## CELL DECOMPOSITIONS OF DOUBLE BOTT-SAMELSON VARIETIES

VICTOR MOUQUIN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Webster and Yakimov have constructed partitions of the double flag variety  $G/B \times G/B_-$ , where  $(B, B_-)$  is a pair of opposite Borel subgroups of G, generalizing the Deodhar decompositions of G/B. We show that these partitions can be better understood by constructing cell decompositions of a product of two Bott-Samelson varieties  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ , where **u** and **v** are sequences of simple reflections. We construct coordinates on each cell of the decompositions and in the case of a positive subexpression, we relate these coordinates to regular functions on a particular open subset of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ .

The geometry of the cell decompositions of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  will be used in a forthcoming article about a Poisson structure on  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group and B a Borel subgroup of G. In [5], V. Deodhar defined a family of decompositions of the flag variety G/B into pieces each of which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$  for some integers  $k, m \ge 0$ . The Deodhar decomposition has been used to study the Kazdan-Lusztig polynomials associated to G and total positivity in G/B (see [5, 13]). Let  $B_-$  be a Borel subgroup of G opposite to B and consider the *double flag variety* 

$$(G \times G)/(B \times B_{-}) \cong G/B \times G/B_{-}.$$

In [16], Webster and Yakimov generalised the decompositions of Deodhar to the double flag variety and showed that each piece of the decompositions is coisotropic with respect to a naturally defined Poisson structure on  $G/B \times G/B_{-}$ .

The Deodhar decomposition of G/B can be better understood through a natural cell decomposition on Bott-Samelson varieties (see [6, 9]). Motivated by the problem of better understanding the decompositions by Webster-Yakimov, we introduce *double Bott-Samelson varieties*  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ , where  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  are any two sequences of simple reflections in the Weyl group W of G. We construct cell decompositions of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  which give rise to the Deodhar-type decompositions of  $G/B \times G/B_-$  by Webster-Yakimov when  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are reduced. Coordinates are constructed on each piece of the decompositions, and these coordinates will be used in an upcoming article to study a naturally defined Poisson structure on  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ .

1.1. Cell decompositions of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  associated to shuffles. Throughout this article, if K is a Lie group, Q a closed subgroup of K, and  $K_1, ..., K_n$  submanifolds of K invariant under left and right multiplications by elements in Q, then

$$K_1 \times_Q \cdots \times_Q K_n/Q$$

denotes the quotient of  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$  by the right action of  $Q^n$  defined by

(1) 
$$(k_1, ..., k_n) \cdot (q_1, ..., q_n) = (k_1 q_1, q_1^{-1} k_2 q_2, ..., q_{n-1}^{-1} k_n q_n), \quad k_i \in K_i, q_j \in Q.$$

This article consists of the first part of the author's doctoral thesis.

For two sequences  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  of simple reflections in the Weyl group W of G, let  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $Z_{-\mathbf{v}}$  be the Bott-Samelson varieties

$$Z_{\mathbf{u}} = P_{s_1} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{s_l}/B, \quad Z_{-\mathbf{v}} = P_{-s_{l+1}} \times_{B_-} \cdots \times_{B_-} P_{-s_n}/B_-,$$

where  $P_s = B \cup BsB$  and  $P_{-s} = B_- \cup B_-sB_-$  for a simple reflection s. Let

$$Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = Z_{\mathbf{u}} \times Z_{-\mathbf{v}}$$

and call  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  the *double Bott-Samelson variety* associated to  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$ . The multiplication in  $G \times G$  induces a morphism (see (8))

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}: \ Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \to G/B \times G/B_{-}$$

Of particular interest is the open subset

(2) 
$$\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = (Bs_1B \times_B \cdots \times_B Bs_lB/B) \times (B_-s_{l+1}B_- \times_{B_-} \cdots \times_{B_-} B_-s_nB_-/B_-)$$

of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ . When  $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}$  are reduced,  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  induces an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  and the product Schubert cell

$$(Bs_1 \cdots s_l B)/B \times (B_{-}s_{l+1} \cdots s_n B_{-})/B_{-} \subset G/B \times G/B_{-}$$

and thus also a birational isomorphism between  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  and the product Schubert variety

$$\overline{(Bs_1\cdots s_l B)/B} \times \overline{(B_-s_{l+1}\cdots s_n B_-)/B_-} \subset G/B \times G/B_-$$

One of our aims is to demonstrate that double Bott-Samelson varieties not only provide resolutions of singularities of Schubert varieties, they also have interesting geometry of their own.

Recall that an (l, n)-shuffle is an element of the symmetric group  $S_n$  such that

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(l), \qquad \sigma(l+1) < \sigma(l+2) < \dots < \sigma(n).$$

Let  $\sigma$  be an (l, n)-shuffle. By a  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  we mean a sequence  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_n)$ , where for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\gamma_j = s_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}$  or e, the identity element of W. Let  $\Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  be the set of all  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ . Our first construction, presented in Section 3, is a decomposition

(3) 
$$Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C_{\sigma}^{\gamma}$$

associated to each (l, n)-shuffle  $\sigma$ , where for each  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$ ,

$$C^{\gamma} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n-|J(\gamma)|}$$

for a subset  $J(\gamma)$  of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  associated to  $\gamma$ . More precisely, for each (l, n)-shuffle  $\sigma$ , we define an embedding of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  into the quotient variety

(4) 
$$DF_n = (G \times G) \times_{B \times B_-} \cdots \times_{B \times B_-} (G \times G)/(B \times B_-).$$

Let  $Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  be the image of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  in  $DF_n$ . Using certain combinatorial data associated to points in  $DF_n$  we first arrive at the set-theoretical decomposition

(5) 
$$Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C^{\gamma}$$

We introduce an open affine covering  $\{\mathcal{O}^{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}\}$  of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$  and coordinates  $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n\}$ on each  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$ , and we then show that the combinatorially defined set  $C^{\gamma}$  coincides with the subset of  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$  defined by  $z_j = 0$  for  $j \in J(\gamma)$ .

The image of  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \subset Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  under the embedding of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  into  $DF_n$  is  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , where  $\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = (s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, s_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, ..., s_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ . The decomposition in (5) then gives rise to the decomposition

(6) 
$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$$

where  $\Upsilon^d_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  denotes the set of *distinguished*  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions of  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  (see Definition 3.21). We show that for each  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ ,

$$C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \cong \mathbb{C}^k \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$$

for some integers  $k, m \ge 0$  (see Proposition 3.25). When **u** and **v** are reduced, we show in Section 3.8 that the decomposition in (6) corresponds to that of

$$(Bs_1 \cdots s_l B)/B \times (B_- s_{l+1} \cdots s_n B_-)/B_- \subset G/B \times G/B_-$$

by Webster and Yakimov under the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \cong (Bs_1 \cdots s_l B)/B \times (B_- s_{l+1} \cdots s_n B_-)/B_-$$

As in [16] for the case when **u** and **v** are reduced, for each  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , we describe in Section 4 the subset  $C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  of  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  using a set of regular functions  $\{\psi_{\gamma,j}\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$  on  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  which are defined in terms of generalized minors [8]. When  $\gamma$  is *positive* (see Definition 3.11), we show that the coordinate functions  $\{z_j \mid j \notin J(\gamma)\}$  on  $C^{\gamma}$  and the regular functions  $\psi_{\gamma,j}$  are related by monomial transformations.

1.2. General notation. If an upper case letter denotes a Lie group, its Lie algebra will be denoted by the corresponding lower case gothic letter.

The identity element in any group will be denoted by e.

For integers  $k \leq n$ , we denote by [k, n] the set of integers j such that  $k \leq j \leq n$ .

If a set X has a right action by a group L, and  $p: X \to Y = X/L$  is the projection, we write  $[x]_Y \in Y$  for  $x \in X$ .

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank gratefully Jiang-Hua Lu for her help and encouragements. This work was completed while the author was supported by a University of Hong Kong Post-graduate Studentship and a grant from the department of Mathematics of the University of Hong Kong.

## 2. Lie theory background

Here we set up the notation from Lie theory, recall the definition of Bott-Samelson varieties and basic facts about the double flag variety of a complex semisimple Lie group.

#### VICTOR MOUQUIN

2.1. Notation. Recall that G is a connected complex semisimple Lie group, and that we have fixed a pair  $(B, B_{-})$  of opposite Borel subgroups. Let  $H = B \cap B_{-}$  be the maximal torus defined by B and  $B_{-}$ . Let N and  $N_{-}$  be respectively the unipotent radicals of B and  $B_{-}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the root system defined by H, and let  $\Delta_{+}$  and  $\Gamma$  be respectively the sets of positive and simple roots defined by B. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  be the root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a fixed nonzero multiple of the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that the restriction of  $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  is nondegenerate and defines a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , which will be denoted by  $\langle, \rangle$ . For  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ , let  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$  be the unique element in  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$  such that  $\alpha(h_{\alpha}) = 2$ . Fix root vectors  $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\phi_{\alpha} : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e_{\alpha}$$
, and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e_{-\alpha}$ .

The corresponding Lie group homomorphism from  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  to G will also be denoted by  $\phi_{\alpha}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$x_{\alpha}(z) = \phi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{-\alpha}(z) = \phi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$
$$\bar{s}_{\alpha} = \phi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $N_G(H)$  be the normaliser subgroup of H in G, and let  $W = N_G(H)/H$  be the Weyl group of G. Let  $S = \{s_\alpha = \bar{s}_\alpha H \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . It is well known that the pair (W, S) forms a Coxeter system. In particular, W is generated by the simple reflections  $s_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . We denote the action of W on H by conjugation as a right action by  $h^w = \dot{w}^{-1}h\dot{w}$ , where  $h \in H$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $\dot{w}$  is any representative of w.

Let  $X^*(H) = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and  $X_*(H) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, H)$  be respectively the lattices of characters and co-characters of H. We write the action of  $\lambda \in X^*(H)$  on H by  $h^{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $h \in H$ . There is a natural embedding of  $X^*(H)$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $X_*(H)$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and the natural pairing  $(,) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$ restricts to a perfect pairing of free abelian groups between  $X^*(H)$  and  $X_*(H)$  which we also denote by (,). Recall that for  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  the coroot  $\check{\alpha} \in X_*(H)$  is given by

$$\check{\alpha}(z) = \phi_{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{cc} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{array} \right), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

An element  $x \in N_-HN$  can be uniquely written  $x = [x]_-[x]_0[x]_+$ , with  $[x]_- \in N_-$ ,  $[x]_0 \in H$ , and  $[x]_+ \in N$ .

When G is simply connected, denote by  $\lambda_{\alpha} \in X^*(H)$  the fundamental weight associated to  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Recall that  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  is defined by  $(\lambda_{\alpha}, \check{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

The Weyl group W of G is naturally endowed with a partial ordering  $\leq$ , called the *Bruhat* order, defined by

$$v \leq w$$
, if  $BvB \subset \overline{BwB}$ ,  $v, w \in W$ ,

where  $\overline{BuB}$  is the Zariski closure of BuB in  $G, u \in W$ . For  $w \in W$ , let l(w) be the smallest integer such that w can be written as a product of simple reflections. The function  $l: W \to \mathbb{N}$ 

5

is called the *length function* of W. If  $w = s_1 \cdots s_{l(w)}$ , with  $s_j \in S$ , one calls the finite sequence  $(s_1, ..., s_{l(w)})$  a reduced expression for w. Let R(w) be the set of all reduced expressions for w. If  $(s_1, ..., s_k) \in R(w)$ , define  $\bar{w} = \bar{s}_1 \cdots \bar{s}_k \in N_G(H)$ . It is well known (see [15, Proposition 9.3.2]) that  $\bar{w}$  does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression. Let  $v, w \in W$ . If  $(s_1, ..., s_k)$  is a reduced expression for w, then  $v \leq w$  if and only if  $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ , where  $(i_1 < \cdots < i_l)$  is a subsequence of (1, ..., k).

Recall that a *monoid* is a pair (M, \*), where M is a set, and  $*: M \times M \to M$  a binary operation which is associative, and admits an identity element  $e \in M$ . The Weyl group has a natural monoid product \*, given by

$$s_{\alpha} * w = \max\{s_{\alpha}w, w\} = \begin{cases} s_{\alpha}w, & \text{if } w < s_{\alpha}w \\ w, & \text{if } s_{\alpha}w < w, \end{cases} \quad \alpha \in \Gamma, w \in W,$$

and

 $u * w = s_1 * (s_2 * (\cdots s_l * w)), \ u, w \in W,$ 

where  $(s_1, ..., s_l)$  is any reduced expression for u.

In [10] two operations on W are introduced. For  $w \in W$  and a simple root  $s_{\alpha}$ , define

$$s_{\alpha} \triangleright w = \min\{s_{\alpha}w, w\} = \begin{cases} s_{\alpha}w, & \text{if } s_{\alpha}w < w\\ w, & \text{if } w < s_{\alpha}w, \end{cases}$$

and

$$w \triangleleft s_{\alpha} = \min\{ws_{\alpha}, w\} = \begin{cases} ws_{\alpha}, & \text{if } ws_{\alpha} < w \\ w, & \text{if } w < ws_{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

For  $u \in W$  define

$$u \rhd w = s_1 \rhd (s_2 \rhd (\cdots s_n \rhd w)),$$
$$w \triangleleft u = ((w \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2) \cdots \triangleleft s_n,$$

where  $(s_1, ..., s_n)$  is a reduced expression for u. These two definitions are independent of the choice of the reduced expression for u. The operation  $\triangleleft$  (resp.  $\triangleright$ ) is a right (resp. left) monoidal action of (W, \*) on W. See [10] for more details.

2.2. Bott-Samelson varieties. Bott-Samelson varieties have their origins in the papers [3] of Bott-Samelson and [4] of Demazure. For more details, see [1, 2]. For any integer  $n \ge 1$ , let

(7) 
$$F_n = G \times_B \cdots \times_B G/B, \ F_{-n} = G \times_{B_-} \cdots \times_{B_-} G/B_-.$$

Recall that if s is a simple reflection, then  $P_s = B \cup BsB$ , and  $P_{-s} = B_- \cup B_-sB_-$ . Let  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  be a sequence of simple reflections. Then one has

$$Z_{\mathbf{u}} = P_{s_1} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{s_l} / B \subset F_l,$$
$$Z_{-\mathbf{u}} = P_{-s_1} \times_{B_-} \cdots \times_{B_-} P_{-s_l} / B_- \subset F_{-l}.$$

Both  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $Z_{-\mathbf{u}}$  are smooth projective varieties of complex dimension l. Let  $\theta_{\mathbf{u}} : Z_{\mathbf{u}} \to G/B$ and  $\theta_{-\mathbf{u}} : Z_{-\mathbf{u}} \to G/B_{-}$  be the multiplication maps

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u}}([p_1, ..., p_l]_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}}) = p_1 \cdots p_l . B,$$
  
$$\theta_{-\mathbf{u}}([p_{-1}, ..., p_{-l}]_{Z_{-\mathbf{u}}}) = p_{-1} \cdots p_{-l} . B_{-},$$

where  $p_i \in P_{s_i}$  and  $p_{-i} \in P_{-s_i}$ . The image of  $\theta_{\mathbf{u}}$  is the Schubert variety  $\overline{BuB/B}$ , where  $u = s_1 * \cdots * s_l$ . If  $(s_1, ..., s_l) \in R(u)$ , then it is well known that

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u}}: Z_{\mathbf{u}} \to \overline{BuB/B}$$

is a proper, surjective, birational isomorphism.

### 2.3. The double flag variety and double Bott-Samelson varieties. The double flag variety

$$DF_1 = (G \times G)/(B \times B_-) \cong G/B \times G/B_-$$

has the natural left  $G \times G$  action given by

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot (h_1.B, h_2.B_-) = (g_1h_1.B, g_2h_2.B_-), g_i, h_i \in G.$$

Denote by  $G_{\text{diag}}$  the diagonal subgroup of  $G \times G$ , and for any  $w \in W$ , let  $G_{\text{diag}}(w)$  be the  $G_{\text{diag}}$ -orbit passing through the point  $(wB, B_{-})$ . It is well known that the map  $w \mapsto G_{\text{diag}}(w)$  gives a parametrization of the  $G_{\text{diag}}$ -orbits in  $DF_1$  by W. Identifying  $G_{\text{diag}}$  with G, the stabilizer subgroup of  $(wB, B_{-})$  is  $B_{-} \cap wBw^{-1}$ . Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(G_{\operatorname{diag}}(w)) = |\Delta| - l(w).$$

For  $h_1, h_2 \in G$ ,  $(h_1.B, h_2.B_-)$  lies in  $G_{\text{diag}}(w)$  if and only if  $h_2^{-1}h_1 \in B_-wB$ .

For  $u, v \in W$ , let  $\mathcal{O}^{u,v}$  be the orbit of  $B \times B_-$  passing through the point  $(uB, vB_-)$ . The map  $(u, v) \mapsto \mathcal{O}^{u,v}$  is a parametrization of the  $B \times B_-$  orbits in  $DF_1$  by  $W \times W$ . One has

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}^{u,v}) = l(u) + l(v).$$

For  $u, v, w \in W$ , define  $\mathcal{O}_w^{u,v} = \mathcal{O}^{u,v} \cap G_{\text{diag}}(w)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [16] Let  $u, v, w \in W$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_w^{u,v}$  is nonempty if and only if  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ .

If  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  are two sequences of simple reflections, recall that we have defined the double Bott Samelson variety associated to  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  as

$$Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = Z_{\mathbf{u}} \times Z_{-\mathbf{v}}.$$

Let  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}: Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \to DF_1$  be the map defined by

(8) 
$$\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}([p_1,...,p_l]_{Z_{\mathbf{u}}},[p_{-(l+1)},...,p_{-n}]_{Z_{-\mathbf{v}}}) = (p_1\cdots p_l.B,p_{-(l+1)}\cdots p_{-n}.B_{-})$$

where  $p_i \in P_{s_i}$ , and  $p_{-j} \in P_{-s_i}$ . The image of  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  is the product Schubert cell

$$\overline{Bs_1 * \cdots * s_l B/B} \times \overline{B_{-}s_{l+1} * \cdots * s_n B_{-}/B_{-}}.$$

If **u** and **v** are reduced, then  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \mid_{\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}} : \mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \to \mathcal{O}^{u,v},$$

where  $u = s_1 \cdots s_l$ ,  $v = s_{l+1} \cdots s_n$ , and  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  has been defined in (2).

### 3. Affine charts and cell decompositions of $Z_{u,v}$ associated to shuffles

If  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  are two sequences of simple reflections, we construct for any (l, n)-shuffle an embedding of  $Z_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}$  into  $DF_n$ . Using some combinatorial data associated to points in  $DF_n$ , we give a set-theoretic decomposition

$$Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C^{\gamma},$$

of the image  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$  of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  in  $DF_n$ . We then cover  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$  with  $2^n$  open subsets each of which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and use this covering to prove that each  $C^{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^k$ , for some  $k \in [1, n]$ .

In Section 3.8, we discuss the relation between our decompositions of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  and the Deodhartype decompositions of  $G/B \times G/B_{-}$  constructed in [16].

### 3.1. Double flag varieties $DF_n$ . Let $n \ge 1$ and recall

$$DF_n = (G \times G) \times_{B \times B_-} \cdots \times_{B \times B_-} (G \times G) / (B \times B_-)$$

from (4). Then  $DF_n$  is naturally isomorphic to  $F_n \times F_{-n}$  via the isomorphism

(9) 
$$\varphi_n : DF_n \to F_n \times F_{-n}, \ [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_n, h_n)]_{DF_n} \mapsto ([g_1, ..., g_n]_{F_n}, [h_1, ..., h_n]_{F_{-n}}).$$

Moreover,  $DF_n$  is naturally endowed with a map to  $DF_1$ ,

(10) 
$$\theta_n : DF_n \to DF_1, \ [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_n, h_n)]_{DF_n} \mapsto (g_1 \cdots g_n . B, h_1 \cdots h_n . B_-),$$

and for  $j \in [1, n-1]$ , one has the projection

(11) 
$$\rho_{j,n}: DF_n \to DF_j, \ [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_n, h_n)]_{DF_n} \mapsto [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_j, h_j)]_{DF_j}$$

Let  $x \in DF_n$ . Let  $w_n(x)$  be the unique Weyl group element such that  $\theta_n(x) \in G_{\text{diag}}(w_n)$ , and for  $j \in [1, n - 1]$ , denote by  $w_j(x) \in W$  the unique element such that  $\theta_j(\rho_{j,n}(x)) \in G_{\text{diag}}(w_j)$ . One thus obtains a map

(12) 
$$\Phi_n: DF_n \to W^r$$

by sending x to  $\Phi_n(x) = (w_1(x), ..., w_n(x)).$ 

# 3.2. Embeddings of $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ into $DF_n$ using shuffles.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $n \ge 1$  and  $n \ge l \ge 0$  be integers and denote by  $S_{l,n}$  the set of all (l, n)-shuffles. To any  $\sigma \in S_{l,n}$  we associate a sequence

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (\epsilon(\sigma)_1, ..., \epsilon(\sigma)_n)$$

of elements in  $\{1, -1\}$  by setting

$$\epsilon(\sigma)_{\sigma(j)} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } j \in [1, l] \\ 1, & \text{if } j \in [l+1, n] \end{cases}$$

For notational simplicity, once  $\sigma$  is fixed, we will write  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n)$  instead of  $\epsilon(\sigma) = (\epsilon(\sigma)_1, ..., \epsilon(\sigma)_n)$ . Note that there is a one to one correspondence between  $S_{l,n}$  and the set of sequences  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$ , with -1 appearing exactly l times.

### VICTOR MOUQUIN

Let  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  be two sequences of simple reflections and let  $\sigma \in S_{l,n}$ . Define the sequence

(13) 
$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_n)$$

by letting  $\delta_{\sigma(j)} = s_j, j \in [1, n].$ 

Let  $\sigma$  be an (l, n)-shuffle. Define maps  $\mu_{\sigma}^-: G^n \to G^l$  and  $\mu_{\sigma}^+: G^n \to G^{n-l}$  by

(14) 
$$\mu_{\sigma}^{-}(g_1, ..., g_n) = (g_1 \cdots g_{\sigma(1)}, g_{\sigma(1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(2)}, ..., g_{\sigma(l-1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(l)}) \mu_{\sigma}^{+}(g_1, ..., g_n) = (g_1 \cdots g_{\sigma(l+1)}, g_{\sigma(l+1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(l+2)}, ..., g_{\sigma(n-1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Both  $\mu_{\sigma}^-$  and  $\mu_{\sigma}^+$  descend to maps  $[\mu_{\sigma}^-]: F_n \to F_l$  and  $[\mu_{\sigma}^+]: F_{-n} \to F_{-(n-l)}$  given by

$$[\mu_{\sigma}^{-}]([g_1, ..., g_n]_{F_n}) = [g_1 \cdots g_{\sigma(1)}, g_{\sigma(1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(2)}, ..., g_{\sigma(l-1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(l)}]_{F_l}$$

$$(15) \qquad [\mu_{\sigma}^{+}]([g_1, ..., g_n]_{F_{-n}}) = [g_1 \cdots g_{\sigma(l+1)}, g_{\sigma(l+1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(l+2)}, ..., g_{\sigma(n-1)+1} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)}]_{F_{-(n-l)}}.$$

For  $j \in [1, n]$ , define

(16) 
$$G_j^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} G \times B_-, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1 \\ B \times G, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

(17) 
$$F_{l,n}^{\sigma} = G_1^{\sigma} \times_{B \times B_-} \cdots \times_{B \times B_-} G_n^{\sigma} / (B \times B_-) \subset DF_n$$

For each  $j \in [1, n]$ , let  $G_{j,L}^{\sigma}$  and  $G_{j,R}^{\sigma}$  be respectively the first and second component of  $G_j^{\sigma}$ . Then the diffeomorphism  $\varphi_n$ , recall (9), restricts to a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi_n \mid_{F_{l,n}^{\sigma}} : F_{l,n}^{\sigma} \to F_L^{\sigma} \times F_R^{\sigma},$$

where

$$F_L^{\sigma} = G_{1,L}^{\sigma} \times_B \cdots \times_B G_{n,L}^{\sigma} / B \subset F_n, \quad F_R^{\sigma} = G_{1,R}^{\sigma} \times_{B_-} \cdots \times_{B_-} G_{n,R}^{\sigma} / B_- \subset F_{-n}$$

By composing with  $[\mu_{\sigma}^{-}] \times [\mu_{\sigma}^{+}]$ , one obtains the diffeomorphism

(18) 
$$\varphi^{\sigma} = \left( \left[ \mu_{\sigma}^{-} \right] \times \left[ \mu_{\sigma}^{+} \right] \right) \circ \left( \varphi_{n} \mid_{F_{l,n}^{\sigma}} \right) \colon F_{l,n}^{\sigma} \to F_{l} \times F_{-(n-l)}.$$

Explicitly,  $\varphi^{\sigma}$  is given by

$$\varphi^{\sigma}([(p_1, q_1), ..., (p_n, q_n)]_{DF_n}) = \left( [(p_1 \cdots p_{\sigma(1)}, p_{\sigma(1)+1} \cdots p_{\sigma(2)}, ..., p_{\sigma(l-1)+1} \cdots p_{\sigma(l)}]_{F_l} \right)$$
$$[q_1 \cdots q_{\sigma(l+1)}, q_{\sigma(l+1)+1} \cdots q_{\sigma(l+2)}, ..., q_{\sigma(n-1)+1} \cdots q_{\sigma(n)}]_{F_{-(n-l)}} \right),$$

where  $(p_j, q_j) \in G_j^{\sigma}$ .

Now, let  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  be two sequences of simple reflections and let  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_n)$ . For  $j \in [1, n]$  define

(19) 
$$P_{j}^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} P_{\delta_{j}} \times B_{-}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1\\ B \times P_{-\delta_{j}}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1, \end{cases}$$

and let

(20) 
$$Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = P^{\sigma}_{1} \times_{B \times B_{-}} \cdots \times_{B \times B_{-}} P^{\sigma}_{n} / (B \times B_{-}) \subset F^{\sigma}_{l,n}$$

Then  $\varphi^{\sigma}$  restricts to a diffeomorphism  $\varphi^{\sigma} \mid_{Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}} : Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma} \to Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ . One thus has an embedding (21)  $I_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma} = (\varphi^{\sigma} \mid_{Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}})^{-1} : Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} \hookrightarrow DF_n.$ 

3.3. Shuffled subexpressions and the subvarieties  $C^{\gamma}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  and  $\sigma$  be as in Definition 3.1. Recall the map  $\Phi_n : DF_n \to W^n$  from (12), and the sequence  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  from (13).

Lemma 3.2. Let 
$$\mathbf{w} = (w_1, ..., w_n) \in W^n$$
. If  $\mathbf{w} \in \Phi_n(Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma})$ , then  $w_1 \in \{e, \delta_1\}$ , and for  $j \in [2, n]$ ,  
 $w_j \in \begin{cases} \{w_{j-1}, w_{j-1}\delta_j\}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ \{w_{j-1}, \delta_j w_{j-1}\}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$ 

Proof. Let  $x \in Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$ , and  $\mathbf{w} = \Phi_n(x)$ . For  $j \in [1, n-1]$ , we write  $x_j = \rho_{j,n}(x) \in DF_j$  and set  $x_n = x$ . If  $\epsilon_1 = -1$ , then  $x_1 \in \mathcal{O}^{e,e} \cup \mathcal{O}^{s_1,e}$ . Similarly, if  $\epsilon_1 = 1, x_1 \in \mathcal{O}^{e,e} \cup \mathcal{O}^{e,s_{l+1}}$ . By Proposition 2.1, the assertion is true for j = 1. Thus assume  $j \ge 2$ , and write  $(g.B, h.B_-) = \theta_{j-1}(x_{j-1})$ , so that one has  $h^{-1}g \in B_-w_{j-1}B$ .

**Case**  $\epsilon_j = -1$ : One has  $\theta_j(x_j) = (gp.B, h.B_-)$ , where  $p \in P_{\delta_j}$ . Thus  $h^{-1}gp \in B_-w_jB$ , and so

$$B_{-}w_{j}B \subset B_{-}w_{j-1}BP_{\delta_{j}} = B_{-}w_{j-1}B \cup B_{-}w_{j-1}B\delta_{j}B.$$

One knows by [10, Appendix A] that

$$B_{-}w_{j-1}B\delta_{j}B \subset B_{-}w_{j-1}\delta_{j}B \cup B_{-}w_{j-1}B,$$

which proves the assertion.

**Case** 
$$\epsilon_j = 1$$
: One has  $\theta_j(x_j) = (g.B, hp.B_-)$ , where  $p \in P_{-\delta_j}$ . Thus  $p^{-1}h^{-1}g \in B_-w_jB$ , and  
 $B_-w_jB \subset P_{-\delta_j}B_-w_{j-1}B = B_-w_{j-1}B \cup B_-\delta_jB_-w_{j_1}B$ .

Again, by using [10, Appendix A] one concludes that  $w_j \in \{w_{j-1}, \delta_j w_{j-1}\}$ .

#### Q.E.D.

Let  $W^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  be the elements of  $W^n$  satisfying the condition in Lemma 3.2. Thus  $\Phi_n(Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}) \subset W^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . We will show in Proposition 3.8 that  $\Phi_n(Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}) = W^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . Lemma 3.2 motivates the following definition.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  be a sequence of simple reflections. We say that a sequence  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l)$  is a subexpression of  $\mathbf{u}$  if  $\gamma_j \in \{e, s_j\}$  for all  $j \in [1, l]$ . Denote by  $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{u}}$  the set of all subexpressions of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  be another sequence, and let  $\sigma \in S_{l,n}$ . We say that  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n)$  is a subexpression of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  shuffled by  $\sigma$ , or a  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ , if  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$ .

Fix  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma)$  as in Definition 3.1, and let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$ . Construct the sequence  $(\gamma^0, \gamma^1, ..., \gamma^n)$  of Weyl group elements as follows. Let  $\gamma^0 = e$ , and for any  $j \in [1, n]$ , define

(22) 
$$\gamma^{j} = \begin{cases} \gamma^{j-1}\gamma_{j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1\\ \gamma_{j}\gamma^{j-1}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1. \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $(\gamma^1, ..., \gamma^n) \in W^{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma}$ . Conversely, it is easily seen that any sequence  $(w_0, ..., w_n)$  of elements in W satisfying  $w_0 = e$  and  $(w_1, ..., w_n) \in W^{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma}$  uniquely defines a  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$  such that  $(\gamma^1, ..., \gamma^n) = (w_1, ..., w_n)$ . Hence the map

$$\Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \to W^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}, \ \gamma = (\gamma_1,...,\gamma_n) \mapsto (\gamma^1,...,\gamma^n)$$

is a bijection.

# **Definition 3.4.** For any $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , let

$$C^{\gamma} = \Phi_n^{-1}(\gamma^1, ..., \gamma^n) \cap Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}$$
 and  $C^{\gamma}_{\sigma} = \varphi^{\sigma}(C^{\gamma}) \subset Z_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}.$ 

One thus has the two disjoint unions

(23) 
$$Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C^{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} C^{\gamma}_{\sigma}.$$

3.4. Affine charts and coordinates on  $Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ . We introduce an atlas of  $2^n$  affine charts on  $Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  parametrized by  $\Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . As a first application, we show that all the  $C^{\gamma}$ 's are isomorphic to affine spaces.

Fix  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma)$  as in Definition 3.1, and let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$ . We fix representatives in  $N_G(H)$  of the Weyl group elements  $\gamma^j$ . Set  $\widetilde{\gamma^0} = e$ , and for  $j \in [1, n]$ , let

(24) 
$$\widetilde{\gamma^{j}} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}\gamma_{j}}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1\\ \overline{\gamma_{j}\gamma^{j-1}}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recall from (13) that  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_n)$ . Denote by  $\alpha_j$  the simple root such that  $\delta_j = s_{\alpha_j}$ . For  $j \in [1, n]$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , let

(25) 
$$u_{\gamma,j}(z) = (p_{\gamma,j}(z), q_{\gamma,j}(z)) \in P_j^{\sigma},$$

where

(26) 
$$p_{\gamma,j}(z) = \begin{cases} x_{-\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z)\overline{\gamma_j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ [\gamma^{j-1} x_{\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z)\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}]_+, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

(27) 
$$q_{\gamma,j}(z) = \begin{cases} [\widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}} x_{-\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z) \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}]_-, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ x_{\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z) \overline{\gamma}_j^{-1}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define

(28) 
$$\mathcal{O}^{\gamma} = \{ [u_{\gamma,1}(z_1), ..., u_{\gamma,n}(z_n)]_{Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}} \mid z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \}.$$

The fact that the map

(29) 
$$u_{\gamma}: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{O}^{\gamma}, \ z \mapsto u_{\gamma}(z) = [u_{\gamma,1}(z_1), ..., u_{\gamma,n}(z_n)]_{Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\gamma}}$$

is an isomorphism is a consequence of applying inductively the following Lemma 3.5.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , and let  $B \times B_{-}$  act on  $P_{s_{\alpha}} \times B_{-}$  by right multiplication. For any  $\dot{w} \in N_G(H)$ , the sets

$$\{(x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{s}_{\alpha}, [\dot{w}x_{\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-}) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}, \text{ and } \{(x_{-\alpha}(z), [\dot{w}x_{-\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-}) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

intersect each  $(B \times B_{-})$ -orbits in exactly one point. Consequently, the two maps

$$(x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{s}_{\alpha}.B, B_{-}) \mapsto (x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{s}_{\alpha}, [\dot{w}x_{\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-}),$$
$$(x_{-\alpha}(z).B, B_{-}) \mapsto (x_{-\alpha}(z), [\dot{w}x_{-\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-}), z \in \mathbb{C}$$

are sections over open subsets of the fiber bundle

$$P_{s_{\alpha}} \times B_{-} \to (P_{s_{\alpha}} \times B_{-})/(B \times B_{-})$$

Proof. Suppose that  $(x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{s}_{\alpha}, [\dot{w}x_{\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-})(b, b_{-}) = (x_{\alpha}(z')\bar{s}_{\alpha}, [\dot{w}x_{\alpha}(z')\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-})$  for some  $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}, b \in B, b_{-} \in B_{-}$ . Looking at the first component, one has b = e and z = z'. Thus  $b_{-} = e$ . Proceed similarly for the other case.

Q.E.D.

Moreover, one sees that  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$  is covered by the open subsets  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ .

**Definition 3.6.** For any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , let

$$J(\gamma) = \{ j \in [1,n] \mid (\gamma^j)^{-\epsilon_j} \alpha_j < 0 \}$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . Let  $(z_1,...,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be such that  $z_j = 0$  if  $j \in J(\gamma)$ . Then for any  $j \in [1,n]$ ,

$$q_{\gamma,j}(z_j)^{-1}\cdots q_{\gamma,1}(z_1)^{-1}p_{\gamma,1}(z_1)\cdots p_{\gamma,j}(z_j)=\widetilde{\gamma^j},$$

*Proof.* Let  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $z_j = 0$  if  $j \in J(\gamma)$ . Denote by  $F_{\gamma,j}(z)$  the product on the left hand side, and set  $F_{\gamma,0} = e \in G$ . Thus  $F_{\gamma,0}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^0}$ . So assume now that  $j \in [1, n]$  and that  $F_{\gamma,j-1}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}}$ .

**Case**  $\epsilon_j = -1$ : Using the induction hypothesis, one has

$$F_{\gamma,j}(z) = q_{\gamma,j}(z_j)^{-1} F_{\gamma,j-1}(z) p_{\gamma,j}(z_j)$$
$$= [\widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}} x_{-\gamma_j \alpha_j}(-z_j) \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}]_{-} \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}} x_{-\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z_j) \overline{\gamma}$$

If  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ , then  $\gamma^j \alpha_j = \gamma^{j-1} \gamma_j \alpha_j > 0$ , and so  $F_{\gamma,j}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^j}$ . If  $j \in J(\gamma)$ , then  $z_j = 0$ , so  $F_{\gamma,j}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^j}$ .

**Case**  $\epsilon_j = 1$ : One has

$$F_{\gamma,j}(z) = q_{\gamma,j}(z_j)^{-1} F_{\gamma,j-1}(z) p_{\gamma,j}(z_j)$$
  
=  $\overline{\gamma}_j x_{\gamma_j \alpha_j}(-z_j) \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}} [\widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} x_{\gamma_j \alpha_j}(z) \widetilde{\gamma^{j-1}}]_+$ 

If  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ , then  $(\gamma^j)^{-1}\alpha_j = (\gamma^{j-1})^{-1}\gamma_j\alpha_j > 0$ , and so  $F_{\gamma,j}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^j}$ . If  $j \in J(\gamma)$ , then  $z_j = 0$ , so  $F_{\gamma,j}(z) = \widetilde{\gamma^j}$ .

Q.E.D.

The following Proposition 3.8 shows that each  $C^{\gamma}$  in the combinatorially defined decomposition of  $Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  in (23) is isomorphic to an affine space.

**Proposition 3.8.** For any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , one has

$$C^{\gamma} = \{ u_{\gamma}(z) \in \mathcal{O}^{\gamma} \mid z_j = 0, \text{ if } j \in J(\gamma) \}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $C^{\gamma}$  has been introduced in Definition 3.4. Denote by  $\tilde{C}^{\gamma}$  the set on the right hand side. Recall that an element

$$[(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_n, h_n)]_{DF_n}$$

lies in  $C^{\gamma}$  if and only if for every  $j \in [1, n]$ ,  $(g_1 \cdots g_j . B, h_1 \cdots h_j . B_-) \in G_{\text{diag}}(\gamma^j)$ , which is equivalent to

(30) 
$$(h_1 \cdots h_j)^{-1} g_1 \cdots g_j \in B_- \gamma^j B, \ j \in [1, n]$$

By Lemma 3.7, one has  $\tilde{C}^{\gamma} \subset C^{\gamma}$ , so we need to prove

For  $k \in [1, n]$ , let

$$Z_k = P_1^{\sigma} \times_{B \times B_-} \cdots \times_{B \times B_-} P_k^{\sigma} / (B \times B_-) \subset DF_k$$

Define the following two subsets of  $Z_k$ .

$$C^{(\gamma_1,...,\gamma_k)} = \{ [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_k, h_k)]_{DF_k} \in Z_k \mid (30) \text{ holds for } j \in [1, k] \}$$
  
$$\tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1,...,\gamma_k)} = \{ [u_{\gamma,1}(z_1), ..., u_{\gamma,k}(z_k)]_{DF_k} \in Z_k \mid z_i = 0, \text{ if } i \in [1, k] \cap J(\gamma) \}.$$

Fix  $x = [(g_1, h_1), ..., (g_n, h_n)]_{DF_n} \in C^{\gamma}$ , i.e x satisfies (30). Write  $x_k = \rho_{k,n}(x)$  for  $k \in [1, n-1]$ and set  $x_n = x$ . Then  $x_k \in C^{(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k)}$  for every  $k \in [1, n]$ . We show by induction on k that  $x_k \in \tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k)}$  for every  $k \in [1, n]$ . Then (31) is the statement for k = n.

Assume that  $\epsilon_1 = -1$ , the case  $\epsilon_1 = 1$  being similar. So  $h_1 \in B_-$  and  $g_1 \in P_{\delta_1}$ . Then  $g_1 \in B_-\gamma_1 B$ . Hence one can write  $g_1 = x_{-\gamma_1\alpha_1}(z_1)\bar{\gamma}_1 b_1$  with  $b_1 \in B$  and  $z_1 = 0$  if  $\gamma_1 = \delta_1$ , that is if  $1 \in J(\gamma)$ . Thus

$$(g_1.B, h_1.B_-) = (x_{-\gamma_1\alpha_1}(z_1)\bar{\gamma}_1.B, [x_{-\gamma_1\alpha_1}(z_1)]_-.B_-) \in \tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1)}$$

Let now  $k \in [1, n - 1]$ , and assume that  $C^{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)} \subset \tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)}$ . Once again the two cases of  $\epsilon_{k+1} = 1$  or  $\epsilon_{k+1} = -1$  can be treated similarly, so we can assume that  $\epsilon_{k+1} = -1$ . So  $\gamma^{k+1} = \gamma^k \gamma_{k+1}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $x_k \in \tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)}$ , thus there exist  $g'_{k+1} \in P_{\delta_{k+1}}$ ,  $h'_{k+1} \in B_-$  and  $(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$  with  $z_j = 0$  if  $j \in [1, k] \cap J(\gamma)$ , such that

$$x_{k+1} = [u_{\gamma,1}(z_1), \dots, u_{\gamma,k}(z_k), (g'_{k+1}, h'_{k+1})]_{DF_{k+1}}.$$

Since  $x_{k+1} \in C^{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)}$ , we have

$$h_{k+1}^{\prime-1}q_{\gamma,k}(z_k)^{-1}\cdots q_{\gamma,1}(z_1)^{-1}p_{\gamma,1}(z_1)\cdots p_{\gamma,k}(z_k)g_{k+1}^{\prime}=h_{k+1}^{\prime-1}\widetilde{\gamma^k}g_{k+1}^{\prime}\in B_-\gamma^{k+1}B.$$

Hence  $\gamma^{\bar{k}}g'_{k+1} \in B_{-}\gamma^{k+1}B$ . If  $\gamma_{k+1} = \delta_{k+1}$ , then  $\gamma^{k+1} = \gamma^{k}\delta_{k+1} \neq \gamma^{k}$  and one cannot have  $g'_{k+1} \in B$ . Similarly, if  $\gamma_{k+1} = e$ , then one cannot have  $g'_{k+1} \in \delta_{k+1}B$ . Thus  $g'_{k+1}$  can be written as  $x_{-\gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1}}(z_{k+1})\bar{\gamma}_{k+1}b_{k+1}$  for some  $z_{k+1} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b_{k+1} \in B$ . Furthermore, if  $k+1 \in J(\gamma)$ , that is if  $\gamma^{k}\gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} < 0$ , then one must have  $z_{k+1} = 0$ . If  $k+1 \notin J(\gamma)$ , then  $\gamma^{\bar{k}}g'_{k+1} \in B_{-}\gamma^{k+1}B$  for any value of  $z_{k+1}$ . Moreover one can write  $h'_{k+1} = [\gamma^{\bar{k}}x_{-\gamma_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1}}(z_{k+1})\gamma^{\bar{k}-1}]_{-}b_{-(k+1)}$ , for some  $b_{-(k+1)} \in B_{-}$ . Hence

$$x_{k+1} = [u_{\gamma,1}(z_1), ..., u_{\gamma,k}(z_k), u_{\gamma,k+1}(z_{k+1})]_{Z_{k+1}} \in \tilde{C}^{(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{k+1})}.$$

Q.E.D.

3.5. The *H*-action on  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$  in the *z*-coordinates. Fix again  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma)$  as in Definition 3.3. Let *H* act diagonally on  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ . This action corresponds, before applying  $\varphi^{\sigma}$ , to the action on  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}$  given by

$$h \cdot [(g_1, h_1), \dots (g_n, h_n)]_{Z_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}} = [(hg_1, hh_1), (g_2, h_2), \dots (g_n, h_n)]_{Z_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}}, \ h \in H, (g_i, h_i) \in P_i^{\sigma}.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . We show in this section that  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$  is invariant under the *H*-action, and compute the action of *H* in the coordinates  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ . For any  $j \in [1, n]$ , one can write  $\gamma^j = (\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^j)^{-1} \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^j$ , with

(32) 
$$\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq j, \epsilon_{k}=1} \gamma_{k}, \quad \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq j, \epsilon_{k}=-1} \gamma_{k},$$

where the index in both products is increasing. Set  $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^0 = \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^0 = e$ . In particular, one can write  $\gamma^n = \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$ , with  $\gamma_{\mathbf{v}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^n$ ,  $\gamma_{\mathbf{u}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^n$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$  is invariant under H, and each coordinate function  $z_j, j \in [1,n]$ , is a weight function for H, with

$$h \cdot z_j = \begin{cases} h^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^j \alpha_j} z_j, & \epsilon_j = -1 \\ h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^j \alpha_j} z_j, & \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , and  $\delta \in \{e, s_\alpha\}$ . For any  $\dot{w} \in N_G(H)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , one has

$$(h_{1},h_{2})(x_{-\delta\alpha}(z)\bar{\delta},[\dot{w}x_{-\delta\alpha}(z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-}) =$$

$$(33) \qquad (x_{-\delta\alpha}(h_{1}^{-\delta\alpha}z)\bar{\delta},[\dot{w}x_{-\delta\alpha}(h_{2}^{-w\delta\alpha}z)\dot{w}^{-1}]_{-})(h_{1}^{\delta},h_{2})$$

$$(h_{1},h_{2})([\dot{w}^{-1}x_{\delta\alpha}(z)\dot{w}]_{+},x_{\delta\alpha}(z)\bar{\delta}^{-1}) =$$

(34) 
$$([\dot{w}^{-1}x_{\delta\alpha}(h_1^{w^{-1}\delta\alpha}z)\dot{w}]_+, x_{\delta\alpha}(h_2^{\delta\alpha}z)\delta^{-1})(h_1, h_2^{\delta})$$

Let  $h \in H$  and  $j \in [1, n]$ . If  $\epsilon_j = -1$ , using (33), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}}, h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}})(p_{\gamma,j}(z_j), q_{\gamma,j}(z_j)) &= (p_{\gamma,j}(h^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}\alpha_j}z_j), q_{\gamma,j}(h^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}\gamma^{j-1}\gamma_j\alpha_j}z_j))(h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}, h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}}) \\ &= (p_{\gamma,j}(h^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}\alpha_j}z_j), q_{\gamma,j}(h^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}\alpha_j}z_j))(h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}, h^{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j}}). \end{aligned}$$

The same can be proved using (34) if  $\epsilon_j = 1$ . Thus an induction on j yields the result.

# Q.E.D.

**Definition 3.10.** We denote by  $\nu_{\gamma,j}$  the weight of the coordinate  $z_j$  for the action of H. That is

$$\nu_{\gamma,j} = \begin{cases} -\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j} \alpha_{j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1\\ \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j} \alpha_{j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1. \end{cases}$$

3.6. Positive and distinguished  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions. We now prove a few facts on the combinatorics of shuffled subexpressions that will be useful later. In particular, we introduce positive and distinguished shuffled subexpressions.

Fix  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma)$  as in Definition 3.1, and let  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_n)$  be as in (13).

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\mathbf{u}}$ . We say that  $\gamma$  is *positive*, if

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{j-1} = (\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j) \lhd s_j,$$

for any  $j \in [1, l]$ . We write  $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{u}}^+$  for the set of all positive subexpressions of  $\mathbf{u}$ . We say that  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$  is  $\sigma$ -positive, or that  $\gamma$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ , if for any  $j \in [1, n]$ ,

$$\gamma^{j-1} = \begin{cases} \gamma^j \triangleleft \delta_j, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ \delta_j \rhd \gamma^j, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  the set of all positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions of  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$ .

**Remark 3.12.** Recall that  $\gamma^j \triangleleft \delta_j = \min\{\gamma^j, \gamma^j \delta_j\}$ , and  $\delta_j \triangleright \gamma^j = \min\{\gamma^j, \delta_j \gamma^j\}$ . So one sees that  $\gamma$  is positive if and only if for every  $j \in [1, n]$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \gamma^{j-1} < \gamma^{j-1} \delta_j, & \text{when } \epsilon_j = -1\\ \gamma^{j-1} < \delta_j \gamma^{j-1}, & \text{when } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

The notion of positivity of subexpressions has previously been studied in [13, 16]. Our definition of positivity for shuffled subexpressions is a generalization of the notion of "positive double subexpression" found in [16]. Indeed, if  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are reduced, the two notions coincide. See Section 3.8 for more details.

**Example 3.13.** In the root system  $A_4$ , let

$$\mathbf{u} = (\mathfrak{s}_3, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_3, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_3), \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = (\mathfrak{s}_4, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_4)$$

(We follow the Bourbaki convention for the labelling of simple roots in simple root systems). Let

 $\begin{array}{rclcrcrcrcrc} \epsilon(\sigma) & = & (-1, & -1, & 1, & -1, & 1, & 1, & -1, & 1) \\ \sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) & = & (\mathfrak{s}_3, & \mathfrak{s}_2, & \mathfrak{s}_4, & \mathfrak{s}_3, & \mathfrak{s}_2, & \mathfrak{s}_1, & \mathfrak{s}_2, & \mathfrak{s}_3, & \mathfrak{s}_4), \end{array}$ 

and consider the shuffled subexpressions

$$\gamma_1 = (e, e, e, \mathfrak{s}_3, e, e, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_3, \mathfrak{s}_4) \text{ and } \gamma_2 = (e, e, \mathfrak{s}_4, \mathfrak{s}_3, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_3, e).$$

Then  $\gamma_1$  is positive, but  $\gamma_2$  is not.

Let

(35) 
$$u = s_1 * \dots * s_l, \quad v = s_{l+1} * \dots * s_n.$$

We wish to show that elements  $w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$  are in 1-1 correspondence with positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions.

**Definition 3.14.** For  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{[1,l]} &= (\gamma_{\sigma(1)}, ..., \gamma_{\sigma(l)}) \in \Upsilon_{\mathbf{u}}, \\ \gamma_{[l+1,n]} &= (\gamma_{\sigma(l+1)}, ..., \gamma_{\sigma(n)}) \in \Upsilon_{\mathbf{v}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.15.** For any  $w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ , there is a unique  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  such that  $\gamma^n = w$ . Moreover  $\gamma$  has the following properties.

1) For any  $j \in [1, n]$ ,  $l(\gamma^j) = l(\gamma^j_{\mathbf{u}}) + l(\gamma^j_{\mathbf{u}})$ ;

2)  $\gamma_{[1,l]}$  is a positive subexpression of **u**, and  $\gamma_{[l+1,n]}$  is a positive subexpression of **v**.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W$  such that  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Set  $w_n = w$ , and for any  $j \in [1, n]$ , let

$$w_{j-1} = \begin{cases} w_j \triangleleft \delta_j, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ \delta_j \triangleright w_j, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, by [10, Lemma A.3], one has  $w_0 = v \triangleright w \triangleleft u^{-1} = e$ . Let  $\gamma_j = w_{j-1}^{\epsilon_j} w_j^{-\epsilon_j}$ . Then  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n)$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression, and is clearly the unique one satisfying  $\gamma^n = w$ . We now prove that

(36) 
$$l(\gamma^j) = l(\gamma^j_{\mathbf{v}}) + l(\gamma^j_{\mathbf{u}}), \quad j \in [1, n],$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . One clearly has  $l(\gamma^1) = l(\gamma^1_{\mathbf{v}}) + l(\gamma^1_{\mathbf{u}})$ . Thus suppose  $j \ge 2$ , and that  $l(\gamma^{j-1}) = l(\gamma^{j-1}_{\mathbf{v}}) + l(\gamma^{j-1}_{\mathbf{u}})$ . If  $\gamma_j = e$ , then (36) holds. So assume  $\gamma_j = \delta_j$ . Suppose that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ , the other case being similar. Then  $\gamma^j = \gamma^{j-1}\delta_j > \gamma^{j-1}$  and one has  $\gamma^j_{\mathbf{u}} = \gamma^{j-1}_{\mathbf{u}}\delta_j > \gamma^{j-1}_{\mathbf{u}}$ . Thus

$$l(\gamma^j) = l(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}) + l(\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}) + 1 = l(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^j) + l(\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^j).$$

This proves 1). Let now  $j \in [1, n]$ , and suppose that  $\epsilon_j = 1$ . One has  $\delta_j \gamma^{j-1} > \gamma^{j-1}$ . Since  $l(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}) = l(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}) + l(\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1})$ , this implies that  $\delta_j(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1})^{-1} > (\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1})^{-1}$ . Or in other words,  $(\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1})^{-1} = \delta_j \triangleright (\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^j)^{-1}$ , which is equivalent, by [10, Lemma A3], to

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j} \triangleleft \delta_{j} = \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{j-1}.$$

This shows that  $(\gamma_{\sigma(l+1)}, ..., \gamma_{\sigma(n)})$  is a positive subexpression of **v**. If  $\epsilon_j = -1$ , a similar computation shows that  $(\gamma_{\sigma(1)}, ..., \gamma_{\sigma(l)})$  is a positive subexpression of **u**.

Q.E.D.

**Remark 3.16.** Recall the Weyl group representatives  $\gamma^{j}$  from (24). If  $\gamma$  is positive, it follows from Lemma 3.15 that  $\gamma^{j} = \overline{\gamma^{j}}$ , for all  $j \in [1, n]$ .

**Definition 3.17.** If  $w \leq u^{-1} * v$ , we denote by  $\gamma_w \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  the unique positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression satisfying  $\gamma_w^n = w$ .

On the other hand, for any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , one has  $\gamma^n = \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Thus we have shown the following.

Corollary 3.18. The map

$$\{w \in W \mid w \leqslant v^{-1} * u\} \to \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma}, \ w \mapsto \gamma_w$$

is a bijection. The inverse is given by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^n$ .

**Lemma 3.19.** For any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , one has  $l(\gamma^n) \leq |J(\gamma)|$ , with equality if and only if  $\gamma$  is positive.

Proof. We proceed by induction. The result is obvious when n = 1, so let  $n \ge 2$ . We assume that  $\epsilon_n = 1$ , the case  $\epsilon_n = -1$  being treated similarly. Then one has  $\sigma(n) = n$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}' = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_{n-1})$ , and  $\gamma' = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{n-1})$ . Then  $\gamma' \in \Upsilon_{\sigma'(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}')}$ , where  $\sigma'(j) = \sigma(j)$ , for all  $j \in [1, n-1]$ . By the induction hypothesis, the result holds for  $\gamma'$ . Recall that we denote by  $\alpha_n$  the simple root such that  $\delta_n = s_{\alpha_n}$ .

**Case 1:**  $(\gamma^n)^{-1}\alpha_n > 0$  and  $\gamma_n = e$ .

Then  $n \notin J(\gamma)$ , and  $|J(\gamma)| = |J(\gamma')| \ge l(\gamma^{n-1}) = l(\gamma^n)$ . Since  $(\gamma^{n-1})^{-1}\alpha_n > 0$  one has  $\delta_n \gamma^{n-1} > \gamma^{n-1}$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is positive if and only if  $\gamma'$  is positive. So we are done. **Case 2:**  $(\gamma^n)^{-1}\alpha_n > 0$  and  $\gamma_n = \delta_n$ . Then  $n \notin J(\gamma)$ , and  $|J(\gamma)| = |J(\gamma')| \ge l(\gamma^{n-1}) = l(\gamma^n) + 1$ . Since  $(\gamma^{n-1})^{-1}\alpha_n < 0$  one has  $\delta_n \gamma^{n-1} < \gamma^{n-1}$ , so  $\gamma$  is not positive. **Case 3:**  $(\gamma^n)^{-1}\alpha_n < 0$ , and  $\gamma_n = e$ . Then  $n \in J(\gamma)$ , and  $|J(\gamma)| = |J(\gamma')| + 1 \ge l(\gamma^{n-1}) + 1 = l(\gamma^n) + 1$ . Since  $(\gamma^{n-1})^{-1}\alpha_n < 0$ ,  $\gamma$  is not positive. **Case 4:**  $(\gamma^n)^{-1}\alpha_n < 0$  and  $\gamma_n = \delta_n$ . Then  $n \in J(\gamma)$ , and  $|J(\gamma)| = |J(\gamma')| + 1 \ge l(\gamma^{n-1}) + 1 = l(\gamma^n)$ . Since  $(\gamma^{n-1})^{-1}\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\gamma$  is positive if and only if  $\gamma'$  is positive. So we are done.

### Q.E.D.

**Remark 3.20.** If  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ , then  $j \in J(\gamma)$  if and only if  $\gamma_j = \delta_j$ . Indeed,  $j \in J(\gamma)$  if and only if  $\delta_j(\gamma^j)^{\epsilon_j} < (\gamma^j)^{\epsilon_j}$ . But since  $\gamma$  is positive, this happens exactly when  $\gamma_j = \delta_j$ .

**Definition 3.21.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . We say that  $\gamma$  is *distinguished*, if  $C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  is non-empty. Denote by  $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}^d$  the set of all distinguished  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpressions.

The open subset  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \subset Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  has an intrinsic description. Indeed, for  $j \in [1,n]$ , let

$$B_j^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} B\delta_j B \times B_-, & \epsilon_j = -1\\ B \times B_- \delta_j B_-, & \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} = B_1^{\sigma} \times_{B \times B_-} \cdots \times_{B \times B_-} B_n^{\sigma} / (B \times B_-)$ . Note that we have  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} = I_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}})$ , recall (2) and (21).

**Definition 3.22.** For any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , let

$$I(\gamma) = \{ j \in [1, n] \mid \gamma_j = \delta_j \}$$

**Lemma 3.23.** A  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  is distinguished if and only if  $J(\gamma) \subset I(\gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Recall (25). Notice that if  $j \in I(\gamma)$ , then  $u_{\gamma,j}(z_j) \in B_j^{\sigma}$  for any  $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . And if  $j \notin I(\gamma)$ , then  $u_{\gamma,j}(z_j) \in B_j^{\sigma}$  if and only if  $z_j \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $J(\gamma) \subset I(\gamma)$ . Let  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , with  $z_j = 0$  if  $j \in J(\gamma)$ , and  $z_j \neq 0$  if  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ . Then by Proposition 3.8,  $u_{\gamma}(z) \in C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ . Conversely, if  $J(\gamma)$  is not contained in  $I(\gamma)$ , let  $j \in J(\gamma)$  such that  $j \notin I(\gamma)$ . If  $u_{\gamma}(z) \in C^{\gamma}$ , by Proposition 3.8, one must have  $z_j = 0$ . But then  $u_{\gamma}(z) \notin \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ .

### Q.E.D.

**Remark 3.24.** By Remark 3.20, any positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression  $\gamma$  is distinguished. Conversely, a distinguished  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression is positive if and only if  $J(\gamma) = I(\gamma)$ .

Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . Then  $u_{\gamma}(z) \in \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  if and only if  $z_j \neq 0$  when  $j \notin I(\gamma)$ . Combining this with Proposition 3.8, one obtains the following

**Proposition 3.25.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  and let  $(z_1,...,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with

$$z_j \in \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } j \in J(\gamma) \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } I(\gamma) \backslash J(\gamma) \\ \mathbb{C}^*, & \text{if } j \notin I(\gamma). \end{cases}$$

Then the map

$$\mathbb{C}^{|I(\gamma)\setminus J(\gamma)|} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I(\gamma)^c|} \to C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})},$$

where  $I(\gamma)^c = [1, n] \setminus I(\gamma)$ , sending z to  $u_{\gamma}(z)$  is an isomorphism.

3.7. The subvarieties  $A_w$ . Let  $\mathbf{u} = (s_1, ..., s_l)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (s_{l+1}, ..., s_n)$  be two sequences of simple reflections. Consider the double flag variety  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ , and recall the map  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  from (8).

**Definition 3.26.** For  $w \in W$ , let

$$A_w = \theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(G_{\text{diag}}(w)) \subset Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}},$$

and if  $\sigma$  is a (l, n)-shuffle, let

$$A_w^{\sigma} = I_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}(A_w) \subset Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma},$$

recall (21).

Let u, v be as in (35), and let  $w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Then for any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  with  $\gamma^n = w$ , by definition of  $C^{\gamma}$  one has  $C^{\gamma} \subset A_w^{\sigma}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A_w$  is non-empty. Since  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}^{u,v}}$ , Proposition 2.1 implies that  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Thus one gets

**Proposition 3.27.** Let  $w \in W$ . Then  $A_w$  is non-empty if and only if  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Moreover, for any (l, n)-shuffle  $\sigma$ , one has

$$A_w^{\sigma} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})} : \gamma^n = w} C^{\gamma}.$$

**Proposition 3.28.** For any  $w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ ,  $A_w$  is a smooth, irreducible subvariety of  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  of codimension l(w) in  $Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ .

*Proof.* The image of  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  can be written as

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}^{u,v}} = \bigsqcup_{u_1 \leqslant u, v_1 \leqslant v} \mathcal{O}^{u_1,v_1}$$

On the other hand, it is known by [14, Theorem 1.4] that  $\mathcal{O}^{u_1,v_1}$  and  $G_{\text{diag}}(w)$  intersect transversally, for any  $u_1, v_1, w \in W$ . Hence  $\theta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  is transverse to  $G_{\text{diag}}(w)$ , and so  $A_w$  is smooth, and every component of  $A_w$  has codimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} A_w = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} DF_1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G_{\text{diag}}(w) = l(w).$$

Choose now any  $\sigma \in S_{l,n}$ , and consider  $\gamma_w \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . By Lemma 3.19,  $C^{\gamma_w}$  has codimension l(w) in  $Z^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$ , and so is open in  $A^{\sigma}_w$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 3.27, the complement of  $C^{\gamma_w}$  in  $A^{\sigma}_w$  is a finite union of subvarieties of lower dimension. Hence  $C^{\gamma_w}$  is dense in  $A^{\sigma}_w$ , and so  $A^{\sigma}_w$  is irreducible in the Zariski topology.

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.29.** Let  $w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ . Then

$$C^{\gamma_w} = \mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w} \cap A^{\sigma}_w = \mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w} \cap \overline{A^{\sigma}_w}$$

where  $\overline{A_w^{\sigma}}$  is the Zariski closure of  $A_w^{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* One has the inclusions  $C^{\gamma_w} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w} \cap A^{\sigma}_w \subseteq \mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w} \cap \overline{A^{\sigma}_w}$ . On the other hand, both  $C^{\gamma_w}$  and  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w} \cap \overline{A^{\sigma}_w}$  are closed, irreducible varieties of  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma_w}$  of the same dimension. Hence they must be equal.

# Q.E.D.

3.8. Relation to the Webster-Yakimov decompositions. Let  $u, v, w \in W$  with  $w \leq v^{-1} * u$ , and consider  $\mathcal{O}_w^{u,v} \subset DF_1$ . In [16], Webster and Yakimov introduced decompositions of  $\mathcal{O}_w^{u,v}$  into subvarieties isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n_2}$  for some integers  $n_1, n_2$ . We show in this section that the decomposition of  $A_w^{\sigma}$  in Proposition 3.27 recovers the decompositions in [16] when **u** and **v** are reduced.

We start by recalling the notations used in [16]. The set of simple roots of G is labelled by [1, r], and  $W \times W$  is considered as a Coxeter group with simple reflections  $s_{-i}, s_i$ , for  $i \in$ [1, r]. Let  $(u, v) \in W \times W$ , and let  $\mathbf{i} = (s_{i_1}, ..., s_{i_n})$ , with  $i_k \in [1, r] \cup [-r, -1]$ , be a reduced expression for (u, v). We let  $\epsilon(k) = 1$ , if  $i_k \in [1, r]$ , and  $\epsilon(k) = -1$ , if  $i_k \in [-r, -1]$ . A sequence  $\mathbf{w} = (w_{(0)}, ..., w_{(n)})$  of elements in W is called a *double subexpression of*  $\mathbf{i}$ , if  $w_{(0)} = e$ , and for  $k \in [1, n]$ ,

$$w_{(k)} \in \begin{cases} \{w_{(k-1)}, w_{(k-1)}s_{|i_k|}\}, & \epsilon(k) = 1, \\ \{w_{(k-1)}, s_{|i_k|}w_{(k-1)}\}, & \epsilon(k) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Webster and Yakimov call  $\mathbf{w}$  double distinguished if  $w_{(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} = w_{(k-1)}^{\epsilon(k)} s_{|i_k|}$  for all  $k \in [1, n]$  such that  $w_{(k-1)}^{\epsilon(k)} s_{|i_k|} < w_{(k-1)}^{\epsilon(k)}$ . For each double subexpression  $\mathbf{w}$ , we let

 $\begin{array}{ll} J^0_{\mathbf{w}} & \text{be the set of indices} \quad k \in [1,n] \quad \text{such that} \quad w_{(k-1)} = w_{(k)}, \\ J^+_{\mathbf{w}} & \text{be the set of indices} \quad k \in [1,n] \quad \text{such that} \quad w_{(k-1)} < w_{(k)}, \\ J^-_{\mathbf{w}} & \text{be the set of indices} \quad k \in [1,n] \quad \text{such that} \quad w_{(k-1)} > w_{(k)}. \end{array}$ 

A double distinguished subexpression is called *positive*, if  $J_{\mathbf{w}}^{-}$  is empty.

We translate now the notations of [16] into ours. Let

$$\mathbf{u} = (s_{-i_{k_1}}, ..., s_{-i_{k_l}}), \quad \mathbf{v} = (s_{i_{m_1}}, ..., s_{i_{m_{n-l}}}),$$

where  $\{k_1 < ... < k_l\}$  is the set of indices in [1, n] with  $\epsilon(k_j) = -1$ ,  $j \in [1, l]$ , and  $\{m_1 < ... < m_{n-l}\}$  the set of indices in [1, n] with  $\epsilon(m_t) = 1$ ,  $t \in [1, n - l]$ . Then **u** and **v** are reduced expressions. Let  $\sigma$  be the unique (l, n)-shuffle such that  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (s_{|i_1|}, ..., s_{|i_n|})$ . It is clear that **w** is a double subexpression of **i** if and only if there exists  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  such that  $w_{(k)}^{-1} = \gamma^k$ , for any  $k \in [1, n]$ . Moreover, **w** is double distinguished if and only if  $\gamma$  is distinguished, and in this case

$$J^0_{\mathbf{w}} = [1, n] \backslash I(\gamma), \quad J^+_{\mathbf{w}} = J(\gamma), \quad J^-_{\mathbf{w}} = I(\gamma) \backslash J(\gamma).$$

Then **w** is positive if and only if  $\gamma$  is positive.

Since **u** and **v** are reduced, the restriction  $\theta_n \mid_{\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}} : \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \to \mathcal{O}^{u,v}$  is an isomorphism, recall (10). One has

$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}} \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \cap C^{\gamma}.$$

The subvariety  $\theta_n(\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \cap C^{\gamma}) \subset \mathcal{O}^{u,v}$  is called  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}$  in [16, Section 5], Thus one has

$$\mathcal{O}^{u,v} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}},$$

where **w** runs over all double distinguished subexpressions. The "piece"  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$  is given in [16, Theorem 5.2] the following parametrization. Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}^d$  be such that  $(w_{(0)}^{-1},...,w_{(n)}^{-1}) = (\gamma^0,...,\gamma^n)$ . For  $k \in [1,n]$ , let  $\alpha_k$  be the simple root such that  $s_{|i_k|} = s_{\alpha_k}$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$g_k(z) = \begin{cases} \overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^k} x_{\alpha_k}(z) \overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^k}^{-1}, & \epsilon_k = 1, k \notin J(\gamma) \\ \overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^k} x_{-\alpha_k}(z) \overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^k}^{-1}, & \epsilon_k = -1, k \notin J(\gamma) \\ e, & k \in J(\gamma), \end{cases}$$

recall (32), and  $g_{\mathbf{w}}(z_1, ..., z_n) = g_1(z_1) \cdots g_n(z_n)$ , where

(37) 
$$z_k \in \begin{cases} \{0\}, \quad k \in J(\gamma), \\ \mathbb{C}^*, \quad k \notin I(\gamma), \\ \mathbb{C}, \quad k \in I(\gamma) \setminus J(\gamma). \end{cases}$$

Then  $(z_1, ..., z_n) \mapsto g_{\mathbf{w}}(z_1, ..., z_n) \cdot (\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}.B, \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}.B_-)$  is an isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{|J_{\mathbf{w}}^0|} \times \mathbb{C}^{|J_{\mathbf{w}}^-|}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ .

**Lemma 3.30.** Let  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$  satisfying (37). There exist signs  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that

$$\theta_n(u_{\gamma}(z)) = g_{\mathbf{w}}(\varepsilon_1 z_1, ..., \varepsilon_n z_n) \cdot (\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}.B, \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}.B_-).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7, one has

$$\theta_n(u_{\gamma}(z)) = (p_{\gamma,1}(z_1) \cdots p_{\gamma,n}(z_n).B, q_{\gamma,1}(z_1) \cdots q_{\gamma,n}(z_n).B_-)$$
  
=  $p_{\gamma,1}(z_1) \cdots p_{\gamma,n}(z_n) \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}.B, \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}.B_-)$   
=  $q_{\gamma,1}(z_1) \cdots q_{\gamma,n}(z_n) \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}.B, \gamma_{\mathbf{v}}.B_-).$ 

Let  $H^{(2)} = \{h \in H \mid h^2 = 1\}$ . It is enough to prove the existence of a  $h_j \in H^{(2)}$  and  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that

(38) 
$$g_1(z_1)\cdots g_j(z_j) = p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_1 z_1)\cdots p_{\gamma,j}(\varepsilon_j z_j)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}}h_j,$$

for all  $j \in [1, n]$ . If j = 1, (38) is easily verified. So assume  $j \ge 2$ , and that (38) holds for j - 1. Suppose first that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ . If  $j \in J(\gamma)$ , then  $g_j(z_j) = e$  and  $p_{\gamma,j}(z_j) = s_{|i_j|}$ . So

$$g_{1}(z_{1})\cdots g_{j}(z_{j}) = g_{1}(z_{1})\cdots g_{j-1}(z_{j-1}) = p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j-1}(\varepsilon_{j-1}z_{j-1})\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}}^{-1}h_{j-1}$$
$$= p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j-1}(\varepsilon_{j-1}z_{j-1})\overline{s_{|i_{j}|}}\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}^{-1}h_{j},$$

for some  $h_j \in H^{(2)}$ , so we are done. If  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ , then

$$g_{1}(z_{1})\cdots g_{j}(z_{j}) = p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j-1}(\varepsilon_{j-1}z_{j-1})\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}}^{-1}h_{j-1}\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}x_{-\alpha_{j}}(z_{j})\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}^{-1}$$
$$= p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j-1}(\varepsilon_{j-1}z_{j-1})\overline{\gamma}_{j}x_{-\alpha_{j}}(\varepsilon'z_{j})\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}^{-1}h'$$
$$= p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j-1}(\varepsilon_{j-1}z_{j-1})x_{-\gamma_{j}\alpha_{j}}(\varepsilon_{j}z_{j})\overline{\gamma}_{j}\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j-1}}h_{j}$$
$$= p_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_{1}z_{1})\cdots p_{\gamma,j}(\varepsilon_{j}z_{j})\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{j}}^{-1}h_{j},$$

for some  $h', h_j \in H^{(2)}$ , and  $\varepsilon', \varepsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Thus we are done. Suppose now that  $\epsilon_j = 1$ . Observe that for any  $k \in [1, n]$ ,

$$p_{\gamma,1}(z_1)\cdots p_{\gamma,k}(z_k)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^k}^{-1} = q_{\gamma,1}(z_1)\cdots q_{\gamma,k}(z_k)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{v}}^k}^{-1}h,$$

for some  $h \in H^{(2)}$ . Hence one can proceed similarly by proving

$$g_1(z_1)\cdots g_j(z_j) = q_{\gamma,1}(\varepsilon_1 z_1)\cdots q_{\gamma,j}(\varepsilon_j z_j)\overline{\gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^j}^{-1}h_j, \quad j \in [1,n],$$

for some  $h_j \in H^{(2)}$  and  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

# Q.E.D.

We conclude that our parametrization of  $C^{\gamma}$  recovers the one of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$  when both  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are reduced.

# 4. Regular functions on $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ defined by minors

Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma)$  be as in Definition 3.1. We introduce, for each distinguished  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression  $\gamma$ , a family of regular functions on  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$ , and describe  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \cap C^{\gamma}$  using these functions. When  $\gamma$  is positive, we relate this family of functions to the coordinates on  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$ .

4.1. Generalised minors. We recall the notion of generalised minors, and some of their basic properties that will be needed. See [8] for further details. We assume from now on that G is simply connected.

The set  $N_-HN$  is Zariski open in G. Moreover, recall that any element  $x \in N_-HN$  is uniquely written as  $x = [x]_-[x]_0[x]_+$ , with  $[x]_- \in N_-$ ,  $[x]_0 \in H$ ,  $[x]_+ \in N$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . For  $x \in N_-HN$ , define

$$\triangle_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(x) = [x]_0^{\lambda_{\alpha}}.$$

The functions  $\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  extend to regular functions on G. For  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , these are simply the principal  $i \times i$  minor of a matrix  $x, i \in [1, n-1]$ .

An equivalent definition of  $\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  is the following. Let  $V_{\alpha}$  be the irreducible representation of G of highest weight  $\lambda_{\alpha}$ , and let  $v_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  be a highest weight vector. For any  $a \in V$ , let  $\xi_{\alpha}(a)$  be the coefficient of  $v_{\alpha}$  in the expansion of a in any basis consisting of  $v_{\alpha}$  and weight vectors. Then

$$\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(x) = \xi_{\alpha}(x \cdot v_{\alpha}), \quad x \in G$$

For any  $u, v \in W$ , the corresponding *generalised minor* is the regular function on G given by

$$\triangle_{u\lambda_{\alpha},v\lambda_{\alpha}}(x) = \triangle_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(\bar{u}^{-1}x\bar{v})$$

It can be checked that  $\triangle_{u\lambda_{\alpha},v\lambda_{\alpha}}$  depends only on  $u\lambda_{\alpha}$  and  $v\lambda_{\alpha}$ , thus making the notation consistent.

If  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , the Weyl group of G is naturally identified with the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Then  $\Delta_{u\lambda_{\alpha},v\lambda_{\alpha}}(x)$  is the minor of x formed by lines u([1,i]) and columns v([1,i]), where  $\alpha$  is the *i*'th simple root.

**Lemma 4.1.** [8, Proposition 2.4] Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . The zero locus of  $\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}$  is precisely  $\overline{B_{-}s_{\alpha}B}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and any  $g \in G$ , one has

$$\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha}(z)g) = \Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(g) + z \Delta_{s_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}}(g)$$
$$\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(gx_{-\alpha}(z)) = \Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(g) + z \Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha},s_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}}(g)$$

*Proof.* The two formulas can be proved similarly, so we will only prove the first one. Let V be the irreducible representation of G with highest weight  $\lambda_{\alpha}$ , and let  $v \in V$  be a highest weight vector. For any  $g \in G$ , one has

$$g \cdot v = \sum_{w \lambda_{\alpha} | w \in W} \triangle_{w \lambda_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}}(g) \bar{w} \cdot v + R,$$

where R lies in the direct sum of non-extremal weight spaces. Thus for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$x_{\alpha}(z)g \cdot v = \sum_{w\lambda_{\alpha}|w \in W} \triangle_{w\lambda_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}}(g)x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{w} \cdot v + x_{\alpha}(z)R.$$

For any  $a \in V$ , let  $\xi(a)$  be the coefficient of v in the expansion of a in any basis consisting of vand weight vectors. Then

$$\Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha}(z)g) = \xi(x_{\alpha}(z)g \cdot v) = \sum_{w\lambda_{\alpha}|w \in W} \Delta_{w\lambda_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}}(g)\xi(x_{\alpha}(z)\bar{w} \cdot v) + \xi(x_{\alpha}(z)R)$$
$$= \Delta_{\lambda_{\alpha}}(g) + z\Delta_{s_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}}(g).$$

Q.E.D.

4.2. Families of regular functions on  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}$  defined by minors. Let  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma)$  be as in Definition 3.1 and  $\gamma$  be a  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression of  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$ . Recall that  $\gamma$  is distinguished if  $C^{\gamma} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})} \neq \emptyset$ . This is equivalent, by Lemma 3.23, to  $J(\gamma) \subset I(\gamma)$ , recall Definitions 3.6 and 3.22. If  $\gamma$  is distinguished, we denote

(39) 
$$K(\gamma) = I(\gamma) \backslash J(\gamma).$$

Let  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  be the coordinates on  $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})}$  defined in (29). To lighten the notation we write

$$\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}^{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})},$$

and for  $j \in [1, n]$ ,

$$p_j(z_j) = p_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}),j}(z_j), \quad q_j(z_j) = q_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}),j}(z_j), \quad u(z) = u_{\sigma(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}(z).$$

In particular, one has

$$p_j(z_j) \begin{cases} = x_{\alpha_j}(z_j)\overline{\delta}_j, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1 \\ \in N, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \end{cases} \quad q_j(z_j) \begin{cases} \in N_-, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1 \\ = x_{-\alpha_j}(z_j)\overline{\delta}_j^{-1}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.3.** For  $j \in [1, n]$ , let

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = \begin{cases} (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_{j-1}(z_{j-1}))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_j(z_j) \in G, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1\\ (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_j(z_j))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_{j-1}(z_{j-1}) \in G, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

For every  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ , and  $j \in [1, n]$ , define the regular function on  $\mathcal{O}$ ,

$$\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\lambda_j} \left( \overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \right), & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1 \\ \Delta_{\lambda_j} \left( g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} \right), & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_j = \lambda_{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \in [1, n]$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $u(z) \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ . Then  $u(z) \in C^{\gamma}$  if and only if

(40) 
$$\begin{cases} \psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = 0, & \text{if } j \in J(\gamma) \\ \psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) \neq 0, & \text{if } j \notin I(\gamma). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $C^{\gamma}$  (recall Definition 3.4),  $u(z) \in C^{\gamma}$  if and only if

$$(q_1(z_1)\cdots q_j(z_j))^{-1}p_1(z_1)\cdots p_j(z_j)\in B_-\gamma^j B, \ j\in[1,n],$$

which is equivalent to

(41) 
$$g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \in B_-\gamma^j B$$

for any  $j \in [1, n]$ . Suppose that  $u(z) \in C^{\gamma}$ , and let  $j \notin I(\gamma)$ . Then  $\gamma^{j} = \gamma^{j-1}$ , and so

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \\ g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} \\ \end{cases} \in N_- HN.$$

Thus one has  $\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1, ..., z_j) \neq 0$ . Let now  $j \in J(\gamma)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is distinguished, this implies that  $\gamma_j = \delta_j$ , thus  $(\gamma^{j-1})^{-\epsilon_j} \alpha_j > 0$ . Assume that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ , the case  $\epsilon_j = 1$  being similar. One has

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \in N_- \gamma^j B = (N_- \cap \gamma^j N_- (\gamma^j)^{-1}) \gamma^{j-1} \delta_j B$$

It follows that

$$\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \in \left( (\gamma^{j-1})^{-1}N_-\gamma^{j-1} \cap \delta_j N_-\delta_j \right) \delta_j B \subset N_-\delta_j B.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.1, one must have  $\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u(z) \in \mathcal{O}$  satisfies (40). We need to show that (41) holds for every  $j \in [1, n]$ . Let j = 1. Since  $\gamma^0 = e$ , one has

$$\psi_{\gamma,1}(z_1) = \triangle_{\lambda_1}(x_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\overline{\delta}_1) = z_1.$$

If  $\gamma_1 = e$ , one has  $z_1 \neq 0$ , hence  $g_1(z_1) \in B_-B = B_-\gamma^1 B$ . If  $\gamma_1 = \delta_1$ , then  $1 \in J(\gamma)$ , so  $z_1 = 0$ . Thus  $g_1(z_1) \in B_-\delta_1 B = B_-\gamma^1 B$ . So assume that  $j \ge 2$ , and that (41) holds for j - 1. Once again both cases  $\epsilon_j = -1$  and  $\epsilon_j = 1$  are similar, so we will assume that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ . **Case**  $j \notin I(\gamma)$ :

Since  $\gamma$  is distinguished,  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ , so  $\gamma^j \alpha_j > 0$ , which means  $\gamma^j \delta_j > \gamma^j$ . Then

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_{j-1}(z_{j-1}))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_{j-1}(z_{j-1}) p_j(z_j)$$
  

$$\in B_- \gamma^{j-1} B \delta_j B = B_- \gamma^{j-1} B \cup B_- \gamma^{j-1} \delta_j B.$$

If  $g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \in B_-\gamma^{j-1}\delta_j B$ , then  $\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \in B_-\delta_j B$ . This implies, by Lemma 4.1, that  $\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \in B_- \gamma^{j-1} B = B_- \gamma^j B.$$

Case  $j \in J(\gamma)$ :

Since  $\gamma$  is distinguished,  $\gamma_j = \delta_j$ . Thus  $\gamma^{j-1}\delta_j > \gamma^{j-1}$ . One gets

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_{j-1}(z_{j-1}))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_{j-1}(z_{j-1}) p_j(z_j)$$
  

$$\in B_- \gamma^{j-1} B \delta_j B = B_- \gamma^{j-1} B \cup B_- \gamma^{j-1} \delta_j B.$$

If  $g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \in B_- \gamma^{j-1} B$ , then  $\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) \in B_- B$ . This implies that  $\psi_{\gamma, j}(z_1, ..., z_j) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus

$$g_j(z_1,...,z_j) \in B_-\gamma^{j-1}\delta_j B = B_-\gamma^j B_-$$

**Case**  $j \in K(\gamma)$ : Since  $\gamma$  is distinguished,  $\gamma^{j}\alpha_{j} > 0$ , so  $\gamma^{j-1}\delta_{j} < \gamma^{j-1}$ . Hence

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_{j-1}(z_{j-1}))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_{j-1}(z_{j-1}) p_j(z_j)$$
  

$$\in B_- \gamma^{j-1} B \delta_j B = B_- \gamma^{j-1} \delta_j B = B_- \gamma^j B.$$

Thus (41) holds for all  $j \in [1, n]$ .

# Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^d_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$  and  $j \in [1,n]$ . There exist polynomials  $L_j, M_j \in \mathbb{C}[z_1,...,z_{j-1}]$  such that

$$\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = L_j + z_j M_j.$$

Moreover,  $M_j$  vanishes nowhere on  $C^{\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* If j = 1, then  $\psi_{\gamma,1}(z_1) = z_1$ . So assume now that  $j \ge 2$ , and that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ , the other case being similar. One has  $g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = x_{j-1} x_{\alpha_j}(z_j) \overline{\delta}_j$ , where

$$x_{j-1} = (q_1(z_1) \cdots q_{j-1}(z_{j-1}))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_{j-1}(z_{j-1})$$

depends only on  $z_1, ..., z_{j-1}$ . Then, using Lemma 4.2,

$$\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1,...,z_j) = \triangle_{\lambda_j}(\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1}\overline{\delta}_j x_{-\alpha_j}(-z_j))$$
$$= \triangle_{\lambda_j}(\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1}\overline{\delta}_j) + z_j \triangle_{\lambda_j}(\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1}).$$

Thus one lets

$$L_j = \triangle_{\lambda_j}(\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1}\overline{\delta}_j) \text{ and } M_j = \triangle_{\lambda_j}(\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1}).$$

Suppose now that  $u(z) \in C^{\gamma}$ . Then in particular,  $x_{j-1} \in B_{-}\gamma^{j-1}B$ , so that  $\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1}x_{j-1} \in B_{-}B$ . Hence  $M_{j}(z_{1},...,z_{j-1}) \neq 0$ .

Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.6. The map

$$\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{|K(\gamma)|} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I(\gamma)^c|}, \ u(z) \mapsto ((\psi_{\gamma,j})_{j \in K(\gamma)}, (\psi_{\gamma,j})_{j \notin I(\gamma)}),$$

where  $I(\gamma)^c = [1, n] \setminus I(\gamma)$ , is an isomorphism.

Proof. Indeed by Lemma 4.5, for any value

$$((x_j)_{j \in K(\gamma)}, (x_j)_{j \notin I(\gamma)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{|K(\gamma)|} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I(\gamma)^c|},$$

one can uniquely solve  $\psi_{\gamma,j}(z_1, ..., z_j) = x_j$ .

Q.E.D.

Fix now a positive  $\sigma$ -shuffled subexpression  $\gamma$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$  be the coordinates on  $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma}$  defined in (29). By Proposition 3.25,  $u_{\gamma}(\xi) \in \mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma}$  if and only if  $\xi_j = 0$  when  $j \in J(\gamma)$ , and  $\xi_j \neq 0$  when  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|J(\gamma)^c|}, \quad u_{\gamma}(\xi) \mapsto (\xi_j)_{j \notin J(\gamma)},$$

is an isomorphism. On the other hand, by Corollary 4.6,

$$\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|J(\gamma)^c|}, \quad u(z) \mapsto (\psi_{\gamma,j})_{j \notin J(\gamma)}$$

is another set of coordinates on  $\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma}$ . We show now that these two systems of coordinates are related by a triangular matrix of monomials.

**Definition 4.7.** For any  $1 \leq k < j \leq n$ , define

$$\mathbf{u}_{(k,j]} = \prod_{k < i \leq j, \epsilon_i = -1} \delta_i, \quad \mathbf{v}_{(k,j]} = \prod_{k < i \leq j, \epsilon_i = 1} \delta_i,$$

where the index in both products is increasing, and it is understood that  $\mathbf{u}_{(k,j]}$  or  $\mathbf{v}_{(k,j]} = e$ , if the set over which the product is defined is empty.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ , and  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ . Then over  $\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma}$ , one has

$$\psi_{\gamma,j} = \prod_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant j, k \notin J(\gamma)} \xi_k^{-m_{j,k}}$$

where

$$m_{j,k} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{v}_{(k,j]}\gamma^{j}\lambda_{j},\check{\alpha}_{k}), & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1, \epsilon_{k} = 1\\ (\mathbf{u}_{(k,j]}\lambda_{j},\check{\alpha}_{k}), & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1, \epsilon_{k} = -1\\ (\mathbf{v}_{(k,j]}\lambda_{j},\check{\alpha}_{k}), & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1, \epsilon_{k} = 1\\ (\mathbf{u}_{(k,j]}(\gamma^{j})^{-1}\lambda_{j},\check{\alpha}_{k}), & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1, \epsilon_{k} = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n), \xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and suppose that  $u(z) = u_{\gamma}(\xi)$ . Then there exist elements  $b_j \in B, b_{-j} \in B_-$ , for  $j \in [1, n]$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} p_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) &= p_1(z_1)b_1\\ b_1p_{\gamma,2}(\xi_2) &= p_2(z_2)b_2\\ \vdots\\ b_{n-1}p_{\gamma,n}(\xi_n) &= p_n(z_n)b_n, \end{cases} \begin{cases} q_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) &= q_1(z_1)b_{-1}\\ b_{-1}q_{\gamma,2}(\xi_2) &= q_2(z_2)b_{-2}\\ \vdots\\ b_{-(n-1)}q_{\gamma,n}(\xi_n) &= q_n(z_n)b_{-n}. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$b_j = t_j a_j, \quad b_{-j} = t_{-j} a_{-j},$$

with  $t_j, t_{-j} \in H$ ,  $a_j \in N$ , and  $a_{-j} \in N_-$ ,  $j \in [1, n]$ . Let now  $j \notin J(\gamma)$  and suppose first that  $\epsilon_j = -1$ . If  $u(z) = u_{\gamma}(\xi) \in C^{\gamma}$ , then by Lemma 3.7, and Remark 3.16,

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = q_j(z_j)(q_1(z_1) \cdots q_j(z_j))^{-1} p_1(z_1) \cdots p_j(z_j)$$
  
=  $q_j(z_j) \left( q_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) \cdots q_{\gamma,j}(\xi_j) b_{-j}^{-1} \right)^{-1} p_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) \cdots p_{\gamma,n}(\xi_n) b_j^{-1}$   
=  $q_j(z_j) b_{-j} \overline{\gamma^j} b_j^{-1}.$ 

Similarly, if  $\epsilon_j = 1$ , then

$$g_j(z_1, ..., z_j) = b_{-j} \overline{\gamma^j} b_j^{-1} p_j(z_j)^{-1}.$$

Recall that since  $\gamma$  is positive, one has  $\gamma^j = \gamma^{j-1}$ . So if  $\epsilon_j = -1$ ,

(42)  

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\gamma,j} &= \triangle_{\lambda_j} (\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} g_j(z_1, ..., z_j)) = \triangle_{\lambda_j} (\overline{\gamma^{j-1}}^{-1} q_j(z_j) b_{-j} \overline{\gamma^j} b_j^{-1}) \\
&= \triangle_{\lambda_j} (\overline{\gamma^j}^{-1} q_j(z_j) b_{-j} \overline{\gamma^j} b_j^{-1}) \\
&= t_{-j}^{\gamma^j \lambda_j} t_j^{-\lambda_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $\epsilon_j = 1$ , one gets

(43) 
$$\psi_{\gamma,j} = t_{-j}^{\lambda_j} t_j^{-(\gamma^j)^{-1}\lambda_j}.$$

Suppose now that  $\epsilon_1 = -1$ . Since  $q_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1)$  and  $q_1(z_1)$  both lie in  $N_-$ , one has  $t_{-1} = e$ . If  $\gamma_1 = \delta_1$ , one has  $1 \in J(\gamma)$ , and  $p_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) = \overline{\delta}_1$ . Thus  $t_1 = e$ . If  $\gamma_1 = e$ , then

$$p_{\gamma,1}(\xi_1) = x_{-\alpha_1}(\xi_1) = x_{\alpha_1}(\xi_1^{-1})\bar{\delta}_1\check{\alpha}_1(\xi_1)x_{\alpha_1}(\xi_1^{-1}),$$

and so  $t_1 = \check{\alpha}_1(\xi_1)$ . Similarly, if  $\epsilon_1 = 1$ , then  $t_1 = e$ , and

$$t_{-1} = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } \gamma_1 = \delta_1 \\ \check{\alpha}_1(\xi_1^{-1}), & \text{if } \gamma_1 = e. \end{cases}$$

We claim now that for all  $j \in [2, n]$  and  $u(z) = u_{\gamma}(\xi) \in \mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma}$ ,

(44) 
$$t_{j} = \begin{cases} t_{j-1}^{\delta_{j}}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1, \ j \in J(\gamma) \\ \check{\alpha}_{j}(\xi_{j})t_{j-1}^{\delta_{j}}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = -1, \ j \notin J(\gamma) \\ t_{j-1}, & \text{if } \epsilon_{j} = 1. \end{cases}$$

and

(45) 
$$t_{-j} = \begin{cases} t_{-(j-1)}^{\delta_j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \ j \in J(\gamma) \\ \check{\alpha}_j(\xi_j^{-1}) t_{-(j-1)}^{\delta_j}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = 1, \ j \notin J(\gamma) \\ t_{-(j-1)}, & \text{if } \epsilon_j = -1. \end{cases}$$

Both (44) and (45) arise from similar calculations, so we will concentrate on (44). Suppose that  $\epsilon_j = -1$  and  $j \in J(\gamma)$ . Then  $p_{\gamma,j}(\xi_j) = \bar{\delta}_j$ , so

$$b_{j-1}p_{\gamma,j}(\xi_j) = t_{j-1}a_{j-1}\bar{\delta}_j = x_{\alpha_j}(z_j)\bar{\delta}_j t_{j-1}^{\delta_j}a_j.$$

Hence  $t_j = t_{j-1}^{\delta_j}$ . Suppose now that  $j \notin J(\gamma)$ . Write  $a_{j-1} = x_{\alpha_j}(\xi'_j)a'_{j-1}$ , with  $\xi'_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a'_{j-1} \in N \cap \delta_j N \delta_j$ . Then

$$b_{j-1}p_{\gamma,j}(\xi_j) = t_{j-1}a_{j-1}x_{-\alpha_j}(\xi_j)$$
  
=  $t_{j-1}x_{\alpha_j}(\xi'_j)x_{-\alpha_j}(\xi_j) \left(x_{-\alpha_j}(-\xi_j)a'_{j-1}x_{-\alpha_j}(\xi_j)\right)$   
=  $t_{j-1}x_{\alpha_j}((1+\xi_j\xi'_j)/\xi_j)\bar{\delta}_j\check{\alpha}_j(\xi_j)x_{\alpha}(\xi_j^{-1}) \left(x_{-\alpha_j}(-\xi_j)a'_{j-1}x_{-\alpha_j}(\xi_j)\right)$   
=  $x_{\alpha_j}(z_j)\bar{\delta}_j t^{\delta_j}_{j-1}\check{\alpha}_j(\xi_j)a_j,$ 

so  $t_j = \check{\alpha}_j(\xi_j) t_{j-1}^{\delta_j}$ . Suppose now that  $\epsilon_j = 1$ . Then since  $p_{\gamma,j}(\xi_j)$  and  $p_j(z_j)$  lie in N, one has  $t_j = t_{j-1}$ .

Now (44) and (45) give

$$t_j = \prod_{k=1,\epsilon_k=-1,k\notin J(\gamma)}^{j} \check{\alpha}_k(\xi_k)^{\mathbf{u}_{(k,j]}}$$
$$t_{-j} = \prod_{k=1,\epsilon_k=1,k\notin J(\gamma)}^{j} \check{\alpha}_k(\xi_k^{-1})^{\mathbf{v}_{(k,j]}}.$$

Substituting in (42) and (43) yields the result.

Q.E.D.

**Definition 4.9.** For any  $\gamma \in \Upsilon^+_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\sigma}$ , let  $M_{\gamma} = (m_{j,k})_{j,k\notin J(\gamma)}$  be the  $|J(\gamma)^c| \times |J(\gamma)^c|$  lower triangular matrix where  $m_{j,k}$  is as in Theorem 4.8, for  $k \leq j, j, k \notin J(\gamma)$ .

Notice that  $M_{\gamma}$  has diagonal entries 1. Informally, we write Theorem 4.8 as

$$\psi_{\gamma} = \xi^{M_{\gamma}}.$$

4.3. On the inverse of  $M_{\gamma}$ . Let  $L_{\gamma}$  be the inverse of  $M_{\gamma}$ . The relation

$$\xi = \psi_{\gamma}^{L_{\gamma}}$$

can be thought as an analogous of the inverse factorization problem of Fomin and Zelevinsky, see [8]. That is, the local coordinates  $(\xi_k)_{k\notin J(\gamma)}$  on  $\mathcal{O} \cap C^{\gamma}$  are being written in terms of regular functions  $(\psi_{\gamma,j})_{j\notin J(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ .

The following Lemma 4.10 provides an inductive formula to express the entries of  $L_{\gamma}$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $v_1, ..., v_n \in V$ , and  $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n \in V^*$ . For  $1 \leq k < j \leq n$ , Suppose given operators  $M_{jk} \in \text{End}(V)$  and let  $(m_{jk})_{j,k=1,...,n} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{K})$  be the lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries 1 and

$$m_{jk} = (M_{jk}v_j, \varphi_k), \quad 1 \le k < j \le n.$$

Let  $(l_{jk})_{j,k=1,\dots,n}$  be the inverse of  $(m_{jk})_{j,k=1,\dots,n}$ . Then there exist operators  $L_{ji} \in End(V)$  such that

$$l_{jk} = (L_{jk}v_j, \varphi_k), \quad 1 \le k < j \le n.$$

*Proof.* For j - k = 1, one lets  $L_{jk} = -M_{jk}$ . Thus assume j - k > 1 and the operators  $L_{j'k'}$  defined for j' - k' < j - k. Then

$$l_{jk} = -\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{j-1} l_{ji}m_{ik}\right) - m_{jk}$$
$$= -\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{j-1} ((L_{ji}v_j,\varphi_i)M_{ik}v_i,\varphi_k)\right) - m_{jk}$$
$$= \left(-\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{j-1} (L_{ji}v_j,\varphi_i)M_{ik}v_i\right) - M_{jk}v_j,\varphi_k\right).$$

Thus set

$$L_{jk}x = -\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{j-1} (L_{ji}x,\varphi_i)M_{ik}v_i\right) - M_{jk}x, \quad x \in V.$$

Q.E.D.

By Lemma 4.10, one can inductively define operators  $L_{jk} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ , for  $k < j, j, k \notin J(\gamma)$ , such that

(46) 
$$(L_{\gamma})_{j,k} = (L_{jk}\lambda_j, \check{\alpha}_k).$$

In the case when  $\mathbf{v} = \emptyset$ , that is one only has a single Bott Samelson variety  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ , the operators in (46) can be expressed in simple way.

**Definition 4.11.** For any  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , define  $r_{\alpha} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  by

$$r_{\alpha}x = x - (x, \check{\alpha}_i)\lambda_{\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

**Proposition 4.12.** [11] Suppose that  $\mathbf{v} = \emptyset$ . For  $i \in [1, n]$ , let  $r_i = r_{\alpha_i}$  and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} s_i, & \text{ if } i \in J(\gamma) \\ r_i, & \text{ if } i \notin J(\gamma). \end{array} \right.$$

For k < j and  $k, j \notin J(\gamma)$ , one has

$$(L_{\gamma})_{k,j} = -(\tilde{\gamma}_{k+1}\cdots\tilde{\gamma}_{j-1}s_j\lambda_j,\check{\alpha}_k).$$

#### VICTOR MOUQUIN

#### References

- [1] Brion M., *Lectures on the geometry of flag varieties*, Topics in cohomological studies of algebraic varieties, 33–85, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- Brion M., Kumar S., Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory, Progress in Mathematics, 231. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005. x+250 pp. ISBN: 0-8176-4191-2.
- Bott R., Samelson H., Application of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces, Amer. J. Math. 80 1958 964–1029.
- [4] Demazure M., Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 7 (1974), 53–88.
- [5] Deodhar V., On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), no. 3, 499–511.
- [6] Dudas O., Note on the Deodhar decomposition of a double Schubert cell, arXiv:0807.2198 [math.AG].
- [7] Evens S., Lu J-H., One the variety of Lagrangian subalgebras II, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 39 (2006), no. 2, 347–379.
- [8] Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Double Bruhat cells and Total Positivity, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), no. 2, 335–380.
- [9] Härterich M., The T-equivariant Cohomology of Bott-Samelson varieties, arXiv:math/0412337v1 [math.AG].
- [10] He X-H, Lu J-H, On intersections of certain partitions of a group compactification, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 11, 2534–2564.
- [11] Lu J-H, On a Poisson structure on Bott-Samelson varieties, in preparation.
- [12] Lu J-H, Mouquin V., A Poisson structure on product of flag varieties, in preparation.
- [13] Marsh R. J., Rietsch K., Parametrizations of flag varieties, Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 212–242.
- [14] Richardson R., Intersections of double cosets in algebraic groups, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 3 (1992), no. 1, 69–77.
- [15] Springer T.A., Linear Algebraic Groups, Second edition, Progress in Mathematics, 9. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. xvi+334 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8176-4839-8.
- [16] Webster B., Yakimov M., A Deodhar-type stratification on the double flag variety, Transform. Groups 12 (2007), no. 4, 769–785.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG *E-mail address*: victor.mouquin@gmail.com