Spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles over pseudo-double wheels (III) — the essential uniqueness in case of convex tiles

Yohji Akama^{a,*}, Yudai Sakano^a

^a Mathematical Institute Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University Sendai, Miyagi 980-0845 JAPAN

Abstract

In [B. Grünbaum, G. C. Shephard, Spherical tilings with transitivity properties, in: The geometric vein, Springer, New York, 1981, pp. 65–98], they proved "for every spherical normal tiling by congruent tiles, if it is isohedral, then the graph is a Platonic solid, an Archimedean dual, an n-gonal bipyramid ($n \ge 3$), or an n-gonal trapezohedron (i.e., the pseudo-double wheel of 2n faces)." In the classification of spherical monohedral tilings, one naturally asks an "inverse problem" of their result: For a spherical monohedral tiling of the above mentioned topologies, when is the tiling isohedral? We prove that for any spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling being topologically a trapezohedron, if the number of faces is 6, or 8, if the tile is a kite, a dart or a rhombi, or if the tile is convex, then the tiling is isohedral.

Keywords: spherical tiling, quadrangle, pseudo-double wheel, isohedral. 2010 MSC: Primary 52C20; Secondary 05B45

1. Introduction

In [1], we proved that twelve copies of some spherical *concave* quadrangle organize two spherical tilings such that the two tilings have the same plain graph (the *pseudo-double wheel* of twelve faces [2]), but one spherical tiling (See the right bottom of Figure 1) is isohedral (i.e. the symmetry group acts transitively on the tiles) while the other not (See Figure 2). The latter spherical non-isohedral tiling by congruent *concave* quadrangles over a pseudo-double wheel is a counterexample of the inverse of Grünbaum-Shephard's result [3] "for every spherical *normal* tiling by congruent tiles, if it is isohedral, then the graph is a

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: akama@m.tohoku.ac.jp (Yohji Akama),

my.sailingday.0827spc@gmail.com (Yudai Sakano)

URL: http://www.math.tohoku.ac.jp/akama/stcq/ (Yohji Akama)

Platonic solid, an Archimedean dual [4], an *n*-gonal bipyramid $(n \ge 3)$, or an *n*-gonal trapezohedron (i.e., the pseudo-double wheel of 2n faces)." So we ask an "inverse problem" of their result:

Question 1. For a spherical monohedral tiling with the graph being a Platonic solid, an Archimedean dual, an n-gonal bipyramid or an n-gonal trapezohedron, when is it isohedral?

According to [5], when the tile is a kite, a dart, or a rhombi, every spherical tiling over a pseudo-double wheel is isohedral. Moreover, by checking the number of tiles and the *vertex types* in the complete table of spherical monohedral triangular tilings [6], we can prove that

Theorem 1. If a spherical tiling by congruent triangles is topologically a Platonic solid, an Archimedean dual, an n-gonal bipyramid, then the tiling is isohedral.

To state our partial answer of this inverse problem, recall that we cannot divide the tile of a spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling into two congruent triangles, if and only if the tile is either type 2 or type 4 of Figure 3 (Figure 3), since the tile of a spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling has necessarily equilateral adjacent edges [7, Proposition 1].

Theorem 2. Given a spherical tiling consisting \mathcal{T} of F congruent quadrangles of type 2 or 4, such that

- F = 6 or 8; or,
- the tile is convex and the graph of the tiling is pdw_F with $F \ge 10$.

Then \mathcal{T} has chart \mathcal{P}_F as in Figure 1 or the mirror, and \mathcal{T} is isohedral.

In [8], we proved that such a tiling \mathcal{T} of Theorem 2 indeed exists. Actually, we proved that for every spherical tilings by congruent *possibly concave* quadrangles over pseudo-double wheels, we explicitly represented every inner angles and every edge-length by the inner angle γ (and the inner angle α , resp.) of the tile.

Our result is a first step toward the classification of the spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles, because a *quadrangulation of the sphere* is mechanically obtained exactly from a *pseudo-double wheel*, by means of finite number of applications of two local expansions of a graph [2]. See Proposition 3.

This paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we present a setting to classify the spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings in terms of planar graphs, angle- and length-assignments to the graph, and matching theory. In Section 3, we derive Theorem 2 from Theorem 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 3. In the final section, we conjecture that Question 1 holds for convex tiles, based on Theorem 2. Then we propose to classify a reasonable class of spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings. Finally we show that the use of forbidden patters is a natural approach to classify spherical tilings by congruent type-2 *convex* quadrangles, based on computer experiment [9].



Figure 1: The chart \mathcal{P}_F is defined by the left figure, whee the graph is a *pseudo-double wheel* of *F* faces (Definition 2). The whirl at each vertex indicates the cyclic order for the edges incident to the vertex. The thick edges has length *b*, the dotted edges does length *c*, and the other edges does length *a*. When the tile is type 2, we have $a = c \neq b$, when the tile is type 4, the lengths *a*, *b*, *c* are mutually distinct. The right column are examples of spherical tilings by 6, 8, 10, 12 congruent type-2 quadrangles, from top to bottom. They are isohedral, because of the axis through the pole and the center, and each axis through the midpoint of each non-meridian edge and the center. The twelve tiles of the last tiling organizes a non-isohedral tiling give in Figure 2.

The authors thank Yoshio Agaoka and Takanobu Kamijo. The first author thanks Gunnar Brinkmann, Kris Coolsaet, and Nicolas van Cleemput for discussion.

2. A setting to classify the spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings

We say a tiling by polygons is *edge-to-edge*, if the vertices and edges of tiles match. Unless otherwise stated, a tiling always implies an edge-to-edge spherical tiling of the sphere, and is identified modulo the special orthogonal group SO(3). We say two tilings are *mirror image* to each other, if they are different but identified module the orthogonal group O(3).

Definition 1. 1. A map is $M = ((V, E), \{A_v\}_{v \in V})$ such that

- G = (V, E) is a nonoriented graph, where V is a finite set of vertices and E is a finite set of edges. An edge is a nonoriented pair of distinct vertices.
- A_v is the set of angles around v, that is, a set $\{(v_1, v, v_2), (v_2, v, v_3), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v, v_n), (v_n, v, v_1)\}$ such that v_1, \dots, v_n is the list of vertices adjacent to v. We write an angle (u, v, w) by $\angle uvw$.



Figure 2: The chart \mathcal{A} of the spherical non-isohedral tiling by twelve congruent concave quadrangles. Thick (resp. thin) edges of the chart correspond to edges of length b (resp. a) of the tiling (see Theorem 4). The left upper figure is the view from a 2-fold rotation axis through the midpoint between the vertices v_0 and v_1 . The left middle figure is from a 2-fold rotation axis through the midpoint between the vertices v_3 and v_4 . The left bottom is from the other 2-fold rotation axis through the poles. The twelve tiles of the tiling organizes an isohedral tiling give in Figure 1 (right bottom).

Definition 2 (Pseudo-double wheel [2]). For an even number $F \ge 6$, a pseudo-double wheel pdw_F with F faces is a map such that

- the graph is obtained from a cycle $(v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{F-1})$, by adjoining a new vertex N to each v_{2i} $(0 \le i < F/2)$ and then by adjoining a new vertex S to each v_{2i+1} $(0 \le i < F/2)$. We identify the suffix i of the vertex v_i modulo F.
- The inner angles at each vertex v is defined naturally by the cyclic order at v. The cyclic order at the vertex N is defined as follows: the edge Nv_{2i+2} is next to the edge Nv_{2i} . The cyclic order at the vertex v_{2i} ($0 \le i \le F/2$) is: the edge $v_{2i}N$ is next to the edge $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$, which is next to the edge $v_{2i}v_{2i-1}$. The cyclic order at the vertex S is: the edge Sv_{2i-1} is next to the edge V_{2i+1} . The cyclic order at the vertex v_{2i+1} ($0 \le i < F/2$) is: the edge Sv_{2i+1} . The cyclic order at the vertex v_{2i+1} ($0 \le i < F/2$) is: the edge $v_{2i+1}S$ is next to the edge $v_{2i+1}v_{2i}$, which is next to the edge $v_{2i+1}v_{2i+2}$.

We call each edge Nv_{2i} northern, each edge Sv_{2i+1} southern, and the other edges non-meridian. The number of edges is 2F.

The form of a pseudo-double wheel is a graph consisting of the vertices, edges and faces of Figure 1.

The graph of a spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles is a simple quadrangulation of the sphere such that the minimum degree three. Here a *simple*



Figure 3: Two quadrangles of type 2 and a quadrangle of type 4. The second quadrangle of type 2 is obtained from the first quadrangle of type 2 by swapping (α, β) and (δ, γ) with the length assignment unchanged. The chiralty of the first quadrangle of type 2 is opposite to that of the second quadrangle of type 2. The variable a, b, c are for edge-lengths and they have different values. The variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are for angles.

quadrangulation of the sphere is a finite simple graph embedded on the sphere such that every face is bounded by a walk of four edges [2]. Let Q_2 be the class of simple quadrangulations of the sphere such that the minimum degree three. Let Q_3 be the class of 3-connected simple quadrangulations of the sphere. By the theorem of Steinitz, Q_3 is the class of quadrangle-faced polytopal graphs. A polytopal graph is the graph of some polytope, where a polytope is, by definition, a bounded region obtained by a finite number of half spaces. According to Table 2 (simple quadrangulations with minimum degree three) and Table 3 (3connected quadrangulations) of [2], there is a simple spherical quadrangulation G of twelve quadrangular faces with the minimum degree three but G is not polytopal. By an STCQ graph, we mean a graph of some spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles. We do not know whether every polytopal graph is an STCQ graph or not. Anyway, a quadrangulation of Q_2 is a candidate of an STCQ graph.

Proposition 3 ([2, Theorem 2, Theorem 3]). Let *i* be 2 or 3. For every simple quadrangulation $G \in Q_i$, there is a sequence $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_k = G$ of quadrangulations in Q_i such that G_0 is a pseudo-double wheel and, for each *i*, G_{i+1} can be obtained from G_i by applying two local expansions. Actually, all such are generated by a program *plantri* [10]. See Table 1 as for Q_2 . Specifically, the quadrangulations of Q_i with 6 or 8 faces are exactly pdw_6 or pdw_8 .

Definition 4 (Chart). 1. A chart of a tiling consists of following data:

- A map $M = ((V, E), \{A_v\}_{v \in V}).$
- A length-assignment $L: E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- An angle-assignment K, which is a function from $\bigcup_{v \in V} A_v$ to the set of affine combination of the variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ over \mathbb{R} subject to

$$\sum_{a \in A_v} K(a) = 2 \ [\pi \text{rad}] \text{ for each } v \in V.$$
(1)

2. We say a chart is of type t, if each face is of type t.

3. The mirror image of a chart $\mathcal{M} = ((V, E), \{A_v\}_{v \in V}, L, K)$ is a chart $\mathcal{M}^R = ((V, E), \{A_v^R\}_{v \in V}, L, K^R)$ such that $A_v^R := \{\angle uvw \ ; \ \angle wuv \in A_v\}$ and $K^R(\angle uvw) = K(\angle wvu)$.

When we embed naturally the map pdw_F into the sphere, the angles at each vertex have positive values with respect to the axial vector from the center to the vertex.

Definition 5 (Vertex types). The types of angles are, by definition, variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. If the angles around a vertex v are exactly n_{α} angles of type α , n_{β} angles of type β , n_{γ} angles of type γ and n_{δ} angles of δ , then we say the vertex type of v is $n_{\alpha}\alpha + n_{\beta}\beta + n_{\gamma}\gamma + n_{\delta}\delta$.

Lemma 6. In a tiling by F congruent quadrangles, every tile has area $4\pi/F$, which is the total of the inner angles subtracted by 2π . In other words

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta - 2 = \frac{4}{F}.$$
(2)

Hence there is no vertex of type $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$.

Remark 1. Classification of spherical tilings by $F \ge 10$ congruent quadrangles of type 2 or 4 is partially mechanizable. For each even number F > 10, generate all 2-connected simple quadrangulations G of the sphere such that the minimum degree is three and the number of faces is F (cf. Proposition 3). Each tile of a spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling of type 2 or type 4 matches an adjacent tile at the edge of length b. All such tile-matchings are generated mechanically, as the *perfect matchings* [11] of the dual graph of the tiling's graph G (If a graph with even number of faces are embeddable into an orientable, connected compact 2-fold, and all the faces are quadrangles, then the dual graph has a perfect matching [12]). The extreme points of the edge of length b have angle α and δ . By respecting this constraint, we can mechanically generate all possible angle-assignments. Every angle-assignment generates a system of equations, that is, equation (2) and equations (1). If for every tile-matching, no such systems of equations has a positive solution $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta < 1$, then the spherical quadrangulation is not realizable by a spherical tiling by F congruent convex quadrangles of type 2 or 4.

3. Two forbidden (type-2/type-4) length-assignments and proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 is derived from the following:

Theorem 3. Given a spherical tiling \mathcal{T} by F congruent quadrangles of type 2 or 4.

1. If the tile is convex or F = 6, 8, then the left pattern of Figure 4 and the mirror image are impossible for the length-assignment of the tiling \mathcal{T} .



Figure 4: For each t = 2, 4, the two figures and their mirror images are impossible as the length-assignment of a spherical tiling by congruent quadrangle of type t, if the tiles are *convex*, or if the number of faces is 8. Here thick edges are of length b while the others are of length a or c. A triangle indicates that nonnegative number of edges *may* occur at this position in the cyclic order around the vertex (but they need not); When the number F of tiles is 8, for both patterns, the pair of vertices designated by i + 6, i + 7 can be identical to the pair of vertices designated by i - 2, i - 1. But in this case, all triangle symbols are empty. See Theorem 3.

2. If the tile is convex or F = 6, 8, then the right pattern in Figure 4 and the mirror images are forbidden for the length-assignment of the tiling \mathcal{T} .

Remark 2. By an *automorphism* of a map M, we mean any automorphism [11, Section 1.1] h of the graph that preserves the cyclic orders of the vertices. Here we let h send any angle $\angle uvw$ to $\angle h(u)h(v)h(w)$. For a chart $\mathcal{A} = (M, L, K)$ and an automorphism h of the map M, let $h(\mathcal{A})$ be a chart $(M, L \circ h^{-1}, K \circ h^{-1})$.

The correspondence of the vertices of Figure 4

$$v_{i+k} \mapsto v_{i+5-k} \qquad (-2 \le k \le 7)$$

preserves the cyclic orders of edges at each vertex, if the designated triangles in the figure are regarded as edges. Moreover the correspondence preserves the length-assignment of Figure 4 (left).

As mentioned in the caption of Figure 4, the two patterns in Figure 4 generalize the two length-assignments (Figure 5) for pdw_8 such that there is a meridian edge of length b and every face has only one edge of length b. In the right figure, a meridian edge of length b is followed by two "consecutive" nonmeridian edges of length b. The left figure contains two "consecutive" meridian edges of length b. The two figures are not realizable by a spherical tiling by 8 congruent quadrangles of type 2 or 4, according to [13].



Figure 5: The length-assignments of pdw_8 such that there is a meridian edge of length b and every face has only one edge of length b. Here 8 and 9 are the north pole and the south pole.

By applying Theorem 3 repeatedly to a slightly rotated map around the axis through the north pole and the south pole, the only allowed length-assignment over pdw_F satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4 ([1, Theorem 2]). That is, diagrammatically speaking with Figure 2, the length *b* edges appear "alternatingly" in the meridian edges and the non-meridian edges, in the northern hemisphere, and in the southern hemisphere. Here is an excerpt of [1, Theorem 2]:

Theorem 4. Assume a chart A satisfies the following assumptions:

- (I) the map of the chart is pdw_F for some $F \ge 10$, and
- (II) there is b > 0 such that all the edges Nv_{6i} , $v_{6i+1}S$ and $v_{6i+3}v_{6i+4}$ have length b for each nonnegative integer i < F/6 while the other edges do lengths $\neq b$.

Then there exists a spherical non-isohedral tiling \mathcal{T} by congruent quadrangles, uniquely up to special orthogonal transformation. Moreover the tile is a concave quadrangle of type 2. The tiling \mathcal{T} realizes \mathcal{A} , where the length-assignment and the angle-assignment are Figure 2 (F = 12 in particular).

By Theorem 4 ([1, Theorem 2]), the only allowed chart in question is exactly that of a spherical tiling by F = 12 congruent *concave* quadrangles of type 2. However, the tile is convex, because of the assumption of Theorem 2. Hence every spherical tiling by $F \ge 8$ congruent convex quadrangles of type 2 or 4 over pdw_F, every edge of length b is not meridian. We can easily show the same holds for F = 6, as in [13]. Because we can prove the following in the rest of this section, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. Given a spherical tiling by $F \ge 6$ congruent possibly concave quadrangles of type t ($t \in \{2, 4\}$) such that the map is pdw_F and all the edges of length b are non-meridian. Then, the chart modulo mirror image is necessarily \mathcal{P}_F .

Various spherical tilings by congruent *concave* quadrangles over pseudo-double wheels are depicted in [8].

From now on, the numbers designated at vertices in the figures and the symbols v_i 's have nothing to do with the vertices v_i 's introduced in the definition of pseudo-double wheels (Definition 2).

Convention 1. Each displayed vertex is distinct from the others; Edges that are completely drawn must occur in the cyclic order given in the picture; Halfedges indicate that an edge must occur at this position in the cyclic order around the vertex; A triangle indicates that one or more edges may occur at this position in the cyclic order around the vertex (but they need not); If neither a half-edge nor a triangle is present in the angle between two edges in the picture, then these two edges must follow each other directly in the cyclic order of edges around that vertex.

For any property $P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ for the inner angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ of the tile, the conjugate property $P^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is, by definition, a property $P(\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha)$.



Figure 6: The left is the chart Q_F of type 2. The chart Q_F is obtained from the chart \mathcal{P}_F by swapping the inner angles $\alpha \leftrightarrow \delta$, $\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma$ in F/2 number of faces. The right is the chart of type 2 considered in Case 1 of the proof of Theorem 5

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.

Any non-meridian edge of length b is not adjacent to another non-meridian edge of length b, because for any t = 2, 4, no pair of edge of length b are adjacent in a tile of type t.

If the tile is of type 4, then all the edges of length c are non-meridian. Otherwise, a meridian edge of length c is adjacent to another non-meridian edge of length b or to a meridian edge of length b. In the former case, the two edges of length b are adjacent, which is impossible. The latter case is impossible as we assumed all the edges of length b are non-meridian. Thus we have \mathcal{P}_F .

Hereafter we assume t = 2. We have only to prove the case the dotted edges are of length a in Figure 1, because the other case is just a mirror image and is proved similarly.

Thus the type of the pole N is $m\beta + n\gamma$ and the type of the other pole S is $i\beta + j\gamma$ for some m, n, i, j. As the valences of the both poles are equal, we have m + n = i + j = F/2. Then we have m - i = -(n - j).

If the two vertex types are different, we have $m - i \neq 0$ or $n - j \neq 0$. Therefore $m\beta + n\gamma = i\beta + j\gamma$ implies $\beta = \gamma = 4/F$. Then the tile becomes a isosceles trapezoid, which implies $\alpha = \delta$. Thus by swapping (α, β) and (δ, γ) of suitable tiles, the resulting chart is \mathcal{P}_F , and is still equivalent to the original one.

We consider the other case where the two vertex types of the poles N and S are equal, i.e., m = i and n = j.

If m = 0, then we have (1). If n = 0, then by swapping inner angles $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (\delta, \gamma)$ of all the tiles of type 2, we have \mathcal{P}_F .

Consider the case where there is a vertex of type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$, or a vertex of type $\alpha + \beta + \delta$. Then Lemma 6 implies $\beta = 4/F$ or $\gamma = 4/F$. In the former case, we have $m\frac{4}{F} + n\gamma = 2$. As m + n = F/2, we have $4n/F - n\gamma = 0$ and so $\gamma = \beta = 4/F$. In the latter case $\gamma = 4/F$, we have $\beta = \gamma = 4/F$ similarly. Hence the quadrangle of type 2 is an isosceles trapezoid, and thus $\alpha = \delta$. So in all tiles contributing the angle γ to the vertex type of the pole N or S, if we swap $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow (\delta, \beta)$ in a chart, then the resulting tiling is congruent to the original tiling. Therefore, the tiling has chart \mathcal{P}_F of type 2 or the mirror image.

Next, consider the other case, that is, the case where $mn \neq 0$ and any vertex type is neither $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ nor $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$. We have three cases.

Case 1. Some angle β is adjacent to another angle β at the pole N;

Case 1^{*}. Some angle γ is adjacent to another angle γ at the pole N; or

Case 2. Angles β and angles γ appear alternatingly around the pole N.

In all cases, we fix the location of edges of length b:

an edge
$$v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$$
 has length $b \quad (0 \le i \le (F-2)/2)$. (3)

Once the conclusion of Theorem 5 is established in Case 1, the same argument with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped establishes the conclusion also in Case 1^{*}.

Without loss of generality, we can assume, as in Figure 6 (left), $\angle v_{2i}Nv_{2i+2}$ is γ (i = 0); β (i = 1), both in Case 1 and Case 2. By this and the property (3) with i = 0, we have $\angle Nv_2v_{2i+1} = \beta$ (i = 0); α (i = 1). By (3),

$$\angle v_{2j-1}v_{2j}v_{2j+1} = \alpha, \text{ or } \delta.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Because no vertex has type $\alpha + \beta + \delta$, $\angle v_3 v_2 v_1 = \alpha$. Hence

$$\angle v_3 S v_1 = \gamma. \tag{5}$$

So, by $\angle v_3 v_2 v_1 = \alpha$ and by $\angle N v_2 v_{2i+1} = \beta$ $(i = 0); \alpha$ (i = 1), we have

$$2\alpha + \beta = 2. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, by (3) with i = 1, we have

$$\angle N v_4 v_3 = \gamma. \tag{7}$$

Now consider Case 1 (See Figure 6 (right)). Without loss of generality, we can assume $\angle v_4 N v_6 = \beta$. Then the property (3) with i = 2 implies $\angle N v_4 v_5 = \alpha$.

Because of (4) and the absence of the vertex type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$, we have $\angle v_3 v_4 v_5 = \alpha$. By this, (7) and $\angle N v_4 v_5 = \alpha$, we have $2\alpha + \gamma = 2$. By this and (6), the angle β is equal to γ . Thus, as above, the chart is \mathcal{P}_F or the mirror image.

In Case 2, the number F of tiles is a multiple of four and is greater than or equal to 8. Moreover angle β occurs in the type of vertex N same time as angle γ . So, without loss of generality, we assume for each positive integer $k \leq F/4$, it holds that $\angle v_{4k+2}Nv_{4k+4} = \beta$ and

$$\angle v_{4k} N v_{4k+2} = \gamma. \tag{8}$$

As with (5), we can derive (7) and $\angle v_{4k+1}Sv_{4k+3} = \gamma$. Since $\angle v_{4k+3}Sv_{4k+5}$ is β , the opposite angle is $\angle v_{4k+3}v_{4k+4}v_{4k+5} = \delta$. By (3) and (8), we have $\angle Nv_{4k}v_{4k+1} = \delta$. This chart is \mathcal{Q}_F of type 2, as in Figure 6 (left).

The chart \mathcal{Q}_F is not realizable by some spherical tiling by congruent *concave* quadrangles of type 2. Assume \mathcal{Q}_F is. Then the edge length a is greater than $\pi/2$. Otherwise, the vertices v_{2i} 's are on the northern hemisphere and the vertices v_{2i-1} on the southern, from which $\alpha, \delta < 1$ follows. Hence, as $\beta, \gamma < 1$ by $F \geq 8$, the tile is convex on the contrary to the premise. So $a > \pi/2$. Thus, the tile $Nv_2v_3v_4$ implies $\delta > 1$ but the tile $Nv_0v_1v_2$ does $\delta < 1$, which is a contradiction.

No spherical tiling by congruent *convex* quadrangles of type 2 realizes the chart Q_F of Figure 6 (left). Assume otherwise. Apply the following lemma:

Lemma 7 ([8, Lemma 2]). If there is a quadrangle ABCD of type 2 such that the vertex B is located on a pole while the length between the opposite vertex D and the other pole is equal to the lengths of edges BC and CD, then $\angle BCD + \angle DBC$ is the angle of line. If the quadrangle ABCD is convex, then $\angle DBA < \angle CBA$.

PROOF. Consider the vertex \overline{C} antipodal to C. Then, $CB\overline{C}D$ is a lune, so $\angle BCD = \angle B\overline{C}D$. Because $DB = B\overline{C} = D\overline{C}$, we have a regular triangle, so $\angle \overline{C}DB = \angle B\overline{C}D$. Hence $\angle BCD + \angle DBC = \angle \overline{C}DB + \angle DBC = \angle \overline{C}DC$ is the angle of line.

Then by Lemma 7, $\angle v_{F-1}Nv_0 = \pi - \gamma\pi$ because the tile is of type 2 while $\angle v_{F-1}Nv_0 < \beta\pi$ because the tile is convex. Therefore, $\beta\pi + \gamma\pi > \angle v_{F-1}Nv_0 + \gamma\pi = \pi$. The vertex N has a vertex type $(F/4)(\beta + \gamma)$ greater than 2. This is a contradiction.

Hence, whether the tile is convex or concave, no spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles of type 2 realizes the chart Q_F . Thus the chart should be \mathcal{P}_F . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Hence the derivation of Theorem 2 from Theorem 3 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

The following Subsection 4.1 and Subsection 4.2 respectively prove Theorem 3 (1) and (2). For each subsection, we will prove a lemma that generates

all candidates of angle-assignments on the length-assignment, and then will reject them.

We try to isolate the tile's convexity assumption from the proof of Theorem 3, because by knowing how concave tile can escape from the two forbidden patterns, there might be a chance to find a new spherical tiling by concave quadrangles over a pseudo-double wheel.

We use the following lemmas. A quadrangle of type 2 or type 4 satisfies the following disjunctions on inner angle's equality.

Lemma 8. 1. In a quadrangle of type 2 or type 4, $\alpha \neq \beta$ or $\gamma \neq \delta$.

2. In a quadrangle of type 4, $\alpha \neq \delta$ or $\beta \neq \gamma$.

PROOF. (1) Assume otherwise. Consider a lune of which boundary contains the two edge $\alpha\delta$ and $\beta\gamma$ of the quadrangle. Then we have an isosceles triangle beside the edge $\alpha\beta$ of the tile because $\alpha = \beta$, and we have an isosceles triangle beside the edge $\gamma\delta$ of the tile because $\gamma = \delta$. Because the two sides of any lune has equal length, the length b of the edge $\alpha\delta$ should be equal to the length a of the edge $\beta\gamma$. (2) is proved similarly.

Lemma 9 ([1, Lemma 2]). In a quadrangle of type 2, $\beta \neq \delta$ and $\alpha \neq \gamma$. In a quadrangle of type 4, $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Lemma 10 ([1, Lemma 5]). Suppose a spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles of type 2 has (i) a 3-valent vertex v incident to 3 edges of length a, and (ii) a 3-valent vertex incident to an edge of length a and to an edge of length b. Then $\alpha \neq \delta$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$.

4.1. The proof of Theorem 3(1)

By determining the vertex type of the vertices F and F + 1 in the following Lemma 13, we generate ten cases by fact h given in Remark 2 is an automorphism of the map Figure 4 (left). Then we will reject all the cases in Lemma 14.

Definition 11. In Figure 4 (left), let A be the sum of the types of the four designated inner angles $\angle v_{i-2}v_Fv_i$, $\angle v_iv_Fv_{i+2}$, $\angle v_{i+2}v_Fv_{i+4}$, and $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6}$ around the vertex F, and let B be the sum of the types of the four designated inner angles $\angle v_{i-1}v_{F+1}v_{i+1}$, $\angle v_{i+1}v_{F+1}v_{i+3}$, $\angle v_{i+3}v_{F+1}v_{i+5}$ and $\angle v_{i+5}v_{F+1}v_{i+7}$ around the vertex F + 1.

Lemma 12. If F = 8 or the tile is convex, then $2\alpha + 2\delta \notin \{A, B\}$.

PROOF. Otherwise by considering the vertex types of the vertices F and F + 1, we have $2\alpha + 2\delta \leq 2$ (the equality holds when the number of faces is 8), and thus $2\alpha \leq 1$ or $2\delta \leq 1$. Here the vertex types of i and i + 4 is $(\alpha + X + \delta, \alpha + X' + \delta)$, $(2\alpha + X, X' + 2\delta)$ or $(X + 2\delta, 2\alpha + X')$ for some $X, X' \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$. Thus we have $\beta \geq 1$ or $\gamma \geq 1$. But the tiling in question is edge-to-edge when F = 8 or else against the convexity of the tile.

Lemma 13. Suppose the chart is of the form Figure 4 (left). Then if $2\alpha + 2\delta \notin \{A, B\}$, then $A = B = \alpha + 3\delta$ or $3\alpha + \delta$ and the tile is a quadrangle of type 2. In this case, the list of such patterns modulo the automorphism of Remark 2 consists of ten patterns in Figure 7 and those with α and δ swapped.



Figure 7: The ten patterns in this figure and those with α and δ swapped forms the complete list of charts of the form Figure 4 (left) satisfying F = 8 or the convexity of the tile. The central point (infinite point) is $v_F(v_{F+1})$ of Lemma 13. We will prove all of them are forbidden in Subsection 4.1. In the first four cases, the eight designated inner angles is unchanged by the automorphism given in Remark 2.

PROOF. We claim

$$A \notin \{4\alpha, 4\delta\}.\tag{9}$$

Consider the case where the tile is a quadrangle of type 4. If $A = 4\alpha$, then the vertex *i* is incident to two edges of length *c*, which is a contradiction. If $A = 4\delta$, then the edge $v_{i+2}v_F$ has length *c*. Therefore the edge $v_{F+1}v_{i+3}$ does so and the angle $\angle v_{i+1}v_{i+2}v_{i+3}$ as well as the angle $\angle v_{i+3}v_{i+4}v_{i+5}$ has type β . As the edge $v_{i+5}v_{F+1}$ and the edge $v_{i+1}v_{F+1}$ have length *b* and $A = 4\delta$, the angle $\angle v_Fv_{i+2}v_{i+1}$ and the angle $\angle v_{i+3}v_{i+2}v_F$ have type γ . By comparing the type $\beta + 2\gamma$ of vertices i + 2 and that $2\alpha + \beta$ of i + 4, we have $\alpha = \gamma$, which contradicts against Lemma 9.

Consider the case where the tile is a quadrangle of type 2. Assume $A = 4\alpha$. Then the types of the vertex i + 2 and the vertex i + 3 are both

$$2\beta + \gamma. \tag{10}$$

Otherwise the type of vertex i+2 is 3β and thus that of vertex i+3 is $2\gamma+Y$ for some $Y \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$. Then $\beta = \gamma = 2/3$. As the vertex *i* is 3-valent and incident to a length-*a* edge and a length-*b* edge, we have a contradiction against Lemma 10.

Hence the vertex i + 4 has type $2\delta + \gamma$. By (10), we have $\beta = \delta$, which contradicts against Lemma 9. We can similarly prove $A \neq 4\delta$ for the tile being a quadrangle of type 2. This completes the proof of (9).

We can prove similarly $B \notin \{4\alpha, 4\delta\}$ by using the automorphism h given in Remark 2. So, by Lemma (12) and (9),

$$\{A, B\} \subseteq \{3\alpha + \delta, \alpha + 3\delta\}.$$
(11)

We prove that the tile is not a quadrangle of type 4. Assume otherwise. Then none of A and B is $3\alpha + \delta$, because two occurrences of inner angle α necessarily share an edge of length b, so the other end-point is incident to two edges of length c, a contradiction. Moreover we have $A \neq \alpha + 3\delta$. Assume otherwise. When $\angle v_i v_F v_{i+2} \neq \delta$, the angle is α . By $A = \alpha + 3\delta$, we have $\angle v_{i+4} v_F v_{i+2} = \delta$ and $v_{i+2}v_F = c$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\angle v_i v_F v_{i+2} = \delta$. Thus $v_F v_{i+2} = c = v_{F+1} v_{i+3} = v_{F+1} v_{i-1}$. It follows that the vertex i+2 has type $\beta + 2\gamma = 2$. On the other hand, the assumption $A = \alpha + 3\delta$ implies $\angle v_i v_F v_{i-2} = \delta$ or $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6} = \delta$, because the tile is of type 4. In the former case, the vertex i has type $2\alpha + \beta$ while in the latter case, the vertex i + 4 has type $2\alpha + \beta$. By comparing it with the type of the vertex i + 2, we have $\alpha = \gamma$ in either case. This contradicts against Lemma 9. Finally, we have $B \neq \alpha + 3\delta$. Otherwise, by a similar argument, the length of edges $v_{F+1}v_{i+3}$, $v_{i+2}v_F$ and $v_{i+6}v_F$ are all c, the type of the vertex i+3 is $2\gamma+\beta$, the types of the angles $\angle v_{F+1}v_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ and $\angle v_{F+1}v_{i+5}v_{i+4}$ are both α , and the types of $\angle v_iv_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ and $v_{i+4}v_{i+5}v_{i+6}$ are both β . Since we assumed $B = \alpha + 3\delta$, the type of $\angle v_{i+1}v_{F+1}v_{i-1}$ or the type of $\angle v_{i+7}v_{F+1}v_{i+5}$ is δ , from which $2\alpha + \beta$ follows. Therefore $\alpha = \gamma$. This contradicts against Lemma 9. Hence the tile is of type 2, and thus we can apply Lemma 10. As the vertices v_i and v_{i+2} satisfy the conditions (ii) and (i) of Lemma 10, we have $\alpha \neq \delta$. Then A is B, because otherwise (11) implies $\alpha = \delta$.

The four designated inner angles around the vertex F bijectively correspond to those around the vertex F + 1 via the automorphism h given in Remark 2. The distinguished type, say δ , is aligned to exactly one of the four designated inner angles around the vertex F, and so is to exactly one of the four designated inner angles around the vertex F + 1. Hence, there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ pairs of non-hequivalent inner angles and are 4 pairs of h-equivalent inner angles. This ends the proof of Lemma 13.

The following lemma forbids the ten patterns of Figure 7.

Lemma 14. A chart of a spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles of type 2 is none of the three patterns (1),(2),(3) presented in Figure 8 and is none of the three (1),(2),(3) with (α,β) and (δ,γ) swapped in the same Figure.

Theorem 3 (1) is proved through rejecting all the ten charts in Figure 7 as well as all the ten patterns with α and δ swapped, as follows: Case 9 in Figure 7



Figure 8: In the rightmost figure, the designated angle δ around the vertex F is exchangeable with any designated angle α around the vertex.

is impossible by the pattern (1) of Figure 8, Case 2 by the pattern (2), Case 4 by applying the same pattern (2) with (v_F, v_{F+1}) being (the infinite point, the central point), and the other cases by the pattern (3). All the ten patterns in Figure 7 with α and δ swapped are rejected similarly.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Lemma 14. (1) We prove that the pattern (1) of Figure 8 is impossible for a type-2 chart, by establishing the following: there do not exist two type-2 tiles $v_i v_{i+1} v_{F+1} v_{i-1}$ and $v_F v_i v_{i-1} v_{i-2}$ such that they match at the length-*a* edge $v_i v_{i-1}$, (i) the opposite edge $v_{i+1} v_{F+1}$ to the matching edge $v_i v_{i-1}$ in the first tile has length *b*, and an adjacent edge $v_i v_F$ to the matching edge $v_i v_{i-1}$ in the second tile does length *b*; (ii) the two inner angles at an extreme point v_F of the edge $v_i v_F$ and $\angle v_{i+1} v_{F+1} v_{i-1}$ have type α ; and (iii) $\angle v_{i-2} v_{i-1} v_{F+1} = \beta$.

Assume some spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles of type 2 satisfies all the three conditions. By the condition (i) and the type of the first two angles of the condition (ii), the two inner angles at the other extreme point v_i of the edge $v_i v_F$, namely, $\angle v_F v_i v_{i+1}$ and $\angle v_F v_i v_{i-1}$, have type δ . The two inner angles are adjacent to the inner angle $\angle v_{i+1}v_i v_{i-1}$ of type γ , because of the first tile $v_i v_{i+1} v_{F+1} v_{i-1}$ and because the last angle $\angle v_{i-1} v_{F+1} v_{i+1}$ of the condition (ii) has type α . Therefore $\gamma + 2\delta = 2$. On the other hand, by $v_{i+1} v_{F+1} = b$ and the condition (ii), we have $\angle v_{F+1} v_{i-1} v_i = \beta$. Because of the second tile $v_F v_i v_{i-1} v_{i-2}$ and because $\angle v_i v_F v_{i-2} = \alpha$ by the condition (ii), we have $\angle v_i v_{i-1} v_{i-2} = \gamma$. By this and the condition (iii), we have $\gamma + 2\beta = 2$. Therefore $\beta = \delta$, which contradicts against Lemma 9. By the argument above with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped, we have $\gamma = \alpha$, which also contradicts against Lemma 9.

Similarly, we can prove that the pattern (1) of Figure 8 with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped is impossible for a type-2 chart.

(2) We prove that the pattern (2) in Figure 8 is impossible for a type-2 chart. Assume otherwise. Then there exist four tiles $v_F v_{i+2} v_{i+1} v_i$, $v_{i+2} v_{i+3} v_{F+1} v_{i+1}$, $v_{i-1} v_i v_{i+1} v_{F+1}$ and $v_i v_{i-1} v_{i-2} v_F$ such that

- (i) The two vertices v_{i-1} and v_{i+2} are 3-valent.
- (ii) The two edges $v_i v_F$ and $v_{i+1} v_{F+1}$ have length b.
- (iii) The angle $\angle v_{i-2}v_F v_i$, as well as the two designated angles around the vertex v_{F+1} , $\angle v_{i+1}v_{F+1}v_{i-1}$, and $\angle v_{i+3}v_{F+1}v_{i+1}$, have types α .
- (iv) $\angle v_i v_F v_{i+2}$ has type δ .

By the conditions (iii) and (iv), the vertex i has type

$$\alpha + \gamma + \delta = 2 \tag{12}$$

while the vertex i + 1 has type

$$\beta + 2\delta = 2. \tag{13}$$

By the conditions (i) and (ii), the lengths of the two edges $v_{i+2}v_{i+3}$, and $v_{i+2}v_F$ are a and $\angle v_F v_{i+2}v_{i+3}$ has type $Y \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$. So the vertex i+2 has type

$$Y + 2\gamma = 2, \quad (Y \in \{\beta, \gamma\}.) \tag{14}$$

When $Y = \beta$, (13) implies $\gamma = \delta$, (12) becomes $\alpha + 2\delta = 2$, and (13) implies $\alpha = \beta$. This contradicts against Lemma 8.

When $Y = \gamma$, the equation (14) implies $\gamma = 2/3$. By condition (ii), the two edges $v_{i-1}v_{F+1}$ and $v_{i-1}v_{i-2}$ have length a. Hence the vertex i-1 is 3-valent by condition (i) and has type $2\beta + \gamma$ or $\beta + 2\gamma$. In either case, $\beta = \gamma = 2/3$. This contradicts against Lemma 10.

Similarly, we can prove that the pattern (2) with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped is impossible for a type-2 chart.

(3) We prove the chart (3) of Figure 8 is impossible for a type-2 chart. Assume otherwise. Because the types of $\angle v_{i+1}v_{F+1}v_{i-1}$ and $\angle v_{e+1}v_{F+1}v_{e-1}$ are equal and because the four edges $v_iv_F, v_{i+1}v_{F+1}, v_ev_F, v_{e+1}$ and v_{F+1} have length b, the type of $\angle v_{i-1}v_iv_{i+1}$ and that of $\angle v_{e-1}v_ev_{e+1}$ are equal. Since the sum of the types of four angles around the vertex $F \ \angle v_{i-2}v_Fv_i, \ \angle v_iv_Fv_{e+2}$ is $3\alpha + \delta$ or $\alpha + 3\delta$, we have $\alpha = \delta$.

The vertex v_{i-1} is 3-valent and incident to three edges of length a. There are two type-2 tiles $v_{i-1}v_iv_{i+1}v_{F+1}$ and $v_iv_{i-1}v_{i-2}v_F$. Moreover, the vertex v_{i+1} is 3-valent and incident to a length-a edge and a length-b edge. By Lemma 10, $\alpha = \delta$. We have a contradiction.

Similarly, we can prove that the pattern (3) with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped is impossible for a type-2 chart. This completes the proof of Lemma 14.

Hence, we have proved Theorem 3 (1). \Box



Figure 9: The ten patterns in this figure and those with the designated β 's and the designated γ 's swapped forms the complete list of charts of the form Figure 4 (right). See Lemma 15. All charts are subject to common naming convention of the vertices.

4.2. The proof of Theorem 3(2)

Lemma 15. If a chart of a spherical tiling by congruent quadrangles is of the form Figure 4 (right), then the vertices i - 1 and i + 2 have the same type, and the tile is of type 2. In this case, the list of such charts constitutes ten patterns of Figure 9 and those with (α, β) and (δ, γ) swapped.

PROOF. We first verify that the tile is a quadrangle of type 2. Assume otherwise. Then the tile is a quadrangle of type 4. If $\angle v_i v_F v_{i+2}$ of Figure 4 (right) has type δ , then the edge $v_F v_{i+2}$ has length c, but is not incident to an edge of length b in a quadrangle $v_F v_{i+4} v_{i+3} v_{i+2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\angle v_i v_F v_{i+2}$ has type α , and thus $\angle v_F v_i v_{i+1}$ has type δ . Because two edges of length c are not adjacent, $\angle v_F v_i v_{i-1}$ has type α and $\angle v_{i-1} v_i v_{i+1}$ does γ . On the other hand, the edge $v_{i+2} v_{i+3}$ of the quadrangle $v_{i+1} v_{F+1} v_{i+3} v_{i+2}$ has length c, since the edge is not adjacent to the b-length edge $v_{i+1} v_{F+1}$ of the quadrangle. Therefore the edge $v_{i+4} v_F$ has length c and $\angle v_{i+2} v_F v_{i+4}$ has type γ . Hence the type of v_F subsumes the type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$ of v_i and thus is greater than 2, which is a contradiction. Hence the tile is of type 2.

The vertex v_{i+2} is 3-valent and is incident to three length-*a* edges. Furthermore, the vertex v_i is 3-valent and is incident to a length-*a* edge and to a length-*b* edge. Hence Lemma 10 implies $\beta \neq \gamma$.

However, since the vertex v_{i-1} is 3-valent and is incident to three length-*a* edges, if the vertices v_{i-1} and v_{i+2} have different types, then $\beta = \gamma = 2/3$. This is a contradiction. Thus, the two vertices v_{i-1} and v_{i+2} have the same type.

By enumerating all the possibilities of the types of v_{i-1} and v_{i+2} , we have ten patterns of Figure 9 and the ten patterns with β and γ swapped.

To finish the proof of Theorem 3, we reject all ten cases in Figure 9. Case 0 is impossible because the vertex *i* has type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$ which is a subtype of the type of the vertex *F*.

Lemma 16. If a spherical tiling by F congruent quadrangles satisfies F = 8 or the convexity of the tile, then Case 3 of Figure 9 is impossible,

PROOF. The angle $\angle v_{i+2}v_Fv_{i+4}$ has type β because $\angle v_{i+3}v_{i+2}v_F$ has type γ and the edge $v_{i+2}v_F$ has length a. Moreover the angle has the same type as the angle $\angle v_{i+2}v_Fv_{i+4}$ because otherwise, we have $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 2$, which contradicts against Lemma 6. We have $\alpha + 2\beta + \delta \leq 2$ (the equality holds when the number of faces is 8) and $\alpha + \gamma + \delta = 2$ because the edge v_iv_F has length b. Since $2\beta + \gamma = 2$ from Figure 9 (Case 3), we have $\gamma \geq 1$ (the equality holds when the number of faces is 8), which contradicts against the convexity of the tile (against fact tiling is edge-to-edge, when the number of faces is 8). \Box

The other nine cases are rejected without using the tile's convexity assumption, as follows:

Each of Case 0, 2, 4 is impossible because the vertex *i* has type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$, $\alpha + \beta + \delta$, $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$ which are subtypes of the type of the vertex *F*, in respective case.

Case 1 and Case 7 both contradict against Lemma 14 (1), and Case 9 does against Lemma 14 (1) applied to v_{F+1} .

In Case 6, the type of the vertex i + 1 is $\alpha + \beta + \delta$. By comparing the type $2\alpha + \gamma$ of the vertex i and the type $2\beta + \gamma$ of the vertex i - 1, we have $\alpha = \beta$. By this, the type $2\beta + \gamma$ of the vertex i - 1 is equal to $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$. So by comparing it with the type $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ of the vertex i + 1, we have $\gamma = \delta$ as well as $\alpha = \beta$. Since Lemma 15 implies the tile is of type 2, we have a contradiction against Lemma 8.

In Case 8, by comparing the type $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$ of the vertex i + 1 and the type $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ of the vertex i, we have $\beta = \gamma$, which contradicts against Lemma 10 because Lemma 15 implies the tile is of type 2.

In Case 5, the type of vertex i is $2\alpha + \beta = 2$. the type of $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6}$ is β or γ . First consider the case $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6}$ is β .

 $\angle v_{F+1}v_{i+3}v_{i+4}$ has type δ . Otherwise it has α . By comparing the types of the vertex i and the vertex i+3, we have $\beta = \gamma$, which contradicts against Lemma 10 because Lemma 15 implies the tile is of type 2.

We see that $\angle v_{F+1}v_{i+5}v_{i+4}$ has type β and $\angle v_{i+6}v_{i+5}v_{i+4}$ does δ . So $\angle v_{i+6}v_{i+5}v_{F+1}$ does α . Otherwise γ must be 0 because the type of vertex v_F is less than or equal to $\gamma + \beta + 2\delta$.

Then $\beta = \gamma$ by comparing the types of vertices i + 4 and i + 5. Because the tile of type 2 by Lemma 15, we have a contradiction against Lemma 10. So $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6}$ does not have type β in Case 5.

Next consider the case $\angle v_{i+4}v_Fv_{i+6}$ has type γ in Case 5. Then $\angle v_{i+5}v_{i+4}v_F$ has type β and $\angle v_Fv_{i+4}v_{i+3}$ does δ , and $\angle v_{i+3}v_{i+4}v_{i+5}$ has type α or δ . By comparing the types of i and i + 4, we have $\alpha = \delta$, which contradicts against Lemma 10 because the tile is of type 2 by Lemma 15.

All the arguments are still valid even if we swap (α, β) and (δ, γ) . So this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

5. Discussion

By mostly combinatorial argument, we have derived Theorem 2, a spherical geometric theorem.

Every spherical tiling by congruent *convex* quadrangles over a pseudo-double wheel is isohedral, by Theorem 2 for type-2 and type-4 tiles, by [5] for a tile being a kite or a rhombus. But some by congruent *concave* quadrangles over a pseudo-double wheel is not isohedral (Theorem 4). So we conjecture that the "inverse" of Grünbaum-Shephard's result holds if the tile is convex. That is,

Conjecture 1. For every normal spherical monohedral tiling topologically a Platonic solid, an Archimedean dual, an n-gonal bipyramid, or an n-gonal trapezohedron $(n \ge 3)$, if the tile is convex, then the tiling is isohedral.

Because of Theorem 1, the conjecture is completely settled down if we work it out for the non-triangle-faced Platonic solids and such Archimedean duals. The classification of spherical tiling by twelve congruent pentagons [14] seems useful for the solution. We hope the partial mechanization described in Remark 1 followed by trigonometric arguments is a feasible strategy.

5.1. Classification of spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings

The classification of spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings solves "spherical Hilbert's eighteenth problem": Enumerate spherical anisohedral triangles, anisohedral quadrangles and anisohedral pentagons. By an *anisohedral* tile, we mean a tile that admits a spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling but not a spherical *isohedral* tiling. There are two infinite series of spherical anisohedral triangles but none of the other triangles, kites, darts, rhombi are anisohedral [5].

The only spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles of type 2 or 4 over pseudo-double wheels are \mathcal{P}_F or \mathcal{A} , *if* we can drop the tile's convexity assumption from Theorem 3, specifically from Lemma 12 and Lemma 16. If there is, however, *another* spherical monohedral tiling by congruent *concave* quadrangles of type 2 or type 4 with the map being a pseudo-double wheel, then such a tiling necessarily has a meridian edge of length *b* by Theorem 5.

Brinkmann's group observed that the graphs of spherical monohedral quadrangular tilings can be enumerating efficiently if the number of distinct degrees

F Δ F	1	2	3	4	5	6
6	1					
8	0	1				
10	0	3				
12	0	7	5			
14	0	11	43	10		
16	0	13	298	199		
18	0	46	1937	2981	182	
20	0	33	13792	38715	6242	
22	0	103	100691	474123	141059	631
24	0	224	758959	5596936	2658188	48095
26	0	433	5808034	64603662	45200498	1885445

Table 1: The number $p(F, \Delta)$ of 2-connected simple spherical quadrangulations such that the minimum degree is three, the number F of faces is even, and the number of distinct degrees is Δ . Here $p(F, \Delta)$ is the number of isomorphism classes if orientation-reversing (reflectional) isomorphisms are permitted. By [2, Table 2], $p(F, \Delta) = 0$ if $6 \leq F \leq 26$ and $\Delta \geq 7$. By the courtesy of Van Cleemput.

of vertex is known (See Table 1). Brinkmann conjectured that there are at most three distinct vertex types in a chart of any spherical monohedral quadrangular tiling, motivated by linear algebraic (or linear programming) argument that independent equations of α , β , γ , δ arise from the distinct vertex types. Indeed, the conjecture holds for every spherical monohedral tiling such that the tile is a kite, a dart, or a rhombus, according to the classification [5].

Even if we can enumerate all graphs of spherical monohedral type-2/type-4 quadrangular tiling by computers, to show that spherical tilings by congruent *concave* quadrangles exist requires difficult trigonometric arguments because there is a spherical concave quadrangle Q such that there are continuously many spherical non-congruent quadrangles Q' with the same cyclic list of inner angles of Q, according to [8].

We propose to classify

- 1. the spherical tilings by congruent tilings such that the tile is possibly concave and the graphs are the spherical monohedral (kite/dart/rhombic)-faced tilings [5]; and
- 2. the spherical tilings by congruent *convex* quadrangles of type 2.

Computer experiments using [10, 2] show that for sufficiently high number of faces more than 40% of spherical quadrangulations can already be excluding as the map of a spherical tiling by congruent convex type-2 quadrangles by checking for some small forbidden substructures [9].

References

[1] Y. Akama, Classification of spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles over pseudo-double wheels (I) — a special tiling by congruent concave quadrangles, Hiroshima Math. J. 43 (3), in press. arXiv:1210.0152 [math.MG]. URL http://www.math.tohoku.ac.jp/akama/stcq/

- G. Brinkmann, S. Greenberg, C. Greenhill, B. D. McKay, R. Thomas, P. Wollan, Generation of simple quadrangulations of the sphere, Discrete Math. 305 (1-3) (2005) 33-54. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.10.005.
 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2005.10.005
- [3] B. Grünbaum, G. C. Shephard, Spherical tilings with transitivity properties, in: The geometric vein, Springer, New York, 1981, pp. 65–98.
- [4] J. H. Conway, H. Burgiel, C. Goodman-Strauss, The Symmetries of Things, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2008.
- [5] Y. Sakano, Y. Akama, Classification of spherical tilings by congruent kites, darts, and rhombi — spherical Hilbert's eighteenth problem, http://www.math.tohoku.ac.jp/akama/stcq (2013).
- Y. Ueno, Y. Agaoka, Classification of tilings of the 2-dimensional sphere by congruent triangles, Hiroshima Math. J. 32 (3) (2002) 463-540. URL http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.hmj/1151007492
- [7] Y. Ueno, Y. Agaoka, Examples of spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles, Mem. Fac. Integrated Arts and Sci., Hiroshima Univ. Ser. IV 27 (2001) 135–144.
- [8] Y. Akama, K. Nakamura, Spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles over pseudo-double wheels (II) — the ambiguity of the inner angles, submitted (2013).
- [9] Y. Akama, N. Van Cleemput, Spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles: Forbidden cases and substructures, preprint (2013).
- [10] plantri. URL http://cs.anu.edu.au/~bdm/plantri
- [11] R. Diestel, Graph theory, 4th Edition, Vol. 173 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [12] C. D. Carbonera, J. F. Shepherd, On the existence of a perfect matching for 4-regular graphs derived from quadrilateral meshes, Tech. rep., Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute, University of Utah, Technical Report UUSCI-2006-021 (2006).
- [13] Y. Sakano, Toward classification of spherical tilings by congruent quadrangles, Master's thesis, Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, in Japanese (March, 2011).
- [14] H. Gao, N. Shi, M. Yan, Spherical tiling by 12 congruent pentagons, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 120 (4) (2013) 744-776. doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2012.12.006. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2012.12.006