

# VOLUME RIGIDITY ON LIMIT SPACES WITH RICCI CURVATURE BOUNDED FROM BELOW

NAN LI AND FENG WANG

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, v)$  be the collection of  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds  $M$  with Ricci curvature bounded from below by  $-(n-1)\kappa$  and  $\text{vol}(B_1(p)) \geq v$  for all  $p \in M$ . By Cheeger-Gromov Compactness Theorem,  $\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, v)$  is pre-compact in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Let  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(n, \kappa, v)$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, v)$ .

We let “vol” denote the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. It has been proved in [9] and [4] that  $\text{vol}(\cdot)$  is a continuous function over balls respect to their Hausdorff distance. T. Colding [8] proved that an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature  $\geq (n-1)$  is Hausdorff close to the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  if and only if its volume is close to the volume of  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . In this paper, we prove a geometric rigidity theorem respect to the volume and the existence of a 1-Lipschitz function.

**Theorem A** (Volume Rigidity). *Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(n, \kappa, v)$ . Suppose that there is a 1-Lipschitz map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $\text{vol}(X) = \text{vol}(f(X))$ , then  $f$  is an isometry respect to the intrinsic metrics of  $X$  and  $f(X)$ . In particular, if  $f$  is also onto, then  $Y$  is isometric to  $X$ .*

Together with the theorem of volume continuity, we get the following.

**Corollary 0.1.** *Let  $Y \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(n, \kappa, v)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta = \delta(Y) > 0$  such that for any  $X \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(n, \kappa, v)$ , if*

- (1)  $|\text{vol}(X) - \text{vol}(Y)| < \delta$ ,
- (2) *there is a map  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Y \subset B_\delta(f(X))$  and for all  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$|\phi(x)\phi(y)|_Y \leq |xy|_X + \delta,$$

*then  $\phi$  is an  $\epsilon$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximation. In particular,  $d_{GH}(X, Y) < 3\epsilon$ .*

Theorem A fails for general length metric spaces, partially because a lemma of dimension control (Lemma 1.6) fails in these cases. For example,  $Y$  can be the quotient space of  $X$  with any lower dimensional subset identified as one point. See [10] for more examples. A special case of Theorem A was proved by Bessi eres, Besson, Courtois, and Gallot in [2]. Similar results in Alexandrov Geometry [1] have been proved in [10].

### Conventions and notations

- $d_{GH}(X, Y)$ : the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between  $X$  and  $Y$ .
- $\dim_H(X)$ : the Hausdorff dimension of  $X$ .
- $B_r^n(0)$ : the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean ball with radius  $r$ .

- $\mathcal{R}(X)$ : the regular set in  $X$ , see [4].
- $\mathcal{S}(X)$ : the singular set in  $X$ , see [4].
- $[ab]_X$ : a length minimizing geodesic connecting  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ .
- $|ab|_X$ : the distance of  $a$  and  $b$  respect to the intrinsic metric of  $X$ .
- $\psi(\epsilon)$ : a function (could be different even in the same context) depending only on  $n, \kappa, v, \epsilon$  that satisfies  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi(\epsilon) = 0$ .

### 1. VOLUME RIGIDITY THEOREM

Not losing generality, we assume that  $f$  is onto and  $\text{diam}(Y) \leq \text{diam}(X) \leq D$ , since our proof only relies on the local structures. For simplicity, we only consider  $X \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(n, -1, v)$ . By the assumption, it's not hard to see that for any subset  $A \subset Y$ ,  $\text{vol}(A) = \text{vol}(f^{-1}(A))$ . One of the key step in our proof is showing that  $f$  is injective (Lemma 1.7). We first prove this with  $f$  restricted to the regular part. Let  $\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X) = \{p \in X : d_{GH}(B_r(p), B_r^n(0)) < \epsilon r \text{ for all } 0 < r < \delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X) = \cup_\delta \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X)$  be the  $\epsilon$ -regular set. By the volume continuity, we know that for any  $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}$  and  $r < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(B_r(x)) &= \text{vol}(B_r^n(0)) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n-1}) \int_0^r t^{n-1} dt \\ &= 2r \cdot \text{vol}(B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.1.**  $f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}_{\psi(\epsilon), \delta/10}(Y)$ . Consequently,  $f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}_{\psi(\epsilon)}(Y)$  and  $f(\mathcal{R}(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X)$  and  $y = f(x)$ . Apply the volume formula for  $B_{\delta/10}(x)$ . Because  $f$  is volume preserving and  $f^{-1}(B_{\delta/10}(y)) \supseteq B_{\delta/10}(x)$ , we have the following volume comparison:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(B_{\delta/10}(y)) &= \text{vol}(f^{-1}(B_{\delta/10}(y))) \\ &\geq \text{vol}(B_{\delta/10}(x)) = (1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(B_{\delta/10}^n(0)). \end{aligned}$$

By the almost maximum volume theorem [7],  $y \in \mathcal{R}_{\psi(\epsilon), \delta/10}(Y)$ . □

Let  $G_Y = \{y \in Y : f^{-1}(y) \text{ has a cardinality of more than 1}\}$  and  $G_X = f^{-1}(G_Y) \subset X$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *There is an  $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, v) > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X) \cap G_X = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Argue by contradiction. Assume  $x_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta_0}(X)$ ,  $x_2 \in X$  and  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $y \in \mathcal{R}_{\psi(\epsilon), \delta_0/10}(Y)$ . Let  $0 < \delta < \delta_0/10$  be small such that  $B_\delta(x_1) \cap B_\delta(x_2) = \emptyset$ . By the volume continuity, Bishop-Gromov Relative Volume Comparison holds on  $X$  and  $Y$ . Thus

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \frac{\text{vol}(f^{-1}(B_\delta(y)))}{\text{vol}(B_\delta(y))} \geq \frac{\text{vol}(B_\delta(x_1)) + \text{vol}(B_\delta(x_2))}{\text{vol}(B_\delta(y))} \\
&\geq \frac{\text{vol}(B_\delta(x_1)) + v \cdot \frac{\int_0^\delta \sinh^{n-1}(t) dt}{\int_0^D \sinh^{n-1}(t) dt}}{\text{vol}(B_\delta(y))} \\
&\geq \frac{(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n-1}) \cdot \int_0^\delta t^{n-1} dt + v \cdot \frac{\int_0^\delta \sinh^{n-1}(t) dt}{\int_0^D \sinh^{n-1}(t) dt}}{(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n-1}) \cdot \int_0^\delta t^{n-1} dt}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$1 \geq \frac{(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n-1}) + \frac{v}{\int_0^D \sinh^{n-1}(t) dt}}{(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n-1})}.$$

This is a contradiction for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.  $\square$

In the next step, we prove that  $f$  is almost isometry when restricted to the regular part. We need a volume formula for the union of two balls, which follows by the volume continuity and direct computations in Euclidean space.

**Lemma 1.3.** *For any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}$  with  $|x_1 x_2| \leq 2r < \delta/5$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(B_r(x_1) \cup B_r(x_2)) \\
&= \text{vol}(B_r^n(0)) + 2r \cdot \text{vol}(B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_\theta^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^n(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{|x_1 x_2|}{2r}\right)$ .

Now we can prove that  $f|_{\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}}$  is locally almost isometry and the proof is similar as in [10]. We include it here for the convenience to the readers.

**Lemma 1.4.** *There are  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  sufficiently small so that if  $y_1, y_2 \in f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X))$  with  $|y_1 y_2|_Y < \delta/20$ , then*

$$|f^{-1}(y_1) f^{-1}(y_2)|_X < (1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot |y_1 y_2|_Y.$$

*Proof.* Let  $|f^{-1}(y_1) f^{-1}(y_2)|_X = \lambda \cdot |y_1 y_2|_Y$ . Consider the metric balls  $B_r(y_1)$  and  $B_r(y_2)$ . Take  $r = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot |y_1 y_2|_Y$  and assume that  $r < \delta/10$ . By the volume formula in Lemma 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol}(B_r(y_1) \cup B_r(y_2)) \\
&= \text{vol}(B_r^n(0)) + 2r \cdot \text{vol}(B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_\theta^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt \\
&= 2r \cdot \text{vol}(B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt + 2r \cdot \text{vol}(B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_\theta^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{|y_1 y_2|_Y}{2r} \right) = \cos^{-1} (1/\lambda)$ . Note that  $B_r(f^{-1}(y_1)) \cap B_r(f^{-1}(y_2)) = \emptyset$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot \text{vol} (B_r(f^{-1}(y_1)) \cup B_r(f^{-1}(y_2))) \\ &= 2\text{vol} (B_r^n(0)) = 4r \cdot \text{vol} (B_r^{n-1}(0)) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f$  is 1-Lipschitz, we have  $f^{-1}(B_r(y_1) \cup B_r(y_2)) \supseteq B_r(f^{-1}(y_1)) \cup B_r(f^{-1}(y_2))$ . Together with that  $f$  is volume preserving, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\text{vol} (f^{-1}(B_r(y_1) \cup B_r(y_2)))}{\text{vol} (B_r(y_1) \cup B_r(y_2))} \geq \frac{\text{vol} (B_r(f^{-1}(y_1)) \cup B_r(f^{-1}(y_2)))}{\text{vol} (B_r(y_1) \cup B_r(y_2))} \\ (1.1) \quad &= (1 - \psi(\epsilon)) \frac{2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt}{\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt + \int_{\theta}^{\pi/2} \sin^n(t) dt}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that  $\lambda \leq 2$ . If this is not true, we repeat the above calculation with  $|f^{-1}(y_1)f^{-1}(y_2)|_X > 2|y_1 y_2|_Y$  and taking  $r = |y_1 y_2|_Y$ . In this case  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ , which yields a contraction when  $\epsilon$  is small. Once the claim is proved, the assumption  $r < \delta/10$  automatically holds and then inequality (1.1) holds for all  $|y_1 y_2|_Y < \delta/20$ . This implies that  $0 < \theta < \psi(\epsilon)$  and thus  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} < 1 + \psi(\epsilon)$ .  $\square$

To prove that  $f$  almost preserves the length of path for any curve  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)$ , we need the existence of  $\delta_0 > 0$  so that  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta_0}(X)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X)$  may not be open, but by the continuity of volume and the rigidity of almost maximal volume, we get that for any  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  small, there is  $\epsilon_1 = \psi(\epsilon_1) < \epsilon$  so that  $\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\epsilon_1, \delta/2}(X)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X) = \cup_\delta \mathcal{R}_{\epsilon, \delta}(X) \subset \cup_\delta \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\epsilon_1, \delta/2}(X).$$

If a compact set  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)$ , then there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $A \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\psi(\epsilon), \delta_0}(X)$ . The following are direct consequences of Lemma 1.1 – 1.4.

**Lemma 1.5** (Almost Isometry over  $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)$ ). *There is  $\epsilon > 0$  small so that the following holds.*

- (1) *If  $[pq]_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ , then  $\gamma = f^{-1}([ab]_Y)$ , parameterized by arc length, is a Lipschitz curve with*

$$L(\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}) < (1 + \psi(\epsilon)) \cdot |\gamma(t_1)\gamma(t_2)|_{\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)}.$$

- (2)  *$f|_{\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)}$  is  $(1 + \psi(\epsilon))$ -Lipschitz. In particular, if geodesic  $[f(a)f(b)]_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ , then*

$$1 \leq \frac{|ab|_X}{|f(a)f(b)|_Y} < 1 + \psi(\epsilon).$$

- (3)  *$f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) \subseteq Y^{\psi(\epsilon)}$  is open and dense in  $Y$ .*

Now we can prove that  $f$  is injective with the following Dimension Control Lemma (compare to [10] for the Alexandrov case), which is a direct consequence of volume convergence and Lemma 3.1 in [5], which substantially relies on the volume comparison.

**Lemma 1.6** (Dimension Control). *Let  $\Omega_0 \subseteq X \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(n, \kappa, v)$  be a subset with  $\text{vol}(\Omega_0) > 0$  and  $p \in X$  be a fixed point. For each point  $x \in \Omega_0$ , select one point  $\bar{x}$  on a geodesic  $[px]_X$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the collection of the  $\bar{x}$ s for all  $x \in \Omega_0$ . If  $d(p, \Omega) > 0$ , then*

$$\dim_H(\Omega) \geq n - 1.$$

**Lemma 1.7.**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is injective.

*Proof.* Assume  $G_X \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p, q \in G_X$  such that  $f(p) = f(q) = a \in G_Y$ . We will show that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\dim_H(f(X \setminus \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))) \geq n - 1$ . Then

$$\dim_H(\mathcal{S}(X)) \geq \dim_H(X \setminus \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) \geq \dim_H(f(X \setminus \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))) \geq n - 1,$$

This contradicts to the fact that  $\dim_H(\mathcal{S}(X)) \leq n - 2$  proved in [4].

By Lemma 1.2, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small such that  $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon \cap G_X = \emptyset$ . Then  $f(X \setminus \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) = Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$  and  $f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) \cap G_Y = \emptyset$ . We shall show that

$$(1.2) \quad \dim_H(Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))) \geq n - 1.$$

For any  $\eta > 0$  small, there is  $q_1 \in \mathcal{R}(X)$  with  $|qq_1|_X < \eta$ . Let  $\Omega_0 = f(B_\eta(p) \cap \mathcal{R}(X))$ . Because  $f$  is volume preserving, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \text{vol}(\Omega_0) > 0.$$

Let  $a_1 = f(q_1)$ . We claim that for any  $y \in \Omega_0$ ,  $[ya_1]_Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X)) \neq \emptyset$ . If not so, then  $[ya_1]_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ . Let  $x = f^{-1}(y) \in B_\eta(p)$ . By Lemma 1.5,

$$(1.4) \quad |ya_1|_Y = (1 - \psi(\epsilon))|xq_1|_X \geq (1 - \psi(\epsilon))(|pq|_X - |px|_X - |qq_1|_X) \geq (1 - \psi(\epsilon))(|pq|_X - 2\eta).$$

On the other hand,

$$(1.5) \quad |ya_1|_Y \leq |ya|_Y + |aa_1|_Y \leq |xp|_X + |qq_1|_X \leq 2\eta.$$

This leads to a contradiction to (1.4) for  $\epsilon, \eta > 0$  small.

Now for each  $y \in \Omega_0$ , take  $\bar{y} \in [ya_1] \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the collection of  $\bar{y}$  for all  $y \in \Omega_0$ . Clearly  $\Omega \subseteq Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ . Note that  $a_1 = f(q_1) \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$  due to Lemma 1.1. By Theorem A.1.5 in [4],  $d(a_1, \Omega) \geq c(\epsilon) > 0$ . Together with (1.3) and Lemma 1.6, we get that

$$\dim_H(Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))) \geq \dim_H(\Omega) \geq n - 1.$$

□

**Proof of Theorem A.** We first show that for any  $a \in f(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(X))$ ,  $y \in Y$  and any  $r > 0$ , there is  $y' \in B_r(y)$  such that  $[ay']_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_{2\epsilon}(X))$ . If this is not true, then  $[ay']_Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_{2\epsilon}(X)) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $y' \in B_r(y)$ . Let  $\bar{y} \in [ay']_Y \setminus f(\mathcal{R}_{2\epsilon}(X))$  and  $\Omega$  be the collection of these  $\bar{y}$ s for all  $y' \in B_r(y)$ . Clearly,  $\Omega \subseteq f(\mathcal{S}(X))$  and  $\dim_H(\Omega) \leq n - 2$ . By the volume convergence of small Euclidean balls, we see that there is a constant  $c = c(\epsilon)$  such that  $|a\bar{y}| \geq c(\epsilon)$ . By Lemma 1.6, we get  $\dim_H(\Omega) \geq n - 1$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\gamma_X : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a Lipschitz curve and  $\gamma_Y = f(\gamma_X)$ . It's sufficient to show that  $L(\gamma_Y) \geq L(\gamma_X)$ . Let  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^N$  be a partition of  $\gamma_Y$  with  $\max\{|y_1y_{i+1}|\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\epsilon_1 = \psi(\epsilon) > 0$  be selected as in Lemma 1.5. Take  $y'_0 \in B_{y_0}(\epsilon/N) \cap f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon_1/2^N}(X)) \neq \emptyset$ . Select  $y'_i \in B_{y_i}(\epsilon/N)$  recursively such that  $[y'_iy'_{i+1}]_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon_1/2^{N-(i+1)}}(X))$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ .

Let  $x_i = f^{-1}(y'_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . Applying Lemma 1.5 to  $[y'_i y'_{i+1}]_Y \subset f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon/2^{N-(i+1)}}(X)) \subseteq f(\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon_1}(X))$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(\gamma_Y) &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |y_i y_{i+1}|_Y \geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( |y'_i y'_{i+1}|_Y - \frac{2\epsilon}{N} \right) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |y'_i y'_{i+1}|_Y - 2\epsilon \\ &\geq (1 - \psi(\epsilon)) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |x_i x_{i+1}|_X - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  with that  $\cup_i [y_i y_{i+1}]_Y$  converges to  $\gamma_Y$ , because  $f$  is injective,  $\cup_i [x_i x_{i+1}]_X$  converges to  $\gamma_X$ . Thus taking  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$L(\gamma_Y) \geq \liminf_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ \epsilon \rightarrow 0}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |x_i x_{i+1}|_X \geq L(\gamma_X).$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, Perel'man, *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **47:2** (1992), 3-51; translation in Russian Math. Surveys, **47:2** (1992), 1-58.
- [2] L. Bessi eres, G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot, *Differentiable rigidity under Ricci curvature lower bound*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no.1, 29-67
- [3] Jeff Cheeger, Tobias Colding, *Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products*, Ann. Math., **44** (1996), 189-237.
- [4] Jeff Cheeger, Tobias Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below I*, JDG, **45** (1997), 406-480.
- [5] Jeff Cheeger, Tobias Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below II*, JDG, **54** (2000), 12-35.
- [6] Jeff Cheeger, Tobias Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below III*, JDG, **54** (2000), 37-74.
- [7] Tobias Colding, *Shape of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. math., **124** (1996), 175-191.
- [8] Tobias Colding, *Large manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. math., **124** (1996), 193-214.
- [9] Tobias Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. Math., **145** (1997), 477-501.
- [10] N. Li, *Volume and gluing rigidity in Alexandrov geometry*, submitted, arXiv: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1110.5498v5.pdf>.
- [11] N. Li, X. Rong, *Relatively maximum volume rigidity in Alexandrov geometry*, Pacific J. of Mathematics, **259** (2012), 387-420.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802  
*E-mail address*: nuli2@psu.edu, lilinanan@gmail.com  
*URL*: <https://sites.google.com/site/mathnli/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE OF PEKING UNIVERSITY, CHINA  
*E-mail address*: fengwang232@gmail.com