

# On the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs and arrangement graphs

Bai Fan Chen<sup>1</sup>      Ebrahim Ghorbani<sup>2,3</sup>      Kok Bin Wong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya,  
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology,  
P.O. Box 16315-1618, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),  
P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran*

tufifo1120@gmail.com      e\_ghorbani@ipm.ir      kbwong@um.edu.my

September 16, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we show that the eigenvalues of certain classes of Cayley graphs are integers. The  $(n, k, r)$ -arrangement graph  $A(n, k, r)$  is a graph with all the  $k$ -permutations of an  $n$ -element set as vertices where two  $k$ -permutations are adjacent if they differ in exactly  $r$  positions. We establish a relation between the eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs and the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs. As a result, the conjecture on integrality of eigenvalues of  $A(n, k, 1)$  follows.

*Keywords:* Arrangement graph, Cayley graph, Symmetric group, Spectrum integrality

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* 05C50, 20C10, 05A05

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $\nu$ . The *adjacency matrix* of  $\Gamma$  is a  $\nu \times \nu$  matrix where its rows and columns indexed by the vertex set of  $\Gamma$  and its  $(u, v)$ -entry is 1 if the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent and 0 otherwise. By *eigenvalues* of  $\Gamma$  we mean the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. A graph is said to be *integral* if all its eigenvalues are integers. All graphs considered are finite (multi-)graphs without self-loops.

### 1.1 Cayley graphs

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $S$  be an inverse closed subset of  $G$ . The *Cayley graph*  $\Gamma(G, S)$  is the graph which has the elements of  $G$  as its vertices and two vertices  $u, v \in G$  are joined by an edge if and only if  $v = su$  for some  $s \in S$ .

A Cayley graph  $\Gamma(G, S)$  is said to be *normal* if  $S$  is closed under conjugation. It is well known that the eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph  $\Gamma(G, S)$  can be expressed in terms of the irreducible characters of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.1** ([2, 12, 18, 19]). *The eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph  $\Gamma(G, S)$  are given by*

$$\eta_\chi = \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s),$$

where  $\chi$  ranges over all the irreducible characters of  $G$ . Moreover, the multiplicity of  $\eta_\chi$  is  $\chi(1)^2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  be the symmetric group on  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$  be closed under conjugation. Since central characters are algebraic integers ([16, Theorem 3.7 on p. 36]) and that the characters of the symmetric group are integers ([16, 2.12 on p. 31] or [21, Corollary 2 on p. 103]), by Theorem 1.1, the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  are integers.

**Corollary 1.2.** *The eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  are integers.*

In general, if  $S$  is not closed under conjugation, then the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  may not be integers [13] (see also [1, 17, 20] for related results on the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs).

**Problem 1.3.** Find conditions on  $S$ , so that the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  are integers.

Let  $2 \leq r \leq n$  and  $\text{Cy}(r)$  be the set of all  $r$  cycles in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  which do not fix 1, i.e.

$$\text{Cy}(r) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \alpha(1) \neq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is an } r\text{-cycle}\}.$$

For instance,  $\text{Cy}(2) = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 3), \dots, (1\ n)\}$ . It was conjectured by Abdollahi and Vatandoost [1] that the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \text{Cy}(2))$  are integers, and contains all integers in the range from  $-(n-1)$  to  $n-1$  (with the sole exception that when  $n = 2$  or  $3$ , zero is not an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \text{Cy}(2))$ ). The second part of the conjecture was proved by Krakovski and Mohar [17]. In fact, they showed that for  $n \geq 2$  and each integer  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ ,  $\pm(n-l)$  are eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \text{Cy}(2))$  with multiplicity at least  $\binom{n-2}{l-1}$ . Furthermore, if  $n \geq 4$ , then 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \text{Cy}(2))$  with multiplicity at least  $\binom{n-1}{2}$ . Later, Chapuy and Féray [4] pointed out that the conjecture could be proved by using Jucys-Murphy elements. In this paper, we generalize this to the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *The eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \text{Cy}(r))$  are integers.*

In fact, Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 3.4 which states that for certain subsets  $S$  of  $\mathcal{S}_n$ , the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  are integers.

## 1.2 Arrangement graphs

For  $k \leq n$ , a  $k$ -permutation of  $[n]$  is an injective function from  $[k]$  to  $[n]$ . So any  $k$ -permutation  $\pi$  can be represented by a vector  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  where  $\pi(j) = i_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n$ . The  $(n, k, r)$ -arrangement graph  $A(n, k, r)$  has all the  $k$ -permutations of  $[n]$  as vertices and two  $k$ -permutations are adjacent if they differ in exactly  $r$  positions. Formally, the vertex set  $V(n, k)$  and edge set  $E(n, k, r)$  of  $A(n, k, r)$  are

$$V(n, k) = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) \mid p_i \in [n] \text{ and } p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j\},$$

$$E(n, k, r) = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k), (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k)\} \mid p_i \neq q_i \text{ for } i \in R \text{ and}$$

$$p_j = q_j \text{ for all } j \in [k] \setminus R \text{ for some } R \subseteq [k] \text{ with } |R| = r\}.$$

Note that  $|V(n, k)| = n!/(n-k)!$  and  $A(n, k, r)$  is a regular graph (part (c) of Theorem 4.2). In particular,  $A(n, k, 1)$  is a  $k(n-k)$ -regular graph. We note here that  $A(n, k, 1)$  is called partial permutation graph in [17].

The family of the arrangement graphs  $A(n, k, 1)$  was first introduced in [11] as an interconnection network model for parallel computation. In the interconnection network model, each processor has its own memory unit and communicates with the other processors through a topological network, i.e. a graph. For this purpose, the arrangement graphs possess many nice properties such as having small diameter, a hierarchical structure, vertex and edge symmetry, simple shortest path routing, high connectivity, etc. Many properties of the arrangement graphs  $A(n, k, 1)$  have been studied in [7, 8, 6, 9, 10, 11, 22, 23].

Let us look at the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k, 1)$ . Since  $A(n, k, 1)$  is a  $k(n-k)$ -regular graph, the largest eigenvalue is  $k(n-k)$ . When  $n = k$ , the edge set is an empty set. So,  $A(k, k, 1)$  has one eigenvalue only, which is 0. When  $k = 1$ ,  $A(n, 1, 1)$  is the complete graph with  $n$  vertices. Therefore the eigenvalues of  $A(n, 1, 1)$  are  $(n-1)$  with multiplicity 1, and  $-1$  with multiplicity  $n-1$ . The eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs  $A(n, k, 1)$  were first studied in [5] by using a method developed by Godsil and McKay [14].

In this paper, we will study the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k, r)$ . We will give a relation between the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k, r)$  and the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs (Theorem 4.2). We then apply Theorem 3.4 to prove following theorem which was conjectured in [5].

**Theorem 1.5.** *The eigenvalues of  $A(n, k, 1)$  are integers.*

## 2 Preliminaries

This section contains preliminary materials which will be used to prove the results of the paper.

### 2.1 Adjacency matrix of Cayley graphs

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Note that the ring  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  can be considered as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the *standard basis*  $\{1 = g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\} = G$ . Let  $S$  be an inverse closed subset of  $G$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{s \in S} s \right) g_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij} g_j,$$

where  $b_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $B = [b_{ij}]$ . Then we may write

$$\left( \sum_{s \in S} s \right) \mathbf{g} = B\mathbf{g},$$

where  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)^\top$ . Note that  $B$  is the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma(G, S)$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^l Ha_i$  be the disjoint union of all the right cosets of  $H$ . We may assume that  $a_1 = 1$ . Let  $H = \{1 = h_1, \dots, h_e\}$ . Then

$$G = \{1 = h_1, \dots, h_e, h_1 a_2, \dots, h_e a_2, \dots, h_1 a_l, \dots, h_e a_l\}$$

is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  can be decomposed into sum of  $\mathbb{C}[H]$ -modules, i.e.

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}[Ha_i].$$

Note that  $\mathbb{C}[Ha_i]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[H]$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{C}[H]$ -modules. The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a subset of  $H$ . If  $B_H$  is the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma(H, S)$ , then the adjacency matrix  $B_G$  of  $\Gamma(G, S)$  is*

$$B_G = \begin{pmatrix} B_H & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & B_H \end{pmatrix}.$$

*In fact,  $\Gamma(G, S)$  is the disjoint union of  $l$  copies of  $\Gamma(H, S)$ .*

### 2.2 Symmetric groups

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . Then  $\alpha\beta = \beta \circ \alpha$ , i.e.  $\alpha\beta(i) = \alpha(\beta(i))$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . For example, if  $\alpha = (1 \ 2 \ 3)$  and  $\beta = (2 \ 3)$ , then

$$\alpha\beta = (1 \ 2 \ 3)(2 \ 3) = (1 \ 3).$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that some authors define  $\alpha\beta$  as  $\alpha\beta(i) = \beta(\alpha(i))$ . If one follows this definition, then  $(1 \ 2 \ 3)(2 \ 3) = (1 \ 2)$ .

Let  $(c_1 \ c_2 \ \cdots \ c_l)$  be an  $l$ -cycle in  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Then

$$\alpha^{-1} (c_1 \ c_2 \ \cdots \ c_l) \alpha = (\alpha(c_1) \ \alpha(c_2) \ \cdots \ \alpha(c_l)), \quad (1)$$

which is also an  $l$ -cycle.

Note that every element in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  can be decomposed into product of disjoint cycles and the decomposition is unique. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be non-negative integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n ia_i = n$ . An element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n$  is said to be of type  $1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots n^{a_n}$  if  $\alpha$  has exactly  $a_i$  number of  $i$ -cycles in its decomposition. Let  $\mathcal{K}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the set of all elements of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  of type  $1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots n^{a_n}$ . By (1), one can deduce that  $\mathcal{K}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{g^{-1}ug \mid g \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$  where  $u$  is an element of type  $1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots n^{a_n}$ . Furthermore,

$$|\mathcal{K}(a_1, \dots, a_n)| = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i! i^{a_i}}.$$

### 3 Integrality

In this section we establish the integrality of certain classes of Cayley graphs. As a corollary, Theorem 1.4 follows.

For each  $u \in G$ , let  $\text{Con}_G(u)$  be the conjugacy class of  $u$  in  $G$ , i.e.

$$\text{Con}_G(u) = \{g^{-1}ug \mid g \in G\}.$$

A pair of subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of  $G$  are said to be *commutative* if

$$\left( \sum_{s \in S_1} s \right) \left( \sum_{s \in S_2} s \right) = \left( \sum_{s \in S_2} s \right) \left( \sum_{s \in S_1} s \right),$$

in the ring  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ .

We shall use the following well-known fact (see, e.g. [15, p. 92]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $B$  and  $C$  be two real  $m \times m$  symmetric matrices and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $BC = CB$ , then every eigenvalue of  $rB + sC$  is of the form  $r\lambda + s\gamma$  where  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  are eigenvalues of  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Gamma(G, S_1)$  and  $\Gamma(G, S_2)$  be two integral Cayley graphs. Suppose that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are commutative. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *If  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\Gamma(G, S_1 \cup S_2)$  is integral.*
- (b) *If  $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ , then  $\Gamma(G, S_1 \setminus S_2)$  is integral.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be the adjacency of the Cayley graph corresponding to  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively. Now, commutativity of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  implies that  $A_1A_2 = A_2A_1$  (see Section 2.1). Since all the eigenvalues of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are integers, by Lemma 3.1, the eigenvalues of  $A_1 + A_2$  and  $A_1 - A_2$  are integers. The lemma follows by noting that  $A_1 + A_2$  and  $A_1 - A_2$  are the adjacency matrices of  $\Gamma(G, S_1 \cup S_2)$  (when  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ ) and  $\Gamma(G, S_1 \setminus S_2)$  (when  $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ ), respectively.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . We denote the set of elements in  $[n]$  moved by  $\alpha$  by  $\text{Move}(\alpha)$ , i.e.

$$\text{Move}(\alpha) = \{i \in [n] \mid \alpha(i) \neq i\}.$$

Let  $T \subseteq [n]$  and

$$\mathcal{S}_n(T) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \text{Move}(\alpha) \subseteq [T]\}.$$

In fact,  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)$  are all those permutations that fix the complement of  $T$ . Let  $\text{id}$  denote the identity element in  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Then  $\text{Move}(\text{id}) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{id} \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . So,  $\mathcal{S}_n(T) \neq \emptyset$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}_{|T|}$ .

For a partition  $T_1, \dots, T_l$  of  $[n]$ , i.e.  $[n] = \bigcup_{i=1}^l T_i$  and  $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , we set

$$\mathcal{T}(T_1, \dots, T_l) = \mathcal{S}_n \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \right).$$

A subset  $S$  of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is said to be *niceily separated* if there exists a partition  $T_1, \dots, T_l$  ( $l \geq 2$ ) of  $[n]$  such that

$$S = \bigcup_{s \in S} \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s) \cap \mathcal{T}(T_1, \dots, T_l).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $S$  is niceily separated, then  $s \in S$  implies that  $s^{-1} \in S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S$ . Then  $s^{-1} \in \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s)$  for  $s$  and  $s^{-1}$  must be of the same type (see Section 2.3). Since  $s \in \mathcal{T}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$ , there exist  $a \in T_i$  and  $b \in T_j$  such that  $a, b \in \text{Move}(s)$  for some  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ . This implies that  $a, b \in \text{Move}(s^{-1}) = \text{Move}(s)$  and  $s^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$ . Hence  $s^{-1} \in S$ .  $\square$

Now,  $\text{id} \notin S$  for  $\text{id} \notin \mathcal{T}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$ . Hence  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is a Cayley graph.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $S$  is niceily separated, then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is integral.*

*Proof.* Note that

$$S = \bigcup_{s \in S} \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l, s \in S} \mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s) \right). \quad (2)$$

If  $\mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s) = \emptyset$ , we shall ignore this term in (2). Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s)$ . Then  $\text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n(T_i)}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s)$  is closed under conjugation with elements in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T_i)$ . Let

$$S_i = \bigcup_{s \in S} \mathcal{S}_n(T_i) \cap \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s).$$

Using similar argument as in Lemma 3.3, we see that  $s \in S_i$  implies that  $s^{-1} \in S_i$ . Furthermore,  $\text{id} \notin S_i$ . Hence  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S_i)$  is a Cayley graph. By Corollary 1.2,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n(T_i), S_i)$  is integral.  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S_i)$  is a disjoint union of  $[\mathcal{S}_n : \mathcal{S}_n(T_i)]$  copies of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n(T_i), S_i)$  (Lemma 2.1) implying that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S_i)$  is integral.

Let  $S_0 = \bigcup_{s \in S} \text{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(s)$ . By Corollary 1.2,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S_0)$  is integral. Now,

$$S = S_0 \setminus \left( \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} S_i \right).$$

Note that  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  are commutative and  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ . By applying part (a) of Lemma 3.2 repeatedly, we conclude that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \bigcup_{i=1}^l S_i)$  is integral. Since  $S_0$  is a union of conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $S_0$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^l S_i$  is commutative. Hence, by part (b) of Lemma 3.2,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is integral.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* It follows from Theorem 3.4 by noting that  $\text{Cy}(r)$  is nicely separated with  $T_1 = \{1\}$  and  $T_2 = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $\mathcal{K}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{g^{-1}ug \mid g \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$  where  $u$  is an element of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  which has exactly  $a_i$  number of  $i$ -cycles in its cycle decomposition.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $T_1, \dots, T_l$  be a partition of  $[n]$  and  $S = \mathcal{K}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_n(T_i)$ . Then  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is integral.*

**Example 3.6.**  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is integral for the following:

- (a)  $S = \{(i, j) \mid i \in [k], j \in [n] \setminus [k]\}$ ;
- (b)  $S = \{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \mid \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \cap [k] \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \cap ([n] \setminus [k]) \neq \emptyset\}$ ;
- (c)  $S = \{(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4) \mid \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \cap [k] \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \cap ([n] \setminus [k]) \neq \emptyset\}$ .

## 4 Eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs

In this section we establish a connection between the eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs  $A(n, k, r)$  and the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs. More precisely, we prove that the adjacency matrix of  $A(n, k, r)$  (modulo an integer factor) is a quotient matrix of an equitable partition of certain Cayley graphs.

An *equitable partition* of a graph  $\Gamma$  is a partition  $\Pi = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$  of the vertex set such that each vertex in  $V_i$  has the same number  $q_{ij}$  of neighbors in  $V_j$  for any  $i, j$  (and possibly  $i = j$ ). The *quotient matrix* of  $\Pi$  is the  $m \times m$  matrix  $Q = (q_{ij})$ . It is well-known that every eigenvalue of the quotient matrix  $Q$  is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  (see [3, p. 24]).

Let  $n \geq k \geq r \geq 1$  and

$$M(r) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid |\text{Move}(\sigma) \cap [k]| = r\}.$$

Basically,  $M(r)$  is the set of all elements in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  that move exactly  $r$  elements in  $[k]$ . Note that  $M(r)$  is inverse closed. Hence  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$  is a Cayley graph.

Let  $T = [n] \setminus [k]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  be the disjoint union of all the right cosets of  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}_n$  are the right cosets representative. Note that  $l = n!/(n-k)!$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**

- (a) For  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $\beta\alpha_i$  is not adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_i$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$  for all  $\beta, \delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ .
- (b) For  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $\beta_0, \delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , if  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta_0\alpha_j$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$ , then  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_j$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$  for all  $\delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Suppose  $\beta\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_i$  for some  $\beta, \delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . Then there is a  $\gamma \in M(r)$  such that  $\beta\alpha_i = \gamma\delta\alpha_i$ . This implies that  $\gamma = \beta\delta^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , a contradiction.

(b) Since  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta_0\alpha_j$ , there is a  $\gamma \in M(r)$  such that  $\beta_0\alpha_i = \gamma\delta_0\alpha_j$ . Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . Then  $\beta_0\alpha_i = (\gamma\delta_0\delta^{-1})\delta\alpha_j$ . Since  $T \cap [k] = \emptyset$ ,  $\gamma\delta_0\delta^{-1} \in M(r)$ . Hence  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_j$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.**

- (a)  $(\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_l)$  is an equitable partition of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$ .
- (b) Let  $Q$  be the quotient matrix of  $(\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_l)$  and  $A_{n,k,r}$  be the adjacency matrix of the arrangement graph  $A(n, k, r)$ . Then

$$Q = (n-k)!A_{n,k,r}.$$

- (c)  $A(n, k, r)$  is a  $\frac{|M(r)|}{(n-k)!}$ -regular graph.

- (d) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A(n, k, r)$ , then  $(n-k)!\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, a vertex in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  is not adjacent to any vertex in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$ , furthermore, if a vertex in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_j$  and  $i \neq j$ , then it is adjacent to all the vertices in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_j$ . This proves part (a) and that every entry in  $Q$  is either 0 or  $(n-k)!$ .

Note that we can represent the right coset  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  by the vector  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$ . This is because if  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k)) = (\alpha_j(1), \alpha_j(2), \dots, \alpha_j(k))$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $\alpha_i\alpha_j^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , which is impossible as  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_j$  are distinct right cosets. Let  $Q = [q_{ij}]$ . Then  $q_{ij} = (n-k)!$  exactly when the two vectors  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$  and  $(\alpha_j(1), \alpha_j(2), \dots, \alpha_j(k))$  differ in exactly  $r$  positions. This proves part (b).

The sum  $\sum_{j=1}^l q_{ij}$  is equal to the degree of a vertex in  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  in the graph  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$ . So,  $\sum_{j=1}^l q_{ij} = |M(r)|$ . On the other hand,  $\sum_{j=1}^l q_{ij}$  is equal to  $(n-k)!$  times the degree of the vertex  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$  in the arrangement graph  $A(n, k, r)$ . Hence the degree of the vertex  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$  in  $A(n, k, r)$  is  $\frac{|M(r)|}{(n-k)!}$  and part (c) follows.

Part (d) follows by noting that  $(n-k)!\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $Q$  and every eigenvalue of  $Q$  is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, M(r))$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we give a proof for Theorem 1.5 which is based on the method given in Section 4.

Let  $A(n, k) = A(n, k, 1)$ . Note that  $A(k, k)$  is the empty graph with  $k$  vertices and  $A(n, 1)$  is the complete graph with  $n$  vertices. So, it is sufficient to prove that the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k)$  are integers for  $n > k \geq 2$ .

Let  $S = \{(i, j) \mid i \in [k], j \in [n] \setminus [k]\}$ . Let  $T = [n] \setminus [k]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  be the disjoint union of all the right cosets of  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . Note that  $l = n!/(n-k)!$ .

### Lemma 5.1.

- (a) For  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $\beta\alpha_i$  is not adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_i$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  for all  $\beta, \delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ .
- (b) For  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $\beta_0, \delta_0 \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , if  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta_0\alpha_j$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ , then for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , there is a unique  $\delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$  such that  $\beta\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_j$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Suppose  $\beta\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_i$  for some  $\beta, \delta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . Then  $\beta\alpha_i = (a \ b)\delta\alpha_i$  with  $a \in [k]$  and  $b \in [n] \setminus [k]$ . This implies that  $(a \ b) = \beta\delta^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ , a contradiction.

(b) Since  $\beta_0\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta_0\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_0\alpha_i = (a \ b)\delta_0\alpha_j$  with  $a \in [k]$  and  $b \in [n] \setminus [k]$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$ . Then  $\beta\alpha_i = \beta(\beta_0^{-1}\beta_0)\alpha_i = (\beta\beta_0^{-1})(a \ b)\delta_0\alpha_j = ((\beta\beta_0^{-1})(a \ b)(\beta\beta_0^{-1})^{-1})(\beta\beta_0^{-1}\delta_0)\alpha_j$ . Since  $T \cap [k] = \emptyset$ ,  $(\beta\beta_0^{-1})(a \ b)(\beta\beta_0^{-1})^{-1} = (a \ c) \in S$  where  $c = (\beta\beta_0^{-1})^{-1}(b) \in [n] \setminus [k]$  (see (1)). Hence  $\beta\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta\alpha_j$  where  $\delta = \beta\beta_0^{-1}\delta_0$ .

Suppose  $\beta\alpha_i$  is adjacent to  $\delta'\alpha_j$ . Then  $\beta\alpha_i = (a' \ b')\delta'\alpha_j$  with  $a' \in [k]$  and  $b' \in [n] \setminus [k]$ . On the other hand,  $\beta\alpha_i = (a \ c)\delta\alpha_j$ . Therefore  $(a \ c)(a' \ b') = \delta\delta'^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n(T)$  and this is only possible when  $a = a'$  and  $c = b'$ . Hence  $\delta = \delta'$ . This completes the proof of part (b).  $\square$

By Lemma 5.1,  $(\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_l)$  is an equitable partition of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ . Let  $Q = [q_{ij}]$  be the quotient matrix of  $(\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_l)$ . Then every entry in  $Q$  is either 0 or 1. If we represent the right coset  $\mathcal{S}_n(T)\alpha_i$  by the vector  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$ , then  $q_{ij} = 1$  if and only if the two vectors  $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(k))$  and  $(\alpha_j(1), \alpha_j(2), \dots, \alpha_j(k))$  differ in exactly 1 position. Thus,

$$Q = A_{n,k},$$

where  $A_{n,k}$  is the adjacency matrix of the arrangement graph  $A(n, k)$ . This implies that the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k)$  are eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ . Now  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$  is integral (see Example 3.6). Hence all the eigenvalues of  $A(n, k)$  are integers and Theorem 1.5 follows.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the comments that helped us make several improvements to this paper.

The first and the third authors are supported by the Advanced Fundamental Research Cluster, University of Malaya (UMRG RG238/12AFR). The second author is supported by a grant from IPM (No. 92050114).

## References

- [1] A. Abdollahi and E. Vatandoost, Which Cayley graphs are integral?, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (1) (2009), #R122
- [2] L. Babai, Spectra of Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **27** (1979), 180–189.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [4] G. Chapuy and V. Féray, A note on a Cayley graph of  $S_n$ , arXiv:1202.4976v2.
- [5] B.F. Chen, E. Ghorbani, and K.B. Wong, Cyclic decomposition of  $k$ -permutations and eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (4) (2013), #P22.
- [6] E. Cheng, J.W. Grossman, K. Qiu, and Z. Shen, The number of shortest paths in the arrangement graph, *Inform. Sci.* **240** (2013), 191–204.

- [7] E. Cheng, M.J. Lipman, L. Lipták, and D. Sherman, Conditional matching preclusion for the arrangement graphs, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412** (2011), 6279–6289.
- [8] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, and A. Yuan, Linearly many faults in arrangement graphs, *Networks* **61** (2013), 281–289.
- [9] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, and Z. Shen, The edge-centered surface area of the arrangement graph, *J. Comb. Optim.*, to appear.
- [10] W.K. Chiang and R.-J. Chen, On the arrangement graph, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **66** (1998), 215–219.
- [11] K. Day and A. Tripathi, Arrangement graphs: a class of generalized star graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **42** (1992), 235–241.
- [12] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani, Generating a random permutation with random transpositions, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **57** (1981), 159–179.
- [13] J. Friedman, On Cayley graphs on the symmetric group generated by transpositions, *Combinatorica* **20** (2000), 505–519.
- [14] C.D. Godsil and B.D. McKay, Feasibility conditions for the existence of walk-regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **30** (1980), 51–61.
- [15] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [16] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [17] R. Krakovski and B. Mohar, Spectrum of Cayley graphs on the symmetric group generated by transpositions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 1033–1039.
- [18] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs, and Invariant Measures*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [19] M. Ram Murty, Ramanujan graphs, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18** (2003), 1–20.
- [20] P. Renteln, The distance spectra of Cayley graphs of Coxeter groups, *Discrete Math.* **311** (2011), 738–755.
- [21] J.P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

- [22] Y.-H. Teng, J.J.M. Tan, C.-W. Tsay, and L.-H. Hsu, The paths embedding of the arrangement graphs with prescribed vertices in given position, *J. Comb. Optim.* **24** (2012), 627–646.
- [23] S. Zhou and J.-M. Xu, Conditional fault tolerance of arrangement graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **111** (2011), 1037–1043.