

On the Spectrum of weighted Laplacian operator and its application to uniqueness of Kähler Einstein metrics

Long Li

May 25, 2022

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to provide a new proof of Bando-Mabuchi's uniqueness theorem of Kähler Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, based on the convexity of *Ding*-functional on Chen's weak $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ geodesic without using any further regularities. Unlike the smooth case, the lack of regularities on the geodesic forbids us to use spectral formula of the weighed Laplacian operator directly. However, we can use smooth ϵ -geodesics to approximate the weak one, then prove that a sequence of eigenfunctions will converge into the first eigenspace of the weighted Laplacian operator.

1 Introduction

The study of Kähler Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds is an old but lasting subject in complex geometry: on geometrical point of view, it characterizes the manifold with constant Ricci curvature, i.e. the Kähler metric satisfies

$$Ric(\omega) = \omega;$$

on analytical point of view, the complex Monge-Ampère equations arise from the study of this curvature equation, i.e. the Kähler potential $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is the solution of the following equation

$$(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{h-\varphi}\omega_0^n$$

where $\mathcal{H} := \{\omega = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0\}$. Now as a PDE problem on manifolds, it's natural to ask two questions - existence and uniqueness. After Yau's celebrated work[14] on solving the Calabi Conjecture, Tian's α invariant[13] gives a sufficient condition to solve Monge Ampère equation on Fano manifolds in 1980's. Then many people contribute to this problem during these years. And quite recently, Chen-Donalson-Sun's work([8], [9], [10]) proves the existence of Kähler Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds is equivalent to K-stability condition. This settles a long standing stability conjecture on Kähler Einstein metrics which goes back to Yau.

The problem of uniqueness of Kähler Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds also keeps attractive during these years. It is first proved by Bando and Mabuchi[1] in 1987, and we will give an alternative proof in this paper. The statement is as follows

Theorem 1 *Let X be a compact complex manifold with $-K_X > 0$. Suppose ω_1 and ω_2 are two Kähler Einstein metrics on X , then there is a holomorphic automorphism F , such that*

$$F^*(\omega_2) = \omega_1$$

where this F is generated by a holomorphic vector field \mathcal{V} on X .

They solve this problem by considering a special energy(Mabuchi energy) decreasing along certain continuity path. Then the existence of weak $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ geodesic between any two smooth Kähler potentials is proved by X.X.Chen[7] in 2000, and this idea turns out to be an important tool in proving uniqueness theorems. For instance, Berman[3] gives a new proof of Bando-Mabuchi's theorem by arguing the geodesic connecting two Kähler Einstein metrics is actually smooth. And Berndtsson[5] proves the uniqueness of possible singular Kähler Einstein metrics along \mathcal{C}^0 geodesics. He observes the *Ding*-functional is convex along these geodesics from his curvature formula on the Bergman kernel[6]. Moreover, this curvature formula plays a major role to create a holomorphic vector fields when the functional is affine. This method is used by Berman again to prove the uniqueness of Donaldson's equation[2], and generalized to the *klt - pairs* in [BBEGZ12].

The idea of this paper is also initiated from the convexity of *Ding*-functional along geodesics from a different perspective. However, instead of using Berndtsson's curvature formula, we are going to use the Futaki's formula(refer to Section 2) of weighted Laplacian operator to derive the holomorphic vector fields. Unlike the former case, here the main difficulty arises from the change of metrics during the convergence of Laplacian operators. Fortunately, we have control on the mixed derivatives $\partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \phi$ on the product manifold, i.e. Chen's existence theorem of weak geodesic[7] guarantees a uniform bound of mixed second derivatives of the potential in both space and time directions on the geodesic. Moreover, we can perturb the weak geodesic to a sequence of nearby smooth metrics $\{g_\epsilon\}$ with mixed second derivatives under control[7].

However, this is not quite enough for our purpose, because we are lack of a uniform lower bound of these metrics, and the lower bound of metrics(or the upper bounds of the inverse metric) is crucially involved in the weighted Laplacian operator as

$$\square_{\phi_g} u = \partial^{\phi_g} (\omega_g \lrcorner \bar{\partial} u)$$

where u is a smooth function on X . More fundamentally, it plays an important role in the L^2 norm of $(0, 1)$ forms as

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_g = \int_X g^{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j d\mu_g$$

where $\xi = \xi_i d\bar{z}^i$ and $\eta = \eta_j d\bar{z}^j$. This forbids us to use standard L^2 theorems to get the a uniform control. We will overcome this difficulty in Section 5 by separating the Laplacian equation to two equations, i.e.

$$\omega_g \wedge v_g = \bar{\partial}u$$

and

$$\partial^{\phi_g} v = \square_{\phi_g} u.$$

This idea is initiated from solving the equation $\partial^{\phi} v = \pi_{\perp} \phi'$ in Berndtsson's work[5]. Then a crucial $W^{1,2}$ estimate of the sequence of vector fields v_{g_ϵ} shows it converges to some vector fields v_∞ in strong L^2 norm, and a further L^1 estimate on $\bar{\partial}v_g$ indicates the vector fields v_∞ is in fact holomorphic, under certain conditions(refer to proposition 12). This solves our problem on fiber direction, but on time direction we need to argue the holomorphic vector field keeps to be a constant. This is guaranteed since it corresponds to an eigenfunction in the first eigenspace of the weighted Laplacian operator and satisfies the geodesic equation.

Acknowledgement: I would like to express my great thanks to Prof. Xiuxiong Chen, who suggested me to do this problem and showed me the way of approach when the geodesic is smooth. I would also thank to Prof. Eric Bedford, Prof. Song Sun, Prof. Weiyong He, and Dr. Kai Zheng for helpful discussion. And especially, I want to thanks Prof. Futaki for pointing out one error in the old version. Finally, the suggestion from Chengjian Yao also helps me to make this paper more clear.

2 Futaki's formula and Hessian of *Ding*-functional

The manifolds X in our consideration is Fano, then we can assume the Kähler class $[\omega] = c_1(X)$, i.e. for each Kähler metric ω_g , there exists a smooth function F_g such that

$$Ric(\omega_g) - \omega_g = i\partial\bar{\partial}F_g,$$

hence we can define a weighted volume form as $e^F \det g$ (we will write F_g as F when there is no confusion), and a pairing for any $u, v \in C^\infty(X)$

$$(u, v)_g = \int_X u \bar{v} e^F \det g,$$

then Futaki[9] considers a weighted Laplacian operator

$$\Delta_F u = \Delta_g u - \nabla^j u \nabla_j F.$$

the reason to do this is because the new Laplacian operator is easy to do integration by parts under the weighted volume form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (\Delta_F u) \bar{u} e^F \det g &= - \int_X (\nabla_j \nabla^j u + \nabla^j u \nabla_j F) \bar{u} e^F \det g \\ &= \int_X \nabla^j u \nabla_j \bar{u} e^F \det g \\ &= \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|^2 e^F \det g \end{aligned}$$

where the norm of the 1-form is take with respect to the metric g . Hence it's an elliptic operator, and its spectral is discrete as $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$. Then for each eigenfunction $\Delta_F u = \lambda u$, Futaki[11] writes the following formula

$$\lambda \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|^2 e^F \det g = \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|^2 e^F \det g + \int_X |L_g u|^2 e^F \det g$$

where L_g is a second order differential operator defined as

$$L_g u = \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla^i u \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \otimes d\bar{z}^j.$$

Now observe the RHS of Futaki's formula is in fact $\int_X |\Delta_{F_g} u|^2 e^F \det g$, we can generalize it to all smooth function as

Lemma 2 *For any smooth function u on X , we have*

$$\int_X |\Delta_F u|^2 e^F \det g = \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|^2 e^F \det g + \int_X |L_g u|^2 e^F \det g.$$

Proof 1 *we can decompose $u = \sum_0^\infty a_i(u) e_i$ into the eigenspace of the operator Δ_{F_g} , and notice that the eigenfunction e_i is orthogonal with respect to each other under the weighted volume form and metric g . Then the first two terms in above equation will preserve this orthogonality, i.e. choose eigenfunctions u and w of Δ_F which are orthogonal to each other, then*

$$\int_X |\bar{\partial} u + \bar{\partial} w|^2 e^F \det g = \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|^2 e^F \det g + \int_X |\bar{\partial} w|^2 e^F \det g$$

and

$$\int_X |\Delta_F u + \Delta_F w|^2 e^F \det g = \int_X |\Delta_F u|^2 e^F \det g + \int_X |\Delta_F w|^2 e^F \det g$$

Moreover, the differential operator L_g keeps this orthogonality of eigenfunctions, but first notice

$$F_{,\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$

from the definition of F , then we compute as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X \langle L_g u, L_g w \rangle_g e^F \det g = \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu\alpha} e^F \det g \\
& = - \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\bar{\beta}\alpha} \bar{w}_{,\mu} e^F \det g - \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\alpha} e^F \det g \\
& = - \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} e^F \det g - \int_X g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} R_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} u_{,\bar{\gamma}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} e^F \det g \\
& + \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} \bar{w}_{,\mu\bar{\beta}} F_{,\alpha} e^F \det g + \int_X g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\lambda}} e^F \det g + \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\alpha} F_{,\bar{\beta}} e^F \det g \\
& = \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\alpha} \bar{w}_{,\mu\bar{\beta}} e^F \det g + \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}\alpha} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\bar{\beta}} e^F \det g \\
& + \int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} \bar{w}_{,\mu\bar{\beta}} F_{,\alpha} e^F \det g + \int_X (g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} F_{,\alpha}) (g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\bar{\beta}}) e^F \det g - \int_X g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} e^F \det g \\
& = \int_X (g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} u_{,\alpha\bar{\lambda}} + g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} u_{,\bar{\lambda}} F_{,\alpha}) (g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu\bar{\beta}} + g^{\mu\bar{\beta}} \bar{w}_{,\mu} F_{,\bar{\beta}}) e^F \det g \\
& = \int_X (\Delta_F u, \Delta_F w)_g e^F \det g = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next let's consider an easy case: according to He[12], the second derivative of *Ding*-functional on a smooth geodesic equals

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}}{\partial t^2} = \left(\int_X e^{F_g} \det g \right)^{-1} \left\{ \int_X (|\bar{\partial}\varphi'|_g^2 - (\pi_{\perp}\varphi')^2) e^{F_g} \det g \right\}$$

where the metric g is induced by the Kähler form ω_{φ} , and the projection operator is defined as $\pi_{\perp} u = u - \int_X u e^{F_g} \det g / \int_X e^{F_g} \det g$. This implies *Ding*-functional is convex along smooth geodesics. Now suppose there is a smooth geodesic connecting two Kähler Einstein metrics, the *Ding*-functional must keep to be a constant along it. Hence we get

$$\int_X |\bar{\partial}\varphi'|_g^2 e^{F_g} \det g = \int_X (\pi_{\perp}\varphi')^2 e^{F_g} \det g,$$

then we see the first eigenvalue λ_1 of the weighted Laplacian operator Δ_{F_g} is 1, and $\pi_{\perp}\varphi'$ belong to the first eigenspace, i.e.

$$\Delta_{F_g}(\pi_{\perp}\varphi') = \pi_{\perp}\varphi'.$$

Now by Futaki's formula, we see

$$L_g(\pi_{\perp}\varphi') = 0,$$

then the induced vector field $V_t = \nabla^i \varphi' \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ is holomorphic on X . Moreover, let's differentiate this vector field with respect to t on the geodesic

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{j\bar{k}} \varphi'_k)' &= g^{j\bar{k}} \varphi''_k - g^{j\bar{q}} \varphi'_{p\bar{q}} g^{p\bar{k}} \varphi'_k \\ &= g^{j\bar{k}} (g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi'_\alpha \varphi'_{\bar{\beta}})'_{, \bar{k}} - g^{j\bar{q}} \varphi'_{p\bar{q}} g^{p\bar{k}} \varphi'_k \\ &= g^{j\bar{k}} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi'_\alpha \varphi'_{\bar{\beta} \bar{k}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the holomorphicity of V_t . Finally, this gives us a holomorphic vector field $\mathcal{V} = V_t - \partial/\partial t$ on $X \times S$, and its induced automorphism will give the uniqueness of the two Kähler Einstein metrics.

3 Some L^2 theorems

In this section, we are going to use L^2 theorem to investigate the weighted Laplacian operator Δ_{F_g} and its spectrum, then we shall project our target to the front eigenspace in the proof of uniqueness theorem. First notice that we always have $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ by Futaki's formula. Then we are going to introduce some notations.

From now on, we shall assume the manifold X admits non-trivial holomorphic vector fields, and $H^{0,1}(X) = 0$. Then fix one t and restrict our attention to this fiber $X \times \{t\}$. Since $-K_X = [\omega]$, we can write

$$\omega_g = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_g$$

where ϕ_g is a plurisubharmonic metric on the line bundle $-K_X$. We claim the measure

$$e^{F_g} \det g = e^{-\phi_g},$$

and this is because locally $F_g = -\log \det g - \phi_g$. Then naturally the pairing between functions on X with this weight can be written as

$$(u, v)_g = \int_X u \bar{v} e^{-\phi_g}.$$

Here is the L^2 theorem coming to play with. Let's consider the space of all L^2 bounded $-K_X$ valued $(n, 0)$ forms under the metric ϕ_g , i.e. it consists of every function u on X such that

$$\int_X |u|^2 e^{-\phi_g} < +\infty,$$

we denote this space as $L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$, and similarly we can consider all L^2 bounded $-K_X$ valued $(n, 1)$ forms under the weighted norm

$$\int_X g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} v_\alpha \bar{v}_\beta e^{-\phi_g} < +\infty,$$

and we denote this space as $L^2_{(n,1)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$, then we can define an unbounded operator $\bar{\partial}$ between them

$$\bar{\partial} : L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g) \dashrightarrow L^2_{(n,1)}(-K_X, \phi_g).$$

Notice that the domains of these two operator are not the whole L^2 spaces. In fact, we can define

$$\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}) := \{u \in L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g); \bar{\partial}u \in L^2_{(n,1)}(-K_X, \phi_g)\},$$

but it is not densely defined in L^2 space when g_ϕ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ solution of geodesic equation on a fiber $X \times \{t\}$. Hence we should consider the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 to be the closure of $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial})$ in $L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$. We claim that \mathcal{H}_1 is not empty.

First notice that for any non-trivial holomorphic vector field $v \in L^2_{(n-1,0)}(-K_X)$, we can solve the following equation

$$\bar{\partial}u = \omega_{g_\phi} \wedge v,$$

since $\bar{\partial}(\omega_{g_\phi} \wedge v) = 0$ in the sense of distributions, but $\ker(\bar{\partial}) = \text{Range}(\bar{\partial})$ from $H^{0,1}(X) = 0$. Next, consider the subspace \mathcal{W} containing all such u , i.e. define

$$\mathcal{W} := \{u \in L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g); \bar{\partial}u = \omega_{g_\phi} \wedge v, \forall v \in L^2_{(n-1,0)}(-K_X)\},$$

then it is a non-empty subspace in $L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$, and it's easy to check

$$\mathcal{W} \subset \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}),$$

hence we proved the claim. Now $\bar{\partial}$ is a densely defined, closed operator on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 - it's closed from the continuity property of differential operators in the distribution sense. We can discuss its Hilbert adjoint operator $\bar{\partial}^*$, which is a densely defined, closed operator on $L^2_{(n,1)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$. Moreover, they have closed ranges

Lemma 3 $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$ are densely defined, closed operators with closed ranges.

Proof 2 We need to estimate the L^2 norm of $\bar{\partial}u$. Take h to be a fixed smooth metric with positive Ricci curvature on X , and $u \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial})^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |\bar{\partial}u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} &\geq \int_X |\bar{\partial}u|_h^2 \det h \\ &\geq c \int_X |u|^2 \det h \\ &\geq c' \int_X |u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g}. \end{aligned}$$

this estimate implies $\bar{\partial}$ has closed range, and hence its adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$ by functional analysis reason.

Then we can define the Laplacian operator as $\square_{\phi_g} = \bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^* \bar{\partial}$, where also as an unbounded closed operator, i.e.

$$\square_{\phi_g} : L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g) \dashrightarrow L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$$

and its domain of definition is

$$\text{dom}(\square_{\phi_g}) := \{u \in L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g); u \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}) \text{ and } \bar{\partial}u \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^*)\}.$$

we claim this operator also has closed range. and

Proposition 4 *we have*

$$\ker \square_{\phi_g} = \text{coker} \square_{\phi_g},$$

hence they are both finite dimensional.

Proof 3 *First note $\ker \square_{\phi_g} = \ker \bar{\partial}$ is the 1 dimensional space of constant functions on X . In order to prove $\text{coker} \square_{\phi_g}$ also has finite rank, it's enough to prove the weighted Laplacian operator has closed range, since it's self-adjoint*

$$\text{coker} \square_{\phi_g} = R(\square_{\phi_g})^\perp = \ker \square_{\phi_g}.$$

Now we are going to prove the closed range property, but this follows from the following estimate for $u \in \text{dom}(\square_{\phi_g}) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial})^\perp$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_g^2 &\leq C \|\bar{\partial}u\|_g^2 \\ &\leq C(\square_{\phi_g} u, u)_g \\ &\leq 2C \|\square_{\phi_g} u\|_g^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_g^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_g^2 \leq C' \|\square_{\phi_g} u\|_g^2,$$

which implies the claim.

Notice that this is not enough to guarantee the existence of discrete spectral, but we have a further estimate,

Lemma 5 *For all $u \in \text{dom}(\square_{\phi_g}) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial})^\perp$, there is an uniform constant C , such that*

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C \|\square_{\phi_g} u\|_g.$$

Proof 4 we still compare it with some fixed smooth weight(metric) h ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{\partial}u\|_h^2 &\leq C\|\bar{\partial}u\|_g^2 \\
&= C(\square_{\phi_g}u, u)_g \\
&\leq C\|\square_{\phi_g}u\|_g\|u\|_g \\
&\leq C'\|\square_{\phi_g}u\|_g\|u\|_h \\
&\leq C''\|\square_{\phi_g}u\|_g\|\bar{\partial}u\|_h,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|\bar{\partial}u\|_h^2 \leq C''\|\square_{\phi_g}u\|_g.$$

finally, an integration by part gives the desired estimate since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{,\alpha}\bar{u}_{,\bar{\beta}} \det h &= - \int_X h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{,\alpha\bar{\beta}}\bar{u} \det h \\
&= - \int_X h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{,\bar{\beta}\alpha}\bar{u} \det h \\
&= \int_X h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{,\bar{\beta}}\bar{u}_{,\bar{\alpha}} \det h
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can discuss the spectral of \square_{ϕ_g} , when g_ϕ is the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ function. Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of \square_{ϕ_g} , and let Λ be the corresponding eigenspace, we claim

Proposition 6 $\dim \Lambda < +\infty$

Proof 5 Let $v_i \in \Lambda$ be a sequence of eigenfunctions with bound L^2 norm, i.e. $\|v_i\|_g^2 = 1$, then since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_i\|_{W^{1,2}} &\leq C\|\square_{\phi_g}v_i\|_g \\
&= C\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

hence there exists a $W^{1,2}$ function v_∞ such that $v_i \rightarrow v_\infty$ in strong L^2 norm, by compact embedding theorem. And since $\Lambda = \ker(\square_{\phi_g} - \lambda I)$ is a closed subspace of L^2

$$v_\infty \in \Lambda.$$

This implies every bounded sequence in Λ has a convergent subsequence, i.e. the unit ball in Λ is compact, hence $\dim \Lambda$ is finite.

Next we are going to discuss some computations when the weight ϕ_g is at least \mathcal{C}^2 . First notice that formally

$$\langle \square_{\phi_g} u, v \rangle_g = \langle \bar{\partial} u, \bar{\partial} v \rangle_g$$

for any pairing u, v . It's easy to see

$$\square_{\phi_g} u = \Delta_{\phi_g} u$$

for all smooth functions u , when the metric ϕ_g is smooth. If we look closer at these operators, there is a more computable way to express them. For this purpose, let's assume ϕ_g is a \mathcal{C}^2 metric, then for any $(n, 1)$ form α with value in $-K_X$,

$$\bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^* \alpha = \partial^{\phi_g} (\omega_g \lrcorner \alpha)$$

where $\partial^{\phi} v = e^{\phi} \partial (e^{-\phi} v) = \partial v - \partial \phi \wedge v$ for any $(n-1, 0)$ form with value in $-K_X$ (that is a vector field on X). Hence if we define

$$v = \omega_g \lrcorner \alpha,$$

we will have

$$\bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^* \alpha = \partial^{\phi_g} v$$

and the weighted Laplacian operator could be computed as

$$\square_{\phi_g} u = \partial^{\phi_g} (\omega_g \lrcorner \bar{\partial} u)$$

for $u \in \text{dom} \square_{\phi_g} \cap L^2_{(n,0)}(-K_X, \phi_g)$. Notice that there is commutation relation between the new defined operator ∂^{ϕ} and $\bar{\partial}$, that is

$$\partial^{\phi} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial^{\phi} = i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \cdot. \quad (1)$$

Now if u is any eigenfunction of the weighted Laplacian operator with eigenvalue λ , i.e. $\square_{\phi_g} u = \lambda u$, we can decompose it into two equations

$$\omega_g \lrcorner \bar{\partial} u = v \quad \partial^{\phi_g} v = \lambda u.$$

here we can write $v = X \lrcorner 1$, where the constant function 1 is read as an $(n, 0)$ form with value in $-K_X$, and $X = X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}$ is a vector field in $(1, 0)$ direction on the manifolds. Next we are going to prove Futaki's formula by the commutation equality.

Lemma 7 (*Futaki's formula*) *Let u be a eigenfunction of weighted Laplacian with eigenvalue λ , i.e. $\square_{\phi_g} u = \lambda u$, then*

$$\lambda \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} = \int_X (|L_g u|^2 + |\bar{\partial} u|_g^2) e^{-\phi_g}.$$

Proof 6 First notice u is pure real or imaginary. Hence here we will give the proof when u is real valued - the case when u is pure imaginary is similar. Now by the commutation relation of ∂^{ϕ_g} , we compute $\bar{\partial}(\lambda u)$

$$-\partial^{\phi_g} \bar{\partial} v + i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_g \wedge v = \lambda \bar{\partial} u,$$

notice that $i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_g = \omega_g$, hence

$$-\partial^{\phi_g} \bar{\partial} v = (\lambda - 1) \bar{\partial} u,$$

pair it with $\bar{\partial} u$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - 1) \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} &= - \int_X \langle \partial^{\phi_g} \bar{\partial} v, \bar{\partial} u \rangle_g e^{-\phi_g} \\ &= \int_X -g^{\lambda \bar{\mu}} \partial_\alpha (e^{-\phi_g} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^\alpha) \overline{\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} u} \\ &= \int_X \partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^\alpha \overline{\partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^{\bar{\mu}}} e^{-\phi_g}. \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that $X^\alpha = g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\beta}}$, under the normal coordinate when $g_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij} \Lambda_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^{\bar{\mu}} &= g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} u_{,\bar{\beta} \bar{\mu}} g^{\lambda \bar{\mu}} u_{,\lambda \alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\lambda} u_{,\bar{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}} u_{,\lambda \alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\lambda} u_{,\bar{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}} u_{,\alpha \lambda} \\ &= g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} g^{\lambda \bar{\mu}} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^\alpha \overline{\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} X^{\bar{\beta}}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence we proved the Futaki's formula

$$(\lambda - 1) \int_X |\bar{\partial} u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} = \int_X |\bar{\partial} X|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g}.$$

4 Ding-functionals along the approximation geodesics

Let X be an n dimensional compact complex Kähler manifold with Kähler metric ω , then we can write the Kähler form locally as

$$\omega = g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$. Take S to be a cylinder, and $z^{n+1} = t + \sqrt{-1}s$ be its coordinate. Then $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n, z^{n+1})$ is a point in $X \times S$, and we can define

$$\tilde{\omega} = g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta + dz^{n+1} \wedge d\bar{z}^{n+1}$$

as a Kähler metric on $X \times S$. And $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - |z^{n+1}|^2$ as the new potential. We shall write $\tilde{\omega}$ as ω and $\tilde{\varphi}$ as φ when there is no fusion. Then Chen[7] proves the following two theorems

Theorem 8 (*Existence of weak geodesic*) Let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists a unique $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic connecting them, i.e. the following homogenous Monge-Ampère equation has a unique weak solution $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (the closure is taken under the $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ topology) on $X \times S$

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \varphi)_{(n+1)(n+1)} = 0$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$, and on the boundary $\partial(X \times S)$

$$\varphi(0, s, z) = \varphi_0(z), \quad \varphi(1, s, z) = \varphi_1(z)$$

with the following estimate

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^1(X \times S)} + \max\{|\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \varphi|\} < C$$

where C is a uniform constant only depending on φ_0 and φ_1 .

Theorem 9 (ϵ -approximation geodesics) Given $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, we can have a sequence of approximation geodesics $\varphi_\epsilon(t, z)$ as follows: for each small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution of the equation

$$(\varphi_{tt} - |\partial_X \varphi'|_{g_\varphi}^2) \det(g_\varphi) = \epsilon \det h$$

such that there exists a uniform constant C with

$$|\varphi'_t| + |\varphi''_t| + |\varphi|_{C^1} + \max\{|\partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi|\} < C,$$

and φ_ϵ converges to the $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic φ in the weak $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ topology.

Notice that for any plurisubharmonic metric ϕ on $-K_X$, we can write its potential as $\varphi = \phi - \phi_0$, where ϕ and ϕ_0 are corresponding metrics on the line bundle $-K_X$. Now suppose ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are two smooth Kähler Einstein metrics on X , with their Kähler forms $\omega_i = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i, i = 0, 1$ satisfying

$$\omega_i^n = \frac{e^{-\phi_i}}{\int_X e^{-\phi_i}}.$$

define the following functionals

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) := -\log \int_X e^{-\phi}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X \varphi \omega_0^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j}$$

where $\omega_\phi = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$. Then the *Ding*-functional is defined as

$$\mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \omega_0^j \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-j} - \log \int_X e^{-\phi}.$$

Notice the along a curve of metrics ϕ_t , the derivative of *Ding*-functional is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t} = \int_X \phi'(-\omega_\phi^n + \frac{e^{-\phi}}{\int_X e^{-\phi}}).$$

we see the critical point of this functional is the Kähler Einstein metric, and its second derivative is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}}{\partial t^2} = - \int_X (\phi'' - |\partial\phi'|_g^2) \omega_\phi^n + (\int_X e^{-\phi})^{-1} \{ \int_X (\phi'' - |\partial\phi'|_g^2) e^{-\phi} + \int_X (|\partial\phi'|_g^2 - (\pi_\perp \phi')^2) e^{-\phi} \}$$

where the metric $g = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_t$, and if we denote the term $f = \phi'' - |\partial\phi'|_g^2$, $c_t = \int_X e^{-\phi}$ and $\delta_t = |\partial\phi'|_g^2 - (\pi_\perp \phi')^2$, the equation reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}}{\partial t^2} = - \int_X f \omega_\phi^n + \int_X (f + \delta_t) e^{-\phi} / c_t,$$

then we are going to consider the behavior of *Ding*-functional on the approximation geodesic. First from Chen's theorem, we can find a $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic ϕ_t connecting the two Kähler Einstein metrics. Moreover for any small $\epsilon > 0$, there is the smooth approximation geodesic $\phi_\epsilon(t, z)$ connecting the two end points ϕ_0, ϕ_1 , which converges weakly to the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic. Now if we consider the *Ding*-functional on these approximation geodesics, we have estimates

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{D}}{\partial t^2} \geq -\epsilon \int_X \det h$$

from $f = \epsilon \det h / \det g > 0$ and $\int_X \delta_t e^{-\phi} > 0$. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that *Ding*-functional keeps to be convex on $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic. Now we can integrate it back along t

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t}(1) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t}(0) = \int_{X \times I} -f \omega_\phi^n dt + \int_{X \times I} f e^{-\phi} / c_t dt + \int_{X \times I} \delta_t e^{-\phi} / c_t dt,$$

notice that at end points ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are both Kähler Einstein, hence the first derivative of *Ding*-functionals vanish. And on the approximation geodesic, we have the equation

$$f \det g = \epsilon \det h$$

and $f \leq \phi'' < C$ uniformly independent of ϵ . Then the equation above reads

$$\begin{aligned} A\epsilon &= \int_{X \times I} f e^{-\phi} / c_t dt + \int_{X \times I} \delta_t e^{-\phi} / c_t dt \\ &\geq \int_{X \times I} f e^{-\phi} dt + \int_{X \times I} \delta_t e^{-\phi} dt, \end{aligned}$$

because we have uniform \mathcal{C}^0 estimate on ϕ_ϵ . Now since we want to discuss the eigenfunctions on each fiber, we need to a lemma to pull back the estimate to fibers.

Lemma 10 Suppose $F_\epsilon(t)$ is a sequence of non-negative function on $[0, 1]$, with integration estimate

$$\int_0^1 F_\epsilon dt < A\epsilon,$$

then for almost everywhere $t \in [0, 1]$, we can find a subsequence (depending on t) F_{ϵ_j} , such that

$$F_{\epsilon_j} < C_t \epsilon_j$$

where C_t is a constant independent of ϵ .

Proof 7 Let $\tilde{F}_\epsilon = F_\epsilon/\epsilon$, then by Fatou's lemma

$$\int_0^1 \liminf_\epsilon \tilde{F}_\epsilon dt \leq \liminf_\epsilon \int_0^1 \tilde{F}_\epsilon dt \leq A,$$

hence the function $\liminf_\epsilon \tilde{F}_\epsilon \in L^1$, i.e. for almost everywhere t , there is a subsequence \tilde{F}_{ϵ_j} and a constant C_t such that

$$\tilde{F}_{\epsilon_j} < C_t,$$

hence

$$F_{\epsilon_j} < C_t \epsilon_j.$$

Now put $F_\epsilon = \int_X f_\epsilon e^{-\phi_\epsilon} + \int_X \delta_\epsilon e^{-\phi_\epsilon}$ and notice the two terms on RHS are both non-negative, we have proved

Proposition 11 Consider the approximation geodesic ϕ_ϵ connection two Kähler Einstein metrics. For almost everywhere t , there is a constant C_t , such that for each such t , there exists a subsequence ϵ_j , such that the following estimates

$$\int_X f e^{-\phi}(\epsilon_j) < C_t \epsilon_j$$

and

$$\int_X (|\partial\phi'|_g^2 - (\pi_\perp \phi')^2) e^{-\phi}(\epsilon_j) < C_t \epsilon_j$$

hold simultaneously.

5 Convergence in the first eigenspace

In this section, we shall focus our attention to the one fiber $X \times \{t\}$, and picked up a subsequence ϕ_{ϵ_j} from above section. Then we can consider the sequence of weighted Laplacian operator \square_{ϕ_ϵ} (we shall omit the subindex j here). For each ϵ , we can arrange its eigenvalues as $0 < \lambda_1^\epsilon \leq \lambda_2^\epsilon \leq \dots$, corresponding with one eigenfunction $e_i(\epsilon)$, i.e.

$$\square_{\phi_\epsilon} e_i(\epsilon) = \lambda_i^\epsilon e_i(\epsilon).$$

Then let $u_\epsilon(z)$ be a sequence of smooth functions on X , such that $u_\epsilon \perp \ker \bar{\partial}$. Then it decomposes into the eigenspace of weighted Laplacian operator \square_{ϕ_ϵ} , i.e.

$$u_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon)$$

where $e_i \in \Lambda_i$, and in prior, N_ϵ could equal to $+\infty$ in the above notation. Then we can consider the action by the weighted Laplacian operator on this sequence of functions, i.e. we can write $\square_{\phi_\epsilon} u_\epsilon$ as

$$v_\epsilon = \omega_{g_\epsilon} \lrcorner \bar{\partial} u$$

and

$$\partial^{\phi_\epsilon} v_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} \lambda_i^\epsilon a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon).$$

Under certain constraint, we claim these vector fields v_ϵ will converge to a holomorphic one with the same equation satisfied,

Proposition 12 *Let u_ϵ be a sequence of functions as above. Suppose it satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) $\sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < A$ for an uniform constant A , and the sums does not converge to zero.
- 2) there exists a uniform constant K , such that $\lambda_{N_\epsilon}^\epsilon < K$ for each ϵ
- 3) the following estimate holds

$$\int_X (|\bar{\partial} u_\epsilon|_{g_\epsilon}^2 - (\pi_\perp u_\epsilon)^2) e^{-\phi_\epsilon} < C\epsilon. \quad (2)$$

then by passing to a subsequence, we have

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_\infty$$

in strong L^2 sense, where $u_\infty \in W^{1,2}$ is nontrivial. Moreover there exists a nontrivial holomorphic $(n-1, 0)$ form v_∞ with value in $-K_X$, such that

$$v_\epsilon \rightarrow v_\infty$$

in strong L^2 sense, and the equation

$$\omega_g \wedge v_\infty = \bar{\partial} u_\infty$$

holds in the sense of L^2 functions, where g is the metric found on the $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic.

before proving the proposition, we need a lemma

Lemma 13 *Let f_j, g_j be two sequence of L^2 functions with $\|f_j g_j\|_{L^p} < C$ for some $p \geq 1$. Suppose that $\int_X |f_j|^2 d\mu < C'$ and $g_j \rightarrow g \in L^2$ in L^2 norm, then there exists an L^2 function f such that*

$$f_j g_j \rightarrow f g \in L^p$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof 8 First note there exists an L^2 function f such that $f_j \rightarrow f$ in weak L^2 topology. Then we check

$$\int_X (fg - f_j g_j) d\mu = \int_X g(f - f_j) d\mu + \int_X f_j(g - g_j) d\mu,$$

the first term on the RHS of above equation converges to zero from the weak convergence of f_j , and the second term converges to zero too, since

$$\left| \int_X f(g - g_j) d\mu \right|^2 \leq \left(\int_X |f|^2 d\mu \right) \left(\int_X |g - g_j|^2 d\mu \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

hence $f_j g_j$ converges to fg in the sense of distributions. Moreover, from the L^p bound of $f_j g_j$, we have an L^p function k such that $f_j g_j \rightarrow k$ in weak L^p topology. Then

$$fg = k$$

as L^p functions.

Remark 1 Suppose the sequence $|f_j|$ is uniformly bounded in lemma 13, then the limit f is an L^∞ function, then $fg \in L^2$ automatically.

Proof 9 (of proposition 12) First we can write equation (2) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < C\epsilon$$

by Futaki's formula, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |L_{g_\epsilon} u_\epsilon|^2 e^{-\phi_\epsilon} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} \lambda_i^\epsilon (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \\ &\leq KC\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

from condition (2) and (3). But if we write $v_\epsilon = X_\epsilon \lrcorner 1$ for some vector field $X_\epsilon = X_\epsilon^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}$, then

$$(L_{g_\epsilon} u)_j^i = g^{i\bar{k}} u_{,k\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial \bar{z}^j},$$

hence the L^2 norm is

$$|L_{g_\epsilon} u|^2 = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{\mu\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial \bar{z}^\lambda} \frac{\partial \overline{X^\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}^\mu} = \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_g^2.$$

now we choose a fixed smooth background metric h to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_h^2 &= h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} h^{\mu\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial \bar{z}^\lambda} \frac{\partial \overline{X^\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}^\mu} \\ &= h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} h^{\mu\bar{\lambda}} g^{\alpha\bar{\eta}} u_{,\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda}} g^{\gamma\bar{\beta}} u_{,\gamma\mu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha^2} |u_{,\bar{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \Sigma\left(\frac{\Lambda_\lambda}{\Lambda_\alpha}\right)\Sigma\frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\lambda}|u_{,\bar{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}}|^2 \\
&\leq C(tr_g h)\left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}\right|_g^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we compute in some normal coordinate. And correspondingly, the L^2 norm of X can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
|X|_h^2 &= h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}u_{,\bar{\lambda}}\overline{g^{\beta\bar{\eta}}u_{,\bar{\eta}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha^2}|u_{,\bar{\alpha}}|^2 \\
&\leq \Sigma\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha}\right)\Sigma\frac{1}{\Lambda_\alpha}|u_{,\bar{\alpha}}|^2 \\
&\leq (tr_g h)|\bar{\partial}u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $f = \phi'' - |\partial\phi'|_g^2$ is bounded from above, then we can estimate the L^2 norm of $\bar{\partial}v$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X \left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}\right|_h^2 \det h &\leq C \int_X \left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}\right|_h^2 \frac{1}{f} \det h \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \int_X \left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}\right|_g^2 (tr_g h) \det g \\
&\leq \frac{C'}{\epsilon} \int_X \left|\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}\right|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} \leq C''.
\end{aligned}$$

note X is a vector in $(1,0)$ direction, which means locally its coefficients are functions. Hence its full gradient is uniformly bounded in L^2 norm, i.e.

$$\int_X |\nabla X_\epsilon|_h^2 \det h < C$$

for some constant independent of ϵ . We claim it's also L^1 bounded. Recall from our choice of ϵ , we have

$$\int_X f e^{-\phi_\epsilon} < C_1 \epsilon,$$

then we can estimate

$$\int_X e^{F_\epsilon} \det h = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_X f e^{-\phi_\epsilon} < C_1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_X |X|_h \det h\right)^2 &\leq C\left(\int_X |X|_h^2 e^{F_g} \det g\right)^2 \\
&\leq C\left(\int_X |X|_h^2 (\det g)^2 e^{F_g}\right)\left(\int_X e^{F_g}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C' \left(\int_X |\bar{\partial}u|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} \right) < C''.$$

Hence it's uniformly L^1 bounded, then by Poincaré inequality, we know $\|X\|_{L^2} < C$ for some uniform constant. These together imply the sequence of vector fields X_ϵ are uniformly $W^{1,2}$ bounded. Now by compact imbedding theorem, there exists a vector field $X = X^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \in W^{1,2}$ such that $X_\epsilon \rightarrow X$ in strong L^2 norm.

Moreover, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_X \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_h e^{-\phi_g} \right)^2 &= \left(\int_X \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_h e^{F_g} \det g \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_X \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_h^2 (\det g)^2 e^{F_g} \right) \left(\int_X e^{F_g} \right) \\ &\leq \left(C \int_X \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|_g^2 e^{-\phi_g} \right) \left(\int_X e^{F_g} \right) \\ &\leq C' \epsilon \int_X e^{F_g} = C' \int_X f e^{-\phi_g} \\ &< C'' \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

from our choice of sequence ϵ . Hence $\bar{\partial}X \rightarrow 0$ in weak L^1 sense, but this is enough to imply $\bar{\partial}X = 0$ in the sense of distributions. Then X is in fact a holomorphic $(1,0)$ vector field on the manifolds, and we can define $v_\infty = X \lrcorner 1$, which is a $-K_X$ valued holomorphic $(n-1,0)$ form.

On the other hand, for the function u_ϵ itself, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |\bar{\partial}u_\epsilon|_h^2 \det h &\leq C \int_X |\bar{\partial}u_\epsilon|_{g_\epsilon}^2 e^{-\phi_\epsilon} \\ &= C \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} \lambda_i^\epsilon |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \leq C', \end{aligned}$$

hence u_ϵ has a uniform $W^{1,2}$ bound, and it converges to a function $u_\infty \in W^{1,2}$ in strong L^2 norm. Then by condition (1), the L^2 norm of u_∞ is non-trivial. Moreover, we know the equation

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon X_\epsilon^\alpha = u(\epsilon)_{,\bar{\beta}}$$

holds for every ϵ . Now $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon$ is uniformly bounded from above, hence converges to $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ in weak L^∞ , where $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is the weak $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ solution of the geodesic equation. And $X_\epsilon \rightarrow X$ in strong L^2 , hence by the Remark after lemma 13, we see that the equation

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha = \partial_{\bar{\beta}} u_\infty$$

holds in the sense of L^2 functions. In particular, they are equal almost everywhere.

Finally, observe that $u_\infty \perp \ker \bar{\partial}$, since

$$\int_X u_\infty e^{-\phi} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_X u_\epsilon e^{-\phi_\epsilon} = 0.$$

Hence if v_∞ is trivial, then $\bar{\partial}u = 0$, i.e. $u \in \ker \bar{\partial}$, which implies $u = 0$, a contradiction. So v_∞ is non-trivial too.

Notice that before taking the limits, the vector field v_ϵ also satisfies another equation, i.e.

$$\partial^{\phi_\epsilon} v_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} \lambda_i^\epsilon a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon).$$

the LHS converges weakly to $\partial^\phi v_\infty$, since for any smooth testing $(n, 0)$ form W ,

$$\int_X v_\epsilon \wedge \overline{\partial W} e^{-\phi_\epsilon} \rightarrow \int_X v_\infty \wedge \overline{\partial W} e^{-\phi}$$

and the RHS converges to u_∞ since condition (3). And the RHS

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} \lambda_i^\epsilon a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon) - u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq K \sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2$$

converges to zero. We have equality

$$\partial^\phi v_\infty = u_\infty$$

holds in the weak sense. But since both sides of above equation are L^2 functions, the equation actually holds as L^2 functions. This reminds us that u_∞ might be the eigenfunction of the operator \square_ϕ with eigenvalue 1. In fact, we have

Corollary 14 *Let u_ϵ be a sequence of functions satisfying condition (1) - (3) in proposition 7, then there exists a function $u_\infty \in W^{1,2}$ such that*

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_\infty$$

in strong L^2 sense, and u_∞ is a nontrivial eigenfunction of the operator \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1.

Proof 10 *First notice $u_\infty \in \text{dom}(\square_{\phi_g})$. This is because $\bar{\partial}u = \omega_g \wedge v_\infty$, hence $u \in \mathcal{W} \subset \text{dom}(\bar{\partial})$, and $\bar{\partial}u \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^*)$ since v_∞ is holomorphic. Now for any smooth testing $(n, 0)$ form W with value in $-K_X$, we compute*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^* \bar{\partial}u_\infty \wedge \overline{W} e^{-\phi_g} &= (\bar{\partial}_{\phi_g}^* \bar{\partial}u_\infty, W)_g \\ &= \langle \bar{\partial}u_\infty, \bar{\partial}W \rangle_g \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \omega_g \wedge v_\infty, \bar{\partial} W \rangle_g \\
&= \int_X v_\infty^\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{W} e^{-\phi_g} \\
&= (\partial^{\phi_g} v_\infty, W)_g \\
&= \int_X u_\infty \wedge \bar{W} e^{-\phi_g}.
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\square_{\phi_g} u_\infty = u_\infty$ as L^2 functions.

6 the eigenspace decomposition of ϕ' (the easy case)

In this section, we shall construct a sequence of functions u_ϵ , which could satisfy the condition (1) – (3) in proposition 12 from ϕ'_ϵ , then construct a holomorphic vector field from there. However, we need to discuss case by case this time, i.e. let

$$\pi_\perp \phi'_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon),$$

then

$$\square_{\phi_\epsilon} (\pi_\perp \phi'_\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_i^\epsilon a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon).$$

Note the restriction from the vanishing of *Ding*-functional gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < C\epsilon \quad (3)$$

by passing to the chosen subsequence ϵ_j . And notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |\bar{\partial} \phi'_\epsilon|_h^2 &\leq C \int_X |\bar{\partial} \phi'_\epsilon|_{g_\epsilon}^2 e^{-\phi_\epsilon} \\
&\leq C \int_X \phi''_\epsilon e^{-\phi_\epsilon} < C',
\end{aligned}$$

then there exists a function $\psi \in W^{1,2}$ such that $\phi'_\epsilon \rightarrow \psi$ in strong L^2 norm. Hence we can assume

$$\frac{1}{2} < \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < 2 \quad (4)$$

for ϵ small enough.

Remark 2 *In fact, we have $|\phi_\epsilon|_{C^1} < C$, hence $\|\phi_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,p}} < C$ for any p large. Then by compact imbedding theorem, we can assume*

$$\phi_\epsilon \rightarrow \phi$$

in $C^{0,\alpha}$ norm.

In fact, we are going to prove

Theorem 15 *There is a holomorphic vector field v on the manifolds, such that*

$$\omega_g \wedge v = \bar{\partial}\psi$$

where ψ is the L^2 limit of ϕ'_ϵ and g is the $C^{1,\bar{1}}$ solution of geodesic equation. Moreover, ψ is a eigenfunction of the operator \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1, i.e.

$$\square_{\phi_g}\psi = \psi.$$

In order to prove this theorem, we shall discuss case by case. First there are two possibilities for the convergence of eigenvalue λ_i^ϵ :

Case 1, there exist a finite integer k such that the following two things hold

- i) for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\lambda_i^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$;
- ii) λ_{k+1}^ϵ does not converges to 1.

Case 2, for each $1 \leq i < +\infty$, $\lambda_i^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let's discuss *Case 1* first in this section. In this case, we shall define

$$u_\epsilon := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon).$$

Notice that the divergence of λ_i^ϵ implies $\lambda_i^\epsilon > 1 + \delta$ for some small $\delta > 0$, by passing to a subsequence. Then since λ_i^ϵ is a non-decreasing sequence in i , we have for all $i > k$

$$\lambda_i^\epsilon > 1 + \delta$$

for the same subsequence. Now by equation (3), we see

$$\begin{aligned} C\epsilon &> \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} \delta |a_i(\epsilon)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This gives condition (1), i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 > 1/4.$$

condition (2) is satisfied because $\lambda_k^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ by the assumption, and condition (3) is automatically satisfied by equation (3). Hence we can generate a holomorphic vector field v_∞ from proposition (12).

Moreover, we could see $\|\pi_\perp \phi'_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}$ converges to zero in above argument, hence we actually have

$$\psi = u_\infty$$

after taking the limit. And hence it's the eigenfunction of \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1, by corollary (14). Hence we proved theorem 15 in this case.

7 the hard case

Now we are going to deal with *Case 2*, i.e. we assume

$$\lambda_i^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$$

for each $1 \leq i < +\infty$. Here we still subdivide it into two subcases as follows:

subCase 1, for any $1 < k < \infty$, the partial sum $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \rightarrow 0$, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

subCase 2, there exists a finite number K , such that $\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2$ does not converge to zero.

Before going to the subcases, we need a lemma first

Lemma 16 *Let $e_i(\epsilon)$ be the eigenfunction of the weighted Laplacian \square_{ϕ_ϵ} with eigenvalue λ_i^ϵ , i.e.*

$$\square_{\phi_\epsilon} e_i(\epsilon) = \lambda_i^\epsilon e_i(\epsilon).$$

Suppose there exists an uniform constant C , such that $\lambda_i^\epsilon < 1 + C\epsilon$, then $e_i(\epsilon)$ converges to a non-trivial eigenfunction e_i of the operator \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1. Moreover, suppose there is another $j \neq i$, such that λ_j satisfies the same condition, then e_i, e_j are mutually orthogonal to each other.

Proof 11 *we define $u_\epsilon = e_i(\epsilon)$, then condition (1) and (2) hold automatically. And condition (3) is also satisfied because*

$$\int_X (|\bar{\partial} u_\epsilon|_{g_\epsilon}^2 - (\pi_\perp u_\epsilon)^2) e^{-\phi_\epsilon} = (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) < C\epsilon,$$

hence by proposition (12) and corollary (14), we get

$$e_i(\epsilon) \rightarrow e_i$$

in strong L^2 sense, where $e_i \in W^{1,2}$ is a eigenfunction of \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1. Now for $j \neq i$, we have similar convergence and eigenfunction e_j , but

$$\int_X e_i \bar{e}_j e^{-\phi_g} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_X e_i(\epsilon) \overline{e_j(\epsilon)} e^{-\phi_\epsilon} = 0$$

by the strong L^2 convergence of $e_i(\epsilon)$, and L^∞ convergence of ϕ_ϵ .

Now let's begin to discuss the *subCase 1*. For any fixed k , by equation (4), we can find a large integer $N_{\epsilon,k}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon,k}} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \geq 1/4$$

by the assumption in this subcase, for ϵ small

$$\sum_{i=k}^{N_{\epsilon,k}} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \geq 1/8.$$

but then by equation (3),

$$\frac{1}{8}(\lambda_k^\epsilon - 1) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{N_{\epsilon,k}} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < C\epsilon,$$

because the sequence λ_i^ϵ is non-decreasing. Hence we proved for each k ,

$$\lambda_k^\epsilon < 1 + 8C\epsilon$$

for ϵ small enough. Now by lemma 16, we get an eigenfunction e_k for each $1 \leq i < \infty$, and they are orthogonal to each other. However, this is impossible since the eigenspace with eigenvalue 1 of an elliptic operator \square_{ϕ_g} has only finite rank. Hence the *subCase 1* actually never happens.

8 the final case

Let's discuss *subCase 2*. Under the assumption in this case, we can find K_1 , a finite integer, to be the first number such that $\sum_{i=1}^{K_1-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2$ does not converge to zero. Then by passing to a subsequence, we can assume $\sum_{i=1}^{K_1-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 > \delta_1$ for some fixed positive number δ_1 . Now consider the truncated sequence

$$\Lambda_1(\phi') = \sum_{i=K_1}^{+\infty} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon).$$

suppose there exists another integer $K_2 > K_1$, such that $\sum_{i=K_1}^{K_2-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2$ does not converge to zero, and then we can assume $\sum_{i=K_1}^{K_2-1} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 > \delta_2$. We can repeat this argument, to find $0 < K_1 < K_2 < K_3 < \dots$, but we claim this process will terminate in finite steps.

Lemma 17 *There exists an finite integer n , such that*

$$\sum_{i=K_n}^{+\infty} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof 12 *Let's define a sequence of sequence of functions $u_\epsilon^{(j)}$ as*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^{(0)} &:= \sum_{i=1}^{K_1-1} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon) \\ u_\epsilon^{(1)} &:= \sum_{i=K_1}^{K_2-1} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon) \\ &\dots \\ u_\epsilon^{(j)} &:= \sum_{i=K_j}^{K_{j+1}-1} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and so on. We now claim $u_\epsilon^{(j)}$ satisfying all the conditions (1) - (3) in proposition (12). Condition (1) is satisfied automatically by assumption, and condition (2) is satisfied since $\lambda_k^\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ for any fixed k . Condition (3) is satisfied too because of equation (3), i.e.

$$\sum_{i=K_j}^{K_{j+1}-1} (\lambda_i^\epsilon - 1) |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 < C\epsilon,$$

then by proposition (12) and corollary (14), we see there exists a non-trivial $W^{1,2}$ function $u^{(j)}$ such that

$$u_\epsilon^{(j)} \rightarrow u^{(j)}$$

in strong L^2 norm. And $u^{(j)}$ is a eigenfunction of operator \square_{ϕ_g} with eigenvalue 1. However, notice that u_ϵ^j and $u_\epsilon^{(k)}$ are mutually orthogonal, and by the same argument used in lemma 16, this implies

$$u^{(j)} \perp u^{(k)}$$

for all different j and k . Now we can find finite many such $u^{(j)}$ since they are all in the eigenspace with eigenvalue 1 of the weighted Laplacian operator \square_{ϕ_g} , hence we proved the lemma.

Next we are going to complete the proof of theorem 15. Now let's define

$$u_\epsilon := \sum_{i=1}^{K_n-1} a_i(\epsilon) e_i(\epsilon)$$

where K_n is the number appearing in lemma 17. Now people can check the three conditions in proposition 12 are satisfied, and hence there exists a $W^{1,2}$ function u such that

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$$

in L^2 sense, and u is a eigenfunction with eigenvalue 1 of operator \square_{ϕ_g} , and there is a holomorphic vector field v such that

$$\omega_g \wedge v = \bar{\partial}u.$$

Moreover, the difference of the L^2 norm is

$$\|\pi_\perp \phi'_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|_{L^2} = \sum_{i=K_n}^{+\infty} |a_i(\epsilon)|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

by our choice of K_n , hence we have

$$\psi = u.$$

And we complete the proof.

Remark 3 *If there is no any non-trivial holomorphic vector field on X , then proposition 12 directly implies $\phi' = 0$ almost everywhere on $X \times I$ from above case by case discussion. Without using corollary 14, we don't need to invoke any eigenfunction of the first eigenspace of the weighted Laplacian operator in the limit. Hence we proved uniqueness in this case.*

9 Time direction

Up to now, we construct a holomorphic vector field v_t on a fiber $X \times t$ for almost everywhere $t \in [0, 1]$. And this vector field can be computed as

$$v_t = \omega_g \lrcorner \bar{\partial} \psi$$

where $\phi'_\epsilon \rightarrow \psi$ in strong L^2 norm at time t . Notice that there are more information to use for the convergence of ϕ'_ϵ . In fact, we know $|\phi'|$, $|\phi_{t\bar{z}}|$ and $|\phi_{z\bar{t}}|$ are all uniformly bounded on $X \times I$, i.e.

$$|\phi'|_{C^1} < C,$$

then we can assume $\phi'_\epsilon \rightarrow \phi' \in C^1(X \times I)$, in $C^{0,\alpha}$ norm. Hence the two limits actually agree with each other, i.e.

$$\psi = \phi'$$

as L^2 functions on X . Now the holomorphic vector field can be written as

$$v_t = \omega_g \lrcorner \bar{\partial} \phi'.$$

Then we can define the following subset of the unit interval

$$S := \{t \in I; \text{there is a holomorphic vector field } v_t \text{ on } X \times \{t\} \text{ satisfying } \omega_g \wedge v_t = \bar{\partial} \phi'\}$$

we know the set $I - S$ has measure zero. Next we are going to prove a stronger result

Proposition 18 *The subset S coincides with the whole unit interval, i.e.*

$$S = I.$$

Proof 13 *First recall that $\phi_\epsilon \rightarrow \phi$ in $C^{0,\alpha}(X \times I)$ norm, by the uniform bound on C^1 norm of ϕ . Then on each fiber $X \times \{t\}$, the convergence still holds, i.e.*

$$\phi_\epsilon \rightarrow \phi$$

in $C^{0,\alpha}(X)$, and this implies

$$g_{\epsilon,\alpha\bar{\beta}} \rightarrow g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$

in the sense of distribution on the fiber $X \times \{t\}$. Pick up a point $\underline{t} \in I - S$, and a sequence $t_i \in S$ such that $t_i \rightarrow \underline{t}$. Observe that the space of all holomorphic vector fields is finite dimensional, i.e. let

$$\Gamma(X) := H^0(TX),$$

then Γ is a finite dimensional vector space. Write $v_{t_i} = X_{i\lrcorner} 1$, where $v_{t_i} \in \Gamma$ is the vector field satisfying the equation in the definition of S . Observe that v_t is the unique solution to the following equation

$$\partial^{\phi_t} v_t = \square_{\phi_t} \phi' = \pi_\perp \phi'$$

under the condition $H^{0,1}(X) = 0$, then the standard L^2 estimate (Berndtsson[5]) gives us

$$\|v_t\|_h \leq C \|\pi_\perp \phi'\|_h$$

for some fixed metric h and uniform constant C independent of time t . Consider the sequence $\{X_i\} \in H^0(TX)$, the uniform bounds on the L^2 norm of X_i shows it must converges under the fixed metric h , i.e. there exists a vector field $X \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\|X - X_i\|_h^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let's write $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\underline{t})$ and $g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(t_i)$, then

$$\|X - X_i\|_g^2 \leq C \|X - X_i\|_h^2,$$

hence converges to zero too. Now we claim the equation

$$\omega_g \wedge X = \bar{\partial}\phi'$$

holds in the sense of distribution. Put $\chi(z)$ be any smooth compact supported testing function on X (we can further assume χ is supported in some coordinate chart), we fix a pair of index α, β , and compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha - g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} X_i^\alpha) \chi(z) \det h \\ &= \int_X \chi (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}) X^\alpha \det h + \int_X \chi (X^\alpha - X_i^\alpha) g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} \det h, \end{aligned}$$

since $g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is uniformly bounded, the second term in above equation converges to zero in strong L^2 sense. And the first term, we can decompose it into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \chi (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}) X^\alpha \det h \\ &= \int_X \chi (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon) X^\alpha \det h - \int_X \chi (g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon) X^\alpha \det h + \int_X \chi (g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon) X^\alpha \det h, \end{aligned}$$

the first and second terms converge to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and for the third term, we integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \chi (g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^\epsilon) X^\alpha \det h = \int_X \chi_{,\bar{\beta}} (\phi_{i,\alpha}^\epsilon - \phi_\alpha^\epsilon) X^\alpha \det h \\ &= \int_X \chi_{,\bar{\beta}} (t_i - \underline{t}) \phi'_{,\alpha}(t) X^\alpha \det h \\ &\leq A |t_i - \underline{t}| \end{aligned}$$

where A is a constant independent of ϵ . Hence

$$\int_X \chi(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}}) X^\alpha \det h \rightarrow 0$$

as $t_i \rightarrow \underline{t}$, and we proved

$$g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} X_i^\alpha \rightarrow g_{i,\alpha\bar{\beta}} X_i^\alpha$$

in the sense of distributions. But we know $\phi'_i \rightarrow \phi'$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}$ norm, hence $\bar{\partial}\phi'_i \rightarrow \bar{\partial}\phi'$ in the sense of distribution too. Finally, the limit equation

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha = \phi'_{,\bar{\beta}}$$

holds in distribution sense on $X \times \{\underline{t}\}$. Now since both sides in above equation are L^∞ functions, we see the equation actually holds in the sense of L^2 functions by the same argument in Remark 1.

Now it makes sense to talk about the time derivative of vector fields v_t in distribution sense, i.e. on the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ geodesic, we compute in the sense of distributions

$$\phi''_{,\bar{\beta}} = (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha)',$$

and computation implies

$$(g^{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} \phi'_{,\alpha} \phi'_{,\bar{\lambda}})_{,\bar{\beta}} = \phi'_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha + g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (X^\alpha)'$$

note the RHS is in fact equal to

$$\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}(\phi'_{,\alpha} X^\alpha) = \phi'_{,\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha + \phi'_{,\alpha} X^\alpha_{,\bar{\beta}} = \phi'_{,\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^\alpha,$$

here Leibniz rule makes sense since X is holomorphic. Hence we get

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (X^\alpha)' = 0$$

which is equivalent to the vanishing of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_t = 0$, i.e. we have an unchanged holomorphic vector field v on the geodesic.

We finished the proof of uniqueness theorem by taking the holomorphic vector field

$$\mathcal{V} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - V,$$

then it's easy to check $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}(i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_t) = 0$ during the flow, hence the induced the automorphism F preserves the metric along the geodesic.

References

- [1] Shigetoshi Bando, Toshiki Mabuchi , Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler Metrics modulo connected group actions *Advanced Study in Pure Mathematics 10, 1987*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985, pp 11-40
- [2] R. Berman, A thermodynamical formalism for Monge-Ampere equations, Moser-Trudinger inequalities and Kahler-Einstein metrics *arXiv:1011.3976*
- [3] R. Berman Analytic torsion, vortices and positive Ricci curvature *arXiv: 1006.2988*
- [4] [BBEGZ12] R. Berman, S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties. *arXiv:1111.7158*,
- [5] Bo Berndtsson. A Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for Fano manifolds and the Bando-Mabuchi uniqueness theorem. *arXiv:1103.0923*,
- [6] Bo Berndtsson, Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains, *Annales de l'institut Fourier* ,Volume 56, Issue: 6, 1633-1662, 2006
- [7] Xiuxiong Chen, The space of Kähler metrics *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 56(2):189234, 2000.
- [8] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson and Song Sun Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano Manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities. *arXiv 1211.4566*
- [9] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson and Song Sun Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano Manifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than 2. *arXiv 1212.4714*.
- [10] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson and Song Sun Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano Manifolds, III: limits as cone angle approaches 2 and completion of the main proof. *arXiv:1302.0282*.
- [11] Akito Futaki, Kähler-Einstein Metrics and Integral Invariants *Springer-Verlag* , 1988
- [12] Weiyong He, F -functional and geodesic stability. *arXiv:1208.1020*
- [13] Gang Tian, On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$ *Inventiones mathematicae* Volume 89, Issue 2, pp 225-246, 1987
- [14] S. T. Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampere equation, I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 31:339441, 1978. 43