

Generation of microwave radiation by nonlinear interaction of a high-power, high-repetition rate, 1064-nm laser in KTP crystals

A. F. Borghesani,^{1,2,*} C. Braggio,^{2,3} and G. Carugno²

¹*CNISM unit, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Padua, Italy*

²*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, Italy*

³*Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Padua, Italy*

compiled: July 4, 2021

We report measurements of microwave (RF) generation in the centimeter band accomplished by irradiating a nonlinear KTiOPO₄ (KTP) crystal with a home-made, infrared laser at 1064 nm as a result of optical rectification (OR). The laser delivers pulse trains of duration up to 1 μ s. Each train consists of several high-intensity pulses at an adjustable repetition rate of approximately 4.6 GHz. The duration of the generated RF pulses is determined by that of the pulse trains. We have investigated both microwave- and second harmonic (SHG) generation as a function of the laser intensity and of the orientation of the laser polarization with respect to the crystallographic axes of KTP.

OCIS codes: (350.4010) Microwaves, (160.4330) Nonlinear optical materials, (160.2100) Electro-optical materials, (140.4050) Mode-locked lasers

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/XX.99.099999>

Optical heterodyning is at the basis of several techniques to produce microwave signals [1–3]. Two adjacent laser lines are brought to beat in a nonlinear crystal to generate signals at the difference frequency, which lies in the microwave domain. Authors have demonstrated generation of beat notes at frequencies from a few GHz up to the THz band [4, 5].

Optoelectronic oscillators have also been developed that generate spectrally pure microwave signals by modulating continuous laser light in interferometric devices [6], whose performance is limited by the bandwidth of the state-of-the-art photodetectors [7, 8].

In this paper we report about a novel technique of microwave and mm-wave signal photonic generation. The method is demonstrated at \approx 4.6 GHz but can be extended up to a few hundreds GHz [9, 10]. It is based on the fast response of a second order nonlinear crystal to a pulse train delivered by a high-intensity, mode-locked laser system, whose repetition rate f is in the RF domain. The irradiation of such a crystal with a train of short laser pulses produces a time-dependent polarization in the crystal as a consequence of optical rectification (OR) [11]. This process gives origin to the emission of microwave radiation that can be transferred to any receivers, either a cavity or a waveguide, without the bandwidth limitation of photodetection.

OR has also been used to produce subpicoseconds THz pulses by using ultrashort laser pulses in a number of nonlinear crystals [12, 13].

We used a KTiOPO₄ (KTP) crystal because its non centrosymmetric, orthorhombic crystal structure belonging to the $2mm$ point symmetry group [14] endows it with a strong second order nonlinearity. Its electro-optic coefficients are well known [15–17] and it is, thus, very well suited to characterize our technique to produce RF radiation.

In Fig. (1) a scheme of the experimental setup is shown. The KTP crystal used (EKSPA Optics) is a parallelepiped $4 \times 4 \times 10$ mm³ in size whose long axis is aligned in the direction z of the laser propagation. The crystal is cut in a way that its optical axis z' forms an angle $\beta \approx 59^\circ$ with z , necessary for phase matching purposes in a previous experiment. It is mounted in the center of a rectangular RF cavity (C) designed so as to sustain a TE₁₁₁ mode. Its resonance frequency can be tuned to the laser pulses repetition rate that can be varied in the range 4.4 GHz to 4.7 GHz.

The crystal can be rotated about the z axis to maximize the coupling of the RF emission with the cavity mode. The quality factor of the loaded cavity is $Q \approx 10^3$. A critically coupled antenna (A) is used to pick up the RF signal that is directly fed to the 50 Ω input of the oscilloscope (S).

The infrared ($\lambda = 1064$ nm) laser (L), described elsewhere [18], is set to deliver one 400 ns or more-long pulse

* armandofrancesco.borghesani@unipd.it




Fig. 1. Experimental setup. L = laser, T = pulse train, $\lambda/2$ = retarding plate, C = cavity, KTP = crystal, A = Antenna, TL = transmission line, S = scope, HS = harmonic separator, F = filter, PD = photodiode, B = bolometer.

train every second. The pulse width is $\tau \approx 12$ ps and each train contains ≈ 2000 of them, the pulse repetition rate being set at $f = 4.6$ GHz. In this way, a restricted-band frequency comb [19] is generated with mode frequencies $f_L \pm mf$, where $f_L = c/\lambda \approx 2.82 \times 10^{14}$ rad/s is the laser frequency. Because of the gaussian shape and duration of the individual pulses, the highest overtone of nonnegligible amplitude has index $m_{\max} \approx 20$, so that $m_{\max}f \ll f_L$, and all the frequencies in the laser spectrum are in the optical region [20].

The maximum laser intensity value is limited to $I \simeq 130$ MW/cm² in order to keep I well below the damage threshold (> 500 MW/cm² for 10 ns long pulses). I can be reduced by inserting calibrated neutral density filters in the laser path. We note that the lower limit to the laser intensity is set by the requirement that it forces the nonlinear response of the crystal. We experimentally observed microwave generation by KTP with I as low as 0.2 MW/cm².

The laser polarization can be rotated relative to the crystal axes by means of a $\lambda/2$ wave plate mounted on a rotating goniometer. The laser beam has an ellipsoidal gaussian profile with semiaxes $a \approx 1.5$ mm and $b \approx 1.8$ mm, respectively, and is fully projected onto the antireflection coated, entrance face (x, y) of the crystal. The light exiting the crystal output face contains the contribution due to SHG and to the pump laser. The SH is picked out by a combination of a harmonics separator (HS) and a bandpass filter (F) and is measured by a photodiode (PD) whose output voltage V_G is proportional to its intensity. A bolometer (B) is used to monitor the laser stability.

In Fig. (2) we show the amplitude V_{RF} of the microwave signal and the SH intensity V_G as a function of the laser intensity I for a fixed position of the $\lambda/2$ plate. In our experiment, the efficiency of SHG is of a few %. The presence of SH is a clear sign of a quadratic




Fig. 2. Microwave amplitude V_{RF} (left scale) and SH intensity V_G (right scale) vs laser intensity I for at fixed $\theta = 115^\circ$.

nonlinear effect. Actually, $V_G \propto I^2$, the proportionality constant depending on the second-order susceptibility tensor for the mixing of two identical frequencies $d_{ijk}^{2\omega}$.

By contrast, the antenna signal is proportional to the time derivative of the microwave polarization field that depends on the quasi static polarization induced by OR. Thus, the amplitude of the RF signal is directly proportional to the laser intensity, $V_{\text{RF}} \propto I$, the proportionality constant depending on d_{ijk}^0 . The experimental data confirm these expectations.

To further verify that the microwave radiation is produced by OR, we measured the dependence of V_{RF} on the angle θ by which the direction of the laser polarization is rotated by the $\lambda/2$ plate. The results are displayed in Fig. (3). V_{RF} shows a marked 4-fold periodicity and can be accurately fitted to the following form

$$V_{\text{RF}} = V_0 + V_4 \cos[4(\theta - \gamma_4)] \quad (1)$$

with $V_0 = 80.9$ mV, $V_4 = 33.9$ mV. $\gamma_4 = 69^\circ$ has no physical relevance.

As the antenna signal is proportional to the first time derivative of the microwave field, the angular dependence of the nonlinear polarization can be calculated




Fig. 3. Microwave signal amplitude V_{RF} vs rotation angle θ of the $\lambda/2$ plate for $I \approx 100$ MW/cm².

from d_{ijk} . The low-frequency crystal polarization $P(t)$ closely follows the envelope $|E_{0j}^\omega(t)|^2$ of the electric field $E_j(t) = E_{0j}^\omega(t) \cos \omega t$ of the optical pulse train [13], where $\omega = 2\pi f_L$ is the angular frequency of the laser. The Fourier spectrum of $P(t)$ contains the fundamental harmonic at the microwave frequency f plus several, higher-order harmonics. The resonant cavity acts as narrow band-pass filter that picks out the amplitude P_0 of the fundamental that can be written in the frame of reference of the crystallographic axes (x', y', z') as

$$P_{0i} = A d'_{ijk} E_{0j}^\omega E_{0k}^\omega \quad (i, j, k = x', y', z') \quad (2)$$

The convention of summation over repeated indices is observed. The constant A depends on the actual shape of the individual pulses in the train. d'_{ijk} are the elements of the nonlinear susceptibility tensor and the prime means that they are referred to the crystallographic system. For KTP crystals, only 5 of its elements are non null [14]. The crystallographic system is rotated with respect to the laboratory frame of reference so that the crystal axis z' forms an angle β with the laser propagation direction z . Thus, the polarization components in Eq. (2) must be expressed in the laboratory frame.

The laser light is linearly polarized with components $E_{0x}^\omega = E_{0y}^\omega = E_0$ in the laboratory. A rotation of the $\lambda/2$ plate by an angle θ rotates the laser polarization by 2θ . Thus, the components of the field incident on the entrance face of the crystal are $E_x^\omega = E_0 \cos 2\theta$ and $E_y^\omega = E_0 \sin 2\theta$.

The elements of the nonlinear second-order susceptibility tensor for OR, d'_{ijk} , and for SHG, d''_{ijk} , could in principle be different. However, we argue that they are nearly the same. In fact, as in our case there is only one, strong, laser source, the nonlinear, quadratic, electro-optic tensor is of electronic origin [20]. In the case of resonant mixing, if the driving frequencies are in the optical domain whereas the difference frequency is below any lattice resonances, there is no contribution of the lattice to d'_{ijk} [21]. Moreover, the tensor elements can be written as $d'_{ijk}^{\omega_2 \pm \omega_1} \propto \chi_e^{(1)}(\omega_2 \pm \omega_1) \chi_e^{(1)}(\omega_1) \chi_e^{(1)}(\omega_2)$, where the $\chi_e^{(1)}$'s are the linear electronic susceptibilities. They are related to the dielectric constant ϵ of the material and, thus, to the refraction index [22]. It is reported that the static dielectric constants $\epsilon_{jj}(0)$ do not differ by more than a few % from the square of the refraction indices at optic frequencies $n_{jj}^2(\omega)$ [23]. For these reasons, we assume $d'_{ijk} \simeq d''_{ijk}$ and drop either superscripts.

The effective, non vanishing elements of the nonlinear second-order susceptibility tensor are thus expressed in the laboratory frame as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{15} &= 2bd'_{15} \cos 2\theta \sin 2\theta \\ d_{24} &= 2abd'_{24} \sin^2 2\theta \\ d_{31} &= d'_{31} \cos^2 2\theta \\ d_{32} &= d'_{32} a^2 \sin^2 2\theta \\ d_{33} &= d'_{33} b^2 \sin^2 2\theta \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

in which $a = \cos \beta$ and $b = \sin \beta$.

V_{RF} is now given by the projection of the induced low-frequency polarization onto the direction of the electromagnetic mode of the cavity. It is easy to show that

$$V_{\text{RF}} = BE_0^2 g(\theta) = CIg(\theta) \quad (4)$$

where $I = c\epsilon_0 E_0^2$ is the laser intensity. ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. $B = B(f, \omega)$ and $C = B/c\epsilon_0$ are constant at fixed RF frequency and laser pulsation ω . B accounts for many parameters such as effective interaction volume, antenna efficiency, and so on. The function $g(\theta)$ is given by

$$g(\theta) = g_x d_{15} + g_y d_{24} + g_z (d_{31} + d_{32} + d_{33}) \quad (5)$$

The direction cosines g_x , g_y , and g_z of the induced, non-linear polarization field relative to the cavity mode polarization are unknown and are obtained by a fit to the experimental data.

Eq. (4) explains both the results for V_{RF} in Fig. (2) and in Fig. (3). The V_{RF} data in Fig. (2) are measured at fixed angle $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$. So, $g(\tilde{\theta})$ is a constant and V_{RF} turns out to be directly proportional to the laser intensity I .

The data shown in Fig. (3) are obtained at constant I , so they display the behavior of $g(\theta)$. It can be shown that, by expanding the trigonometric functions, Eq. (5) can be cast in the form given by Eq. (1). By inserting the known values of the nonlinear electro-optic coefficient of KTP [17], the values of the direction cosines can be determined by comparison with the fit parameters in Eq. (1). If $g_x = -3.72 \times 10^{-2}$, $g_y = -0.911$, and $g_z = 0.411$ are chosen, Eq. (5) accurately fits the experimental data.

A further verification of the validity of Eq. (1) can be obtained by measuring V_{RF} as a function of the laser intensity I for several angular positions of the $\lambda/2$ plate. From plots similar to Fig. (2), we determined the slope of V_{RF} vs I for some values of θ . The slope values are reported in Fig. (4). According to Eq. (4), the slope is proportional to $g(\theta)$ and the experimental results confirm this expectation.




Fig. 4. Dependence of dV_{RF}/dI on the rotation angle θ of the $\lambda/2$ plate.




Fig. 5. Dependence of the SH intensity V_G on the rotation angle vs θ of the $\lambda/2$ plate for $I \approx 74 \text{ MW/cm}^2$.

SHG is also a consequence of the quadratic nonlinearity of the medium polarizability [14]. In Fig. (2) we have shown that the SH intensity quadratically depends on I . In Fig. (5) the dependence of the SH intensity on the direction θ of the pump laser polarization for $I \approx 74 \text{ MW/cm}^2$ is shown. The data show an 8-fold periodicity modulated by a 4-fold one and are fitted to a function of the form

$$V_G = V_0 + V_8 \cos [8(\theta - \gamma_8)] + V_4 \cos [4(\theta - \gamma_4)] \quad (6)$$

with $V_0 = 190.8 \text{ mV}$, $V_8 = 112.2 \text{ mV}$, and $V_4 = 21.7 \text{ mV}$. $\gamma_8 = 46.6^\circ$ and $\gamma_4 = 67.3^\circ$ have no physical relevance. The dependence of V_G on the direction of the laser polarization is computed in a way similar to V_{RF} . By recalling that V_G is proportional to the square of the second time derivative of the induced nonlinear polarization and by recalling that the SH is nearly copropagating with the laser along z , V_G can be written as

$$V_G = D\omega^4 I^2 q(\theta) \quad (7)$$

with $q(\theta) = q_x d_{15}^2 + q_y d_{24}^2 + q_z (d_{31}^2 + d_{32}^2 + d_{33}^2)$. The constant D depends on the interaction volume, on the photodetection efficiency, and so on. q_x , q_y , and q_z give the orientation of the crystal relative to the propagation axis accounting for a possible non perfect alignment of the geometrical z axis of the crystal with respect to the laser propagation direction. The choice $q_x = 0.162$, $q_y = -0.984$, and $q_z = 0.081$ gives an excellent fit to the data, as displayed as a solid line in Fig. (5).

In this Letter we have shown that microwave radiation in the centimeter band is optically produced by exploiting the nonlinear polarization properties of KTP crystals. The irradiation of a second-order nonlinear crystal with high-intensity laser pulses at a repetition rate in the microwave domain produces a modulation at the same frequency of OR, which is the source of RF radiation.

The phenomenon we have described could be exploited as a new technique to build flexible RF sources for applications, in which the RF pulse duration and frequency have to be tailored according to specific needs.

To this goal, a characterization of such source including the determination of the spectral density of the phase noise may be necessary. In this experiment, the limited duration of the RF pulses prevents its measurement. However, we reasonably expect that in a CW system this feature is mainly determined by the frequency stability of the optical oscillator [24]. Moreover, the bandwidth of the produced microwave signal is determined by both the pulse train duration and the cavity Q value.

This methodology can also be a useful tool to characterize the nonlinear electro-optic coefficients of crystals.

The authors thank E. Berto for technical assistance and acknowledge financial support by Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare within the MIR experiment.

References

- [1] T. J. Bridges and S. R. Strnad, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **20**, 382 (1972).
- [2] J. Yao, *J. Lightwave Technol.*, **27**, 314 (2009).
- [3] M. H. Khan, H. Shen, Y. Xuan, L. Zhao, S. Xiao, D. E. Leaird, A. M. Weiner, and M. Qi, *Nature Photonics*, **4**, 117 (2010).
- [4] K. E. Niebuhr, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2**, 136 (1963).
- [5] H. Lengfellner, *Opt. Lett.*, **12**, 184 (1987).
- [6] J. C. Leader, C. E. Larson, and P. A. Treis, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **92**, 6505 (2002).
- [7] A. Neyer and E. Voges, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **40**, 6 (1982).
- [8] X. S. Yao and L. Maleki, *Opt. Lett.*, **21**, 483 (1996).
- [9] L. Krainer, R. Paschotta, S. Lecomte, M. Moser, K. J. Weingarten, and U. Keller, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, **38**, 1331 (2002).
- [10] U. Keller, *Nature*, **424**, 831 (2003).
- [11] M. Bass, P. A. Franken, J. F. Ward, and G. Weinreich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **9**, 446 (1962).
- [12] X. C. Zhang, Y. Jin, and X. F. Ma, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **61**, 2764 (1992).
- [13] S. Graf, H. Sigg, and W. Bächtold, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **76**, 2647 (2000).
- [14] A. Yariv and P. Yeh, *Photonics. Optical Electronics in Modern Communications* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [15] J. D. Bierlein and C. B. Arweiler, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **49**, 917 (1986).
- [16] B. Boulanger, J. P. Fève, G. Marnier, B. Ménaert, X. Cabirol, P. Villeval, and C. Bonnin, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, **11**, 750 (1994).
- [17] M. V. Pack, D. J. Armstrong, and A. V. Smith, *Appl. Optics*, **43**, 3319 (2004).
- [18] A. Agnesi, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, F. D. Valle, G. Galeazzi, G. Messineo, F. Pirzio, G. Reali, and G. Ruoso, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **82**, 115107 (2011).
- [19] S. T. Cundiff and J. Ye, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **75**, 325 (2003).
- [20] G. D. Boyd, T. J. Bridges, M. A. Pollack, and E. H. Turner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **26**, 387 (1971).
- [21] C. G. B. Garrett, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, **4**, 70 (1968).
- [22] R. C. Miller, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **5**, 17 (1964).
- [23] A. H. Reshak, I. V. Kityk, and S. Auluck, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **114**, 16705 (2010).
- [24] J. Millo, R. Boudot, M. Lours, P. Y. Bourgeois, A. N. Luiten, Y. L. Coq, Y. Kersalé, and G. Santarelli, *Opt. Lett.*, **34**, 3707 (2009).

References

- [1] T. J. Bridges and S. R. Strnad, Submillimeter Wave Generation by Difference-Frequency Mixing in GaAs, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **20**, 382-384 (1972).
- [2] J. Yao, Microwave Photonics, *J. Lightwave Technol.*, **27**, 314-335 (2009).
- [3] M. H. Khan, H. Shen, Y. Xuan, L. Zhao, S. Xiao, D. E. Leaird, A. M. Weiner, and M. Qi, Ultrabroadband arbitrary radiofrequency waveform generation with a silicon photonic chip-based spectral shaper, *Nature Photonics*, **4**, 117-122 (2010).
- [4] K. E. Niebuhr, Generation of laser axial mode difference frequencies in a nonlinear dielectric, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2**, 136-137 (1963).
- [5] H. Lengfellner, Generation of tunable pulsed microwave radiation by nonlinear interaction of Nd:YAg laser radiation in GaP crystals, *Opt. Lett.*, **12**, 184-186 (1987).
- [6] J. C. Leader, C. E. Larson, and P. A. Treis, Solid-state laser induced microwave effects, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **92**, 6505-6524 (2002).
- [7] A. Neyer and E. Voges, High-frequency electro-optic oscillator using an integrated interferometer, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **40**, (1982).
- [8] X. S. Yao and L. Maleki, Converting light into spectrally pure microwave oscillation, *Opt. Lett.*, **21**, 483-485 (1996).
- [9] L. Krainer, R. Paschotta, S. Lecomte, M. Moser, K. J. Weingarten, and U. Keller, Compact Nd:YVO₄ lasers with pulse repetition rates up to 160 GHz, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, **38**, 1331-1338 (2002).
- [10] U. Keller, Recent developments in compact ultrafast lasers, *Nature*, **424**, 831-838 (2003).
- [11] M. Bass, P. A. Franken, J. F. Ward, and G. Weinreich, Optical rectification, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **9**, 446-448 (1962).
- [12] X. C. Zhang, Y. Jin, and X. F. Ma, Coherent measurement of THz optical rectification from electrooptic crystals, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **61**, 2764-2766 (1992).
- [13] S. Graf, H. Sigg, and W. Bächtold, High-frequency electrical pulse generation using optical rectification in bulk GaAs, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **76**, 2647-2649 (2000).
- [14] A. Yariv and P. Yeh, *Photonics. Optical Electronics in Modern Communications* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [15] J. D. Bierlein and C. B. Arweiler, Electro-optic and dielectric properties of KTiOPO₄, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **49**, 917-919 (1986).
- [16] B. Boulanger, J. P. Fève, G. Marnier, B. Ménaert, X. Cabirol, P. Villeval, and C. Bonnin, Relative sign and absolute magnitude of $d^{(2)}$ nonlinear coefficients of KTP from second-harmonic-generation measurements, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, **11**, 750-757 (1994).
- [17] M. V. Pack, D. J. Armstrong, and A. V. Smith, Measurements of the $\chi^{(2)}$ tensors of KTiOPO₄, KTiOAsO₄, RbTiOPO₄, and RbAsOPO₄ crystals, *Appl. Optics*, **43**, 3319-3323 (2004).
- [18] A. Agnesi, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, F. D. Valle, G. Galeazzi, G. Messineo, F. Pirzio, G. Reali, and G. Ruoso, A laser system for the parametric amplification of electromagnetic fields in a microwave cavity, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **82**, 115107 (2011).
- [19] S. T. Cundiff and J. Ye, Femtosecond optical frequency combs, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **75**, 325-342 (2003).
- [20] G. D. Boyd, T. J. Bridges, M. A. Pollack, and E. H. Turner, Microwave Nonlinear Susceptibilities due to Electronic and Ionic Anharmonicities in Acentric Crystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **26**, 387-390 (1971).
- [21] C. G. B. Garrett, Microwave Nonlinear Susceptibilities Due to Electronic and Ionic Anharmonicities in Acentric Crystals, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, **4**, 70-84 (1968).
- [22] R. C. Miller, Optical second harmonic generation in piezoelectric crystals, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **5**, 17-19 (1964).
- [23] A. H. Reshak, I. V. Kityk, and S. Auluck, Investigation of the Linear and Nonlinear Optical Susceptibilities of KTiOPO₄ Single Crystals: Theory and Experiment, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **114**, 16705-16712 (2010).
- [24] J. Millo, R. Boudot, M. Lours, P. Y. Bourgeois, A. N. Luiten, Y. L. Coq, Y. Kersalé, and G. Santarelli, Ultra-low-noise microwave extraction from fiber-based optical frequency comb, *Opt. Lett.*, **34**, 3707-3709 (2009).