

ATOMIC REPRESENTATIONS OF CUNTZ ALGEBRAS

DORIN ERVIN DUTKAY, JOHN HAUSSERMANN, AND PALLE E.T. JORGENSEN

ABSTRACT. To a representation of \mathcal{O}_N (the Cuntz algebra with N generators) we associate a projection valued measure and we study the case when this measure has atoms. The main technical tool are the spaces invariant for all the operators S_i^* . We classify the purely atomic representations and find when such representations are permutative. Applications include: wavelet representations, representations generated by finitely correlated states, representation associated to Hadamard triples (or fractal spectral measures) and representations associated to generalized Walsh bases.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Representations of \mathcal{O}_N	3
3. S_i^* -invariant spaces	7
4. Atomic and permutative representations	11
5. Examples	19
5.1. Wavelet representations	19
5.2. Finitely correlated states	20
5.3. Representations associated to Hadamard triples	24
5.4. Representations associated to Walsh bases	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cuntz algebras are indexed by an integer $N > 1$, where N is the number of generators. As a C^* -algebra (denoted \mathcal{O}_N), it is defined by generators and relations, and it is known to be a simple, purely infinite C^* -algebra, [Cun77]. Further its K -groups are known. But its irreducible representations are highly subtle. To appreciate the importance of the study of representations of \mathcal{O}_N , recall that to specify a representation of \mathcal{O}_N amounts to identifying a system of isometries in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with orthogonal ranges adding up to \mathcal{H} . But such orthogonal splitting in Hilbert space may be continued iteratively, and as a result, one gets links between \mathcal{O}_N -representation theory to such neighboring areas as symbolic dynamics; and to filters used in signal processing, corresponding to a system of N uncorrelated frequency bands.

Returning to the subtleties of the representations of \mathcal{O}_N , and their equivalence classes, it is known, for fixed N , that the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \mathcal{O}_N , does

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L45 , 22D25, 42C40 , 47B15, 42C10 , 05A05, 37A55, 47N30.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, dynamical system, representation, C^* -algebra, endomorphism, probability, iterated function system, wavelet, spectral measures, Cuntz algebras, Walsh bases, permutative representations.

not admit a Borel cross section; i.e., the equivalence classes, under unitary equivalence, does not admit a parameterization in the measurable Borel category. (Intuitively, they defy classification.) Nonetheless, special families of inequivalent representations have been found, and they have a multitude of applications, both to mathematical physics [BJ02], to the study of wavelets [DJ08, DJ07b, Jor06a, Jor01, JSW94, FNW92, JKS12, Jor06b, GG95, JS09, Jor07, GN07, Bur04, KHL09, Kaw09, AK08, Kaw06, BV05, Kaw03], to harmonic analysis [Str89, DHJ09, DJ07a], to the study of fractals as iterated function systems [DJ06a, DJ11]; and to the study of $\text{End}(B(\mathcal{H}))$ (= endomorphisms) where \mathcal{H} is a fixed Hilbert space. Hence it is of interest to identify both discrete and continuous series of representations of \mathcal{O}_N ; as they arise in such applications.

We begin with a systematic study of $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{H} is a fixed Hilbert space. In section 2, we compute, starting with a fixed representation of \mathcal{O}_N (the Cuntz algebra with N generators), an associated spectral resolution of a maximal abelian algebra computed from the symbolic presentation of \mathcal{O}_N . This takes the form of a projection valued measure P on the Borel subsets of the Cantor group \mathcal{K}_N on a finite alphabet, see e.g., [Rud90, Kat04]. The relevance of these projection valued measures includes decompositions of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to wavelet packets, as well as to general and canonical decomposition of representations of \mathcal{O}_N , see e.g., [DJ12].

The paper is structured as follows: in section 2, we introduce the projection valued measure P associated to a representation of the Cuntz algebra and derive some of its general properties. The atoms are the infinite words ω for which $P(\{\omega\}) \neq 0$. In section 3 we study subspaces which are invariant for the adjoints of the Cuntz isometries $(S_i^*)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$. The main result of this section is Theorem 3.2 which shows that for a finite dimensional, cyclic invariant subspace M , there can be no non-periodic atoms and all cyclic atoms must be contained in M . In section 4 we study purely atomic representations, that is representations for which the projection valued measure P is supported on a set of atoms. A particular case of purely atomic representations are the permutative representations introduced in [BJ99]. This is proved in Theorem 4.12. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions for a purely atomic representation to be permutative (Theorems 4.13, 4.14). In Theorem 4.15 we show that under some mild assumptions, any (S_i^*) -invariant subspace M as above, must contain one of the vectors in the permutative basis. In Theorem 4.18 and Corollary 4.19 we show that any finite dimensional (S_i^*) -invariant subspace in a purely atomic representation must contain some elements in a cyclic atom and nothing from the non-periodic ones. In section 5 we study various classes of examples. In Theorem 5.3 we show that wavelet representations cannot have atoms except in some very special circumstances. In section 5.2 we turn to representation generated by finitely correlated states, that is representations obtained by a dilation of a finite dimensional space. We give conditions under which these representations are purely atomic or permutative. Section 5.3 refers to representations associated to Hadamard triples or fractal spectral measures. These representations are always permutative and in Theorem 5.17, we use our results to give a detailed description of the structure of such representations. A particular case (Example 5.18) involves the classical Fourier series on the interval. In section 5.4 we turn to representations of \mathcal{O}_N associated to generalized Walsh bases; these representations are also permutative and they are all equivalent (Theorem 5.19).

A notation: We will sometimes identify a closed subspace with the orthogonal projection onto it; so, for example we will use the same letter M for an invariant subspace and for the projection onto it, or we use $P(\omega)$ for the projection onto an atom and also for the subspace which is the range of the projection. Other times we will use the notation P_M to indicate the projection onto

the subspace M , and we use $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ for the range of the projection $P(\omega)$, where \mathcal{H} is the ambient Hilbert space.

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF \mathcal{O}_N

Even though, the classification problem for representations of \mathcal{O}_N , defies parametrization of the equivalence classes if we insist on covering all representations [BJKW00], it was found that for practical problems, one may limit the focus to special representations. These various subclasses (dictated by a host of applications) have nonetheless found to admit very computable invariants, up to unitary equivalence. For the case of wavelets and signal processing (quadrature mirror filters), see [BJ02, BEJ00, Jor01, Jor06a, Jor06b], fractals [Hut81, DJ06b, DJ08, JKS12, JS09], harmonic analysis [DHJ09, DHS12], affine geometry [DJ07a], statistics [DJ06b, FNW92], super-selection sectors and deformations in physics [FNW92, AK08, Kaw03, Kaw06, Kaw09, KHL09, Cun77, Jor07, JSW94, GN07], ergodic theory [KHL09, BJ99, BJO04], combinatorics and graph theory [Bur04, GN07]. This is by no means an exhaustive list.

The purpose of the present section is to offer a geometric framework for approaches to classification. Much of this is motivated by analogous results in the area of unitary representations of groups, but the case of the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_N offers a host of subtleties not present in the traditional treatments of decomposition theory.

Definition 2.1. Let $N \geq 2$. The Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N is the C^* -algebra generated by some isometries $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ satisfying the *Cuntz relations*

$$(2.1) \quad S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N), \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_i S_i^* = I.$$

Definition 2.2. Fix an integer $N \geq 2$. Let $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ be a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathbb{Z}_N := \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. We will call elements in \mathbb{Z}_N^k *words of length k* . We denote by $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_N = \mathbb{Z}_N^{\mathbb{N}}$, the set of all infinite words. Given two finite words $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$, $\beta = \beta_1 \dots \beta_m$, we denote by $\alpha\beta$ the concatenation of the two words $\alpha\beta = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta_1 \dots \beta_m$. Similarly for the case when β is infinite. Given a word $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots$ and k a non-negative integer smaller than its length we denote by

$$\omega|k := \omega_1 \dots \omega_k.$$

For a finite word $I = i_1 \dots i_n$ we denote by

$$S_I := S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_n}.$$

Definition 2.3. An infinite word ω in \mathcal{K} is called *periodic* if $\sigma^k(\omega) = \sigma^{k'}(\omega)$ for some non-negative integers $k \neq k'$. An infinite word ω is called *cyclic* if there exists $p > 0$ such that $\sigma^p(\omega) = \omega$. In this case ω is an infinite repetition of the word $\omega_1 \dots \omega_p$ and we write $\omega = \underline{\omega_1 \dots \omega_p}$. A subset Ω of \mathcal{K} is called *aperiodic* if it contains no periodic points.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ be a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A set \mathcal{M} of vectors in \mathcal{H} is called *cyclic* for the representation if

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{S_I S_J^* v : v \in \mathcal{M}, I, J \text{ finite words}\} = \mathcal{H}.$$

Definition 2.5. Fix an integer $N \geq 2$. The *Cantor group on N letters* is

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_N = \prod_1^\infty \mathbb{Z}_N = \{(\omega_1\omega_2\dots) : \omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots\},$$

an infinite Cartesian product.

The elements of \mathcal{K} are infinite words. On the Cantor group, we consider the product topology. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ the sigma-algebra of Borel subsets of \mathcal{K} .

Denote by σ the shift on \mathcal{K} , $\sigma(\omega_1\omega_2\dots) = \omega_2\omega_3\dots$. Define the inverse branches of σ : for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, $\sigma_i(\omega_1\omega_2\dots) = i\omega_1\omega_2\dots$.

For a finite word $I = i_1\dots i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_N^k$, we define the corresponding *cylinder set*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{C}(I) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{K} : \omega_1 = i_1, \dots, \omega_k = i_k\}.$$

The Pontryagin dual group of \mathcal{K} is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \{(\xi_1\xi_2\dots\xi_p00\dots) : \xi_1, \dots, \xi_p \in \mathbb{Z}_N, p \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The Fourier duality is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \omega, \xi \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^\infty \langle \omega_k, \xi_k \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^\infty e^{2\pi i \frac{\omega_k \xi_k}{N}}, \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{K}, \xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}).$$

Note that only finitely many terms in this product are not equal to 1.

For $\xi = \xi_1\dots\xi_n0\dots \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ and $I = i_1\dots i_n \in \mathbb{Z}_N^n$ we define

$$\langle I, \xi \rangle = \langle I0\dots, \xi \rangle.$$

Define the dual of the shift $\widehat{\sigma}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ by $\langle \omega, \widehat{\sigma}(\xi) \rangle = \langle \sigma(\omega), \xi \rangle$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{K}$, $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$, so

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\xi_1\dots\xi_p0\dots) = (0\xi_1\dots\xi_p0\dots).$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For every finite word I , define the projection

$$(2.4) \quad P(\mathcal{C}(I)) = S_I S_I^*.$$

Then P extends to a projection-valued measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

For $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$, $\xi = \xi_1\dots\xi_n0\dots$, define the operator

$$(2.5) \quad U(\xi) = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_N^n} \langle I, \xi \rangle S_I S_I^*.$$

Then U defines a unitary representation of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ on \mathcal{H} . Also the projection-valued measure P is the spectral decomposition (as defined by the Stone-Naimark-Ambrose-Godement theorem [Mac89]) of the unitary representation U , i.e.,

$$(2.6) \quad U(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \langle \omega, \xi \rangle P(d\omega), \quad (\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}).$$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$

$$(2.7) \quad S_i P(A) S_i^* = P(\sigma_i(A)).$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_i P(\sigma_i^{-1}(A)) S_i^* = P(A), \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})).$$

Define $\alpha : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i A S_i^*, \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})).$$

Then α is an endomorphism of $B(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \alpha(P(A)) = P(\sigma^{-1}(A)), \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})).$$

For every bounded measurable function f on \mathcal{K}

$$(2.11) \quad \alpha \left(\int_{\mathcal{K}} f(\omega) dP(\omega) \right) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(\sigma(\omega)) dP(\omega).$$

Also

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha(U(\xi)) = U(\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)), \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. For the definition of P , we use the Kolmogorov extension theorem, and we just have to check the consistency conditions. If $I = i_1 \dots i_n$ and $I' = i'_1 \dots i'_n$ are different words then the cylinders $\mathcal{C}(I)$ and $\mathcal{C}(I')$ are disjoint. The Cuntz relations imply that the projections $P(\mathcal{C}(I)) = S_I S_I^*$ and $P(\mathcal{C}(I')) = S_{I'} S_{I'}^*$ are orthogonal.

Also for a finite word I , the cylinder $\mathcal{C}(I)$ is the disjoint union of the cylinders $\mathcal{C}(Ii)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. The Cuntz relations imply that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} P(\mathcal{C}(Ii)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_{Ii} S_{Ii}^* = S_I S_I^* = P(\mathcal{C}(I)).$$

Thus, the consistency relations are satisfied and therefore P extends to a projection-valued measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

For the operators U , we check first that they are consistently defined: if $\xi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_p 0 \dots$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ then $\xi_{p+1} = 0$ and we have

$$\sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{p+1}} \langle I, \xi \rangle S_I S_I^* = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_N^p, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle Ji, \xi \rangle S_J S_i S_i^* S_J^* = \sum_J \sum_i \langle J, \xi \rangle S_J S_i S_i^* S_J^* = \sum_J \langle J, \xi \rangle S_J S_J^*.$$

Then, take $\xi, \xi' \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ and take p larger than the length of both ξ and ξ' we have, using the Cuntz relations:

$$U(\xi)U(\xi') = \sum_{I, I' \in \mathbb{Z}_N^p} \langle I, \xi \rangle \langle I', \xi' \rangle S_I S_I^* S_{I'} S_{I'}^* = \sum_I \langle I, \xi + \xi' \rangle S_I S_I^* = U(\xi + \xi').$$

To verify (2.6), take $\xi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_p 0 \dots$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ and note that the character $\omega \mapsto \langle \omega, \xi \rangle$ is constant $\langle I, \xi \rangle$ on the cylinders $\mathcal{C}(I)$ with $I \in \mathbb{Z}_N^p$. Therefore

$$\int_K \langle \omega, \xi \rangle dP(\omega) = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_N^p} \langle I, \xi \rangle P(\mathcal{C}(I)) = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_N^p} \langle I, \xi \rangle S_I S_I^* = U(\xi).$$

Equation (2.7) can be checked easily on cylinders on account of the Cuntz relations; and, since the sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is generated as a monotone class by cylinders, it extends to all Borel sets. Same for (2.8). Then (2.10) follows from (2.7); and (2.11) follows from (2.10) by the usual approximation argument and (2.12) follows from (2.11) by taking $f(\omega) = \langle \omega, \xi \rangle$. \square

Definition 2.7. We call the projection valued measure P defined in Theorem 2.6, the *projection valued measure associated to the representation* $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$.

We will use also the following notations, for an infinite word $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_N$ and for a finite word I :

$$P(\omega) = P(\{\omega\}), \quad P(I) = P(\mathcal{C}(I)) = S_I S_I^*.$$

Corollary 2.8. For any Borel set A in \mathcal{K}_N and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$:

$$(2.13) \quad S_i P(\sigma_i^{-1}(A)) = P(A) S_i, \quad S_i P(A) = P(\sigma^{-1}(A)) S_i.$$

If A is a Borel subset of \mathcal{K} with $A = \sigma^{-1}(A)$ then $P(A)$ commutes with the representation of \mathcal{O}_N .

Proof. From (2.7) we have

$$S_i P(\sigma_i^{-1}(A)) = P(\sigma_i(\sigma_i^{-1}(A))) S_i = P(A \cap \mathcal{C}(i)) S_i = P(A) P(\mathcal{C}(i)) S_i = P(A) S_i S_i^* S_i = P(A) S_i.$$

Then

$$P(\sigma^{-1}(A)) S_i = S_i P(\sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(A))) = S_i P((\sigma \circ \sigma_i)^{-1}(A)) = S_i P(A).$$

If $A = \sigma^{-1}(A)$ then, (2.13) shows that $P(A)$ commutes with the isometries and, taking the adjoint, it commutes also with their adjoints. \square

Corollary 2.9. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let P be the associated projection valued measure. For $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, set

$$\mu_\psi(B) = \|P(B)\psi\|^2 = \langle P(B)\psi, \psi \rangle, \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})).$$

Then

$$\mu_\psi(B) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mu_{S_i^* \psi}(\sigma_i^{-1}(B)), \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})).$$

Proof. Follows directly from (2.8). \square

Proposition 2.10. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and $(\tilde{S}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be two representations of \mathcal{O}_N on the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and let $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be an intertwining operator, i.e.,

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{S}_i X = X S_i, \quad \tilde{S}_i^* X = X S_i^*, \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

Let P, \tilde{P} be the associated projection valued measures. Then X intertwines P and \tilde{P} , i.e.,

$$X P(A) = \tilde{P}(A) X, \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_N)).$$

If A, B are two disjoint Borel sets in \mathcal{K}_N then $\tilde{P}(A) X P(B) = 0$. In particular if w, w' are two different finite words of the same length and if ω, ω' are two different infinite words, then

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{P}(w) X P(w') = 0, \quad \tilde{P}(\omega) X P(\omega) = 0.$$

Also

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{S}_w X S_w^* = \tilde{P}(w) X P(w).$$

If P and \tilde{P} are supported on disjoint sets, i.e., there exist disjoint Borel sets A, B in \mathcal{K}_N such that $\tilde{P}(A) = I, P(B) = I$, then the representations are disjoint.

Proof. If X intertwines the representations, then X intertwines the two unitary groups $U(\xi)$, $\tilde{U}(\xi)$, $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_N$. Then, X must intertwine their two spectral resolutions which are P and \tilde{P} . If A, B are disjoint sets, then

$$\tilde{P}(A)XP(B) = XP(A)P(B) = 0.$$

Equations (2.15) and (2.16) follow immediately.

If P and \tilde{P} are supported on disjoint sets, we have

$$X = \tilde{P}(A)XP(B) = 0,$$

so the representations are disjoint. □

3. S_i^* -INVARIANT SPACES

In the study of decomposition theory for representations $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , care must be taken in the distinction between closed subspaces in \mathcal{H} which are invariant under the system S_i , vs the tuple of adjoint operators S_i^* . Indeed for reasons of applications to quadrature mirror filters in signal processing [Jor06a] one finds that invariance under the system $(S_i^*)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ is the right starting point. This will be justified below in a geometric framework.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let M be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} and let P_M be the projection onto M . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is invariant for the operators S_i^* , i.e., $P_M S_i^* P_M = S_i^* P_M$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.
- (ii) With the endomorphism α as in (2.9), $P_M \leq \alpha(P_M)$.

In this case $\{\alpha^n(P_M)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of projections; the projection $Q = \vee_n \alpha^n(P_M)$ commutes with the representation; if $Q_n = \alpha^n(P_M) - \alpha^{n-1}(P_M)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $Q_0 = P_M$, then the projections Q_n , $n \geq 0$ are mutually orthogonal and

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n = Q.$$

The following relations hold:

$$(3.1) \quad S_i^* \alpha^n(P_M) = \alpha^{n-1}(P_M) S_i^*, \quad S_i \alpha^{n-1}(P_M) = \alpha^n(P_M) S_i, \quad (n \geq 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N),$$

$$(3.2) \quad S_i^* Q_1 = P_M S_i^* (I - P_M), \quad S_i^* Q_{n+1} = Q_n S_i^*, \quad S_i Q_n = Q_{n+1} S_i, \quad (n \geq 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

Also, the following are equivalent

- (i) M is cyclic for the representation.
- (ii) $Q = \vee_n \alpha^n(P_M) = I$.
- (iii) $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} Q_n = I$.

Proof. For the first equivalence, if M is invariant, take $v \in M$, then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, $S_i^* v \in M$ so $P_M S_i^* v = S_i^* v$ so

$$\alpha(P_M)v = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_i P_M S_i^* v = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_i S_i^* v = v.$$

Conversely, if $P_M \leq \alpha(P_M)$ then take $v \in M$ then

$$v = \alpha(P_M)v = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} S_i P_M S_i^* v,$$

so $S_j^* v = P_M S_j^* v$, that is $S_j^* v \in M$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Since α is an endomorphism $\alpha(P_M) \leq \alpha(\alpha(P_M))$ so, by induction, the sequence $\{\alpha^n(P_M)\}$ is increasing. Clearly, this implies that the projections Q_n are mutually orthogonal. Equations (3.1), (3.2) follow directly from the Cuntz relations and the invariance of M .

For the last equivalence, it is clear that (ii) is equivalent to (iii).

Note that

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha^n(P_M)\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_I v : |I| = n, v \in M\}.$$

Indeed, if $v = \sum_{|I|=n} S_I P_M S_I^* v$, then, since $P_M S_I^* v \in M$, the vector v is the span. Conversely, take $S_J v$ with $v \in M$ and $|J| = n$ then $\alpha^n(P_M)S_J v = S_J v$ so $S_J v$ is in $\alpha^n(P_M)\mathcal{H}$.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from this. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let P be the associated projection valued measure. Suppose M is a finite dimensional, cyclic invariant subspace for S_i^* , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then for any non-periodic word $\omega \in \mathcal{K}$, $P(\omega) = 0$. For any cyclic word ω , $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \subset M$.*

Proof. We need some lemmas:

Lemma 3.3. *For every infinite word ω in \mathcal{K} :*

$$(3.4) \quad S_i P(\omega) S_i^* = P(i\omega), \text{ so } S_{\omega_1}^* P(\omega) S_{\omega_1} = P(\sigma(\omega)), \text{ and } S_i^* P(\omega) S_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq \omega_1.$$

Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad S_i P(\omega)\mathcal{H} = P(i\omega)\mathcal{H}, \quad S_{\omega_1}^* P(\omega)\mathcal{H} = P(\sigma(\omega))\mathcal{H}, \quad S_i^* P(\omega)\mathcal{H} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq \omega_1.$$

Also, S_i is unitary between $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ and $P(i\omega)\mathcal{H}$ and S_i^* is unitary between $P(i\omega)\mathcal{H}$ and $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Everything follows easily from (2.7). □

Lemma 3.4. *If M is a cyclic invariant subspace for S_i^* , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, and P_M is the projection onto M , then, for every $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any finite word I with $|I| \geq n_\epsilon$, we have $\|P_M S_I^* v - S_I^* v\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Write $v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n v$ as in Proposition 3.1. Then $\sum_n \|Q_n v\|^2 = \|v\|^2 < \infty$. So, there exists n_ϵ such that $\sum_{n \geq n_\epsilon} \|Q_n v\|^2 < \epsilon$.

Now take a finite word I with $|I| \geq n_\epsilon$. For $n \leq |I|$, using (3.2), we have $S_I^* Q_n v \in M$.

For $n > |I|$ we have $S_I^* Q_n v = Q_{n-|I|} S_I^* v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_M S_I^* v - S_I^* v\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n \leq |I|} (P_M S_I^* Q_n v - S_I^* Q_n v) + \sum_{n > |I|} (P_M S_I^* Q_n v - S_I^* Q_n v) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n > |I|} (0 - Q_{n-|I|} S_I^* v) \right\|^2 = \sum_{n > |I|} \|Q_{n-|I|} S_I^* v\|^2 = \sum_{n > |I|} \|S_I^* Q_n v\|^2 \leq \sum_{n > |I|} \|Q_n v\|^2 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

We return to the proof of the theorem. Let ω be a non-periodic word. Suppose $P(\omega) \neq 0$ and let $v \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$, $\|v\| = 1$. Then, with Lemma 3.3, the sequence $S_{\omega|k}^*v$, $k \geq 0$ is orthonormal.

With Lemma 3.4, $P_M S_{\omega|k}^*v - S_{\omega|K}^*v$ converges to 0. Since M is finite dimensional there exists a subsequence such that $P_M S_{\omega|n_k}^*v$ converges to some $u \in M$. Then also $S_{\omega|n_k}^*v$ converges to u . But, since these vectors are orthonormal, the distance between them is always $\sqrt{2}$ so the subsequence cannot converge.

Now take ω a cyclic word $\omega = \underline{I}$ and assume $P(\omega) \neq 0$ and suppose there is a $v \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$, $v \neq 0$ such that $v \perp (P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \cap M)$.

Since M is invariant and with Lemma 3.3, $S_I^*(M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}) \subset M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. Since M is finite dimensional and S_I^* is unitary on $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$, we actually get $S_I^*(M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}) = M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. Let L be the orthogonal complement of $M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ in $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. Then we also have $S_I^*L = L$, again, since S_I^* is unitary on $P(\omega)$. Then, as $v \in L$ we get that $S_{I^n}^*v \in L$ and $\|S_{I^n}^*v\| = 1$, for all $n \geq 0$.

With Lemma 3.4, we have $P_M S_{I^n}^*v - S_{I^n}^*v \rightarrow 0$. Since M is finite dimensional there is subsequence such that $P_M S_{I^{n_k}}^*v$ converges to some $u \in M$. Also, we have

$$P_{M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}} P_M S_{I^n}^*v = P_M P_{M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}} S_{I^n}^*v = 0,$$

so $P_M S_{I^n}^*v \perp (M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H})$. Then $u \perp (M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H})$.

We have also $S_{I^{n_k}}^*v \rightarrow u$ so $u \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ and therefore $u \in M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. But this means that $u = 0$ because u belongs to $M \cap P(\omega)$ and its complement; and also, $\|u\| = \lim \|S_{I^{n_k}}^*v\| = \|v\| \neq 0$, a contradiction.

□

Example 3.5. If the invariant space in Theorem 3.2 is not finite dimensional, then the result is not necessarily true. Let $\mathcal{W}(\underline{0})$ be the set of infinite words that end in $\underline{0}$. Define a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 on $l^2(\mathcal{W}(\underline{0}))$ by

$$S_i \delta_{w\underline{0}} = \delta_{iw\underline{0}}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $\delta_{w\underline{0}}$ is the canonical basis for $l^2(\mathcal{W}(\underline{0}))$.

Consider the unitary shift operator U on $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $U\delta_n = \delta_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the operators \tilde{S}_i on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes l^2(\mathcal{W}(\underline{0}))$ by

$$\tilde{S}_0(\delta_n \otimes \delta_{\underline{0}}) = \delta_{n+1} \otimes \delta_{\underline{0}}, \quad \tilde{S}_0(\delta_n \otimes \delta_{w\underline{0}}) = \delta_n \otimes \delta_{0w\underline{0}}, \quad (w \neq 00\dots 0)$$

$$\tilde{S}_1(\delta_n \otimes \delta_{w\underline{0}}) = \delta_n \otimes \delta_{1w\underline{0}}.$$

Thus

$$\tilde{S}_0 = U \otimes P_0 + I \otimes (S_0 P_0^\perp), \quad \tilde{S}_1 = I \otimes S_1,$$

where P_0 is the projection onto the span of $\delta_{\underline{0}}$. Note that P_0 commutes with S_0 and S_0^* and so the same is true for P_0^\perp .

It is easy to check that $(\tilde{S}_i)_{i=0,1}$ defines a representation of \mathcal{O}_2 .

Let

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{\delta_n \otimes \delta_{w\underline{0}} : n \leq 0, w \text{ finite word}\}.$$

Then M is invariant for S_0^*, S_1^* and cyclic for the representation.

However, if P, \tilde{P} are the associated projection valued measure, then

$$\tilde{P}(\underline{0}) = \lim_n \tilde{S}_0^n (\tilde{S}_0^*)^n = \lim_n I \otimes P_0 + I \otimes S_0^n (S_0^*)^n P_0^\perp = \lim_n I \otimes P(\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n \text{ times}}) = P_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \overline{\text{span}\{\delta_{\underline{0}}\}}}$$

is not contained in P_M .

The theorem of Wold states that if S is a single isometry acting in a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then \mathcal{H} splits up as a sum of two orthogonal subspaces, canonical, in the sense that S will restrict to the first subspace to be a shift operator, while S restricts to a unitary operator in the second closed subspace. Now a representation of \mathcal{O}_N is a system of isometries (subject to (2.1)), and so the Wold decomposition applied to one S_i will then have to satisfy consistency properties with respect to the other isometries. The following Remark is fleshing out these consistency conditions. To ease notation below we will denote the respective closed subspaces in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with subscripts “shift” and “unitary” respectively. For further details regarding the Wold decomposition and \mathcal{O}_N -representations, see [BJO04].

Remark 3.6. The atoms corresponding to cycle points $\omega = \underline{I}$ coincide with the unitary part of the Wold decomposition for the isometry S_I . Let $I = i_1 \dots i_p$ be some finite word and let $\text{Unitary}(S_I)$ be the unitary part in the Wold decomposition of S_I , i.e.,

$$\text{Unitary}(S_I) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} S_I^n \mathcal{H} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} S_I^n (S_I^*)^n \mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|S_I^n x\| = \|x\|, \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

But since

$$S_I^n (S_I^n)^* = P(\underbrace{II \dots I}_{n \text{ times}})$$

it follows that

$$\text{Unitary}(S_I) = P(\underline{I})\mathcal{H}.$$

If I, I' are two finite words such that $\underline{I} \neq \underline{I}'$ then it follows that the unitary parts of the Wold decompositions are orthogonal

$$\text{Unitary}(S_I) \perp \text{Unitary}(S_{I'}).$$

Also, if we define the subspace

$$M(\underline{I}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \text{Unitary}(S_{i_k \dots i_p i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}),$$

then

$$M(\underline{I}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} P(\sigma^k(\underline{I}))\mathcal{H},$$

and therefore we see, with Lemma 3.3 that $M(\underline{I})$ is invariant for S_i^* , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

With (3.3) we see that

$$\alpha^n(P_{M(\underline{I})}) = \bigoplus \{P(w\underline{I}) : |w| \leq n\}$$

and, with $Q(\underline{I}) = \bigvee_n \alpha^n(P_{M(\underline{I})})$ we have, that $Q(\underline{I})$ is invariant for the representation and

$$Q(\underline{I}) = \bigoplus \{P(\omega) : \omega \in \text{Orbit}(\underline{I})\},$$

where $\text{Orbit}(\underline{I})$ is the orbit of \underline{I} under σ, σ^{-1} ,

$$\text{Orbit}(\underline{I}) = \bigcup_{k,l \geq 0} \sigma^{-k} \sigma^l(\underline{I}).$$

If we consider the spaces $\text{Unitary}(S_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and then construct the space $\mathcal{H}_{unit} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} Q(\underline{i})$ then \mathcal{H}_{unit} is invariant for the representation and if \mathcal{H}_{shift} is its complement, then \mathcal{H}_{shift} is also

invariant for the representation and moreover the restrictions of the isometries S_i to \mathcal{H}_{shift} are pure isometries.

Similarly, if we take all finite words I and construct the space $\mathcal{H}_U = \oplus\{M(\underline{I}) : I \text{ finite word}\}$ and let \mathcal{H}_S be its orthogonal complement, then \mathcal{H}_S is invariant for the representation and all isometries S_I are pure shifts on \mathcal{H}_S .

4. ATOMIC AND PERMUTATIVE REPRESENTATIONS

In [BJ99], the authors introduced a family of \mathcal{O}_N -representations which has since proved to be especially amenable to applications in discrete mathematics (see e.g., [Bur04, GN07]), as well as in physics [AK08, Kaw03, Kaw06, Kaw09, KHL09]. Below we study the relationship between these representations of \mathcal{O}_N to the family of purely atomic representations introduced here.

While there is no known classification of all representations of \mathcal{O}_N , the authors of [BJ99] identified a more amenable subclass of \mathcal{O}_N representations, named permutative representations (Definition 4.9, named thus because they permute the elements in some special orthogonal basis in the Hilbert space carrying the representation. Combining this with the Cuntz relations (2.1), one gets a partition of the index set I for the special ONB. What makes the permutative representations amenable to computations (classification and applications) is the existence of an encoding mapping E from the index set I into the space \mathcal{K}_N of infinite words in the alphabet \mathbb{Z}_N . Properties of permutative \mathcal{O}_N representations may thus be calculated from the associated encoding mappings, and vice versa. From the permutative property of such a representation, we get a mapping system on the index set I for the ONB, σ and (σ_i) , branches of the inverse for σ (in I), so endomorphisms in I . Now the encoding mapping E goes from I to \mathcal{K}_N , and \mathcal{K}_N in turn carries its own shift maps, i.e., shift to the left, and shift to the right with insertion of a letter on the first place (see Definition (2.5). A key property of the encoding mapping E (Proposition 4.10) is that it intertwines the respective mapping systems. As a result the range of E , the subset $E(I)$ (in \mathcal{K}_N) is a non-sofic subshift (see e.g., [Tho04]); actually $E(I)$ is doubly invariant.

Definition 4.1. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We say that the representation is *purely atomic* if the associated projection-valued measure P is purely atomic, i.e., there exist a subset Ω of \mathcal{K} such that

$$\oplus_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\omega) = I.$$

We also say that the representation is supported on Ω . For a purely atomic representation, we call the set

$$\text{supp}(P) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{K} : P(\omega) \neq 0\}$$

the support of P .

Proposition 4.2. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with projection valued measure P . Define

$$(4.1) \quad A(\mathcal{H}) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{K} : P(\omega) \neq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{atomic} := \oplus_{\omega \in A(\mathcal{H})} P(\omega)\mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{cont} := \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_{atomic}.$$

Then the set $A(\omega)$ is invariant for the maps σ and σ_i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$; the spaces \mathcal{H}_{atomic} and \mathcal{H}_{cont} are invariant for the representation. The subsrepresentation of \mathcal{O}_N on \mathcal{H}_{atomic} is purely atomic, and the subsrepresentation on \mathcal{H}_{cont} has no atoms.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3, we see that $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ implies that $P(\sigma_i(\omega))\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ and $P(\sigma(\omega))\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ so $A(\mathcal{H})$ invariant under σ_i and σ . Then, Corollary 2.8, implies that \mathcal{H}_{atomic} is invariant for the representation and so \mathcal{H}_{cont} is too.

The projection valued measure associated to the subrepresentation on \mathcal{H}_{atomic} is $P_a(A) = P(A)P_{\mathcal{H}_{atomic}}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore

$$\bigoplus_{\omega \in A(\mathcal{H})} P_a(\omega) = I_{\mathcal{H}_{atomic}},$$

so, this subrepresentation is purely atomic.

If, by contradiction, the subrepresentation on \mathcal{H}_{cont} has an atom, ω , then $P(\omega)P_{\mathcal{H}_{cont}} \neq 0$ so $P(\omega) \neq 0$. But then $\omega \in A(\mathcal{H})$ so $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_{atomic}$ and therefore $P(\omega)P_{\mathcal{H}_{cont}} = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N and let P be the associated projection valued measure, with support Ω . Decompose Ω into disjoint orbits under σ, σ^{-1} , i.e., $\text{Orbit}(\omega) = \bigcup_{k,l \geq 0} \sigma^{-k} \sigma^l(\omega)$:*

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\omega} \text{Orbit}(\omega).$$

Then the representation splits into a direct sum of representations, more precisely, for each ω the projection $P(\text{Orbit}(\omega))$ is invariant for the representation and

$$\bigoplus_{\omega} P(\text{Orbit}(\omega)) = I.$$

Proof. The fact that $P(\text{Orbit}(\omega))$ is invariant for the representation is a consequence of Corollary 2.8. Everything else follows from this. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be an irreducible representation of \mathcal{O}_N . Suppose the representation has an atom ω . Then the representation is purely atomic and supported on $\text{Orbit}(\omega)$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma^{-1}(\text{Orbit}(\omega)) = \text{Orbit}(\omega)$, it follows that $P(\text{Orbit}(\omega)) \neq 0$ is invariant for the representation, and since this is irreducible, we get that $P(\text{Orbit}(\omega)) = I$ which implies that the representation is purely atomic. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and $(\tilde{S}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be two purely atomic representations of \mathcal{O}_N , with associated projection valued measures P and \tilde{P} . Assume that the representations are supported on $\text{Orbit}(\omega)$ and $\text{Orbit}(\omega')$ respectively for two infinite words ω and ω' .*

- (i) *If $\text{Orbit}(\omega) \neq \text{Orbit}(\omega')$ then the representations are disjoint.*
- (ii) *If $\omega = \omega'$ and ω is not periodic, there is a linear isometric isomorphism between intertwiners $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and operators $Y : P(\omega) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\omega)$ defined by $Y = \tilde{P}(\omega)XP(\omega)$.*
- (iii) *If $\omega = \omega'$ and $\omega = \underline{I}$ is a cycle, then there is a linear isometric isomorphism between intertwiners $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and operators $Y : P(\omega) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\omega)$ with the property*

$$(4.2) \quad YS_I = \tilde{S}_I Y \text{ on } P(\omega),$$

defined by $Y = \tilde{P}(\omega)XP(\omega)$.

Proof. Distinct orbits are disjoint so (i) follows from Proposition 2.10.

For (ii), we have to check that each operator $Y : P(\omega) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\omega)$ induces an intertwiner X such that $\tilde{P}(\omega)XP(\omega) = Y$. We need a lemma

Lemma 4.6. *Let a, a' be two finite words, $k, k' \geq 0$ and ω and infinite non-periodic word. If $a\sigma^k(\omega) = a'\sigma^{k'}(\omega)$ then $S_a S_{\omega|k}^* = S_{a'} S_{\omega|k'}^*$ on $P(\omega)$.*

Proof. So assume $a\sigma^k(\omega) = a'\sigma^{k'}(\omega)$ and in addition that the length of a' is bigger than or equal to the length of a . Then a' must be of the form aa_0 and $\sigma^k(\omega) = a_0\sigma^{k'}(\omega)$. Let m be the length of a_0 . We have $\sigma^{k+m}(\omega) = \sigma^{k'}(\omega)$. Since ω is non-periodic, we have $k' = k + m$. And therefore $a_0 = \omega_{k+1} \dots \omega_{k+m}$.

Then, on $P(\omega)$, we have (since the isometries are unitary between atoms, by Lemma 3.3):

$$S_{a'} S_{\omega|k'}^* = S_a S_{a_0} S_{\omega_{k+m}}^* \dots S_{\omega_{k+1}}^* S_{\omega|k}^* = S_a S_{\omega|k}^*.$$

□

Every point in the orbit of ω is of the form $a\sigma^k(\omega)$. Define X from $P(a\sigma^k(\omega))$ to $\tilde{P}(a\sigma^k(\omega))$ by

$$X = \tilde{S}_a \tilde{S}_{\omega|k}^* Y S_{\omega|k} S_a^*.$$

Lemma 4.6 shows that this operator is well defined, in the sense that it does not depend on the witting $a\sigma^k(\omega)$ for a point in the orbit of ω . We check that X intertwines.

Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, and $\phi \in P(a\sigma^k(\omega))$. Then $S_i \phi \in P(ia\sigma^k(\omega))$, so

$$X S_i \phi = \tilde{S}_{ia} \tilde{S}_{\omega|k}^* Y S_{\omega|k} S_{ia}^* S_i \phi = \tilde{S}_i \tilde{S}_a \tilde{S}_{\omega|k}^* Y S_{\omega|k} S_a^* S_i^* S_i \phi = \tilde{S}_i X \phi.$$

Now consider $S_i^* \phi$. If i is not the first letter of a , this is zero. Similarly, if i is not the first letter of a then $\tilde{S}_i^* \tilde{S}_a = 0$, so it is enough to check that $\tilde{S}_i^* X = X S_i^*$ when i is the first letter of a . In this case, $a = ia'$ and $S_i^* \phi \in P(a'\sigma^k(\omega))$, so we have

$$X S_i^* \phi = \tilde{S}_{a'} \tilde{S}_{\omega|k}^* Y S_{\omega|k} S_{a'}^* S_i^* \phi = \tilde{S}_{a'} \tilde{S}_{\omega|k}^* Y S_{\omega|k} S_a^* \phi = \tilde{S}_i^* X \phi.$$

We now have that X is an intertwiner.

For (iii), the proof is similar to that for (ii), but note that in this case

$$\tilde{S}_I \tilde{P}(\omega) X P(\omega) = \tilde{P}(I\omega) \tilde{S}_I X P(\omega) = \tilde{P}(\omega) X S_I P(\omega) = \tilde{P}(\omega) X P(\omega) S_I.$$

Conversely, if Y satisfies this intertwining relation, then X defined as above, in the proof of (ii), intertwines the representations. Note that, since S_I and \tilde{S}_I are unitary on $P(\omega)$ and $\tilde{P}(\omega)$ respectively, by the Fuglede-Putnam theorem [BP56], the operator Y also intertwines S_I^* and \tilde{S}_I^* .

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of \mathcal{O}_N with associated projection valued measure P . Suppose P is supported on $\text{Orbit}(\omega)$. Then the representation is irreducible if and only if $\dim P(\omega) = 1$.*

Proof. If ω is non-periodic, then the commutant is isomorphic to the space of bounded operators on $P(\omega)$, so it is one-dimensional iff $P(\omega)$ is. If $\omega = \underline{I}$ is a cycle and $\dim P(\omega) > 1$ then there are non-trivial operators which commute with the unitary S_I on $P(\omega)$. So the commutant is trivial iff $\dim P(\omega) = 1$. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}, (\tilde{S}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be two purely atomic representations of \mathcal{O}_N on the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with projection valued measure P and \tilde{P} . The two representations are unitarily equivalent if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\text{supp}(P) = \text{supp}(\tilde{P}) =: \Omega$;

- (ii) For every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\dim P(\omega) = \dim \tilde{P}(\omega)$;
- (iii) If $\omega \in \Omega$ is a cycle $\omega = \underline{I}$, then there exists a unitary map $U_\omega : P(\omega) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\omega)$ such that $U_\omega S_I = \tilde{S}_I U_\omega$ on $P(\omega)$.

Proof. If $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a unitary operator that intertwines the representations then

$$\tilde{P}(A) = UP(A)U^*$$

for any Borel subset of \mathcal{K} . (i)–(iii) follow directly from this.

Conversely, decompose Ω into orbits

$$I_{\mathcal{H}} = \oplus P(\text{Orbit}(\omega)), \quad I_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \oplus \tilde{P}(\text{Orbit}(\omega)).$$

We will define the intertwining unitary on each component; therefore we can assume $\Omega = \text{Orbit}(\omega)$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{K}$.

If ω is not periodic, then take any unitary $Y : P(\omega) \rightarrow \tilde{P}(\omega)$, which exists by (ii), and, by Proposition 4.5 (ii), we get an intertwining unitary X from \mathcal{H} to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\tilde{P}(\omega)XP(\omega) = Y$.

If ω is a cycle then we use Proposition 4.5(iii) with $Y = U_\omega$ as in (iii) and we obtain an intertwining unitary $X : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. □

We recall some fact about permutative representations, form [BJ99].

Definition 4.9. A representation $(S_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called *permutative* if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ such that for all $i \in I$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the vector $S_j e_i$ is an element of this basis. This defines the *branching maps* $\sigma_j : I \rightarrow I$ by

$$(4.3) \quad S_j e_i = e_{\sigma_j(i)}, \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_N, i \in I).$$

Proposition 4.10. [BJ99] *The maps σ_l are one-to-one and*

$$(4.4) \quad \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sigma_j(I) = I,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma_j(I) \cap \sigma_{j'}(I) = \emptyset, \quad (j \neq j').$$

Also

$$(4.6) \quad S_j^* e_{\sigma_j(i)} = e_i, \quad S_{j'}^* e_{\sigma_j(i)} = 0 \text{ for } j \neq j'.$$

For each $i \in I$ there exists uniquely $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $i_1 \in I$ such that $i = \sigma_{j_0}(i_1)$. We denote $\sigma(i) = i_1$, so $\sigma_j(\sigma(i)) = i$ for all $i \in \sigma_j(I)$ and $\sigma(\sigma_j(i)) = i$ for all $i \in I$. The map $\sigma : I \rightarrow I$ is N -to-1. (See also Remark 4.11).

Define the coding map $E : I \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_N$ by

$$(4.7) \quad E(i) = j_0 j_1 \dots, \text{ where } \sigma^k(i) \in \sigma_{j_k}(I), \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then: the set $E(I)$ is invariant for the maps σ_l and for σ ; the following intertwining relations hold:

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma_l \circ E = E \circ \sigma_l, \quad \sigma \circ E = E \circ \sigma.$$

Thus, if the coding map is one-to-one, then the set I can be identified with an invariant subset of words $E(I)$ in \mathcal{K}_N and the maps σ_I and σ can be identified with the corresponding shifts on this set.

Remark 4.11. Note that we have two systems of maps σ, σ_I , one on the index set I and one on the infinite words \mathcal{K}_N . We use the same letters for both of them to simplify the notation, but the reader should be aware of the difference.

Theorem 4.12. Let $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ be a permutative representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthonormal basis $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and encoding mapping E . Then the representation is purely atomic and supported on $E(\Lambda)$. Moreover, for $\omega \in E(\Lambda)$, $P(\omega)$ is the projection onto the closed span of the vectors e_λ , $\lambda \in E^{-1}(\omega)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the last statement, because then

$$\bigoplus_{\omega \in E(\Lambda)} P(\omega) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in E(\Lambda)} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in E^{-1}(\omega)} |e_\lambda\rangle\langle e_\lambda| = I,$$

since $\{e_\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

For the last statement, take $\omega \in E(\Lambda)$, $\omega = E(\lambda)$. Note that, by the definition of $E(\lambda)$, we have that for all $n : e_\lambda \in S_{\omega_1} \dots S_{\omega_n} \mathcal{H} = P(\mathcal{C}(\omega|n))\mathcal{H}$. The intersection of the cylinders $\mathcal{C}(\omega|n)$ is $\{\omega\}$ so $e_\lambda \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$.

Now, take $\lambda' \notin E^{-1}(\omega)$, so $E(\lambda') = \omega' \neq \omega$. Then $e_{\lambda'} \in P(\omega')\mathcal{H} \perp P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. These relations imply that $P(\omega)$ is indeed the projection onto the span of e_λ , $\lambda \in E^{-1}(\omega)$. □

Theorem 4.13. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N . If the representation is supported on an aperiodic set, then the representation is permutative. Moreover the representation can be decomposed into a direct sum of permutative representations with injective coding map.

Proof. Let Ω be the support of P . By Proposition 4.3, we can decompose Ω into orbits and each orbit will give a subrepresentation. Thus, by taking direct sums, it is enough to consider the case when Ω is the orbit of some non-periodic word ω in \mathcal{K} .

Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be some orthonormal basis in $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$.

Every point in Ω is of the form $a\sigma^k(\omega)$ for some finite word a and some non-negative integer k .

Define the orthonormal basis in $P(\{a\sigma^k(\omega)\})\mathcal{H}$ by $S_a S_{\omega|k}^* e_i$, $i \in I$. The relations in (3.5) show that this is indeed an orthonormal basis for $P(\{a\sigma^k(\omega)\})\mathcal{H}$. Lemma 4.6 shows that this does not depend on the choice of the way we write $a\sigma^k(\omega)$.

Since P is supported on Ω , we have $\bigoplus_{a,k} P(\{a\sigma^k(\omega)\}) = I$, so the union of these orthonormal bases form an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . We have to check only that the Cuntz isometries map the basis into itself. But this is clear from the definition.

To decompose the representation into a direct sum of permutative representations with injective coding maps, consider, for each vector $e_i \in \mathcal{H}$, the space

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_a S_{\omega|k}^* e_i : k \geq 0, a \text{ finite word}\}.$$

It is easy to see that the direct sum of the spaces \mathcal{H}_i is \mathcal{H} , they are invariant for the representation, and the restriction of the representation has a permutative orthonormal basis $\{S_a S_{\omega|k}^* e_i : k \geq 0, a \text{ finite word}\}$. The coding map is $E(S_a S_{\omega|k}^* e_i) = a\sigma^k(\omega)$ and it is injective. □

Theorem 4.14. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose the representation is supported on a set Ω that contains only periodic points. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The representation is permutative.*
- (ii) *For every cycle $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_p$ in the support Ω , there exists an orthonormal basis $O_\omega = \{e_i : i \in I_\omega\}$ for $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ such that $S_{\omega_1} \dots S_{\omega_p} O_\omega = O_\omega$.*

Proof. Assume (i). Let $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_p$ in Ω . From (3.5) it follows that

$$(4.9) \quad S_{\omega_1} \dots S_{\omega_p} P(\omega)\mathcal{H} = P(\omega)\mathcal{H}.$$

Let $O = \{e_\lambda : \lambda \in E^{-1}(\omega)\}$. Then (ii) follows from Theorem 4.12

Assume now (ii). As in the proof of Theorem 4.13, we can assume that the support Ω consists of a single orbit of a cyclic word $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_p$. Assume in addition that p is minimal with the property that $\sigma^p(\omega) = \omega$. Then each word in Ω can be written as $i_1 \dots i_n \omega$. Note that if $i_1 \dots i_n \omega = j_1 \dots j_{n+m} \omega$ then $i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_n = j_n$, m is a multiple of p , $m = kp$, and $j_{n+1} \dots j_m$ is a repetition of $\omega_1 \dots \omega_p$, k times.

Using again the relations (3.5), define the orthonormal basis in $P(\{i_1 \dots i_n \omega\})\mathcal{H}$ by $S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_n} O_\omega$. Using the previous relations, and the hypotheses in (ii), we see that this does not depend on the choice of the writing $i_1 \dots i_n \omega$. And it is clear that the union of all these orthonormal bases for all choices of $i_1 \dots i_n \omega$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . \square

Theorem 4.15. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a permutative representation of \mathcal{O}_N with orthonormal basis $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ and assume that the coding map is injective. Suppose P_M is a projection onto a closed subspace M invariant under all S_l^* , $l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Assume that the following condition is satisfied:*

$$(4.10) \quad \text{There exists } \lambda_0 \in I \text{ such that } \|P_M e_{\lambda_0}\| = \max\{\|P_M e_i\| : i \in I\}.$$

Then e_{λ_0} is in M .

Proof. Let $(u_i)_{i \in J}$ be an orthonormal basis for M . Since the space is invariant under S_l^* there exist constants α_{ji}^l such that

$$S_l^* u_i = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{ji}^l u_j.$$

Decompose u_i in the basis $\{e_i\}$

$$u_i = \sum_{\lambda \in I} u_{i,\lambda} e_\lambda.$$

We have, with (4.6)

$$S_l^* u_i = \sum_{\lambda' \in I} u_{i,\sigma_l(\lambda')} e_{\lambda'}.$$

Also

$$S_l^* u_i = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{ji}^l \sum_{\lambda \in I} u_{j,\lambda} e_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{ji}^l u_{j,\lambda} e_\lambda.$$

Therefore

$$u_{i,\sigma_l(\lambda)} = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{ji}^l u_{j,\lambda}.$$

Define the column vector $\mathbf{u}_\lambda = (u_{j,\lambda})_{j \in J}^T$. Note that

$$(4.11) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} |\langle u_j, e_\lambda \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} |\langle u_j, P_M e_\lambda \rangle|^2 = \|P_M e_\lambda\|^2.$$

Define also the matrices $Y_l = (\alpha_{ij}^l)_{i,j \in J}$. Let $Z_l = Y_l^T$. Thus, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\sigma_l(\lambda)} = Z_l \mathbf{u}_\lambda, \quad (\lambda \in I).$$

Using the relation $\sum_l S_l S_l^* = I$ and the fact that all the vectors $S_l u_i$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, $i \in J$ are all orthogonal we get that

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{l \in L} Z_l Z_l^* = I.$$

For $\omega = l_0 \dots l_{n-1}$ in \mathbb{Z}_N^n , denote by $Z_\omega := Z_{l_0} \dots Z_{l_{n-1}}$.
By induction, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_N^n} Z_\omega Z_\omega^* = I.$$

This implies that

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_N^n} \|Z_\omega^* v\|^2 = \|v\|^2, \quad (v \in P\mathcal{H}).$$

In particular $\|Z_l^*\| = \|Z_l\| \leq 1$.

From (4.12), we get that $\|\mathbf{u}_{\sigma_l(\lambda)}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\|$ and, from this, $\|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(\lambda)}\|$, for all $\lambda \in I$. Apply this to λ_0 , we get $\|\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(\lambda_0)}\| = \|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|$. By induction $\|\mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}\| = \|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $E(\lambda_0) = l_0 l_1 \dots$ be the coding of λ_0 . For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\omega_0 = l_0 \dots l_{n-1}$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|^2 = \|Z_{\omega_0} \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}\|^2 = |\langle Z_{\omega_0}^* Z_{\omega_0} \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}, \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|^2.$$

Since we have equalities in all inequalities we get that $Z_{\omega_0}^* Z_{\omega_0} \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)} = \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}$. Thus $Z_{\omega_0}^* \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0} = \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda_0)}$.

With (4.14), we obtain $Z_{\omega'}^* \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0} = 0$ for all $\omega' \neq \omega_0$ in \mathbb{Z}_N^n , which implies that $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0} \perp Z_{\omega'} v$ for all $\omega' \neq \omega_0$ and $v \in M$.

But then, for $\lambda = l'_0 l'_1 \dots \neq \lambda_0$, since the coding map is injective there exists n such that $l_0 \dots l_{n-1} \neq l'_0 \dots l'_{n-1}$, and this means $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0} \perp Z_{l'_0} \dots Z_{l'_{n-1}} \mathbf{u}_{\sigma^n(\lambda)} = \mathbf{u}_\lambda$.

Thus $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0} \perp \mathbf{u}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$.

We can change the orthonormal basis (u_j) is such a way that \mathbf{u}_{λ_0} has the form $(\alpha, 0, \dots)^T$, so the only non-zero component is on some fixed position j_0 . Indeed, just pick some unitary operator $A = (a_{ji})_{j,i \in J}$ such that $A \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}$ has the given form, and define $v_i = \sum_{j \in J} a_{ji} u_j$, for all $i \in J$. Note that the norms $\|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\|$ are preserved by (4.11). Since $\mathbf{u}_\lambda \perp \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$, we get that \mathbf{u}_λ is of the form $(0, *, *, \dots)^T$. But then $u_j = \alpha e_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{\lambda \neq \lambda_0} 0 \cdot e_\lambda$. This means that e_{λ_0} is in M . □

Corollary 4.16. *In the hypothesis of Theorem 4.15, if M is finite dimensional then there exists a cycle λ in I , i.e., $\sigma^n(\lambda) = \lambda$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $e_\lambda \in M$.*

Proof. Take u_1, \dots, u_p an orthonormal basis for M . We use the notations in the proof of Theorem 4.15. We have $\|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\| = \|P_M e_\lambda\|$ and

$$\sum_\lambda \|P_M e_\lambda\|^2 = \sum_\lambda \|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\|^2 = \sum_\lambda \sum_{j=1}^n |u_{j,\lambda}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_\lambda |u_{j,\lambda}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \|u_j\|^2 = p.$$

Thus all but finitely many numbers $\|P_M e_\lambda\|$ are close to zero and therefore there is a maximum in (4.10).

By Theorem 4.15, there is some λ such that $e_\lambda \in M$. Since M is invariant under all S_l^* , we get that $e_{\sigma^n(\lambda)} \in M$ for all n . Since the space is finite dimensional, there are $m \neq n$ such that $\sigma^n(\lambda) = \sigma^m(\lambda)$ and the corollary follows. \square

Remark 4.17. Theorem 4.15 and Corollary 4.16 are not true if the encoding map is not injective. Here is an example: consider two different symbols a and b , define Λ to be the set

$$\Lambda = \{a, b, wa, wb \text{ where } w \text{ is a word on } 0,1 \text{ of length } \geq 1, w \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The Hilbert space is $l^2(\Lambda)$. Define the isometries S_0, S_1 by $S_0\delta_a = \delta_b, S_0\delta_b = \delta_a, S_0\delta_{wa} = \delta_{0wa}, S_0\delta_{wb} = \delta_{0wb}, S_1\delta_a = \delta_{1a}, S_1\delta_b = \delta_{1b}, S_1\delta_{wa} = \delta_{1wa}, S_1\delta_{wb} = \delta_{1wb}$.

It is easy to check that this defines a permutative representation of \mathcal{O}_2 with basis $\{\delta_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Let M be the 1-dimensional space spanned by $\delta_a + \delta_b$. We have $S_0^*(\delta_a + \delta_b) = \delta_b + \delta_a$ and $S_1^*(\delta_a + \delta_b) = 0$. So M is invariant for $S_i^*, i = 1, 2$. However M does not contain any element of the basis. The coding map is *not* injective, since the coding of a and b is \emptyset .

Theorem 4.18. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with projection valued measure P . Suppose M is a finite dimensional subspace which is invariant for all the maps $S_i^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then there exists a cycle word ω and a vector $v \neq 0$ in $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \cap M$. Moreover, if Per is the set of periodic points in the support of P , then*

$$(4.16) \quad M \subset P(\text{Per})\mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. If the representation is decomposed into a direct sum of representations, by projecting M onto the components of the direct sum, we can assume that M is contained in one of these components. We can decompose the representation into distinct orbits.

Suppose $v \in M \cap P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ for some non-periodic ω . Then the vectors $S_{\omega|k}^* v \in M$ are orthogonal for $k \geq 0$, by Lemma 3.3. Since M is finite dimensional we get that $v = 0$. This proves (4.16). Thus we can assume M is contained in $P(\text{Orbit}(\omega))$ for some cycle ω .

Now take $Q = \vee \alpha^n(M)$. Q commutes with the representation, by Proposition 3.1, and M is cyclic for the subrepresentation of \mathcal{O}_N on $Q\mathcal{H}$. The projection valued measure corresponding to this subrepresentation will be $P^r(A) = P(A)Q = QP(A)$ for all Borel subsets A of \mathcal{K} . Clearly P^r is atomic and supported on $\text{Orbit}(\omega)$. With Theorem 3.2, we have $P^r(\omega)\mathcal{H} \subset M$ so $QP(\omega) \subset M$. We can not have $QP(\omega) = 0$, because then we apply S_w, S_w^* for all finite words, and with Lemma 3.3, we obtain that $QP(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Orbit}(\omega)$ and so $Q = 0$, a contradiction. Take a vector v in $QP(\omega)$, then v is in $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} \cap M$. \square

Corollary 4.19. *If a purely atomic representation of \mathcal{O}_N has a finite dimensional, cyclic, invariant space M for all $S_i^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, then the support contains only periodic points, and for all cycles ω in the support, $P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$ is contained in M .*

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 4.20. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a purely atomic representation of \mathcal{O}_N with projection valued measure P with support Ω . If the representation has a finite dimensional cyclic (S_i^*) -invariant space, then there is a unique minimal finite dimensional cyclic (S_i^*) -invariant space:*

$$M = \oplus \{P(\omega)\mathcal{H} : \omega \text{ cycle in } \Omega\}.$$

Proof. Corollary 4.19 shows that every finite dimensional cyclic (S_i^*) -invariant space must contain M . From Remark 3.6 we see that M is cyclic and (S_i^*) -invariant. \square

5. EXAMPLES

We already mentioned a host of areas where the representations of \mathcal{O}_N play a central role, and in the present section we outline four: wavelets, finitely correlated states, Hadamard triples, and Walsh bases. We show that, with our present theorems, we are able to advance conclusions contained in earlier papers on these subjects, see e.g., [BJKW00, Jor07, JSW94, GN07, DJ06a, DJ08, JKS12, JS09, FNW92].

5.1. Wavelet representations.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ with the Haar measure. Let $N \geq 2$. A family of N functions m_i in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, is called a *QMF system* if

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w^N=z} m_i(w) \overline{m_j(w)} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

Given a QMF system $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, one defines the operators S_i on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, by

$$(5.2) \quad S_i f(z) = m_i(z) f(z^N), \quad (f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), z \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Proposition 5.2. [Jor06b] *The operators $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ in Definition 5.1 form a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ called the wavelet representation associated to the QMF system $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$. The adjoints are given by the formula*

$$(5.3) \quad S_i^* f(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w^N=z} \overline{m_i(w)} f(w), \quad (f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), z \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be the wavelet representation associated to a QMF system $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, where all the functions m_i are trigonometric polynomials. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The representation has an atom.*
- (ii) *There exist i_0, \dots, i_{p-1} in \mathbb{Z}_N , $p \geq 1$, such that for all $j = 0, \dots, p-1$, we have $m_{i_j}(z) = a_j z^{k_j}$ for some $a_j \in \mathbb{T}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_0 + Nk_1 + \dots + N^{p-1}k_{p-1}$ is a multiple of $N^p - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose the representation has an atom. By [BEJ00, Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.3], there exists a finite set H in \mathbb{Z} such that the space $M = \overline{\text{span}}\{z^h : h \in H\}$ is cyclic and invariant.

By Theorem 3.2, there is a cycle word $\omega = \underline{I}$ with $P(\omega) \neq 0$, and any vector v in the atom $P(\omega)L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is contained also in M . The isometry S_I has the form

$$S_I f(z) = m_{i_0}(z) m_{i_1}(z^N) \dots m_{i_{p-1}}(z^{N^{p-1}}) f(z^{N^p}), \quad (f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), z \in \mathbb{T}).$$

The fact that v is in the atom $P(\omega)L^2(\mathbb{T})$ means that the isometry S_I has a non-trivial unitary part in its Wold decomposition. Then, with [BJ97, Theorem 3.1], we obtain that for

$$m(z) := m_{i_0}(z)m_{i_1}(z^N)\dots m_{i_{p-1}}(z^{N^{p-1}}),$$

we have $|m(z)| = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, the atom is 1-dimensional and $P(\omega)\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}v$, where $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad m(z)v(z^{N^p}) = \lambda v(z),$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

First, we show that $m(z) = az^l$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We know that m is a trigonometric polynomial. Take $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ large enough so that $P(z) := z^r m(r)$ is a polynomial. We have $|P(z)| = 1$ for $|z| = 1$. Then, the function $R(z) = P(z)\overline{P(1/\bar{z})}$ is an entire meromorphic function and $R(z) = 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $R(z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ so $P(z) = \frac{1}{\overline{P(1/\bar{z})}}$. But since $P(z)$ is a polynomial, this implies that the only zero for $P(z)$ is 0. Thus $m(z) = az^l$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the trigonometric polynomials $m_{i_j}(z^{N^j})$ divide $m(z)$ it follows that $m_{i_j}(z) = a_j z^{k_j}$ for some $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the QMF conditions imply that $a_j \in \mathbb{T}$.

Since the vector v is in M , it is a trigonometric polynomial, $v = \sum_{j=e}^d v_j z^d$, and we can assume $v_d \neq 0$. Using (5.4) and equating the largest powers, we get $l + dN^p = d$ so $l = d(1 - N^p)$. Also, the formula for m shows that $l = k_0 + Nk_1 + \dots + N^{p-1}k_{p-1}$ and this implies (ii).

For the converse let $l = k_0 + Nk_1 + \dots + N^{p-1}k_{p-1} = d(1 - N^p)$. Then, with m as above, and $v = z^d$, and $\lambda = a_{k_0} \dots a_{k_{p-1}}$, we have that (5.4) is satisfied. This means that $S_I v = \lambda v$, iterating, we get that $S_I^k v = \lambda^k v$ so $v \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. □

Corollary 5.4. *If none of the trigonometric polynomials in a QMF system is of the form az^k , $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the associated wavelet representation has no atoms. In particular, if the low-pass condition $m_0(1) = \sqrt{N}$ is satisfied then the wavelet representation has no atoms.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 5.3. If the low-pass condition is satisfied, then we also have $m_i(1) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$, so none of the functions can be of the form az^k . □

5.2. Finitely correlated states.

Theorem 5.5. [BJKW00] *Consider two representation $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, $(\tilde{S}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ of \mathcal{O}_N on the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Suppose each has a cyclic invariant (for the S_i^* , \tilde{S}_i^* respectively) subspace M and \tilde{M} and let $V_i^* = S_i^* P_M$, $\tilde{V}_i^* = \tilde{S}_i^* P_{\tilde{M}}$. There is an isometric linear isomorphism between intertwiners $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e., operators satisfying*

$$US_i = \tilde{S}_i U, \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_N)$$

and operators $V : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \tilde{V}_i V V_i^* = V;$$

given by the map $U \mapsto V = P_{\tilde{M}} U P_M$.

The operators V_i satisfy the following relation (on M):

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} V_i V_i^* = I$$

Theorem 5.6. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let P be the associated projection valued measure. Suppose M is a finite dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} invariant for all S_i^* , $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and let $\{e_i : i = 1, n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for M . Write the vectors $S_l^* e_i$ in this orthonormal basis

$$(5.7) \quad S_l^* e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ji}^l e_j.$$

Define the matrices $Z_l = (\alpha_{ij}^l)_{i,j=1}^n$. Define, for each Borel subset B of \mathcal{K} , the matrix

$$(5.8) \quad \mu_M(B) := (\langle P(B)e_i, e_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Then

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} Z_l^* Z_l = I.$$

For all Borel sets B in \mathcal{K}

$$(5.10) \quad \mu_M(B) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} Z_l^* \mu_M(\sigma_l^{-1}(B)) Z_l.$$

For all finite words $i_1 \dots i_m$ in \mathbb{Z}_N^m ,

$$(5.11) \quad \mu_M(B) = Z_I^* Z_I,$$

with the usual notation $Z_I := Z_{i_1} \dots Z_{i_m}$.

Proof. Apply S_l to (5.7), sum over $l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and use the Cuntz relation. This yields (5.9).

For a Borel set B in \mathcal{K} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(B)e_i, e_j \rangle &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle P(B \cap \mathcal{C}(l))e_i, e_j \rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle P(\sigma_l \sigma_l^{-1}(B))e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle S_l P(\sigma_l^{-1}(B)) S_l^* e_i, e_j \rangle = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle P(\sigma_l^{-1}(B)) S_l^* e_i, S_l^* e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{i', j'=1}^n \alpha_{i'i}^l \overline{\alpha_{j'j}^l} \langle P(\sigma_l^{-1}(B)) e_{i'}, e_{j'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (5.10). The relation (5.11) follows from (5.10), taking B to be a cylinder and using induction. □

Definition 5.7. A representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called *generic* if there exists a cyclic vector ψ in \mathcal{H} with $\|\psi\| = 1$ and constants $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ such that

$$S_i^* \psi = z_i \psi, \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

Note that in this case, from the Cuntz relation, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |z_i|^2 = 1.$$

Proposition 5.8. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Every generic representation of \mathcal{O}_N is irreducible.*
- (ii) *Two generic representations of \mathcal{O}_N , with constants $z = (z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ are equivalent if the corresponding vectors z and \tilde{z} are proportional, $z = \lambda \tilde{z}$, $|\lambda| = 1$; they are disjoint otherwise.*
- (iii) *For a generic representation of \mathcal{O}_N with vector ψ and constants $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, let P be the associated projection valued measure and let μ_ψ be the measure on \mathcal{K}_N defined by*

$$\mu_\psi(B) = \langle P(B)\psi, \psi \rangle, \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_N)).$$

Then, the measure μ_ψ satisfies the following invariance equation

$$(5.12) \quad \mu_\psi(B) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |z_l|^2 \mu_\psi(\sigma_l^{-1}(B)), \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_N)).$$

- (iv) *A generic representation of \mathcal{O}_N has an atom if and only if $|z_i| = 1$ for one of $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. In this case, the representation is purely atomic, supported on $\{w\underline{i} : w \text{ finite word}\}$, and it is permutative iff $z_i = 1$.*

Proof. The first two statements follow from Theorem 5.5: the space M spanned by the vector ψ is cyclic, one-dimensional and invariant for the S_i^* 's. The commutant of the representation is in 1-1 correspondence with numbers V such that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |z_i|^2 V = V$, but those are all the numbers in \mathbb{C} .

For two such generic representations, the intertwiners are in 1-1 correspondence with numbers V such that

$$V \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z_i \bar{\tilde{z}}_i = V.$$

To have non-zero solutions, we must have that $\sum_i z_i \bar{\tilde{z}}_i = 1$ and this means we have equality in the Schwarz inequality so the vectors z and \tilde{z} are proportional.

(iii) follows from Theorem 5.6. If the representation has an atom then, by (i) and Proposition 4.4 it is purely atomic. By Corollary 4.19 every atom has to be periodic and every atom which is a cycle \underline{i} has to be contained in the span of ψ . Then $S_{\underline{i}}^*$ has to be unitary on ψ so $|z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_p}| = 1$. Since $\sum_i |z_i|^2 = 1$ this implies that all the i_k are equal to some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $|z_i| = 1$. Therefore $|z_j| = 0$ for $j \neq i$. The representation is supported on $\{w\underline{i} : w \text{ finite word}\}$.

If $|z_i| = 1$ then \underline{i} is an atom and the representation is supported on its orbit (by Proposition 4.4).

If $z_i = 1$ then $\{S_{w\underline{1}}\psi : w \text{ finite word}\}$ is a permutative orthonormal basis. Conversely, if the representation is permutative, then by Theorem 4.14, since the cycle \underline{i} is the span of ψ , we must have $S_{\underline{i}}^*\psi = \psi$ so $z_i = 1$. \square

Remark 5.9. It follows that the measure μ_ψ is the infinite product measure corresponding to the weights form (5.12) on the letters in \mathbb{Z}_N . As a result, pairs of distinct weights on \mathbb{Z}_N yield pairs of mutually singular measures μ_ψ on \mathcal{K}_N (by Kakutani).

Example 5.10. Consider the wavelet representation associated to the Haar filters $m_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{2}}$, $m_1(z) = \frac{1-z}{\sqrt{2}}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$, $N = 2$.

Then

$$S_0^*1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w^2=z} \frac{1+\bar{w}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad S_1^*1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w^2=z} \frac{1-\bar{w}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then, by Corollary 5.8, the measure μ_1 satisfies the invariance equation

$$\mu_1(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1(\sigma_0^{-1}(B)) + \mu_1(\sigma_1^{-1}(B))), \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_2)).$$

But this means that μ_1 is the Haar measure on \mathcal{K}_2 .

It follows that this measure pulls back to become the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval, given as an iterated function system measure.

Example 5.11. Consider the wavelet representation associated to the Cantor filters $m_0(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{\sqrt{2}}$, $m_1(z) = z$, $m_2(z) = \frac{1-z^2}{\sqrt{2}}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$, $N = 3$. See [DJ06a]. Then

$$S_0^*1(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{w^3=z} \frac{1+\bar{w}^2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad S_1^*1(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{w^3=z} \bar{w} = 0, \quad S_2^*1(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{w^3=z} \frac{1-\bar{w}^2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then, by Corollary 5.8, the measure μ_1 satisfies the invariance equation

$$\mu_1(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1(\sigma_0^{-1}(B)) + \mu_1(\sigma_2^{-1}(B))), \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_3)).$$

But this means that μ_1 is the middle-third-Cantor measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_3)$.

It follows that this measure pulls back to become the middle-third Cantor measure with its support on the middle-third Cantor set, given as an iterated function system measure.

Definition 5.12. Let $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be some operators on a Hilbert space M , satisfying the relation

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} V_i V_i^* = I.$$

We say that the operators $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ are *block permutative* if there exists a decomposition of M into orthogonal subspaces $M = \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j$ such that for every $j \in J$ and every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the operator V_i^* restricted to M_j is either unitary onto some $M_{j'}$ with $j' \in J$ or constant 0.

We say that the operators $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ are *permutative* if there exists an orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ for M such that for all $j \in J$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, either $V_i^* e_j = e_{j'}$ for some $j' \in J$, or $V_i^* e_j = 0$.

Remark 5.13. If V_i^* is unitary from M_j to $M_{j'}$ as above then, because of the relation (5.13), for all $i' \neq i$, $V_{i'}^*$ is zero on M_j . Similarly, if $V_i^* e_j = e_{j'}$, then $V_{i'}^* e_j = 0$ for all $i' \neq i$.

Theorem 5.14. Let $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ be a representation of \mathcal{O}_N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let M be minimal finite dimensional cyclic (S_i^*) -invariant subspace. Let $V_i^* = S_i^* P_M$. Then

- (i) The representation is purely atomic if and only if $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ is block permutative.
- (ii) The representation is permutative if and only if $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ is permutative.

Proof. If the representation is purely atomic then, by Corollary 4.20,

$$M = \bigoplus \{P(\omega)\mathcal{H} : \omega \text{ cycle in the support} \}.$$

Let $M_\omega = P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. Then for all ω cycle in the support and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, by Lemma 3.3, we have that, on M_ω , $V_i^* = S_i^* P_M$ is either unitary onto $M_{\sigma(\omega)}$ or zero.

For the converse, for each $j \in J$ there exists a unique ω_1 such that $V_{\omega_1}^*$, so $S_{\omega_1}^*$, is unitary from M_j to $M_{j'}$. Inductively, we can construct $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\dots$ such that $S_{\omega|k}^*$ is unitary on M_j . We identify j with ω . Note that if $v \in M_\omega$ then $S_{\omega|k}S_{\omega|k}^*v = v$ so $v \in P(\omega|k)\mathcal{H}$ for all $k \geq 1$, which means that $v \in P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$. Thus, $M_\omega \subset P(\omega)\mathcal{H}$.

Also, if $v \in M_\omega$ and I is some finite word, then

$$S_{I\omega|k}S_{I\omega|k}^*(S_Iv) = S_IS_{\omega|k}S_{\omega|k}^*v = S_Iv,$$

therefore $S_Iv \in P(I\omega|k)\mathcal{H}$ for all $k \geq 1$. This means that $S_Iv \in P(I\omega)\mathcal{H}$.

Since M is cyclic, we have that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{S_Iv : v \in \oplus_\omega M_\omega, I \text{ finite word}\} = \mathcal{H}.$$

So $\oplus P(I\omega) = I$ and the representation is purely atomic.

For (ii), if the representation is permutative, then M contains all cyclic atoms, by Corollary 4.20, and these atoms contain all the vectors in the permutative orthonormal basis that have a cyclic encoding, by Theorem 4.12. These vectors make $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ permutative.

For the converse, if $(V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ is permutative then it is also block permutative with blocks corresponding the one dimensional spans of each vector e_j .

Thus, by (i), the representation is purely atomic and supported on the orbits of the cyclic atoms that are contained in M and the orthonormal basis for M , $\{e_j : j \in J\}$ splits into several orthonormal bases, one for each cyclic atom contained in M , satisfying the condition (ii) of Theorem 4.14. Therefore the representation is permutative. \square

5.3. Representations associated to Hadamard triples. The next example involves representations of \mathcal{O}_N associated to orthonormal Fourier bases on fractal measures. They were studied in [DJ12].

Definition 5.15. Let $R \geq 2$ be an integer and let B and L be two finite subsets of \mathbb{Z} with $0 \in B, L$ and having the same cardinality $|B| = |L| =: N$. We say that (R, B, L) forms a Hadamard triple if the matrix

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{R} b \cdot l} \right)_{b \in B, l \in L}$$

is unitary.

Definition 5.16. Let (R, B, L) be a Hadamard triple. Define the affine maps

$$\tau_b(x) = R^{-1}(x + b), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, b \in B).$$

By [Hut81], there exists a unique compact set X_B called *the attractor* of the IFS $(\tau_b)_{b \in B}$ such that

$$(5.15) \quad X_B = \bigcup_{b \in B} \tau_b(X_B).$$

In our case, it can be written explicitly

$$(5.16) \quad X_B = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} b_k : b_k \in B \text{ for all } k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

There exists a unique Borel probability measure μ_B such that

$$(5.17) \quad \mu_B(E) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b \in B} \mu_B(\tau_b^{-1}(E)) \text{ for all Borel subsets } E \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Equivalently

$$(5.18) \quad \int f d\mu_B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b \in B} \int f \circ \tau_b d\mu_B \text{ for all bounded Borel function } f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The measure μ_B is called the *invariant measure* of the IFS $(\tau_b)_{b \in B}$. It is supported on X_B .

The measure μ_B has no overlap, (see [DHS12, Theorem 1.10]), i.e.,

$$(5.19) \quad \mu_B(\tau_b(X_B) \cap \tau_{b'}(X_B)) = 0, \text{ for all } b \neq b' \in B.$$

Since the measure μ_B has no overlap, then one can define the map $\mathcal{R} : X_B \rightarrow X_B$

$$(5.20) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = Rx - b, \text{ if } x \in \tau_b(X_B).$$

The map \mathcal{R} is well defined μ_B -a.e. on X_B .

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $e_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i \lambda x}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We define the function

$$(5.21) \quad m_B(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b \in B} e^{2\pi i b x}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

A set $\{x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$ of points in \mathbb{R} is called an extreme cycle if there exist $l_0, \dots, l_{p-1} \in L$ such that

$$\frac{1}{R}(x_0 + l_0) = x_1, \dots, \frac{1}{R}(x_{p-2} + l_{p-2}) = x_{p-1}, \frac{1}{R}(x_{p-1} + l_{p-1}) = x_0,$$

and

$$|m_B(x_i)| = \sqrt{N}, \quad (i = 0, \dots, p-1).$$

For an extreme cycle C , let $\Lambda(C)$ is the smallest set such that $\Lambda(C)$ contains $-C$ and such that $R\Lambda + L \subset \Lambda$. Let Λ be the union of all $\Lambda(C)$ with C extreme cycle.

Identify L with \mathbb{Z}_N and define the map $E : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_N$ by $E(\lambda) = l_1 l_2 \dots$, where $\lambda = l_1 + R\lambda_1$, $l_1 \in L$, $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$, $\lambda_1 = l_2 + R\lambda_2, \dots$.

For any point x_0 in an extreme cycle as before, $E(-x_0) = \underline{l_{p-1} \dots l_0}$.

Define the operators S_l , $l \in L$ on $L^2(\mu_B)$ by

$$(5.22) \quad S_l f = e_l f \circ \mathcal{R}, \quad (l \in L).$$

Theorem 5.17. *The operators $(S_l)_{l \in L}$ form a permutative representation of \mathcal{O}_N . A permutative orthonormal basis is $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. The maps σ_l on Λ are given by $\sigma_l(\lambda) = l + R\lambda$, $l \in L$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The encoding map is E and it is injective. The decomposition of the representation into inequivalent irreducible representations is given by the spaces $\mathcal{H}(C) = \{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(C)\}$ for all the extreme cycles C . The associated projected valued measures P are purely atomic and $P(E(\lambda))$ is the projection onto the function e_λ . If x_0 is a point in an extreme cycle C , then $E(-x_0)$ is cyclic and $E(\Lambda(C)) = \text{Orbit}(E(-x_0))$.*

Every finite dimensional subspace M which is invariant under all S_l^ , $l \in L$ must contain functions e_{-c} for all c points in one of the extreme cycles C . If in addition M is cyclic then M contains all such functions for all extreme cycles C .*

Proof. Many details are contained in [DJ12], but we will sketch some of them and also use our results here. We have

$$S_l e_\lambda = e_{l+R\lambda}, \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda, l \in L)$$

and this proves that the maps σ_l and the encoding E are as given. The fact that E is injective follows from the fact that the inverses of the maps σ_l are strictly contracting. The completeness of the basis $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is proved in [DJ12], see also [DJ06b, DPS13]. The decomposition into irreducibles is presented in [DJ12], but it also follows easily from our results: since the representation is permutative, it follows that it is purely atomic and $P(E(\lambda))$ is the projection onto e_λ , by Theorem 4.12. The orbit of $E(-c)$, with c a point in an extreme cycle, is $E(\Lambda(C))$. By Proposition 4.3, each such orbit gives a subrepresentation and, by Proposition 4.5, these subrepresentations are irreducible and inequivalent. The statements about S_l^* -invariant spaces follow from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 4.16. \square

Example 5.18. The classical Fourier bases fit into this context. Let $R = 2$, $B = L = \{0, 1\}$. Then the measure μ_B is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. There are two extreme cycles: $\{0\}$ with $E(0) = \underline{1}$ and $\{1\}$ with $E(-1) = \underline{1}$. The sets $\Lambda(0) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq 0\}$ and $\Lambda(1) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n < 0\}$. The encoding map E associates to an integer its the base 2 expansion; for non-negative numbers the expansion ends in $\underline{0}$ and, for negative numbers, the expansion ends in $\underline{1}$. The isometries are

$$S_0 f(x) = f(2x \bmod 1), \quad S_1(x) = e^{2\pi i x} f(2x \bmod 1), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, f \in L^2[0, 1]).$$

This representation of \mathcal{O}_2 decomposes into two inequivalent irreducible representations on $\mathcal{H}(0) = H^2$, the Hardy space and on $\mathcal{H}(1) = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_n : n < 0\}$.

5.4. Representations associated to Walsh bases. Recall some facts from [DPS13]. Let $N \geq 2$ and let A be an $N \times N$ unitary matrix with constant first row $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$. Let $\mathcal{R}(x) = Nx \bmod 1$ on $[0, 1]$. Define the QMF system

$$m_i(x) = \sqrt{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{ij} \chi_{[j/N, (j+1)/N)}(x).$$

Define the operators on $L^2[0, 1]$

$$S_i f = m_i \cdot (f \circ \mathcal{R}), \quad (f \in L^2[0, 1], i \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

Theorem 5.19. *The operators $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ form a permutative representation of \mathcal{O}_N with permutative basis*

$$(5.23) \quad \{S_w 1 : w \text{ finite word, either empty or ending in } 1\}.$$

The encoding map E associated to a word w as in (5.23) is $E(w) = w\underline{0}$ and it is injective. The maps σ_l are $\sigma_0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\sigma_l(\emptyset) = l$ for $l \neq 0$ and $\sigma_l(w) = lw$ for $w \neq \emptyset$. The representation is irreducible, purely atomic, supported on the set of finite words ending in $\underline{0}$. Every finite dimensional subspace M which is invariant for all S_l^ , $l \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ must contain 1 .*

Proof. The fact that these operators form a representation of \mathcal{O}_N and that (5.23) gives an orthonormal basis, is proved in [DPS13]. The formulas for σ_l and E are obvious. Since $S_0 1 = 1$ all encodings end in $\underline{0}$. The projection valued measure P is supported on the words that end in $\underline{0}$ and this is the orbit of $\underline{0}$, $P(w\underline{0})$ is the projection onto $S_w 1$, by Theorem 4.12, so it is one-dimensional. Therefore the representation is irreducible, by Proposition 4.5.

The statement about S_l^* -invariant spaces follows from Corollary 4.16. \square

Remark 5.20. If $N = 2$ and

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then one gets exactly the classical Walsh basis on $L^2[0, 1]$.

For $N = 2$ and any unitary matrix A as above, the corresponding representation of \mathcal{O}_2 is permutative with 1-dimensional atoms and supported on the words that end in $\underline{0}$. The same is true for the subrepresentation defined in Example 5.18 defined on the Hardy space H^2 . Therefore, by Corollary 4.8, all these representations are equivalent.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#228539 to Dorin Dutkay).

REFERENCES

- [AK08] Mitsuo Abe and Katsunori Kawamura. Branching laws for endomorphisms of fermions and the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(4):043501, 10, 2008.
- [BEJ00] Ola Bratteli, David E. Evans, and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Compactly supported wavelets and representations of the Cuntz relations. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 8(2):166–196, 2000.
- [BJ97] Ola Bratteli and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Isometries, shifts, Cuntz algebras and multiresolution wavelet analysis of scale N . *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 28(4):382–443, 1997.
- [BJ99] Ola Bratteli and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Iterated function systems and permutation representations of the Cuntz algebra. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(663):x+89, 1999.
- [BJ02] Ola Bratteli and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Wavelet filters and infinite-dimensional unitary groups. In *Wavelet analysis and applications (Guangzhou, 1999)*, volume 25 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 35–65. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [BJKW00] O. Bratteli, P. E. T. Jorgensen, A. Kishimoto, and R. F. Werner. Pure states on \mathcal{O}_d . *J. Operator Theory*, 43(1):97–143, 2000.
- [BJO04] Ola Bratteli, Palle E. T. Jorgensen, and Vasyl Ostrovskiy. Representation theory and numerical AF-invariants. The representations and centralizers of certain states on \mathcal{O}_d . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 168(797):xviii+178, 2004.
- [BP56] W. A. Beck and C. R. Putnam. A note on normal operators and their adjoints. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 31:213–216, 1956.
- [Bur04] Bernhard Burgstaller. Slightly larger than a graph C^* -algebra. *Israel J. Math.*, 144:1–14, 2004.
- [BV05] Joseph A. Ball and Victor Vinnikov. Functional models for representations of the Cuntz algebra. In *Operator theory, systems theory and scattering theory: multidimensional generalizations*, volume 157 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 1–60. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- [Cun77] Joachim Cuntz. Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 57(2):173–185, 1977.
- [DHJ09] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Orthogonal exponentials, translations, and Bohr completions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(9):2999–3019, 2009.
- [DHS12] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Deguang Han, and Qiyu Sun. Divergence of mock and scrambled fourier series on fractal measures. *to appear in Trans. AMS*, 2012.
- [DJ06a] Dorin E. Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Wavelets on fractals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 22(1):131–180, 2006.
- [DJ06b] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Iterated function systems, Ruelle operators, and invariant projective measures. *Math. Comp.*, 75(256):1931–1970 (electronic), 2006.
- [DJ07a] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Analysis of orthogonality and of orbits in affine iterated function systems. *Math. Z.*, 256(4):801–823, 2007.
- [DJ07b] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Martingales, endomorphisms, and covariant systems of operators in Hilbert space. *J. Operator Theory*, 58(2):269–310, 2007.

- [DJ08] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Fourier series on fractals: a parallel with wavelet theory. In *Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets*, volume 464 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 75–101. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [DJ11] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Affine fractals as boundaries and their harmonic analysis. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(9):3291–3305, 2011.
- [DJ12] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Spectral measures and Cuntz algebras. *Math. Comp.*, 81(280):2275–2301, 2012.
- [DPS13] Dorin Ervin Dutkay, Gabriel Picioroaga, and Myung-Sin Song. Orthonormal bases generated by Cuntz algebras. *to appear in J. Math. An. App.*, 2013.
- [FNW92] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele, and R. F. Werner. Finitely correlated states on quantum spin chains. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144(3):443–490, 1992.
- [GG95] Rajesh Gopakumar and David J. Gross. Mastering the master field. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 451(1-2):379–415, 1995.
- [GN07] Rostislav Grigorchuk and Volodymyr Nekrashevych. Self-similar groups, operator algebras and Schur complement. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 1(3):323–370, 2007.
- [Hut81] John E. Hutchinson. Fractals and self-similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30(5):713–747, 1981.
- [JKS12] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, Keri A. Kornelson, and Karen L. Shuman. An operator-fractal. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 33(7-9):1070–1094, 2012.
- [Jor01] Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Minimality of the data in wavelet filters. *Adv. Math.*, 159(2):143–228, 2001. With an appendix by Brian Treadway.
- [Jor06a] Palle E. T. Jorgensen. *Analysis and probability: wavelets, signals, fractals*, volume 234 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [Jor06b] Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Certain representations of the Cuntz relations, and a question on wavelets decompositions. In *Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications*, volume 414 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–188. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Jor07] Palle E. T. Jorgensen. The measure of a measurement. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(10):103506, 15, 2007.
- [JS09] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Myung-Sin Song. Analysis of fractals, image compression, entropy encoding, Karhunen-Loève transforms. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 108(3):489–508, 2009.
- [JSW94] P. E. T. Jorgensen, L. M. Schmitt, and R. F. Werner. q -canonical commutation relations and stability of the Cuntz algebra. *Pacific J. Math.*, 165(1):131–151, 1994.
- [Kat04] Yitzhak Katznelson. *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2004.
- [Kaw03] Katsunori Kawamura. Generalized permutative representation of Cuntz algebra. I. Generalization of cycle type. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1300):1–23, 2003. The structure of operator algebras and its applications (Japanese) (Kyoto, 2002).
- [Kaw06] Katsunori Kawamura. Branching laws for polynomial endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras arising from permutations. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 77(2):111–126, 2006.
- [Kaw09] Katsunori Kawamura. Universal fermionization of bosons on permutative representations of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(5):053521, 9, 2009.
- [KHL09] Katsunori Kawamura, Yoshiki Hayashi, and Dan Lascu. Continued fraction expansions and permutative representations of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ . *J. Number Theory*, 129(12):3069–3080, 2009.
- [Mac89] George W. Mackey. *Unitary group representations in physics, probability, and number theory*. Advanced Book Classics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second edition, 1989.
- [Rud90] Walter Rudin. *Fourier analysis on groups*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1990. Reprint of the 1962 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Str89] Robert S. Strichartz. Besicovitch meets Wiener-Fourier expansions and fractal measures. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 20(1):55–59, 1989.
- [Tho04] Klaus Thomsen. On the structure of a sofic shift space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(9):3557–3619 (electronic), 2004.

[DORIN ERVIN DUTKAY] UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 4000 CENTRAL FLORIDA BLVD., P.O. BOX 161364, ORLANDO, FL 32816-1364, U.S.A.,
E-mail address: `Dorin.Dutkay@ucf.edu`

[JOHN HAUSERMANN] UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 4000 CENTRAL FLORIDA BLVD., P.O. BOX 161364, ORLANDO, FL 32816-1364, U.S.A.,
E-mail address: `jhaussermann@knights.ucf.edu`

[PALLE E.T. JORGENSEN] UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 14 MACLEAN HALL, IOWA CITY, IA 52242-1419,
E-mail address: `palle-jorgensen@uiowa.edu`