

A NOTE ON INVARIANT SUBSPACES AND THE SOLUTION OF SOME CLASSICAL FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

J. M. ALMIRA*, KH. F. ABU-HELAIEL

ABSTRACT. We study the continuous solutions of several classical functional equations by using the properties of the spaces of continuous functions which are invariant under some elementary linear transformations. Concretely, we use that the sets of continuous solutions of certain equations are closed vector subspaces of $C(\mathbb{C}^d, \mathbb{C})$ which are invariant under affine transformations $T_{a,b}(f)(z) = f(az + b)$, or closed vector subspaces of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ which are translation and dilation invariant. These spaces have been recently classified by Sternfeld and Weit, and Pinkus, respectively, so that we use this information to give a direct characterization of the continuous solutions of the corresponding functional equations.

1. MOTIVATION

We study the continuous solutions of several classical functional equations by using the properties of the spaces of continuous functions which are invariant under some elementary linear transformations. This study was recently initiated in connection with Fréchet's functional equation [2], [3] and, after these contributions, we thought that perhaps the theory of invariant subspaces could be also used in connection with other classical equations. In this paper we demonstrate that this connection exists. Concretely, we use that the sets of continuous solutions of several classical functional equations are closed vector subspaces of $C(\mathbb{C}^d, \mathbb{C})$ which are invariant under "affine" transformations $T_{a,b}(f)(z) = f(az + b)$, or closed vector subspaces of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ which are translation and dilation invariant. These spaces have been recently classified ([19], [17]), so that we use this information to give a direct characterization of the continuous solutions of the corresponding functional equations. The main tool for our proofs are, henceforth, the following two well known results:

Theorem 1.1 (Sternfeld and Weit [19]). *Assume that V is a closed subspace of $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ and $T_{a,b}(V) \subseteq V$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, where $T_{a,b} : C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is defined by $T_{a,b}(f)(z) = f(az + b)$. Then $V = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r A_{[(n_k, m_k)]} \right)}$ for a certain finite set of points $\{(n_k, m_k)\}_{k=1}^r \subseteq (\widehat{\mathbb{N}})^2$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $[(n, m)] = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}^2 : 0 \leq \alpha \leq n \text{ and } 0 \leq \beta \leq m\}$ (here, $\alpha \leq +\infty$ means that $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$) and, given $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$, $A_J = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{z^n \bar{z}^m : (n, m) \in J\}}$.*

Theorem 1.2 (Pinkus [17]). *Assume that V is a closed subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and $S_{a,b}(V) \subseteq V$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $S_{a,b} : C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by $S_{a,b}(f)(x) = f(a \cdot x + b)$. Then*

$$V = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r B_{[n_k]} \right)}$$

for a certain finite set of points $\{n_k = (n_{k,1}, \dots, n_{k,d})\}_{k=1}^r \subseteq (\widehat{\mathbb{N}})^d$, where $[n] = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d : \alpha \leq n\}$ and, given $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$, $B_J = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{x^n : n \in J\}}$.

Remark 1.3. In Theorem 1.2, we have used the following standard notation, which will be used also in section 3 of this paper: If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $a \cdot x = (a_1 x_1, \dots, a_d x_d)$, $x + b = (x_1 + b_1, \dots, x_d + b_d)$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$ and $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k$. Furthermore, if $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}^d$, then $n \leq m$ means that $n_1 \leq m_1, n_2 \leq m_2, \dots, n_d \leq m_d$. Finally, Π_m^d denotes the set of real polynomials in d real variables with total degree $\leq m$ (when $d = 1$ we write Π_m^1 instead of Π_m^1).

* Corresponding author.

In section 2 we study the mean value equation of Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh (see [9], [10], [11], [13], [20]) and a variation of this equation introduced by Haruki in [11]. In section 3 we give another proof of Frechet's original theorem [6], for the space of continuous real functions of several real variables. It is important to note that the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are of elementary nature (they do not use technical results from complex analysis nor measure theory), so that all proofs in this paper are also elementary.

2. CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS OF KAKUTANI-NAGUMO-WALSH AND HARUKY FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

Let θ be any primitive n -th root of 1 and η be any primitive $2N$ -th root of 1. In [11] S. Haruki studied the solutions of the following functional equations:

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(x + \theta^k y) = f(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C} \text{ (Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh equation)}$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (|f(x + \theta^k y)|^2 - |f(x)|^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |f(x + \theta^k y) - f(x)|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C} \text{ (Nagumo equation)}$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(x + \eta^{2k} y) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(x + \eta^{2k+1} y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C} \text{ (Haruki equation)}$$

Concretely, he proved that all these equations, when considered over the space of entire functions (i.e., when imposing $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$), are equivalent in the sense that they share the same space of solutions: the algebraic polynomials $p(z)$ of degree $\leq N-1$. To prove this result he used the open mapping theorem for analytic functions. In this section we study the continuous solutions of the equations (1) and (3). The main tool we need for the proofs is the theorem of Sternfeld and Weit (Theorem 1.1 above).

Theorem 2.1. *Let S_H denote the space of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ which solve (3). Then*

$$S_H = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{i,j} z^i \bar{z}^j : a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i, j \leq N-1 \right\}.$$

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that $\eta^2 = \theta$. Then equation (3) can be written as

$$(4) \quad H_y(f)(x) = H_{\eta y}(f)(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $H_y(f)(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(x + \theta^k y)$. We want to identify the set $S_H = \{f \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) : H_y(f)(x) = H_{\eta y}(f)(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C}\}$. The important observation is that S_H is a closed subspace of $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ which is invariant under the operators $T_{a,b}$, so that S_H must be one of the spaces specified by Theorem 1.1. Let us, for the sake of completeness, check that S_H is a closed $T_{a,b}$ -invariant subspace of $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. With this objective in mind, we define the operators $L_y = H_y - H_{\eta y}$ ($y \in \mathbb{C}$). Then, by definition, $S_H = \bigcap_{y \in \mathbb{C}} \ker(L_y)$, so that S_H is a closed linear subspace of $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, since L_y is a continuous linear operator for every y . On the other hand, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_y(T_{a,b}(f))(x) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} T_{a,b}(f)(x + \theta^k y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(ax + \theta^k y + b) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(ax + b + \theta^k ay) \\ &= H_{ay}(f)(ax + b) = T_{a,b}(H_{ay}(f))(x) \text{ for all } x, y, a, b \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $f \in S_H$, then

$$H_y(T_{a,b}(f)) = T_{a,b}(H_{ay}(f)) = T_{a,b}(H_{\eta(ay)}(f)) = T_{a,b}(H_{a(\eta y)}(f)) = H_{\eta y}(T_{a,b}(f)),$$

which means that $T_{a,b}(f) \in S_H$. It follows that $S_H = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r A_{[(n_k, m_k)]} \right)}$ for a certain finite set of points $\{(n_k, m_k)\}_{k=1}^r \subseteq (\widehat{\mathbb{N}})^2$. In particular, we know that if $z^n \bar{z}^m \in S_H$ then $z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta \in S_H$ for all (α, β) such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq m$. Let us check what functions of the form $z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta$ belong to S_H .

Claim 1: $z^N, \bar{z}^N \notin S_H$. Let us apply the operator H_y to the function $f_N(z) = z^N$:

$$\begin{aligned} NH_y(f_N)(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x + \theta^k y)^N \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{t=0}^N \binom{N}{t} x^{N-t} \theta^{kt} y^t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \binom{N}{t} x^{N-t} \theta^{kt} y^t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^N \binom{N}{t} x^{N-t} y^t \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \theta^{kt} \right) \\ &= x^N N + y^N N = N(x^N + y^N), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \theta^{kt} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\theta^t)^N - 1}{\theta^t - 1} = 0, & \text{whenever } t \notin N\mathbb{Z} \\ N, & \text{whenever } t \in N\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Thus, if we substitute y by ηy in the computations above, we get $H_{\eta y}(f_N)(x) = x^N + (\eta y)^N = x^N - y^N$. This obviously implies that $H_y(f_N) \neq H_{\eta y}(f_N)$, so that $z^N \notin S_H$. Analogous computations will show that $\bar{z}^N \notin S_H$.

Claim 2: $z^{N-1} \bar{z}^{N-1} \in S_H$. In this case, we should apply H_y to $g_N(z) = z^{N-1} \bar{z}^{N-1} = (|z|^2)^{N-1}$. As a first step, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |x + \theta^k y|^2 &= (x + \theta^k y)(\bar{x} + \theta^{-k} \bar{y}) \\ &= x\bar{x} + x\theta^{-k} \bar{y} + \theta^k y\bar{x} + y\bar{y} \\ &= |x|^2 + |y|^2 + \theta^k y\bar{x} + \theta^{-k} x\bar{y}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} NH_y(g_N)(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (|x + \theta^k y|^2)^{N-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (|x|^2 + |y|^2 + \theta^k y\bar{x} + \theta^{-k} x\bar{y})^{N-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{a+b+c+d=N-1} \binom{a}{a} \binom{a+b}{b} \binom{a+b+c}{c} \binom{N-1}{d} |x|^{2a} |y|^{2b} (\theta^k y\bar{x})^c (\theta^{-k} x\bar{y})^d \\ &= \sum_{a+b+c+d=N-1} \binom{N-1}{a, b, c, d} |x|^{2a} |y|^{2b} (y\bar{x})^c (x\bar{y})^d \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\theta^{c-d})^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, $0 \leq c, d \leq N-1$ implies that $c-d \in N\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $c=d$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\theta^{c-d})^k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c \neq d \\ N, & \text{if } c = d \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, $(y\bar{x})^c(x\bar{y})^c = |x|^{2c}|y|^{2c}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & NH_y(g_N)(x) \\ &= 2N \sum_{\substack{a+b+2c=N-1 \\ a,b,c \geq 0}} \binom{N-1}{a,b,c} |x|^{2a}|y|^{2b}|x|^{2c}|y|^{2c} \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute y by ηy in the computations above, the result will not be modified, since $|\eta y|^{2c} = |y|^{2c}$. Hence, $H_y(g_N) = H_{\eta y}(g_N)$ and $g_N(z) = z^{N-1}\bar{z}^{N-1}$ belongs to S_H .

Taking into account the structure of S_H , it follows that

- (a) All functions of the form $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{i,j} z^i \bar{z}^j$ belong to S_H , since $z^{N-1}\bar{z}^{N-1} \in S_H$ implies that $A_{[(N-1, N-1)]} \subseteq S_H$.
- (b) These are the only elements of S_H since, if $z^n \bar{z}^m \in S_H$ and $\max\{n, m\} > N-1$, then $(0, N) \leq (n, m)$ or $(N, 0) \leq (n, m)$, so that $z^N \in S_H$ or $\bar{z}^N \in S_H$, and both things are false, as we have already proved with Claim 1.

This ends the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. *The complex polynomials $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{N-1} z^{N-1}$ of degree $\leq N-1$ are the only entire functions which are solutions of the Haruki functional equation (3).*

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R})$. Then f is a solution of (3) if and only if*

$$f(x+iy) = p(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} a_{n,m} x^n y^m \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$$

is an ordinary real polynomial of two real variables with $\deg_{\max} p(x, y) \leq N-1$. (Here x, y denote real variables).

Let us now consider the continuous solutions of (1).

Theorem 2.4. *Let S_{KNW} denote the space of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ which solve (1). Then*

$$S_{KNW} = \{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{N-1} z^{N-1} + b_1 \bar{z} + \dots + b_{N-1} \bar{z}^{N-1} : (a_0, \dots, a_{N-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N-1}\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, S_{KNW} is closed, since $S_{KNW} = \bigcap_{y \in \mathbb{C}} \ker(H_y - I)$, and it is also affine invariant, since, if $f \in S_{KNW}$, then

$$H_y(T_{a,b}(f)) = T_{a,b}(H_{ay}(f)) = T_{a,b}(f),$$

so that $T_{a,b}(S_{KNW}) \subseteq S_{KNW}$. It follows that S_{KNW} admits a representation of the form $S_H = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r A_{[(n_k, m_k)]} \right)}$ for a certain finite set of points $\{(n_k, m_k)\}_{k=1}^r \subseteq (\hat{\mathbb{N}})^2$. Let us now check, by direct computation, what functions of the form $z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta$ belong to S_{KNW} .

Claim 1. $z\bar{z} \notin S_{KNW}$. Take $g(z) = z\bar{z}$. A direct computation (which we omit here, since it is quite similar to the computations we have already made for the proof of Theorem 2.1), shows that $H_y(g)(x) = N(|x|^2 + |y|^2) \neq g(x)$, so that $g \notin S_{KNW}$.

Claim 2. $z^N, \bar{z}^N \notin S_{KNW}$. We have already shown that, if $f_N(z) = z^N$, then $H_y(f_N)(x) = x^N + y^N \neq x^N$, so that $z^N \notin S_{KNW}$. The same computation, taking conjugates, shows that, if $g_N(z) = \bar{z}^N$, then $H_y(g_N)(x) = \bar{x}^N + \bar{y}^N \neq \bar{x}^N$, so that $\bar{z}^N \notin S_{KNW}$.

Claim 3. $z^{N-1}, \bar{z}^{N-1} \in S_{KNW}$. Let us apply the operator H_y to the function $f_{N-1}(z) = z^{N-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} NH_y(f_{N-1})(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x + \theta^k y)^{N-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{t} x^{N-1-t} \theta^{kt} y^t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{t} x^{N-1-t} \theta^{kt} y^t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{t} x^{N-1-t} y^t \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \theta^{kt} \right) \\ &= Nx^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $H_y(f_{N-1})(x) = x^{N-1}$ and $z^{N-1} \in S_{KNW}$. An analogous computation shows that $\bar{z}^{N-1} \in S_{KNW}$.

It follows from Claim 1 that, if $n, m \geq 1$, then $z^n \bar{z}^m \notin S_{KNW}$ and, from Claims 2 and 3, that $S_{KNW} = A_{[(N-1,0)]} + A_{[0,(N-1)]}$, which is what we wanted to prove. \square

Corollary 2.5. *The complex polynomials $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_{N-1} z^{N-1}$ of degree $\leq N-1$ are the only entire functions which are solutions of the Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh functional equation (1).*

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R})$. Then f is a solution of (1) if and only if $f(x + iy) = p(x, y)$ is a harmonic polynomial of degree $\leq N-1$. (Here x, y denote real variables).*

3. CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS OF FRÉCHET FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this section we use Theorem 1.2 to compute the solutions f of the classical Fréchet's functional equation,

$$(5) \quad \Delta_h^N f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^{N-k} f(x + kh) = 0 \quad (x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d), \quad (\text{Fréchet equation with fixed step}),$$

under the additional hypothesis that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. This equation was introduced in the literature by M. Fréchet in 1909, for continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as a particular case of the functional equation

$$(6) \quad \Delta_{h_1 h_2 \cdots h_N} f(x) = 0 \quad (x, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_N \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\Delta_{h_1 h_2 \cdots h_s} f(x) = \Delta_{h_1} (\Delta_{h_2 \cdots h_s} f)(x)$, $s = 2, 3, \dots$. In particular, after Fréchet's seminal paper [6], the solutions of (6) are named "polynomials", since it is known that, under very mild regularity conditions on f , if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (6), then $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_{N-1} x^{N-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and certain constants $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. For example, in order to have this property, it is enough for f being locally bounded [6], [1], but there are stronger results [7], [14], [16], [18]. The equation (6) can be studied for functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ whenever X, Y are two \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces and the variables x, h_1, \dots, h_N are assumed to be elements of X :

$$(7) \quad \Delta_{h_1 h_2 \cdots h_N} f(x) = 0 \quad (x, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_N \in X) \quad (\text{Fréchet equation with variable step}).$$

In this context, the general solutions of (7) are characterized as functions of the form $f(x) = A_0 + A_1(x) + \cdots + A_{N-1}(x)$, where A_0 is a constant and $A_k(x) = A^k(x, x, \dots, x)$ for a certain k -additive symmetric function $A^k : X^k \rightarrow Y$ (we say that A_k is the diagonalization of A^k). In particular, if $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $f(rx) = A_0 + rA_1(x) + \cdots + r^{N-1}A_{N-1}(x)$. Furthermore, it is known that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (7) if and only if it satisfies

$$(8) \quad \Delta_h^N f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^{N-k} f(x + kh) = 0 \quad (x, h \in X).$$

A proof of this fact follows directly from Djoković's Theorem [5] (see also [12, Theorem 7.5, page 160], [15, Theorem 15.1.2., page 418]), which states that the operators $\Delta_{h_1 h_2 \dots h_s}$ satisfy the equation

$$(9) \quad \Delta_{h_1 \dots h_s} f(x) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s=0}^1 (-1)^{\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_s} \Delta_{\alpha_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s)}}^s(h_1, \dots, h_s) f(x + \beta_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s)}(h_1, \dots, h_s)),$$

where

$$\alpha_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s)}(h_1, \dots, h_s) = (-1) \sum_{r=1}^s \frac{\epsilon_r h_r}{r}$$

and

$$\beta_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s)}(h_1, \dots, h_s) = \sum_{r=1}^s \epsilon_r h_r.$$

In this section we impose $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and, with very different arguments to those originally used by Fréchet, we give a new proof of Fréchet's result for this case. Furthermore, we use Djoković's Theorem to simplify the computations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let S_F denote the set of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ which solve (5) (or, equivalently, (7) with $X = \mathbb{R}^d, Y = \mathbb{R}$). Then*

$$S_F = \Pi_{N-1}^d.$$

Proof. We first prove that S_F is a closed subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ which is invariant by the transformations of the form $S_{a,b}(f)(x) = f(a \cdot x + b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Obviously, for each $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Delta_h^N : C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous linear operator, so that $S_F = \bigcap_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \ker \Delta_h^N$ is a closed linear subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. Let us now take $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that $f \in S_F$. Then, for each $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^N(S_{a,b}(f)) &= \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^{N-k} S_{a,b}(f)(x + kh) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^{N-k} f(a \cdot x + b + k(a \cdot h)) \\ &= \Delta_{a \cdot h}^N(f)(a \cdot x + b) \\ &= S_{a,b}(\Delta_{a \cdot h}^N(f))(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $S_{a,b}(f) \in S_F$, and $S_{a,b}(S_F) \subseteq S_F$, which is what we wanted to prove. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that

$$S_F = \overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r B_{[n_k]} \right)}$$

for a certain finite set of points $\{n_k = (n_{k,1}, \dots, n_{k,d})\}_{k=1}^r \subseteq (\widehat{\mathbb{N}})^d$.

A direct computation shows that, if $f_i(x) = x_i^N$ and $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1^{(i\text{-th position})}, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $\Delta_{e_i}^N(f_i)(x) = N! \neq 0$, so that $f_i \notin S_F$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Thus, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ satisfies $\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_i \geq N$, then $x^\alpha \notin S_F$ since otherwise we should have that $(0, 0, \dots, N^{(i\text{-th position})}, 0, \dots, 0) \leq \alpha$ for some i , so that $x_i^N \in S_F$ for some i , which is false. This proves that all elements of S_F are ordinary polynomials. Indeed, $S_F \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{x^\alpha : \max \alpha_i \leq N-1\}$. We want to show a deeper result, since we want to identify completely S_F . Concretely, we prove that $S_F = \Pi_{N-1}^d$, the space of polynomials with total degree $\leq N-1$. We divide the proof in two steps:

Step 1: $\Pi_{N-1}^d \subseteq S_F$. This is a direct consequence of the fact that the operator Δ_h reduces the total degree of a polynomial in at least one unity, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h x^\alpha &= (x+h)^\alpha - x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + h_i)^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{\alpha_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i^{\alpha_i} + \sum_{t_i=0}^{\alpha_i-1} \binom{\alpha_i}{t_i} h_i^{\alpha_i-t_i} x_i^{t_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{\alpha_i} \in \Pi_{|\alpha|-1}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: $S_F \subseteq \Pi_{N-1}^d$. To prove this result, we use Djoković's Theorem or, what is the same, the equivalence of the equations (5) and (7) (with $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, $Y = \mathbb{R}$). Obviously, due to the structure of S_F , it is enough to prove that, if $|\alpha| \geq N$, then $x^\alpha \notin S_F$.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ be fixed and let $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1^{(i\text{-th position})}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and $f_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha$. Then, an easy computation shows that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Delta_{e_i}^k f_\alpha(x) = (\Delta_1^k x_i) x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}.$$

Furthermore, it is well known that the operators Δ_h , Δ_k commute under composition, so that, if $|\alpha| \geq N$, then

$$\Delta_{e_1}^{\alpha_1} \Delta_{e_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \Delta_{e_d}^{\alpha_d} (f_\alpha)(x) = (\Delta_1^{\alpha_1} x_1^{\alpha_1}) (\Delta_1^{\alpha_2} x_2^{\alpha_2}) \cdots (\Delta_1^{\alpha_d} x_d^{\alpha_d}) = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \cdots \alpha_d! \neq 0,$$

which implies that x^α does not vanishes identically for the operators of the form $\Delta_{h_1 h_2 \cdots h_s}$ with $s = |\alpha| \geq N$. In particular, $x^\alpha \notin S_F$, which is what we wanted to prove. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. M. ALMIRA, A. J. LÓPEZ-MORENO, On solutions of the Fréchet functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **332** (2007) 1119-1133.
2. J. M. ALMIRA, Montel's Theorem and subspaces of distributions which are Δ^m -invariant, to appear in *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optimization* (2013) DOI:10.1080/01630563.2013.813537.
3. J. M. ALMIRA, KH. F. ABU-HELAIEL, On Montel's Theorem in several variables, Manuscript, 2013. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.3378>.
4. S. CZERWIK, *Functional equations and inequalities in several variables*, World Scientific, 2002.
5. D. Z. DJOKOVIĆ, A representation theorem for $(X_1 - 1)(X_2 - 1) \cdots (X_n - 1)$ and its applications, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **22** (1969/1970) 189-198.
6. M. FRÉCHET, Une définition fonctionnelle des polynomes, *Nouv. Ann.* **9** (1909), 145-162.
7. R. GER, On some properties of polynomial functions, *Ann. Pol. Math.* **25** (1971) 195-203.
8. R. GER, On extensions of polynomial functions, *Results in Mathematics* **26** (1994), 281-289.
9. S. HARUKI, On the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A*, **57** (1981) 216-218.
10. S. HARUKI, On the theorem of S. Kakutani-M. Nagumo and J.L. Walsh for the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.* **94** (1) (1981) 113-123.
11. S. HARUKI, On two functional equations connected with a mean-value property of polynomials, *Aequationes Math.* **6** (1971) 275-277.
12. D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC, T. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
13. S. KAKUTANI, M. NAGUMO, About the functional equation $\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} f(z + e^{(\frac{2v\pi}{n})i}) = nf(z)$, *Zenkoku Shijō Danwakai*, **66** (1935) 10-12 (in Japanese).
14. M. KUCZMA, On measurable functions with vanishing differences, *Ann. Math. Sil.* **6** (1992) 42-60.
15. M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities, Second Edition*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2009.
16. M. A. MCKIERNAN, On vanishing n-th ordered differences and Hamel bases, *Ann. Pol. Math.* **19** (1967) 331-336.
17. A. PINKUS, TDI-subspaces of $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and some density problems for neural networks, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **85** (3) (1996) 269-287.
18. D. POPA, I. RASA, The Fréchet functional equation with application to the stability of certain operators, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **164** (1) (2012) 138-144.
19. Y. STERNSELD, Y. WEIT, Affine invariant subspaces of $C(\mathbb{C})$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107** (1989) 231-236.
20. J. L. WALSH, A mean value theorem for polynomials and harmonic polynomials, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1936) 923-930.

J. M. Almira and Kh. F. Abu-Helaiel
 Departamento de Matemáticas. Universidad de Jaén.
 E.P.S. Linares, C/Alfonso X el Sabio, 28
 23700 Linares (Jaén) Spain
 Email: jmalmira@ujaen.es; kabu@ujaen.es