

A GEOMETRIC CONDITION, NECESSITY OF ENERGY, AND TWO WEIGHT BOUNDEDNESS OF FRACTIONAL RIESZ TRANSFORMS

ERIC T. SAWYER, CHUN-YEN SHEN, AND IGNACIO URIARTE-TUERO

ABSTRACT. Let σ and ω be locally finite positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n with no common point masses. We assume that at least one of the two measures σ and ω is supported on a line in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ be the α -fractional Riesz transform vector on \mathbb{R}^n . We prove that new energy conditions are implied by the \mathcal{A}_2^α and cube testing conditions for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$. Then we prove an extension and correction of the main theorem in *arXiv:1305.5104v7* to the new energy conditions, and apply it to give a T1 theorem for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$: namely that $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ is bounded from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$ if and only if the \mathcal{A}_2^α conditions hold, the cube testing conditions for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ and its dual both hold, and the weak boundedness property for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ holds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Standard fractional singular integrals	3
1.2. Poisson integrals and \mathcal{A}_2^α	4
1.3. Energy condition	5
1.4. Statement of the Theorems	8
2. One measure supported in a line	11
2.1. Backward energy condition	11
2.2. Forward energy condition	18
3. Appendix	23
3.1. Equivalence of energy and functional energy modulo \mathcal{A}_2^α	24
3.2. The remaining points	47
References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

In [SaShUr] and [SaShUr2], under a side assumption that certain *energy conditions* held, the authors claimed that the two weight inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}(f\sigma)\|_{L^2(\omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)},$$

Date: October 7, 2013.

Research supported in part by NSERC.

C.-Y. Shen supported in part by the NSC, through grant NSC102-2115-M-008-015-MY2.

I. Uriarte-Tuero has been partially supported by grants DMS-1056965 (US NSF), MTM2010-16232, MTM2009-14694-C02-01 (Spain), and a Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

for strongly elliptic α -fractional singular integrals $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}$ in \mathbb{R}^n (with $0 \leq \alpha < n$) held if and only if the \mathcal{A}_2 conditions and the cube testing conditions held. However, the argument given there failed to address the extension of the weak boundedness property to higher dimensions:

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_Q \mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n} (1_{Q'} \sigma) d\omega \right| \leq \mathcal{WBP}_{\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}} \sqrt{|Q|_\omega |Q'|_\sigma},$$

$$\text{for all cubes } Q, Q' \text{ with } \frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{|Q|_\omega^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|Q'|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq C,$$

where C is a sufficiently large constant. We thank Michael Lacey for bringing this omission to our attention. Consequently, the arguments in [SaShUr] and [SaShUr2] prove the weaker conclusion that, assuming the same energy conditions, the two weight inequality (1.1) holds if and only if the \mathcal{A}_2 conditions, the cube testing conditions, and the weak boundedness property (1.2) for $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}$ all hold. We note that (1.2) is an immediate consequence of either the *doubled* cube testing condition,

$$\|1_{2Q} \mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n} (1_Q \sigma)\|_{L^2(\omega)} \lesssim \|1_Q\|_{L^2(\sigma)}, \quad \text{for all cubes } Q \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

or the doubled dual cube testing condition. See Theorem 1 below for a precise statement. See also [LaWi2] for more on the weak boundedness property for Riesz transforms.

It is not known at the time of this writing whether or not these or any other energy conditions are necessary for *any* vector $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}$ of fractional singular integrals in \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$, apart from the trivial case of positive operators. In particular there are no known counterexamples. We also showed in [SaShUr2] and [SaShUr3] that the technique of reversing energy, typically used to prove energy conditions, fails spectacularly in higher dimension (and we thank M. Lacey for showing us this failure for the Cauchy transform with the circle measure). See also the counterexamples for the fractional Riesz transforms in [LaWi2].

The purpose of this paper is to show that if σ and ω are locally finite positive Borel measures without common point masses, and at least *one* of the two measures σ and ω is supported on a line in \mathbb{R}^n , then the energy conditions are indeed necessary for boundedness of the fractional Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$, and hence that a T1 theorem holds for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ with the weak boundedness property included as one of the conditions. M. Lacey and B. Wick [LaWi] have independently obtained a similar result for the Cauchy transform in the plane, and the five authors have combined on the paper [LaSaShUrWi]. The case of one measure supported on a line seems to be the most general situation in which some reversal of energy holds, with even the case of one measure supported on a smooth curve being problematic. The vector of α -fractional Riesz transforms is given by

$$\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} = \{R_\ell^{\alpha,n} : 1 \leq \ell \leq n\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < n,$$

where the component Riesz transforms $R_\ell^{\alpha,n}$ are the convolution fractional singular integrals $R_\ell^{\alpha,n} f \equiv K_\ell^{\alpha,n} * f$ with odd kernel defined by

$$K_\ell^{\alpha,n}(w) \equiv c_{\alpha,n} \frac{w^\ell}{|w|^{n+1-\alpha}}.$$

In order to prove our T1 theorem in this setting, we will need a strengthened version of the two weight theorem discussed above, one that uses a certain *weakened*

form of the energy condition that is large enough for use as a side condition, yet small enough to be necessary for the boundedness of the Riesz transform when one of the measures is supported on a line. We discuss this new form of energy condition in detail below. See also [LaWi2] for an even weaker formulation of the energy condition. Finally, we remark that the T1 theorem under this geometric condition has application to the weighted discrete Hilbert transform $H_{(\Gamma, v)}$ when the sequence Γ is supported on a line in the complex plane. See [BeMeSe] where $H_{(\Gamma, v)}$ is essentially the Cauchy transform with $n = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$.

But first we describe our strengthened two weight theorem precisely. We will prove a two weight inequality for standard α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operators T^α in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , where we assume the n -dimensional \mathcal{A}_2^α and new α -energy conditions as side conditions. In higher dimensions the Poisson kernels \mathcal{P}^α and \mathbf{P}^α used in these two conditions differ, and the energy conditions used here are weakened from previous papers. In particular, we show that for locally finite Borel measures σ and ω in \mathbb{R}^n with no common point masses, and assuming that both the *energy condition*

$$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \equiv \sup_{I=\dot{\cup} I_r} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}(I_r)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I\sigma})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$$

and its dual hold (where $\mathcal{M}(I_r)$ consists of the maximal *deeply embedded* subcubes of I_r , and $\mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega}$ is the *good* Haar projection on J - see below for definitions), a strongly elliptic vector of standard α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operators \mathbf{T}^α is bounded from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$ *if and only if* the \mathcal{A}_2^α condition

$$\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Q, \sigma) \frac{|Q|_\omega}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} < \infty$$

and its dual hold, the following cube testing conditions hold,

$$\int_Q |\mathbf{T}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_Q \sigma)|^2 \omega \leq \int_Q d\sigma \text{ and } \int_Q |(\mathbf{T}^\alpha)^*(\mathbf{1}_Q \omega)|^2 \sigma \leq \int_Q d\omega,$$

for all cubes Q in \mathbb{R}^n , and finally that the weak boundedness property (1.2) holds.

As a corollary, we prove a T1 theorem for the α -fractional Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}$ when one of the measures σ and ω is supported on a line L in \mathbb{R}^n . More precisely, we then prove that $\mathbf{R}_\sigma^{\alpha, n}$ is bounded from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$ *if and only if* the \mathcal{A}_2^α conditions hold, the $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}$ -cube testing conditions hold, and the $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}$ -weak boundedness property holds; thus providing an n -dimensional extension to the two weight theorem for the Hilbert transform (see [Lac] and [LaSaShUr3]). The precise nature of the energy condition used here plays a key role in proving this corollary. See Remark 4 below.

In order to state our theorem precisely, we need to define standard fractional singular integrals, the two different Poisson kernels, and a new weakened energy condition which remains sufficient for use in the proof of the two weight theorem. These are introduced in the following three subsections respectively.

1.1. Standard fractional singular integrals. Let $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Consider a kernel function $K^\alpha(x, y)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the fractional size and smoothness

conditions,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |K^\alpha(x, y)| &\leq C |x - y|^{\alpha-n}, \\ |K^\alpha(x, y) - K^\alpha(x', y)| &\leq C \frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y|} |x - y|^{\alpha-n}, \quad \frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y|} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ |K^\alpha(x, y) - K^\alpha(x, y')| &\leq C \frac{|y - y'|}{|x - y|} |x - y|^{\alpha-n}, \quad \frac{|y - y'|}{|x - y|} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define a standard α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with such a kernel as follows.

Definition 1. We say that T^α is a standard α -fractional integral operator with kernel K^α if T^α is a bounded linear operator from some $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to some $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some fixed $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, that is

$$\|T^\alpha f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

if $K^\alpha(x, y)$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and satisfies (1.3), and if T^α and K^α are related by

$$T^\alpha f(x) = \int K^\alpha(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x \notin \text{supp } f,$$

whenever $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support in \mathbb{R}^n . We say $K^\alpha(x, y)$ is a standard α -fractional kernel if it satisfies (1.3).

A smooth truncation of T^α has kernel $\eta_{\delta, R}(|x - y|) K^\alpha(x, y)$ for a smooth function $\eta_{\delta, R}$ compactly supported in (δ, R) and satisfying standard CZ estimates. A typical example of an α -fractional transform is the α -fractional Riesz vector of operators

$$\mathbf{R}^{n, \alpha} = \{R_\ell^{n, \alpha} : 1 \leq \ell \leq n\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < n.$$

The Riesz transforms $R_\ell^{n, \alpha}$ are convolution fractional singular integrals $R_\ell^{n, \alpha} f \equiv K_\ell^{n, \alpha} * f$ with odd kernel defined by

$$K_\ell^{n, \alpha}(w) \equiv \frac{w^\ell}{|w|^{n+1-\alpha}} \equiv \frac{\Omega_\ell(w)}{|w|^{n-\alpha}}.$$

The *tangent line truncation* of the Riesz transform $R_\ell^{n, \alpha}$ has kernel $\Omega_\ell(w) \psi_{\delta, R}^\alpha(|w|)$ where $\psi_{\delta, R}^\alpha$ is continuously differentiable on an interval $(0, S)$ with $0 < \delta < R < S$, and where $\psi_{\delta, R}^\alpha(r) = r^{\alpha-n}$ if $\delta \leq r \leq R$, and has constant derivative on both $(0, \delta)$ and (R, S) where $\psi_{\delta, R}^\alpha(S) = 0$. As shown in the one dimensional case in [LaSaShUr3], boundedness of $R_\ell^{n, \alpha}$ with one set of appropriate truncations together with the \mathcal{A}_2^α condition below, is equivalent to boundedness of $R_\ell^{n, \alpha}$ with all truncations.

1.2. Poisson integrals and \mathcal{A}_2^α . Now let μ be a locally finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and suppose Q is a cube in \mathbb{R}^n . The two α -fractional Poisson integrals of μ on a cube Q are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Q, \mu) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - x_Q|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\mu(x), \\ \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Q, \mu) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - x_Q|\right)^2} \right)^{n-\alpha} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to P^α as the *standard* Poisson integral and to \mathcal{P}^α as the *reproducing* Poisson integral. Let σ and ω be locally finite positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n with no common point masses, and suppose $0 \leq \alpha < n$. We now recall the definitions of the \mathcal{A}_2^α constants in [SaShUr] and [SaShUr2] using \mathcal{P}^α . The energy constants \mathcal{E}_α constants introduced in the next subsection will use the standard Poisson integral P^α . Let \mathcal{Q}^n denote the collection of all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n , and denote by \mathcal{D}^n or simply \mathcal{D} a dyadic grid in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2. *The one-sided constants \mathcal{A}_2^α and $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}$ for the weight pair (σ, ω) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Q, \sigma) \frac{|Q|_\omega}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} < \infty, \\ \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*} &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Q, \omega) \frac{|Q|_\sigma}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

1.3. Energy condition. Given a dyadic cube $K \in \mathcal{D}$ and a positive measure μ we define the Haar projection P_K^μ onto K by

$$\|P_K^\mu f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}: J \subset K} \left| \langle f, h_J^{\mu,a} \rangle_\mu \right|^2,$$

and where the Haar basis $\{h_J^{\mu,a}\}_{a \in \Gamma_n \text{ and } J \in \mathcal{D}}$ adapted to the measure μ is that defined in [SaShUr] and [SaShUr2]. Now we recall the definition of a *good* dyadic cube - see [NTV4] and [LaSaUr2] for more detail.

Definition 3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. A dyadic cube J is (r, ε) -good, or simply good, if for every dyadic supercube I , it is the case that **either** J has side length at least 2^{-r} times that of I , **or** $J \Subset I$ is (r, ε) -deeply embedded in I .*

Here we say that J is (r, ε) -deeply embedded in K , or simply deeply embedded in K , which we write as $J \Subset K$, when $J \subset K$ and both

$$\begin{aligned}|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} &\leq 2^{-r} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}, \\ \text{dist}(J, \partial K) &\geq \frac{1}{2} |J|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}} |K|^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

We say that J is *nearby* in K when $J \subset K$ and

$$|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > 2^{-r} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The parameters (r, ε) will be fixed sufficiently large later in the proof, and we denote the set of such good dyadic cubes by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{good}}$.

Then we define the smaller ‘good’ Haar projection $P_K^{\text{good}, \omega}$ by

$$P_K^{\text{good}, \mu} f \equiv \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(K)} \langle f, h_J^{\mu,a} \rangle_\mu h_J^{\mu,a},$$

where $\mathcal{G}(K)$ consists of the good subcubes of K :

$$\mathcal{G}(K) \equiv \{J \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{good}} : J \subset K\}.$$

We also define the even smaller ‘good/deep’ Haar projection $P_K^{\text{good/deep}, \mu}$ by

$$P_K^{\text{good/deep}, \mu} f \equiv \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(K)} \langle f, h_J^{\mu,a} \rangle_\mu h_J^{\mu,a},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(K)$ consists of the good deeply embedded subcubes of K :

$$\mathcal{L}(K) \equiv \{J \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{good}} : J \Subset K\}.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbf{P}_K^{\text{good/deep}, \mu} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 &\leq \left\| \mathbf{P}_K^{\text{good}, \mu} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \leq \left\| \mathbf{P}_I^\mu \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 \\ &= \int_I \left| \mathbf{x} - \left(\frac{1}{|I|_\mu} \int_I \mathbf{x} dx \right) \right|^2 d\mu(x), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{P}_I^\mu \mathbf{x}$ is the orthogonal projection of the identity function $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ onto the vector-valued subspace of $\oplus_{k=1}^n L^2(\mu)$ consisting of functions supported in I with μ -mean value zero, etc.

Recall that in dimension $n = 1$, and for $\alpha = 0$, the energy condition was defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_2)^2 &\equiv \sup_{I=\dot{\cup} I_r} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |I_r|_\omega \mathbf{E}(I_r, \mu)^2 \mathbf{P}^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 \\ &= \sup_{I=\dot{\cup} I_r} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|I_r|} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_{I_r}^\omega \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}(I, \mu)^2 \equiv \frac{1}{|I|_\omega} \left\| \mathbf{P}_I^\mu \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|I|} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Our extension of the energy conditions to higher dimensions in this paper will use the collection $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)$ of *maximal* deeply embedded dyadic subcubes of a dyadic cube K . We let $J^* = \gamma J$ where $\gamma > 2$ is a large constant depending on n and α that will be fixed later, and the goodness parameters r and ε are chosen sufficiently large and small respectively that the bounded overlap property

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)} \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \leq \beta \mathbf{1}_K,$$

holds for some positive constant β depending only on n, γ, r and ε . We define the (forward) energy condition in dimension $n \geq 2$ for $0 \leq \alpha < n$ as follows.

Definition 4. Suppose σ and ω are positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n without common point masses. Then the energy condition constant \mathcal{E}_α is given by

$$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \equiv \sup_{I=\dot{\cup} I_r} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2.$$

There is a similar definition for the dual (backward) energy condition.

Note that these definitions of the energy conditions depend on the choice of goodness parameters r and ε . We now make three remarks regarding this new definition of energy condition, the first giving a brief description of how it will be implemented in the proof, the second pointing to its position intermediate between two extremes, and the third explaining how it circumvents the difficulty with earlier definitions.

Remark 1. *There are two layers of dyadic decomposition in the energy condition; the outer layer $I = \dot{\cup} I_r$ which is essentially arbitrary, and an inner layer $I_r =$*

$\bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} J$ in which the cubes J are ‘nicely arranged’ within I_r . Relative to this

doubly layered decomposition we sum the products $\left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}\right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$, which resemble a type of A_2^α expression. The point of the outer decomposition is to capture ‘stopping time cubes’, which are essentially arbitrary. The point of the inner decomposition is that with $J^ = \gamma J$ as above we can then write*

$$P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma) = P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \sigma) + P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^*} \sigma),$$

and use that $\left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_J) \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J|_\omega$ to estimate the product involving $\mathbf{1}_{J^} \sigma$ by*

$$\left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{|J^*|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} |J^*|_\sigma}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J|_\omega \lesssim A_2^\alpha |J^*|_\sigma,$$

to which we apply the bounded overlap property (1.4), while the remaining product involving $\mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^} \sigma$,*

$$\left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^*} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,$$

has a ‘hole’ in the support of $\mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^} \sigma$ that contains the support of ω in the cube J well inside the hole, and moreover these holes are ‘nicely arranged’ within I_r . Of particular importance is that for subcubes $J' \subset J$, the projections $\left\| \mathbf{P}_{J'}^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ are ad-*

ditive, and the Poisson ratios are essentially constant $\frac{P^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \approx \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^*} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$.*

This together with the goodness of the cubes J' (even though J may not be good) permits the use of certain arguments for reversing energy, and lies at the center of our proof of the NTV conjecture for the α -fractional Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}$ when one of the measures σ and ω is supported on a line.

Remark 2. *The sum in the definition of the energy condition above can be written*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{G}(J)} \left| \widehat{X}^\omega(J') \right|^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \left| \widehat{X}^\omega(J') \right|^2 \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J_{J'}, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J_{J'}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2,$$

where for $J' \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)$, the cube $J_{J'}$ is the unique $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{good}}(I_r)$ containing J' . The smallest such sum of this form is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \left| \widehat{X}^\omega(J') \right|^2 \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_{I_r}^{\text{good/deep}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,$$

since $\frac{P^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \int_I \frac{1}{(|K|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_K|)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y)$ essentially increases as K decreases.

While the energy condition corresponding to this smallest sum is easier to prove necessary for boundedness of singular integrals, it is not large enough to play the role

of a side condition in the sufficiency proof. On the other hand, the largest such sum of this form is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{G}(I_r)} \left| \widehat{X}^{\omega}(J') \right|^2 \left(\frac{P^{\alpha}(J', \mathbf{1}_{I\sigma})}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2,$$

whose corresponding energy condition is too large to prove necessary for boundedness of any reasonable singular integrals. There are many variants of energy condition between these two extremes, but the definition used here strikes the balance of being large enough to be sufficient as a side condition, while being small enough to prove necessary for boundedness of fractional Riesz transforms when one measure is supported on a line. In fact the definition here appears to be the only energy condition of this type with these two properties.

Remark 3. In our previous paper [SaShUr2] we defined the energy condition so as to include all (good) subcubes J of I_r . The crucial difference is that this definition in [SaShUr2] included the nearby subcubes J of I_r , i.e. those J for which $|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 2^{-r} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and it is these nearby subcubes that gave rise to an error in the proof that energy reversal implies the energy conditions in an earlier version 5 of [SaShUr2]. Namely, these nearby cubes must be expanded to accommodate any sort of reversal of energy in higher dimension, and the expanded cubes can have infinite overlap in the arbitrary outer layer of dyadic decomposition of the energy condition. The main point to observe here is that we are able to discard the nearby subcubes J of I_r from our definition of energy condition, since in the nonlinear forms that arise in the proofs of the two weight inequalities for singular integrals, the terms corresponding to the nearby subcubes are almost diagonal terms, and are handled separately by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This accounts for the success of the new definition in permitting enough reversal of energy to deliver necessity of the new energy condition when $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ is bounded and one of the weights is supported in a line.

1.4. Statement of the Theorems. We can now state our main two weight theorem that strengthens the theorem in [SaShUr2]. Let \mathcal{Q}^n denote the collection of all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n , and denote by \mathcal{D}^n a dyadic grid in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1. Suppose that T^{α} is a standard α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operator on \mathbb{R}^n , and that ω and σ are positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n without common point masses. Set $T_{\sigma}^{\alpha} f = T^{\alpha}(f\sigma)$ for any smooth truncation of T_{σ}^{α} . Then

- (1) Suppose $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Then the operator T_{σ}^{α} is bounded from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$, i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad \|T_{\sigma}^{\alpha} f\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq \mathfrak{N}_{T_{\sigma}^{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)},$$

uniformly in smooth truncations of T^{α} , and moreover

$$\mathfrak{N}_{T_{\sigma}^{\alpha}} \leq C_{\alpha} \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} + \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha}^{*} + \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} + \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{*} \right),$$

provided that

- (a) the two dual \mathcal{A}_2^{α} conditions hold,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(Q, \sigma) \frac{|Q|_{\omega}}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} < \infty, \\ \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*} &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \frac{|Q|_{\sigma}}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(Q, \omega) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

(b) and the two dual cube testing conditions hold,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha^2 &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \frac{1}{|Q|_\sigma} \int_Q |T^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_Q \sigma)|^2 \omega < \infty, \\ (\mathfrak{T}_\alpha^*)^2 &\equiv \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^n} \frac{1}{|Q|_\omega} \int_Q |(T^\alpha)^*(\mathbf{1}_Q \omega)|^2 \sigma < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

(c) and the weak boundedness property holds,

$$\left| \int_Q T^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_{Q'} \sigma) d\omega \right| \leq \mathcal{WBP}_{T^\alpha} \sqrt{|Q|_\omega |Q'|_\sigma},$$

$$\text{for all cubes } Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}^n \text{ with } \frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{|Q|_\omega^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|Q'|_\omega^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq C,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on the goodness parameter r ,

(d) and the two dual energy conditions hold,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 &\equiv \sup_{\substack{Q = \dot{\cup} Q_r \\ Q, Q_r \in \mathcal{D}^n}} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(Q_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_Q \sigma)}{|J|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 < \infty, \\ (\mathcal{E}_\alpha^*)^2 &\equiv \sup_{\substack{Q = \dot{\cup} Q_r \\ Q, Q_r \in \mathcal{D}^n}} \frac{1}{|I|_\omega} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(Q_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_Q \omega)}{|J|_\omega^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \sigma} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^2 < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

uniformly over all dyadic grids \mathcal{D}^n , and where the goodness parameters r and ε implicit in the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)$ are fixed sufficiently large and small respectively depending on the dimension n .

(2) Conversely, suppose $0 \leq \alpha < n$ and that $\mathbf{T}^\alpha = \{T_j^\alpha\}_{j=1}^J$ is a vector of Calderón-Zygmund operators with standard kernels $\{K_j^\alpha\}_{j=1}^J$. In the range $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n}{2}$, we assume the following ellipticity condition: there is $c > 0$ such that for each unit vector \mathbf{u} there is j satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad |K_j^\alpha(x, x + t\mathbf{u})| \geq ct^{\alpha-n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the range $\frac{n}{2} \leq \alpha < n$, we assume the following strong ellipticity condition: for each $m \in \{1, -1\}^n$, there is a sequence of coefficients $\{\lambda_j^m\}_{j=1}^J$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^m K_j^\alpha(x, x + t\mathbf{u}) \right| \geq ct^{\alpha-n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

holds for all unit vectors \mathbf{u} in the n -ant

$$V_m = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : m_i x_i > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad m \in \{1, -1\}^n.$$

Furthermore, assume that each operator T_j^α is bounded from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$,

$$\left\| (T_j^\alpha)_\sigma f \right\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq \mathfrak{N}_{T_j^\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)}.$$

Then the fractional \mathcal{A}_2^α condition holds, and moreover,

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha + \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}} \leq C\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{T}^\alpha}.$$

Problem 1. *Given any strongly elliptic vector $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}$ of classical α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operators, it is an open question whether or not the energy conditions are necessary for boundedness of $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}$.*

With the exception of a few points, the proof of Theorem 1 is virtually identical to the corresponding argument in [SaShUr2], and consequently we postpone the details of the proof to the Appendix. The exceptional points are:

- (1) the derivation of the corresponding new weaker functional energy condition from the new weaker energy condition and \mathcal{A}_2^α ,
- (2) the use of this weaker functional energy in proving the Intertwining Proposition in [SaShUr2],
- (3) the use of the new weaker energy condition in defining the energy corona, and the stopping forms of NTV,
- (4) and the use of the new weaker energy condition in the stopping time and recursion of M. Lacey,
- (5) and finally the inclusion of the weak boundedness property as one of the characterizing conditions.

Point (1) requires an extensive overhaul of two portions of the corresponding proof in [SaShUr2], so at the added expense of 22 pages, we prefer to give the complete proof for the equivalence of the new energy and new functional energy in Subsection 3.1 of the Appendix. We also fix a small error in that proof that was pointed out to us by M. Lacey. Points (2), (3) and (4) are much simpler to address and this we do in Subsection 3.2 of the Appendix. Finally, point (5) addresses the omission in both [SaShUr2] and [LaSaShUr], where it was mistakenly assumed that the weak boundedness property can be handled as in one dimension. See Lacey and Wick [LaWi2] for a treatment of this issue for the Riesz transforms. We prove our geometric result in the next section, and we now state it precisely.

In our previous paper [SaShUr2] we pointed out that the energy constants are controlled by \mathcal{A}_2^α , $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}$ and cube testing together with virtually any known side condition, in particular with doubling conditions and the Energy Hypothesis of [LaSaUr2]. The point of the present paper is to show that the energy constants are controlled by \mathcal{A}_2^α , $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}$ and cube testing for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ provided at least one of the measures is supported on a line.

Theorem 2. *Let σ and ω be locally finite positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n with no common point masses. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ is the fractional Riesz transform with $0 \leq \alpha < n$, and consider the tangent line truncations for $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ in the testing conditions. If at least one of the measures σ and ω is supported on a line, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha} + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}} \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_\alpha^* \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*.$$

If we combine Theorems 2 and 1, we obtain the following theorem as a corollary. We use notation as in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let σ and ω be locally finite positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n with no common point masses. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ is the fractional Riesz transform with $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Set $\mathbf{R}_\sigma^{\alpha,n} f = \mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}(f\sigma)$ for any smooth truncation of $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$. If at least*

one of the measures σ and ω is supported on a line, then the operator norm $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}$ of $\mathbf{R}_\sigma^{\alpha,n}$ as an operator from $L^2(\sigma)$ to $L^2(\omega)$, uniformly in smooth truncations, satisfies

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}} \approx C_\alpha \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha + \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}} + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^* + \mathcal{WBP}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}} \right).$$

2. ONE MEASURE SUPPORTED IN A LINE

In this section we prove Theorem 2, i.e. we prove the necessity of the energy conditions for the testing conditions $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*$ associated to the tangent line truncations of the α -fractional Riesz transform $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$, when just *one* of the measures σ or ω is supported in a line L , and the other measure is arbitrary. The one-dimensional character of just one of the measures is enough to circumvent the failure of strong reversal of energy as described in [SaShUr2] and [SaShUr3].

Fix a dyadic grid \mathcal{D} , and suppose that ω is supported in a line L . We will show that both energy conditions hold relative to \mathcal{D} . We can suppose that L is the x_1 -axis, since using that the Riesz transform vector $\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}$ is rotation invariant, one can verify that the argument below does not depend in a critical way on this or any other special relationship between \mathcal{D} and L .

2.1. Backward energy condition. The dual (backward) energy condition $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^* \lesssim \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^* + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}}$ is the more straightforward of the two to verify, and so we turn to it first. We must show

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^*} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathcal{P}_J^{\text{good}, \sigma} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^2 \leq \left((\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*} \right) |I|_\omega,$$

for all partitions of a dyadic cube $I = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} I_r$ into dyadic subcubes I_r . Recall that $J^* = \gamma J$ and that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)$ is the collection of maximal deeply embedded subcubes of I_r . The bounded overlap property (1.4) holds. We may of course assume that I intersects the x_1 -axis L . Now we set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \equiv \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)$ and write

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathcal{P}_J^{\text{good}, \sigma} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^2 = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathcal{P}_J^{\text{good}, \sigma} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^2.$$

Let $2 < \gamma' < \gamma$ where both γ' and $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma'}$ will be taken sufficiently large for the arguments below to be valid - see both (2.6) and (2.8) below. For example taking $\gamma' = \sqrt{\gamma}$ and $\gamma \gg (n - \alpha)^{-2}$ works, but is far from optimal. We will consider the cases $\gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset$ and $\gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset$ separately.

Suppose $\gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset$. There is $c > 0$ and a finite sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} (actually of the form $\xi_k = (0, \xi_k^2, \dots, \xi_k^n)$) with the following property. For each $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$ with $\gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset$, there is $1 \leq k = k(J) \leq N$ such that for $y \in J$ and $x \in I \cap L$, the linear combination $\xi_k \cdot \mathbf{K}^{\alpha,n}(y, x)$ is positive and satisfies

$$\xi_k \cdot \mathbf{K}^{\alpha,n}(y, x) = \frac{\xi_k \cdot (y - x)}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} \geq c \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}}.$$

For example, in the plane $n = 2$, if J lies above the x_1 -axis L , then for $y \in J$ and $x \in L$ we have $y_2 \geq (\gamma' - 1) |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $x_2 = 0$, hence the estimate

$$(0, 1) \cdot \mathbf{K}^{\alpha, n}(y, x) = \frac{y_2 - x_2}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} \geq \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}}.$$

For J below L we take the unit vector $(0, -1)$ in place of $(0, 1)$. Thus for $y \in J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$ and $k = k(J)$ we have the following ‘weak reversal’ of energy,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}(\mathbf{1}_{I \cap L} \omega)(y)| &= \left| \int_{I \cap L} \mathbf{K}^{\alpha, n}(y, x) d\omega(x) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{I \cap L} \xi_k \cdot \mathbf{K}^{\alpha, n}(y, x) d\omega(x) \right| \\ &\geq c \int_{I \cap L} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} d\omega(x) \approx c P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_I \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.1) and the pairwise disjointness of $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset}} \left(\frac{P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_I \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \sigma} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\sigma)}^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset}} P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_I \omega)^2 |J|_{\sigma} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_J |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}(\mathbf{1}_{I \cap L} \omega)(y)|^2 d\sigma(y) \\ &\leq \int_I |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}(\mathbf{1}_I \omega)(y)|^2 d\sigma(y) \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}}^*)^2 |I|_{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to estimating the sum over those cubes $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$ for which $\gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset$. In this case we use the one-dimensional nature of ω to obtain a strong reversal of one of the partial energies. Recall the Hilbert transform inequality for intervals J and I with $2J \subset I$ and $\text{supp } \mu \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus I$:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \sup_{y, z \in J} \frac{H\mu(y) - H\mu(z)}{y - z} &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{x-y} - \frac{1}{x-z}}{y - z} \right\} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} \frac{1}{(x-y)(x-z)} d\mu(x) \approx \frac{P(J, \mu)}{|J|}. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to obtain a similar control in the situation at hand, but the matter is now complicated by the extra dimensions. Fix $y = (y^1, y')$, $z = (z^1, z') \in J$ and $x = (x^1, 0) \in L \setminus \gamma J$. We consider first the case

$$(2.3) \quad |y' - z'| \leq C_0 |y^1 - z^1|,$$

where C_0 is a positive constant satisfying (2.4) below. Now the first component $R_1^{\alpha, n}$ is ‘positive’ in the direction of the x^1 -axis L , and so for $(y^1, y'), (z^1, z') \in J$,

we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(y^1, y') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(z^1, z')}{y^1 - z^1} \\
&= \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \left\{ \frac{K_1^{\alpha,n}((y^1, y'), x) - K_1^{\alpha,n}((z^1, z'), x)}{y^1 - z^1} \right\} d\omega(x) \\
&= \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \left\{ \frac{\frac{y^1 - x^1}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z^1 - x^1}{|z - x|^{n+1-\alpha}}}{y^1 - z^1} \right\} d\omega(x) .
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ define

$$\begin{aligned}
w_t &\equiv ty + (1-t)z = z + t(y-z), \\
w_t - x &= t(y-x) + (1-t)(z-x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \frac{w_t^1 - x^1}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}},$$

so that

$$\frac{y^1 - x^1}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z^1 - x^1}{|z - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} = \Phi(1) - \Phi(0) = \int_0^1 \Phi'(t) dt.$$

Then using $\nabla |\xi|^\tau = \tau |\xi|^{\tau-2} \xi$ we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) &= \frac{(y^1 - z^1)}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} + (w_t^1 - x^1)(y - z) \cdot \nabla |w_t - x|^{-n-1+\alpha} \\
&= \frac{(y^1 - z^1)}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - (n+1-\alpha)(w_t^1 - x^1) \frac{(w_t - x) \cdot (y - z)}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{(y^1 - z^1)}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - (n+1-\alpha)(w_t^1 - x^1) \frac{(w_t^1 - x^1)(y^1 - z^1)}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&\quad - (n+1-\alpha)(w_t^1 - x^1) \frac{(w_t' - x') \cdot (y' - z')}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&= (y^1 - z^1) \left\{ \frac{|w_t - x|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} - (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\} \\
&\quad + (y^1 - z^1) \left\{ - (n+1-\alpha) \left(\frac{w_t^1 - x^1}{y^1 - z^1} \right) \frac{(w_t' - x') \cdot (y' - z')}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\} \\
&\equiv (y^1 - z^1) \{A(t) + B(t)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now $|w_t^1 - x^1| \approx |y - x|$ and $|w_t' - x'| = |w_t'| \approx |y'| \leq \gamma' \frac{|y-x|}{\gamma}$ because $\gamma' J \cap L = \emptyset$, and so if $\gamma \gg \gamma'$ we obtain using $|y - x| \approx |w_t^1 - x^1|$ that

$$|w_t' - x'| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} |w_t^1 - x^1|,$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned}
-A(t) &= -\frac{|w_t - x|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} + (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{-|w_t - x|^2 + (n+1-\alpha) |w_t^1 - x^1|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{-|w'_t - x'|^2 + (n-\alpha) (w_t^1 - x^1)^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&\approx (n-\alpha) \frac{(w_t^1 - x^1)^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now from our assumption (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B(t)| &= \left| (n+1-\alpha) \left(\frac{w_t^1 - x^1}{y^1 - z^1} \right) \frac{(w'_t - x') \cdot (y' - z')}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right| \\
&\leq (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|}{|y^1 - z^1|} \frac{|w'_t - x'| |y' - z'|}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&\leq C_0 (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1| |w'_t - x'|}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&\leq C_0 (n+1-\alpha) \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \ll \frac{1}{2} (n-\alpha) \frac{(w_t^1 - x^1)^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}}
\end{aligned}$$

if

$$(2.4) \quad C_0 \ll \frac{\gamma}{2\gamma'} \frac{n-\alpha}{n+1-\alpha}.$$

Thus altogether in case (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(y^1, y') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(z^1, z')| \\
&\approx |y^1 - z^1| \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) dt}{y^1 - z^1} d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\approx |y^1 - z^1| \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \{A(t) + B(t)\} dt d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\approx |y^1 - z^1| \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \left\{ (n-\alpha) \frac{(w_t^1 - x^1)^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\} dt d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\approx |y^1 - z^1| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{(c_J^1 - x^1)^2}{|c_J - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} d\omega(x) \\
&\approx |y^1 - z^1| \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in the case that

$$(2.5) \quad |y' - z'| > C_0 |y^1 - z^1|,$$

we write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n})' &= (R_2^{\alpha,n}, \dots, R_n^{\alpha,n}), \\ \Phi(t) &= \frac{w_t' - x'}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $w_t = ty + (1-t)z$ as before. Then as above we obtain

$$\frac{y' - x'}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z' - x'}{|z - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} = \Phi(1) - \Phi(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) dt,$$

where if we write $\widehat{y^k} \equiv (y^1, \dots, y^{k-1}, 0, y^{k+1}, \dots, y^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) &= \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_k(t) \right\}_{k=2}^n \\ &= \left\{ (y^k - z^k) \left[\frac{|w_t - x|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} - (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^k - x^k|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right] \right\}_{k=2}^n \\ &\quad - \left\{ (n+1-\alpha) (w_t^k - x^k) \frac{(\widehat{w_t^k} - \widehat{x^k}) \cdot (\widehat{y^k} - \widehat{z^k})}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\}_{k=2}^n \\ &\equiv \{ (y^k - z^k) A_k(t) \}_{k=2}^n + \{ V_k(t) \}_{k=2}^n \equiv \mathbf{U}(t) + \mathbf{V}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now for $2 \leq k \leq n$ we have $x^k = 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(t) &= \frac{|w_t - x|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} - (n+1-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^k|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{|w_t - x|^2 - (n+1-\alpha) |w_t^k|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|^2 + \sum_{j \neq 1, k} |w_t^j|^2 - (n-\alpha) (w_t^k)^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\ &\approx (n-\alpha) \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1|^2}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \approx (n-\alpha) \frac{1}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{I \setminus \gamma J} A_k(t) d\omega(x) \approx (n-\alpha) \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{1}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} d\omega(x) \approx (n-\alpha) \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{U}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right|^2 &= \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \{ (y^k - z^k) A_k(t) \}_{k=2}^n dt d\omega(x) \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^n (y^k - z^k)^2 \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \{ A_k(t) \}_{k=2}^n dt d\omega(x) \right|^2 \\
&\approx \sum_{k=2}^n (y^k - z^k)^2 (n - \alpha)^2 \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \\
&\approx (n - \alpha)^2 |y' - z'|^2 \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq k \leq n$ we also have using (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|V_k(t)| &= \left| (n + 1 - \alpha) (w_t^k - x^k) \frac{(\widehat{w_t^k} - \widehat{x^k}) \cdot (\widehat{y^k} - \widehat{z^k})}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right| \\
&\leq (n + 1 - \alpha) |w_t^k| \frac{|w_t^1 - x^1| |y^1 - z^1| + \sum_{j \neq 1, k} |w_t^j| |y^j - z^j|}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \\
&\leq (n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{|w_t^k| |y^1 - z^1|}{|w_t - x|^{n+2-\alpha}} + \sum_{j \neq 1, k} \frac{|w_t^k| |w_t^j| |y^j - z^j|}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\} \\
&\leq (n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \frac{|y^1 - z^1|}{|w_t - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} + (\gamma')^2 \frac{|y' - z'|}{|w_t - x|^{n+3-\alpha}} \right\} \\
&\lesssim (n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma C_0} \frac{|y' - z'|}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{|y' - z'|}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{V}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| &\lesssim (n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma C_0} + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right\} \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{|y' - z'|}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} d\omega(x) \\
&\lesssim (n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma C_0} + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right\} |y' - z'| \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}},
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{V}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{U}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right|,$$

provided

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &(n + 1 - \alpha) \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma C_0} + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right\} \ll n - \alpha, \\
&\text{i.e.} \quad \left(\frac{n + 1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 \ll 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.4) with an optimal C_0 . Then if both (2.5) and (2.6) hold we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n})' \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(y^1, y') - (\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n})' \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(z^1, z')| \\
&= \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \left\{ \frac{y^k - x^k}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} - \frac{z^k - x^k}{|z - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} \right\}_{k=2}^n d\omega(x) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \Phi'(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\geq \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{U}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| - \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{V}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \mathbf{U}(t) dt d\omega(x) \right| \\
&\gtrsim C_0 \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \int_0^1 \frac{|y^1 - z^1|}{|c_J - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} dt d\omega(x) \approx C_0 |y^1 - z^1| \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the inequalities from each case (2.3) and (2.5) above, and assuming (2.6), we conclude that for all $y, z \in J$ we have the following ‘strong reversal’ of the 1-partial energy,

$$|y^1 - z^1|^2 \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \lesssim |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(y^1, y') - \mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(z^1, z')|^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \int_J |y^1 - \mathbb{E}_J^\sigma y^1|^2 d\sigma(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{|J|_\sigma} \int_J \int_J (y^1 - z^1)^2 d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \frac{1}{|J|_\sigma} \int_J \int_J |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(y^1, y') - \mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(z^1, z')|^2 d\sigma(y) d\sigma(z) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \int_J |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J} \omega(y^1, y')|^2 d\sigma(y) \\
&\leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_J |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \omega(y^1, y')|^2 d\sigma(y) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_J |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma J} \omega(y^1, y')|^2 d\sigma(y),
\end{aligned}$$

and now we obtain in the usual way that this is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_I |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \omega(y^1, y')|^2 d\sigma(y) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}} (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 |\gamma J|_\omega \\
&\leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 |I|_\omega + \beta (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 |I|_\omega \lesssim (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 |I|_\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the other partial energies and begin with the estimate that for $2 \leq j \leq n$, we have the following ‘weak reversal’ of energy,

$$\begin{aligned} |R_j^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(y)| &= \left| \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{y^j - 0}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} d\omega(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \right| \\ &\approx \left| \frac{y^j}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_{I \setminus \gamma J} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|y - x|^{n+1-\alpha}} d\omega(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \right| \\ &\approx |y^j| \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $2 \leq j \leq n$, we use $\int_J |y^j - \mathbb{E}_J^\sigma y^j|^2 d\sigma(y) \leq \int_J |y^j|^2 d\sigma(y)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \int_J |y^j - \mathbb{E}_J^\sigma y^j|^2 d\sigma(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \int_J |y^j|^2 d\sigma(y) = \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \int_J \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 |y^j|^2 d\sigma(y) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ \gamma' J \cap L \neq \emptyset}} \int_J |R_j^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus \gamma J \omega}(y)|^2 d\sigma(y) \lesssim \int_I |\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{I \omega}(y)|^2 d\sigma(y) \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^*)^2 |I|_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these estimates for $j = 1$ and $2 \leq j \leq n$ completes the proof of the dual energy condition $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^* \lesssim \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^* + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}}$.

2.2. Forward energy condition. Now we turn to proving the (forward) energy condition $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \lesssim \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{T}^{\alpha,n}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha}$. We must show

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^* \sigma})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha) |I|_\sigma,$$

for all partitions of a dyadic cube $I = \bigcup_{r \geq 1} I_r$ into dyadic subcubes I_r . We may assume that all the cubes J intersect $\text{supp } \omega$, hence that all the cubes I_r and J intersect L , which contains $\text{supp } \omega$. Let $\mathcal{I}_r = I_r \cap L$ and $\mathcal{J} = J \cap L$ for these cubes. We must show

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus J^* \sigma})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha,n}}^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha) |I|_\sigma.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)$ as above, and for each $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$, make the decomposition

$$I \setminus J^* = E(J^*) \dot{\cup} S(J^*)$$

of $I \setminus J^*$ into *end* $E(J^*)$ and *side* $S(J^*)$ disjoint pieces defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E(J^*) &\equiv I \cap \left\{ (y^1, y') : |y^1 - c_J^1| \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{ and } |y' - c_J'| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} |y^1 - c_J^1| \right\}; \\ S(J^*) &\equiv (I \setminus J^*) \setminus E(J^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then it suffices to show both

$$\begin{aligned} A &\equiv \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}}^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha) |I|_\sigma, \\ B &\equiv \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{p}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{R}^{\alpha, n}}^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha) |I|_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Term A is estimated in analogy with the Hilbert transform estimate (2.2), while term B is estimated by summing Poisson tails. Both estimates rely heavily on the one-dimensional nature of ω .

For $(x^1, 0'), (z^1, 0') \in J$ in term A we claim the following ‘strong reversal’ of energy,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \left| \frac{R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma(z^1, 0')}{x^1 - z^1} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{E(J^*)} \left\{ \frac{K_1^{\alpha, n}((x^1, 0'), y) - K_1^{\alpha, n}((z^1, 0'), y)}{x^1 - z^1} \right\} d\sigma(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{E(J^*)} \left\{ \frac{\frac{x^1 - y^1}{(|x^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} - \frac{z^1 - y^1}{(|z^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}}}{x^1 - z^1} \right\} d\sigma(y) \right| \\ &\approx \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if we set $a = |y'|$ and $s = x^1 - y^1$ and $t = z^1 - y^1$, then the term in braces in (2.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{x^1 - y^1}{(|x^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} - \frac{z^1 - y^1}{(|z^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}}}{x^1 - z^1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{s}{(s^2 + a^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} - \frac{t}{(t^2 + a^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}}}{s - t} = \frac{\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)}{s - t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t) = t(t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}$. Now the derivative of $\varphi(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t) &= (t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}} - \frac{n+1-\alpha}{2} (t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}-1} 2t^2 \\ &= (t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}-1} \{ (t^2 + a^2) - (n+1-\alpha)t^2 \} \\ &= (t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}-1} \{ a^2 - (n-\alpha)t^2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $|t| \geq \gamma |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \gamma a$, we have $(n - \alpha)t^2 \geq (n - \alpha)\gamma^2 a^2 \geq 2a^2$ provided we choose

$$(2.8) \quad \gamma \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n - \alpha}}.$$

Thus if (2.8) holds we get

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) \approx t^2 (t^2 + a^2)^{-\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}-1}.$$

Finally, since $|s - t| \leq a \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}|t| \ll |t|$, the derivative $\frac{d\varphi}{dt}$ is essentially constant on the small interval (s, t) , and we can apply the tangent line approximation to $\varphi(t)$ to obtain $\varphi(s) - \varphi(t) \approx \frac{d\varphi}{dt}(t)(s - t)$, and conclude that for $(x^1, 0'), (z^1, 0') \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{E(J^*)} \left\{ \frac{\frac{x^1 - y^1}{(|x^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} - \frac{z^1 - y^1}{(|z^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}}}{x^1 - z^1} \right\} d\sigma(y) \right| \\ & \approx \int_{E(J^*)} \frac{|z^1 - y^1|^2}{(|z^1 - y^1|^2 + |y'|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}+1}} d\sigma(y) \approx \frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7).

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \int_{J \cap L} |x^1 - \mathbb{E}_J^\omega x^1|^2 d\omega(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{|J \cap L|_\omega} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} (x^1 - z^1)^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &\approx \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \frac{1}{|J|_\omega} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{E(J^*)}\sigma(z^1, 0')\}^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \frac{1}{|J|_\omega} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(z^1, 0')\}^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \frac{1}{|J|_\omega} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \sigma(z^1, 0')\}^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \frac{1}{|J|_\omega} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(z^1, 0')\}^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &\equiv A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \end{aligned}$$

since $I = J^* \dot{\cup} (I \setminus J^*) = J^* \dot{\cup} E(J^*) \dot{\cup} S(J^*)$. Now we can discard the difference in term A_1 by writing

$$|R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(z^1, 0')| \leq |R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(x^1, 0')| + |R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(z^1, 0')|$$

to obtain from pairwise disjointness of $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$,

$$A_1 \lesssim \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{J \cap L} |R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma(x^1, 0')|^2 d\omega(x) \leq \int_I |R_1^{\alpha,n} \mathbf{1}_I \sigma|^2 d\omega \leq \mathfrak{T}_{R_1^{\alpha,n}}^2 |I|_\sigma,$$

and similarly we can discard the difference in term A_2 , and use the bounded overlap property (1.4), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\lesssim \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{J \cap L} |R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \sigma(x^1, 0')|^2 d\omega(x) \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \mathfrak{T}_{R_1^{\alpha, n}}^2 |J^*|_{\sigma} \\ &= \mathfrak{T}_{R_1^{\alpha, n}}^2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} |J^*|_{\sigma} \leq \mathfrak{T}_{R_1^{\alpha, n}}^2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta |I_r|_{\sigma} \leq \beta \mathfrak{T}_{R_1^{\alpha, n}}^2 |I|_{\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. *The above estimate fails for the nearby cubes J in I_r , and this accounts for the new definition of the energy condition.*

This leaves us to consider the term

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \frac{1}{|J|_{\omega}} \int_{J \cap L} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(x^1, 0') - R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(z^1, 0')\}^2 d\omega(x) d\omega(z) \\ &= 2 \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{J \cap L} \{R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(x^1, 0') - \mathbb{E}_{J \cap L}^{\omega} [R_1^{\alpha, n} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma(z^1, 0')]\}^2 d\omega(x), \end{aligned}$$

in which we do *not* discard the difference. However, because the average is subtracted off, we can apply the Energy Lemma 5 or Monotonicity Lemma 4 from Subsection 3.2 in the Appendix below, to each term in this sum to dominate it by,

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}|J|_{\omega}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\omega} \mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = B,$$

and so it remains only to estimate term B .

For this, we first assume that $n-1 \leq \alpha < n$ so that $P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma) \leq \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma)$, and then use $\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\omega} \mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J|_{\omega}$ and apply the \mathcal{A}_2^{α} condition to obtain the following ‘pivotal reversal’ of energy,

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma)^2 |J|_{\omega} \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma) \{ \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma) |J|_{\omega} \} \\ &\leq \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma) |J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} = \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} |J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{S(J^*)} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^{n+1-\alpha} d\sigma(y) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} \int_I \left\{ \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^{n+1-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)}(y) \right\} d\sigma(y) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} \int_I F(y) d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

At this point we claim that $F(y) \leq C$ with a constant C independent of the decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} = \bigcup_{r \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)$. Indeed, if y is fixed, then the only cubes $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}$ for which $y \in S(J^*)$ are those J satisfying

$$J \cap \text{Sh}(y; \gamma) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)$ is the Carleson shadow of the point y onto the x_1 -axis L with sides of slope $\frac{1}{\gamma}$, i.e. $\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)$ is interval on L with length $2\gamma \text{dist}(y, L)$ and center equal to the point on L that is closest to y . Now there can be at most two cubes J whose side length exceeds $2\gamma \text{dist}(y, L)$, and for these cubes we simply use $\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \leq 1$.

As for the remaining cubes J , they are all contained inside the triple $3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)$ of the shadow, and the distance $|y - c_J|$ is essentially $\text{dist}(y, L)$ (up to a factor of γ) for all of these cubes. Thus we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^{n+1-\alpha} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\text{dist}(y, L)} \right)^{n+1-\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\text{dist}(y, L)^{n+1-\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \left(|J \cap L|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{n+1-\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\text{dist}(y, L)^{n+1-\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \text{dist}(y, L)^{n-\alpha} |J \cap L|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\text{dist}(y, L)^{n-\alpha}}{\text{dist}(y, L)^{n+1-\alpha}} |3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)| \lesssim 1,
\end{aligned}$$

because the intervals $\{J \cap L\}_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}}$ are pairwise disjoint in $3\text{Sh}(y; \gamma)$, and

$|J \cap L|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is the length of $J \cap L$, and since $n+1-\alpha > 1 = \dim L$. It is here that the one-dimensional nature of ω delivers the boundedness of this sum of Poisson tails. Thus we have

$$B \leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \int_I F(y) d\sigma(y) \leq C \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma,$$

which is the desired estimate in the case that $n-1 \leq \alpha < n$.

Now we suppose that $0 \leq \alpha < n-1$ and use Cauchy-Schwarz to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)}\sigma) &= \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y) \\
&\leq \left\{ \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^{n-1-\alpha} d\sigma(y) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^{\alpha+1-n} d\sigma(y) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \mathcal{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)}\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{\alpha+2-n}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|\right)^2} d\sigma(y) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then arguing as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B &\leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \mathcal{P}^\alpha (J^*, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma)^2 |J|_\omega \\
&\leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \{ \mathcal{P}^\alpha (J^*, \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)} \sigma) |J|_\omega \} \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\alpha+2-n}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J| \right)^2} d\sigma(y) \\
&\leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} |J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\alpha+2-n}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J| \right)^2} d\sigma(y) = \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \int_{S(J^*)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J| \right)^2} d\sigma(y) \\
&= \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \int_I \left\{ \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{S(J^*)}(y) \right\} d\sigma(y) \equiv \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \int_I F(y) d\sigma(y),
\end{aligned}$$

and again $F(y) \leq C$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3 \text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_J|} \right)^2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\text{dist}(y, L)^2} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3 \text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\text{dist}(y, L)^2} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3 \text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} |J \cap L|^{\frac{2}{n}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\text{dist}(y, L)^2} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}} \\ J \subset 3 \text{Sh}(y; \gamma)}} \text{dist}(y, L) |J \cap L|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\text{dist}(y, L)}{\text{dist}(y, L)^2} |3 \text{Sh}(y; \gamma)| \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we again have

$$B \leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \int_I F(y) d\sigma(y) \leq C \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma,$$

and this completes the proof of necessity of the energy conditions when one of the measures is supported on a line.

3. APPENDIX

We now prove Theorem 1 by addressing the points mentioned at the end of the introduction. But first here is a brief outline of the overall proof.

Very broadly speaking, the method in [LaSaShUr3] and [Lac] uses the NTV splitting of an appropriate bilinear form into **Paraproduct**, **Neighbour** and **Stopping** terms.

The **Paraproduct** term uses only cube testing.

The **Neighbour** term further splits into Short range, Middle range and Long range terms. The Short range term requires the weak boundedness property - see e.g. section 9.2 in [LaSaUr2]. The Middle range term uses only the tailless

\mathcal{A}_2^α condition, while the Long range term uses the one-tailed ‘reproducing’ condition \mathcal{A}_2^α , and so its proof requires some modification. But these modifications are straightforward and the reader can find the details in Chapter 8 of [SaShUr].

The **Stopping** term is further split into a **Near** term and a **Far** term. Our paper [LaSaShUr2] or [LaSaShUr3] shows that the **Far** term is controlled by the functional energy condition as defined there, and we check below that our new definition of functional energy given below in this paper works just as well in generalizing the arguments to higher dimension. Note that our definition is more restrictive in that points (2) and (3) in our Definition 6 of \mathcal{F} -adapted are stronger requirements than those in the definition given in [LaSaShUr2], but nevertheless apply in all situations encountered. By the main result in the next subsection, the new functional energy condition is in turn controlled by the new energy condition and the \mathcal{A}_2^α condition. Finally, the **Near** term is handled by Lacey in [Lac] using a stopping time and recursion that carry over to all standard α -fractional singular integrals in higher dimension and using the new energy condition defined here. The details of this extension can be found in Chapter 10 of [SaShUr], and we need only check below that the new energy condition suffices there as well.

3.1. Equivalence of energy and functional energy modulo \mathcal{A}_2^α . We begin by adapting to higher dimensions three definitions that are relevant to functional energy.

Definition 5. A collection \mathcal{F} of dyadic cubes is σ -Carleson if

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset S} |F|_\sigma \leq C_{\mathcal{F}} |S|_\sigma, \quad S \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The constant $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ is referred to as the Carleson norm of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of dyadic cubes. A collection of functions $\{g_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ in $L^2(\omega)$ is said to be \mathcal{F} -adapted if for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, there is a collection $\mathcal{J}(F)$ of cubes in \mathcal{D}^ω such that

$$\mathcal{J}(F) \subset \{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega : J \Subset F\}$$

and such that each of the following three conditions hold:

- (1) for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the Haar coefficients $\widehat{g_F}(J, a) = \langle g_F, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega$ of g_F are nonnegative and supported in $\mathcal{J}(F)$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{g_F}(J) \geq 0 & \text{for all } J \in \mathcal{J}(F) \\ \widehat{g_F}(J) = 0 & \text{for all } J \notin \mathcal{J}(F) \end{cases}, \quad F \in \mathcal{F},$$

- (2) the sets $\{\mathcal{J}(F)\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ are pairwise disjoint,
- (3) there is a positive constant C such that if $\mathcal{J}^*(F)$ consists of the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{J}(F)$, then for every cube I in \mathcal{D}^σ , the set of pairs of cubes (F, J) that ‘straddle’ I ,

$$\mathcal{B}_I \equiv \{(F, J^*) : J \in \mathcal{J}^*(F) \text{ and } J \subset I \subset F\},$$

satisfies the overlap condition

$$\sum_{(F, J) \in \mathcal{B}_I} \mathbf{1}_J \leq C, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}^\sigma.$$

Definition 7. Let \mathfrak{F}_α be the smallest constant in the ‘functional energy’ inequality below, holding for all non-negative $h \in L^2(\sigma)$, all σ -Carleson collections \mathcal{F} , and all \mathcal{F} -adapted collections $\{g_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$:

(3.1)

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(J, h\sigma) \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, g_F \mathbf{1}_J \right\rangle_\omega \right| \leq \mathfrak{F}_\alpha \|h\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \left[\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|g_F\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

There is a similar definition of the dual constant \mathfrak{F}_α^* . Now we show that the functional energy constants are equivalent to the energy constants modulo \mathcal{A}_2^α . We proceed in two propositions.

Proposition 1.

$$\mathfrak{F}_\alpha \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha} \text{ and } \mathfrak{F}_\alpha^* \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha^* + \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,*}}.$$

To prove this first proposition, we fix \mathcal{F} as in (3.1) and set

$$(3.2) \quad \mu \equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \left\| \mathbb{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \cdot \delta_{(c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}})},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ consists of the maximal deeply embedded subcubes of F , and for any cube J we define the special projection

$$\mathbb{P}_{F,J}^\omega \equiv \sum_{J' \subset J: J' \in \mathcal{J}(F)} \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \Delta_{J'}^{\omega,a}.$$

We emphasize that the cubes $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ are not necessarily good, but that the subcubes $J' \subset J$ arising in the projection $\mathbb{P}_{F,J}^\omega$ are good. We can replace x by $x - c$ inside the projection for any choice of c we wish; the projection is unchanged. Here δ_q denotes a Dirac unit mass at a point q in the upper half plane \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

We prove the two-weight inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|\mathbb{P}^\alpha(f\sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)},$$

for all nonnegative f in $L^2(\sigma)$, noting that \mathcal{F} and f are *not* related here. Above, $\mathbb{P}^\alpha(\cdot)$ denotes the α -fractional Poisson extension to the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} ,

$$\mathbb{P}^\alpha \nu(x, t) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} d\nu(x),$$

so that in particular

$$\|\mathbb{P}^\alpha(f\sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \mu)}^2 = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(f\sigma)(c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}})^2 \left\| \mathbb{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,$$

and so (3.3) implies (3.1) by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. By the two-weight inequality for the Poisson operator in [Saw3], inequality (3.3) requires checking these two inequalities

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)(x, t)^2 d\mu(x, t) \equiv \|\mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)\|_{L^2(\widehat{I}, \mu)}^2 \lesssim (A_2^\alpha + \mathcal{E}_\alpha^2) \sigma(I),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}}\mu)]^2 d\sigma(x) \lesssim \left(\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha + \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha}\right) \int_{\widehat{I}} t^2 d\mu(x, t),$$

for all *dyadic* cubes $I \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\widehat{I} = I \times [0, |I|]$ is the box over I in the upper half-space, and

$$\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}}\mu)(x) = \int_{\widehat{I}} \frac{t^2}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{2}}} d\mu(y, t).$$

It is important to note that we can choose for \mathcal{D} any fixed dyadic grid, the compensating point being that the integrations on the left sides of (3.4) and (3.5) are taken over the entire spaces \mathbb{R}_+^n and \mathbb{R}^n respectively.

Remark 5. *There is a gap in the proof of the Poisson inequality at the top of page 542 in [Saw3]. However, this gap can be fixed as in [SaWh] or [LaSaUr1].*

The following elementary Poisson inequalities will be used extensively.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that J, K, I are cubes satisfying $J \subset K \subset 2K \subset I$, and that μ is a positive measure supported in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus I$. Then*

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}^\alpha(J, \mu)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim \frac{\mathbb{P}^\alpha(K, \mu)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim \frac{\mathbb{P}^\alpha(J, \mu)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}^\alpha(J, \mu)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c_J|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\mu(x),$$

where

$$|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c_J| \approx |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c_J|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus I.$$

□

3.1.1. The Poisson testing inequality. We choose the dyadic grid \mathcal{D} in the testing conditions (3.4) and (3.5) to be the grid \mathcal{D}^ω that arises in the definition of the measure μ in (3.2). In particular all of the intervals J lie in the grid $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^\omega$. Fix $I \in \mathcal{D}$. We split the integration on the left side of (3.4) into a local and global piece:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 d\mu = \int_{\widehat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \widehat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 d\mu.$$

The global piece turns out to be controlled solely by the \mathcal{A}_2^α condition, so we leave that term for later, and turn now to estimating the local term.

An important consequence of the fact that I and J lie in the same grid $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^\omega$, is that $(c(J), |J|) \in \widehat{I}$ if and only if $J \subset I$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\widehat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)(x, t)^2 d\mu(x, t) \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma) \left(c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbb{P}_{F, J^*}^\omega \frac{x}{|J^*|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \mathbb{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 \left\| \mathbb{P}_{F, J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the collections $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ are pairwise disjoint for $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and that for $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega \left(\frac{x - \mathbb{E}_J^\omega x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq \mathbf{E}^{\text{good}}(J, \omega)^2 |J|_\omega .$$

In the first stage of the proof, we ‘create some holes’ by restricting the support of σ to the interval F in the sum below.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{F \cap I} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset I} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \not\subset I} \right\} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{F \cap I} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= A + B. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_F \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset I} (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |F|_\sigma \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |I|_\sigma , \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$, where we recall that the energy constant \mathcal{E}_α is defined in the energy condition,

$$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \equiv \sup \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_J^{\text{good}, \omega} \mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 < \infty .$$

We also used that the stopping cubes \mathcal{F} satisfy a σ -Carleson measure estimate,

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F_0} |F|_\sigma \lesssim |F_0|_\sigma .$$

Now we turn to the other term,

$$B = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \not\subset I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{F \cap I} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 .$$

For each $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega &= \sum_{J' \subset J: J' \in \mathcal{J}(F)} \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \Delta_{J'}^{\omega, a} \\ &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F): K \subset J} \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{J}(F): J' \subset K} \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \Delta_{J'}^{\omega, a} \equiv \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F): K \subset J} \mathbf{P}_{F,K}^\omega , \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \not\supseteq I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset I} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F): K \subset J} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{F \cap I} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|P_{F,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \not\supseteq I} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_{F \cap I} \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|P_{F,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where J_K denotes the unique cube in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ that contains K .

Now let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)$ consist of those $K \subset I$ that lie in $\mathcal{J}^*(F)$ for some $F \not\supseteq I$ so that

$$B = \sum_{K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_{F_K \cap I} \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|P_{F_K,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,$$

where F_K is the unique interval $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \not\supseteq I$ with $K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F)$, which exists by property (2) in the definition of $\mathcal{J}(F)$, Definition 6. For $K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)$ there are only two possibilities:

$$K \Subset I \text{ or } K \not\Subset I.$$

In the first case, if $K \Subset I$ and $F \supset I$, then $K \Subset F$ since K is good, and so by Property (3) in the definition of $\mathcal{J}(F)$, Definition 6, we conclude that the cubes $K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)$ with $K \Subset I$ have overlap bounded by C , independent of I .

As for the second case $K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)$ and $K \not\Subset I$, there are at most $2^{n(r+1)}$ such cubes K since K is good, and they will be easily estimated without regard to their overlap. The Poisson inequality now shows that term B satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \left\{ \sum_{K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I): K \Subset I} + \sum_{K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I): K \not\Subset I} \right\} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_{F_K \cap I} \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|P_{F_K,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\lesssim C \sum_{J^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I): J^* \Subset I} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_{F_K \cap I} \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|P_{F_K,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2^{n(r+1)} \sup_{K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I): K \not\Subset I} \frac{P^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|P_{F_K,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\leq C^2 (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |I|_\sigma + 2^{n(r+1)} |I|_\sigma \lesssim [(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 + 1] |I|_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

since the intervals $K \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(I)$ with $K \Subset I$ can be decomposed into C collections of pairwise disjoint cubes. Indeed we have the following elementary result:

Lemma 2. *Suppose \mathcal{G} is a collection of dyadic subcubes of I with bounded overlap C :*

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{1}_G \leq C.$$

Then we can write $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^C \mathcal{G}_\ell$ where each collection \mathcal{G}_ℓ consists of pairwise disjoint cubes.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}_1 consist of the maximal cubes in \mathcal{G} . Then let \mathcal{G}_2 consist of the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$, and continue by inductively defining \mathcal{G}_ℓ to consist of the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_1$, $\mathcal{G}_\ell = \mathcal{G}_{\ell-2} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\ell-1}$ for $\ell \geq 3$. Clearly the cubes in each \mathcal{G}_ℓ are pairwise disjoint, and moreover, $\mathcal{G}_\ell = \emptyset$ for $\ell > C$ since if there is a cube G_ℓ in \mathcal{G}_ℓ then there is a unique tower of cubes $G_\ell \subsetneq G_{\ell-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq G_1$ with $G_k \in \mathcal{G}_k$. \square

It remains then to show the inequality with ‘holes’, where the support of σ is restricted to the complement of the interval F .

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |I|_\sigma.$$

Proof. We consider the space ℓ_F^2 of square summable sequences on the index set F where

$$F \equiv \{(F, J) : F \in \mathcal{F}_I \text{ and } J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)\}$$

is the index set of pairs (F, J^*) occurring in the sum in (3.7). We now take a sequence $a = \{a_{F,J}\}_{(F,J) \in F} \in \ell_F^2$ with $a_{F,J} \geq 0$ and estimate

$$S \equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F' \subsetneq I} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J} \\ &= \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J} \\ &= \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F} \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J}, \end{aligned}$$

by the Poisson inequalities in Lemma 1. We now invoke

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} a_{F,J} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} a_{F,J}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_{F',K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} \|\mathbf{Q}_{F',K}^\omega a\|_{\ell_F^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where for $K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')$ and $f \in L^2(\omega)$,

$$\mathbf{P}_{F',K}^\omega \equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega,$$

while for $K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')$ and $a = \{a_{G,L}\}_{(G,L) \in F} \in \ell_F^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{F',K}^\omega a &\equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \subset F'} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F): J \subset K} \mathbf{Q}_{F,J}^\omega a; \\ \mathbf{Q}_{F,J}^\omega a &\equiv \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{(F,J)} a_{G,L} \right\}_{(G,L) \in F}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{Q}_{F,J}^\omega$ acts on the sequence a by projecting onto the coordinate in F indexed by (F, J) .

Now denote by $d(F) \equiv d_{\mathcal{F}}(F, I)$ the distance from F to I in the tree \mathcal{F} . Since the collection \mathcal{F} satisfies a Carleson condition, we have geometric decay in generations:

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I: d(F)=k} |F|_\sigma \lesssim 2^{-\delta k} |I|_\sigma, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\lesssim \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F'} \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F',K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} \|\mathbf{Q}_{F',K}^\omega a\|_{\ell_F^2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I: d(F')=k} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F'} \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F',K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)} \|\mathbf{Q}_{F',K}^\omega a\|_{\ell_F^2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &\lesssim \left(\sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I: d(F')=k} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F' \setminus F'} \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F',K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_I: d(F')=k} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \|\mathbf{Q}_{F',K}^\omega a\|_{\ell_F^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left((\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \sum_{F'' \in \mathcal{F}_I: d(F'')=k-1} |F''|_\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{\ell_F^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha (2^{-\delta k} |I|_\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{\ell_F^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and we finally obtain

$$|S| \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_\alpha (2^{-\delta k} |I|_\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{\ell_F^2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{|I|_\sigma} \|a\|_{\ell_F^2}.$$

By duality of ℓ_F^2 we now conclude that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I} \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus F} \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |I|_\sigma,$$

which is (3.7). \square

Now we turn to proving the following estimate for the global part of the first testing condition (3.4):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \hat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha (\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 d\mu \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma.$$

We begin by decomposing the integral on the left into four pieces where we use $F \sim J$ to denote the sum over those $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$. Note that given J , there are at most a fixed number C of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \sim J$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \hat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha (\mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 d\mu &= \sum_{J: (c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \hat{I}} \mathbb{P}^\alpha (\mathbf{1}_I \sigma) \left(c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{J \cap 3I = \emptyset \\ |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} + \sum_{J \subset 3I \setminus I} + \sum_{\substack{J \cap I = \emptyset \\ |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} + \sum_{J \not\supseteq I} \right\} \mathbb{P}^\alpha (\mathbf{1}_I \sigma) \left(c(J), |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &= A + B + C + D. \end{aligned}$$

We further decompose term A according to the length of J and its distance from I , and then use (3.6) and $\mathbf{E}(J, \omega) \leq 1$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{J \subset 3^{k+1}I \setminus 3^k I = \emptyset \\ |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-m} |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \left(\frac{2^{-m} |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\text{dist}(J, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 |J|_\omega \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{\frac{2}{n}} |I|_\sigma |3^{k+1}I \setminus 3^k I|_\omega}{|3^k I|^{2(1+\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} |I|_\sigma \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-2k} \left\{ \frac{|3^{k+1}I|_\sigma |3^{k+1}I|_\omega}{|3^k I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \right\} |I|_\sigma \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathcal{J}^* \equiv \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{J}^*(F)$. Note that for $K \in \mathcal{J}^*$, there is a unique $F_K \in \mathcal{F}$ and a unique $J_K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ with $K \subset J_K$. We now write

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \sum_{J \subset 3I \setminus I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&= \sum_{J \subset 3I \setminus I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F): K \subset J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{J}^*: J_K \subset 3I \setminus I} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F_K, K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{J}^*: J_K \subset 3I \setminus I \\ F_K \cap I = \emptyset}} + \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{J}^*: J_K \subset 3I \setminus I \\ F_K \supset I}} \right\} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J_K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|J_K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F_K, K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&\leq C \left\{ \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{J}^*: J_K \subset 3I \setminus I \\ F_K \cap I = \emptyset}} + \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{J}^*: J_K \subset 3I \setminus I \\ F_K \supset I}} \right\} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F_K, K}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the Poisson ratio inequality follows trivially since $K \subset J_K \subset 3I \setminus I$. We further decompose term B according to the length of K and use the fractional version of the Poisson inequality (essentially in [Vol]),

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{2-2(n+1-\alpha)\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(I, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2, \quad K \in \mathcal{J}^*, K \subset 3I \setminus I,$$

which uses the fact that the cubes $K \in \mathcal{J}^*$ are good. Indeed, we have

$$\mathbf{P}^\alpha(K, \sigma \chi_{\widehat{I} \setminus I}) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{1}{|2^k K|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{(\widehat{2^k K}) \cap (\widehat{I} \setminus I)} d\sigma,$$

and $(\widehat{2^k K}) \cap (\widehat{I} \setminus I) \neq \emptyset$ requires

$$\text{dist}(K, e(I)) \leq 2^k |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Let k_0 be the smallest such k . By our distance assumption we must then have

$$|K|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}} |I|^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n}} \leq \text{dist}(K, e(I)) \leq 2^{k_0} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

or

$$2^{-k_0} \leq \left(\frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Now let k_1 be defined by $2^{k_1} \equiv \frac{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$. Then assuming $k_1 > k_0$ (the case $k_1 \leq k_0$ is similar) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P^\alpha \left(K, \sigma \chi_{\widehat{I} \setminus I} \right) &\approx \left\{ \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} + \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} \right\} \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|2^k K|^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{n}}} \int_{(\widehat{2^k K}) \cap (\widehat{I} \setminus I)} d\sigma \\
&\lesssim \frac{|I|^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n}}}{|2^{k_0} K|^{\frac{n+1-\alpha}{n}}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n}}} \int_{(\widehat{2^{k_1} K}) \cap (\widehat{I} \setminus I)} d\sigma \right) + 2^{-k_1} P^\alpha \left(I, \sigma \chi_{\widehat{I} \setminus I} \right) \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{(1-\varepsilon)(n+1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{n-\alpha} P^\alpha \left(I, \sigma \chi_{\widehat{I} \setminus I} \right) + \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} P^\alpha \left(I, \sigma \chi_{\widehat{I} \setminus I} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the inequality (3.8). We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{K \subset 3I \setminus I \\ |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-m} |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} (2^{-m})^{2-2(n+1-\alpha)\varepsilon} \left(\frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \right)^2 |K|_\omega \\
&\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2^{-m})^{2-2(n+1-\alpha)\varepsilon} \frac{|3I|_\sigma |3I|_\omega}{|3I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} |I|_\sigma \lesssim A_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

For term C we will have to group the cubes J into blocks B_i , and then exploit the mutual orthogonality in the pairs (F, J) of the projections $\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega$ defining μ , in order to avoid overlapping estimates. We first split the sum according to whether or not I intersects the triple of J :

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\approx \left\{ \sum_{\substack{J: I \cap 3J = \emptyset \\ |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} + \sum_{\substack{J^*: I \subset 3J^* \setminus J \\ |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right\} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\text{dist}(J, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&= C_1 + C_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the maximal cubes in the collection of triples

$$\left\{ 3J : |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} > |I|^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{ and } 3J \cap I = \emptyset \right\},$$

arranged in order of increasing side length. Below we will use the simple fact that the cubes B_i have bounded overlap, $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \leq 3^n$. Now we further decompose the sum in C_1 by grouping the intervals J into the blocks B_i , and then using that

$P_{F,J}^\omega x = P_{F,J}^\omega (x - c(B_i))$ along with the mutual orthogonality of the $P_{F,J}^\omega$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J: 3J \subset B_i} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\text{dist}(J, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \left\| P_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\text{dist}(B_i, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 \sum_{J: 3J \subset B_i} \sum_{F \sim J} \|P_{F,J}^\omega x\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\text{dist}(B_i, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 \|\mathbf{1}_{B_i} (x - c(B_i))\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\text{dist}(B_i, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 |B_i|^{\frac{2}{n}} |B_i|_\omega \\
&\lesssim \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|B_i|_\omega |I|_\sigma}{|B_i|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \right\} |I|_\sigma \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

since $\text{dist}(B_i, I) \approx |B_i|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|B_i|_\omega |I|_\sigma}{|B_i|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} &= \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I|}{|B_i|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} |B_i|_\omega \\
&\approx \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\text{dist}(x, I)^{2(n-\alpha)}} d\omega(x) \\
&= \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} \left(\frac{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \text{dist}(x, I)^2} \right)^{n-\alpha} d\omega(x) \\
&= \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(I, \omega) \leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \leq 3^n$.

Next we turn to estimating term C_2 where the triple of J contains I but J itself does not. Note that there are at most 2^n such cubes J of a given side length, one in each ‘generalized octant’ relative to I . So with this in mind we sum over the cubes J according to their lengths and use (3.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{J: \substack{I \subset 3J \setminus J \\ |J|=2^m|I|}} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\text{dist}(J, I)^{n+1-\alpha}} |I|_\sigma \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim J} \left\| P_{F,J}^\omega \frac{x}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|I|_\sigma}{|2^m I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \right)^2 |3 \cdot 2^m I|_\omega = \left\{ \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} |3 \cdot 2^m I|_\omega}{|2^m I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \right\} |I|_\sigma \\
&\lesssim \left\{ \frac{|I|_\sigma}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(I, \omega) \right\} |I|_\sigma \leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} |3 \cdot 2^m I|_\omega}{|2^m I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} = \int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{|2^m I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \mathbf{1}_{3 \cdot 2^m I}(x) d\omega(x) \lesssim \mathcal{P}^\alpha(I, \omega).$$

Finally, we turn to term D , which is handled in the same way as term C_2 . The intervals J occurring here are included in the set of ancestors $A_k \equiv \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)} I$ of I , $1 \leq k < \infty$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{1}_I \sigma) \left(c(A_k), |A_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^2 \sum_{F \sim A_k} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega} \frac{x}{|A_k|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|I|_{\sigma} |A_k|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|A_k|^{1+\frac{1-\alpha}{n}}} \right)^2 |A_k|_{\omega} = \left\{ \frac{|I|_{\sigma}}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{|A_k|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} |A_k|_{\omega} \right\} |I|_{\sigma} \\ &\lesssim \left\{ \frac{|I|_{\sigma}}{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(I, \omega) \right\} |I|_{\sigma} \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha} |I|_{\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{|A_k|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} |A_k|_{\omega} &= \int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{|A_k|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \mathbf{1}_{A_k(x)} d\omega(x) \\ &= \int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})k}} \frac{|I|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{|I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \mathbf{1}_{A_k(x)} d\omega(x) \\ &\lesssim \int \left(\frac{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(|I|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \text{dist}(x, I))^2} \right)^{n-\alpha} d\omega(x) = \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(I, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

3.1.2. The dual Poisson testing inequality. Again, we split the integration on the left side of (3.5) into local and global parts:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\hat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma = \int_I [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\hat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\hat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma.$$

We begin with the local part. We thank M. Lacey for pointing out an error in our proof of this part in the previous version of this paper. It is fixed here by changing the definition of the ball $B(J, J')$ below, and by adding some additional argument. Note that the right hand side of (3.5) is

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu(x, t) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega} z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2,$$

where we are using the dummy variable z to denote the argument of $\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega}$ so as to avoid confusion with the integration variable x in $d\mu(x, t)$ and $d\sigma(x)$. Compute

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\hat{I}} \mu)(x) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega} z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|)^{n+1-\alpha}},$$

and then expand the square and integrate to obtain that the left hand side of (3.5) is

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \int_I \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega} z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^{\omega} z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x),$$

We fix an integer s , and consider those cubes J and J' with $|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s}|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. The expression to control for fixed s is

$$U_s \equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \\ |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s}|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \int_I \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x).$$

Note that J determines F and J' determines F' up to a fixed number C of such F and F' . For simplicity we simply suppose that J uniquely determines F and J' uniquely determines F' . The general case has an additional factor of C .

Our first decomposition is to write

$$(3.11) \quad U_s = T_s + T_{s, \text{close}},$$

with

$$T_{s, \text{close}} \equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') : |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^s |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ |c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{s(1+\varepsilon)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \int_{I \cap B(J, J')} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ will be chosen later in the proof (for example $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2n}$ works) and

$$B(J, J') \equiv B\left(c(J), \frac{1}{2}|c(J) - c(J')|\right),$$

is the ball centered at $c(J)$ with radius $\frac{1}{2}|c(J) - c(J')|$. We will exploit the restriction of integration to $I \cap B(J, J')$, together with the condition $|c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{s(1+\varepsilon)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, in establishing (3.17) below, which will then give an estimate for the term $T_{s, \text{close}}$ using an argument dual to that used for the remaining term T_s .

We begin with an analysis of the term T_s and write

$$\begin{aligned}
T_s &\equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \\ |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \\
&\quad \times \int_I \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\
&\leq M_s \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_\omega^2; \\
M_s &\equiv \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} A_s(J); \\
A_s(J) &\equiv \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \\ |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \int_I S_{(J',J)}(x) d\sigma(x); \\
S_{(J',J)}(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the additional restriction due to removal of $T_{s,\text{close}}$ from U_s in (3.11) results in a restricted range of integration $I \setminus B(J, J')$ in some of the integrals in $A_s(J)$ in certain situations (namely when $|c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{s(1+\varepsilon)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$), and this will be understood throughout the proof, without being explicitly indicated in the notation. This additional restriction will however be needed to establish (3.13) below.

Now fix J as in the definition of $M_s(J)$, and decompose the sum over J' in $A_s(J)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_s(J) &= \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{J}^*(F') \\ |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \int_I S_{(J',J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&= \sum_{J' \subset 2J} \int_I S_{(J',J)}(x) d\sigma(x) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J' \subset 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_I S_{(J',J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} A_s^\ell(J);
\end{aligned}$$

and then if m is the smallest integer for which

$$(3.12) \quad 2^{-m} \sqrt{n} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we decompose the integrals over I by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_s^0(J) &= \sum_{J' \subset 2J} \int_{I \setminus 5J} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) + \sum_{J' \subset 2J} \int_{I \cap 5J} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\equiv A_{s, far}^0(J) + A_{s, near}^0(J), \\
A_s^\ell(J) &= \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \setminus 2^{\ell+2}J} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J \setminus 2^{\ell-m}J)} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap 2^{\ell-m}J} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\equiv A_{s, far}^\ell(J) + A_{s, near}^\ell(J) + A_{s, close}^\ell(J), \quad \ell \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note the important point that the close terms $A_{s, close}^\ell(J)$ *vanish* for $\ell > \varepsilon s$ because of the decomposition (3.11):

$$(3.13) \quad A_{s, close}^\ell(J) = 0, \quad \ell > \varepsilon s.$$

Indeed, if $c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J$, then we have

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{2} 2^\ell |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq |c(J) - c(J')|,$$

and if $\ell > 1 + \varepsilon s$, then

$$|c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{\varepsilon s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{(1+\varepsilon)s} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

It now follows from the definition of $T_{s, close}$ and T_s in (3.11), that in the term T_s , the integration is taken over the set $I \setminus B(J, J')$. But in the term $A_{s, close}^\ell(J)$ that is derived from T_s we are restricted to integrating over the cube $2^{\ell-m}J$, which is contained in $B(J, J')$ by (3.14). Indeed, the smallest ball centered at $c(J)$ that contains $2^{\ell-m}J$ has radius $\sqrt{n} \frac{1}{2} 2^{\ell-m} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, which by (3.12) and (3.14) is at most $\frac{1}{4} 2^\ell |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} |c(J) - c(J')|$, the radius of $B(J, J')$. Thus the range of integration in the term $A_{s, close}^\ell(J)$ is the empty set, and so $A_{s, close}^\ell(J) = 0$.

Now using $\|\mathbf{P}_{F', J' z}^\omega\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{s, far}^0(J) &= \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \int_{I \setminus (5J)} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \int_{I \setminus (5J)} \frac{|J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\
&= 2^{-2s} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2J} |J'|_\omega \right) \int_{I \setminus (5J)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x),
\end{aligned}$$

which is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-2s} |4J|_\omega \int_{I \setminus (5J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{2(n-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\
& \approx 2^{-2s} \frac{|4J|_\omega}{|4J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{I \setminus (5J)} \left(\frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^2} \right)^{n-\alpha} d\sigma(x) \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2s} \frac{|4J|_\omega}{|4J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(4J, \sigma) \lesssim 2^{-2s} \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the near term $A_{s, \text{near}}^0(J)$, we initially keep the energy $\|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2$ and use the energy constant \mathcal{E}_α as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{s, \text{near}}^0(J) &= \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \int_{I \cap (5J)} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\approx \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \int_{I \cap (5J)} \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \int_{I \cap (5J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \cap (5J)} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}},
\end{aligned}$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz this is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \cap (5J)} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2J} |J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{|I \cap (5J)|_\sigma} \\
&\lesssim \frac{2^{-s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sqrt{|5J|_\omega} \sqrt{s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{|5J|_\sigma} \lesssim \sqrt{s} 2^{-s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{|5J|_\omega}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n-\alpha)}} \frac{|5J|_\sigma}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n-\alpha)}}} \lesssim \sqrt{s} 2^{-s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to apply the energy condition to the last factor in the first line here to obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{c(J') \in 2J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \cap (5J)} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \lesssim s (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |I \cap (5J)|_\sigma,$$

we proceed as follows. The rectangle $I \cap (5J)$ consists of at most 5^n dyadic cubes L_i of side length that of J , and each J' with $c(J') \in 2J$ is contained in one of the L_i . Now the cubes J'' used in the projection $\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega$ are *good* subcubes of J' , and so must satisfy $J'' \subset J' \subset L_i$ and $J'' \Subset L_i \subset I \cap (5J)$ unless they are *nearby* the cube L_i . But there are at most $2^{n(r+1)}$ such nearby cubes for each of the at

most 5^n dyadic cubes L_i , and crude estimates work in these nearby cases. In the remaining cases we have $J'' \in L_i \subset I \cap (5J)$, and these cubes J'' can then be grouped according to the $K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(L_i)$ for which $J'' \subset K$. Next, we note that the pairs (J', F') for which $J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')$ have at most Cs different cubes F' :

$$(3.16) \quad \#\mathcal{C}_{L_i} \leq Cs \text{ where } \mathcal{C}_{L_i} \equiv \left\{ F' \in \mathcal{F} : J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \text{ for some } J' \subset L_i \text{ with } |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^{-s} |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\}.$$

This is because the assumption that all the J' have side length exactly 2^{-s} times that of J , together with the definition that J' be maximal deeply embedded in F' , and the definition that J be maximal deeply embedded in some F , puts a restriction on the location and size of F' relative to J' that is sufficient for the bound in (3.16).

Now we consider the cases $F' \in \mathcal{C}_{L_i}$ with $J' \subset F' \subset L_i$ and $F' \not\supseteq L_i \supset J'$ separately. For the case when $J' \subset F' \subset L_i$ we have

$$\sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \leq (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |L_i|_\sigma,$$

upon using the subpartition of L_i consisting of the singleton $\{F'\}$. When $L_{i'} \neq L_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} |F'|_\omega \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \left\{ \frac{|L_i|_\omega}{|L_i|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma) \right\} \left\{ |L_{i'}|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma) \right\} \\ & \leq \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} |L_{i'}|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma) \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |L_{i'}|_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

upon summing Poisson tails over $J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')$.

For the case when $F' \not\supseteq L_i \supset J'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \\ J' \subset L_i}} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \\ & = \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') \\ J' \subset L_i}} \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F') \\ K \subset J'}} \sum_{J'' \sim K} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F', J''}^\omega z \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(L_i)} \sum_{J'' \sim M} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{F', J''}^\omega z \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(M, \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \sigma)}{|M|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

because for each $J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')$ with $K \in \mathcal{J}^*(F')$ and $K \subset J'$, every $J'' \sim K$ is contained in some $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(L_i)$ and $M \subset J'$. Now we continue by dominating

the final term above by

$$\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(L_i)} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', M}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(M, \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \sigma)}{|M|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |L_i|_\sigma \quad .$$

Again if $L_{i'} \neq L_i$ we obtain as above that

$$\sum_{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F')} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{L_{i'}} \sigma)}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |L_{i'}|_\sigma \quad .$$

Summing over $F' \in \mathcal{C}_{L_i}$ and using (3.16) we obtain (3.15).

Similarly, for $\ell \geq 1$, we can estimate the far term

$$\begin{aligned} A_{s, far}^\ell(J) &= \sum_{c(J') \in (2^{\ell+1}J) \setminus (2^\ell J)} \int_{I_\varepsilon \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{c(J') \in (2^{\ell+1}J) \setminus (2^\ell J)} \int_{I \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} \frac{|J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\ &= 2^{-2s} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J} |J'|_\omega \right) \int_{I \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} \frac{|J|^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\ &\approx 2^{-2s} 2^{-\ell \frac{2}{n}} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J} |J'|_\omega \right) \int_{I \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} \frac{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{2}{n}}}{\left(|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(2^\ell J)|\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is at most

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim 2^{-2s} 2^{-\ell \frac{2}{n}} |2^{\ell+2}J|_\omega \int_{I \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} \frac{1}{\left(|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(2^\ell J)|\right)^{2(n-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\ &\approx 2^{-2s} 2^{-\ell \frac{2}{n}} \frac{|2^{\ell+2}J|_\omega}{|2^\ell J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{I \setminus (2^{\ell+2}J)} \left(\frac{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\left(|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(2^\ell J)|\right)^2} \right)^{n-\alpha} d\sigma(x) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2s} 2^{-\ell \frac{2}{n}} \left\{ \frac{|2^{\ell+2}J|_\omega}{|2^\ell J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(2^{\ell+2}J, \sigma) \right\} \lesssim 2^{-2s} 2^{-\ell \frac{2}{n}} \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and the near term

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{s, near}^\ell(J) \\
&= \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J \setminus 2^{\ell-m}J)} S_{(J', J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\approx \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J \setminus 2^{\ell-m}J)} \frac{1}{|2^{\ell(1-\varepsilon)}J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|2^{\ell-1}J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J \setminus 2^{\ell-m}J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x),
\end{aligned}$$

which is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{|2^{\ell-m}J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J)\sigma})}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|2^{\ell-m}J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J', \mathbf{1}_{I \cap (2^{\ell+2}J)\sigma})}{|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and which can now be estimated using $\|\mathbf{P}_{F', J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega$ and the energy constant \mathcal{E}_α to get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{s, near}^\ell(J) &\lesssim 2^{-s} 2^{-\frac{\ell}{n}} \frac{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|2^{\ell-m}J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \sqrt{|2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega} \sqrt{s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{|2^{\ell+2}J|_\sigma} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{s} 2^{-s} 2^{-\frac{\ell}{n}} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{|2^{\ell+2}J|_\omega}{|2^{\ell+2}J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \frac{|2^{\ell+2}J|_\sigma}{|2^{\ell+2}J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{s} 2^{-s} 2^{-\frac{\ell}{n}} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we are using that $m \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 n$ is harmless, and to apply the energy condition here, we argue as for (3.15) above. These estimates are summable in both s and ℓ .

Now we turn to the term $S_{close}^\ell(J)$, and recall from (3.13) that $S_{close}^\ell(J) = 0$ if $\ell > \varepsilon s$. So we now suppose that $\ell \leq \varepsilon s$. We have, with m as in (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{s,close}^\ell(J) \\
&= \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell-m}J)} S_{(J',J)}(x) d\sigma(x) \\
&\approx \sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell-m}J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\
&\approx \left(\sum_{c(J') \in 2^{\ell+1}J \setminus 2^\ell J} \|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right) \frac{1}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell-m}J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the inequality $\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |J'|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J'|_\omega$ to get the relatively crude estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{s,close}^\ell(J) &\lesssim 2^{-2s} |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega \frac{1}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \int_{I \cap (2^{\ell-m}J)} \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\
&\lesssim 2^{-2s} |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} \frac{|2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \frac{|2^{\ell-m}J|_\sigma}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \lesssim 2^{-2s} \frac{|2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega}{|2^{\ell+1}J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \frac{|2^{\ell+1}J|_\sigma}{|2^{\ell+1}J|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} 2^{\ell(n-1-\alpha)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-2s} 2^{\ell(n-1-\alpha)} A_2^\alpha \lesssim 2^{-s} A_2^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $\ell \leq \frac{s}{n}$. But we are assuming $\ell \leq \varepsilon s$ here and so we obtain a suitable estimate for $S_{close}^\ell(J)$ provided we choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{n}$.

Remark 6. *We cannot simply sum the estimate*

$$A_{s,close}^\ell(J) \lesssim 2^{-2s} |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega \frac{1}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{2^{\ell-1}J\sigma})}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

over all $\ell \geq 1$ to get

$$\sum_\ell A_{s,close}^\ell(J) \lesssim 2^{-2s} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \sigma) \sum_\ell \frac{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|2^\ell J|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)}} |2^{\ell+1}J|_\omega \lesssim 2^{-2s} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \sigma) \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \omega),$$

since we only have control of the product $\mathbf{P}(J, \sigma) \mathbf{P}(J, \omega)$ in dimension $n = 1$, where the two Poisson kernels \mathbf{P} and \mathcal{P} coincide, and the two-tailed \mathcal{A}_2 condition is known to hold.

Now we return to the term,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{s,close} &\equiv \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J' \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F') : |J|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 2^s |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ |c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{s(1+\varepsilon)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \\
&\quad \int_{I \cap B(J, J')} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x).
\end{aligned}$$

It will suffice to show that $T_{s,close}$ satisfies the estimate,

$$T_{s,close} \lesssim s 2^{-s\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = 2^{-s\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu(x, t) .$$

Using $B(J, J') = B(c(J), \frac{1}{2}|c(J) - c(J')|)$, we can write (suppressing some notation for clarity),

$$\begin{aligned} T_{s,close} &= \sum_{J, J'} \int_{I \cap B(J, J')} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\left(|J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J')|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\ &\approx \sum_{J, J'} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{1}{\text{dist}(J, J')^{n+1-\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{I \cap B(J, J')} \frac{1}{\left(|J|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x - c(J)|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(x) \\ &\approx \sum_{J, J'} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{1}{|c(J) - c(J')|^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \cap B(J, J')}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\ &\leq \sum_{J'} \|\mathbf{P}_{F',J'}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \sum_J \frac{1}{|c(J) - c(J')|^{n+1-\alpha}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \cap B(J, J')}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and it remains to show that for each J' ,

$$S_{s,close}(J') \equiv \sum_J \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{|c(J) - c(J')|^{n+1-\alpha}} \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \cap B(J, J')}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim s \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} .$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} S_{s,close}(J') &\approx \sum_{k \geq s(1+\varepsilon)-m} \frac{1}{\left(2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} \sum_{J: |c(J) - c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \cap B(J, J')}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k \geq s(1+\varepsilon)-m} \frac{1}{\left(2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} S_{s,close}^k(J') , \end{aligned}$$

where by $|c(J) - c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we mean $2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq |c(J) - c(J')| \leq 2^{k+1} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Here m is as in (3.12), and we are using the inequality,

$$(3.17) \quad k + m \geq (1 + \varepsilon)s .$$

Indeed, in the term $T_{s,close}$ we have $|c(J) - c(J')| \geq 2^{(1+\varepsilon)s} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and combined with $|c(J) - c(J')| \leq \sqrt{n} 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, we obtain (3.17).

Now we apply Cauchy-Schwarz and argue as for (3.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{s,close}^k(J') &\leq \left(\sum_{J: |c(J)-c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{J: |c(J)-c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^\omega z\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^\alpha(J, \mathbf{1}_{I \cap B_\varepsilon(J,J')}\sigma)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{J: |c(J)-c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}} |J|^{\frac{2}{n}} |J|_\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(s(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 |2^k J'|_\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{s} 2^s |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{|2^k J'|_\omega} \sqrt{|C 2^k J'|_\sigma} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \sqrt{s} 2^s |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}} |2^k J'|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \sqrt{s} 2^s 2^{k(n-\alpha)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)},
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$B\left(c(J), \frac{1}{2}|c(J) - c(J')|\right) = B(J, J') \subset C 2^k J'.$$

But this follows from $|c(J) - c(J')| \approx 2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and (3.17). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{s,close}(J') &= \sum_{k \geq (1+\varepsilon)s-m} \frac{1}{\left(2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} S_{s,close}^k(J') \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \sum_{k \geq (1+\varepsilon)s-m} \frac{1}{\left(2^k |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} 2^s 2^{k(n-\alpha)} |J'|^{\frac{1}{n}(n+1-\alpha)} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha} \sum_{k \geq (1+\varepsilon)s-m} 2^{s-k} \lesssim \sqrt{s} 2^{-\varepsilon s} \mathcal{E}_\alpha \sqrt{A_2^\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

which is summable in s . This completes the proof of the estimate for the local part of the second testing condition (3.5).

It remains to prove the following estimate for the global part of the second testing condition (3.5):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha |I|_\sigma.$$

We decompose the integral on the left into two pieces:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus 3I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma + \int_{3I \setminus I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{I}} \mu)]^2 \sigma = A + B.$$

We further decompose term A in annuli and use (3.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{3^{m+1}I \setminus 3^m I} [\mathbb{P}^{\alpha*}(t\mathbf{1}_{\hat{I}}\mu)]^2 d\sigma \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{3^{m+1}I \setminus 3^m I} \left[\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{(|J| + |x - c(J^*)|)^{n+1-\alpha}} \right]^2 d\sigma(x) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{3^{m+1}I \setminus 3^m I} \left[\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right]^2 \frac{1}{\left(3^m |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now use (3.9) and

$$\int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_I(z - c(I))\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \leq |I|^2 |I|_{\omega}$$

to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{3^{m+1}I \setminus 3^m I} \left[\int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu \right] \left[|I|^2 |I|_{\omega} \right] \frac{1}{\left(3^m |I|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{2(n+1-\alpha)}} d\sigma(x) \\
&\lesssim \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 3^{-2m} \frac{|3^{m+1}I|_{\omega} |3^{m+1}I|_{\sigma}}{|3^{m+1}I|^{2(1-\frac{\alpha}{n})}} \right\} \left[\int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu \right] \lesssim A_2^{\alpha} \int_{\hat{I}} t^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where of course $A_2^{\alpha} \leq \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha}$.

Finally, we estimate term B by using (3.10) to write

$$B = \int_{3I \setminus I} \left[\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F) \\ J \subset I}} \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{F,J}^{\omega}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{(|J| + |x - c(J)|)^{n+1-\alpha}} \right]^2 d\sigma(x),$$

and then expanding the square and calculating as in the proof of the local part given earlier. The details are similar and left to the reader.

Control of energy by functional energy. Now we use an easy duality argument to show that conversely, the energy condition is a consequence of the functional energy condition.

Proposition 2.

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \lesssim \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^* \lesssim \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}^*.$$

Proof. To prove this second proposition, we fix a subpartition $\{I_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ of the interval I into \mathcal{D} -dyadic subcubes I_r as in the definition of the energy constant. Let $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{I_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty} \cup \{I\}$, and note that \mathcal{F} trivially satisfies the Carleson condition (5). Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I_r) &\equiv \bigcup_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \{J \in \mathcal{D} : J \subset J^*\}, \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I) &\equiv \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Given a sequence $\{a_J\}_{J \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I)}$ of nonnegative numbers (with all but finitely many vanishing), define

$$g_{I_r} = \sum_{J \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I_r)} a_J \sum_{k=1}^n h_J^{\omega, e_k}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r < \infty \text{ and } J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r).$$

Then $\{g_{I_r}\}_{r=1}^\infty = \{g_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{I\}}$ is \mathcal{F} -adapted and the functional energy inequality (3.1) with $h = \mathbf{1}_I$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^\infty \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right) \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \sum_{J \subset J^*} \widehat{X}^\omega(J) a_J \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)} P^\alpha(J^*, h\sigma) \left| \left\langle \frac{x}{|J^*|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, g_F \mathbf{1}_{J^*} \right\rangle_\omega \right| \\ &\leq \mathfrak{F}_\alpha \|h\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \left[\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|g_F\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{F}_\alpha \sqrt{|I|_\sigma} \left(\sum_{J \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(I)} |a_J|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Duality now yields

$$\sum_{r=1}^\infty \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r)} \sum_{J \subset J^*} \widehat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{F}_\alpha^2 |I|_\sigma.$$

□

3.2. The remaining points. Now we describe in detail the changes needed in the use of the new functional energy condition in the proof of the Intertwining Proposition of [SaShUr], and in the use of the new energy condition to define the energy corona, and finally in the use of the new energy condition in the proof of Lacey's stopping time argument and recursion. We will need the following two results proved in [SaShUr2]; the Monotonicity Lemma and the Energy Lemma.

Lemma 4 (Monotonicity). *Suppose that I , J and J^* are cubes in \mathbb{R}^n such that $J \subset J^* \subset 2J^* \subset I$, and that μ is a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^n supported outside I . Suppose that $h_J^{\omega, a}$ is a Haar function associated with J . Finally suppose that T^α is a standard fractional singular integral on \mathbb{R}^n as defined in Definition 1 with $0 < \alpha < n$. Then we have the estimate*

$$(3.18) \quad |\langle T^\alpha \mu, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega| \lesssim \frac{P^\alpha(J^*, \mu)}{|J^*|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \widehat{X}^\omega(J),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}^\omega(J) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \langle x^\ell - c_J^\ell, h_J^{\omega, e_\ell} \rangle_\omega = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \widehat{x}^\ell(J, e_\ell), \\ c_J &= (c_J^1, \dots, c_J^n) \text{ is the center of } J. \end{aligned}$$

For ν a signed measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and \mathcal{H} a subset of the dyadic subgrid $\mathcal{D}^\omega(J)$, and $0 \leq \alpha < n$, we define the functional

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}^\alpha(J, \nu) \equiv \left(\frac{P^\alpha(J, \mu)}{|J|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J' \in \mathcal{H}} |\widehat{X}^\omega(J')|^2.$$

Lemma 5 (Energy Lemma). *Let J be a cube in \mathcal{D}^ω . Let Ψ_J be an $L^2(\omega)$ function supported in J and with ω -integral zero. Let ν be a signed measure supported in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2J$ and denote the Haar support of Ψ_J by $\mathcal{H} = \text{supp} \Psi_J$. Let T^α be a standard α -fractional Calderón-Zygmund operator with $0 \leq \alpha < n$. Then we have*

$$|\langle T^\alpha(\nu), \Psi_J \rangle_\omega| \leq C \|\Psi_J\|_{L^2(\omega)} \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}^\alpha(J, \nu)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We begin with the Intertwining Proposition in [SaShUr], where the functional energy constant \mathfrak{F}_α arises in proving an estimate for the ‘corona’ term $f_{G, \text{corona}}^b$ there. This is essentially the only part of the proof that uses the functional energy constant \mathfrak{F}_α , as the other two uses are in analogous situations for the mixed form B_{mix}^α and the far form with general stopping data.

3.2.1. Intertwining Proposition. We reproduce that part of the proof of the Intertwining Proposition from Chapter 9 of [SaShUr] at the point where the estimate for $f_{G, \text{corona}}^b$ begins. The change in the argument occurs when the cube J^* in the Monotonicity Lemma is chosen from the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ rather than from $\mathcal{J}^*(F)$ as in Chapter 9 of [SaShUr].

With the Haar functions $\{h_J^{\omega, a}\}_{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega, a \in \Gamma_n}$ as in [SaShUr] and [SaShUr2] we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega} |\widehat{g}^\omega(J)| \sum_{k=1}^n h_J^{\omega, e_k}; \\ \widehat{g}^\omega(J) &\equiv \left\{ \langle g, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega \right\}_{a \in \Gamma_n} \text{ and } |\widehat{g}^\omega(J)| = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} |\langle g, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\omega)} \approx \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}$ and that $\widehat{g}^\omega(J, a) \geq 0$ for all $J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega$ and $a \in \Gamma_n$. Given $J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} J \subset F$, let $J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(F)$ denote the *maximal* deeply embedded \mathcal{D}^ω -dyadic cube satisfying $J \subset J^* \subset F$. Apply the pointwise

estimate in the Monotonicity Lemma 4 with this choice of J^* to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & \left| \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega} \int \mathbf{R}_\sigma^\alpha \left(f_{G(J), \text{corona}}^\sharp \right) (\Delta_J^\omega g) \omega \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega} |\widehat{g}^\omega(J)| \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}: G(J) \subset F \\ (F, G(J)) \in \text{Far}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})}} \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} |\langle \mathbf{R}_\sigma^\alpha ((\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F}^\sigma |f|) \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F \setminus F}) , h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega} |\widehat{g}^\omega(J)| \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}: G(J) \subset F \\ (F, G(J)) \in \text{Far}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})}} (\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F}^\sigma |f|) \frac{1}{|J^*|} \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J^*, \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F \setminus F} \sigma) \widehat{X}^\omega(J) \\
& = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)} \left\langle x, \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega: J \subset J^* \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}} G(J) = K}} \Delta_J^\omega \widetilde{g} \right\rangle_\omega \frac{1}{|J^*|} \mathbf{P}^\alpha \left(J^*, \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: K \subsetneq F} (\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F}^\sigma |f|) \mathbf{1}_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}} F \setminus F} \sigma \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)} \left\langle \frac{x}{|J^*|}, \mathbf{1}_{J^*} g_K \right\rangle_\omega \mathbf{P}^\alpha(J^*, \mathcal{M}_\sigma f),
\end{aligned}$$

where the collection of functions

$$g_K \equiv \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}} G(J) = K}} \Delta_J^\omega \widetilde{g}, \quad K \in \mathcal{F},$$

is \mathcal{F} -adapted as in Definition 6 above. Indeed, for $J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} G(J) = K$ we have $\widehat{g}_K(J, a) = \widehat{\widetilde{g}}(J, a) \geq 0$, and the orthogonality property

$$(3.20) \quad \langle g_K, g_{K'} \rangle_\omega = 0, \quad K, K' \in \mathcal{F},$$

holds since if $J \in \mathcal{C}_G$, $J' \in \mathcal{C}_{G'}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} G \neq \pi_{\mathcal{F}} G'$, then $J \neq J'$. Note also that we have the property

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{|J^*|}, \mathbf{1}_{J^*} g_K \right\rangle_\omega = \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{D}^\omega: J \subset J^* \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}} G(J) = K}} |\langle g, h_J^\omega \rangle_\omega| \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle \frac{x}{|J^*|}, h_J^{\omega, e_k} \right\rangle_\omega \geq 0.$$

Finally, property (3) of Definition 6 holds with overlap constant $C = 2$. Indeed, if $J^* \subset I \subset F$ with $J^* \in \mathcal{J}^*(F)$, there are two possibilities: either (i) $G(J^*) \subset I$ or (ii) $I \subsetneq G(J^*)$. In the first possibility we have $F = F_{G(J^*)}$ and it is now easily seen that the J^* in case (i) are pairwise disjoint. In the second possibility, we have $G(J^*) = G(I)$, and again it is easily seen that the J^* in case (ii) are pairwise disjoint.

Since \mathcal{F} is σ -Carleson, we can now apply the functional energy condition (3.1) to the right side of (3.19) with the choice $h = \mathcal{M}_\sigma f$. We have the maximal function estimate,

$$\|h\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)},$$

and so altogether we obtain that the right hand side of (3.19) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{J^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(K)} \left\langle \frac{x}{|J^*|}, \mathbf{1}_{J^*} g_K \right\rangle_{\omega} P^{\alpha}(J^*, \mathcal{M}_{\sigma} f) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \|h\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \left[\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \|g_K\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\omega)} \lesssim \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1) and (3.20).

Similar considerations apply to the analogous inequalities for the mixed form $B_{\text{mix}}^{\alpha}(f, g)$ and the general stopping data case.

3.2.2. The energy corona. In order to proceed with the *cube* size splitting of Nazarov, Treil and Volberg, we must also impose an energy corona decomposition as in [NTV4] and [LaSaUr2]. Define the *good* energy $E_{\text{good}}(I, \omega)$ of a measure ω on a dyadic cube I by

$$E_{\text{good}}(I, \omega)^2 = \frac{1}{|I|_{\omega}} \left\| \mathbf{p}_I^{\text{good}, \omega} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|I|} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = \frac{1}{|I|_{\omega}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(I)} \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \left| \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|I|}, h_J^{\omega, a} \right\rangle_{\omega} \right|^2.$$

Definition 8. Given a cube S_0 , define $\mathcal{S}(S_0)$ to be the maximal subcubes $I \subset S_0$ such that if $\mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I)$ is the partition of I into maximal deeply embedded subcubes $J \in I$, then

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I)} |J|_{\omega} E_{\text{good}}(J, \omega)^2 P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_{S_0} \sigma)^2 \geq 10 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^2 |I|_{\sigma},$$

where \mathcal{E}_{α} is the best constant in the energy condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_i)} |J|_{\omega} E_{\text{good}}(I_i, \omega)^2 P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_I \sigma)^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^2 |I|_{\sigma},$$

where $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is any subpartition of I - see Definition 4. Then define the σ -energy stopping cubes of S_0 to be the collection $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$ where $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}(S_0)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n+1} =$

$\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}_n} \mathcal{S}(S)$ for $n \geq 0$.

From the energy condition in Definition 4 we obtain the σ -Carleson estimate

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}: S \subset I} |S|_{\sigma} \leq 2 |I|_{\sigma}, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}^{\sigma}.$$

We emphasize that this collection of stopping times depends only on S_0 and the weight pair (σ, ω) , and not on any functions at hand. There is also a dual definition of energy stopping times \mathcal{T} that satisfies an ω -Carleson estimate

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}: T \subset J} |T|_{\omega} \leq 2 |J|_{\omega}, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}^{\omega}.$$

Finally, we record the reason for introducing energy stopping times. If

$$(3.24) \quad X_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_S)^2 \equiv \sup_{I \in \mathcal{C}_S} \frac{1}{|I|_{\sigma}} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I)} |J|_{\omega} E(J, \omega)^2 P^{\alpha}(J, \mathbf{1}_S \sigma)^2$$

is (the square of) the α -stopping energy of the weight pair (σ, ω) with respect to the corona \mathcal{C}_S , then we have the *stopping energy bounds*

$$(3.25) \quad X_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_S) \leq \sqrt{10}\mathcal{E}_\alpha, \quad S \in \mathcal{S},$$

where the energy constant \mathcal{E}_α is controlled by assumption. There are also dual stopping energy bounds $X_\alpha^*(\mathcal{C}_T) \leq \sqrt{10}\mathcal{E}_\alpha^*$.

3.2.3. The parallel corona splitting of the double corona decompositions. We continue to follow Chapter 9 of [SaShUr] and recall that matters were reduced there, by the parallel corona splitting of the double corona decompositions, to boundedness of the form $\mathsf{T}_{near}(f, g)$, which was then further decomposed into lower and upper parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_{near}(f, g) &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \text{Near}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) \\ B \subset A}} + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \text{Near}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) \\ A \subset B}} \right\} \int T_\sigma^\alpha(P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f) (P_{\mathcal{C}_B}^\omega g) \omega \\ &= \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g) + \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ upper}}(f, g); \\ \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g) &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f, Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega; \\ Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A}^\omega &\equiv \sum_{J \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A} \Delta_J^\omega \text{ where } \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A \equiv \bigcup_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}: B \subset A \\ (A,B) \in \text{Near}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})}} \mathcal{C}_B^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have that $Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A}^\omega = \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}: B \subset A \\ (A,B) \in \text{Near}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})}} P_{\mathcal{C}_B}^\omega$ is the projection onto all of the coronas \mathcal{C}_B^ω for which B is ‘near and below’ A . By symmetry, it suffices to consider the lower near form $\mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g)$. For this term we applied a further decomposition and another application of functional energy.

From above, we have $\mathsf{T}_{near}(f, g) = \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g) + \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ upper}}(f, g)$ where

$$\mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g) = \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \text{Near}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) \\ B \subset A}} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha(P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), P_{\mathcal{C}_B}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega,$$

and the sum above is over pairs (A, B) in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \vdash A$, i.e.

$$B \subset A \text{ and there is no } A_1 \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\} \text{ with } B \subset A_1 \subset A.$$

Now for $B \vdash A$ we further decompose

$$P_{\mathcal{C}_B}^\omega g \equiv \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_B} \Delta_J^\omega g = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma} \Delta_J^\omega g + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_B^\omega \setminus \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma} \Delta_J^\omega g = P_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g + P_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \setminus \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}(f, g) &= \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha(P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), P_{\mathcal{C}_B}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega \\ &= \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha(P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), P_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega + \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha(P_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), P_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \setminus \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega \\ &\equiv \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}^{\text{main}}(f, g) + \mathsf{T}_{near \text{ lower}}^{\text{para}}(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

The form $\mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{para}(f, g)$ can be handled by the functional energy condition by applying the Intertwining Proposition. The remaining form can be written

$$(3.26) \quad \mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{main}(f, g) = \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha (\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega \equiv \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{A, main}(f, g),$$

where the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{A, main}(f, g) &\equiv \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha (\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega = \sum_{B \vdash A} \left\langle T_\sigma^\alpha (\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\sigma f), \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_A^\omega}^\omega g \right\rangle_\omega; \\ \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_A^\omega}^\omega &= \sum_{B \vdash A} \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_B^\omega \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma}^\omega, \end{aligned}$$

retains the bounded averages condition on f from the telescoping property of Haar projections. Indeed, the \mathcal{A} -children A' of A do *not* occur among the cubes I_J for $I \in \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma$ and $J \in \mathcal{C}_B^\omega$ with $J \subseteq I$. Recall that I_J is the unique child of I containing J . The stopping energy bounds (3.25) are in force as well in the form $\mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{A, main}$.

3.2.4. Cube size splitting and the stopping form of NTV. Now for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we split the form $\mathsf{B}^A \equiv \mathsf{T}_{near lower}^{A, main}$ into the lower and upper forms $\mathsf{B}_\subseteq^A(f, g)$ and $\mathsf{B}_\supseteq^A(f, g)$ introduced in [LaSaShUr],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{B}_\subseteq^A(f, g) &= \sum_{\substack{(I, J) \in (\mathcal{C}_A^\sigma \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\omega) \times \mathcal{C}_A^\omega \\ J \subseteq I}} \langle H_\sigma \Delta_I^\sigma f, \Delta_J^\omega g \rangle_\omega, \\ \mathsf{B}_\supseteq^A(f, g) &= \sum_{\substack{(I, J) \in (\mathcal{C}_A^\sigma \cap \mathcal{C}_A^\omega) \times \mathcal{C}_A^\omega \\ I \subseteq J}} \langle \Delta_I^\sigma f, H_\omega \Delta_J^\omega g \rangle_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then following the arguments in [LaSaShUr] we use the paraproduct trick of NTV to reduce boundedness of $\mathsf{B}_\subseteq^A(f, g)$ to boundedness of the associated stopping form

$$(3.27) \quad \mathsf{B}_{stop}^A(f, g) \equiv \sum_{I \in \text{supp } \widehat{f}} \sum_{J: J \subseteq I \text{ and } I_J \notin \mathcal{A}} (\mathbb{E}_{I_J}^\sigma \Delta_I^\sigma f) \langle T_\sigma^\alpha \mathbf{1}_{A \setminus I_J}, \Delta_J^\omega g \rangle_\omega,$$

where f is supported in the cube A with bounded averages, the Haar support $\text{supp } \widehat{f}$ of f is contained in the corona \mathcal{C}_A^σ , and $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset \mathcal{C}_A^\omega$. It is to the form $\mathsf{B}_{stop}^A(f, g)$ that the argument of M. Lacey in [Lac] in the next subsection is applied to prove the inequality

$$(3.28) \quad |\mathsf{B}_{stop}^A(f, g)| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \|g\|_{L^2(\omega)}, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

3.2.5. Modifying the argument of M. Lacey. Now we turn to the few changes needed in the stopping time and recursion argument of Lacey as outlined in Chapter 10 of [SaShUr]. First we define soft energy constants $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft},*}$ that involve the good/deep projection $\mathsf{P}_K^{\text{good/deep}, \omega}$ introduced in the introduction which satisfies

$$\left\| \mathsf{P}_K^{\text{good/deep}, \omega} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(K)} |\langle \mathbf{x}, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_\omega|^2 \approx \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(K)} \widehat{X}^\omega(J)^2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(K) \equiv \{J \in \mathcal{D} : J \text{ is good and } J \subseteq K\}.$$

Provided $0 \leq \alpha < n$, we prove the ‘soft’ energy constants $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft},*}$ defined below are controlled by \mathcal{A}_2^α and the energy constants \mathcal{E}_α and \mathcal{E}_α^* . Recall that a

collection $\{I_r\}_{r=1}$ of cubes is a *subpartition* of a cube I if $I_r \subset I$ and $I_r \cap I_{r'} = \emptyset$ for $r \neq r'$.

Definition 9. Define the soft energy constant

$$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}})^2 \equiv \sup_{|I|_\sigma} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I\sigma})}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2,$$

where the supremum is taken over

- (1) all dyadic grids \mathcal{D} ,
- (2) all \mathcal{D} -dyadic cubes I ,
- (3) and all subpartitions $\{I_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ of the interval I into \mathcal{D} -dyadic subcubes I_r .

There is a similar definition for the backward soft energy constant $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft},*}$. Recall that the classical tailless A_2^α condition,

$$A_2^\alpha \equiv \sup_Q |Q|^{2(\frac{\alpha}{n}-1)} |Q|_\omega |Q|_\sigma < \infty,$$

satisfies $A_2^\alpha \lesssim \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha$.

Lemma 6. Let $0 \leq \alpha < n$. We have the energy condition inequality,

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\alpha + \sqrt{A_2^\alpha}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I\sigma})}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r \sigma})}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I_r \sigma})}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \right) \\ & \equiv A + B, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B \lesssim \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|I_r|_\sigma}{|I_r|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}} |I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left(|I_r|^{\frac{2}{n}} |I_r|_\omega \right) \lesssim A_2^\alpha \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |I_r|_\sigma \leq A_2^\alpha.$$

Observe that since a cube $J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)$ is deeply embedded in I_r , then J is contained in some cube K belonging to $\mathcal{M}(I_r)$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A & \leq \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}(I_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r \sigma})}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{J \subset K} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}(I_r)} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(K^*, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r \sigma})}{|K^*|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{J \subset K} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \right) \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate in the second line above requires

$$\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r} \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim \frac{P^\alpha(K^*, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r} \sigma)}{|K^*|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \quad K \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{deep}}(I_r).$$

However, if $B \subset A \subset I$, and if for $y \in I \setminus A$, we have $|y - c_L| \geq |L|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for $L = A, B$, then we use

$$\frac{P^\alpha(L, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus A} \sigma)}{|L|^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \int_{I \setminus A} \frac{1}{\left(|L|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y - c_L|\right)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y),$$

together with $|y - c_B| \leq 2|y - c_A|$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P^\alpha(A, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus A} \sigma)}{|A|^{\frac{1}{n}}} &\approx \int_{I \setminus A} \frac{1}{(|y - c_A|)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y) \\ &\lesssim \int_{I \setminus A} \frac{1}{(|y - c_B|)^{n+1-\alpha}} d\sigma(y) \approx \frac{P^\alpha(B, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus A} \sigma)}{|B|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This applies with $A = I_r$ and $B = K^*$ with $K \in \mathcal{M}(I_r)$. \square

Now we recall the basic definitions used in our description of Lacey's stopping time and recursion argument in chapter 10 of [SaShUr]. Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a subset of the product space $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}_{\text{good}}$ of pairs of dyadic cubes in \mathbb{R}^n with second component good. We say that \mathcal{P} is an *admissible* collection of pairs for a dyadic cube A if

- J is good and $J \subseteq I \subset A$ for every $(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}$,
- if $I_1 \subset I_2$ and both $(I_1, J) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $(I_2, J) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}$ for every I in the geodesic $[I_1, I_2] = \{I \in \mathcal{D} : I_1 \subset I \subset I_2\}$.

The basic example of an admissible collection of pairs is obtained from the pairs of cubes summed in the stopping form $B_{\text{stop}}^A(f, g)$ in (3.28);

$$(3.29) \quad \mathcal{P}^A \equiv \left\{ (I, J) : I \in \mathcal{C}_A^\sigma \text{ and } J \in \mathcal{C}_A^\omega \text{ with } J \subseteq I \text{ and } I_J \notin \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

For an admissible collection \mathcal{P} let $\pi_1 \mathcal{P}$ and $\pi_2 \mathcal{P}$ be the cubes in the first and second components of the pairs respectively, and set

$$\pi_2^I \mathcal{P} \equiv \{J \in \pi_2 \mathcal{P} : J \subset I\}.$$

Note in particular that $\pi_2^I \mathcal{P}$ could be much larger than the projection $\pi_2 \mathcal{P}^I$ of the collection of pairs $\mathcal{P}^I \equiv \{J \in \pi_2 \mathcal{P} : (I, J) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ onto the second coordinate. The soft energy constant $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{\text{soft}})^2 = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \sup_{I = \cup_{r=1}^\infty I_r} \sum_{r=1}^\infty \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I_r, \mathbf{1}_{I \setminus I_r} \sigma)}{|I_r|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}(I_r)} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2,$$

and we define the 'size functional' $\mathcal{E}_A^\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ of \mathcal{P} by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.30) \quad \mathcal{E}_A^\alpha(\mathcal{P})^2 &\equiv \sup_{I \in \pi_1 \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I, \mathbf{1}_{A \setminus I} \sigma)}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \sum_{J \in \pi_2^I \mathcal{P}} \hat{X}^\omega(J)^2 \\ &\approx \sup_{I \in \pi_1 \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{|I|_\sigma} \left(\frac{P^\alpha(I, \mathbf{1}_{A \setminus I} \sigma)}{|I|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^2 \left\| \mathbf{P}_{\pi_2^I \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{x} \right\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that a projection $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ on \mathbf{x} satisfies

$$\|P_{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} |\langle x_{\ell}, h_J^{\omega, a} \rangle_{\omega}|^2 \approx \sum_{J \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{\ell=1}^n |\langle x_{\ell}, h_J^{\omega, e_{\ell}} \rangle_{\omega}|^2 \approx \sum_{J \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{X}^{\omega}(J)^2.$$

The basic lemma here is this.

Lemma 7. *If \mathcal{P}^A is as in (3.29) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}^A$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_A^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) \leq X_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_S) \leq \sqrt{10} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\text{soft}}.$$

Proof. If $I \in \pi_1 \mathcal{P}$ and $J \in \pi_2^I \mathcal{P}$, then J is good and $J \Subset I$, i.e. $J \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ and then the lemma follows from (3.25). \square

If we combine this with Lemma 6 we obtain the crucial control,

$$\mathcal{E}_A^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^{\text{soft}} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} + \sqrt{A_2^{\alpha}},$$

and now the remainder of Lacey's proof as described in Chapter 10 of [SaShUr] continues without change.

REFERENCES

- [BeMeSe] Y. BELOV, T. Y. MENGESTIE AND K. SEIP, *Discrete Hilbert transforms on sparse sequences*, [arXiv:0912.2899v1](#) (2009).
- [DaJo] DAVID, GUY, JOURNÉ, JEAN-LIN, *A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), 371–397, MR763911 (85k:42041).
- [HuMuWh] R. HUNT, B. MUCKENHOUT AND R. L. WHEEDEN, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and the Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227–251.
- [Hyt] HYTÖNEN, TUOMAS, *On Petermichl's dyadic shift and the Hilbert transform*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008), MR2464252.
- [HyPeTrVo] T. HYTÖNEN, C. PÉREZ, S. TREIL AND A. VOLBERG, *Sharp weighted estimates for dyadic shifts and the A_2 conjecture*, [arXiv 1010.0755v2](#).
- [Lac] LACEY, MICHAEL T., *On the two weight Hilbert transform inequality*, [arXiv:1301.4663v1](#) (2013).
- [LaSaUr1] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for maximal singular integrals*, [arxiv:0807.0246](#) (2008).
- [LaSaUr2] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *A Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert transform assuming an energy hypothesis*, [arXiv: \(2011\)](#).
- [LaSaShUr] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *The Two weight inequality for Hilbert transform, coronas, and energy conditions*, [arXiv: \(2011\)](#).
- [LaSaShUr2] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert Transform: A Real Variable Characterization*, [arXiv:1201.4319](#) (2012).
- [LaSaShUr3] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *Two weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: A real variable characterization*, this version submitted to Duke Math. J.
- [LaSaShUrWi] LACEY, MICHAEL T., SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, WICK, BRETT D., *Two weight inequalities for the Cauchy transform from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C}_+* , [arXiv:1310.4820v2](#).
- [LaWi] LACEY, MICHAEL T., WICK, BRETT D., *Two weight inequalities for the Cauchy transform from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C}_+* , [arXiv:1310.4820v1](#).
- [LaWi2] LACEY, MICHAEL T., WICK, BRETT D., *Two weight inequalities for Riesz transforms: uniformly full dimension weights*, [arXiv:1312.6163v1](#).

- [LySe] Y. I. LYUBARSKII AND K. SEIP, *Complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Weiner spaces and Muckenhoupt's A_p condition*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **13** (1997), no. 2, 361-376. MR1617649 (99e:42004).
- [NaVo] F. NAZAROV AND A. VOLBERG, *The Bellman function, the two weight Hilbert transform, and the embeddings of the model space K_θ* , J. d'Analyse Math. **87** (2002), 385-414.
- [NTV1] F. NAZAROV, S. TREIL AND A. VOLBERG, *The Bellman function and two weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 909-928, MR{1685781 (2000k:42009)}.
- [NTV2] NAZAROV, F., TREIL, S. AND VOLBERG, A., *The Tb-theorem on non-homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **190** (2003), no. 2, MR 1998349 (2005d:30053).
- [NTV4] F. NAZAROV, S. TREIL AND A. VOLBERG, *Two weight estimate for the Hilbert transform and corona decomposition for non-doubling measures*, preprint (2004) [arxiv:1003.1596](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.1596)
- [NiTr] N. NIKOLSKI AND S. TREIL, *Linear resolvent growth of rank one perturbation of a unitary operator does not imply its similarity to a normal operator*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 415-431. MR1945291.
- [Saw1] E. SAWYER, *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators*, Studia Math. **75** (1982), 1-11, MR{676801 (84i:42032)}.
- [Saw3] E. SAWYER, *A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for fractional and Poisson integrals*, Trans. A.M.S. **308** (1988), 533-545, MR{930072 (89d:26009)}.
- [Saw] E. SAWYER, *An expanded version of 'Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert Transform: A Real Variable Characterization, [arXiv:1201.4319](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4319) (2012).*' posted on the author's website <http://www.math.mcmaster.ca/~sawyer/> (2012).
- [SaShUr] SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *A two weight theorem for α -fractional singular integrals: an expanded version*, [arXiv:1302.5093v5](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.5093v5) (2013).
- [SaShUr2] SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *A two weight theorem for α -fractional singular integrals in higher dimension*, [arXiv:1305.5104v6](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.5104v6) (2013).
- [SaShUr3] SAWYER, ERIC T., SHEN, CHUN-YEN, URIARTE-TUERO, IGNACIO, *A note on failure of energy reversal for classical fractional singular integrals*, [arXiv:1401.0467v5](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.0467v5) (2013).
- [SaWh] E. SAWYER AND R. L. WHEEDEN, *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), 813-874.
- [Ste] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993.
- [Vol] A. VOLBERG, *Calderón-Zygmund capacities and operators on nonhomogeneous spaces*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics (2003), MR{2019058 (2005c:42015)}.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, 1280 MAIN STREET WEST, HAMILTON, ONTARIO, CANADA L8S 4K1

E-mail address: sawyer@mcmaster.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNGLI, 32054, TAIWAN

E-mail address: chunyshen@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING MI

E-mail address: ignacio@math.msu.edu