

THE EXT ALGEBRA OF THE PRINCIPAL 2-BLOCK OF THE MATHIEU GROUP M_{11}

DAVID J. GREEN AND SIMON A. KING

ABSTRACT. We complete the calculation of the Ext algebra of the principal 2-block of the Mathieu group M_{11} , extending work of Benson–Carlson and of Pawloski – and duplicating work of Generalov [7].

1. INTRODUCTION

One major problem in modular representation theory is to understand in how many different ways simple modules may be assembled to form an indecomposable module. This is essentially a cohomological question.

To be more precise, recall from [1, §2.6] that if M, N are left modules over a unital ring Λ , then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(N, M)$ classifies extensions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

and more generally $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^r(N, M)$ has an interpretation in terms of longer exact sequences. Moreover there is a Yoneda product

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^r(N, M) \times \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^s(L, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{r+s}(L, M)$$

which corresponds to splicing two such exact sequences together. If Λ has only finitely many simple modules, then this Yoneda product ensures that

$$\bigoplus_{S, T \text{ simple } \Lambda\text{-modules}} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(S, T)$$

is a unital (noncommutative) ring, which is called the Ext algebra of Λ . If Λ is the modular group algebra kG of a finite group (or its principal block), then the Ext algebra contains the cohomology ring $H^*(G, k) = \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(k, k)$ as a graded commutative subalgebra. But in comparison to the better known cohomology ring with its emphasis on the trivial module, the Ext algebra encodes information about all simple modules and treats them as equals.

Of course, the trivial module is the only simple module for the modular group algebra of a p -group, and so for p -groups the cohomology ring and the Ext algebra coincide. But leaving this case aside, to date there have been exceptionally

Date: 1 October 2014.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20J06; Secondary 16E30, 20C20, 20C34. King was supported by DFG grant GR 1585/6-1, which also gave Green travel assistance.


few complete calculations of Ext algebras. Carlson, Green¹ and Schneider [4] described a procedure to calculate the Ext algebra of a p -block of a finite group. For computational purposes it can be helpful to replace a block by its basic algebra, see [5, I.2] and [4, p. 319]. Lux devised methods to compute basic algebras [10]. His student Hoffman computed several basic algebras [8, 9], and Pawloski – another student of Lux – used these results to compute several Ext algebras in low degrees [11]. In several of Pawloski’s computations it would appear that all generators and relations have been found, but no attempt is made to prove this.

In this paper we obtain in Theorem 2.1 the full structure of the Ext algebra of the principal 2-block of the Mathieu group M_{11} . Note that Benson and Carlson obtained extensive partial results using their diagrammatic methods [3, Sect. 12]. Specifically, there are three simple modules: the trivial module K , and modules M, N . Benson and Carlson compute the ring $\text{Ext}^*(S, S)$ for $S = K, M, N$ and observe that $\text{Ext}^*(M, M)$ is noncommutative.

2. THE BASIC ALGEBRA

The starting point for our computation is Hoffman’s description of the basic algebra [9] of the principal block of M_{11} . We follow the Benson–Carlson naming convention for the simple modules: the trivial module K , together with M and N . In the 2-block M has degree 44 and N has degree 10, but of course K, M, N are all 1-dimensional in the basic algebra.

We wrote a GAP [6] script to extract a presentation of the basic algebra, as this is only implicit in Hoffman’s data. The basic algebra of the principal block of $\mathbb{F}_2 M_{11}$ is given by the quiver



and the reduced Gröbner basis of the relations ideal is

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 bd = 0 & fe = ebc & dc = 0 & ea = f^3 \\
 af = bca & cb = 0 & d^2 = caeb & aebc = bcae \\
 ebca = f^4 & bcaeb = 0 & f^5 = 0 &
 \end{array}$$

Here, shorter paths are more significant than longer ones, and paths of the same length are ordered lexicographically *from the right*, with $a > b$. Note in particular that $aebc > bcae$. Finally, we may choose any ordering of the three vertices.

¹E. L. Green, not the first author.

Since Morita equivalence leaves the Ext algebra unchanged we follow [4] and compute the Ext algebra of the principal block by computing the Ext algebra of the basic algebra.

Theorem 2.1. *The Ext algebra of the principal block of M_{11} at the prime 2 has a presentation with the following eight generators:*

$$\begin{array}{llll} \alpha \in \text{Ext}^1(K, N) & \beta \in \text{Ext}^1(K, M) & \gamma \in \text{Ext}^1(M, K) & \delta \in \text{Ext}^1(M, M) \\ \varepsilon \in \text{Ext}^1(N, K) & \phi \in \text{Ext}^1(N, N) & \kappa \in \text{Ext}^4(K, K) & \nu \in \text{Ext}^4(N, N) \end{array}$$

subject to the relations

$$\begin{array}{llll} \alpha\gamma = 0 & \gamma\beta = 0 & \varepsilon\alpha = 0 & \beta\varepsilon = 0 \\ \phi^2 = 0 & \varepsilon\phi\alpha = 0 & \phi\alpha\varepsilon = \alpha\varepsilon\phi & \delta^2\beta\gamma = \beta\gamma\delta^2 \\ \gamma\delta^2\beta = 0 & \kappa\gamma = \gamma\delta^4 & \delta^4\beta = \beta\kappa & \nu\alpha = \alpha\kappa \\ \kappa\varepsilon = \varepsilon\nu & \nu\phi = \phi\nu & & \end{array}$$

These 14 relations are minimal, and they form a Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic (from the left) ordering $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \delta < \varepsilon < \phi < \kappa < \nu$.

Remark. By contrast, the cohomology ring $H^*(M_{11}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2) \cong \text{Ext}^*(K, K)$ has three generators and only one relation. But the generators are in degrees 3, 4 and 5; and the relation is in degree ten. The Ext Algebra has 8 generators and 14 relations, but the last relation is in degree five.

The generators of $\text{Ext}^*(K, K)$ are $\gamma\delta\beta$, κ and $\gamma\delta^3\beta$.

Remark. As observed by Benson and Carlson, $\text{Ext}^*(M, M)$ is noncommutative: for $\delta \cdot \beta\gamma \neq \beta\gamma \cdot \delta$.

Proof. Proposition 4.1 constructs the generators and proves that they generate. Proposition 4.2 handles the relations. \square

Projective indecomposable modules. The projective indecomposable (right) module (PIM) for a vertex is the vector space spanned by all paths starting at that vertex. The three PIMs and their bases of standard monomials are:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{l|l} P_K & 1_K, a, b, ae, bc, aeb, bca, bcae \\ \hline P_M & 1_M, c, d, ca, cae, caeb \\ \hline P_N & 1_N, e, f, eb, f^2, ebc, f^3, f^4 \end{array}$$

So in particular P_K is spanned by the vertex path, together with all words beginning with a or b .

If I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s are vertices with projective indecomposables $P_{I_1}, P_{I_2}, \dots, P_{I_s}$, then we abbreviate $P_{I_1} \oplus P_{I_2} \oplus \dots \oplus P_{I_s}$ to $P_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_s}$. We write maps in matrix notation. Hence, if I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m and J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n are vertices and $x_{i,j}$ are paths

starting at J_i and ending at I_j , we define $P_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_m} \xrightarrow{(x_{i,j})} P_{J_1 J_2 \dots J_n}$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1}w_1 + \dots + x_{1,m}w_m \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,1}w_1 + \dots + x_{n,m}w_m \end{pmatrix}$$

3. THE MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS

The minimal resolution of N is periodic of period four:

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_{KN} \xrightarrow{(ef)} P_N \xrightarrow{f^4} P_N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ f \end{pmatrix}} P_{KN} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} P_{KN} \xrightarrow{(ef)} P_N$$

The minimal resolution of M is the total complex of the double complex which starts as follows and occupies the entire second quadrant:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \dots & P_M \\ & & & & & & \vdots & \downarrow b \\ & & & & & \dots & P_M & \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K \\ & & & & & \vdots & \downarrow d & \downarrow c \\ & & & \dots & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} P_M & \xrightarrow{d} P_M \\ & & & \vdots & \downarrow c & \downarrow b & \downarrow d \\ & & \dots & P_M & \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} P_M \\ & & \vdots & \downarrow aeb & \downarrow d & \downarrow c & \downarrow b \\ \dots & P_M & \xrightarrow{b} P_K & \xrightarrow{c} P_M & \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K \\ \vdots & \downarrow d & \downarrow cae & \downarrow aeb & \downarrow d & \downarrow c \\ \dots & P_K & \xrightarrow{c} P_M & \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \xrightarrow{b} P_K & \xrightarrow{c} P_M & \xrightarrow{d} P_M \end{array}$$

Compare this with the resolution over the group algebra in [3, p. 106]. The minimal resolution of K starts as follows, compare [2, p. 267]:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
P_N & \xrightarrow{a} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M & \xrightarrow{d} & P_M & \xrightarrow{aeb} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M \\
& & & \downarrow eb & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow b & \\
& & P_N & \xrightarrow{ca} & P_M & \xrightarrow{d} & P_M & \xrightarrow{aeb} & P_K & & \\
& & \downarrow f & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow c & & \\
& & P_N & \xrightarrow{a} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M & \xrightarrow{d} & P_M & & \\
& & & & & & \downarrow eb & & \downarrow d & & \\
& & & & & & P_N & \xrightarrow{ca} & P_M & & \\
& & & & & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow b & & \\
& & & & & & P_N & \xrightarrow{a} & P_K & &
\end{array}$$

(3.2)

In particular, for all $r \geq 0$ there is a copy of P_N at position $(2r + 1, 2r)$, and nothing at position $(2r + 2, 2r + 1)$.

Minimality in both cases is immediate, as all maps are zero modulo radical.² We demonstrate that they are resolutions by constructing contracting homotopies.

Definition. If $P \xrightarrow{x} Q$ is one of $P_M \xrightarrow{b} P_K$, $P_K \xrightarrow{c} P_M$, $P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M$, $P_M \xrightarrow{eb} P_N$ and $P_N \xrightarrow{f} P_N$, we define $Q \xrightarrow{h_x} P$ to be the vector space homomorphism given on the standard monomials w in Q by

$$h_x(w) = \begin{cases} v & v \text{ a standard monomial and } w = xv \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Here $w = xv$ means equality in the basic algebra; as words they need not coincide. For example, $h_d(caeb) = d$, since $caeb = d^2$.

Note that the map h_x is well defined. This can be verified by inspecting the Gröbner relations. These relations can be interpreted as replacement rules, where the left hand side of each relation is replaced by the right hand side. We see that there is no rule that would replace a word starting with b , c , d or eb by a word that starts with a different sub-word.

²The radical is spanned by paths of lengths at least one.

The values of $h_x(w)$ can be read off of the following table.

x	$w = xv$	w with $h_x(w) = 0$
b	$b, bc, bca, bcae$	$1_K, a, ae, aeb$
c	$c, ca, cae, caeb$	$1_M, d$
d	$d, caeb = d^2$	$1_M, c, ca, cae$
eb	$eb, ebc, f^4 = ebca$	$1_N, e, f, f^2, f^3$
f	$f, ebc = fe, f^2, f^3, f^4$	$1_N, e, eb$

Lemma 3.4. *If $P \xrightarrow{x} Q \xrightarrow{y} R$ is one of $P_M \xrightarrow{b} P_K \xrightarrow{c} P_M$, $P_K \xrightarrow{c} P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M$, $P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M \xrightarrow{b} P_K$ and $P_M \xrightarrow{eb} P_N \xrightarrow{f} P_N$ then*

$$x \circ h_x + h_y \circ y = \text{Id}_Q .$$

Hence $P_M \xrightarrow{eb} P_N \xrightarrow{f} P_N$ and the 3-periodic sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{c} P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M \xrightarrow{b} P_K \xrightarrow{c} P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M \xrightarrow{b} \cdots$$

are exact.

Proof. By inspection in Table (3.3). For example, the v with $h_{eb}(v) = 0$ are precisely the v such that fv appears in the “ $w = xv$ ” column for $x = f$. Exactness since in addition $feb = ebc = 0$, and $cb = dc = bd = 0$. \square

Both double complexes are built from the following commutative squares and their transposes. Note that these squares all correspond to relations in the basic algebra.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_M \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K & P_K \xrightarrow{cae} P_M & P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M \\
 d \downarrow & A & \downarrow c \\
 P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M & & \\
 \\
 P_N \xrightarrow{ca} P_M & P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \\
 f \downarrow & D & \downarrow b \\
 P_N \xrightarrow{a} P_K & & \\
 \\
 P_M \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K & P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \\
 c \downarrow & B & \downarrow b \\
 P_M \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K & & \\
 \\
 P_N \xrightarrow{ca} P_M & P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \\
 eb \downarrow & E & \downarrow d \\
 P_N \xrightarrow{ca} P_M & & \\
 \\
 P_M \xrightarrow{aeb} P_K & P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M & \\
 b \downarrow & C & \downarrow d \\
 P_K \xrightarrow{cae} P_M & &
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.5. $\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P \\ y \downarrow & X & \downarrow x \\ S & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q \end{array}$ satisfies $\alpha h_y = h_x \beta$ for $X = A, B, C, D, E$.

Proof. Both maps send y to α , and for $X = D$ they both map $fe = ebc$ to cae . By (3.3) they kill all remaining monomials. \square

Definition. In
$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P \\ y \downarrow & X & \downarrow x \\ S & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q \end{array}$$
 for $X = A, C, D$ define $h'_X: Q \rightarrow S$ to be the

linear map given on standard monomials by

$$h'_X(w) = \begin{cases} v & w = \beta v \text{ and } w \notin \text{Im}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Again, $w = \beta v$ means equality in the basic algebra. The table lists all cases.

X	β	Q	S	x	$w = \beta v \notin \text{Im}(x)$	w with $h'_X(w) = 0$
A	d	P_M	P_M	c	d	$1_M, c, ca, cae, caeb$
C	cae	P_M	P_K	d	cae	$1_M, c, d, ca, caeb = d^2$
D	a	P_K	P_N	b	a, ae, aeb	$1_K, b, bc, bca, bcae$

Lemma 3.7. Let
$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P \\ y \downarrow & X & \downarrow x \\ S & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q \end{array}$$
 be one of A, C, D . Then

- a) $h'_X \circ x = 0$.
- b) $(\beta h'_X + x h_x)(w) = \begin{cases} w & w \in \text{Im}(x) + \text{Im}(\beta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ for $w \in Q$ standard monomial.

Proof. Immediate from the construction. □

Proposition 3.8. (3.1) is a minimal resolution of M with contracting homotopy

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & & & & & & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & & P_M \\
 & & & & & & \vdots & h_b \uparrow \\
 & & & & & & P_M & P_K \\
 & & & & & \vdots & h_d \uparrow & h_c \uparrow \\
 & & & & & P_K & P_M & P_M \\
 (3.9) & & & \vdots & & h_c \uparrow & h_b \uparrow & h_d \uparrow \\
 & & & \dots & P_M & \xleftarrow{h'_A} & P_M & P_K & P_M \\
 & & & & & & h'_A \uparrow & h_c \uparrow & h_b \uparrow \\
 & & & \dots & P_M & \xleftarrow{h_b} & P_K & \xleftarrow{h_c} & P_M & \xleftarrow{h'_A} & P_M & P_K \\
 & & & & & & & h'_A \uparrow & h_c \uparrow \\
 & & & \dots & P_K & \xleftarrow{h_c} & P_M & \xleftarrow{h_d} & P_M & \xleftarrow{h_b} & P_K & \xleftarrow{h_c} & P_M & \xleftarrow{h'_A} & P_M
 \end{array}$$

In particular, the zig-zag pattern at positions (r, r) and $(r+1, r)$ continues indefinitely. Also, (3.2) is a minimal resolution of K with contracting homotopy

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 P_N & \xleftarrow{h'_D} & P_K & \xleftarrow{h'_C} & P_M & P_M & P_K & P_M \\
 & & h_{eb} \uparrow & & h_d \uparrow & & h_c \uparrow & h_b \uparrow \\
 & & P_N & & P_M & & P_M & P_K \\
 (3.10) & & h_f \uparrow & & h_b \uparrow & & h_d \uparrow & h_c \uparrow \\
 & & P_N & \xleftarrow{h'_D} & P_K & \xleftarrow{h'_C} & P_M & P_M \\
 & & & & & & h_{eb} \uparrow & h_d \uparrow \\
 & & & & & & P_N & P_M \\
 & & & & & & h_f \uparrow & h_b \uparrow \\
 & & & & & & P_N & \xleftarrow{h'_D} & P_K
 \end{array}$$

As in (3.2), there is a P_N at each $(2r+1, 2r)$ and nothing at each $(2r+2, 2r+1)$.

Proof. The double complexes have the structure

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & B & C & A & B & C & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\cdots & A' & B & C & A & B & & E & A & B & C & A \\
M: \cdots & (A')^T & A' & B & C & A & K: & D' & C' & A & B & C \\
\cdots & B^T & (A')^T & A' & B & C & & & E & A & B \\
\cdots & C^T & B^T & (A')^T & A' & B & & & D' & C' & A \\
\cdots & A^T & C^T & B^T & (A')^T & A' & & & & E \\
& & & & & & & & & & & D'
\end{array}$$

where the dashes only pertain to the construction of the homotopy.

We now construct the homotopy h . Every term in the double complexes occurs

as Q in a square $\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P \\ y \downarrow & X & \downarrow x \\ S & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q \end{array}$, except for S in $X = D, E$. So it suffices to

define $h|_Q$ for each X , together with $h|_S$ for $X = D, E$. For $X = A, B, C, D, E$ we define $h|_Q$ by

$$h|_Q = \begin{cases} h_x & \text{in } X \\ h_x + h'_X & \text{in } X' \end{cases} .$$

For X^T we construct $h|_Q$ and then transpose. For $X = D, E$ we set $h|_S = h_y$.

To verify the homotopy property at Q we need to consider the surrounding squares $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline X & Y \\ \hline Z & \\ \hline \end{array}$. Reserving the case $X = D'$ for later, it follows that Y is not dashed, so Lemma 3.5 allows us to ignore it.

For $(X, Z) = (B, A), (C, B), (A, C), (A, E)$ and (E, D) the homotopy property is satisfied by Lemma 3.4 if X is not dashed: Lemma 3.7 (a) deals with the case

where Z is dashed. For $X = A'$ we have $(ch_c + dh'_A)(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & w = 1_M \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

by Lemma 3.7 (b). That covers the bottom right A' in the resolution of M , and for $(X, Z) = (A', (A')^T)$ we just need to add $h'_A d + (h_c d + aebh'_A)$: but $h_c d + aebh'_A = 0$ by inspection, whereas $h'_A d$ maps 1_M to itself and kills all other standard monomials.

For $X = C'$ we need $dh_d + caeh'_C + h_{eb}eb = \text{Id}$. Now, dh_d maps $d, caeb = d^2$ to themselves and kills everything else; $h_{eb}eb$ is the identity on $1_M, c, ca$ and zero elsewhere; and $caeh'_C$ preserves cae and annihilates everything else. So the sum is the identity.

For $X = D'$ we have $(ah'_A + bh_B)(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & w = 1_K \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ by Lemma 3.7 (b). So

the resolution of K is exact in degree zero. In higher degrees we can ignore the maps to the top right hand corner of $Y = C'$ by Lemma 3.5, but we do need to add $h'_C cae$. This preserves 1_K and kills everything else, so $ah'_A + bh_B + h'_C cae = \text{Id}$.

For $S = P_N$ in D' we have $fh_f + h'_D a = \text{Id}$ by inspection, similarly for $S = P_N$ in E we have $ebh_{eb} + h_f f = \text{Id}$. In both cases the maps to the top right hand corner of the square cancel each other out, by Lemma 3.5. \square

4. THE EXT ALGEBRA

We recall how Ext groups may be read off from the minimal resolution. Let A be a finite dimensional quotient of an algebra over the field k , and let S, T be two vertex simples. If $Q_* \rightarrow S$ a minimal projective resolution, then minimality implies that every differential $\text{Hom}_A(Q_n, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Q_{n+1}, T)$ vanishes, and hence $\text{Ext}^n(S, T) \cong \text{Hom}_A(Q_n, T)$. Moreover, Q_n is a direct sum of projective indecomposable modules, each of which corresponds to a vertex simple; and $\text{Hom}_A(Q_n, T)$ is k^r , where r is the number of copies of P_T in Q_n .

For example, we see from (3.1) that the minimal resolution Q_* of M has $Q_3 \cong P_M^3 \oplus P_K$. Hence $\text{Ext}^3(M, M)$ is three-dimensional; $\text{Ext}^3(M, K)$ is one-dimensional; and $\text{Ext}^3(M, M) = 0$. Each of the three copies of P_M in Q_3 corresponds to one basis vector of $\text{Ext}^3(M, M)$.

For $L = K, M, N$ write $D(L)_*$ for the minimal resolution of L . For $L = M, K$ we have $D(L)_n = \bigoplus_{r+s=n} D(L)_{rs}$, where r is the horizontal degree and s the vertical degree.

Proposition 4.1. *The Ext algebra is generated by the following eight classes:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: D(K)_{10} = P_N \rightarrow N \in \text{Ext}^1(K, N) & \quad \beta: D(K)_{01} = P_M \rightarrow M \in \text{Ext}^1(K, M) \\ \gamma: D(M)_{01} = P_K \rightarrow K \in \text{Ext}^1(M, K) & \quad \delta: D(M)_{10} = P_M \rightarrow M \in \text{Ext}^1(M, M) \\ \varepsilon: D(N)_1 = P_{KN} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} K \in \text{Ext}^1(N, K) & \quad \phi: D(N)_1 = P_{KN} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} N \in \text{Ext}^1(N, N) \\ \kappa: D(K)_{22} = P_K \rightarrow K \in \text{Ext}^4(K, K) & \quad \nu: D(N)_4 = P_N \rightarrow N \in \text{Ext}^4(N, N) \end{aligned}$$

We of course view the idempotents in $\text{Ext}^0(K, K)$, $\text{Ext}^0(M, M)$ and $\text{Ext}^0(N, N)$ as part of the structure.

Proof. Each projective indecomposable module in each of the three minimal resolutions corresponds to a basis vector of an Ext group. We need to show that every basis vector (except in degree zero) factors through one of the above eight classes. As we are dealing with the Yoneda product, we lift each of the eight classes to a chain map.

- $D(M)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_*} D(M)_*$ shifts one column to the right (killing the right hand column in the process) and then transposes. So it is the identity map

$D(M)_{r+1,s} \rightarrow D(M)_{s,r}$. Hence the class corresponding to each projective indecomposable in $D(M)_{>0,*}$ lies in the left ideal generated by δ . Observe that δ_*^2 is the identity map $D(M)_{r+1,s+1} \rightarrow D(M)_{r,s}$.

- $D(M)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} D(K)_*$ kills $D(M)_{r,s+1}$ for $s < r - 1$ and shifts one row down. For $s \geq r + 1$ it is the identity map $D(M)_{r,s+1} \rightarrow D(K)_{r,s}$. The remaining cases:

$$\begin{aligned} D(M)_{2s,2s+1} &= P_K \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} P_K = D(K)_{2s,2s} \\ D(M)_{2s+1,2s+1} &= P_M \xrightarrow{eb} P_N = D(K)_{2s+1,2s} \\ D(M)_{2s,2s} &= P_M \xrightarrow{0} 0 = P(K)_{2s,2s-1} \\ D(M)_{2s-1,2s} &= P_K \xrightarrow{e} P_N = D(K)_{2s-1,2s-1} \end{aligned}$$

So the left ideal generated by γ contains the classes corresponding to each projective indecomposable in $D(M)_{r,s}$ for $s \geq r + 2$, and in $D(M)_{2s,2s+1}$. So the left ideal generated by γ and δ is the whole of $\text{Ext}^{>0}(M, _)$.

- $D(K)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} D(N)_*$ kills the right-hand edge of $D(K)_{**}$ and is zero except on the left-hand edge

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \downarrow f \\ P_N & \xrightarrow{a} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M & & \\ & & & & \downarrow eb & & \\ & & & & P_N & & \\ & & & & \downarrow f & & \\ & & & & P_N & & \end{array}$$

On this quotient it is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{f} & P_N & \xrightarrow{a} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M & \xrightarrow{eb} & P_N & \xrightarrow{f} & P_N \\ & & \text{Id} \downarrow & & ebc \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \text{Id} \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{(ef)} & P_N & \xrightarrow{f^4} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ f \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{(ef)} & P_N \end{array}$$

So all classes coming from $D(K)_{1+2n,2n}$ and $D(K)_{1+2n,1+2n}$ lie in the left ideal generated by α .

- $D(K)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\beta_*} D(M)_*$ shifts one row down, transposes, and then maps to $D(M)_*$. For $s \geq r$ it is the identity map $D(K)_{r,s+1} \rightarrow D(M)_{s,r}$. The

remaining cases:

$$\begin{aligned} D(K)_{2s+1,2s+1} &= P_N \xrightarrow{a} P_K = D(M)_{2s,2s+1} \\ D(K)_{2s,2s} &= P_K \xrightarrow{ae} P_K = D(M)_{2s-1,2s} \\ D(K)_{2s+1,2s} &= P_N \xrightarrow{0} P_M = D(M)_{2s-1,2s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

All classes coming from the region $s > r$ of $D(K)_{r,s}$ lie in the left ideal generated by β .

- κ_* is $D(K)_{r+2,s+2} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} D(K)_{r,s}$ for all r, s . The left ideal generated by κ contains all classes from $D(K)_{**}$, except those coming from the first two columns. So α, β and κ generate $\text{Ext}^{>0}(K, _)$ as a left ideal.
- For $D(N)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_*} D(K)_*$ we define E_* to be the (slightly thickened) left hand edge of $D(K)_*$, the periodic complex assembled from copies of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M \\ & & \downarrow eb \\ & & P_N \xrightarrow{ca} P_M \\ & & \downarrow f \qquad \downarrow b \\ & & P_N \xrightarrow{a} P_K \end{array}$$

with the bottom right hand P_K in bidegree $(2s, 2s)$ ($s \geq 0$). Then E_* is a quotient of the complex $D(K)_*$, and there is a chain map $D(N)_{*+1} \rightarrow E_*$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{(e f)} & P_N & \xrightarrow{f^4} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ f \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} \\ & & (\text{Id } 0) \downarrow & & ca \downarrow & & \text{Id} \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & (\text{Id } 0) \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{(b a)} & P_K & \xrightarrow{cae} & P_M & \xrightarrow{eb} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} ca \\ f \end{pmatrix}} & P_{MN} & \xrightarrow{(b a)} & P_K \end{array}$$

Now, the only maps out of E_* into the rest of $D(K)_*$ is a $P_M \xrightarrow{d} P_M$ emanating horizontally from each P_M , so since $dc = 0$ the chain map lifts unaltered to $D(N)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_*} D(K)_*$.

- $D(N)_{*+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_*} D(N)_*$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{(e f)} & P_N & \xrightarrow{f^4} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ f \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} \\ & & (0 \text{ Id}) \downarrow & & f^3 \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id } 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & (0 \text{ Id}) \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{(e f)} & P_N & \xrightarrow{f^4} & P_N & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ f \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} bc & a \\ e & f^2 \end{pmatrix}} & P_{KN} & \xrightarrow{(e f)} & P_N \end{array}$$

- ν_* is $D(N)_{r+4} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} D(N)_r$ for all r .

So the left ideal generated by ε , ϕ and ν is the whole of $\text{Ext}^{>0}(N, _)$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The relations are as described in Theorem 2.1.*

Proof. As the groups $\text{Ext}^2(M, N)$, $\text{Ext}^2(K, K)$ and $\text{Ext}^2(N, M)$ are all zero, the products $\alpha\gamma$, $\gamma\beta$, $\varepsilon\alpha$ and $\beta\varepsilon$ vanish. Since $\phi_0 \circ \phi_1: P_{KN} \rightarrow P_N$ is $(0 \text{ Id}) \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} = (0 \ f)$ with image in the radical, $\phi^2 = 0$. Similarly, $\phi_1\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ takes values in the radical, so $\varepsilon\phi\alpha = 0$.

We have $\phi_0\alpha_1\varepsilon_2 = (0 \text{ Id}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \text{Id} = \text{Id}_{P_N}$ and $\alpha_0\varepsilon_1\phi_2 = (0 \text{ Id}) \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id}$, so $\alpha\varepsilon\phi = \phi\alpha\varepsilon$.

$\beta_0\gamma_1$ is $P_{MMK} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & eb & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{MN} \xrightarrow{(\text{Id} \ 0)} P_M$, that is $P_{MMK} \xrightarrow{(\text{Id} \ 0 \ 0)} P_M$; and $\beta_2\gamma_3$ is $P_{KMMKM} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{KM} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \text{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{MMK}$. So since each lift of δ^2 acts by discarding the first and last entries, we have $\delta^2\beta\gamma = \beta\gamma\delta^2$.

β_3 is $P_{MMK} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ae \\ \text{Id} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{MKMM}$, so $(\delta^2\beta)_1$ is $P_{MMK} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ae \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{KM}$. So since γ_0 is projection onto the first summand P_K , the image lies in the radical and $\gamma\delta^2\beta = 0$.

For each module $L = M, N, K$ there is a class in $\text{Ext}^4(L, L)$ which describes the self-similarity of the minimal resolution: the periodicity class $\nu \in \text{Ext}^4(N, N)$ as well as the classes $\delta^4 \in \text{Ext}^4(M, M)$ and $\kappa \in \text{Ext}^4(K, K)$. The remaining five relations describe how the six degree one generators commute with these degree four classes: the sixth relation is the fact that δ commutes with δ^4 , but that is trivial. All five relations are demonstrated in the same way, so we just consider

$\kappa\gamma = \gamma\delta^4$. The map γ_4 is $P_{MMKMMK} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} P_{MMK}$, so $\kappa\gamma$ picks out the third summand of P_{MMKMMK} . And $\gamma\delta^4$ first discards the first, second, fifth and sixth summands before selecting the first summand of P_{KM} . So $\kappa\gamma = \gamma\delta^4$.

That establishes the relations. Now to show that they suffice. Observe that the leading term of each relation agrees with the lexicographic ordering $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \delta < \varepsilon < \phi < \kappa < \nu$. So as we have already established that the eight classes generate the Ext algebra, it only remains to check that the standard monomials with respect to these relations yield the same Hilbert-Poincaré series as the three minimal resolutions. From the resolutions we see that $\text{Ext}^*(N, _)$ has Hilbert-Poincaré series $\frac{1+2t+2t^2+t^3}{1-t^4}$; $\text{Ext}^*(M, _)$ has $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$; and $\text{Ext}^*(K, _)$ has $\frac{1+t}{(1-t)(1-t^4)}$. The standard monomials for $\text{Ext}^*(N, _)$ are ν^r , $\varepsilon\nu^r$, $\phi\nu^r$, $\alpha\varepsilon\nu^r$, $\varepsilon\phi\nu^r$, $\alpha\varepsilon\phi\nu^r$ for $r \geq 0$, with Hilbert-Poincaré series $\frac{1+2t+2t^2+t^3}{1-t^4}$. The standard monomials for $\text{Ext}^*(M, _)$ are $w\delta^r$ for $r \geq 0$ and w a right divisor of some $(\delta\beta\gamma)^n$: so the Hilbert-Poincaré series is $\frac{1}{(1-t)(1-t^4)}$. Finally the standard monomials for $\text{Ext}^*(K, _)$ are $w'\kappa^r$, $\alpha\kappa^r$, $\phi\alpha\kappa^r$, $w''\delta^2\beta\kappa^r$ for w' a right divisor of some $(\gamma\delta\beta)^n$ and w'' a right

divisor of some $(\beta\gamma\delta)^n$: so the Hilbert-Poincaré series is

$$\frac{1 + (t + t^2)(1 - t) + t^3}{(1 - t)(1 - t^4)} = \frac{1 + t}{(1 - t)(1 - t^4)}.$$

This also proves that the relations of Proposition 4.2 are a Gröbner basis with respect to the stated lexicographic ordering.

If the relations were not minimal, then we could delete one and subsequently recover it using the Buchberger algorithm. But when one does this, most S -polynomials are identically zero: the exceptions are $\alpha\varepsilon\phi\alpha$, $\phi\alpha\varepsilon\phi$, $\alpha\varepsilon\phi^2\alpha$, $\beta\gamma\delta^2\beta$, $\gamma\beta\gamma\delta^2$ and further relations in $\text{Ext}^{\geq 6}$. The first two cannot help recovering a lost relation, as they have degree four and reduce to zero over the lower degree relations $\varepsilon\phi\alpha = 0$, $\phi\alpha\varepsilon = \alpha\varepsilon\phi$ and $\phi^2 = 0$. Similarly, the next three have degree five but reduce to zero over $\gamma\beta = 0$, $\phi^2 = 0$ and $\gamma\delta^2\beta = 0$. Finally, there is no relation in degree ≥ 6 that we could have deleted. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG), project number GR 1585/6-1. We thank Klaus Lux for drawing our attention to Generalov's work.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Benson. *Representations and Cohomology. I*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math., vol. 30. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [2] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson. Complexity and multiple complexes. *Math. Z.*, 195(2):221–238, 1987.
- [3] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson. Diagrammatic methods for modular representations and cohomology. *Comm. Algebra*, 15(1-2):53–121, 1987.
- [4] J. F. Carlson, E. L. Green, and G. J. A. Schneider. Computing Ext algebras for finite groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):317–325, 1997.
- [5] K. Erdmann. *Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras*, volume 1428 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.6.5*, 2013. (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [7] A. I. Generalov. Cohomology of algebras of semidihedral type. I. *Algebra i Analiz*, 13(4):54–85, 2001. English translation: *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 13(4):549–573, 2002.
- [8] T. R. Hoffman. *Constructing Basic Algebras for the Principal Block of Sporadic Simple Groups*. PhD thesis, University of Arizona, 2004.
- [9] T. R. Hoffman. *Basic Algebras for Finite Groups*. Coastal Carolina University, 2005. <http://ww2.coastal.edu/thoffman/research/basalg.html>
- [10] K. M. Lux. Algorithmic methods in modular representation theory. Habilitationsschrift, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftl. Fakultät, RWTH Aachen Univ., Germany, 1997.
- [11] R. M. Pawloski. *Computing the Cohomology Ring and Ext-Algebra of Group Algebras*. PhD thesis, University of Arizona, 2006.

E-mail address: david.green@uni-jena.de

E-mail address: simon.king@uni-jena.de

