

# RELATIONS AMONG CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF MANIFOLD BUNDLES

ILYA GRIGORIEV

**ABSTRACT.** We study relations among characteristic classes of smooth manifold bundles with highly-connected fibers. For bundles with fiber the connected sum of  $g$  copies of a product of spheres  $S^d \times S^d$  and odd  $d$ , we find numerous algebraic relations among the so-called “generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford classes”. For all  $g > 1$ , we show that these infinitely many classes are algebraically generated by a finite subset.

Our results contrast with the fact that there are no algebraic relations among these classes in a range of cohomological degrees that grows linearly with  $g$ , according to recent homological stability results. In the case of surface bundles ( $d = 1$ ), our approach recovers some previously known results about the structure of the classical “tautological ring”, as introduced by Mumford, using only the tools of algebraic topology.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Manifolds with a fixed disk and homological stability	3
1.2. Comparison with known results for surface bundles	4
1.3. Outline of the paper	5
1.4. Acknowledgements	5
2. Definitions and our main technical result	6
2.1. Pushforward maps	6
2.2. Definition of the Miller-Morita-Mumford classes	7
2.3. Key source of the relations	8
2.4. An application: proof of Theorem 1.2	9
2.5. Outline of the proof of Theorem 2.6	9
3. Spectral sequence argument	10
3.1. Oriented Serre fibrations and twisted coefficient systems	10
3.2. Convergence of Serre spectral sequences	11
3.3. Pushforwards and spectral sequences	12
3.4. Secondary pushforwards and the decomposition of pushforwards	13
4. Remainder of the proof of Theorem 2.6	15
4.1. Cup product and twisted coefficients	16

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55R40, 57R22, 55T10 .

*Key words and phrases.* Manifold bundles, tautological ring, Miller-Morita-Mumford classes.

4.2.	Powers of odd classes and proof of Proposition 4.1	16
4.3.	Proof of Theorem 2.6	18
5.	Generating Relations using Methods of Randal-Williams	20
5.1.	Further properties of pushforwards	20
5.2.	Notation and conventions	21
5.3.	Direct applications of Theorem 2.6 and the radical	21
5.4.	The classifying spaces of manifolds with marked points	22
5.5.	Randal-Williams' method and proof of Theorem 1.1	25
5.6.	Observation 1.3 and Randal-Williams' computer calculations	27
Appendix A.	MMM classes related to low Pontryagin classes	29
Appendix B.	The Pontryagin-Thom pushforward	30
References		31

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a  $2d$ -dimensional oriented smooth manifold. We denote by  $\text{Diff } M$  the topological group of *orientation-preserving* diffeomorphisms of  $M$ . The bar construction can be used to construct the space  $\text{BDiff}(M)$  that classifies bundles with fiber  $M$ . For any characteristic class of vector bundles  $p \in H^{*+2d}(BSO_{2d})$ , we will define a *generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford class* (or just *kappa class*)  $\kappa_p \in H^*(\text{BDiff}(M))$ . These are the simplest examples of characteristic classes of bundles with fiber  $M$  and structure group  $\text{Diff } M$ .

We restrict ourselves to the case where the fiber is the connected sum of  $g$  copies of  $S^d \times S^d$ , denoted  $M_g^{2d}$  or  $M_g$ . Recall that  $H^*(BSO_{2d}; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}, e]$ , where  $p_i$  is the Pontryagin class of degree  $4i$  and  $e$  is the Euler class of degree  $2d$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset H^*(BSO_{2d}; \mathbb{Q})$  consist of the monomials in the Pontryagin classes and the Euler class. For each such monomial, there is a corresponding MMM class in  $H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g)$ , which gives rise to a map

$$\mathcal{R}_d : \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This paper presents a large family of polynomials in the MMM classes that lies in the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{R}_d$ , in the case that  $d$  is odd. In the  $d > 1$  case, ours are the first results of this kind. In the  $d = 1$  case, we recover previously known results, but using purely homotopy theoretic methods. Our first main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The image of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  is finitely-generated as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra when  $d$  is odd and  $g > 1$ .*

In Proposition 5.8, we also show that the Krull dimension of the image of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  is at most  $2d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$  be the set of monomials in the classes<sup>1</sup>  $p_{\lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil}, p_{\lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil+1}, \dots, p_{d-1}$ , and  $e$  of total degree greater than  $2d$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}'_d$  denote the map  $\mathcal{R}_d$  restricted to  $\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}']$ . For  $d \neq 2$ , this map is known to be injective in a range cohomological degrees  $* \leq (g-4)/2$ , according to recent homological stability results (described below). Our second main result is

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the map  $\mathcal{R}'_d$  has nontrivial kernel in degree  $2g+2$ . If  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the map  $\mathcal{R}'_d$  has nontrivial kernel in degree  $6g+6$ .*

Our methods generalize the technique Randal-Williams developed for the  $d=1$  case in [RW12], in turn based on the work of Morita [Mor89]. They allow us to present many specific elements in  $\ker \mathcal{R}_d$ . Another consequence is that Randal-Williams' computations of numerous example relations in [RW12] do not depend on  $d$  in the following sense.

**Observation 1.3.** *All the equations and examples from [RW12] can be interpreted as generators for some ideal  $I_{RW} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots]$ . In this language, the result of [RW12] is that the ideal  $I_{RW}$  is in the kernel of the map  $\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff} M_g^2)$  in the  $d=1$  case.*

*Let us write  $\kappa_i = \kappa_{e^{i+1}} \in H^{2di}(\mathrm{BDiff} M_g^{2d})$ . We find that, for all odd  $d$ , the same ideal  $I_{RW}$  is in the kernel of the corresponding map  $\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff} M_g^{2d})$ .*

We explain the construction of the ideal  $I_{RW}$  and prove Observation 1.3 in section 5.6. Note that, since  $\deg \kappa_i$  depends on the value of  $d$ , the equations that took place in cohomological degree  $2j$  in [RW12] now take place in degree  $2dj$ . This is surprising, as no map between subrings of  $H^{2di}(\mathrm{BDiff} M_g^{2d})$  for different  $d$  that takes  $\kappa_i$  to  $\kappa_i$  can preserve the grading on the cohomology.

**1.1. Manifolds with a fixed disk and homological stability.** The fact that the map  $\mathcal{R}'_d$  is injective in a range of cohomological degrees is related to the phenomenon of *homological stability*. While we do not use this fact directly, it is a large part of the motivation for our work.

Let  $\mathrm{Diff}(M, D^{2d}) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$  the subgroup of those diffeomorphisms that fix pointwise a chosen disk in  $M$ , and let  $f : \mathrm{BDiff}(M, D^{2d}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}(M)$  be the map induced on the bar constructions by the inclusion of groups. We define the map  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d} : \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}'] \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}); \mathbb{Q})$  as the map that makes the following diagram commute. (The  $\delta$  stands for “fixed disk”. See Appendix A for a comparison

---

<sup>1</sup> We use the notation  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  and  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  for rounding up and down (respectively) to the nearest integer.

of the images of the various maps in the diagram.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_d} & H^*(\mathrm{BDiff} M_g) \\
 \uparrow i & \nearrow \mathcal{R}'_d & \downarrow f^* \\
 \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}'] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}} & H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}))
 \end{array} \tag{1.1.1}$$

**Fact.** *The map  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  is an isomorphism in a range of degrees, at least for  $d \neq 2$ . Thus, the map  $\mathcal{R}'_d$  is injective in the same range of degrees.*

Let us first describe the  $d = 1$  case in detail. In this case, the fiber of our bundle is an oriented genus- $g$  surface  $\Sigma_g = M_g^2 = \#_g S^1 \times S^1$ , and the generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford classes correspond to the classical ones, with  $\kappa_i = \kappa_{e^{i+1}} \in H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(\Sigma_g, D^2))$ . The map  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,1}$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{R}_{\delta,1} : \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(\Sigma_g, D^2)).$$

The Madsen-Weiss theorem and the Harer stability theorem together imply

**Theorem 1.4** ([MW07], [Har85]<sup>2</sup>). *The map  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,1}$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $* \leq 2g/3$  (this range is due to [Bol12]).*

The  $d > 2$  case follows from the recent work [GRW14, GRW12] by Galatius and Randal-Williams which generalizes the Madsen-Weiss theorem and the Harer stability theorem to higher dimensions. (A part of the result of [GRW12] also follows from the independent work of Berglund and Madsen [BM13], who use entirely different methods). In the case of rational coefficients, the results of [GRW14, GRW12] imply

**Theorem 1.5** ([GRW12, Corollary 1.3]). *Let  $d > 2$ . Then, the map  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  is an isomorphism in the range  $* \leq (g-4)/2$ .*

Note that Theorem 1.2 implies that the range of cohomological dimensions in the above theorem cannot be improved beyond  $2g+2$ .

In Appendix A, we prove another version of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem A.4.** *The image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  is finitely-generated as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra when  $d$  is odd and  $g > 1$ .*

**1.2. Comparison with known results for surface bundles.** In the  $d = 1$  case, the ring of characteristic classes of surface bundles in rational cohomology coincides with the cohomology of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces  $\mathcal{M}_g$  since

$$H^*(\mathrm{BDiff} \Sigma_g; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(B\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Q})$$

<sup>2</sup>See also [GRW10, Wah13] for simplified proofs.

where  $\Gamma_g$  is the orientation-preserving mapping class group. (The first equality follows from the theorem of Earle and Eells [EE69], which implies that the natural group homomorphism  $\text{Diff } \Sigma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_g$  is a homotopy equivalence, and thus the bar constructions are weakly homotopy equivalent. The second is true only in rational cohomology and follows from Teichmüller theory, see [FM11, §12.6] for an overview).

The image of the map  $\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff } \Sigma_g; \mathbb{Q})$  can therefore be thought of a subring of  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Q})$ . This subring coincides with the classical *tautological ring*, as defined in [Mum83]. Techniques of algebraic geometry and low-dimensional topology (hyperbolic geometry, in particular) have been used to obtain many results about the structure of this ring. For example, since  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is a  $(6g - 6)$ -dimensional orbifold, the image of  $\mathcal{R}_1$  must vanish above that degree, and thus be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

More precise results are known; we list the most relevant ones. The image of the map  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is trivial above degree  $2(g - 2)$  by a theorem of Looijenga [Loo95], and in degree  $2(g - 2)$  it is one-dimensional [Fab99, Loo95]. Morita [Mor03] showed that the kernel of  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is non-trivial in degree  $2 \lfloor g/3 \rfloor + 2$ . However,  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 2 \lfloor g/3 \rfloor$  according to Theorem 1.4 together with the fact that the map  $f^* : H^*(\text{BDiff } \Sigma_g) \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma_g, D^2))$  is an isomorphism in the same range of degrees [Har85, Bol12]. For a conjectural complete description of  $\ker \mathcal{R}_1$ , see [PP13].

Since the relations in Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 have high cohomological degree, they follow from Looijenga's theorem in the  $d = 1$  case. We provide a new proof for the relations of lower degree obtained by Randal-Williams in [RW12], including all of the existing relations for  $g \leq 5$ . It is unclear whether our strengthening of Randal-Williams' methods can result in genuinely new relations in the  $d = 1$  case.

**1.3. Outline of the paper.** In Section 2, we define the generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford classes. We then state the main technical result of the paper and the primary source of our relations, Theorem 2.6. We outline its proof and apply it to prove Theorem 1.2.

The details of the proof of Theorem 2.6 take up sections 3 and 4. In the special case of surface bundles, this work leads to a stronger statement and a new proof of a result of Morita [Mor89, Section 3].

In section 5, we use Theorem 2.6 to prove Theorem 1.1 and our other results. These calculations use methods Randal-Williams developed for surface bundles in [RW12], originally based Morita's result.

Appendix A discusses the relationship between the maps  $\mathcal{R}_d, \mathcal{R}'_d$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$ , and proves Theorem A.4. Appendix B discusses alternate definitions of the pushforward map on cohomology, which is a crucial ingredient in defining the MMM classes.

**1.4. Acknowledgements.** I am deeply grateful to my thesis advisor, Søren Galatius, for his constant support and sage advice. I am also very grateful for the numerous

conversations with and the insight provided by Oscar Randal-Williams. This work would not exist without their support. I thank Alexander Kupers and Jeremy Miller for numerous helpful conversations, as well as suggestions about the content of Appendix B. I thank Sam Lichtenstein for his advice on linear algebra that improved section 4.2. I thank the reviewers for their many insightful comments, corrections, and help with the organization of the paper.

This work was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. I was also supported in part by the Danish National Research Foundation and the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation through a Nordic Research Opportunity grant, by the NSF grant DMS-1105058 and, while I was in residence at the MSRI in Berkeley, CA during the Spring 2014 semester, by the NSF Grant No. 0932078000. I am very grateful to the Stanford University Department of Mathematics for all the support during my years as a graduate student. Part of this work was done during my very pleasant visit to the University of Copenhagen, which I thank for its warm hospitality.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND OUR MAIN TECHNICAL RESULT

In this section, we give a more precise definition for terms used in the introduction. We then state the main technical result of this paper and give an informal outline of its proof. Finally, we apply it to prove Theorem 1.2.

Recall that in our notation,  $M^{2d}$  is an oriented smooth manifold and  $\text{Diff } M$  is the topological group of *orientation-preserving* diffeomorphisms of  $M$  with the  $C^\infty$  topology.

**Definition 2.1.** By an *oriented manifold bundle* (or just *manifold bundle*), we mean a bundle  $E \rightarrow B$  with fiber  $M$  and structure group  $\text{Diff } M$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Such bundles occur naturally when  $E$  and  $B$  are smooth manifolds. By the Ehresmann submersion theorem, if a smooth map  $f : E \rightarrow B$  is a proper submersion, it admits local trivializations in a neighborhood of any point of  $B$ , as well as smooth transition maps between them. As long as the transition maps preserve the orientation of  $M$ ,  $f : E \rightarrow B$  is then an oriented manifold bundle in our sense.

In our paper, it is convenient to also consider bundles where the base space is not a manifold, for example  $\text{BDiff } M$ .

**2.1. Pushforward maps.** For an oriented manifold bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  with fiber  $M^{2d}$ , there is a map of abelian groups  $\pi_! : H^{*+2d}(E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(B; \mathbb{Z})$  called the *pushforward map*, also known as the *umkehr map* or the *Gysin homomorphism*. Note that because of the change of cohomological degree,  $\pi_!(1) = 0$ , and thus  $\pi_!$  is not a ring map. We will give its definition (originally from [BH58]) in a more general setting in section 3.3, Definition 3.5.

To give a little substance to this notion, we mention that in the special case when  $E$  and  $B$  are closed oriented manifolds, the map  $\pi_!$  coincides with the composition of Poincare duality in  $E$ , the natural map on homology induced by  $\pi$ , and Poincare duality in  $B$ . When restricted to de-Rham cohomology, the map coincides with integration along the fiber (these equivalences are discussed in detail in [Boa70]).

For our present purposes, it is sufficient to recall one non-trivial property of  $\pi_!$ . The pushforward map is natural in the sense that, if we form a pullback diagram of manifold bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*(E) & \xrightarrow{f'} & E \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \quad (2.1.1)$$

then for any  $a \in H^*(E)$ , we have  $f^*(\pi_!(a)) = \pi'_!(f'^*(a))$ .

Further properties of the pushforward map are discussed in Section 5.1.

**2.2. Definition of the Miller-Morita-Mumford classes.** Let  $P \rightarrow B$  be the principal  $\text{Diff } M$ -bundle corresponding to the manifold bundle  $E \rightarrow B$ . The group  $\text{Diff } M$  acts on the total space of the tangent bundle  $TM$  and commutes with the bundle map  $TM \rightarrow M$ . So, the map

$$P \times_{\text{Diff } M} TM \rightarrow P \times_{\text{Diff } M} M = E$$

can be given the structure of a bundle over  $E$  with the same fiber and structure group as the bundle  $TM \rightarrow M$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The *vertical tangent bundle*  $T_\pi E$  is the vector bundle of rank  $\dim M$  over  $E$  defined by the above map.

**Remark 2.4.** In the setting of Remark 2.2, the vertical tangent bundle coincides with the sub-bundle of  $TE$  that is the kernel of the derivative  $Df : TE \rightarrow TB$ .

Since we only consider orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms,  $T_\pi E$  is an *oriented* vector bundle. In particular, its characteristic classes determine a map  $\gamma : H^*(BSO_{\dim M}) \rightarrow H^*(E)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $E \rightarrow B$  be a manifold bundle with  $m$ -dimensional fiber and  $p \in H^{l+m}(BSO_m)$ . The corresponding *generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford class* or *kappa class* is defined as follows.

$$\kappa_p \left( \begin{array}{c} E \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right) = \pi_!(\gamma(p)) \in H^l(B).$$



Because of the naturality of the pushforwards, the above definition is also natural. In the context of the pullback diagram (2.1.1), the following diagram will commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^{*+m}(BSO_m) & \xrightarrow{p^* \rightarrow \kappa_p \left( \begin{smallmatrix} E \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{smallmatrix} \right)} & H^*(B) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow f^* \\
 & p^* \rightarrow \kappa_p \left( \begin{smallmatrix} f^*(E) \\ \downarrow \\ A \end{smallmatrix} \right) & H^*(A)
 \end{array}$$

Every manifold bundle is a pullback of the universal bundle over  $\text{BDiff } M$ . So, the kappa classes for any bundle are pullbacks of universal classes  $\kappa_p \in H^*(\text{BDiff } M)$ .

**2.3. Key source of the relations.** Let us state the main technical result that underlies the relations discussed in this paper. We will give an informal outline of the proof at the end of this section and postpone all details to sections 3 and 4.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $d$  be an odd natural number,  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be an oriented manifold bundle with fiber  $M_g^{2d}$ , the connected sum of  $g$  copies of  $S^d \times S^d$ . Let  $a, b \in H^*(E)$  be two classes such that  $\pi_!(a) = 0$ ,  $\pi_!(b) = 0$ , and  $\deg(a)$  is even.*

*Then, the classes  $\pi_!(a \cup a) \in H^{2\deg(a)-2d}(B)$  and  $\pi_!(a \cup b) \in H^{\deg(a)+\deg(b)-2d}(B)$  satisfy the following two relations.*

$$(2g+1)! \cdot \pi_!(a \cup a)^{g+1} = 0. \quad (2.3.1)$$

$$(2g+1)! \cdot \pi_!(a \cup b)^{2g+1} = 0. \quad (2.3.2)$$

(Note the larger power in the second relation.)

**Remark 2.7.** While the statement above seems most useful for applications, the result we actually prove is slightly more general. The manifold  $M_g$  can be replaced with any  $2d$ -dimensional,  $(d-1)$ -connected manifold<sup>3</sup>. Moreover, it is natural for the proof to use a more general notion of a bundle. See the beginning of Section 4.3 for details.

**Remark 2.8.** Because of the  $(2g+1)!$  factor in the statement, the theorem is most useful to give relations for cohomology with rational coefficients. It is likely that this factor can be improved somewhat. In [Mor89, Section 3], Morita proved the relation (2.3.1) in the special case of  $d = 1$  and  $\deg a = 2$  with a factor of  $\frac{(2g+2)!}{2^{g+1}(g+1)!}$  instead of  $(2g+1)!$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>For example, we could consider a connected sum of  $S' \times S''$  where  $S'$  and  $S''$  are  $d$ -spheres with an exotic smooth structure. We could also take the connected sum of a space  $Q$ , which is the total spaces of a bundle with fiber  $S^d$  and base space  $S^d$ .



**2.4. An application: proof of Theorem 1.2.** In this section, we illustrate Theorem 2.6 by proving Theorem 1.2 as an application. Further applications of Theorem 2.6 that result in more elaborate relations are discussed in Section 5.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $d \neq 1$  be odd,  $s = \lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil$ , and  $p_s$  be the  $4s$ -dimensional Pontryagin class. Then,*

$$\kappa_{p_s^2}^{g+1} = 0 \in H^{(2 \text{ or } 6)(g+1)}(\text{BDiff } M; \mathbb{Q}) \text{ where } \deg \kappa_{p_s^2} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 6 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $d \geq 3$  is odd. Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow (B = \text{BDiff } M_g)$  be the universal manifold bundle with fiber  $M_g^{2d}$ . The  $4s$ -dimensional Pontryagin class of the vertical tangent bundle gives rise to the class  $p_s \in H^{4s}(E)$ .

Our choice of  $s$  insures that, depending on  $d \bmod 4$ , either  $4s = d+1$  or  $4s = d+3$ . Since under our assumptions  $4s < 2d$ , we have  $\pi_!(p_s) = 0$ . Also,  $\deg p_s$  is even. Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.6 to obtain the following relation concerning the class  $\pi_!(p_s^2)$ , which is either 2- or 6-dimensional.

$$(2g+1)!\pi_!(p_s^2)^{g+1} = 0 \in H^{(2 \text{ or } 6)(g+1)}(B).$$

The class  $\pi_!(p_s^2)$  coincides with the class  $\kappa_{p_s^2} \in H^{2 \text{ or } 6}(\text{BDiff } M)$  by definition. So, rationally  $\kappa_{p_s^2}^{g+1} = 0 \in H^{(2 \text{ or } 6)(g+1)}(\text{BDiff } M; \mathbb{Q})$  as desired.  $\square$

Since, in the terminology of the introduction,  $p_s^2 \in \mathcal{S}'$ , Proposition 2.9 immediately implies Theorem 1.2.

Note that, even though we just showed that  $\kappa_{p_s^2}^{g+1} = 0$ , Theorem 1.5 implies that the class  $\kappa_{p_s^2} \in H^*(\text{BDiff}(M, D^{2d}))$  is not zero when  $g$  is large enough. So,  $\kappa_{p_s^2} \neq 0 \in H^*(\text{BDiff } M)$  as well.

**2.5. Outline of the proof of Theorem 2.6.** We aim to prove that a certain power of the class  $\pi_!(a \cup b)$  is torsion. If we wanted to prove that  $2\alpha^2 = 0$  for some integral cohomology class  $\alpha$ , it would be sufficient to decompose it as product of a integral cohomology class of *odd* degree  $\beta$  and another class:  $\alpha = \beta \cup \gamma$ . Our proof is loosely analogous.

In Section 3, we will use the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  to define the pushforward map on cohomology  $\pi_!$ . The key result of Section 3 is that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6, the cohomology class  $\pi_!(a \cup b)$  is the product of two terms on the  $E_2$  page of the spectral sequence, at least one of which – we call it  $\iota$  – has odd degree (Proposition 3.8).

The class  $\iota$  turns out to be a cohomology class with a  $2g$ -dimensional, twisted coefficient system. In Section 4, we prove Proposition 4.1 which implies that since  $\deg \iota$  is odd,  $\iota^{2g+1}$  is torsion. We then relate various notions of cup product to conclude that  $\pi_!(a \cup b)^{2g+1}$  and  $\pi_!(a \cup a)^{g+1}$  are both torsion.

## 3. SPECTRAL SEQUENCE ARGUMENT

In this section, we begin the detailed proof of Theorem 2.6. A reader more interested in applications might want to skip directly to Section 5.

The proof of Theorem 2.6 is most naturally stated in the setting of *oriented Serre fibrations*. This setting is more general than the setting of manifold bundles. We first define the pushforward map in this generality. Then, our goal is to prove Proposition 3.8, which in certain cases allows us to decompose cohomology classes of the form  $\pi_1(a \cup b)$ .

**3.1. Oriented Serre fibrations and twisted coefficient systems.** Let us recall the notions of oriented Serre fibrations and of related twisted coefficient systems.

Let  $E \rightarrow B$  be a Serre fibration with a space  $F$  as the homotopy fiber. Let us fix a basepoint  $*$  in  $B$ . The homotopy-lifting property of Serre fibrations gives rise to a map  $\pi_1(B, *) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Aut } F)$ , where  $\text{Aut } F$  denotes the homotopy automorphisms of  $F$ . In turn, this gives an action of  $\pi_1(B, *)$  on the cohomology groups  $H^i(F; R)$  for all commutative rings  $R$  and all  $i$ . In other words, the choice of a Serre fibration and a basepoint gives  $H^i(F; R)$  the structure of an  $R[\pi_1(B, *)]$ -module.

A *twisted coefficient system* over  $B$  is a bundle of  $R$ -modules over  $B$  with some fiber  $A$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}_{R\text{-modules}} H^i(F; R)$ , given the discrete topology. The  $R[\pi_1(B, *)]$ -module structure on  $H^i(F; R)$  defined in the previous paragraph can be used to construct a twisted coefficient system that we denote  $\mathcal{H}^i(F; R)$ . One can check (see e.g. [McC01, Section 5.3]) that performing this construction with a different choice of a basepoint for  $B$  will result in an isomorphic twisted coefficient system. Moreover, maps of twisted coefficient systems correspond to maps of  $R[\pi_i(B, *)]$ -modules for any basepoint.

We are interested in the case where the fiber is a closed, connected manifold. An *orientation* for a Serre fibration  $E \rightarrow B$  with a *closed, connected manifold*  $M^{2d}$  as the homotopy fiber is a choice of a trivialization for the twisted coefficient system corresponding to the top cohomology, i.e. a choice of an isomorphism  $or : \mathcal{H}^{2d}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$ , where the right-hand side is the untwisted coefficient system over  $B$ . An *oriented Serre fibration* is a Serre fibration  $E \rightarrow B$  with manifold fiber that is equipped with a choice of an orientation.

**Example 3.1.** Any (oriented) manifold bundle in the sense of Section 2 is an example of an oriented Serre fibration, since the structure group of the manifold bundle preserves the given orientation of the fiber  $M$ .

Finally, we note that the cup product on the fiber is a  $\pi_1(B)$ -equivariant map  $H^i(M) \otimes H^j(M) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(M)$ . Thus, it defines a map of twisted coefficient systems

$$\cup : \mathcal{H}^i(M) \otimes \mathcal{H}^j(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+j}(M). \quad (3.1.1)$$

**3.2. Convergence of Serre spectral sequences.** In this section, we recall the features of the convergence theorem for the cohomological Serre spectral sequence that we will need.

As we will discuss in more detail in Section 4.1, for any coefficient systems  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $B$ , there is a notion of *cohomology with twisted coefficients* and a *cup product* (different from the one defined in (3.1.1))

$$\cup : H^p(B; \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^q(B; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(B; \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}). \quad (3.2.1)$$

Moreover, any map of coefficient systems  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  determines a map on cohomology that we will denote  $f_{\text{coeff}} : H^*(B; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^*(B; \mathcal{B})$ .

The Serre spectral sequence for a Serre fibration  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  with fiber  $M$  (which, for the purposes of the convergence theorem, can be any CW complex) relates the following two objects:

- (1) The cohomology of the total space  $H^*(E)$  together with the cup product and a certain filtration <sup>4</sup>

$$H^*(E) = \dots = F^{-1} = F^0 H^*(E) \supset F^1 H^*(E) \supset \dots \quad (3.2.2)$$

This filtration respects the cup product, i.e. the cup product restricts to a map  $F^p H^*(E) \otimes F^{p'} H^*(E) \rightarrow F^{p+p'} H^*(E)$ .

- (2) The  $E_2$  page of the spectral sequence which is the bi-graded ring

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(B; \mathcal{H}^q(M))$$

with the product specified by the following composition of maps.

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet : E_2^{p,q} \otimes E_2^{p',q'} &= H^p(B; \mathcal{H}^q(M)) \otimes H^{p'}(B; \mathcal{H}^{q'}(M)) \xrightarrow[(3.2.1)]{\cup} \\ &H^{p+p'}(B; \mathcal{H}^p(M) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{q'}(M)) \xrightarrow[(3.1.1)]{\cup_{\text{coeff}}} H^{p+p'}(B; \mathcal{H}^{q+q'}(M)) = E_2^{p+p',q+q'} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.3)$$

The convergence theorem relates these two objects by way of the  $E_\infty$  page of the spectral sequence:

**Theorem 3.2** (Convergence Theorem for the Serre Spectral Sequence, [McC01, Theorem 5.2]). *There is a spectral sequence with the  $E_2$  page as described above such that the following two definitions of its  $E_\infty$  page are equivalent (together with the product structure):*

<sup>4</sup> If  $B^{(j)}$  denotes the  $j$ -skeleton of the CW-complex  $B$ ,  $J^{(j)} = \pi^{-1}(B^{(j)}) \subset E$  and  $J^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ ,

$$F^i H^*(E) = \ker \left( H^*(E) \rightarrow H^*(J^{(i-1)}) \right) = \text{image} \left( H^*(E, J^{(i-1)}) \rightarrow H^*(E) \right).$$

The precise definition will not be relevant for us, but see [McC01, Sections 2.2 and 5.1] for details.

(a) Successive quotients of the filtration (3.2.2) together with the cup product

$$E_\infty^{p,q} \cong F^p H^{p+q}(E) / F^{p+1} H^{p+q}(E).$$

(b) A sub-quotient of the  $E_2$  page obtained by repeatedly taking homology using the differentials in the spectral sequence. Repeatedly taking sub-quotients of a group results in a sub-quotient, so there are subgroups  $B^{p,q} \subset Z^{p,q} \subset E_2^{p,q}$  such that

$$E_\infty^{p,q} = Z^{p,q} / B^{p,q}.$$

By a small abuse of language, we write:  $Z^{p,q} = \ker(\text{differentials out of } (p, q) \text{ terms})$  and  $B^{p,q} = \text{image}(\text{differentials into } (p, q) \text{ terms})$ .

The product structure is induced from the product structure on the  $E_2$  page. This uses the fact that all the differentials respect the product on their respective pages of the spectral sequence.

**3.3. Pushforwards and spectral sequences.** In this section, we assume that the fiber  $M^{2d}$  is a  $2d$ -dimensional closed connected manifold. We do not assume it is  $(d-1)$ -connected unless we explicitly state so.




FIGURE 1. The  $E_2$  and  $E_\infty$  pages of the Serre Spectral Sequence with fiber a  $(d-1)$ -connected closed  $2d$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

Whenever one of the groups  $E_2^{p,q}$  is zero, the corresponding  $E_\infty^{p,q}$  must also be zero (as a sub-quotient). By the convergence theorem, this implies certain facts about the filtration of  $H^*(E)$ . (For the indices in the following arguments, refer to the top line of Figure 1)

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $M$  has dimension  $2d$ , the filtration on cohomology is such that, for all  $n$ ,*

$$F^{n-2d} H^n(E) = H^n(E).$$

If  $M$  is also  $(d-1)$ -connected, then we also have

$$F^{n-d}H^n(E) = F^{n-2d+1}H^n(E).$$

*Proof.* Since the fiber  $M$  is  $2d$ -dimensional,  $E_2^{n-q,q} = 0$  for  $q > 2d$ , and therefore  $0 = E_\infty^{n-q,q} = F^{n-q}H^n(E)/F^{n-q+1}H^n(E)$  as well.

If  $M$  is  $(d-1)$ -connected, then  $H^q(M) = 0$  for  $2d > q > d$  by Poincare duality. Thus,  $E_2^{n-q,q} = 0$  as well in this range.  $\square$

From the  $E_2$  page onwards, all the differentials in the spectral sequence go in the down-and-right direction. In particular, there are no differentials *into* the  $2d$ -th row of the spectral sequence (i.e., the  $E_i^{n-2d,2d}$  terms for  $i \geq 2$ ). So,

$$B^{n-2d,2d} = \text{image}(\text{differentials into } (n-2d, 2d) \text{ terms}) = 0.$$

The convergence theorem implies that  $E_\infty^{n-2d,2d} \subset E_2^{n-2d,2d}/B^{n-2d,2d}$ , so

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have  $E_\infty^{n-2d,2d} \subset E_2^{n-2d,2d}$ .*

By definition,  $E_\infty^{n-2d,2d} = F^{n-2d}H^n(E)/F^{n-2d+1}H^n(E)$ . We can now state the definition of the pushforward map that we use throughout this paper:

**Definition 3.5** ([BH58, §8]). If the Serre fibration  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  with fiber  $M^{2d}$  is *oriented*, we define the *pushforward map on cohomology*  $\pi_! : H^*(E) \rightarrow H^{*-2d}(B)$  to be the composition of maps

$$H^n(E) \xlongequal{\quad} F^{n-2d}H^n(E) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{n-2d,2d} \hookrightarrow E_2^{n-2d,2d} \xrightarrow[\text{or } \text{coeff}]{\sim} H^{n-2d}(B; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.3.1)$$

$\pi_!$

Various properties of the pushforward map (which are not used in this section nor in Section 4) are discussed in sections 2.1 and 5.1.

**3.4. Secondary pushforwards and the decomposition of pushforwards.** Let us now assume that our Serre fibration is oriented and that the fiber  $M$  is a  $(d-1)$ -connected  $2d$ -dimensional closed manifold. Let us consider the kernel of the map  $\pi_!$  we just defined.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(\ker \pi_!)^n = (\ker \pi_!) \cap H^n(E) \subset H^*(E)$ . If  $M$  is  $2d$ -dimensional and  $(d-1)$ -connected, then*

$$(\ker \pi_!)^n = F^{n-d}H^n(E).$$

*Proof.* By examining the map (3.3.1), we see that the quotient map

$$H^n(E) = F^{n-2d}H^n(E) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{n-2d,2d} = F^{n-2d}H^n(E)/F^{n-2d+1}H^n(E)$$

must take  $(\ker \pi_!)^n$  to zero and therefore  $(\ker \pi_!)^n = F^{n-2d+1}H^n(E)$ . Lemma 3.3 states that since  $M$  is  $(d-1)$ -connected,  $F^{n-2d+1}H^n(E) = F^{n-d}H^n(E)$ .  $\square$

We will now attempt to repeat the construction of the map (3.3.1). The lemma gives us a quotient map  $(\ker \pi_!)^n = F^{n-d}H^n(E) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{n-d,d}$  (see also Figure 1 for indices). It is no longer necessarily true that  $E_\infty^{n-d,d}$  is a subset of  $E_2^{n-d,d}$ , but the convergence theorem states that it is in general a subset of a quotient:

$$E_\infty^{p,q} = \frac{Z^{p,q}}{B^{p,q}} \subset \frac{E_2^{p,q}}{B^{p,q}}.$$

So, we have the following sequence of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\ker \pi_!)^n & \xlongequal{\quad} & F^{n-d}H^n(E) & \twoheadrightarrow & E_\infty^{n-d,d} & \hookrightarrow & \frac{E_2^{n-d,d}}{B^{p,d}} \xleftarrow{\quad} E_2^{n-d,d} \xlongequal{\quad} H^{n-d}(B; \mathcal{H}). \\ & & & & \searrow \text{---} \xi \text{---} \nearrow & & \\ & & & & & & \end{array} \quad (3.4.1)$$

We use the fact that the wrong-way map in the above diagram is surjective to make the following definition:

**Definition 3.7.** For each  $a \in (\ker \pi_!)^n$ , we define its *secondary pushforward*  $\xi(a) \in E_2^{n-d,d} = H^{n-d}(B; \mathcal{H})$  to be some element that maps to the same element of  $\frac{E_2^{n-d,d}}{B^{p,d}}$  as  $a$  under the maps in (3.4.1). From now on, we assume that we have fixed a choice of such a  $\xi(a)$  for every  $a$ .

Since there is no reason for  $\xi : (\ker \pi_!)^n \dashrightarrow H^{n-d}(B; \mathcal{H})$  to be a group homomorphism, we will call it a *correspondence* rather than a *map* and denote it with a dashed arrow.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $a \in (\ker \pi_!)^{p+d}$  and  $b \in (\ker \pi_!)^{p'+d}$ . The cohomology class  $\pi_!(a \cup b) \in H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z})$  is the image of  $\xi(a) \otimes \xi(b)$  under the following map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2^{p,d} \otimes E_2^{p',d} & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & E_2^{p+p',2d} \xrightarrow[\text{or coeff}]{\sim} H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \Psi & & \Psi \\ \xi(a) \otimes \xi(b) & \longmapsto & \pi_!(a \cup b) \end{array} \quad (3.4.2)$$

*Proof.* Since the Serre spectral sequence is multiplicative, every term in the diagram (3.4.1) is a subset of some ring. The following diagram combines the multiplication maps on every term.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(a \otimes b) \in (\ker \pi_!)^{p+d} \otimes (\ker \pi_!)^{p'+d} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^{p+p'+2d}(E) \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
F^p H^{p+d}(E) \otimes F^{p'} H^{p'+d}(E) & & F^{p+p'} H^{p+p'+2d}(E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E_\infty^{p,d} \otimes E_\infty^{p',d} & \xrightarrow{E_\infty \text{ mult.}} & E_\infty^{p+p',2d} \quad (a) \quad \pi_! \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\frac{E_2^{p,d}}{B^{p,d}} \otimes \frac{E_2^{p',d}}{B^{p',d}} & \xrightarrow[\text{(b)}]{E_2 \text{ mult.}} & \frac{E_2^{p+p',2d}}{B^{p+p',2d}} = E_2^{p+p',2d} \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \text{or coeff } \downarrow \wr \\
E_2^{p,d} \otimes E_2^{p',d} \ni \xi(a) \otimes \xi(b) & & \pi_!(a \cup b) \in H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

We observe the following:

- The convergence theorem implies that the diagram commutes and the map (b) is well-defined.
- The composition of maps (a) coincides with the map (3.3.1) from the definition of  $\pi_!$ .
- In the image of the map (b), the group  $B^{p+p',2d}$  is zero as we discussed in the proof of Lemma 3.4.
- The composition of maps from  $E_2^{p,d} \otimes E_2^{p',d}$  to  $H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z})$  in the diagram is precisely the map (3.4.2).

By construction of the secondary pushforward, the image of  $\xi(a) \otimes \xi(b)$  in  $H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z})$  is the same as the image of  $a \otimes b$ , which is precisely  $\pi_!(a \cup b)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. REMAINDER OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.6

The first goal of this section is to prove the following property of the cup product (3.2.1):

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a twisted coefficient system with fiber a free abelian group of rank  $\leq 2g$  and let  $\iota \in H^*(B; \mathcal{H})$  have odd degree. Then,*

$$(2g+1)! \cdot \iota^{2g+1} = 0 \in H^{(2g+1)\deg(\iota)}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2g+1}).$$

This proposition is a generalization of the fact that if  $\beta \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z})$  has odd degree, then  $2\beta^2 = 0$ . Similarly to that fact, the proof relies on the generalized commutativity of cup product with twisted coefficients.

Once we prove Proposition 4.1, we will relate it with Proposition 3.8 to complete the proof of Theorem 2.6.



**4.1. Cup product and twisted coefficients.** In this section, we state the formal properties of cup product for cohomology with twisted coefficients that we use. They generalize familiar properties of the usual cup product. See [Ste43] for a reference.

Cohomology with twisted coefficients assigns a graded abelian group  $H^*(X; \mathcal{A})$  to the pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  of a space and a twisted coefficient system. Given two coefficient systems  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  over the same space  $X$ , the *cup product with twisted coefficients* we mentioned in (3.2.1) is a map  $\cup : H^*(X, \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^*(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ . Also, given a map of coefficient systems  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , there is a corresponding map on cohomology  $f_{\text{coeff}} : H^*(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathcal{B})$ .

The following properties of cup products on cohomology with twisted coefficients will be important for us:

- The cup product is *associative* in the sense that the two possible cup products of three terms  $H^*(X, \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^*(X, \mathcal{B}) \otimes H^*(X, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C})$  are the same.
- The cup product *commutes with change of coefficients* in the following sense:  
Let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be and  $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be maps of coefficient systems (all over the same space  $X$ ). There is a corresponding map  $f \otimes g : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . The following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X; \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^*(X; \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{coeff}} \otimes g_{\text{coeff}}} & H^*(X; \mathcal{B}) \otimes H^*(X; \mathcal{D}) \\ \cup \downarrow & & \cup \downarrow \\ H^*(X; \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{(f \otimes g)_{\text{coeff}}} & H^*(X; \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

- The cup product is *graded-commutative* in the following sense:  
Let  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  be the map that swaps the coordinates. For  $a \in H^p(X; \mathcal{A})$  and  $b \in H^q(X; \mathcal{B})$ , we have

$$\alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{pq} \tau_{\text{coeff}}(\beta \cup \alpha). \quad (4.1.1)$$

These facts can be proven in the same way as the corresponding facts for the regular cup product; we refer to [Ste43, §11] for details. As in the regular case, graded commutativity of the cup product doesn't hold in general on the level of chains.

**4.2. Powers of odd classes and proof of Proposition 4.1.** Before proving Proposition 4.1, we need to state two lemmas.

For any representation  $V$  of the symmetric group  $S_n$ , we denote by  $\text{Alt } V$  the *alternating sub-representation*

$$\text{Alt } V = \{v \in V \mid \forall \sigma \in S_n, \sigma \cdot v = \text{sgn}(\sigma)v\} \subset V.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a twisted coefficient system. For any  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}$  is an  $S_t$ -representation with the action defined by  $\sigma \cdot (h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_t) = (h_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{\sigma(t)})$ . This action on coefficients also makes the cohomology  $H^*(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$  into an  $S_t$ -representation.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $\iota \in H^{\deg(\iota)}(B; \mathcal{H})$  with  $\deg(\iota)$  odd, then  $\iota^t \in \text{Alt } H^*(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ .*

*Proof.* First, consider the  $t = 2$  case. Since  $\iota$  has odd degree, the formula for commutativity of cup product states that, if  $\tau \in S_2$  is the non-trivial transposition,

$$\tau_{\text{coeff}}(\iota \cup \iota) = -\iota \cup \iota = \text{sgn}(\tau) \cdot (\iota \cup \iota) \in H^{2 \cdot \deg(\iota)}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}).$$

The general case follows from the facts that any permutation  $\sigma \in S_t$  can be decomposed into a product of transpositions, and that the number of these transpositions mod 2 is determined by  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ .  $\square$

The inclusion  $i : \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}$  is a map of coefficient systems, and therefore induces a map on cohomology. If our coefficient system was a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, we would want to prove that all of  $\text{Alt } H^*(B; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes t})$  is in the image<sup>5</sup> of the map  $i_{\text{coeff}} : H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes t}) \rightarrow H^*(B; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes t})$ . We prove an integral version of the same statement.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $\alpha \in \text{Alt } H^{\deg \alpha}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ , then  $t! \alpha$  is in the image of the map  $i_{\text{coeff}} : H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}) \rightarrow H^*(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ . By abuse of notation, we will denote this fact by  $t! \alpha \in H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the map on coefficient systems  $p : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t} \rightarrow \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}$  defined by the formula.

$$(v \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}) \mapsto \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\sigma \cdot v) \right)$$

(it is easy to check that its image indeed lies in  $\text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}$ ). The map on cohomology  $p_{\text{coeff}}$  has image in  $H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ .

At the same time, if  $\alpha \in \text{Alt } H^{\deg \alpha}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t}) \subset H^*(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ , then  $\sigma_{\text{coeff}} \cdot \alpha = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha$ , and thus

$$p_{\text{coeff}}(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (\sigma_{\text{coeff}} \cdot \alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \text{sgn}(\sigma)^2 (\alpha) = t! \cdot \alpha.$$

So,  $t! \cdot \alpha \in H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$  as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* Let  $\iota \in H^*(B; \mathcal{H})$  have odd degree and suppose that the twisted coefficient system  $\mathcal{H}$  has a free abelian group of rank  $\leq 2g$  as fiber. Then, we have  $\text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2g+1} = 0$ . By the above two lemmas,  $t! \iota^t \in H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes t})$ . So,  $(2g+1)! \iota^{2g+1} = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

<sup>5</sup>With a little more work, one can show that  $i_{\text{coeff}}$  induces an isomorphism  $H^*(B; \text{Alt } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes t}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Alt } H^*(B; \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes t})$ .

**Remark 4.4.** In the above proof, the full strength of the assumption that  $\mathcal{H}$  is free abelian is unnecessary. If the fiber of  $\mathcal{H}$  is any finitely generated abelian group such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \leq 2g$ , then  $\text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2g+1}$  will be a torsion group, and so  $\iota^{2g+1}$  will be torsion. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is generated by  $2g$  elements and has no 2-torsion,  $\text{Alt } \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2g+1} = 0$ .

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.6.** We make the following assumptions, which are slightly more general than the assumptions in the statement of Theorem 2.6. Let  $d$  be an odd natural number,  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be an oriented Serre fibration with fiber  $M^{2d}$ , where  $M$  is a  $2d$ -dimensional and  $(d-1)$ -connected manifold. Its middle cohomology  $H^d(M)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  for some integer  $g$  that we will call the *genus*. (The Universal Coefficient theorem implies that  $H^d(M) \cong \text{Hom}(H_d(M), \mathbb{Z})$ , which is a free group. Poincare duality and the fact that  $d$  is odd imply that the rank of this group must be even.)

**Remark 4.5.** We do not need to make any assumptions about smoothness of the bundle or of  $M$  to prove Theorem 2.6. To apply the theorem to more general bundles, however, one would need to define some sort of “kappa classes” as pushforwards of some cohomology classes on the total space. The results of Ebert and Randal-Williams from [ERW14] show that this is possible in rational cohomology for topological bundles with fiber  $M$ . Their results also suggests that some kappa classes can be defined this way for *block bundles* with structure group  $\widetilde{\text{Diff } M}$ . To apply the full strength of our results, one would need also to define *intersection classes* (see Definition 5.9) in such a way that Lemma 5.11 holds.

Let us restate Proposition 3.8 from the last section in a form that does not involve spectral sequences. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the twisted coefficient system  $\mathcal{H}^d(M)$  and  $\omega$  denote the map

$$\omega : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathcal{H}^{2d}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{or}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $a \in H^{\deg(a)}(E)$  and  $b \in H^{\deg(b)}(E)$  be two classes such that  $\pi_!(a) = 0$  and  $\pi_!(b) = 0$ . Then, there are  $\iota \in H^{\deg(a)-d}(B; \mathcal{H})$  and  $\kappa \in H^{\deg(b)-d}(B; \mathcal{H})$  that depend only on  $a, b$  (respectively) such that  $\pi_!(a \cup b)$  is the image of  $\iota \otimes \kappa$  under the composition of maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{\deg(a)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{\deg(b)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^i(B; \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\omega_{\text{coeff}}} H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \iota \otimes \kappa & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & \pi_!(a \cup b) \end{array} \quad (4.3.1)$$

where  $i = \deg(a) + \deg(b) - 2d$ .

*Proof.* The map (3.4.2) from Proposition 3.8 is the composition of the product on the  $E_2$  page of the spectral sequence (3.2.3) with the orientation isomorphism on

coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} (or_{\text{coeff}} \circ \bullet) : E_2^{p,d} \otimes E_2^{p',d} &= H^p(B; \mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{p'}(B; \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\cup} \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} H^{p+p'}(B; \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\cup_{\text{coeff}}} H^{p+p'}(B; \mathcal{H}^{2d}(M)) \xrightarrow{or_{\text{coeff}}} H^{p+p'}(B; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

The composition of the last two arrows in the above diagram is precisely  $\omega_{\text{coeff}}$ , and thus the maps (3.4.2) and (4.3.1) coincide.  $\square$

Note that if  $\deg(a)$  is *even* while  $d$  is *odd*, then  $\deg(\iota)$  will be *odd*.

Now, the following proposition implies that the map (4.3.1) commutes with taking further cup products. The point is that one can compute the value of  $\pi_!(a \cup b)^l$  from the values of  $\iota^l$  and  $\kappa^l$ . More precisely, we have:

**Proposition 4.7.** *The following diagram commutes (only up to sign in the lower left corner).*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \iota \otimes \kappa \otimes \iota \otimes \dots \otimes \iota \otimes \kappa & & & \pi_!(a \cup b)^{\otimes l} \\ & \cap & & & \cap \\ (H^{\deg(a)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}) \otimes H^{\deg(b)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}))^{\otimes l} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^i(B; \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\otimes l} & \xrightarrow{(\omega_{\text{coeff}})^{\otimes l}} & H^i(B; \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes l} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle \pm \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \text{permute coord.,} \\ \text{then } \cup \otimes \cup \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \searrow \scriptstyle \cup & \downarrow \scriptstyle \cup & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \cup \\ H^{\deg(a)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes l}) \otimes H^{\deg(b)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}^{\otimes l}) & \xrightarrow[\text{then permute coeff.}]{\cup} & H^i(B; (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\otimes l}) & \xrightarrow{(\omega^{\otimes l})_{\text{coeff}}} & H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}^{\otimes l}) \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle \cup & & & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \text{product in } \mathbb{Z} \\ \pm(\iota \cup \dots \cup \iota) \otimes (\kappa \cup \dots \cup \kappa) & & & & \pi_!(a \cup b)^l \in H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The commutativity of this diagram follows from repeated applications of the associativity of cup product and the fact that cup product commutes with change of coefficients. In the lower-left corner, we need to also use the commutativity of cup product, which may insert a sign.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Let  $a, b \in H^*(E)$  be two classes such that  $\pi_!(a) = 0$ ,  $\pi_!(b) = 0$ , and  $\deg(a)$  is even. By the above proposition and the decomposition (4.3.1), we see that there are

$$\iota \in H^{\deg(a)-d}(B; \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa \in H^{\deg(b)-d}(B; \mathcal{H})$$

such that  $\pi_!(a \cup b)^{2g+1}$  is the image of  $\iota^{2g+1} \cup \kappa^{2g+1}$  under some group homomorphism (the bottom line of the diagram in Proposition 4.7). Since  $\deg(a)$  is even and  $d$  is odd,  $\iota$  has odd cohomological degree. Since  $\text{rank } \mathcal{H} = \text{rank } H^d(M) = 2g$ , Proposition 4.1 states that  $(2g+1)! \cdot \iota^{2g+1} = 0$ . This proves that  $(2g+1)! \cdot \pi_!(a \cup b)^{2g+1} = 0$ .

Similarly,  $\pi_!(a \cup a)^{g+1}$  is the image of  $\iota^{g+1} \cup \iota^{g+1} = \iota^{2g+1} \cup \iota$  under a group homomorphism. Again,  $(2g+1)! \cdot \iota^{2g+1} = 0$  and thus  $(2g+1)! \cdot \pi_!(a \cup a) = 0$ .  $\square$

## 5. GENERATING RELATIONS USING METHODS OF RANDAL-WILLIAMS

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.6 to obtain the results claimed in the introduction as well as some additional relations in  $\ker \mathcal{R}_d$ .

**5.1. Further properties of pushforwards.** To do our calculations, we will use the following properties of the pushforward map.

**Proposition 5.1** (Properties of the pushforward map). *Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be an oriented Serre fibration with some manifold  $M$  as fiber. The pushforward map  $\pi_! : H^{*+\dim(M)}(E) \rightarrow H^*(B)$ , as defined in Definition 3.5, satisfies the following:*

- (1) *For any classes  $a \in H^*(E)$  and  $b \in H^*(B)$ , we have*

$$\pi_!(a \cup \pi^*(b)) = \pi_!(a) \cup b.$$

*This makes the pushforward into a map of  $H^*(B)$ -modules, and is sometimes called the push-pull formula.*

- (2) *As already mentioned in Section 2.1, pushforwards are natural with respect to maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . If  $\pi' : f^*(E) \rightarrow A$  is the pullback of the fibration  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ , then for any  $a \in H^*(E)$ , we have  $f^*(\pi_!(a)) = \pi'_!(f^*(a))$ .*
- (3) *Suppose both maps  $G \xrightarrow{\pi''} E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  are oriented Serre fibrations with (possibly different) oriented manifolds as homotopy fibers. Then, so is the composition  $(\pi \circ \pi'') : G \rightarrow B$ . Pushforward maps are functorial in the sense that  $\pi_! \circ \pi''_! = (\pi \circ \pi'')_!$  as maps from the cohomology of  $G$  to the cohomology of  $B$ .*

For proofs, we refer to [BH58, §8].

We will also need the following well-known fact:

**Fact 5.2.** *Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a manifold bundle with fiber  $M^{\dim M}$  and  $B$  connected. Let  $e = e(T_\pi E \rightarrow E) \in H^{\dim M}(E)$ . Then,  $\pi_!(e) = \chi(M) \in H^0(B)$  where  $\chi(M) \in \mathbb{Q}$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* First consider the case when  $B$  is a point and  $E = M$ . The vertical tangent bundle then coincides with the tangent bundle of  $M$ . By the Poincare-Hopf theorem,  $e(TM \rightarrow M) = \chi(M) \cdot [M]$ , where  $[M]$  is the generator of  $H^n(M)$  determined by the orientation. It follows easily from Definition 3.5 that  $\pi_!([M]) = 1$  and therefore  $\pi_!(\chi(M) \cdot [M]) = \chi(M) \in H^0(\{*\})$  by the push-pull formula.

In general, consider the inclusion of a point  $\{*\} \hookrightarrow B$ . The induced map on  $H^0$  is an isomorphism. The desired statement follows from the fact that the Euler class, the vertical tangent bundle, and the pushforward map are all natural with respect to the pullbacks of bundles.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** For manifold bundles, there is a commonly used alternative definition of the pushforward map that uses the Pontryagin-Thom construction (see [Boa70])

or [BG75, §4]). It coincides with our definition of the pushforward map rationally and, moreover, the two definitions coincide for integral cohomology as long as  $B$  is a CW complex of finite type (see Appendix B). We do not know whether the two definitions coincide nor whether Theorem 2.6 applies integrally to the Pontryagin-Thom pushforward more generally, particularly in the case  $B = \text{BDiff } M$ .

**5.2. Notation and conventions.** For the remainder of this section, we assume that all cohomology has rational coefficients. Thus, we ignore the integral multiple of Theorem 2.6.

The manifold  $M_g^{2d}$  is the connected sum of  $g$  copies of  $S^d \times S^d$  (slightly more general manifolds would also do, see Remark 2.7). We assume that  $2 - 2g \neq 0$  throughout, and that  $2 - 2g < 0$  in Section 5.5. By the *tautological ring*, we mean the image of the map  $\mathcal{R}_d$ . We denote this sub-ring by  $\mathcal{R}^* = \text{image}(\mathcal{R}_d) \subset H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g^{2d}; \mathbb{Q})$ .

**5.3. Direct applications of Theorem 2.6 and the radical.** In this section, we illustrate how one can obtain relations using Theorem 2.6 directly. These calculations can serve as a warm-up for more complicated calculations described in section 5.5. We prove that the tautological ring modulo nilpotent elements is generated by at most  $2d$  elements.

**Example 5.4.** Consider a manifold bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  with  $2d$ -dimensional fiber  $M_g^{2d}$  and  $d$  odd (for example, the universal bundle). The argument of Proposition 2.9 applies to any degree- $4i$  Pontryagin class  $p_i \in H^{4i}(E)$  as long as  $4i < \dim M_g$ . So, we have the following relation concerning  $\kappa_{p_i^2} = \pi_!(p_i^2) \in H^{4i \cdot 2 - 2d}(B)$ .

$$\left(\kappa_{p_i^2}\right)^{g+1} = 0 \in H^{(8i-2d)(g+1)}(B) \text{ for } i < \frac{d}{2} = \frac{\dim M}{4}.$$

**Example 5.5.** More generally, let  $p \in H^{2*}(E)$  be any characteristic class of even degree. Assuming that the Euler characteristic  $\chi = 2 - 2g$  is not zero, we can use the Euler class of the vertical tangent bundle  $e \in H^{2d}(E)$  to construct the class  $a = p - (e/\chi) \cdot \pi^*(\pi_!(p)) \in H^*(E)$ . Because of the push-pull formula (Proposition 5.1) and Fact 5.2, this class satisfies  $\pi_!(a) = 0$ .

Let  $q \in H^{2*}(E)$  be another such class. We apply the procedure just described and Theorem 2.6 to obtain the following formula (we use the notation  $\pi_!(p) = \kappa_p$ ).

$$0 = \left(\pi_! \left( \left( p - \frac{e}{\chi} \kappa_p \right) \left( q - \frac{e}{\chi} \kappa_q \right) \right)\right)^{2g+1} = \left( \kappa_{pq} - \frac{\kappa_{ep}}{\chi} \kappa_q - \frac{\kappa_{eq}}{\chi} \kappa_p + \frac{\kappa_{e^2}}{\chi^2} \kappa_p \kappa_q \right)^{2g+1}. \quad (5.3.1)$$

Let  $\sqrt{0} \subset \mathcal{R}^*$  denote the *radical* of the tautological ring (that is, the ideal consisting of all the nilpotent element, also known as the *nilradical*). The following easy

fact, together with our finite-generation result (Theorem 1.1), provides motivation to consider it.

**Lemma 5.6.** *If a graded commutative ring  $A^*$  is finitely generated as an  $A^0$ -algebra and  $A^0$  is a field, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $A^*$  is finite-dimensional    2)  $A^*/\sqrt{0} = A^0$     3)  $\dim_{\text{Krull}} A^* = 0$ .

Example 5.5 implies

**Lemma 5.7.** *In the ring  $\mathcal{R}^*/\sqrt{0}$ ,  $\kappa_{pq}$  is in the ideal generated by  $\kappa_p$  and  $\kappa_q$ .*

*Proof.* The expression (5.3.1) implies that  $\kappa_{pq} - \frac{\kappa_{ep}}{\chi} \kappa_q - \frac{\kappa_{eq}}{\chi} \kappa_p + \frac{\kappa_{e^2}}{\chi^2} \kappa_p \kappa_q \in \sqrt{0}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $g \neq 1$ , the ring  $\mathcal{R}^*/\sqrt{0}$  is generated by the  $2d$  elements in the set  $E = \{\kappa_{p_i}, \kappa_{p_i \cdot e} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ . So, the Krull dimension of the ring  $\mathcal{R}^*$  is at most  $2d$ .*

*Proof.* Every generator of  $\mathcal{R}^*$  that is not in  $E$  can be written as  $\kappa_{pq}$  so that  $\kappa_p$  and  $\kappa_q$  have strictly positive cohomological degree. This uses the fact that  $p_d = e^2$ . By Lemma 5.7,  $\kappa_{pq}$  is decomposable in  $\mathcal{R}^*/\sqrt{0}$  as a polynomial in classes of smaller degree. It follows that  $\mathcal{R}^*/\sqrt{0}$  is generated by the elements of  $E$ .  $\square$

**5.4. The classifying spaces of manifolds with marked points.** To get additional relations, we will use the methods of [RW12]. Those methods involve certain natural bundles with structure group  $\text{Diff } M_g$  and fiber  $(M_g)^{\times n} = M_g \times \cdots \times M_g$ . In this section, we introduce these bundles and the special characteristic classes they possess. The discussion is completely analogous to the two-dimensional case, as described in [RW12, Section 2.1].

**Notation.** As always,  $M_g^{2d} = \#^g(S^d \times S^d)$ . In this section, we will denote the universal bundle  $\text{EDiff } M_g \times_{\text{Diff } M_g} M_g \rightarrow \text{BDiff } M_g$  with fiber  $M_g$  as  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^{2d}$ . The notation refers to the fact that in the  $d = 1$  case, the space  $\mathcal{M}_g^2$  has the same rational cohomology as the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. We will also use the notation ‘ $\parallel$ ’ for homotopy quotients:  $(-\parallel \text{Diff } M) = (- \times_{\text{Diff } M} \text{EDiff } M)$ . For example,  $\mathcal{M}_g = * \parallel \text{Diff } M_g$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g = M_g \parallel \text{Diff } M_g$ .

For a finite set  $I$ , we let

$$\mathcal{M}_g(I) = (\text{Maps}(I, M_g)) \parallel \text{Diff } M_g \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_g(n) = \mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \dots, n\}).$$

The fiber of the natural map  $\mathcal{M}_g(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  is  $(M_g)^{\times n}$ , and a map from any space  $B$  to  $\mathcal{M}_g(n)$  gives rise to a manifold bundle over  $B$  with fiber  $M_g$  together with a choice of  $n$  ordered points in each fiber.

For  $J \subset I$ , there are natural projections  $\pi_J^I : \mathcal{M}_g(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(J)$  and  $\pi_\emptyset^I : \mathcal{M}_g(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ . We can identify the bundle  $\mathcal{M}_g(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  with the universal bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ .



More generally, the pullback of the universal bundle  $(\pi_\emptyset^I)^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\})$  as bundles over  $\mathcal{M}_g(I)$ .

**Definition 5.9.** By the *tautological subring of the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_g(I)$*  we mean the subring  $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I)) \subset H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  generated by the following three types of classes that we call the *fundamental tautological classes*:

- The generalized MMM classes  $\kappa_c \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  that are pulled back from  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g)$  using the canonical map  $\mathcal{M}_g(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  (there is one such class for each  $c \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$ ).
- For each choice of  $i \in I$ , there is a canonical map  $\pi_i^I : \mathcal{M}_g(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(\{i\}) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . The vertical tangent bundle determines a classifying map  $\gamma : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow BSO_{2d}$ . For each  $c \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$  and  $i \in I$ , we define the class  $c_{(i)} \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  as the pullback of  $c$  via the composition of the above-mentioned maps<sup>6</sup>.

Note that given  $c, d \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$ , we clearly have  $(cd)_{(i)} = c_{(i)}d_{(i)}$ .

- For each subset  $S \subset I$ , we consider the *intersection class*

$$\nu_{(S)} \in H^{2d \cdot (|S|-1)}(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$$

defined below. We will write simply  $\nu_{(1,2)}$  for  $\nu_{(\{1,2\})}$ .

**Definition 5.10.** For  $S \subset I$ , let  $\text{Maps}(I/S, \mathcal{M}_g) \subset \text{Maps}(I, \mathcal{M}_g)$  be those maps that send all elements of  $S$  to the same point. Note that this inclusion has codimension  $(|S| - 1) \cdot \dim M$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_g(I/S) = \text{Maps}(I/S, \mathcal{M}_g) // \text{Diff } \mathcal{M}_g$ . There is an inclusion  $i_S : \mathcal{M}_g(I/S) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(I)$ . As shown in [RW12, Lemma 2.1], this inclusion has a Thom class

$$\nu'_{(S)} \in H^{2d(|S|-1)}(\mathcal{M}_g(I), \mathcal{M}_g(I) - \mathcal{M}_g(I/S); \mathbb{Z}).$$

We define the *intersection class*  $\nu_{(S)}$  to be the image of  $\nu'_{(S)}$  in  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$ .

**Lemma 5.11.** *The classes  $\nu_{(S)}$  satisfy the following:*

- (i) *For  $S \subset I' \subset I$ , the class  $\nu_{(S)} \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  is a pullback of the corresponding class  $\nu_{(S)} \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I'))$  via the map  $(\pi_{I'}^I)^*$ .*
- (ii) *If  $S$  and  $S'$  intersect at a single point, then  $\nu_{(S)}\nu_{(S')} = \nu_{(S \cup S')}$ . For example, in  $\mathcal{M}_g(\{1, 2, \star\})$ , we have:  $\nu_{(1,\star)}\nu_{(2,\star)} = \nu_{(1,\star)}\nu_{(1,2)}$ .*
- (iii) *In  $\mathcal{M}_g(2)$ , we have  $\nu_{(1,2)}^2 = \nu_{(1,2)} \cdot e_{(1)}$  where  $e$  is the Euler class.*
- (iv) *For any characteristic class  $c$ ,  $\nu_{(1,2)} \cdot c_{(1)} = \nu_{(1,2)} \cdot c_{(2)}$ .*
- (v) *The pushforward of the class  $\nu_{(1,2)} \in H^{2d}(\mathcal{M}_g(2))$  is 1, i.e.*

$$\left( \pi_{\{1\}}^{\{1,2\}} \right)_! (\nu_{(1,2)}) = 1 \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_g(1)).$$

---

<sup>6</sup>We use parentheses in the notation to prevent confusion with the notation  $p_i$  for the  $i$ -th Pontryagin class.

The proof of this lemma is similar to the arguments in [MS74, §11], see also [RW12, Lemma 2.1]. The proof of part (v) is very similar to the proof of Fact 5.2.

**Remark 5.12.** All of our calculations apply in any setting where Definition 5.9 makes sense and Lemma 5.11 applies. In particular, they hold in cohomology with integral coefficients as long as we insert appropriate factors of  $(2g + 1)!$ . See also Remark 4.5.

Our next goal is to be able to compute the pushforward of any tautological class in  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  via the projection maps  $\pi_J^I$ . We will use the properties of the pushforward described in Section 5.1.

Lemma 5.11 and the naturality of the pushforward imply the following.

**Lemma 5.13.** *For any finite set  $I$ , we have*

$$\left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_! (\nu_{(i,\star)}) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_! (c_{(\star)}) = \kappa_c$$

for all  $i \in I$  and  $c \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$ . We use the convention  $\kappa_e = \chi = 2 - 2g$ .

Furthermore, it is possible to rewrite a tautological class in  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\}))$  in terms of a tautological classes in  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  as follows:

**Lemma 5.14.** *We can simplify any monomial in the fundamental tautological classes  $m \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\}))$  in one of the following ways:*

- *If the monomial contains  $\nu_{(i,\star)}$  for some  $i \in I$ , then it can be rewritten as  $m = \nu_{(i,\star)} \cdot n'$  where  $n'$  is a monomial in classes that do not involve the marked point ' $\star$ '. That is,  $n' = \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)^*(n)$  where  $n$  is a monomial in tautological classes of  $\mathcal{M}_g(I)$ .*
- *Otherwise, the monomial can be rewritten as  $m = c_{(\star)} \cdot n'$  where  $c$  is a product (possibly empty) of characteristic classes of the vertical tangent bundle and  $n'$  is as before.*

*Proof.* If  $m$  does not contain any  $\nu_{(i,\star)}$ s, reordering its terms will put it in the required form. Otherwise, we use the relations  $\nu_{(i,\star)}\nu_{(j,\star)} = \nu_{(i,\star)}\nu_{(i,j)}$  and  $\nu_{(i,\star)}c_{(\star)} = \nu_{(i,\star)}c_{(i)}$  from Lemma 5.11 to get rid of any classes that involve ' $\star$ ' except for the single  $\nu_{(i,\star)}$ .  $\square$

The push-pull formula and the above lemmas give us the following procedure to compute the pushforward of a general tautological class:

**Procedure 5.15.** The pushforward of tautological classes via the map  $\left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_! : H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\})) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  can be computed as follows, one monomial at a

time. First, simplify the monomial  $m \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\}))$  using Lemma 5.14. Then, apply the push-pull formula and Lemma 5.13 to get:

$$\text{If } m = \nu_{(i,\star)} \cdot \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)^*(n), \text{ we have } \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_!(m) = \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_!(\nu_{(i,\star)}) \cdot n = n.$$

$$\text{If } m = c_{(\star)} \cdot \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)^*(n), \text{ we have } \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_!(m) = \left(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}}\right)_!(c_{(\star)}) \cdot n = \kappa_c \cdot n.$$

Note that in the second case above, if we have  $c_{(\star)} = 1$ , then the pushforward will be zero.

**Example 5.16.** We can compute a pushforward as follows.

$$\left(\pi_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i,j,\star\}}\right)_!(\nu_{(i,\star)}^3 \nu_{(j,\star)}^2 d_{(\star)} \kappa_e) = \left(\pi_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i,j,\star\}}\right)_!(\nu_{(i,\star)} e_{(i)}^2 \nu_{(i,j)}^2 d_{(i)} \kappa_e) = e_{(i)}^2 \nu_{(i,j)}^2 d_{(i)} \kappa_e.$$

Since pushforward maps are functorial, we can apply Procedure 5.15 several times to calculate  $(\pi_J^I)_!$  for any  $J \subset I$ . There also exist formulas for calculating  $(\pi_\emptyset^I)_!$  of a tautological monomial in  $H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  in one step. See [RW12, Section 2.7] for details.

**5.5. Randal-Williams' method and proof of Theorem 1.1.** We can obtain numerous relations in the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  by applying the following idea of [RW12].

**Procedure 5.17.** First, we construct some tautological class  $c \in \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I \sqcup \{\star\}))$  such that  $(\pi_I^{I \sqcup \{\star\}})_!(c) = 0$ . Applying Theorem 2.6 to one or two such classes will tell us that some polynomial in the ring  $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g(I))$  is equal to zero. We may multiply this relation by any other polynomial and apply  $(\pi_\emptyset^I)_!$  to the result to get a relation among the tautological classes of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ .

We can obtain more relations than were obtained in [RW12] because the version of our Theorem 2.6 that [RW12] used (from [Mor89]) only applies when the cohomological degree of  $c$  is 2 and does not allow using two cohomology classes at once.

**Example 5.18.** We illustrate this procedure by repeating the following example from [RW12, Section 2.2] with our notation. Consider the bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \star\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(1)$  (which has fiber  $M_g$ ). The following class pushes forward to 0:

$$\chi \nu_{(1\star)} - e_{(\star)} \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \star\})).$$

Theorem 2.6 applies to give us the following relation in the ring  $\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g(1))$ , which we then simplify using Procedure 5.15 and related lemmas.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\pi_!((\chi \nu_{(1\star)} - e_{(\star)})^2))^{g+1} = (\pi_!(\chi^2 \nu_{(1\star)} e_{(1)} - 2\chi \nu_{(1\star)} e_{(1)} + e_{(\star)}^2))^{g+1} = \\ &= ((\chi - 2)\chi e_{(1)} + \kappa_{e^2})^{g+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{g+1} \binom{g+1}{i} ((\chi - 2)\chi e_{(1)})^i (\kappa_{e^2})^{g+1-i}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5.1)$$

Let us now assume that  $\chi = 2 - 2g < 0$ . For each integer  $k$ , we can multiply both sides of the formula by  $\frac{e_{(1)}^k}{((\chi-2)\chi)^{g+1}}$  and apply  $(\pi_{\emptyset}^{\{1\}})_!$  to both sides to get the following relation in the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ .

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{g+1} \binom{g+1}{i} \kappa_{e^{i+k}} \left( \frac{\kappa_{e^2}}{(\chi-2)\chi} \right)^{g+1-i} \in H^{2d(g+k)}(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

(where we should keep in mind that  $\kappa_{e^0} = 0$  and  $\kappa_{e^1} = \chi$ ).

**Corollary 5.19.** *From the above example, we can see that for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\kappa_{g+k} = \kappa_{e^{k+g+1}}$  can be written as a polynomial in lower kappa classes.*

**Example 5.20.** Assume that  $\chi \neq 0$  and fix any  $p \in H^{2i}(BSO_{2d})$ . We obtain a relation in the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_g(1)$  by applying the second part of Theorem 2.6 to the classes  $a = \nu_{(1\star)} - e_{(\star)}/\chi \in H^{2d}(\mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \star\}))$  and  $b = p_{(\star)} - (e_{(\star)}/\chi)\kappa_p \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \star\}))$  (both classes push down to zero in  $\mathcal{M}_g(1)$ ). The Theorem gives us the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left( \left( \pi_{\{1\}}^{\{1, \star\}} \right)_! \left( (p_{(\star)} - (e_{(\star)}/\chi)\kappa_p) (\nu_{(1\star)} - (e_{(\star)}/\chi)) \right) \right)^{2g+1} = \\ &= \left( p_{(1)} - \frac{\kappa_{ep}}{\chi} - \frac{e_{(1)}\kappa_p}{\chi} + \frac{\kappa_{e^2}\kappa_p}{\chi^2} \right)^{2g+1} \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5.2)$$

We will use the above example to prove Theorem 1.1. First, we need the following corollary.

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g)$  be the *augmentation ideal* generated by all the elements of the tautological subring that have a non-zero cohomological degree, and let  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$  be the ideal of the decomposable elements.

**Lemma 5.21.** *Assume  $g > 1$ . There is an integer  $N > 0$  that depends only on  $g$  and  $d$  such that for all  $p, q \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$  with  $\deg p > 0$ ,*

$$\kappa_{(p^N q)} \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

*Proof.* We will use  $N = (2d+1)(2g+1)$ . If  $1 \leq \deg p < 2d$ , we replace  $p$  with  $p^{2d+1}$ . This allows us to assume that  $\deg p > 2d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{M}_g(1))$  be the following ideals.

$$\mathcal{A}' = (\kappa_t \mid t \in H^{>2d}(BSO_{2d})), \quad \mathcal{B}' = (t_{(1)} \mid t \in H^{>2d}(BSO_{2d})), \quad \mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{A}' \cdot (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B}').$$

We observe that:

- (1)  $p_{(1)}^{2g+1} \in \mathcal{D}'$ . To see this, note that since  $\deg(p) > 2d$ ,  $e_{(1)}\kappa_p$  and  $\kappa_{e^2}\kappa_p$  are in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Using our assumption that  $g > 1$ , the formula (5.5.2) implies that  $p_{(1)}^{2g+1} \in \mathcal{D}'$  as well.

(2) The pushforward operation  $\left(\pi_{\emptyset}^{\{1\}}\right)_!$  takes  $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{M}_g(1)$  into  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ .

It follows that  $p_{(1)}^{2g+1} q_{(1)} = (p^{2g+1} q)_{(1)} \in \mathcal{D}'$  for all  $q \in H^*(BSO_{2d})$  and, therefore,  $\kappa_{(p^{2g+1} q)} \in \mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

Now, we can finally prove that the tautological ring is finitely generated.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The infinitely many elements  $\kappa_{(e^{a_0} \prod_{i=1}^d p_i^{a_i})}$  (where  $a_i$ -s are nonnegative integers and  $p_i$ -s are the Pontryagin classes) generate the tautological ring rationally. By the previous lemma, there is a constant  $N$  such that the elements where any of the  $a_i$ -s are greater than  $N$  are decomposable. In other words, any such generator is expressible as a polynomial in kappa classes of lower cohomological degree.

So, the finitely many generators of cohomological degree less than  $\deg \left( \kappa_{(e^N \prod_{i=1}^d p_i^N)} \right)$  generate the whole tautological subring of  $H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g; \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

**5.6. Observation 1.3 and Randal-Williams' computer calculations.** Randal-Williams obtained numerous examples<sup>7</sup> of relations in  $d = 1$  case for  $g = 3, 4, 5, 6, 9$  in [RW12, Section 2] using computer calculations. He also produced a more explicit family of relations in every genus in [RW12, Section 2.7]. In this section, we explain the construction of the ideal  $I_{RW}$  that contains all of these relations and verify that it is in the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{R}_d$  for all odd values of  $d$ , as promised in Observation 1.3.

We use the notation  $\kappa_i = \kappa_{e^{i+1}} \in H^{2di}(\mathcal{M}_g^{2d})$  and note that all the equations that took place in cohomological degree  $2j$  in [RW12] now take place in degree  $2dj$ .

**Example 5.22** ([RW12, Example 2.5] and Observation 1.3). For all odd values of  $d$  and  $g = 4$ , we have the following relations in  $H^*(\text{BDiff } M_4^{2d})$ .

$$3\kappa_1^2 = -32\kappa_2^2 \in H^{4d}(\text{BDiff } M_4^{2d}) \text{ and } \kappa_2^2 = \kappa_1\kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 0 \in H^{6d}(\text{BDiff } M_4^{2d}).$$

For more examples of relations, see [RW12, Examples 2.3-2.7].

*Proof of Observation 1.3.* We will indicate how to re-derive the key lemmas of [RW12]; the rest of the arguments can be repeated verbatim.

- (1) Let  $M_g^{2d} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  be a manifold bundle. Let  $c \in H^{2d}(E)$  and  $q = \pi_!(c) \in H^0(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The relation (2.3.1) from Theorem 2.6 applied to the cohomology class  $\frac{\chi \cdot c - q \cdot e}{\gcd(\chi, q)}$  implies that the cohomology class

$$\Omega(E, c) := \frac{1}{(\gcd(\chi, q))^2} (\chi^2 \pi_!(c^2) - 2q\chi \pi_!(e \cdot c) + q^2 \kappa_1) \in H^{2d}(B) \quad (5.6.1)$$

<sup>7</sup>These include all the relations that exist for  $d = 1$ ,  $g \leq 5$  in degrees  $* \leq 2(g - 2)$ . In higher degrees, the tautological ring vanishes completely according to [Loo95].

has the property that  $\Omega(E, c)^{g+1}$  is torsion.

This is precisely the version of [RW12, Theorem A] that is stated on [RW12, top of p. 1775] for  $d = 1$  (we use slightly different notation). Note that the only part of the expression (5.6.1) that depends on  $d$  is the cohomological degree.

- (2) Following [RW12], our next step is to apply (5.6.1) to a particular class in the cohomology of the total space of the bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(n)$ .

Given a vector  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , consider the class

$$c_A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \nu_{(i\star)} \in H^{2d}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(n)) = H^{2d}(\mathcal{M}_g(\{1, \dots, n, \star\})).$$

We define the class  $\Omega_A := \Omega(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(n), c_A)$  using (5.6.1). It will satisfy  $\Omega_A^{g+1} = 0 \in H^{2d(g+1)}(\mathcal{M}_g(n); \mathbb{Q})$ . The expression for this class does not depend on  $d$  and coincides with the formula [RW12, (2.1)].

- (3) Let  $b \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g(n); \mathbb{Q})$  be a tautological class that does not involve any Pontryagin classes (any tautological class that makes sense for  $d = 1$  satisfies this condition). For such classes, the pushforward

$$\left( \pi_{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}^{\{1, \dots, n\}} \right)_! (b) \in H^{*-2d}(\mathcal{M}_g(n-1))$$

can be computed in a  $d$ -independent way. When applying Procedure 5.15 to such class, only the value of  $\kappa_e = \chi = 2 - 2g$  will be affected by what the manifold  $M$  is. That does not depend on  $d$ , and the result of the pushforward will again involve no Pontryagin classes.

The expressions for further pushforwards such as  $\left( \pi_{\emptyset}^{\{1, \dots, n\}} \right)_! (b) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g)$  also cannot depend on  $d$ , since they can be computed by applying Procedure 5.15 repeatedly.

We can now obtain non-trivial examples of relations as follows, repeating the procedure from [RW12, Section 2.4]. Take the equation  $\Omega_A^{g+1} = 0$  for some values of  $A$  and  $n$ , and perhaps multiply it by another tautological class that doesn't involve Pontryagin classes. Then, apply the pushforward  $\left( \pi_{\emptyset}^{\{1, \dots, n\}} \right)_!$  to the result to obtain an element of the kernel of the map  $\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}] \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_g^d, \mathbb{Q})$ . Every relation obtained in [RW12] lies in the ideal  $I_{\text{RW}} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$  generated by such elements.

The expressions for the generators of the ideal do not depend on  $d$ , and thus all of Randal-Williams' examples hold verbatim in the  $2d$ -dimensional case whenever  $d \geq 1$  is odd.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. MMM CLASSES RELATED TO LOW PONTRYAGIN CLASSES

In this appendix, we discuss of the images of the maps  $\mathcal{R}_d$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'_d$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  defined in Section 1.1. We prove the the image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  is finitely generated. From now on, we omit the subscript  $d$  from the notation.

**Proposition A.1.** *For  $M_g = \#_g S^d \times S^d$ , the maps  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}'$ , and  $f^*$  pictured in diagram (1.1.1) are related as follows:*

- (1) *There are classes  $q_1, \dots, q_{\lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil - 1} \in \text{image}(\mathcal{R}) \subset H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g)$  that generate  $\text{image}(\mathcal{R})$  as an  $\text{image}(\mathcal{R}')$ -module.*
- (2) *For all  $i$ ,  $f^*(q_i) = 0 \in H^*(\text{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : U \rightarrow \text{BDiff } M_g$  be the universal bundle and  $p_i \in H^*(U)$  be the Pontryagin classes of the vertical tangent bundle. Since  $M_g$  is  $(d-1)$ -connected, the map  $\pi^* : H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g) \rightarrow H^*(U)$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $*$   $< d$  (this can be seen e.g. using the Serre spectral sequence). It follows that there are classes  $q_i \in H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g)$  such that  $p_i = \pi^*(q_i)$  for all  $i < \lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil$ .

Now, let  $m \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $\deg m \leq 2d$ ,  $\kappa_m = 0$  or  $\kappa_m \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\kappa_m \in \text{image } \mathcal{R}' \subset \text{image } \mathcal{R}$ . If  $\deg m > 2d$ , then  $m$  can be decomposed as a product of some  $n \in \mathcal{S}'$  and some Pontryagin classes  $p_i$  with  $i < \lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil$ . Since the pushforward is a map of  $H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g)$ -modules,  $\kappa_m = \pi_!(n \cdot \prod \pi^*(q_i)) = \kappa_n \cdot \prod q_i$  for some  $i$ 's. In other words, the  $q_i$ 's generate  $\text{image}(\mathcal{R})$  as an  $\text{image}(\mathcal{R}')$ -module, as desired.

Let us now prove that that  $f^*(q_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ 's. It is sufficient to consider the universal bundle with a fixed disk and prove that the corresponding universal classes  $q_i \in H^*(\text{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}))$  are zero. We can fix a basepoint  $b \in D^{2d} \subset M_g^{2d}$  that determines a section of the universal bundle (which we denote  $U_\delta$ ). The following diagram describes the corresponding map on cohomology.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\delta = \text{EDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}) \times_{\text{Diff}(M_g, D^{2d})} M_g & & H^*(U_\delta) \\ s \uparrow \quad \downarrow \pi & & s^* \uparrow \quad \downarrow \pi^* \\ \text{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d}) & & H^*(\text{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d})) \end{array}$$

As  $s$  is a section we must have  $s^*(p_i) = s^*(\pi^*(q_i)) = q_i$  as long as  $i < \lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil$ . So,  $q_i = s^*(p_i)$  is a characteristic class of the bundle  $s^*(T_\pi U_\delta)$  over  $\text{BDiff}(M_g, D^{2d})$ . Since a neighborhood of the point  $b$  is fixed by the action of  $\text{Diff}(M_g, D^{2d})$ , this bundle is trivial, and so  $q_i$  must be zero.  $\square$

**Observation A.2.** *For  $d > 3$ , in the notation of the proof above,  $p_1 = \pi^*(q_1) \in H^*(U)$ . Therefore, for all  $g$ ,*

$$\chi \kappa_{e^2 p_1} = \chi \pi_!(e^2 \cdot \pi^*(q_1)) = \pi_!(e) \cdot q_1 \cdot \pi_!(e^2) = \kappa_{ep_1} \kappa_{e^2} \in H^*(\text{BDiff } M_g).$$



So, the map  $\mathcal{R}$  has non-trivial relations in its kernel that do not depend on  $g$ . This cannot happen in  $\ker \mathcal{R}_\delta$  by Theorem 1.5.

Proposition A.1 immediately implies the following.

**Corollary A.3.** *If  $\kappa_m \in \text{image}(\mathcal{R}) - \text{image}(\mathcal{R}')$ , then  $f^*(\kappa_m) = 0$ . So,  $\text{image}(f^* \circ \mathcal{R}) = \text{image}(\mathcal{R}_\delta)$ .*

**Theorem A.4.** *The image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\delta,d}$  is a finitely-generated as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra when  $d$  is odd and  $g > 1$ .*

*Proof.* By the above Corollary, the image of the map  $\mathcal{R}_\delta$  is a quotient of the image of the map  $\mathcal{R}$ , which is finitely generated by Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**Remark A.5.** If we require that all the Pontryagin classes  $p_i$  mentioned in Section 5 satisfy  $i \geq \lceil \frac{d+1}{4} \rceil$ , all of the arguments in that section will apply to the map  $\mathcal{R}' : \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_p \mid p \in \mathcal{S}] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}(M_g))$  without any further modification. This way, one can prove that the image of the map  $\mathcal{R}'$  is also finitely generated. That gives another proof that the image of  $\mathcal{R}_\delta$  is finitely generated.

## APPENDIX B. THE PONTRYAGIN-THOM PUSHFORWARD

While the definition of the pushforward map used throughout this paper applies to all oriented Serre fibrations, in the case of manifold bundles ( $M$  is a smooth manifold and  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  is a bundle with structure group  $\text{Diff } M$ ), there is another commonly used definition of the pushforward map  $\pi_{!PT} : H^{*+m}(E) \rightarrow H^*(B)$  that uses the Pontryagin-Thom construction, see [Boa70] or [BG75, §4]. This *Pontryagin-Thom pushforward* has the advantage of being defined even for generalized cohomology theories if the bundle has an appropriate orientation. It is also necessary for constructing the kappa classes as pullbacks of natural classes in the cohomology of the infinite-loop space  $\Omega^\infty MTSO(2d)$  (see [GRW14]). While we do not use that construction explicitly, the kappa classes that appear in Theorem 1.5 arise this way.

It is conceivable that the notion of kappa classes depends on which definition of the pushforwards one uses. We do not know whether  $\pi_!$  and  $\pi_{!PT}$  coincide for integral cohomology when  $B = \text{BDiff } M$ . However, the following fact applies in most relevant cases. It is accepted in the literature, but we provide a proof for completeness.

**Proposition B.1.** *If  $E \rightarrow B$  is a manifold bundle with structure group  $\text{Diff } M$  and  $B$  is a CW complex of finite type, the pushforwards  $\pi_{!PT}$  and  $\pi_!$  coincide.*

*In rational cohomology,  $\pi_{!PT}$  and  $\pi_!$  coincide for any CW complex  $B$ .*

*Proof.* One can check that the Pontryagin-Thom construction commutes with bundle pullbacks in an appropriate way so that  $\pi_{!PT}$  satisfies the naturality property (2) from

Proposition 5.1. For a CW complex  $B$  of finite type, or in rational cohomology, we have (see e.g. [Hat02, §3.F] for an overview)

$$H^*(B) = \varprojlim_{\substack{B' \subset B \\ \text{finite subcomplex}}} H^*(B').$$

So, we can assume without loss of generality that  $B$  is a finite CW complex. Finally, we use the Lemma B.2 below to reduce the case of a finite CW complex to the case of  $B$  a closed oriented manifold.

In the case when  $B$  is an closed oriented manifold, the fact that  $\pi_{!PT}$  and  $\pi_!$  coincide is proven in [Boa70]. Briefly, Boardman proves a multiplicativity property for the *cap* product, similar to property (1) from Proposition 5.1, for both  $\pi_!$  and  $\pi_{!PT}$ . He then deduces that both pushforwards must coincide with the pushforward determined by Poincare duality.  $\square$

**Lemma B.2.** *Any finite CW complex  $B$  is a retract of a smooth oriented closed manifold  $D$ . In particular, there is a map  $f : D \rightarrow B$  such that  $f^* : H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(D)$  is injective.*

*Proof.*<sup>8</sup> It is possible to embed  $B$  into a Euclidean space. A sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of such an embedding  $T$  will be an oriented compact manifold *with boundary* that deformation retracts onto  $T$  (see e.g. appendix to [Hat02]). In particular, we have maps  $B \xrightarrow{i} T \xrightarrow{f'} B$  such that the composition is the identity.

Let  $D = T \sqcup_{\delta T} (-T)$  be the double of  $T$ . It is a closed oriented manifold. There is an obvious inclusion  $T \hookrightarrow D$  and, crucially, the map  $f' : T \rightarrow B$  extends to a map  $f : D \rightarrow B$ . So, we have our retraction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \xrightarrow{i} & T & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & B \\ & & \searrow f' & \nearrow & \\ & & D & & \end{array}$$

The composition is the identity since it coincides with  $f' \circ i$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BG75] J.C. Becker and D.H. Gottlieb. The transfer map and fiber bundles. *Topology*, 14(1):1–12, March 1975.
- [BH58] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch. Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 80(2):pp. 458–538, 1958.
- [BM13] Alexander Berglund and Ib Madsen. Homological stability of diffeomorphism groups. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 9(1):1–48, 2013.
- [Boa70] J. M. Boardman. *Stable homotopy theory*, chapter V – Duality and Thom spectra. Johns Hopkins University, 1969–1970. Mimeographed notes. Available at <http://math.ucr.edu/~res/duality/Boardman-V.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup>We thank Alexander Kupers for a key idea for this proof. This argument is also in [Tho54].

- [Bol12] Søren K. Boldsen. Improved homological stability for the mapping class group with integral or twisted coefficients. *Math. Z.*, 270(1-2):297–329, 2012.
- [EE69] Clifford J. Earle and James Eells. A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory. *J. Differential Geometry*, 3:19–43, 1969.
- [ERW14] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams. Generalised Miller-Morita-Mumford classes for block bundles and topological bundles. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):1181–1204, 2014.
- [Fab99] Carel Faber. A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves. In *Moduli of curves and abelian varieties*, Aspects Math., E33, pages 109–129. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [FM11] B. Farb and D. Margalit. *A Primer on Mapping Class Groups (PMS-49)*, volume 49. Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [GRW10] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(3):1243–1302, 2010.
- [GRW12] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. Homological stability for moduli spaces of high dimensional manifolds. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2012, 1203.6830.
- [GRW14] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 212(2):257–377, 2014.
- [Har85] J.L. Harer. Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces. *Ann. of Math.*, 121(2):215–249, 1985.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Loo95] Eduard Looijenga. On the tautological ring of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . *Invent. Math.*, 121(2):411–419, 1995.
- [McC01] John McCleary. *A user’s guide to spectral sequences*, volume 58 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2001.
- [Mor89] Shigeyuki Morita. Families of Jacobian manifolds and characteristic classes of surface bundles. I. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 39(3):777–810, 1989.
- [Mor03] S. Morita. Generators for the tautological algebra of the moduli space of curves. *Topology*, 42(4):787–819, 2003.
- [MS74] John Willard Milnor and James D Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*, volume 93. Princeton University Press Princeton, 1974.
- [Mum83] David Mumford. Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves. In *Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II*, volume 36 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 271–328. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [MW07] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss. The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(3):843–941, 2007.
- [PP13] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton. Relations in the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2013, 1301.4561.
- [RW12] Oscar Randal-Williams. Relations among tautological classes revisited. *Advances in Mathematics*, 231(3 - 4):1773 – 1785, 2012.
- [Ste43] NE Steenrod. Homology with local coefficients. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 44(4):610–627, 1943.
- [Tho54] René Thom. Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 28:17–86, 1954.
- [Wah13] Nathalie Wahl. Homological stability for mapping class groups of surfaces. In *Handbook of moduli. Vol. III*, volume 26 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 547–583. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.