Cosmological String Theory with Thermal Energy

Kiyoshi Shiraishi

Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Midori-cho, Tanashi, Tokyo 188, Japan

Europhysics Letters 8 (1989) pp. 303–307

Abstract

An attempt to construct a cosmological scenario directly from string theory is made. Cosmological string theory was presented by Antoniadis, Bachas, Ellis and Nanopoulos. They also expect loop effects on cosmological string theory. In this paper, we point out the other importance of the one-loop effect, the finite temperature effect. The equations of motion for background geometry at finite temperature are given. We address a problem on derivation of the effective action at nonzero temperature.

Nowadays, string theory [1] is seriously considered as a candidate for a "theory of everything". Such a "theory" has to explain the way of unification of forces as well as the origin of the universe.

We suspect that some "stringy" features must be of importance in the early stage of the universe, and may solve the problems on inflation and on the initial singularity.

One can derive a set of Einstein equations from string theory [2] as an effective field theory: even if we use the equations with the other aspects of string theory, we have yet no fully satisfactory superstring cosmology [3] however.

In a recent paper, Antoniadis et al. suggested an attempt to consider the interplay between string theory and cosmology by assuming evolution equations of the type of Robertson-Walker universe in the effective field theory [4].

The authors of ref. [4] insisted on the importance of loop effects to solve the problem of dilaton potential [5] wich concerns some aspects of cosmology. If it is the case, why does not the thermal effect which arises from just the loop effect [6] have some importance on cosmology? Particularly, in string theory, it is interesting to take into account the thermal matter because of its peculiar behaviour at high temperature [7]. It is remarkable that the authors of ref. [4] brought various possibilities to cosmology. It is quite reasonable to respect the finite-temperature effect on cosmological solutions obtained from their scenarios.

In the rest of this letter, we introduce temperature into the cosmological equations in the manner of ref. [8]. Here, we consider closed bosonic strings only, though they contain a tachyon, for a pedagogical reason. To apply the formulation to superstrings is straightforward. We investigate derivation of a set of Einstein equations when the background space-time is nearly flat, to make a first step to the "stringy" cosmology.

In string theory equations of motion for background fields are derived by requiring conformal invariance on sigma models [2]. As stated in Ref. [4], the effective action for these equations has a relation to the center of Virasoro algebra [2]. Practically, when we want to get loop corrections to equations of motion, we apply the following equation to an appropriate loop order; [9, 10]

$$\sum_{genus} \langle V(0) \rangle = 0.$$
 (1)

This must hold for all massless vertex operator V; because of momentum conservation, the vertex operator has momentum here.

We get along with this line; we follow particularly the scheme in ref. [10]. In addition, we consider the graviton vertex. The use of the dilaton vertex raises problems, which are related to ones pointed out previously; the connection to the center of the Virasoro algebra is problematical especially in our case, as we will mention.

We start with the physical graviton vertex in eq. (1). We treat it at the one-loop level, that is, genus one.

The action for the closed bosonic string in twenty-six dimensions is given by

$$S = \frac{T}{2} \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X^{\nu} G_{\mu\nu}(X) \,, \tag{2}$$

where G is the background metric and T is the string tension. The vertex operator for gravitons is

$$V(p) = T \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X^{\nu} \zeta_{\mu\nu}(p) e^{ip \cdot X} , \qquad (3)$$

where the polarization tensor ζ satisfies $\zeta^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu} = 0$ and $\zeta^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$.

Then the tadpole amplitude can be calculated by using Polyakov's path integral method (see ref. [8, 11]). For genus zero, the world sheet has S^2 topology, and then we get

$$\langle V(0; G^0_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{S^2} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad G^0_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \,,$$
 (4)

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag.(-1, 1, ..., 1)$. However, if the space-time is slightly curved, it yields a non-trivial result,

$$\langle V(0;G_{\mu\nu})\rangle_{S^2} \neq 0.$$
(5)

The deviation $\Delta G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} - \zeta_{\mu\nu}$ is presumably of order of the loop coupling constant g^2 . The above is compensated by the one-loop, one-partle amplitude

$$\langle V(0; G^0_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{T^2} \neq 0, \qquad (6)$$

where T^2 denotes two-torus. Therefore we observe the following equation to the one-loop level:

$$\langle V(0; G_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{S^2} + \langle V(0; G^0_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{T^2} = 0.$$
 (7)

In the curved space-time, the fact that $\langle V(0; G_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{S^2}$ becomes finite comes from the cancellation of logarithmic divergences from the volume of Möbius transformations and the short-distance limit of the two-point correlation function [10]. After regularization, we can get [9, 10]

$$\langle V(0; G_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{S^2} = cg^{-2} \zeta^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} ,$$
 (8)

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is Ricci tensor constructed from $G_{\mu\nu}$ and c is a calculable constant under a certain regularization scheme.

On the other hand, we can incorporate temperature into the calculation of the one-loop tadpole amplitude $\langle V(0; G^0_{\mu\nu}) \rangle_{T^2}$, by making use of the imaginary-time method in field theory [6, 8].

The amplitude at zero temperature is formally written as follows [8]:

$$\langle V(0) \rangle = \int d^2 \tau (\det' P^+ P)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\int d^2 z} \det' \Delta \right)^{-13} \cdot \frac{1}{\Omega} \int DX^{\mu} e^{-S} \zeta_{\mu\nu} \int d^2 z \, T \langle \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial^a X^{\nu} \rangle ,$$
 (9)

where Ω is the gauge volume; for other notations, see [8, 11]. Actually, this diverges because of the existence of a tachyon.

The prescription given by Polchinski [8] is that the zero-mode of the "timecoordinate" possesses a periodic structure in terms of the two dimensional coordinates z^2 , i.e.,

$$X^{0}(z^{1}, z^{2} + 1) = X^{0}(z^{1}, z^{2}) + r\beta, \qquad (10)$$

where r is an integer (winding number) and β is regarded as the inverse of temperature. At the same time, we must set $\eta^{00} = +1$ to take the Euclidean signature. Accordingly, the zero-mode part emerges in the action [8], and furthermore, the correlation function of the "time" component is regarded as:

$$\langle \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial^a X^{\nu} \rangle = + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{T\tau_2} + \frac{r^2 \beta^2}{\tau_2^2} \delta^{\mu 0} \delta^{\nu 0}$$

+(the divergence from a delta-function). (11)

Owing to this, the result of the calculation of the amplitude at finite temperature turns out to be Lorentz noncovariant

$$\langle V(0) \rangle_{T^2} = -\zeta^{00} T_{00} - \zeta^{ij} T_{ij} , \qquad (12)$$

where

$$T_{00} = T^{13} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_2}{2\pi\tau_2^2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 e^{4\pi\tau_2} (2\pi\tau_2)^{-12} \left| \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n\tau}) \right|^{-48} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{-r^2 \beta^2 T/2\tau_2} \left(1 + \frac{r^2 \beta^2 T}{\tau_2} \right), \qquad (13)$$

while

$$T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} T^{13} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau_2}{2\pi\tau_2^2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 e^{4\pi\tau_2} (2\pi\tau_2)^{-12} \left| \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau}) \right|^{-48} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^\infty e^{-r^2 \beta^2 T/2\tau_2},$$
(14)

where i, j = 1, 2, ..., 25.

In each equation above, the volume factor is discarded; it can be absorbed into the Newton constant.

Consequently, from eqs. (8), (12), (13), and (14), we can express the effective equation as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = c'T_{\mu\nu} + (\text{terms proportional to } \eta_{\mu\nu}), \qquad (15)$$

where c' is a constant of order g^2 . Because of tracelessness of the physical graviton, we failed to determine the part proportional to the metric tensor in this level. Of course by demanding the conservation of energy-momentum, we can reconstruct the full Einstein equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{D-2} G_{\mu\nu} T^{\lambda}_{\lambda} \right) , \qquad (16)$$

with D = 26. Here $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$; G is the Newton constant. These are precisely the expected results. $T_{\mu\nu}$ is written by

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = diag.(-\rho, p, \dots, p), \qquad (17)$$

where the energy density ρ and the pressure p can be derived from the free energy density f originally calculated in ref. [8]:

$$f = -T^{13} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau_2}{2\pi\tau_2^2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 e^{4\pi\tau_2} (2\pi\tau_2)^{-12} \left| \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - e^{2\pi i n\tau}) \right|^{-48} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^\infty e^{-r^2 \beta^2 T/2\tau_2},$$
(18)

by the relation in thermodynamics

$$\rho = f - (\beta^{-1}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\beta^{-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad p = -f.$$
(19)

Now we must make remarks: how can we derive the effective action for background fields directly from the calculation of dilaton tadpole amplitudes, which is expected to be connected with the central charge of Virasoro algebra? That amplitude might contain the part, which could not be derived above and is proportional to the metric tensor of the Einstein equation. However, at finite temperature, there are two possibilities: the amplitude includes whether the trace of the energy-momentum tensor or the free energy. The origin of the problem must be attributed partially to the lack of the information of background fields in vertex functions. In the level of approximation here, we used the vertex functions in flat space-time; in the case treated above, the polarization tensor ζ is constrained to obey tr $\zeta = 0$ and $p \cdot \zeta = 0$. This cannot play the full role of the external sources in partition functions. The same is true for the dilaton vertex and the situation is rather worse due the ambiguity in its form even in flat space-time. Moreover, the construction of the vertex operators in curved spaces is not sufficiently understood at least for the present perpose.

Therefore, to my knowledge, it is difficult to give the answer definitively. It might be guessed that a more suitable form of the vertex operator would exist. This problem is related to the problem referred in ref. [12].

The derivation of Einstein equations above is mathematically well defined except for the actual divergence due to a tachyon. But this has no meaning in physics. As one might have already been aware, there are contributions from gravitons and from infinitely massive states in the right-hand side of eq. (15). In our universe, the contributions must have departed from equilibrium; moreover the inclusion of gravity in thermal physics brings about difficulties [13]. Thus we must seriously consider the non-equilibrium process and the dynamics in the universe in order to make the equations physically meaningful.

In addition, the Einstein equations derived here are very trivial ones. To take genuine "stringy" effects into consideration, of course, we have to investigate all loop order or nonperturbative effects. Nevertheless the possibility of occurrence of inflation pointed out in ref. [4] seems to be an interesting suggestion. In this case the inclusion of temperature is necessary for constructing a more or less realistic stringy cosmology.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientist from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (# 63790150).

The author is grateful to the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for the fellowship. He also thanks Iwanami Fūjukai for financial aid.

References

- M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, two volumes (Cambridge University Press, London) 1987.
- [2] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B262 (1985) 593.

A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 2102; Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1846.

E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin. Phys. Lett. B158 (1985) 316.

- [3] K-i. Maeda, Phys. Lett. B166 (1986) 59; Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 471; Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 243.
 - P. Binétruy and M. K. Gailard. Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 3069.

S. R. Lonsdale and I. G. Moss. Phys. Lett. B189 (1987) 12.

- [4] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, J. Ellis and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B211 (1988) 393.
- [5] V. S. Kaplunovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1036.
 M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 366; Phys. Lett. B162 (1985) 299.
- [6] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 3320.
- [7] E. Alvarez, Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 418; D33 (1986) 1206; Nucl. Phys. B269 (1986) 596.
 M. J. Bowick and L. C. R. Wijewardhana. Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 2485; Gen. Rel. Grav. 18 (1986) 59.
 B. Sundborg, Nucl. Phys. B254 (1985) 583.
 S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B158 (1985) 388.
 M. Gleiser and J. G. Taylor, Phys. Lett. B164 (1985) 36.
 N. Matsuo. Prog. Theor. Phys. 77 (1987) 223; Z. Phys. C36 (1987) 289.
- [8] J. Polchinski, Commun. Math. Phys. 104 (1986) 37.
- [9] W. Fischler and L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 383; Phys. Lett. B173 (1986) 262.
 S. R. Das and S.-J. Rey, Phys. Lett. B186 (1987) 328.
- [10] H. Nishimura, M. Tabuse and M. Takama, Phys. Lett. B203 (1988) 251.
- [11] G. W. Moore and P. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. **B266** (1985) 58.
 E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. **B269** (1986) 205.
- [12] A. A. Tseytlin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A3 (1988) 365.
 J. Liu and J. Polchinski, Phys. Lett. B203 (1988) 39.
 S.-J. Rey, Phys. Lett. B203 (1988) 393.
- [13] D. J. Gross, L. Yaffe and M. Perry, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 330.