Renormalization for free harmonic oscillators

H. Sonoda^{*}

Physics Department, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501 Japan (Dated: 1 February 2014)

Abstract

We introduce a model of free harmonic oscillators that requires renormalization. The model is similar to but simpler than the soluble Lee model. We introduce two concrete examples: the first, resembling the three dimensional ϕ^4 theory, needs only mass renormalization, and the second, resembling the four dimensional ϕ^4 theory and the Lee model, needs additional renormalization of a coupling and a wave function.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh, 11.10.St

^{*} hsonoda@kobe-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this short paper is to introduce simple examples of renormalization using a model of free harmonic oscillators. The model was originally introduced by Dirac [1] for his explanation of resonance scattering. We have simplified Dirac's model slightly by transcribing it in terms of harmonic oscillators.

We consider two concrete examples. The first example requires renormalization of only a frequency, and it resembles the ϕ^4 theory in three dimensions. The second example requires additional renormalization of a dimensionless coupling, and it resembles the ϕ^4 theory in four dimensions. The latter example is particularly illuminating since it suffers from the Landau pole just as the four dimensional ϕ^4 theory.

Since our model is free, we can compute its Green function by summing a geometric series. In this sense the model captures the essence of the soluble Lee model [2] and the large N limit of the O(N) linear sigma model. Our model is simpler thanks to the use of harmonic oscillators.

The implication of the Landau pole for our second example is exactly the same as for the four dimensional ϕ^4 theory. To keep a non-vanishing interaction, we must keep the ultraviolet cutoff of the theory finite. We will derive the exact cutoff dependence of the renormalized coupling as for the Lee model and the large N limit of the O(N) linear sigma model.

In Appendix B we show that part of the Lee model can be reproduced exactly with a judicious choice of frequency dependence of the coupling in our free model.

II. THE MODEL

We consider the hamiltonian for a collection of harmonic oscillators:

$$H = H_F + H_I \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
H_F = \Omega a^{\dagger} a + \sum_n \omega_n a_n^{\dagger} a_n \\
H_I = -\sum_n g_n (a_n^{\dagger} a + a^{\dagger} a_n)
\end{cases}$$
(2)

This is a model of coupled oscillators. Since the hamiltonian is quadratic in oscillators, the model is free. But a model as simple as this can be interesting and useful, since it admits a

variety of interpretations. Here are three examples:

- 1. a stands for a charged oscillator, and a_n for modes of radiation. Thus, the model mimics an atom unstable under a radiative decay.
- 2. a stands for a meson in its center of mass system, and a_n for a pair of decay products whose relative momentum is oriented in a direction denoted by n.
- 3. *a* stands for a mode mediating an attractive force between a Cooper pair of electrons denoted by *n*. (The model of Cooper [3] is reproduced in the limit $\Omega \to \infty$, where g_n^2/Ω is a fixed frequency. See Appendix A.)

The model reduces to the Jaynes-Cummings model [4] if we single out a particular mode n.

III. GREEN FUNCTION

Let us consider a complex valued Green function defined by

$$G(z) \equiv \langle 0 | \, a \frac{1}{z - H} a^{\dagger} \, | 0 \rangle \tag{3}$$

We can sum the geometric series given by perturbation theory as

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{z - \Omega} \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n} g_n^2 \frac{1}{z - \omega_n} \frac{1}{z - \Omega} \right)^L = \frac{1}{z - \Omega - \sum_{n} g_n^2 \frac{1}{z - \omega_n}}$$
(4)

If we denote the physical size (or "volume") of the system by V, the number of modes n contained in a finite frequency interval is proportional to V. Hence, we can define the density of states per unit volume by

$$\frac{dn}{d\omega} \equiv \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n} \delta(\omega - \omega_n) \tag{5}$$

Assuming that g_n^2 is of order $\frac{1}{V}$, we obtain a finite non-negative function

$$g_{\omega}^2 \equiv \lim_{V \to \infty} \sum_n g_n^2 \,\delta(\omega - \omega_n) \tag{6}$$

in this thermodynamic limit. Note g_{ω}^2 has the dimension of a frequency. Hence, in the limit we obtain

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{z - \Omega - \int d\omega \, g_{\omega}^2 \frac{1}{z - \omega}} \tag{7}$$

Let us suppose g_{ω}^2 is non-vanishing only in a finite range $[\omega_L, \omega_H]$ of ω . For example, if a_n denotes a pair of electrons, we may take ω_L to be twice the electron mass. The choice of the band width $\omega_H - \omega_L$ depends on the model. It may be a finite Debye temperature as in Cooper's model, or we may wish to take ω_H to infinity as in the case of a meson decay.

For $\omega \in [\omega_L, \omega_H]$, we obtain the imaginary part:

$$\Im G(\omega + i\epsilon) = \frac{-\pi g_{\omega}^2}{b_{\omega}^2 + \pi^2 g_{\omega}^4} \tag{8}$$

where

$$b_{\omega} \equiv \Re G(\omega + i\epsilon)^{-1} = \omega - \Omega - \int_{\omega_L}^{\omega_H} d\omega' g_{\omega'}^2 \mathbf{P} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega'}$$
(9)

Hence, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$G(z) = \sum_{i} \frac{r_i}{z - \omega_i} + \int_{\omega_L}^{\omega_H} d\omega \frac{1}{z - \omega} \rho(\omega)$$
(10)

where ω_i are isolated poles with positive residues r_i , and the spectral function is defined by

$$\rho(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi}(-)\Im G(\omega + i\epsilon) = \frac{g_{\omega}^2}{b_{\omega}^2 + \pi^2 g_{\omega}^4} \quad (\omega_L < \omega < \omega_H)$$
(11)

The asymptotic behavior

$$G(z) \xrightarrow{|z| \to \infty} \frac{1}{z} \tag{12}$$

gives the sum rule

$$\sum_{i} r_{i} + \int_{\omega_{L}}^{\omega_{H}} d\omega \,\rho(\omega) = 1 \tag{13}$$

IV. FIRST EXAMPLE

The first example is given by

$$g_{\omega}^2 = g^2 > 0 \quad (\omega_L < \omega < \omega_H) \tag{14}$$

where g^2 is a constant frequency. Since

$$\int_{\omega_L}^{\omega_H} d\omega \, \frac{1}{z - \omega} = \ln \frac{z - \omega_L}{z - \omega_H} \tag{15}$$

we obtain

$$G(z)^{-1} = z - \Omega - g^2 \ln \frac{z - \omega_L}{z - \omega_H}$$
(16)




FIG. 1. The dark curves give $\omega - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega - \omega_L}{\omega - \omega_H}$, which equals Ω for a bound state.

By plotting $\omega - g^2 \ln(\omega - \omega_L)/(\omega - \omega_H)$ for $\omega < \omega_L$ and $\omega > \omega_H$ (Fig. 1), we find two isolated states, one below ω_L and another above ω_H . Hence, the force mediated by the Ω mode is attractive for the state below ω_L , and repulsive for that above ω_H . This is easy to understand. The second order perturbation theory gives the correction to the energy of the mode ω_n as

$$\Delta\omega_n = g_n^2 \frac{1}{\omega_n - \Omega}$$

This is negative for $\omega_n < \Omega$, and positive for $\omega_n > \Omega$.

We now take ω_H large. We then obtain

$$G(z)^{-1} = z - \Omega - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - z}{\mu} + g^2 \ln \frac{\omega_H}{\mu}$$
(17)

By defining a renormalized frequency

$$\Omega_r \equiv \Omega - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega_H}{\mu} \tag{18}$$

where μ is a renormalization scale, we obtain the renormalized Green function as

$$G_r(z) \equiv \lim_{\omega_H \to \infty} G(z) = \frac{1}{z - \Omega_r - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - z}{\mu}}$$
(19)

This satisfies the renormalization group equation

$$\left(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega_r}\right) G_r(z) = 0 \tag{20}$$

 G_r has only one pole at $\omega = \omega_b$, which satisfies

$$\omega_b - \Omega_r - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - \omega_b}{\mu} = 0 \tag{21}$$

This is solved explicitly as

$$\omega_b = \omega_L - g^2 W_0 \left(\frac{\mu}{g^2} e^{-\frac{\Omega_r}{g^2}}\right)$$
(22)

where $W_0(x)$ is the main branch of the Lambert W function[5], satisfying

$$W_0(x) \exp(W_0(x)) = x$$
 (23)

The dispersion relation for the renormalized Green function is given by

$$G_r(z) = \frac{r_b}{z - \omega_b} + \int_{\omega_L}^{\infty} d\omega \, \frac{1}{z - \omega} \, \rho(\omega) \tag{24}$$

where

$$\rho(\omega) \equiv \frac{g^2}{\left(\omega - \Omega_r - g^2 \ln \frac{\omega - \omega_L}{\mu}\right)^2 + \pi^2 g^4}$$
(25)

For $g^2 \ll \Omega_r$, we find $r_b \ll 1$, and the spectral function $\rho(\omega)$ is sharply peaked at Ω_r with width πg^2 . (In fact there is an additional peak of an extremely narrow width $\mu e^{-\frac{\Omega_r - \omega_L}{g^2}}$ just above ω_L .) We obtain the approximate sum rule

$$\int_{\omega_L}^{\infty} d\omega \,\rho(\omega) \simeq 1 \tag{26}$$

V. SECOND EXAMPLE

The second example is given by

$$g_{\omega}^2 = \omega \,\bar{g}^2 \quad (\omega_L < \omega < \omega_H) \tag{27}$$

where \bar{g}^2 is a dimensionless positive constant. This model has a stronger coupling toward the high frequencies.

The Green function is obtained as

$$G(z)^{-1} = z - \Omega + \bar{g}^2 \left\{ \omega_H - \omega_L - z \ln \frac{z - \omega_L}{z - \omega_H} \right\}$$
(28)

As in the first example, there are two isolated states, one below ω_L and another above ω_H . (Fig. 2)

Let us now consider the limit $\omega_H \to \infty$. To get a limit, we must renormalize not only Ω but also \bar{g}^2 . We define renormalized parameters by

$$\Omega_r \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \left(\Omega - \bar{g}^2 (\omega_H - \omega_L) \right)$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$\bar{g}_r^2 \equiv \frac{g^2}{Z} \tag{30}$$




FIG. 2. The dark curves give $\omega \left(1 - \bar{g}^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - \omega}{\omega_H - \omega}\right)$ for $\omega < \omega_L$ and $\omega > \omega_H$

where

$$Z \equiv 1 + \bar{g}^2 \ln \frac{\omega_H}{\mu} \tag{31}$$

We then obtain the renormalized Green function as

$$G_r(z) \equiv \lim_{\omega_H \to \infty} Z \cdot G(z) = \frac{1}{z - \Omega_r - \bar{g}_r^2 z \ln \frac{\omega_L - z}{\mu}}$$
(32)

Note the necessity of a wave function renormalization by the factor Z. The renormalized Green function satisfies the renormalization group equation

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \bar{g}_r^2\Omega_r\frac{\partial}{\partial\Omega_r} + \bar{g}_r^4\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{g}_r^2}\right)G_r(z) = -\bar{g}_r^2G_r(z) \tag{33}$$

implying the anomalous dimension \bar{g}_r^2 of the Green function.

Contrary to our expectation that the renormalized Green function has only one pole just below ω_L , we find an additional pole ω_t which is very negative. (Fig. 3) We call this a




FIG. 3. The dark curve gives $\omega \left(1 - \bar{g}_r^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - \omega}{\mu}\right)$. The tachyon pole lies below $\omega_L - \mu e^{1/\bar{g}_r^2}$

tachyon since the residue r_t of the pole at ω_t is negative:

$$G_r(z) \xrightarrow{z \to \omega_t} \frac{r_t}{z - \omega_t} \quad (r_t < 0)$$
 (34)

This tachyon pole is reminiscent of the tachyon pole in the large N limit of the O(N) linear sigma model in four dimensions.[6]

The tachyon pole arises since we cannot really take ω_H all the way to infinity. In the limit $\omega_H \to \infty$, we get a trivial result:

$$\bar{g}_r^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\bar{g}^2} + \ln\frac{\omega_H}{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\omega_H \to \infty} 0 \tag{35}$$

To find the largest possible ω_H , we use $1/\bar{g}^2 \ge 0$ to obtain

$$\bar{g}_r^2 \le \frac{1}{\ln\frac{\omega_H}{\mu}} \tag{36}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\omega_H}{\mu} \le \exp\left(\frac{1}{\bar{g}_r^2}\right) \tag{37}$$

The equality corresponds to the Landau pole

$$\bar{g}^2 = +\infty \tag{38}$$

Thus, to be rid of the tachyon, we must keep ω_H large but finite. The same resolution works for the Lee model and the large N limit of the four dimensional scalar theory.

Appendix A: Renormalized Cooper's model

We consider the strong coupling limit of the first example. Let

$$g^2 = \bar{g}^2 \,\Omega_r \tag{A1}$$

and take the limit $\Omega_r \to \infty$. We obtain

$$\lim_{\Omega_r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Omega_r} G_r(z)^{-1} = -1 - \bar{g}^2 \ln \frac{\omega_L - z}{\mu}$$
(A2)

Hence, the bound state energy is given by

$$\omega_L - \omega_b = \mu \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\bar{g}^2}\right) \tag{A3}$$

Appendix B: Lee's model

The Lee model [2] is a non-relativistic model describing an interaction of a fermion with a meson of mass m_{θ} . The fermion comes in two flavors: a V-particle with mass m_V and an N-particle with mass m_N . They only interact via

$$V \longleftrightarrow N + \theta$$

so that the number of V-particles plus N-particles, $N_V + N_N$, and the number of V-particles plus θ -mesons, $N_V + N_{\theta}$, are conserved. Using our free field model, we can reproduce exactly the spectrum of the states satisfying $N_V + N_N = 1$ & $N_V + N_{\theta} = 1$ (one V or a pair of N and θ). The V-particle corresponds to the mode $\Omega = m_V - m_N + \delta m_V$, and the pair of N and θ of momentum k corresponds to the mode $\omega_n = \sqrt{k^2 + m_{\theta}^2}$. m_{θ} plays the role of our ω_L . The hamiltonian of this subspace is identical with that of our free model with the choice

$$g_{\omega}^2 = \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} \sqrt{\omega^2 - m_{\theta}^2} \tag{B1}$$

For large $\omega \gg m_{\theta}$, we find $g_{\omega}^2 \propto \omega$, and our second model shares the same renormalization properties as the Lee model.

In our notation Eq. (8) of Lee is given by

$$\delta m_V = -\int_{m_\theta}^{\omega_H} d\omega \, \frac{g_\omega^2}{m_V - m_N - \omega} \tag{B2}$$

and Eq. (10) of Lee for the renormalization constant is given by

$$Z_2^{-1} = 1 + \int_{m_{\theta}}^{\omega_H} d\omega \frac{g_{\omega}^2}{(m_V - m_N - \omega)^2}$$
(B3)

We note that δm_V is linearly divergent, and that Z_2^{-1} is logarithmically divergent, as $\omega_H \to \infty$.

Finally, the phase shift δ , defined by the phase of the Green function $G(\omega + i\epsilon)$, is given by

$$\tan \delta \equiv \frac{\pi g_{\omega}^2}{-b_{\omega}} = \frac{\pi g_{\omega}^2}{m_V - m_N - \omega} \left(1 + \int_{\omega'} \frac{g_{\omega'}^2}{m_V - m_N - \omega'} \mathbf{P} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega'} \right)^{-1}$$
(B4)

which agrees with Lee's (16). (ω_0 is replaced by ω here.)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Yuji Igarashi & Katsumi Itoh for discussions. I also thank the referee for the comments that improved the clarity of the manuscript. This work was partially supported by the JSPS grant-in-aid # 25400258.

- P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 4th ed. (Oxford University Press, 1982)
 Chap. VIII, sect. 52
- [2] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1329 (1954)
- [3] L. N. Cooper, Phys. Rev. **104**, 1189 (1956)
- [4] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings, Proc. IEEE **51** (1), 89 (1963)
- [5] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth, Adv. Computational Maths. 5, 329 (1996)
- [6] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. D 10, 2491 (1974)