

Propagation of a squeezed optical field in a medium with superluminal group velocity

Gleb Romanov, Travis Horrom, Irina Novikova, and Eugeny E. Mikhailov^{1,*}

¹The College of William & Mary, Williamsburg VA 23187 USA

We investigated the propagation of a squeezed optical field, generated via the polarization self-rotation (PSR) effect, with a sinusoidally-modulated degree of squeezing through an atomic medium with anomalous dispersion. We observed the advancement of the signal propagating through a resonant Rb vapor compared to the reference signal, propagating in air. The measured advancement time grew linearly with atomic density, reaching a maximum of $11 \pm 1 \mu\text{s}$, which corresponded to a negative group velocity of $v_g \approx -7,000 \text{ m/s}$. We also confirmed that the increasing advancement was accompanied by a reduction of output squeezing levels due to optical losses, in good agreement with theoretical predictions.

PACS numbers: 270.0270, 270.6570, 270.1670, 270.5530

Manipulations of the group velocity v_g of light using coherent interactions with resonant atoms and atom-like structures have received much attention due to their numerous applications in quantum information, radar steering, all-optical delay lines, etc [1, 2]. Numerous experiments have demonstrated that in a “slow light” medium (with group index $n_g = c/v_g > 1$) both coherent optical pulses and non-classical optical fields are similarly delayed. In particular, single-photon waveforms [3] and pulses of squeezed vacuum [4, 5] have been delayed via interactions with Rb atoms in EIT conditions. However, the propagation of a quantum optical field in a “fast light” medium ($n_g < 1$) raises some interesting fundamental questions, such as the speed of the information transfer via a superluminal quantum field [6–8]. Theoretical analysis has predicted that increasing signal advancements must be accompanied by an unavoidable decrease in the signal to noise ratio thus prevents superluminal information transfer.

Here we study the propagation of squeezed optical field through a ^{87}Rb vapor cell under fast light conditions due to a nonlinear magneto-optical interaction [9]. In these experiments, we let the sinusoidally-modulated minimum noise quadrature of a squeezed optical field interact with the Rb vapor, and then compare it with the identically modulated quantum field propagating in free space. An example of the measurement is shown in Fig. 1. Our measurements clearly demonstrate that the advancement of the quantum noise modulation is due to the interaction with the nonlinear medium. We observed an increasing time shift for both the front and back of the modulation envelope with increased atomic density, accompanied by higher incurred losses for the vacuum field in Rb vapor.

The modulated squeezed vacuum is produced in the first Rb vapor cell (squeezing cell) via the polarization self-rotation effect [10, 11]. This method can be qualitatively described using a simplified four-wave mixing process [12] shown in Fig. 2. Due to the difference in the transition matrix elements, the strong linearly polarized




FIG. 1. (i) Example of the modulated squeezed vacuum noise power of the bypass (a) and after interaction with Rb atoms (b). Zero corresponds to the averaged shot noise level. (ii) and (iii) show the zoom-ins of the averaged and normalized squeezing traces around the modulation zero crossing.

pump field Ω_{ω_0} couples the two hyperfine excited states ($|c\rangle$ and $|d\rangle$) with two orthogonal quantum superpositions of the ground state Zeeman sublevels ($|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$). The four-wave mixing process, enhanced by the long-lived ground-state Zeeman coherence, induces correlations between the originally independent quantum fluctuations of the orthogonally-polarized vacuum field $\alpha_{\omega_0 \pm \omega}$, resulting in quadrature squeezing at the detection frequency ω . Previous experiments have demonstrated the generation of $\leq 3 \text{ dB}$ of broadband low-frequency squeezed vacuum at several Rb optical resonance frequencies, using only a

* Corresponding author: eemikh@wm.edu

few mW of pump laser power [13–15].




FIG. 2. Simplified interaction scheme for PSR squeezing generation. Two states $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ represent the orthogonal superpositions of the ground-state Zeeman substates that are involved in the interactions of a linearly polarized pump optical field Ω_{ω_0} with the hyperfine excited states $|c\rangle$ and $|d\rangle$. Here ω_0 is the optical frequency of the pump field. Two optical fields that close the four-wave mixing loop, $\alpha_{\omega_0 \pm \omega}$, represent the quantum noise fluctuations of the orthogonally polarized vacuum field at the detection frequency ω .

The degree of squeezing can be reduced by applying a longitudinal magnetic field across the Rb cell, without significantly changing the orthogonal (anti-squeezed) quadrature and without rotating the noise ellipse [16]. This can be qualitatively explained using the four-wave mixing picture: the presence of the non-zero magnetic field couples the two ground-states $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$. This deteriorates (or destroys) their mutual coherence and thus eliminates the correlations between the noise sidebands responsible for squeezing.




FIG. 3. Experimental setup. See text for abbreviations.

The general layout for the experiment is shown in Fig. 3. We used an external cavity diode laser tuned and locked to the ^{87}Rb $5S_{1/2}F = 2 \rightarrow 5P_{1/2}F' = 1$ transition frequency using saturation absorption spectroscopy. We ensured a high quality of the spatial mode of the input laser beam by passing it through a single-mode optical fiber; its linear polarization was controlled using a high quality Glan polarizer (GP). Input laser power was 10 mW. Both “squeezing” and “interaction” vapor cells used in the experiments were 7.5 cm cylindrical Pyrex cells of identical geometry, mounted inside 3–layer magnetic shielding. The squeezing cell contained only isotopically enriched ^{87}Rb vapor. The interaction cell, in addition to ^{87}Rb , contained a small amount of Ne buffer gas (2.5 Torr).

The laser beam was focused inside the squeezing cell using a 30 cm lens (L1) to the minimum beam diameter of 100 μm , and then recollimated after the cell with the second lens L2 (focal length 40 cm) to the diameter 1.9 mm. The temperature of the squeezing cell was maintained at $(66 \pm 0.1)^\circ \text{C}$. To measure the noise quadratures of the output optical field, we employed a detection scheme [15] that used the strong orthogonally-polarized pump field as a local oscillator without separating it from the squeezed vacuum field. The relative phase of the polarizations was controlled by tilting a phase retardation plate (PRP) - a quarter-wave plate with its ordinary axis aligned along the pump field orientation. Then, the polarizations of both optical fields were rotated by 45° using a half-wave plate ($\lambda/2$), and evenly split for two inputs of the balanced photodetector (BPD) using a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS), with common noise rejection better than 30 dB. We then used a spectrum analyzer to measure the noise of the BPD output, and observed around 1.6 dB noise suppression below the shot noise in the range of detection frequencies from 200 kHz to 2 MHz. To experimentally determine the shot noise level, we used another polarizing beam-splitter (PBS) immediately after the squeezing cell, aligned to transmit the pump field and to reject the orthogonally-polarized squeezed vacuum, replacing it with a coherent vacuum.

To measure the group delay we followed the approach similar to previous experiments [17–19]; however, instead of monitoring the propagation time of a weak coherent optical probe field, we modulated the degree of squeezing by applying a time-varying magnetic field in the squeezing cell, and then compared the relative shift of the sinusoidal variation at 3 kHz in the quantum noise propagating through the Rb vapor (in the interaction cell) and in free space (bypass), as shown in Fig. 3. The modulation amplitude (between 0.8 and 1.5 dB below the shot noise) was chosen so that the noise level of the squeezed vacuum field stays below shot noise at all times. The rotation of the pump polarization due to nonlinear Faraday effect did not exceed 2.5 mrad. To detect the time dependence on the squeezed quadrature noise power, we utilized the spectrum analyzer as a narrow-band filter around the detection frequency of 500 kHz (with a resolution bandwidth of 30 kHz), and then monitored the video output of the spectrum analyzer on a digital oscilloscope. A sample noise measurement is shown in Fig. 1, with each trace consisting of 10^6 averages.

The collimated output of the squeezing cell, containing both strong pump field and squeezed vacuum in two orthogonal polarizations, was directed through the interaction cell. No squeezing occurred in this cell due to its lower atomic density (temperature) and much lower average laser intensity in the unfocused beam. At the same time, a larger beam size and the presence of the buffer gas increased time-of-flight of atoms through the laser beam. We can gain some information about the dispersion properties in the interaction cell by measuring its nonlinear magneto-optical rotation (NMOR) signal [9],

since the polarization rotation angle ϕ of the linearly polarized optical field is proportional to the magnetically-induced circular birefringence of the atomic medium:

$$\phi = \frac{L}{2c}\omega_0 [n_+(B) - n_-(B)] \simeq \frac{L}{c}\omega_0 \left(\frac{\partial n_{\pm}}{\partial \omega} \right)_{B=0} g\mu_B B, \quad (1)$$

where $\partial n_{\pm}/\partial \omega|_{B=0}$ is the dispersion for the two circular components for zero magnetic field B , L is the length of the atomic medium, μ_B is Bohr magneton, and g is the gyromagnetic ratio. In the presence of velocity-changing coherence-preserving collisions with a buffer gas, a typical NMOR rotation spectrum, shown in Fig. 4, clearly indicates two interaction time scales. The broader rotation slope (characteristic width of approximately 2 MHz) is due to the transient time-limited interaction of light with one optical transition, connected to the slow light propagation [17]. The narrower feature is due to the atomic diffusion and velocity-changing collisions, resulting in the repeated coherent interactions of light with the atoms via the both Doppler-broadened excited state hyperfine components, $F' = 1$ and $F' = 2$ [20]. Such interaction gives rise to the polarization rotation in the opposite direction (compared to the transient effect), indicating an anomalous dispersion with expected superluminal signal propagation. Indeed, the negative dispersion $\frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial \omega} < 0$ results in the advancement time Δt_a for a weak probe field propagation:

$$\Delta t_a = \frac{L}{c} - \frac{L}{v_g} \approx \frac{L}{c} \left| \omega_0 \frac{\partial n_{\alpha}}{\partial \omega} \right|. \quad (2)$$

To accurately calculate the dispersion for the broadband quantum noise, one has to consider an interaction system, similar to that in Fig. 2, which is beyond the scope of this work. Yet, we can use the NMOR spectrum to qualitatively explain the observed advancement for the modulated quantum noise propagation.




FIG. 4. Example polarization rotation signal as a function of longitudinal magnetic field B in the interaction cell. Only the central part of the wide rotation feature is visible (as an overall positive trend), and the region near zero magnetic field is characterized by the negative slope due to the narrower rotation feature. The laser power is 9.5 mW at the entrance of the interaction cell.

The typical averaged quantum noise signals before and after interaction with Rb vapor are shown in Fig. 1.

While the quantum noise modulation after the interaction cell is degraded due to inevitable optical loss, it always stays squeezed, and its shape is well preserved. The data shown corresponds to the maximum measured advancement of $\Delta t_a = 11 \pm 1 \mu\text{s}$ for the interaction cell temperature of $(50.0 \pm 0.1)^\circ\text{C}$ (corresponding to atomic density $1.05 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [21]). However, it is hard to directly observe the time difference between the two traces, due to the small value of the fractional delay (limited by the slow modulation period of $> 300 \mu\text{s}$), as well as due to the difference in the squeezing level due to absorption. To demonstrate the relative advancement more clearly, Fig. 1(ii and iii) shows the normalized modulation signals. The solid curves are the averages of four independent measurements, and the dotted curves represent two standard deviation boundaries. It is easy to see that the advancement is present both on the leading and trailing fronts of one modulation period for the light traveling through the interaction cell as compared with the bypass. We also observed that the detected time difference was not very sensitive to small variations of the local oscillator phase.




FIG. 5. Measured advancement Δt_a of the modulated squeezed vacuum as a function of the atomic density. The pump laser power before squeezing cell is 10 mW. Each point represents a time difference extracted from fitting the input and output signals with the sine function. The uncertainties of the individual fits are too small to see.

To verify that the observed advancement of the modulated quantum noise was due to the interaction with atoms, we repeated the measurements varying the temperature of the interaction cell. For each temperature, we collected several traces to average over day-to-day environmental drifts. Fig. 5 clearly shows that the observed pulse advancement increases roughly linearly with atomic density (the dashed line represents a linear fit). However, as expected, the squeezing transmitted through the interaction Rb cell deteriorated at higher cell temperature due to increased optical losses.

Boyd et al. [8] calculated a simple relationship between the pulse advance Δt_a and the noise figure F , that is defined as a change in the signal-to-noise ratios before and after the interaction cell, for a simple absorptive reso-




FIG. 6. The noise figure F , based on estimated transmission of the squeezed vacuum through the interaction Rb cell, and estimated using Eq.(3) from the measured average group delay, shown in Fig. 5.

nance of the width γ as:

$$F = e^{2\gamma\Delta t_a} \quad (3)$$

Fig. 6 shows this predicted average noise figure as a function of atomic density given our measured advancements (Δt_a) and the approximate resonance width of $\gamma \approx 2\pi \times 5$ kHz (from Fig. 4). We compare this to the measured noise figure $F = 1/T$, as defined in Ref. [8]. Here T is the transmission coefficient for the squeezed vacuum through the interaction cell, estimated from the

experimental data using a beam-splitter model, namely:

$$\hat{a}_{out} = \sqrt{T}\hat{a}_{in} + \sqrt{1-T^2}\hat{u}, \quad (4)$$

where the operators \hat{a}_{in} and \hat{a}_{out} represent the input and output optical signal fields, and \hat{u} corresponds to the coherent vacuum mode. This equation fits the experimentally measured squeezed noise quadratures for input and output reasonably well. Two points are excluded from Fig. 6 due to some uncertainty in the local oscillator phase, which affected the detected levels of squeezing (but not the detected time difference). Even with the limited number of experimental points, it is clear that the increasing advancement in the modulated quantum noise is followed by an increasing noise figure, and a simple model in [8] is in reasonably good qualitative agreement with the experimental data.

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the transmission of the squeezed vacuum through a resonant Rb vapor, in which negative dispersion was produced via inducing a long-lived Zeeman coherence. We observed that the modulated quantum noise exits the cell earlier than the analogous signal traveling in free space, indicating superluminal propagation. The amount of the advancement increased linearly with the density of atoms. The largest measured advancement ($11 \pm 1 \mu\text{s}$) corresponds to a negative group velocity of $\approx 7,000$ m/s. The increased advancement was accompanied by the deterioration of squeezing due to optical losses, and the measured increase in the noise figure was in the good qualitative agreement with the theoretical predictions of Ref. [8] and recent experiments with the bright two-mode squeezed twin beams in a “fast light” atomic medium [22, 23]. This research was supported by AFOSR grant FA9550-13-1-0098.

-
- [1] Robert W. Boyd and Daniel J. Gauthier, “Controlling the velocity of light pulses,” *Science* **326**, 1074–1077 (2009).
- [2] Peter W Milonni, *Fast Light, Slow Light and Left-Handed Light*, 1st ed. (IOP Publishing Ltd, London, UK, 2005).
- [3] M. D. Eisaman, A. André, F. Massou, M. Fleischhauer, A. S. Zibrov, and M. D. Lukin, “Electromagnetically induced transparency with tunable single-photon pulses,” *Nature* **438**, 837–841 (2005).
- [4] Daisuke Akamatsu, Yoshihiko Yokoi, Manabu Arikawa, Satoshi Nagatsuka, Takahito Tanimura, Akira Furusawa, and Mikio Kozuma, “Ultraslow propagation of squeezed vacuum pulses with electromagnetically induced transparency,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 153602 (2007).
- [5] Jürgen Appel, Eden Figueroa, Dmitry Korystov, M. Lobino, and A. I. Lvovsky, “Quantum memory for squeezed light,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 093602 (2008).
- [6] Yakir Aharonov, Benni Reznik, and Ady Stern, “Quantum limitations on superluminal propagation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 2190–2193 (1998).
- [7] A. Kuzmich, A. Dogariu, L. J. Wang, P. W. Milonni, and R. Y. Chiao, “Signal velocity, causality, and quantum noise in superluminal light pulse propagation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3925–3929 (2001).
- [8] Robert W Boyd, Zhimin Shi, and Peter W Milonni, “Noise properties of propagation through slow- and fast-light media,” *Journal of Optics* **12**, 104007 (2010).
- [9] D. Budker, W. Gawlik, D.F. Kimball, S.M. Rochester, V.V. Yashchuk, and A. Weis, “Resonant nonlinear magneto-optical effects in atoms,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 1153–1201 (2002).
- [10] A. B. Matsko, I. Novikova, G. R. Welch, D. Budker, D. F. Kimball, and S. M. Rochester, “Vacuum squeezing in atomic media via self-rotation,” *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 043815 (2002).
- [11] J. Ries, B. Brezger, and A. I. Lvovsky, “Experimental vacuum squeezing in rubidium vapor via self-rotation,” *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 025801 (2003).
- [12] Eugeniy E. Mikhailov, Arturo Lezama, Thomas W. Noel, and Irina Novikova, “Vacuum squeezing via polarization self-rotation and excess noise in hot Rb vapors,” *Journal of Modern Optics* **56**, 1985–1992 (2009), [arXiv:0903.3156](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3156).

- [13] Eugeny E. Mikhailov and Irina Novikova, “Low-frequency vacuum squeezing via polarization self-rotation in Rb vapor,” *Opt. Lett.* **33**, 1213–1215 (2008), [arXiv:0802.1558](#).
- [14] Imad H. Agha, Gaétan Messin, and Philippe Grangier, “Generation of pulsed and continuous-wave squeezed light with ^{87}Rb vapor,” *Opt. Express* **18**, 4198–4205 (2010).
- [15] S. Barreiro, P. Valente, H. Failache, and A. Lezama, “Polarization squeezing of light by single passage through an atomic vapor,” *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 033851 (2011).
- [16] Travis Horrom, Irina Novikova, and Eugeny E Mikhailov, “All-atomic source of squeezed vacuum with full pulse-shape control,” *J. Phys. B* **45**, 124015 (2012), [arXiv:1201.4372](#).
- [17] D. Budker, D. F. Kimball, S. M. Rochester, and V. V. Yashchuk, “Nonlinear magneto-optics and reduced group velocity of light in atomic vapor with slow ground state relaxation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1767–1770 (1999).
- [18] L. J. Wang, A. Kuzmich, and A. Dogariu, “Gain-assisted superluminal light propagation,” *Nature* **406**, 277–279 (2000).
- [19] E. E. Mikhailov, V. A. Sautenkov, Y. V. Rostovtsev, and G. R. Welch, “Absorption resonance and large negative delay in Rb vapor with buffer gas,” *JOSA B* **21**, 425–428 (2004), [arXiv:quant-ph/0309151](#).
- [20] Irina Novikova, Andrey B. Matsko, and George R. Welch, “Influence of a buffer gas on nonlinear magneto-optical polarization rotation,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **22**, 44–56 (2005).
- [21] Daniel A. Steck, “Rubidium 87 D line data,” (2010).
- [22] Ulrich Vogl, Ryan T. Glasser, and Paul D. Lett, “Advanced detection of information in optical pulses with negative group velocity,” *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 031806 (2012).
- [23] Ulrich Vogl, Ryan T. Glasser, Jeremy B. Clark, Quentin Glorieux, Tian Li, Neil V. Corzo, and Paul D. Lett, “Advanced quantum noise,” (2013), [arXiv:1305.6614](#).