and the fact that \mathfrak{T} has compact commutators, we see that the assignment $p \mapsto p(\infty) := \lim_{|\xi_n| \rightarrow \infty} p(\xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}$ extends to a C^* -algebra homomorphism, denoted by $\lambda : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 25. We define the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{T}_0 as the kernel of $\lambda : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The algebra \mathfrak{T} is unitarily equivalent to the algebra of Toeplitz operators of continuous symbols as observed in [14]. Both algebras \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{T}_0 contain the compact operators of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

We have already seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im} \bar{\gamma}_{12} &= C \left(\partial \left(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \right), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)) \right) \\ \text{Im} \bar{\gamma}_{21} &= C \left(\partial \left(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \right), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{C}) \right) \\ \text{Im} \bar{\gamma}_{22} &= C \left(\partial \left(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The only component that is still not clear is $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$.

Theorem 26. *As a Banach space $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$ is isomorphic to $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0) \oplus C(S_{+x'}^{n-1})$.*

In the above theorem and in what follows, we denote and identify the set $C(S_{+x'}^{n-1})$ with the subset of functions in $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ that only depends on the first copy of \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} .

The sets $C(S_{+x'}^{n-1})$ and $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ will also denote subsets of $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T})$ which are multiplications of functions in $C(S_{+x'}^{n-1})$ and $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$, respectively, by the identity of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$.

As $\overline{\gamma}_{11}$ is a C^* -algebra homomorphism, the set $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$ is closed. Hence, in order to describe $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$, it is enough to find the closure of the set that consists of elements of the form $\overline{\gamma}_{11}(P_+ + G)$, which are identified with the following pairs of functions:

$$\left(\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}(p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)) \kappa_{|\xi'|}, \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}}(p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ + g_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D)) \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} \right),$$

where $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$ and $g \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$.

Let us prove the Theorem step by step.

Lemma 27. *The closure of the set*

$$\left(\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \kappa_{|\xi'|}, \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} \right).$$

contains $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{p} \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Let us choose functions $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi = 1$ and $\varphi = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0. We define $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by $p(x, \xi) := \tilde{p}(x', \xi') \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{\xi_n}{\langle \xi' \rangle}\right)$. This symbol satisfies the transmission property and it is such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \kappa_{|\xi'|}, \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+ \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} \right) = \\ & \left(\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}} \tilde{p}_{(0),.}(x', \xi') \varphi \left(\frac{D}{|\xi'|} \right)_+ \kappa_{|\xi'|}, \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle^{-1}} \tilde{p}_{.,(0)}(x', \xi') \varphi \left(\frac{D}{\langle \xi' \rangle} \right)_+ \kappa_{\langle \xi' \rangle} \right) = \\ & \left(\tilde{p}_{(0),.}(x', \xi') \varphi(D)_+, \tilde{p}_{.,(0)}(x', \xi') \varphi(D)_+ \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})(D) = \{\varphi(D)_+; \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$, we conclude that $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \otimes C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})(D)$ belongs to the image of $\overline{\gamma}_{11}$. As $C^\infty(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ is dense in $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})(D)$ is dense in \mathfrak{T}_0 , the above functions form a dense set of

$$C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{T}_0 = C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0).$$

□

Lemma 28. *$\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11}) \cap C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) = C(S_{+x'}^{n-1})$.*

Proof. Let us first prove \supset . We fix a function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ that is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and choose $\tilde{p} \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ that does not depend on ξ' . We define $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by $p(x) := \tilde{p}(x') \varphi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right)$. Therefore $p_{(0),.}(x', 0) = \tilde{p}(x')$ and $p_{.,(0)}(x', 0) = \tilde{p}_{.,(0)}(x')$. The function

$\overline{\gamma_{11}}(op(p)_+)$ is identified with the pair of functions of (x', ξ') :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}(p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+) \kappa_{|\xi'|}, \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}(p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D)_+) \kappa_{|\xi'|} \right) = \\ & \quad (\tilde{p}(x'), \tilde{p}_{.,(0)}(x')), \end{aligned}$$

we then conclude that $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1})$, and hence $C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1})$, is contained in $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma_{11}}) \cap C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$.

Now let us prove \subset . Suppose that $f \in \text{Im}(\overline{\gamma_{11}}) \cap C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$. Then there are functions $\tilde{f}_{(0),.} \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2})$ and $\tilde{f}_{.,(0)} \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ that corresponds to f , that is

$$\tilde{f}_{(0),.} = f \circ (RC \times i)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_{.,(0)} = f \circ (i \times RC),$$

where $i : \mathbb{S}^{n-2} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |z| = 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-2} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, |z| = 1, z_n = 0\}$ is the "identity". As $f \in \text{Im}(\overline{\gamma})$, we know that for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $p \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)_{tr}$ that satisfies the transmission property and $g \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}_{++})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|} + \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{|\xi'|} - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right)I \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} < \delta. \\ & \left\| \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}g_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D)\kappa_{|\xi'|} + \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}p_{.,(0)}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{|\xi'|} - \tilde{f}_{.,(0)}\left(\frac{x'}{|x'|}, \xi'\right)I \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The operators $g_{(0),.}(x', \xi', D)$ and $g_{.,(0)}(x', \xi', D)$ are compact. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \left\| \kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{|\xi'|} - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right)I + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} < \delta \\ & \implies \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} \left\| p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', D) + \kappa_{|\xi'|} - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right)I + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))} < \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\kappa_{|\xi'|}$ and $\kappa_{|\xi'|^{-1}}$ are unitary.

Using the Toeplitz property, we conclude that $\sup_{\xi_n \in \mathbb{R}} \left| p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n) - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) \right| < \delta$. This implies that

$$\lim_{\xi_n \rightarrow \infty} \left| p_{(0),.}(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n) - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) \right| = \left| p_{(0),.}(x', 0, 0, 1) - \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) \right| < \delta$$

As $((x', \xi') \mapsto p_{(0),.}(x', 0, 0, 1)) \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ does not depend on ξ' , we conclude that for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1})$, such that $g \circ RC = p_{(0),.}(x', 0, 0, 1)$ and $\left| \tilde{f}_{(0),.}\left(x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) - g(RC(x')) \right| < \delta$, for all (x', ξ') . Hence $\tilde{f}_{(0),.}$ does not depend on ξ' .

We can use the same argument for $\tilde{f}_{.,(0)}$ and conclude that it also does not depend on ξ' . □

We are finally in position to prove Theorem 26.

Proof. (Theorem 26). Let us define $\tilde{\lambda} : C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ by the following formula

$$(\tilde{\lambda}f)(z, w) = \lambda(f(z, w)).$$

Let $H \in \text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$. Then $H \in C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T})$. This means that

$$H - \tilde{\lambda}(H) \in C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0)$$

By Lemma 27, we conclude that $H - \tilde{\lambda}(H) \in \text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11})$. Hence

$$\tilde{\lambda}(H) = H - (H - \tilde{\lambda}(H)) \in C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \cap \text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11}) = C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}).$$

As $H = (H - \tilde{\lambda}(H)) + \tilde{\lambda}(H)$, we conclude that

$$\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma}_{11}) = C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0) + C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}).$$

As λ vanishes on $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0)$ and only vanishes on a $g \in C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})$ if $g \equiv 0$, we conclude that

$$C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0) \cap C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}) = \{0\}.$$

□

6. THE K-THEORY OF THE ALGEBRA.

Since the set of all regularizing operators (integral operators with smooth kernels) is dense in the ideal \mathcal{K} of all compact operators of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$ and, by Proposition 19, these regularizing operators belong to $\mathcal{J} = \ker(\overline{\gamma})$, we conclude that $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{J}$.

For the computation of the K-groups of the algebra \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K} , where \mathfrak{A} is the closure of the algebra $\mathcal{B}^{(0,0),0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$, we are going to use the following exact sequence induced by $\overline{\gamma}$:

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 29. *There is an injective C^* -algebra homomorphism*

$$j : \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n),$$

such that for $A = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix}$, $j(A + \mathcal{K}) = \sigma(p)^+$, where $P = \text{op}(p)$. Moreover, let $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be written as $(z', z_n, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let w belong to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Hence

$$\text{Im}(j) = \{\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n); \varphi(z', 0, z_{n+1}, w) = 0\}$$

Remark: The set $\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ above can be regarded as the co-sphere bundle (with spheres of infinite radius) of a compactification of \mathbb{R}_+^n , while the set $\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n$ corresponds to the points over $|x| = \infty$ that are needed in the picture to take care of the behavior of the symbols for large $|x|$. Their intersection is the set where both $|x|$ and $|\xi|$ are infinite.

Proof. Let $f : \ker(\overline{\gamma}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)_{tr}$ be given by

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sigma(p)^+,$$

where $P_+ = r^+ op(p)e^+$ and $\sigma(p)^+$ is as in Definition 17.

Using the description of the kernel of γ of Proposition 19, it is easy to conclude that

$$\text{Im}(f) = \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty (\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)_{tr}, \varphi(z', 0, z_{n+1}, w) = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we note that if

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K},$$

then, by Corollary 18, $\sigma(p)^+ \equiv 0$. Hence we can define the injective function $\tilde{f} : \frac{\ker(\gamma) + \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow C^\infty (\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)_{tr}$ by

$$\tilde{f} \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{K} \right) = \sigma(p)^+.$$

However, again by Corollary 18,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{(z,w) \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n} \left| \tilde{f} \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{K} \right) (z, w) \right| = \\ & \|\sigma(p)^+\| \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} P_+ + G & K \\ T & S \end{pmatrix} + C \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \tilde{f} can be extended uniquely to a continuous function

$$j : \frac{\ker(\overline{\gamma})}{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n),$$

as $\ker(\overline{\gamma})$ is equal to the closure of $\ker(\gamma)$ and contains the compact operators. Clearly $j(A + \mathcal{K}) = \overline{f(A)}$ if $A \in \ker(\gamma)$. We have finally to ask ourselves about the image of j . We know that $\text{Im}(j) = \overline{\text{Im}(f)}$, as j is a homomorphism of C^* -algebras. We know that $\text{Im}(f)$ contains the functions $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ that are zero in a neighborhood of $z_n = 0$, because these functions correspond to principal symbols that are zero when x belongs to a neighborhood of $\partial(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ and, therefore, they satisfy the transmission property. This set is also contained in the set of functions $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ that are zero when $z_n = 0$. The closure of the both sets are equal. Hence we conclude that the image of j is the set of functions $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ that are zero for $z_n = 0$. \square

Our second step will be the study of the K -theory of the C^* -algebra $\text{Im}(\overline{\gamma})$, which is isomorphic to $\frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\mathcal{J}}$. Actually it will be enough to obtain certain isomorphisms of the K -groups of this algebra.

We start defining a sub algebra of the Wiener-Hopf algebra (see for instance [25]).

Definition 30. Let us denote by \mathfrak{M}_0 the algebra of bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathfrak{T}_0$, $A_{12} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, which means $A_{12} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is given by the multiplication of a function on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $A_{21} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)^*$, which means that $A_{21} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and $A_{22} \in \mathbb{C}$.

In Section 5 we proved that

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}) = C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0) \oplus C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}),$$

where we identify $C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1})$ with

$$C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known (see [14, Lemma 7] for instance) that $K_0(\mathfrak{M}_0) = K_1(\mathfrak{M}_0) = 0$. Hence using that $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0) = C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}_0$ and Künneth formula, we conclude that the K -groups of $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0)$ are zero.

Let us now define a map $b : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})$ which will induce a K -group isomorphism.

Definition 31. We define $b : C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})$ by

$$b(g)(z, w) = g(z)I_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}}, \quad (z, w) \in \partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}),$$

where $I_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus \mathbb{C})$.

This definition can be made more explicit when $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})$. We choose a function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ that is 1 on a neighborhood of 0. Then $b(g)$ is the boundary principal symbol of the multiplication operator by the following function:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g\left(\frac{x'}{\langle x' \rangle}, \frac{1}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \chi\left(\frac{x_n}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & g\left(\frac{x'}{\langle x' \rangle}, \frac{1}{\langle x' \rangle}\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This definition clearly does not depend on the function χ .

Proposition 32. (Analogous to [14, Corollary 8]) *The *-homomorphism b induces isomorphisms $K_i(b) : K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \rightarrow K_i(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}))$, $i = 0, 1$.*

Proof. If $F \in C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0) \cap \text{Im}(b)$, then we see that the entry 1-1 of the matrix F can be written as $(z, w) \mapsto g(z)I_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$, where $g \in C(\mathbb{S}_{+x'}^{n-1})$ and $\left((z, w) \mapsto g(z)I_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}\right) \in C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{T}_0)$. This implies that g must be zero. Hence, by equation (6.2), we conclude that

$$\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}) = C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0) \oplus \text{Im}(b).$$

As $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0)$ is an ideal of $\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})$, we get

$$\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})/C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0) \cong \text{Im}(b).$$

Let \mathfrak{R} denote $C(\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}), \mathfrak{M}_0)$. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{i} \text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Im}(b) \rightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion and π is the canonical projection, we obtain the standard six term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(\mathfrak{A}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(i)} & K_0(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\pi)} & K_0(\text{Im}(b)) & & \\ & \uparrow & & & \downarrow & & \\ K_1(\text{Im}(b)) & \xleftarrow{K_1(\pi)} & K_1(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})) & \xleftarrow{K_1(i)} & K_1(\mathfrak{A}) & & \end{array} .$$

As $K_0(\mathfrak{A}) = K_1(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$, we obtain that $K_i(\pi) : K_i(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma})) \rightarrow K_i(\text{Im}(b))$ is an isomorphism, for $i = 0, 1$. As b is injective, we conclude that $\pi \circ b : C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Im}(b)$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras. Hence $K_i(\pi \circ b) : K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \rightarrow K_i(\text{Im}(b))$ is also an isomorphism. We conclude that

$$K_i(\pi)^{-1}K_i(\pi \circ b) : K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \rightarrow K_i(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}))$$

is an isomorphism. Hence

$$K_i(b) : K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) \rightarrow K_i(\text{Im}(\bar{\gamma}))$$

is an isomorphism. □

We are now ready to conclude our computation of the K -groups of \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K} . We need some definitions:

Definition 33. 1) $C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)$ is the set of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_z^{n+1}$ that are zero when $z_n = 0$.

2) $C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is the set of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}_{++z}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{+w}^n$ that are zero when $z_{n+1} = 0$ or $w_{n+1} = 0$ or $z_n = 0$, that is, functions that vanish on the boundary points.

3) $C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is the set of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}_{++z}^n \times \mathbb{S}_{+w}^n$ that are zero when $z_n = 0$.

4) $C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is the set of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}_{++z}^n \times \mathbb{S}_w^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_{+z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{+w}^n$ that are zero when $z_n = 0$.

We can use these classes of functions to define the following exact sequence.

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n) \xrightarrow{i} C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n) \xrightarrow{\pi} C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

where i is the inclusion and π is the restriction of the functions to the set where $z_{n+1} = 0$ or $w_{n+1} = 0$. We also need to use the following maps.

Definition 34. 1) The map $m' : C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) \rightarrow C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is defined by

$$m'(f)(z, w) = f(z).$$

2) The map $m'' : C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) \rightarrow C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is defined by

$$m''(f)(z, w) = f(z)$$

Notice that $m'(f)$ is a restriction of $m''(f)$.

3) Let us choose $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then we can define $s : C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n) \rightarrow C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)$ by

$$s(f)(z) = f(z, p).$$

It is clear that $s \circ m'$ is equal to the identity.

Proposition 35. (Analogous to [14, Proposition 11]). For $i = 0, 1$, there is an isomorphism

$$h_i : K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \rightarrow K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)).$$

This isomorphism h_i is such that the injection

$$I_i : K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \rightarrow K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))$$

is given by $h_i \circ K_i(m')$, where $K_i(m')$ is the group homomorphism induced by m' . In particular $K_i(m')$ is injective. Moreover the projection

$$p : K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \rightarrow K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))$$

is given by $h_i \circ \delta$, where δ is the connecting map associated to the six term exact sequence provided by the exact sequence (6.3).

Proof. As \mathbb{S}_+^n can be deformed continuously to a point, we conclude that the function m'' induces isomorphisms, for $i = 0, 1$,

$$K_i(m'') : K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \rightarrow K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)).$$

We see easily that $\pi \circ m'' = m'$. Hence, denoting $C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ just by $C_{0 \text{ on } x_n}^\partial$, we can rewrite the standart six term exact sequence associated to (6.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) & \xrightarrow{K_0(m'')^{-1} \circ K_0(i)} & K_0(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) & \xrightarrow{K_0(m')} & K_0(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n}^\partial) & & \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ K_1(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n}^\partial) & \xleftarrow{K_1(m')} & K_1(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) & \xleftarrow{K_1(m'')^{-1} \circ K_1(i)} & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) & & \end{array} .$$

However $s \circ m'$ is the identity. Therefore $K_i(s)K_i(m') = K_i(id) = id_{K_i}$ and $K_i(m')$ is injective, which implies that $\ker K_i(m') = \{0\} = \text{Im}(K_i(m'')^{-1} \circ K_i(i))$. We conclude that the following sequence are split exact:

$$0 \rightarrow K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \xrightarrow{K_i(m')} K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}^\partial) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the result follows easily. □

Theorem 36. (Analogous of [14, Theorem 3]) Let $r : C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})$ be the restriction to $z_n = 0$, where $z \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let, for $i = 0, 1$, $K_i(r) : K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \rightarrow K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ denote the induced homomorphisms. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(K_i(r)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \rightarrow K_i\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \text{Im}(K_i(r)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Continuous functions in $C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)$ can be canonically viewed as multiplication operators. By taking the class, modulo \mathcal{K} , of such an operator, one defines a homomorphism $m : C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K}$. This can be made more precise on the dense subalgebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)$: For each $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)$, we assign

a function $g \in S_{cl}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by $g(x, \xi) = f \circ RC(x)$, for $x_n > 0$. Then

$$m(f) = \begin{pmatrix} op(g)_+ & 0 \\ 0 & g|_{\mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{K}.$$

This clearly does not depend on the values of g for $x_n < 0$ and, therefore, it is well defined.

Now let us consider the following commutative diagram of C^* -algebras homomorphisms:

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{K} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow m & & \uparrow m & & \uparrow b \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) & \xrightarrow{i} & C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n) & \xrightarrow{r} & C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array},$$

where the upper row is the sequence in (6.1) and the lower row is the exact sequence defined by the inclusion i and the restriction map to $z_n = 0$, denoted by r .

Let us denote by δ and \exp the index and the exponential maps associated to the sequence (6.1). By δ^0 and \exp^0 we denote the index and the exponential maps associated to the exact sequence of the lower row of the diagram (6.4).

As these maps are natural, we obtain two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{J}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_0\left(\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) & & K_0\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{J}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\exp} & K_1\left(\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \\ \uparrow K_1(b) & & \uparrow K_0(m) & \text{and} & \uparrow K_0(b) & & \uparrow K_1(m) \\ K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & K_0(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) & & K_0(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})) & \xrightarrow{\exp^0} & K_1(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \end{array}$$

Let $j : \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ be the isomorphism given by Proposition 29. It is clear that $m' = j \circ m|_{C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)}$. We saw in the proof of Proposition 35 that $K_i(m')$ is injective. As $K_i(j)$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that $K_i(m) : K_i(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \rightarrow K_i\left(\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ is also injective. Therefore, as $K_i(b)$ is an isomorphism (Proposition 32), we conclude that $K_1(b)^{-1}(\ker(\delta)) = \ker(\delta^0)$ and $K_0(b)^{-1}(\ker(\exp)) = \ker(\exp^0)$.

Using the isomorphisms $K_i(b)$ and $K_i(j)$, we define \exp'' and δ'' by $\delta'' = K_0(j) \circ \delta \circ K_1(b) = K_0(j) \circ K_0(m) \circ \delta^0$ and $\exp'' = K_1(j) \circ \exp \circ K_0(b) = K_1(j) \circ K_1(m) \circ \exp^0$. We note that $\ker(\delta'') = K_1(b)^{-1}(\ker(\delta)) = \ker(\delta^0)$ and $\ker(\exp'') = K_0(b)^{-1}(\ker(\exp)) = \ker(\exp^0)$. Hence the six term exact sequence associated to (6.1) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0\left(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)\right) & \rightarrow & K_0\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) & \rightarrow & K_0\left(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})\right) \\ \uparrow \delta'' & & & & \downarrow \exp'' \\ K_1\left(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1})\right) & \leftarrow & K_1\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) & \leftarrow & K_1\left(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)\right) \end{array}.$$

Taking quotients, we obtain from this exact sequence that

$$(6.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{K_0(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(\delta'')} \rightarrow K_0\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \ker(\exp'') \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(6.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{K_1(C_{0 \text{ on } x_n=0}(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(\exp'')} \rightarrow K_1\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \ker(\delta'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the standart exact sequence associated to (6.4), we obtain the following isomorphisms:

$$\frac{K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\text{Im}(\delta^0)} = \frac{K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\ker(K_0(i))} \cong \text{Im}(K_0(i)) = \ker K_0(r),$$

$$\frac{K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\text{Im}(\exp^0)} = \frac{K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\ker(K_1(i))} \cong \text{Im}(K_1(i)) = \ker K_1(r).$$

As $\delta'' = K_0(j) \circ \delta \circ K_1(b) = K_0(m') \circ \delta^0$ and $\exp'' = K_1(j) \circ K_1(m) \circ \exp^0 = K_1(m') \circ \exp^0$, we conclude that $\text{Im}(\delta'') = \text{Im}(K_0(m') \circ \delta^0)$ and $\text{Im}(\exp'') = \text{Im}(K_1(m') \circ \exp^0)$. Using the maps I_i and h_i defined in Proposition 35, we obtain:

$$\frac{K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(\delta'')} \cong \frac{h_0(K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(h_0 \circ K_0(m') \circ \delta^0)} \cong \frac{K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(I_0 \circ \delta^0)}$$

$$\cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \frac{K_0(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\text{Im}(\delta^0)} \cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \ker K_0(r).$$

and

$$\frac{K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(\exp'')} \cong \frac{h_1(K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(h_1 \circ K_1(m') \circ \exp^0)} \cong \frac{K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))}{\text{Im}(I_1 \circ \exp^0)}$$

$$\cong K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \frac{K_1(C_0 \text{ on } x_n=0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n))}{\text{Im}(\exp^0)} \cong K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \ker K_1(r).$$

The exact sequence in (6.5) turns into

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \ker K_0(r) \rightarrow K_0\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \ker \exp'' \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\ker(\exp'') = \ker(\exp^0) \cong \text{Im}K_0(r)$, we finally obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \ker K_0(r) \rightarrow K_0\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \text{Im}K_0(r) \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, using sequence (6.6) and $\ker(\delta'') = \ker(\delta^0) \cong \text{Im}K_1(r)$, we conclude that

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \oplus \ker K_1(r) \rightarrow K_1\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \text{Im}K_1(r) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Corollary 37. *The K-groups of \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K} are given by*

$$K_i(\mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K}) \cong K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)).$$

Proof. As $K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}))$ is a free abelian group, once \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} is a contractible space, see [26], we conclude that $\text{Im}(K_i(r))$ is an free abelian group. Hence both sequences below split:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(K_i(r)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) \rightarrow K_i\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \rightarrow \text{Im}(K_i(r)) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(K_i(r)) \rightarrow K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \rightarrow \text{Im}(K_i(r)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$K_i\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \cong \text{Im}(K_i(r)) \oplus \ker(K_i(r)) \oplus K_{1-i}(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n))$$

$$K_i(C(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n)) \cong \text{Im}(K_i(r)) \oplus \ker(K_i(r)).$$

□

Finally we obtain our main result.

Theorem 38. *The K -groups of \mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K} are*

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K}) &= \mathbb{Z} \\ K_1(\mathfrak{A}/\mathcal{K}) &= \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As S_+^{n-1} is a contractible set, we conclude by [26] that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C(S_+^{n-1})) &= \mathbb{Z} \\ K_1(C(S_+^{n-1})) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding $C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$, we observe that \mathbb{S}_{++}^n and \mathbb{S}_+^n are both homeomorphic to

$$B_1^n(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| \leq 1\}.$$

Therefore $C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)$ is isomorphic to $C_0(B_1^n(0) \times B_1^n(0))$, that is, the continuous functions on $B_1^n(0) \times B_1^n(0)$ that are zero on the boundary points. However using an homomorphism that takes the interior of $B_1^n(0)$ to \mathbb{R}^n , we conclude that $C_0(B_1^n(0) \times B_1^n(0))$ is isomorphic to $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and finally

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) &= K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2n})) = \mathbb{Z} \\ K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{S}_+^n)) &= K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2n})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

It is interesting to note that the K -groups of our algebra are equal to the K -groups of the algebra of SG-operators of order $(0,0)$ acting in \mathbb{R}^n , as it was computed by Nicola [21]. They are also the same K -groups of the Boutet de Monvel algebra on compact manifolds of dimension 2, whose genus is equal to 0 and whose border is connected, as it was shown in [14, Section 6].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Elmar Schrohe for many fruitful discussions. Pedro Lopes was supported by the Brazilian agency CNPq, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - (Processo n° 142185/2007-8). Severino Melo was also partially supported by CNPq - (Processo n° 304783/2009-9).

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and M. Singer. *The index of elliptic operators I*. Ann. Math. (2) 87, 484-530 (1968).
2. L. Boutet de Monvel. *Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators*. Acta Math., 126: 11-51 (1971).
3. H. O. Cordes *A Global parametrix for pseudodifferential operators over \mathbb{R}^n , with applications*. Preprint, Universität Bonn, (1976).
4. H. O. Cordes. *The technique of Pseudodifferential Operators*. Cambridge University Press (1995).
5. J. Ditsche. *K-Theory for pseudodifferential operators on conformally compact spaces*. Preprint, Universität Mainz (2007).
6. B. V. Fedosov. *Index Theorems*, in: Partial differential equations VIII, Encyclop. Math. Sci. 65. Springer, Berlin (1996).

7. A. Gaarde. *Noncommutative Residue of Projections in Boutet de Monvel's Calculus*. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0709.3407.pdf>. To appear J. Noncommut. Geometry.
8. L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe and J. Seiler. *Complex powers of classical SG-pseudodifferential operators*. Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat., 52: no.2, 353-369 (2006).
9. G. Grubb. *Distributions and Operators*. Springer Verlag, (2008).
10. G. Grubb. *Functional Calculus of Pseudodifferential Boundary Problems (Progress in Mathematics)*. Birkhauser, 52: second edition (1996).
11. D. Kapanadze and B.W. Schulze. *Crack Theory and Edge Singularities*. Kluwer Academic Publishers (2003).
12. D. Kapanadze and B. W. Schulze. *Boundary Value Problems on Manifolds with Exits to Infinity*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 58: no.3, 301-360 (2000).
13. P.T.P. Lopes. *K-Teoria e aplicações para cálculos pseudodiferenciais globais e seus problemas de fronteira*. Tese de doutorado IME-USP.
14. S. T. Melo, R. Nest and E. Schrohe. *C*-Structure and K-Theory of Boutet de Monvel's Algebra*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 561: 145-175 (2003).
15. S. T. Melo, T. Schick and E. Schrohe. *A K-Theoretic Proof of Boutet de Monvel's Index Theorem for Boundary Value Problems*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 599: 217-233 (2006).
16. S. T. Melo, T. Schick and E. Schrohe. *Families index for Boutet de Monvel operators*. Münster J. of Math. 6, 343-364 (2013).
17. S. T. Melo, T. Schick and E. Schrohe. *C*-Algebra approach to the index theory of boundary value problems*; in: Analysis, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory. Contemporary Mathematics 584, 129-146, (2012).
18. S. T. Melo and C. C. Silva. *K-Theory of pseudodifferential operators with semi-periodic symbols*. K-Theory 37-3, 235-248 (2006).
19. R. Melrose. *Lectures on pseudodifferential operators*. <http://math.mit.edu/~rbm/18.157-F05.pdf>, (2005).
20. R. Melrose. *Geometric Scattering Theory*. Cambridge University Press, (1995).
21. F. Nicola. *K-theory of SG-pseudo-differential algebras*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131: no.9, 2841-2848 (2003).
22. F. Nicola and L. Rodino. *Global Pseudo-differential calculus on Euclidean spaces*. Birkhäuser, (2010).
23. C. Parenti. *Operatori pseudo-differenziali in \mathbb{R}^n e applicazioni*. Annali Mat. Pura Appl., 93: 359-389, (1972).
24. B. W. Schulze. *Boundary Value Problems and Singular Pseudo-differential operators*. John Wiley, (1998).
25. S. Rempel and B.W. Schulze. *Index of elliptic boundary problems*. North Oxford Academic, (1985).
26. M. Rordam, F. Larsen and N. J. Laustsen. *Introduction to K-Theory for C*-Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, (2000).
27. E. Schrohe. *A short introduction to Boutet de Monvel's calculus*. Approaches to Singular Analysis, J. Gil, D. Greiser and M. Lesch (eds), Birkhäuser, Basel, pages 85-116, (2001).
28. E. Schrohe. *Fréchet algebra techniques for boundary value problems on noncompact manifolds: Fredholm criteria and functional calculus via spectral invariance*. Math. Nachr., 199: 145-185, (1999).
29. B. W. Schulze. *Boundary Value Problems and Singular Pseudo-differential operators*. John Wiley, (1998).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: dritao@yahoo.com; pedrolopes@dm.ufscar.br

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO 1010, 05508-090, SÃO PAULO, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: toscano@ime.usp.br