

Geodesic completeness of generalized space-times

Clemens Sämann, Roland Steinbauer

Abstract. We define the notion of geodesic completeness for semi-Riemannian metrics of low regularity in the framework of the geometric theory of generalized functions. We then show completeness of a wide class of impulsive gravitational wave space-times.

Keywords: Semi-Riemannian geometry, low regularity, completeness, impulsive gravitational waves

MSC classes: 46F30 (Primary), 83C15, 83C35 (Secondary)

1. Introduction

The geometric theory of generalized functions ([GKOS01]) based on Colombeau algebras ([Col85]) is by now a well-established field within generalized functions. It has proved to be widely applicable in geometric situations, such as Lie-group analysis of differential equations (e.g. [KO00, DKP02, KK06]), wave-type equations on Lorentzian manifolds ([GMS09, HKS12]) and various problems in general relativity (see [SV06, NS13] for an overview).

The applications to relativity in particular include the study of the geometry of impulsive gravitational waves (introduced by Penrose ([Pen72]), for a thorough review see [Pod02]) which are key-examples for exact space-times modeling a gravitational wave pulse. The simplest of these geometries arises as the impulsive limit of *plane fronted gravitational waves with parallel rays* (*pp-waves*) described by the line-element (e.g. [GP09, Ch. 17])

$$ds^2 = -2dudv + dx^2 + dy^2 + H(x, y, u)du^2, \quad (1)$$

on \mathbb{R}^4 , where H is a smooth function. Since the field equations put no restriction on the u -dependence of H one can perform the so-called impulsive limit by basically setting (for details see e.g. [GP09, Ch. 20])

$$H(x, y, u) = f(x, y) \delta(u), \quad (2)$$

where f is smooth and δ denotes the Dirac-measure. The resulting space-time is flat everywhere but on the null-hypersurface $\{u = 0\}$, where a gravitational wave impulse is located. In [KS99] it was shown that the geodesic equation for these geometries possess *unique and globally defined solutions* in nonlinear generalized functions, although the global aspect was not emphasized there. It only recently came back into focus in the context of causality theory for Lorentzian metrics of low regularity ([CG12, KSS14]).

This work is in particular motivated by a recent result of the authors ([SS12]) which provides a completeness statement for a wider class of impulsive radiative geometries, which have been called *impulsive N-fronted waves with parallel rays* (*INPWs*). These space-times are the impulsive limits of geometries studied originally by Brinkmann in the context of conformal mappings of Einstein spaces ([Bri25]) and are of the following form: Let (N, h) be a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n , set $M = N \times \mathbb{R}_1^2$ and equip M with the line element

$$ds^2 = dh^2 + 2dudv + H(x, u)du^2, \quad (3)$$

where dh^2 denotes the line element of (N, h) . Moreover (u, v) are global null-coordinates on the 2-dimensional Minkowski space \mathbb{R}_1^2 and $H : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. The causality and

the geodesics of such models have been studied in a series of papers ([CFS03, FS03, CFS04, FS06]) since they allow to shed light on some of the peculiar causal properties of plane waves (i.e., pp-waves (1) with $H(x^1, x^2, u) = A_{ij}(u)x^i x^j$), see e.g. [BEE96, Ch. 13]. INPWs now arise as the impulsive limit of (3), i.e., upon setting

$$H(x, u) = f(x)\delta(u). \quad (4)$$

Now for the above mentioned completeness result ([SS12]) the INPW-metric g was replaced by a net of regularizing metrics g_ε where the Dirac- δ is replaced by a strict delta-net δ_ε (for a precise definition see below). More explicitly it deals with the net of line elements

$$ds_\varepsilon^2 = dh^2 + 2dudv + f(x)\delta_\varepsilon(u)du^2, \quad (5)$$

on M , which physically amounts to viewing the impulsive wave as a limit of extended sandwich waves with small support but increasing amplitude of the “profile function” δ_ε . The result now states that given any geodesic γ in (the smooth) space-time (M, g_ε) (for ε fixed) there is ε_0 small enough, such that γ can be defined for all values of an affine parameter provided $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Also ε_0 , for which the geodesic γ becomes complete can be explicitly estimated in terms of (derivatives of) f and the initial data of γ . The obvious drawback of this statement is that ε_0 depends on γ i.e., in general there is no uniform ε_0 which renders the space-times (M, g_ε) for fixed ε geodesically complete for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

In this paper we define a notion of completeness for generalized metrics that will allow to formulate the above completeness result in clear analogy to classical completeness statements. This, in particular, beautifully exhibits the virtues of a well-founded theory of generalized functions.

For convenience of the reader and to keep this presentation self-contained we start with a brief review of semi-Riemannian geometry within the geometric theory of generalized functions. At the end of section 2 we define geodesic completeness and in section 3 we prove geodesic completeness of large classes of impulsive gravitational waves. Finally we discuss associated distributions of the global geodesics.

2. Generalized semi-Riemannian geometry

Colombeau algebras of generalized functions ([Col85]) are differential algebras which contain the vector space of distributions and display maximal consistency with classical analysis. Here we review Lorentzian geometry based on the special Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(M)$, for further details see [KS02a, KS02b] and [GKOS01, Sec. 3.2].

Let M be a smooth, second countable Hausdorff manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ the set of all nets $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1] =: I}$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)^I$ depending smoothly on ε . Note that smooth dependence on the parameter (which was not assumed in the earlier references) renders the theory technically more pleasant, while not changing any of the basic properties, see the discussion in [BK12, Section 1]. The *algebra of generalized functions on M* ([DRD91]) is defined as the quotient $\mathcal{G}(M) := \mathcal{E}_M(M)/\mathcal{N}(M)$ of *moderate* modulo *negligible* nets in $\mathcal{E}(M)$, where the respective notions are defined by the following asymptotic estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_M(M) &:= \{(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}(M) : \forall K \subset\subset M \forall P \in \mathcal{P} \exists N : \sup_{p \in K} |Pu_\varepsilon(p)| = O(\varepsilon^{-N})\}, \\ \mathcal{N}(M) &:= \{(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_M(M) : \forall K \subset\subset M \forall m : \sup_{p \in K} |u_\varepsilon(p)| = O(\varepsilon^m)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the space of all linear differential operators on M . Elements of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ are denoted by $u = [(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$. With componentwise operations and the Lie derivative with respect to smooth vector fields $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defined by $L_\xi u := [(L_\xi u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is a *fine sheaf of differential algebras*. There exist embeddings ι of $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ into $\mathcal{G}(M)$ that are sheaf homomorphisms and render $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}(M)$. Another, more coarse way of relating generalized functions in $\mathcal{G}(M)$ to distributions is based on the notion of *association*: $u \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ is called associated with $v \in \mathcal{G}(M)$, $u \approx v$, if $u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. A distribution $w \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is called associated with u if $u \approx \iota(w)$.

The ring of constants in $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is the space $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ of generalized numbers, which form the natural space of point values of Colombeau generalized functions. These, in turn, are uniquely characterized by their values on so-called compactly supported generalized points.

A similar construction is in fact possible for any locally convex space F in place of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ ([Gar05]), in particular, $F = \Gamma(M, E)$, the space of smooth sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. The resulting space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(M, E)$ then is the $\mathcal{G}(M)$ -module of *generalized sections* of the vector bundle E and can be written as

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(M, E) = \mathcal{G}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} \Gamma(M, E) = L_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}(\Gamma(M, E^*), \mathcal{G}(M)). \quad (6)$$

$\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a fine sheaf of finitely generated and projective \mathcal{G} -modules. For the special case of *generalized tensor fields* of rank r, s we use the notation $\mathcal{G}_s^r(M)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{G}_s^r(M) \cong L_{\mathcal{G}(M)}(\mathcal{G}_0^1(M)^s, \mathcal{G}_1^0(M)^r; \mathcal{G}(M)).$$

Observe that this allows the insertion of generalized vector fields and one-forms into generalized tensors, which is essential when dealing with generalized metrics which we define as follows: $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^0(M)$ is called a *generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric* if it is symmetric ($g(\xi, \eta) = g(\eta, \xi) \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$), its determinant $\det g$ is invertible in \mathcal{G} (equivalently $|\det(g_\varepsilon)_{ij}| > \varepsilon^m$ for some m on compact sets), and it possesses a well-defined index ν (the index of g_ε equals ν for ε small). By a “globalization Lemma” in ([HKS12, Lem. 4.3]) any generalized metric g possesses a representative $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ such that each g_ε is a smooth metric globally on M .

Based on this definition, many notions from (pseudo-)Riemannian geometry can be extended to the generalized setting. In particular, any generalized metric induces an isomorphism between generalized vector fields and one-forms, and there is a unique Levi-Civita connection ∇ corresponding to g . This provides a convenient framework for non-smooth pseudo-Riemannian geometry and for the analysis of space-times of low regularity in general relativity which extends the “maximal distributional” setting of [GT87] ([SV09, Ste08]).

Finally we want to discuss geodesics in generalized semi-Riemannian manifolds (for details see [KS02b, Section 5]). To this end we have to introduce *generalized functions taking values in the manifold M* . More precisely, the space of generalized functions defined on a manifold N taking values in M , $\mathcal{G}[N, M]$ is again defined as a quotient of moderate modulo negligible nets $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of maps from N to M , where we call a net moderate (negligible) if $(\psi \circ f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is moderate (negligible) and for all smooth $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The *induced covariant derivative* of a generalized vector field ξ on a generalized curve $\gamma = [(\gamma_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}[J, M]$ (with J a real interval), can be defined componentwise and gives again a generalized vector field ξ' on γ . In particular, a *geodesic* in a generalized pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}[J, M]$ satisfying $\gamma'' = 0$. Equivalently the usual local formula holds, i.e.,

$$\left[\left(\frac{d^2 \gamma_\varepsilon^k}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j} \hat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon ij}^k \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon^i}{dt} \frac{\gamma_\varepsilon^j}{dt} \right)_\varepsilon \right] = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $\Gamma_{ij}^k = [(\Gamma_{\varepsilon ij}^k)_\varepsilon]$ denotes the Christoffel symbols of the generalized metric $g = [(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$.

We now give the following (natural) definition.

Definition 2.1. (*Geodesic completeness for generalized metrics*) Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^0(M)$ be a generalized semi-Riemannian metric. Then the generalized space-time (M, g) is said to be geodesically complete if every geodesic γ can be defined on \mathbb{R} , i.e., every solution of the geodesic equation

$$\gamma'' = 0, \quad (8)$$

is in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$.

3. Geodesic completeness of impulsive gravitational wave space-times

In this section we prove geodesic completeness for a large class of impulsive gravitational waves. More precisely, we will turn the distributional metrics discussed in the introduction into generalized

metrics and then show that their geodesics can be defined for all values of the parameter, i.e., they belong to $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$.

We begin by defining the very general class of regularizations used to turn the distributional metrics of impulsive wave space-times into generalized metrics.

Definition 3.1. *A generalized delta-function is an element $D \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ that has a strict delta net $(\delta_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in I}$ as a representative, that is $(\delta_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in I}$ satisfies the following properties*

1. $\text{supp}(\delta_\varepsilon) \subseteq (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \forall \varepsilon \in I$,
2. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_\varepsilon(x) dx \rightarrow 1$ for $(\varepsilon \searrow 0)$ and
3. $\exists C > 0 : \|\delta_\varepsilon\|_{L^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\delta_\varepsilon(x)| dx \leq C \forall \varepsilon \in I$.

We now may define the generalized metrics used in the following, the impulsive *pp*-wave (cf. (1), (2))

$$ds^2 = -2dudv + dx^2 + dy^2 + f(x, y)D(u)du^2, \quad (9)$$

on $M = \mathbb{R}^4$, and the INPW (cf. (3), (4))

$$ds^2 = dh^2 + 2dudv + f(x)D(u)du^2, \quad (10)$$

on $M = N \times \mathbb{R}_1^2$, where as in (3), (N, h) is a connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, which from now on we suppose to be *complete*. Here D denotes an arbitrary generalized delta function. For the impulsive *pp*-waves completeness follows from earlier results. More precisely, by [KS99, Thm. 1] the geodesic equation has (unique) solutions in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, M]$ so that we may state the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *(to [KS99, Thm. 1] — Completeness of impulsive *pp*-waves) The generalized space-time (\mathbb{R}^4, g) with the metric g given by (9) is geodesically complete.*

This result is of course a special case of completeness of INPWs (just set $N = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the flat metric) which we prove next. We first have to derive an analog of [KS99, Thm. 1], which will be based on [SS12, Thm. 3.2].

As detailed in [SS12, Sec. 2] it is possible to choose the coordinate u as an affine parameter along the geodesics, thereby only excluding trivial geodesics parallel to the impulse. Hence the geodesic equations of the space-time (10) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{v}(u) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}(x(u)) \dot{x}^j(u) D(u) - \frac{1}{2} f(x(u)) \dot{D}(u), \\ \nabla_x^h \dot{x}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{grad}^h(f(x(u)) D(u)), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where ∇^h denotes the covariant derivative on (N, h) , grad^h is the gradient with respect to h on N and (x^1, \dots, x^n) are coordinates on N . We immediately see that the v -equation is linear and decouples from the rest of the system. So it can simply be integrated and we mainly have to deal with the second equation, which actually is the disturbed geodesic equation on N with a potential given by $\frac{1}{2} \text{grad}^h(f)D$.

We now give a global existence and uniqueness result for the system (11), where we conveniently choose data in front of the impulse at $u = -1$.

Theorem 3.3. *(Existence and uniqueness for geodesics in INPW) Let $D \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be a generalized delta function, $f \in C^\infty(N)$, let $v_0, \dot{v}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in N$ and $\dot{x}_0 \in T_{x_0}N$. The initial value problem (11) with data*

$$v(-1) = v_0, \quad x(-1) = x_0, \quad \dot{v}(-1) = \dot{v}_0, \quad \dot{x}(-1) = \dot{x}_0,$$

has a unique solution $(v, x) \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times N]$.

This immediately gives our main result.

Corollary 3.4. *(Completeness of INPW) The generalized space-time (M, g) with the metric g given by (10) is geodesically complete.*

In the (uniqueness part of the) proof of the theorem we need the following variant of [SS12, Lem. A.2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $F_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $F_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, let $J := [-1, 1]$, $k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be bounded, let $x_0, \dot{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $b > 0$, $c > 0$ be given and let $(\delta_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be a strict delta net with L^1 -bound $C > 0$. Define $I_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| \leq b\}$, $I_2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \dot{x}_0| \leq c + C\|F_2\|_{I_1, \infty}\}$ and $I_3 := I_1 \times I_2$. Moreover set*

$$\alpha := \min(1, \frac{b}{|\dot{x}_0| + \|F_1\|_{I_3, \infty} + C\|F_2\|_{I_1, \infty} + \|k\|_{J, \infty}}, \frac{c}{\|F_1\|_{I_3, \infty} + \|k\|_{J, \infty}}). \quad (12)$$

Then the regularized problem

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x} = F_1(x, \dot{x}) + F_2(x)\delta_\varepsilon + k, \\ x(-\varepsilon) = x_0, \quad \dot{x}(-\varepsilon) = \dot{x}_0, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

has a unique solution x_ε on $J_\varepsilon := [-\varepsilon, \alpha - \varepsilon]$. Moreover x_ε and \dot{x}_ε are locally uniformly bounded. Finally the result remains true if we replace k by a net $(k_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which is uniformly bounded.

The proof is obtained by adapting the proof of [SS12, Lem. A.2], so that it is not necessary to give it here. Note that by classical ODE-theory Lemma 3.5 gives global uniqueness (not only in the function space X_ε used in the proof of [SS12, Lem. A.2]).

Proof of the Theorem. We proceed in three steps. *First* we need to obtain a "solution candidate", i.e., a net of smooth solutions $(v_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ defined for all of \mathbb{R} (at least for small ε) of the regularized initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{v}_\varepsilon &= -\delta_\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}(x_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{2} f(x_\varepsilon) \dot{\delta}_\varepsilon, \\ \ddot{x}_\varepsilon^k &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{k(N)}(x_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_\varepsilon^i \dot{x}_\varepsilon^j + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\varepsilon \sum_{m=1}^n h^{km}(x_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^m}(x_\varepsilon), \\ v_\varepsilon(-1) &= v_0, \quad x_\varepsilon(-1) = x_0, \quad \dot{v}_\varepsilon(-1) = \dot{v}_0, \quad \dot{x}_\varepsilon(-1) = \dot{x}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\Gamma_{ij}^{k(N)}$ denotes the Christoffel symbols of the (smooth) metric h on N . By [SS12, Thm. 3.2] we obtain such a solution for all ε smaller than a certain ε_0 (which depends on \dot{x}_0 as well as on $\Gamma_{ij}^{k(N)}$ and $\text{grad}^h(f)$ on a neighborhood of the point where the geodesic crosses the impulse). Since we are interested in generalized solutions we may choose $(v_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ arbitrarily (yet smoothly depending on ε) for all $\varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$.

In the *second* step we prove *existence* of solutions in $\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times N]$, that is we establish that the net obtained in the first step is moderate. To this end we have to show that v_ε and $\psi \circ x_\varepsilon$ are moderate for arbitrary smooth $\psi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. But by Lemma 3.5 (with $b > 0$, $c > 0$, $k = 0$, $F_1(y, z)^k := -\Gamma_{ij}^{k(N)}(y) z^i z^j$, $F_2(y)^k := \frac{1}{2} h^{km}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^m}(y)$) the solution x_ε and its derivative \dot{x}_ε are even uniformly bounded on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . Using the differential equation inductively, we see that in fact the derivative of order l of x_ε is of order $O(\varepsilon^{1-l})$. Now from the v -equation it follows that \ddot{v}_ε obeys an $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ -estimate and inductively all higher order derivatives obey $O(\varepsilon^{-l})$ -estimates. The estimates for v_ε and \dot{v}_ε simply follow by integration. Smooth dependence on ε is immediate.

In the *third* step it remains to show *uniqueness*. To this end suppose that $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{x}) \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times N]$ is a solution of (11) as well. Writing $\tilde{v} = [(\tilde{v}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ and $\tilde{x} = [(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, there exist $[(a_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$,

$[(b_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{N}(N)$ and negligible generalized numbers $[(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $[(\dot{c}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $[(d_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, $[(\dot{d}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{v}_\varepsilon &= -\delta_\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_\varepsilon^j - \frac{1}{2} f(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon) \dot{\delta}_\varepsilon + a_\varepsilon, \\ \ddot{x}_\varepsilon^k &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{k(N)}(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon) \dot{x}_\varepsilon^i \dot{x}_\varepsilon^j + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\varepsilon \sum_{m=1}^n h^{km}(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^m}(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon) + b_\varepsilon^k, \\ \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(-1) &= v_0 + c_\varepsilon, \quad \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(-1) = x_0 + d_\varepsilon, \quad \dot{\tilde{v}}_\varepsilon(-1) = \dot{v}_0 + \dot{c}_\varepsilon, \quad \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(-1) = \dot{x}_0 + \dot{d}_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $(x_\varepsilon - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is negligible. To this end we will, however, also estimate $(\dot{x}_\varepsilon - \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$. Now by Lemma 3.5 we know that $(x_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, $(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ are locally uniformly bounded. Moreover using the integral formulas for x_ε respectively for \tilde{x}_ε and that $(a_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, $(b_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ are negligible, we obtain that

$\forall T > 0 \ \forall q \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists K_1, K_2 > 0 \ \exists \eta > 0$ such that $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \eta) \ \forall u \in [-T, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}|x_\varepsilon^i(u) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon^i(u)| &\leq K_1 \varepsilon^q + \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s |F_1(x_\varepsilon(r), \dot{x}_\varepsilon(r)) - F_1(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon(r), \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(r))| dr ds + \\ &\quad \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s |F_2(x_\varepsilon(r)) - F_2(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon(r))| |\delta_\varepsilon(r)| dr ds \leq \\ &K_1 \varepsilon^q + C_3 \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s (|x_\varepsilon(r) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(r)| + |\dot{x}_\varepsilon(r) - \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(r)|) dr ds + \\ &C_4 \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s |x_\varepsilon(r) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(r)| |\delta_\varepsilon(r)| dr ds.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}|\dot{x}_\varepsilon^i(u) - \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon^i(u)| &\leq K_2 \varepsilon^q + \int_{-\varepsilon}^u |F_1(x_\varepsilon(s), \dot{x}_\varepsilon(s)) - F_1(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon(s), \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(s))| ds + \\ &\quad \int_{-\varepsilon}^u |F_2(x_\varepsilon(s)) - F_2(\tilde{x}_\varepsilon(s))| |\delta_\varepsilon(s)| ds \leq \\ &K_2 \varepsilon^q + C_3 \int_{-\varepsilon}^u (|x_\varepsilon(s) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(s)| + |\dot{x}_\varepsilon(s) - \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(s)|) ds + \\ &C_4 \int_{-\varepsilon}^u (|x_\varepsilon(s) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(s)| |\delta_\varepsilon(s)|) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the mean value theorem to obtain the constants C_3, C_4 . Adding these two inequalities and setting $\psi(u) := |x_\varepsilon(u) - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon(u)| + |\dot{x}_\varepsilon(u) - \dot{\tilde{x}}_\varepsilon(u)|$ (for $u \in [-T, T]$) yields

$$\psi(u) \leq (K_1 + K_2) \varepsilon^q + \int_{-\varepsilon}^u (C_3 + C_4 |\delta_\varepsilon(s)|) \psi(s) ds + \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s (C_3 + C_4 |\delta_\varepsilon(r)|) \psi(r) dr ds.$$

Then by a generalization of Gronwall's inequality (due to Bykov [BS92, Thm.11.1]) we get that

$$\psi(u) \leq (K_1 + K_2) \varepsilon^q \exp \left(\int_{-\varepsilon}^u (C_3 + C_4 |\delta_\varepsilon(s)|) ds + \int_{-\varepsilon}^u \int_{-\varepsilon}^s (C_3 + C_4 |\delta_\varepsilon(r)|) dr ds \right) \leq K' \varepsilon^q,$$

where we used the fact that $u \in [-T, T]$ and the uniform L^1 -bound on $(\delta_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, i.e., 3.1,3. This shows that $(x_\varepsilon - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is negligible (by [GKOS01, Thm. 1.2.3]). Furthermore since $(v_\varepsilon - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ can be obtained by integrating $(x_\varepsilon - \tilde{x}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ we conclude that it is negligible too. \square

Finally we briefly discuss what can be said classically about the geodesics, that is we provide associated distributions for the unique global geodesics $(v, x) \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times N]$ obtained in Theorem 3.3. In [SS12, Sec. 4] it was shown that

$$x_\varepsilon \approx y, \quad v_\varepsilon \approx w - \frac{1}{2} f(x(0)) H - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\dot{x}^j(0) + \frac{1}{4} \text{grad}^h(f)^j(x(0)) \right) \partial_j f(x(0)) u_+,$$

where the first relation even holds in the sense of 0-association, i.e., the convergence is locally uniformly. Here the limit y is given by pasting together appropriate (unperturbed) geodesics of the background (N, h) , i.e.,

$$y(u) := \begin{cases} x(u) & u \leq 0, \\ \tilde{x}(u) & u \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where x and \tilde{x} are solution of $\nabla_{\dot{x}}^h \dot{x} = 0$ with data $x(-1) = x_0$, $\dot{x}(-1) = \dot{x}_0$, and $\tilde{x}(0) = x(0)$, $\dot{\tilde{x}}(0) = \dot{x}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \text{grad}^h(f)(x(0))$ respectively. Moreover $w(u) = v_0 + \dot{v}_0(1 + u)$, H is the Heaviside function and $u_+(u) = uH(u)$ denotes the kink function.

Hence the x -component is continuous, while in general it is not differentiable at the point where it hits the impulse, i.e., at $u = 0$, with its derivative having a jump there. Furthermore the v -component is discontinuous, it has a jump at the impulse and a δ in its derivative. We also observe that the parameters of the jump and the refraction at $u = 0$ are given in terms of f and its derivative at the point where the geodesic hits the impulse.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by projects P23714, P25326 of the Austrian Science Fund and OEAD WTZ Project CZ15/2013.

References

- [BEE96] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, and K. L. Easley. *Global Lorentzian geometry*, volume 202 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, second edition, 1996.
- [BK12] A. Burtscher and M. Kunzinger. Algebras of generalized functions with smooth parameter dependence. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2)*, 55:105–124, 2012.
- [Bri25] H. W. Brinkmann. Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other. *Math. Ann.*, 94(1):119–145, 1925.
- [BS92] D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov. *Integral inequalities and applications*, volume 57. Springer, 1992.
- [CFS03] A. M. Candela, J. L. Flores, and M. Sánchez. On general plane fronted waves. Geodesics. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation*, 35(4):631–649, 2003.
- [CFS04] A. M. Candela, J. L. Flores, and M. Sánchez. Geodesic connectedness in plane wave type spacetimes. A variational approach. In *Dynamic systems and applications. Vol. 4*, pages 458–464. Dynamic, Atlanta, GA, 2004.
- [CG12] P. T. Chruściel and J. D. E. Grant. On Lorentzian causality with continuous metrics. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 29(14):145001, 32, 2012.
- [Col85] J. F. Colombeau. *Elementary Introduction to New Generalized Functions*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [DKP02] N. Djapić, M. Kunzinger, and S. Pilipović. Symmetry group analysis of weak solutions. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 84(3):686–710, 2002.
- [DRD91] J. W. De Roeper and M. Damsma. Colombeau algebras on a C^∞ -manifold. *Indag. Mathem., N.S.*, 2(3):341–358, 1991.
- [FS03] J. L. Flores and M. Sánchez. Causality and conjugate points in general plane waves. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 20(11):2275–2291, 2003.
- [FS06] J. L. Flores and M. Sánchez. On the geometry of pp-wave type spacetimes. In *Analytical and numerical approaches to mathematical relativity*, volume 692 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 79–98. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [Gar05] C. Garetto. Topological structures in Colombeau algebras: investigation of the duals of $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}_S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Monatsh. Math.*, 146(3):203–226, 2005.
- [GKOS01] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, and R. Steinbauer. *Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions*, volume 537 of *Mathematics and its Applications 537*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [GMS09] J. Grant, E. Mayerhofer, and R. Steinbauer. The wave equation on singular space-times. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 285(2):399–420, 2009.
- [GP09] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolský. *Exact space-times in Einstein’s general relativity*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

- [GT87] R. Geroch and J. Traschen. Strings and other distributional sources in general relativity. *Phys. Rev. D*, **36**(4):1017–1031, 1987.
- [HKS12] G. Hörmann, M. Kunzinger, and R. Steinbauer. Wave equations on non-smooth space-times. In *Evolution equations of hyperbolic and Schrödinger type*, volume 301 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 163–186. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [KK06] S. Konjik and M. Kunzinger. Generalized group actions in a global setting. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322(1):420–436, 2006.
- [KO00] M. Kunzinger and M. Oberguggenberger. Group analysis of differential equations and generalized functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 31(6):1192–1213, 2000.
- [KS99] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. A rigorous solution concept for geodesic and geodesic deviation equations in impulsive gravitational waves. *J. Math. Phys.*, **40**(3):1479–1489, 1999.
- [KS02a] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. Foundations of a nonlinear distributional geometry. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 71(2):179–206, 2002.
- [KS02b] M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer. Generalized pseudo-Riemannian geometry. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(10):4179–4199, 2002.
- [KSS14] M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, and M. Stojković. The exponential map of a $C^{1,1}$ -metric. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 34(0):14–24, 2014.
- [NS13] E. Nigsch and C. Sämann. Global algebras of nonlinear generalized functions with applications in general relativity. *São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(2):143–171, 2013.
- [Pen72] R. Penrose. The geometry of impulsive gravitational waves. In L. O’Raifeartaigh, editor, *General Relativity, Papers in Honour of J. L. Synge*, pages 101–115. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972.
- [Pod02] J. Podolský. Exact impulsive gravitational waves in spacetimes of constant curvature. In O. Semerák, J. Podolský, M. Žovka, editor, *Gravitation: following the Prague inspiration. A Volume in Celebration of the 60th Birthday of Jiří Bicák.*, pages 205–246. World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.
- [SS12] C. Sämann and R. Steinbauer. On the completeness of impulsive gravitational wave spacetimes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 29(24):245011, 11, 2012.
- [Ste08] R. Steinbauer. A note on distributional semi-Riemannian geometry. *Novi Sad J. Math.*, 38(3):189–199, 2008.
- [SV06] R. Steinbauer and J. A. Vickers. The use of generalized functions and distributions in general relativity. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 23(10):R91–R114, 2006.
- [SV09] R. Steinbauer and J. A. Vickers. On the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 26(6):065001, 19, 2009.

Clemens Sämann, Roland Steinbauer
 Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna
 Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria
 e-mail: clemens.saemann@univie.ac.at, roland.steinbauer@univie.ac.at