

On the partition functions of higher spin black holes

Matteo Beccaria^a, **Guido Macorini**^{a,b}

^a*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica Ennio De Giorgi,
Università del Salento & INFN, Via Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy*

^b*Niels Bohr International Academy and Discovery Center,
Niels Bohr Institute,
Blegdamsvej 17 DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

E-mail: matteo.beccaria@le.infn.it, macorini@nbi.ku.dk

ABSTRACT: We reconsider black hole solutions of $D = 3$ higher-spin gravity in the $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda] \oplus \mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ Chern-Simons formulation. A suitable generalisation of the BTZ black hole has a spin-3 chemical potential α , and non-zero values of all the conserved charges associated with the asymptotic $\mathcal{W}_\infty[\lambda]$ symmetry. We extend the available perturbative expansion of the partition function to order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$ for generic values of the λ parameter. The result matches the CFT prediction at $\lambda = 0$ and at $\lambda = 1$ where we provide the exact all-order expansion of the partition function. The perturbative series is then analysed in the interesting non-trivial limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and we derive the exact analytical expressions of the partition function and the spin-4 charge in closed form as functions of α . Also, the first subleading correction at large λ is shown to be simply related to the leading contribution.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Black holes in higher spin gravity	2
2.1	Chern-Simons gravity	2
2.2	The BTZ black hole	3
2.3	The Kraus-Perlmutter construction	4
3	Extended perturbative analysis	4
3.1	The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$ partition function	5
3.2	Comparison with CFT in the $\lambda = 0, 1$ limits	6
3.3	Properties of the polynomials $Z^{(p)}(\lambda)$	7
4	Exact results in the $\lambda = \infty$ limit	8
4.1	Partition function	9
4.2	Spin-4 charge \mathcal{J}_4	10
4.2.1	Subleading correction at large λ	12
5	Comments and Conclusions	12
A	The $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ algebra	13
B	Partition function at $\lambda = 0, 1$ from CFT	14
B.1	$\lambda = 1$	14
B.2	$\lambda = 0$	15

1 Introduction

In the remarkable paper [1], Vasiliev higher spin theory on AdS_3 [2, 3] has been proposed to be holographically dual to certain coset minimal model conformal theories in the large- N limit. In the simplest case, one considers a consistent truncation where matter decouples and the pure higher spin theory reduces to a Chern-Simons theory with algebra $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda] \oplus \mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$. Accordingly, the dual CFT has $W_\infty[\lambda]$ symmetry.

An important feature of these Chern-Simons theories is that they admit black hole solutions with non zero values of the higher spin charges [4–6]. In the holomorphic formalism [4, 7], it is possible to define a sensible thermodynamics and evaluate the black hole entropy from its partition function. The various charges are obtained by requiring that the gauge fields holonomy around a thermal circle is trivial. This condition fixes the

relation between the charges and their conjugate chemical potentials. The entropy is then evaluated by the first law of thermodynamics. Recent developments based on this approach can be found in [8–13]. For a discussion of the relation between the holomorphic entropy and the canonical one, see comments at the end of section (3.1).

In the holomorphic formalism, higher spin black holes are interpreted as states of a dual CFT deformed by an irrelevant operator. These microscopic states can be counted by standard methods that exploit the underlying \mathcal{W} symmetry of the conformal theory. Agreement with the bulk calculation is found at relatively low order in perturbation theory [13–15]. In particular, the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^8)$ expansion of the partition function in powers of the spin-3 charge chemical potential [5] has been confirmed.

In this paper, we reconsider the Kraus-Perlmutter calculation and extend it to the order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$. This task does not involve any additional conceptual tool and is a matter of computational techniques. Nevertheless, the result is, in our opinion, interesting for various reasons. The availability of a moderately long perturbation series allows to explore regularities and permits to propose conjectures about higher order effects.

In particular, in Sec. (2) we review the setup of the Kraus-Perlmutter calculation. In Sec. (3), we present our results for the partition function at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$ and compare it with the CFT prediction at $\lambda = 0, 1$. At $\lambda = 1$, we provide novel results for the all order CFT partition function. Finally, in Sec. (4), we consider the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ algebra and report exact expressions for the partition function and the spin-4 charge.

2 Black holes in higher spin gravity

In this section, we briefly summarise some basic information about higher spin black holes in Chern-Simons gravity. We start from the BTZ solution [16, 17] and present its extension with non zero spin charges according to the Kraus-Perlmutter construction [5]. A nice review of the subject with much more details can be found in [6].

2.1 Chern-Simons gravity

Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant can be written as a $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons theory [18, 19]. The action involves the 1-forms (A, \bar{A}) taking values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and reads

$$S = S_{\text{CS}}(A) - S_{\text{CS}}(\bar{A}), \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \text{Tr} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right). \quad (2.2)$$

The Chern-Simons level k is related to the Newton constant G_N and the AdS_3 radius ℓ_{AdS} by the relation $k = \ell_{\text{AdS}}/(4G_N)$. When the Chern-Simons forms take value in a different Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the resulting theory describes Einstein gravity coupled to a set of higher spin fields. An important example is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{R})$ with integer $N \geq 3$. In this case, one has the graviton and a tower of symmetric tensor fields of spin $s = 3, 4, \dots, N$. In this paper,

we shall focus on the case of the infinite dimensional higher spin algebra $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ where λ is a positive real parameter determining the gravitational couplings among the various fields [20, 21]¹. We also remark that the computation in $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ with integer $\lambda = N$ is expected to reproduce the results in $\mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{R})$.

2.2 The BTZ black hole

The $SL(3, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons theory admits smooth, asymptotically AdS_3 , black hole solutions with a spin-3 charge and sensible thermodynamics [4, 7]. The original BTZ black hole [16, 17] does not involve the spin-3 charge and we very briefly review its construction as a preparation to its higher spin generalisation. Denoting the generators of a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ subalgebra as $\{L_{-1}, L_0, L_1\}$, the BTZ solution reads

$$A = \left(e^\rho L_1 - \frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{L} e^{-\rho} L_{-1} \right) dx^+ + L_0 d\rho, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\bar{A} = - \left(e^\rho L_{-1} - \frac{2\pi}{k} \bar{\mathcal{L}} e^{-\rho} L_1 \right) dx^- - L_0 d\rho, \quad (2.4)$$

where $(\rho, x^\pm \equiv t \pm \varphi)$ are the space-time coordinates and $\mathcal{L}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ are linear combinations of the conserved mass and angular momentum charges.

The Euclidean BTZ black hole is obtained by taking $dx^+ = dz$ and $dx^- = d\bar{z}$ and making the identification $(z, \bar{z}) \sim (z + 2\pi\tau, \bar{z} + 2\pi\bar{\tau})$ in order to avoid a conical singularity at the horizon. Focusing on holomorphic quantities, the conserved charge is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{k}{8\pi\tau^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the parameter τ is a function of the inverse temperature and horizon angular velocity of the black hole. This relation follows from the requirement of a smooth horizon. It is important to have a gauge invariant parametrisation of the BTZ black hole. This is achieved by introducing the holonomy of the gauge connection around the Euclidean time circle defined above. This is

$$\omega = 2\pi(\tau A_+ - \bar{\tau} A_-), \quad (2.6)$$

whose eigenvalues $\{0, \pm 2\pi i\}$ are encoded in the trace relations

$$\text{Tr}(\omega) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\omega^2) = -8\pi^2, \quad \text{Tr}(\omega^3) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The inclusion of a spin-3 charge \mathcal{W} (and its anti-holomorphic counterpart) requires the introduction of an associated chemical potential μ . Again, smoothness of the horizon is expected to fix the full dependence of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{W} on τ and the chemical potential. As discussed in [4, 7], smoothness has to be enforced in a $SL(3, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ gauge invariant

¹ The physical meaning of λ is that it parameterizes a family of inequivalent AdS vacua. When scalar matter is coupled to gravity, it also sets the mass of the scalar fields, see for instance [8].

way by imposing the holonomy trace conditions (2.7). Also, this BTZ holonomy prescription guarantees a sensible thermodynamics. The black hole must be the saddle point contribution to a partition function of the form (we write only the holomorphic part)

$$Z(\tau, \alpha) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{4\pi^2 i(\tau \mathcal{L} + \alpha \mathcal{W})} \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, the following integrability condition must hold: $\partial_\alpha \mathcal{L} = \partial_\tau \mathcal{W} \sim \partial_{\alpha\tau}^2 Z$. This leads to a precise relation $\alpha = \bar{\tau} \mu$ between α , τ , and μ and to a well defined entropy in agreement with the first law of thermodynamics.

2.3 The Kraus-Perlmutter construction

The Kraus-Perlmutter construction [5] leads to a generalisation of the BTZ black hole suitable for higher spin gravity based on the algebra $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda] \oplus \mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ (see Appendix A for the details relevant to this paper). The main ingredient is the connection a_+ which is related to A by a gauge transformation [21]²

$$a_+ = V_1^2 - \frac{2\pi\mathcal{L}}{k} V_{-1}^2 - \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \frac{\pi\mathcal{W}}{2k} V_{-2}^3 + \mathcal{J}_4 V_{-3}^4 + \mathcal{J}_5 V_{-4}^5 + \dots, \quad (2.9)$$

where V_m^s are $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ generators. The normalisation $\mathcal{N}(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{20}{\lambda^2-4}}$ is chosen to simplify comparison to the $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ results of [4, 7] and is the same as in [5]. The connection a_+ contains the Einstein gravity charge \mathcal{L} , the spin 3 charge \mathcal{W} and additional charges $\mathcal{J}_{n \geq 4}$ for all higher spin fields. The solution to the holonomy equations will have to satisfy the integrability condition $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \mathcal{W}_\tau$. The holonomy is

$$\omega = 2\pi \left[\tau a_+ - \alpha \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \left(a_+ \star a_+ - \frac{2\pi\mathcal{L}}{3k} (\lambda^2 - 1) \right) \right], \quad (2.10)$$

and the smoothness condition reads simply

$$\text{Tr}(\omega^n) = \text{Tr}(\omega_{\text{BTZ}}^n) = \frac{24}{\lambda(\lambda^2-1)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\partial_t^n \frac{\sin(\pi \lambda t)}{\sin(\pi t)} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\omega_{\text{BTZ}} = 2\pi\tau \left(V_1^2 + \frac{1}{4\tau^2} V_{-1}^2 \right), \quad (2.12)$$

and the r.h.s of (2.11) has been derived in [13] by exploiting the fact that the V_m^s generators in ω_{BTZ} have all $s = 2$.

3 Extended perturbative analysis

We have solved the holonomy conditions (2.11) perturbatively in α by extending the analysis of [5] in order to compute the partition function up to terms $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$. The computational complexity is rather high and the main difficulty is in the evaluation of the traces

² This gauge transformation is such that we work with a flat connection without ρ dependence and with no ρ component [22].

$\text{Tr}(\omega^n)$. To this aim, we have split

$$\omega = \tilde{\omega} + \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha \mathcal{L}}{3k} (\lambda^2 - 1), \quad (3.1)$$

and computed the traces $\text{Tr}(\tilde{\omega}^n)$. The holonomy $\tilde{\omega}$ can be separated out according to the m value of the various V_m^s generators

$$\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^2 \tilde{\omega}_m. \quad (3.2)$$

Each non vanishing contribution to $\text{Tr}(\tilde{\omega}^n)$ comes from terms of the form

$$\tilde{\omega}_{m_1} \star \cdots \star \tilde{\omega}_{m_n}, \quad m_1 + \cdots + m_n = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Also, cyclicity invariance

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\omega}_{m_1} \star \tilde{\omega}_{m_2} \star \cdots \star \tilde{\omega}_{m_n}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{\omega}_{m_2} \star \cdots \star \tilde{\omega}_{m_n} \star \tilde{\omega}_{m_1}), \quad (3.4)$$

is used to greatly reduce the number of relevant traces. Once, the holonomy conditions (2.11) have been generated, they can be solved by the Ansatz

$$\mathcal{L} = k \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{(p)}(\lambda) \frac{\alpha^{2p}}{\tau^{4p+2}}, \quad \mathcal{W} = k \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}^{(p)}(\lambda) \frac{\alpha^{2p+1}}{\tau^{4p+5}}, \quad (3.5)$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_n^{(p)}(\lambda) \frac{\alpha^{n-2+2p}}{\tau^{3n+4p-4}}, \quad n \geq 4. \quad (3.6)$$

3.1 The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$ partition function

We can obtain the partition function by integrating the expansion of \mathcal{L} according to the relation

$$\partial_\tau \log Z = 4\pi^2 i \mathcal{L}. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice also that, remarkably, the expansion of \mathcal{W} obeys the integrability constraint at the considered perturbative order. The general form of the partition function is then

$$\log Z(\tau, \alpha) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} Z^{(p)}(\lambda) \frac{\alpha^{2p}}{\tau^{4p+1}}, \quad (3.8)$$

and its explicit value is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z(\tau, \alpha) = & \frac{i\pi k}{2\tau} \left[1 - \frac{4\alpha^2}{3\tau^4} + \frac{400\lambda^2 - 7\alpha^4}{27\lambda^2 - 4\tau^8} - \frac{16005\lambda^4 - 85\lambda^2 + 377\alpha^6}{27(\lambda^2 - 4)^2} \frac{\alpha^6}{\tau^{12}} \right. \\ & + \frac{3200020\lambda^6 - 600\lambda^4 + 6387\lambda^2 - 23357\alpha^8}{81(\lambda^2 - 4)^3} \frac{\alpha^8}{\tau^{16}} \\ & \left. - \frac{640000665\lambda^8 - 30590\lambda^6 + 571494\lambda^4 - 4982450\lambda^2 + 16493303\alpha^{10}}{1701(\lambda^2 - 4)^4} \frac{\alpha^{10}}{\tau^{20}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{12800000 \ 506\lambda^{10} - 32890\lambda^8 + 940073\lambda^6 - 14337947\lambda^4 + 112660375\lambda^2 - 351964697 \alpha^{12}}{729 (\lambda^2 - 4)^5} \frac{\alpha^{12}}{\tau^{24}} \\
& - \frac{51200000}{243 (\lambda^2 - 4)^6} (1625\lambda^{12} - 141375\lambda^{10} + 5707245\lambda^8 - 133009165\lambda^6 + 1824202749\lambda^4 \\
& - 13483461495\lambda^2 + 40593663941) \frac{\alpha^{14}}{\tau^{28}} \\
& + \frac{1024000000}{45927 (\lambda^2 - 4)^7} (629300\lambda^{14} - 70481600\lambda^{12} + 3810410205\lambda^{10} - 125413188775\lambda^8 \\
& + 2625581492023\lambda^6 - 33808153697493\lambda^4 + 240164027951297\lambda^2 - 704782787198207) \frac{\alpha^{16}}{\tau^{32}} \\
& - \frac{20480000000}{137781 (\lambda^2 - 4)^8} (4057900\lambda^{16} - 568106000\lambda^{14} + 39583087600\lambda^{12} - 1745724243200\lambda^{10} \\
& + 51602653138939\lambda^8 - 1013197506287120\lambda^6 + 12516285865006996\lambda^4 \\
& - 86465944436086340\lambda^2 + 249020069093788675) \frac{\alpha^{18}}{\tau^{36}} + \dots \Big]. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The expansion of \mathcal{J}_4 is also interesting and reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_4 = & \frac{35}{9} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 4} \frac{\alpha^2}{\tau^8} - \frac{700}{9} \frac{2\lambda^2 - 21}{(\lambda^2 - 4)^2} \frac{\alpha^4}{\tau^{12}} + \frac{2800}{9} \frac{20\lambda^4 - 480\lambda^2 + 3189}{(\lambda^2 - 4)^3} \frac{\alpha^6}{\tau^{16}} \\
& - \frac{8000}{81} \frac{2660\lambda^6 - 107730\lambda^4 + 1626345\lambda^2 - 8871827}{(\lambda^2 - 4)^4} \frac{\alpha^8}{\tau^{20}} \\
& + \frac{1120000}{243} \frac{2530\lambda^8 - 151800\lambda^6 + 3855213\lambda^4 - 47653816\lambda^2 + 233903943}{(\lambda^2 - 4)^5} \frac{\alpha^{10}}{\tau^{24}} \\
& - \frac{4480000}{27} \frac{3250\lambda^{10} - 268125\lambda^8 + 10073985\lambda^6 - 209221150\lambda^4}{(\lambda^2 - 4)^6} \\
& + 2323193928\lambda^2 - 10693046847) \frac{\alpha^{12}}{\tau^{28}} + \dots \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, we need to comment about the meaning of the entropy that can be derived from this partition function. It is obtained in the so-called holomorphic approach that we have followed. Although the partition function matches the CFT result in [14], it was shown in [23, 24] that the associated entropy does not agree with the canonical entropy. The discrepancy is fully discussed in [25, 26] where it has been showed how to define thermodynamical variables so that the canonical partition function has a natural CFT interpretation. Remarkably, the results in the holomorphic formalism can be adapted to the canonical definition by simply replacing τ and α by $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ defined in section 4 of [26].

3.2 Comparison with CFT in the $\lambda = 0, 1$ limits

The values at $\lambda = 0, 1$ can be checked against a simple CFT computation. For $\lambda = 1$, the $\mathfrak{hs}[1]$ algebra admits a realisation in terms of free complex bosons (see [5] for the precise matching). In App. (B.1), we derive a new closed formula for the generic term of the perturbative expansion of the CFT partition function. The result is

$$\log Z_{\lambda=1}(1, \alpha) = \frac{6\pi i}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2n+2} \Gamma\left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{(2n+2)!} \left(\frac{320}{3}\right)^n \alpha^{2n}, \tag{3.11}$$

where B_{2n} are Bernoulli numbers. Explicitly, this reads

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_{\lambda=1}(1, \alpha) = i \pi & \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} + \frac{400\alpha^4}{27} - \frac{8800\alpha^6}{9} + \frac{10400000\alpha^8}{81} - \frac{142843520000\alpha^{10}}{5103} \right. \\ & + \frac{6656384000000\alpha^{12}}{729} - \frac{1011602560000000\alpha^{14}}{243} \\ & \left. + \frac{11610085875200000000\alpha^{16}}{45927} - \frac{1293978702284800000000\alpha^{18}}{6561} \right) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and is in full agreement with our generic λ result when λ is set to 1. Notice also that, as far as the small α expansion is concerned, we can write

$$\log Z_{\lambda=1}(1, \alpha) = i \pi \left[-\frac{3}{40\alpha^2} + \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{1}{\alpha} - 3\sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{5}} \zeta \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right], \quad (3.13)$$

where the Hurwitz ζ function is $\zeta(a, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a+n)^s}$. This expression can be used to expand the partition function at large α , at least assuming that no non-perturbative effects correct it³. One finds

$$\log Z_{\lambda=1}(1, \alpha) = i \pi \left[\frac{3\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{5}} \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}}{4\alpha} - \frac{3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{3/4} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{8\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\alpha^{3/2}} - \frac{3}{40\alpha^2} + \dots \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

A plot of $-i \log Z_{\lambda=1}$ as a function of α for $\tau = 1$ is shown in Fig. (1) where we also superimpose the (asymptotic) weak and (convergent) strong coupling expansions

For $\lambda = 0$, we can compare with a free theory of complex fermions with symmetry $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$, see again [5]. This has spin content $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and is related to $\mathfrak{hs}[0]$ by a constraint that eliminates the spin-1 current. In App. (B.2), we develop some technical results needed to efficiently compute the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)^{18}$ expansion of the CFT partition function. We find again full agreement.

3.3 Properties of the polynomials $Z^{(p)}(\lambda)$

As remarked in [5], the zeroes of the polynomials $Z^{(p)}(\lambda)$ depend on p and it is difficult to assign a definite meaning to them. Nevertheless, using our extended data, we observe that there is a root moving toward $\lambda = 3$, as p is increased. The same seems to happen around $\lambda = 4$ for large enough p . This can be seen in the following table (a dash means

³See the discussion in the concluding section for comments concerning the convergence of the perturbative expansions presented here and in the following




Figure 1. Plot of $-i \log Z_{\lambda=1}$ at $\tau = 1$. The dashed and dotted lines are the obtained by computing a few terms of the weak and strong coupling expansions.

that there only one root near 3 and no other one near 4)

p	root nearest to 3	p	root nearest to 4
2	2.64575131106	2	—
3	2.91547597523	3	—
4	2.96149892228	4	—
5	3.00462876498	5	—
6	2.99974422033	6	—
7	3.00001095940	7	3.90889802016
8	2.99999964017	8	4.02822441758
9	3.00000000939	9	3.99768663666

(3.15)

From this numerical analysis, it is tempting to conjecture that the polynomials $Z^{(p)}(\lambda)$ have roots converging to the integers $3, 4, \dots$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This property is consistent with the truncation of the underlying \mathcal{W}_∞ algebra that happens precisely at these values of λ . The values $Z^{(p)}(\lambda = N)$ decay relatively fast as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for $N = 3, 4, \dots$, and this is suggested by the fact that there is a nearby zero.

4 Exact results in the $\lambda = \infty$ limit

An inspection of the explicit expansions (3.5) and (3.6) shows that \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{W} , and the scaled charges $\lambda^{n-2} \mathcal{J}_n$ admit a smooth non-trivial limit when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. We remark that the higher spin charges \mathcal{J}_n are not negligible despite the fact that they scale like $1/\lambda^{n-2}$. Indeed, they appear in the holonomy equations with coefficients involving powers of λ and leaving a

non zero contribution (see, for example, (4.7) in the following). In this section, we shall present results about this limit. In particular, we derive the closed expression for the partition function and for the scaled spin-4 charge $\lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4$, both at leading and next-to-leading order at large λ . More comments about the meaning of the large λ limit are deferred to the concluding section.

4.1 Partition function

The partition function admits a smooth limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This limit is definitely non trivial since all higher spin charges are switched on. The series is explicitly

$$\log Z_{\lambda=\infty}(\tau, \alpha) = \frac{i \pi k}{2 \tau} \left(1 - \frac{4\alpha^2}{3\tau^4} + \frac{400\alpha^4}{27\tau^8} - \frac{8000\alpha^6}{27\tau^{12}} + \frac{640000\alpha^8}{81\tau^{16}} - \frac{60800000\alpha^{10}}{243\tau^{20}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{6476800000\alpha^{12}}{729\tau^{24}} - \frac{83200000000\alpha^{14}}{243\tau^{28}} + \frac{9205760000000\alpha^{16}}{6561\tau^{32}} - \frac{1187225600000000\alpha^{18}}{19683\tau^{36}} + \dots \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Inspection of the coefficients suggests the following remarkable form for the generic term

$$\log Z_{\lambda=\infty}(\tau, \alpha) = \frac{12 i \pi k}{\tau} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \left(\frac{20}{3} \right)^p \frac{\Gamma(4p)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(3p+4)} \frac{\alpha^{2p}}{\tau^{4p}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where the $p = 0$ term has to be evaluated with $\Gamma(4p)/\Gamma(p) \rightarrow 1/4$. The infinite series can be summed and gives a closed expression ⁴

$$\log Z_{\lambda=\infty}(\tau, \alpha) = \frac{3 i \pi \tau^3}{160 \alpha^2} \left[{}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120 \alpha^2}{81 \tau^4} \right) - 1 \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

From this expression, we can expand at large α/τ^2 with the result

$$\log Z_{\lambda=\infty}(\tau, \alpha) = -\frac{i\pi\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{12\sqrt{3}\sqrt[4]{5}\Gamma\left(\frac{13}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{17}{12}\right)} \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/2}} - \frac{i\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\pi}{2} \frac{\tau}{\alpha} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}\pi\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{64 \cdot 5^{3/4}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)} \frac{\tau^2}{\alpha^{3/2}} \\ - \frac{3i\pi}{160} \frac{\tau^3}{\alpha^2} - \frac{i\sqrt{3}\pi\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{32768\sqrt[4]{5}\Gamma\left(\frac{13}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{17}{12}\right)} \frac{\tau^4}{\alpha^{5/2}} + \frac{3i\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\pi}{25600} \frac{\tau^5}{\alpha^3} + \dots \quad (4.4)$$



A plot of $-i \log Z$ as a function of α for $\tau = 1$ is shown in Fig. (2).

The curve is smooth for all real values of α/τ^2 . Nevertheless, the hypergeometric function has a branch point at the imaginary points

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau^2} \right)_{\text{branch}} = \pm \frac{9}{32\sqrt{5}} i \simeq \pm 0.126 i. \quad (4.5)$$

In Fig. (3), we plot the susceptibility-like quantity $|\partial_\beta^2 \log Z(\tau = 1, i\beta)|$ in order to show the effect of the branch point.

⁴Clearly, the hypergeometric nature of this expression means that it cannot be obtained by any finite truncation at $\lambda = N$ because in that case the holonomy constraints are algebraic.



4.2 Spin-4 charge \mathcal{J}_4

Inspection of the expansion of \mathcal{J}_4 shows that $\lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4$ also admits a smooth non trivial limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This is closely related to the partition function. Indeed, the holonomy equation

$$\text{Tr}(\omega^2) = \text{Tr}(\omega_{\text{BTZ}}^2), \quad (4.6)$$

has the remarkable feature of involving only \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{J}_4 . It reads

$$144 k^2 \alpha^2 (\lambda^2 - 9) \mathcal{J}_4 - 1792 \pi^2 \alpha^2 \mathcal{L}^2 - 504 \pi \alpha \tau k \mathcal{W} - 168 \pi \tau^2 k \mathcal{L} - 21 k^2 = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Using (3.7) and the analogous

$$\partial_\alpha \log Z = 4\pi^2 i \mathcal{W}, \quad (4.8)$$

we obtain after a short calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4 \stackrel{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{=} & \frac{21}{3200 \alpha^4} \left[-3 \tau^4 {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120 \alpha^2}{81 \tau^4} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 40 \alpha^2 {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120 \alpha^2}{81 \tau^4} \right) + 40 \alpha^2 + 3 \tau^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Again, this allows to extract to large α/τ^2 expansion that is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4 \stackrel{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{=} & \frac{21}{80} \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{7\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{128\sqrt{3}\sqrt[4]{5}\Gamma\left(\frac{13}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{17}{12}\right)} \frac{\tau}{\alpha^{5/2}} + \frac{63\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}}{160} \frac{\tau^2}{\alpha^3} \\ & - \frac{147\sqrt{3}\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{10240 \cdot 5^{3/4}\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)} \frac{\tau^3}{\alpha^{7/2}} + \frac{63}{3200} \frac{\tau^4}{\alpha^4} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

A plot of $\lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4$ as a function of α for $\tau = 1$ is shown in Fig. (4). We remark that, for higher charges, the situation is not so simple. The higher holonomy equations involve many higher charges, even after taking a suitable scaling limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.




Figure 4. Plot of $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 \mathcal{J}_4$ at $\tau = 1$

4.2.1 Subleading correction at large λ

We remark that the $\lambda = \infty$ expansion of the partition function and \mathcal{J}_4 is enough to reconstruct its first sub-leading correction. Indeed, for the partition function, the coefficient of the $1/\lambda^2$ term in the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2n})$ contribution is simply $-\frac{3}{2}n(n-1)$ times the leading $\lambda = \infty$ value. In other words, we have

$$\log Z_\lambda(\tau, \alpha) = \log Z_\infty(\tau, \alpha) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} F(\tau, \alpha) + \dots, \quad (4.11)$$

with

$$F(\tau, \alpha) = i\pi \left[\frac{3}{2\tau} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120\alpha^2}{81\tau^4} \right) + \frac{2\alpha^2}{\tau^5} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4} \\ \frac{7}{3}, \frac{8}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120\alpha^2}{81\tau^4} \right) - \frac{9\tau^3}{160\alpha^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5120\alpha^2}{81\tau^4} \right) + \frac{9\tau^3}{160\alpha^2} \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

In the case of \mathcal{J}_4 , the coefficient of the $1/\lambda^4$ term in the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2n})$ contribution is instead $-\frac{1}{2}(n+3)(3n-5)$ times the leading $\mathcal{O}(1/\lambda^2)$ value. An explicit closed expression for these sub-leading correction can be easily derived by expanding (4.7).

5 Comments and Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{18})$ expansion of the partition function of the higher spin black hole in Chern-Simons gravity based on the $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ algebra. The result can be successfully compared with the CFT perturbative result at $\lambda = 0, 1$. For $\lambda = 1$, we are able to provide the all-order expansion and resum it in closed form. The extended data for generic λ suggest that it could be interesting to match the corrections around the special points $\lambda = 0, 1$ by conformal perturbation theory along the lines of [27]. A remarkable feature of our result is that the special limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ can be taken and leads to a smooth non trivial result where all the higher spin charges are non vanishing, after a suitable scaling by a power of λ . The analysis of this limit is particularly interesting because again the perturbative series of both the partition function and the spin-4 charge can be resummed in closed form, including the first sub-leading correction at large λ .

The perturbative series that we obtained at the rather special values $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$ are all apparently asymptotic with zero radius of convergence at small chemical potential α . In contrast, the radius of convergence at large α seems to be quite large, possibly infinite. Actually, this is the reason why we presented in that cases expansions in powers of $1/\sqrt{\alpha}$, assuming that there are no non-perturbative effects, say $\sim \exp(-c/\alpha)$ or alike. This is an intriguing feature that should deserve better investigation. Indeed, for integer $\lambda = 3, 4, \dots$, the partition function obeys algebraic equations, dependent on α , and its perturbative series has a finite radius of convergence with the BTZ branch stopping at a certain critical value of the chemical potential, see for instance [28]. We simply remark that the $\lambda = 0, 1$ limits are somewhat outside the strategy of derivation of the partition function because the truncation at integer $\lambda = N$ is associated with gravity with $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry.

About the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we recall that in the complete Gaberdiel-Gopakumar duality, two scalars are present in the gravity side and the dual CFT is unitary for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. However, these scalars play no role in matching the black-hole partition function, as proved by the agreement between the [5] and [15] calculations. The reason is that black holes in AdS_3 are universal and encode the thermodynamics of the CFT at high temperature, which in two dimensions is determined by the chiral algebra. Thus, they are insensitive to the microscopic details of the CFT. In pure higher spin gravity, there is no problem in working with $\lambda > 1$. For instance, as we remarked, an integer $\lambda = N \geq 3$ is perfectly meaningful. It would be very interesting to see whether the large λ limit on the gravity side could teach something about non-unitary CFTs like logarithmic cosets of WZW theories at fractional admissible level [29] where the analogous large λ limit is also well-behaved⁵. Of course, a more cautious attitude can be that of considering the large λ limit just a technical tool to construct a simpler version of the black hole partition function where matching with CFT could be done by a possibly simplified calculation.

We conclude mentioning that a promising extension of this work concerns the supersymmetric version of higher spin black holes [30–34]. This could allow further tests of AdS/CFT minimal model duality exploiting known superconformal partners of the duality where the infinite dimensional higher spin algebra is replaced by its supersymmetric extension $\mathfrak{shs}[\lambda]$ [35–37].

Acknowledgments

We thank Matthias Gaberdiel, Marc Henneaux, Thomas Creutzig, Wei Song, and Bin Chen for interesting important comments on the manuscript.

A The $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ algebra

The $\mathfrak{hs}[\lambda]$ algebra is spanned by generators V_m^s labeled by a spin and a mode index with $s \geq 2$ and $|m| < s$. For the calculation presented in this paper we need a few details of it. In particular, the so-called *lone-star product* [38] is the associative product defined by

$$V_m^s \star V_n^t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} g_u^{st}(m, n, \lambda) V_{m+n}^{s+t-u}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with

$$g_u^{st}(m, n, \lambda) = \frac{(1/4)^{u-2}}{2(u-1)!} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} + \lambda, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda, \frac{2-u}{2}, \frac{1-u}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} - s, \frac{3}{2} - t, \frac{1}{2} + s + t - u \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} (-1)^k \binom{u-1}{k} (s-1+m)_{u-1-k} (s-1-m)_k (t-1+n)_k (t-1-n)_{u-1-k}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

⁵We thank Thomas Creutzig for comments on this topic

where $(a)_n = a(a-1)\cdots(a-n+1)$. The cyclic trace is defined to be zero for all generators with the exception of V_0^1 . The normalisation is

$$\text{Tr}(V_m^s V_{-m}^s) = \frac{24}{\lambda^2 - 1} g_{2s-1}^{ss}(m, -m, \lambda). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

B Partition function at $\lambda = 0, 1$ from CFT

The partition function at $\lambda = 0, 1$ has been computed in [5]. At $\lambda = 1$, we derive here the closed expression for its perturbative coefficients. For $\lambda = 0$, we provide all the necessary details to perform higher order expansions needed to match our extended gravity calculation.

B.1 $\lambda = 1$

At $\lambda = 1$, the partition function is

$$\log Z_{\lambda=1}(\tau, \alpha) = -\frac{3ik}{2\pi\tau} \int_0^\infty dx \left[\log \left(1 - e^{-x + \frac{2ia\alpha}{\tau^2} x^2} \right) + \log \left(1 - e^{-x - \frac{2ia\alpha}{\tau^2} x^2} \right) \right], \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $a = \sqrt{\frac{5}{12\pi^2}}$. We can set for simplicity $k = \tau = 1$. In order to obtain the exact form of the small α , it is convenient to use the following trick. We start from the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log \left[\left(1 - \varepsilon e^{-x + \frac{2ia\alpha}{\tau^2} x^2} \right) \left(1 - \varepsilon e^{-x - \frac{2ia\alpha}{\tau^2} x^2} \right) \right] = 4a \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-px} x^2 \sin(2ap\alpha x^2) \varepsilon^p. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Then, we set $\varepsilon = 1$ and expand in α

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-px} x^2 \sin(2ap\alpha x^2) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-px} x^2 \frac{(2ap\alpha x^2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Integration over x is straightforward

$$\int_0^\infty dx e^{-px} x^{4n+4} = p^{-4n-5} \Gamma(4n+5). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The sum over p can then be done using

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{2n+4}} = \zeta(2n+4). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Finally, we replace the zeta values at even positive integers by

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n-1} 2^{2n-1} \pi^{2n} \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where B_n are Bernoulli numbers. After some simplification, and integrating in α , we arrive at the result (3.11).

B.2 $\lambda = 0$

The partition function at $\lambda = 0$ is

$$\log Z_{\lambda=0}(\tau, \alpha) = \frac{3ik}{\pi\tau} \int_0^\infty dx \left[\log \left(1 + e^{-x + \frac{6ib\alpha}{\tau^2}(x^2+\gamma)} \right) + \log \left(1 + e^{-x - \frac{6ib\alpha}{\tau^2}(x^2-\gamma)} \right) \right], \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $b = \sqrt{\frac{5}{144\pi^2}}$ and γ is determined by the condition

$$\int_0^\infty dx \left[\frac{1}{e^{-x-i\epsilon(x^2+\gamma)} + 1} - \frac{1}{e^{-x+i\epsilon(x^2+\gamma)} + 1} \right] = 0, \quad \epsilon = \frac{6b\alpha}{\tau^2}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Setting again $k = \tau = 1$, we can manipulate this condition in a similar way to what we did at $\lambda = 1$ and do explicitly the integration in x . We find the following efficient expansion

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{q+1} \frac{(4^n - 2) \pi^{2n} B_{2n}(-2)^{2(n+q)} \epsilon^{2q+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n+q} (-2q-1)_{n+q} \gamma^{-n+q+1}}{(2n)! \Gamma(2q+2)} = 0. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

This is a condition that can be written in series of ϵ

$$0 = \left(-\gamma - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \epsilon + \left(\gamma^2 + 2\pi^2\gamma + \frac{7\pi^4}{3} \right) \epsilon^3 + \left(-2\gamma^3 - 10\pi^2\gamma^2 - \frac{98\pi^4\gamma}{3} - 62\pi^6 \right) \epsilon^5 + \dots, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

and can be solved perturbatively to find γ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = & -\frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{16\pi^4\epsilon^2}{9} - \frac{448\pi^6\epsilon^3}{9} + \frac{1254656\pi^8\epsilon^6}{405} - \frac{406598656\pi^{10}\epsilon^8}{1215} + \frac{67556569088\pi^{12}\epsilon^{10}}{1215} \\ & - \frac{28832947978240\pi^{14}\epsilon^{12}}{2187} + \frac{77150044441083904\pi^{16}\epsilon^{14}}{18225} \\ & - \frac{2032300368640154533888\pi^{18}\epsilon^{16}}{1148175} + \frac{386452372595731059441664\pi^{20}\epsilon^{18}}{413343} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

This expression can be plugged in the ϵ expansion of $\log Z_{\lambda=0}$ that is (at $\tau = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_{\lambda=0} = & \frac{3}{\pi} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{2q+1} \frac{\epsilon^{2q+2}}{\Gamma(2q+3)} \left[2^{1-2n} (-1)^q \Gamma(2n+1) \binom{2q+1}{n} \gamma^{-n+2q+1} \right. \\ & \left. \left(\gamma (2^{2q+1} - 4^n) \zeta(2(n-q)) + (n+1)(2n+1) (4^q - 2^{2n+1}) \zeta(2(n-q+1)) \right) \right] \\ = & \left(-\frac{3\gamma^2}{2\pi} - \pi\gamma - \frac{7\pi^3}{10} \right) \epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma^3}{\pi} + 3\pi\gamma^2 + 7\pi^3\gamma + \frac{31\pi^5}{3} \right) \epsilon^4 \\ & + \left(-\frac{3\gamma^4}{2\pi} - 10\pi\gamma^3 - 49\pi^3\gamma^2 - 186\pi^5\gamma - \frac{4191\pi^7}{10} \right) \epsilon^6 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The final result is

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_{\lambda=0}(1, \alpha) = & i\pi \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} + \frac{350\alpha^4}{27} - \frac{18850\alpha^6}{27} + \frac{5839250\alpha^8}{81} - \frac{20616628750\alpha^{10}}{1701} \right. \\ & + \frac{2199779356250\alpha^{12}}{729} - \frac{253710399631250\alpha^{14}}{243} + \frac{22024462099943968750\alpha^{16}}{45927} \\ & \left. - \frac{38909385795904480468750\alpha^{18}}{137781} \right) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

in agreement with (3.9) at $\lambda = 0$.

References

- [1] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *An AdS_3 Dual for Minimal Model CFTs*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 066007, [[arXiv:1011.2986](#)].
- [2] M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge theories in four-dimensions, three-dimensions, and two-dimensions*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D5** (1996) 763–797, [[hep-th/9611024](#)].
- [3] M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin matter interactions in (2+1)-dimensions*, [hep-th/9607135](#).
- [4] M. Gutperle and P. Kraus, *Higher Spin Black Holes*, *JHEP* **1105** (2011) 022, [[arXiv:1103.4304](#)].
- [5] P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, *Partition functions of higher spin black holes and their CFT duals*, *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 061, [[arXiv:1108.2567](#)].
- [6] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, *Black holes in three dimensional higher spin gravity: A review*, *J.Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214001, [[arXiv:1208.5182](#)].
- [7] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, *Spacetime Geometry in Higher Spin Gravity*, *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 053, [[arXiv:1106.4788](#)].
- [8] M. Ammon, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, *Scalar fields and three-point functions in $D=3$ higher spin gravity*, *JHEP* **1207** (2012) 113, [[arXiv:1111.3926](#)].
- [9] P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, *Probing higher spin black holes*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 096, [[arXiv:1209.4937](#)].
- [10] B. Chen, J. Long, and Y.-N. Wang, *Phase Structure of Higher Spin Black Hole*, *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 017, [[arXiv:1212.6593](#)].
- [11] J. R. David, M. Ferlino, and S. P. Kumar, *Thermodynamics of higher spin black holes in 3D*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 135, [[arXiv:1210.0284](#)].
- [12] P. Kraus and T. Ugajin, *An Entropy Formula for Higher Spin Black Holes via Conical Singularities*, *JHEP* **1305** (2013) 160, [[arXiv:1302.1583](#)].
- [13] M. R. Gaberdiel, K. Jin, and E. Perlmutter, *Probing higher spin black holes from CFT*, [arXiv:1307.2221](#).
- [14] M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, T. Hartman, and S. Raju, *Partition Functions of Holographic Minimal Models*, *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 077, [[arXiv:1106.1897](#)].
- [15] M. R. Gaberdiel, T. Hartman, and K. Jin, *Higher Spin Black Holes from CFT*, *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 103, [[arXiv:1203.0015](#)].
- [16] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849–1851, [[hep-th/9204099](#)].
- [17] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *Geometry of the (2+1) black hole*, *Phys.Rev.* **D48** (1993) 1506–1525, [[gr-qc/9302012](#)].
- [18] A. Achucarro and P. Townsend, *A Chern-Simons Action for Three-Dimensional anti-De Sitter Supergravity Theories*, *Phys.Lett.* **B180** (1986) 89.
- [19] E. Witten, *(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B311** (1988) 46.
- [20] S. Prokushkin and M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge interactions for massive matter fields in 3-D AdS space-time*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B545** (1999) 385, [[hep-th/9806236](#)].

- [21] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, and S. Theisen, *Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields*, *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 007, [[arXiv:1008.4744](#)].
- [22] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux, and P. van Driel, *The Asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **12** (1995) 2961–2966, [[gr-qc/9506019](#)].
- [23] A. Perez, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, *Higher spin black hole entropy in three dimensions*, [arXiv:1301.0847](#).
- [24] J. de Boer and J. I. Jottar, *Thermodynamics of Higher Spin Black Holes in AdS_3* , [arXiv:1302.0816](#).
- [25] G. Compre and W. Song, *\mathcal{W} symmetry and integrability of higher spin black holes*, *JHEP* **1309** (2013) 144, [[arXiv:1306.0014](#)].
- [26] G. Compre, J. I. Jottar, and W. Song, *Observables and Microscopic Entropy of Higher Spin Black Holes*, [arXiv:1308.2175](#).
- [27] M. R. Gaberdiel, K. Jin, and W. Li, *Perturbations of $W_\infty[\lambda]$* , [arXiv:1307.4087](#).
- [28] M. Ferlino, T. Hollowood, and S. P. Kumar, *Asymptotic symmetries and thermodynamics of higher spin black holes in AdS_3* , *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013) 066010, [[arXiv:1305.2011](#)].
- [29] T. Creutzig, D. Ridout, and S. Wood, *Coset Constructions of Logarithmic $(1, p)$ -Models*, [arXiv:1305.2665](#).
- [30] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida, and P. B. Rnne, *$N=1$ supersymmetric higher spin holography on AdS_3* , *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 019, [[arXiv:1209.5404](#)].
- [31] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida, and P. B. Ronne, *Higher spin AdS_3 supergravity and its dual CFT*, *JHEP* **1202** (2012) 109, [[arXiv:1111.2139](#)].
- [32] B. Chen, J. Long, and Y.-N. Wang, *Conical Defects, Black Holes and Higher Spin (Super-)Symmetry*, *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 025, [[arXiv:1303.0109](#)].
- [33] S. Datta and J. R. David, *Black holes in higher spin supergravity*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 110, [[arXiv:1303.1946](#)].
- [34] K. Hanaki and C. Peng, *Symmetries of Holographic Super-Minimal Models*, *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 030, [[arXiv:1203.5768](#)].
- [35] M. Beccaria, C. Candu, M. R. Gaberdiel, and M. Groher, *$\mathcal{N} = 1$ extension of minimal model holography*, [arXiv:1305.1048](#).
- [36] C. Candu and C. Vollenweider, *The $N=1$ algebra $W_\infty[\mu]$ and its truncations*, [arXiv:1305.0013](#).
- [37] C. Candu and M. R. Gaberdiel, *Supersymmetric holography on AdS_3* , [arXiv:1203.1939](#).
- [38] C. Pope, L. Romans, and X. Shen, *W_∞ and the Racah-Wigner Algebra*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B339** (1990) 191–221.