

Indistinguishability of thermal and quantum fluctuations

Sanved Kolekar*, T. Padmanabhan†
 IUCAA, Pune University Campus, Ganeshkhind,
 Pune 411007, India.

August 9, 2018

Abstract

The existence of Davies-Unruh temperature in a uniformly accelerated frame shows that quantum fluctuations of the inertial *vacuum* state appears as thermal fluctuations in the accelerated frame. Hence thermodynamic experiments cannot distinguish between phenomena occurring in a thermal bath of temperature T in the inertial frame from those in a frame accelerating through inertial vacuum with the acceleration $a = 2\pi T$. We show that this indistinguishability between quantum fluctuations and thermal fluctuations goes far beyond the fluctuations in the vacuum state. We show by an exact calculation, that the reduced density matrix for a uniformly accelerated observer when the quantum field is in a *thermal* state of temperature T' , is symmetric between acceleration temperature $T = a/(2\pi)$ and the thermal bath temperature T' . Thus thermal phenomena cannot distinguish whether (i) one is accelerating with $a = 2\pi T$ through a bath of temperature T' or (ii) accelerating with $a = 2\pi T'$ through a bath of temperature T . This shows that thermal and quantum fluctuations in an accelerated frame affect the observer in a symmetric manner. The implications are discussed.

It is well known that the inertial vacuum state of a quantum field appears to an accelerated observer as [1, 2] a thermal state with temperature T related to the magnitude of its acceleration a , as $T = a/2\pi$. We use units with $c = k_B = \hbar = 1$. More precisely, the reduced density matrix, obtained by tracing over degrees of freedom hidden behind the Rindler horizon, when

*sanved@iucaa.ernet.in

†paddy@iucaa.ernet.in

the field is in the inertial vacuum state, has the form of a thermal density matrix with temperature $T = a/2\pi$. Hence the appearance of vacuum fluctuations to a uniformly accelerated observer is indistinguishable from the thermal fluctuations seen by a stationary observer in a *real* thermal bath. This point of view is also supported by the fact that a two level quantum system undergoing uniform acceleration and coupled to the quantum field in its Minkowski vacuum state, will reach a level population [3] that is identical to that of a detector immersed in a thermal bath after it has reached equilibrium.

Such results regarding the indistinguishability of thermal and vacuum fluctuations are based on studying the inertial *vacuum* state of the quantum field. In other words, the fluctuations present in the inertial frame are purely those of the inertial vacuum which, from the perspective of an accelerated observer, appears as thermal fluctuations. However, it is not clear what is the relationship between the quantum fluctuations and the thermal fluctuations for the accelerated observer when the latter are *also* present in the inertial frame. To emphasize this point, let us consider a real thermal bath at temperature T' in the inertial vacuum which will introduce genuine thermal fluctuations in the inertial frame. Consider now an observer moving through this thermal bath with an acceleration a corresponding to a Davies-Unruh temperature $T = a/(2\pi)$. The thermal phenomena seen by such an observer can be described by a suitable theoretical construct, say, a density matrix $\rho(T, T')$ which could depend on both T and T' (and on possibly other variables which are irrelevant to us). We use the convention that, in the density matrix $\rho(T_1, T_2)$ the first argument denotes the acceleration temperature and the second one denotes the temperature of a thermal bath as measured in the inertial frame. The Davies-Unruh effect tantamounts to the statement that

$$\rho(0, T) = \rho(T, 0) \tag{1}$$

This prompts us to ask the question: *Does the indistinguishability extend to situations when both thermal and quantum fluctuations are simultaneously present?* That is, can we prove that

$$\rho(T, T') = \rho(T', T) \tag{2}$$

when both T and T' are non-zero? Such an equality would imply that an observer accelerating through a thermal bath of temperature T' with an acceleration corresponding to temperature T will experience the same thermal phenomena as an observer accelerating through a thermal bath of temperature T with an acceleration corresponding to temperature T' .

The key result of this paper is the rigorous proof of the above result in Eq. (2) for a thermal bath given in Eq. (7) thereby escalating the equivalence between quantum and thermal phenomena to a new level. We do this by computing the reduced density matrix for a uniformly accelerated observer with acceleration a when the quantum field is in a thermal bath with temperature $(1/\beta')$. The thermal bath considered consists of the Unruh particles (the reason for such a choice over a thermal bath of Minkowski particles is explained below). We calculate the resultant density matrix in the usual way of tracing over the degrees of freedom hidden behind the Rindler horizon. We obtain an exact expression without any approximation which by itself is an interesting result and first of its kind for a thermal bath.

We note that there have been previous works (see for example ref. [5, 6]) which calculated the *spectrum* of particles detected by uniformly accelerated observers in an inertial thermal bath by computing the Bogoliubov co-efficients or the excitation rate of the Unruh Dewitt detector. However, these methods only tell us the number density of Rindler particles detected whereas to make a definite statement about the indistinguishability of quantum and thermal fluctuations, one must have a complete knowledge of the system which is precisely provided by the reduced density matrix. Furthermore, the calculation using detectors coupled to the thermal bath involves a prescription of averaging over different excitation rates with appropriate thermal weightages and is not a derivation from first principles. The density matrix formalism works without any approximations and the result we obtain is exact and from first principles. In the context of relativistic quantum entanglement a similar computation was done, although with completely different purposes, using the covariant matrix formalism to understand the effect of the initial temperature of two modes on the final entanglement after the modes are two-mode-squeezed [7].

We will begin by summarizing the procedure involved in calculating the reduced density matrix. We consider a free scalar field described by the Klein Gordon field equation. Let the *state* of the scalar field with respect to the inertial observer be described by a density matrix ρ_M which - in general - can represent a mixed state or a thermal bath. One can express ρ_M in terms of any basis eigenstates which are a complete set of basis vectors. The eigenstates of the inertial Hamiltonian and the eigenstates corresponding to the left and right Rindler Hamiltonian together, are such complete set of basis vectors. Let us denote by $|_L n\rangle$ and $|_R n\rangle$ the left and right Rindler Hamiltonian eigenstates respectively and $(b_{(L)k}^\dagger, b_{(L)k})$ and $(b_{(R)k}^\dagger, b_{(R)k})$ be the creation and annihilation operators corresponding

to the left and right Rindler wedges respectively. An observer confined to the right Rindler wedge $X > |T|$ will have all her physical observables made purely out of $(b_{(R)k}^\dagger, b_{(R)k})$ and they will be independent of $(b_{(L)k}^\dagger, b_{(L)k})$. Let $\mathcal{O}(b_{(R)k}^\dagger, b_{(R)k})$ be any such observable. Then expectation value of \mathcal{O} for a given density matrix ρ_M is

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle &= Tr(\rho_M \mathcal{O}) \\
&= \sum_{p,q} \langle p|_R \otimes \langle q|_L (\rho_M \mathcal{O}) |Lq\rangle \otimes |Rp\rangle \\
&= \sum_p \langle p|_R (\rho_r \mathcal{O}) |Rp\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that \mathcal{O} is independent of $(b_{(L)k}^\dagger, b_{(L)k})$ and defined the reduced density matrix ρ_r as

$$\rho_r = \sum_q \langle q|_L \rho_M |Lq\rangle \tag{4}$$

It is evident from Eq.[3] that the reduced density matrix ρ_r is the one relevant for our purpose.

It is known that when $\rho_M = |0_M\rangle\langle 0_M|$ (where $|0_M\rangle$ is the Minkowski vacuum state) the reduced density matrix ρ_r corresponding to the right (or left) Rindler observer is a thermal density matrix with temperature $\beta^{-1} = a/2\pi$. It can be expressed in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{unruh} &= \prod_k C_k^2 \sum_n e^{-n\beta\omega_k} |Rn_k\rangle\langle n_k|_R \\
&= \prod_k C_k^2 \sum_n e^{-n\beta\omega_k} \frac{(b_{(R)k}^\dagger)^n}{n!} |0_R\rangle\langle 0_R| (b_{(R)k})^n
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

corresponding to the standard Davies-Unruh effect. The expectation value of the number operator $(b_{(R)k}^\dagger b_{(R)k})$ for the above thermal density matrix leads to the Planckian distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle &= Tr[\rho_{unruh} b_{(R)k}^\dagger b_{(R)k}] \\
&= \frac{1}{(e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In our case, we want to obtain the form of the reduced density matrix ρ_r when the scalar field is described by an thermal density matrix $\rho_{th} =$

$\exp(-\beta'\mathcal{H})$ with temperature given by $(1/\beta')$. Ideally, one would like to start with $\rho_{th} = \exp(-\beta'\mathcal{H})$ with the Hamiltonian being the sum of $\mathcal{H}_k = \omega_k a_k^\dagger a_k$ where a_k^\dagger and a_k are the creation and annihilation operators respectively defined with respect to the inertial plane wave modes. However, as is well known, $a_k f(b_{k_1}, b_{k_2}, b_{k_3}, \dots, b_{k_1}^\dagger, b_{k_2}^\dagger, \dots)$ is a function of the Rindler creation and annihilation operators of all frequencies, and hence, an inertial plane wave mode of frequency ω_k gets mixed with Rindler plane wave modes of all frequencies. So calculating the reduced density matrix in an exact closed form is algebraically untractable.

We shall avoid this problem of mode mixing by exploiting a trick originally devised by Unruh. This involves working with the so called Unruh modes $U_k^{(1)}, U_k^{(2)}$ [3] which are linear combination of the Minkowski plane wave modes such that negative and positive frequencies do not mix. Due to this, the vacuum state corresponding to the plane wave mode solutions and the Unruh modes remains the same. Further, the Unruh mode of frequency k maps to Rindler modes only with frequencies k and $-k$, which is the key aspect required to avoid the mode mixing problem. This significantly simplifies the calculation and, as we shall demonstrate below, allows us to obtain an exact closed expression for ρ_r . (We also point out later that working with Unruh particles rather than with Minkowski particles is advantageous in dealing with non-stationarity issues.)

There are two sets of creation and annihilation operators d_{1k}^\dagger, d_{1k} and d_{2k}^\dagger, d_{2k} corresponding to the two Unruh modes $(U_k^{(1)}, U_k^{\dagger(1)})$ and $(U_k^{(2)}, U_k^{\dagger(2)})$ respectively. We begin by defining $\rho_{th} = e^{-\beta'\mathcal{H}}$ where $\mathcal{H} = \sum_k \omega_k d_{1k}^\dagger d_{1k}$ to be a thermal density matrix describing a thermal bath of Unruh particles. One should note that this Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \sum_k \omega_k d_{1k}^\dagger d_{1k}$ is not the original Hamiltonian for the scalar field defined in terms of the usual Minkowski creation and annihilation operators and hence lacks a natural interpretation from an inertial observers perspective as is well known in the literature. Nonetheless, for our present purpose, we only require the thermal state to be populated by particles through excitations over the standard Minkowski/inertial vacuum. We consider a thermal bath of Unruh particles created by d_{1k}^\dagger acting on the Minkowski vacuum with the interpretation of $1/\beta'$ as the temperature of the bath. These Unruh particles are then, of course, different from the standard Minkowski particles but both belong to excited states of the same vacuum. Using the basis representation of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}_{inertial} = \sum_k \omega_k a_k^\dagger a_k$, we define

ρ_{th} to be

$$\rho_{th} = \prod_k C_k^2 \sum_m e^{-m\beta'\omega_k} \frac{(d_{1k}^\dagger)^m}{\sqrt{m!}} |0_M\rangle \langle 0_M| \frac{(d_{1k})^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \quad (7)$$

where C_k^2 is the normalization constant. A comparison of the form of the density matrix in Eq.[7] with that of Eq.[5], shows that ρ_{th} is a thermal density matrix with \mathcal{H} playing the role the *effective* Hamiltonian for the Unruh particles corresponding to the operators d_{1k}^\dagger, d_{1k} . We could have chosen to work with a thermal bath of d_{2k}^\dagger, d_{2k} particles instead of a thermal bath of d_{1k}^\dagger, d_{1k} particles without any loss of generality. However, one must then take care to trace over appropriate set of mode, left or right, in the two contexts. This is because, by construction, the two set of Unruh modes are asymmetric in terms of the left and right Rindler modes. For, example d_{1k}^\dagger is a function of only the left Rindler creation and the right Rindler annihilation operators and hence, for a thermal bath of d_{1k}^\dagger, d_{1k} particles, one must trace over the right modes. Similarly, for the thermal bath of d_{2k}^\dagger, d_{2k} particles, one must trace over the left Rindler modes. Further, it is easy to verify that $[H_{d_1}, \rho_{th}] = 0 = [H_{b_L}, \rho_{th}]$ where H_{b_L} and H_{d_1} are the left Rindler Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian corresponding to the Unruh mode ($U_k^{(1)}$) respectively. The vanishing commutators show that ρ_{th} is stationary with respect to both H_{b_L} and H_{d_1} and hence the comparison of T and T' can be performed at any instant of time. Thus, the choice of the thermal bath in terms of the Unruh particles rather than the Minkowski particles avoids the well known non-stationarity issues which arise in the latter case [6].

We can now proceed to determine the corresponding reduced density matrix ρ_r . Our objective will be to take the trace of ρ_{th} with respect to the right Rindler states. The calculation is straightforward but lengthy and the key steps are indicated in Appendix A. The final result can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_r = & \prod_k C_k^2 \sum_p \left[1 + e^{-(\beta' - \beta)\omega_k} (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k}) \right]^p \\ & \times e^{-p\beta\omega_k} |Lp\rangle \langle p|_L \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which is obtained without any approximation and is exact. The normalization constant can be found by imposing $Tr(\rho_r) = 1$ leading to $C_k^2 =$

$(1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k})(1 - e^{-\beta'\omega_k})$. The expectation value of the number operator is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N} \rangle &= Tr[\rho_r b_{(R)k}^\dagger b_{(R)k}] \\ &= \frac{1}{(e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1)} + \frac{1}{(e^{\beta'\omega_k} - 1)} + \frac{1}{(e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1)(e^{\beta'\omega_k} - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We note that an expression similar to Eq.[9] was obtained in [7], but in a completely different context using the covariant matrix formalism. However, [7] neither mentions anything about the symmetry in temperatures nor does it attempt to discuss the significance of it, most probably because the authors have missed it due to their focus on completely different aspects. (We thank an anonymous referee who brought this work to our attention.) Further, it should also be mentioned that authors of reference [7] work with two different initial thermal baths. We have considered the initial thermal bath comprising of one (any one) of the two types of Unruh particles as mentioned above whereas [7] considers both type of Unruh particles in thermal equilibrium at same temperature. Due to this reason, the Eq.(15) in [7] is similar to the above Eq.[9], but not quite the same.

We now identify $(Z_k^\beta)^{-1} = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k})$ to be the partition function of a thermal bath at temperature β^{-1} corresponding to some $\rho_{thermal} = e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_\parallel}/Z_k$ and similarly $(Z_k^{\beta'})^{-1} = (1 - e^{-\beta'\omega_k})$ to be the partition functions of a thermal bath at temperature $(1/\beta')$. The form of ρ_r in Eq.[8] in terms of these variables can then be simply written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_r &= \prod_k (Z_k^\beta Z_k^{\beta'})^{-1} \sum_p \left[1 - (Z_k^\beta Z_k^{\beta'})^{-1} \right]^p |Lp\rangle \langle p|_L \\ &= \prod_k (\bar{Z}_k(\beta, \beta'))^{-1} \sum_p \left[1 - (\bar{Z}_k(\beta, \beta'))^{-1} \right]^p |Lp\rangle \langle p|_L \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{Z}_k(\beta, \beta') = Z_k^\beta Z_k^{\beta'}$ is the effective partition function of the reduced density matrix we are interested in.

We thus have a remarkable result: The effective partition function $\bar{Z}_k(\beta, \beta')$ is just the product of the two thermal partition functions with temperatures $(1/\beta')$ and $(1/\beta)$! One can check that in the limit $(\beta')^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ when there is no thermal bath, we have $Z_k^{\beta'} \rightarrow 1$ and hence we get back the Unruh effect, $\rho_r \rightarrow \rho_{unruh}$. Similarly, in the limit when the acceleration vanishes, $\beta^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, we have $Z_k^\beta \rightarrow 1$ and hence we get initial thermal bath, $\rho_r \rightarrow \rho_{thermal}$.

There are several curious features about the result obtained which are worth mentioning. To begin with, there have been suggestions in the literature [8] that quantum fluctuations and all statistical fluctuations, including thermal ones, are essentially identical, in the sense that both can be dissipative in nature, except in a globally flat spacetime, in which, the quantum fluctuations are conservative for the inertial trajectories. Then, assuming a set of principles, Smolin proposed that in all the other cases, (i.e., except for motion is along inertial trajectories in globally flat spacetimes) the quantum fluctuations should be indistinguishable from the statistical fluctuations. Our result resonates well with an equivalence of this kind though ours is a specific and precise statement of the indistinguishability between thermal and vacuum fluctuations. Of course, if one performs, say, mechanical experiments which involve the measurement of the acceleration of the observer, then one can deduce the acceleration temperature β^{-1} indirectly by making use of Unruh's result, $T = a/2\pi$. However, in the present context, the indistinguishability being discussed is purely within the thermodynamic domain of experiments in which the expectation value of any physical observable of the accelerated observer is calculated from ρ_r according to Eq.[3]. It may further be noted that some of the previous works [9] have claimed to be able to distinguish between thermal and quantum fluctuations using two detectors by considering the entanglement between them. However, a careful reading of these works shows that the claim about two detectors being able to distinguish (whereas a single detector cannot) between the two kinds of fluctuations actually refers to the two kinds of fluctuations for quantum systems in two different spacetimes. For e.g in [9], the entanglement between two detectors moving in a thermal bath in (i) Minkowski and (ii) De-sitter are compared. Whereas, in the present paper, the two kinds of fluctuations we have discussed are in terms of two temperature parameter T and T' for the same quantum system in a given spacetime vis-a-vis, the Minkowski spacetime. Hence these results cannot be compared directly with our results.

Second, while the result demonstrates the validity of Eq. (2), it does not lead to any simple rule for combining the two temperatures. There is no simple way of defining an effective temperature for the resulting system. This is clear from the fact that ρ_r is not thermal for the special case of $\beta = \beta'$. One way to understand this non-thermality is in terms of the detailed balance equation consisting of the induced probability to absorb or emit particles which demonstrates thermality for an uniformly accelerated detector moving in inertial vacuum fluctuations (see for example [10]). In the present case, when thermal fluctuations are additionally present, our

result suggests that the delicate balance of the stimulated and absorption probability rates gets modified thereby breaking the thermal equilibrium. Such kind of emission processes are also evident when one inspects the expectation value of the Rindler number operator as given in Eq.[9]. It has the form $\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle = n_T + n_{T'} + (n_T)(n_{T'})$ where n_T and $n_{T'}$ each represent a Planckian distribution of particles at respective temperatures, T and T' . One can interpret the resultant expectation value as a stimulated emission process wherein the presence of thermal bath $n_{T'}$ stimulates an additional emission of n_T particles or vice-versa due to the symmetry in T and T' .

However, the symmetry in Eq. (2) is strengthened, in view of our result, to a stronger statement, viz., that the partition function $Z(T, T')$ is actually a *product* function of the form $Z(T, T') = f(T)f(T')$. This is in contrast to simpler forms of obtaining the symmetry in Eq. (2) like $\rho(T, T')$ just being a function of, say, $(T+T')$ or $(T^2+T'^2)$ etc. The latter situation in fact arises in the context of a uniformly accelerated observer in the de Sitter spacetime [11] in which case, it is known that the effective temperature is the Pythagorean sum of the acceleration temperature and de Sitter temperature. It would be interesting to study uniformly accelerated observers in other spacetimes with horizons to explore the connection with our result.

Finally, given the product structure of the full partition function $Z(\beta_1, \beta_2) = Z(\beta_1)Z(\beta_2)$, it is clear that $\ln Z(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ is additive. This, however, does *not* imply simple additivity of thermodynamic variables because those require additivity of free energy $F = -\beta^{-1} \ln Z$ which cannot be defined without a natural notion of β for the full system. The best we can do is to introduce a relation $\beta F = \beta_1 F_1 + \beta_2 F_2$ which is insufficient to define β and F individually. Many of these aspects are worth further investigation.

One must note that the symmetry of temperatures in the stationary reduced density matrix of Eq. (10) is valid for a thermal bath of Unruh particles given by Eq. (7) and may not hold in the natural case of a thermal bath consisting of Minkowski particles due to the non-stationarity arguments mentioned earlier. In the latter case, one would instead expect a time dependent reduced density matrix and the relationship between T and T' would be worth investigating

Acknowledgements: We thank Jorma Louko for useful discussions and comments. TP's research is partially supported by J.C.Bose Research grant.

Appendix A

We first express the Unruh operators in terms of the left and right Rindler operators as

$$d_{1k}^\dagger = \frac{[b_{(L)k}^\dagger - \bar{q} b_{(R)(-k)}]}{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{q})} \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{q} = \exp(-\beta\omega_k/2)$ and $\bar{p}^2 = \exp(\beta\omega_k/2)/[2 \sinh(\beta\omega_k/2)]$. Further we use the fact that the Minkowski vacuum state can be expanded in terms of the left and right Rindler basis states as

$$|0_M\rangle = \prod_k A_k^2 \sum_n e^{-\frac{n}{2}\beta\omega_k} |Ln\rangle \otimes |Rn\rangle \quad (12)$$

Using Eq.[12], we can express the thermal density matrix in Eq.[7] in terms of the left and right Rindler basis states as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{th} &= \prod_k C_k^2 A_k^2 \sum_{m,p,q} e^{-m\beta'\omega_k} e^{-\frac{(p+q)}{2}\beta\omega_k} \\ &\times \frac{(d_{1k}^\dagger)^m}{m!} |Lp\rangle \otimes |Rp\rangle \langle q|R \otimes \langle q|L (d_{1k})^m \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Next we binomially expand the right hand side of Eq.[11] to get

$$\left(d_{1k}^\dagger\right)^m = \frac{[-\bar{q}]^{m-l}}{[\bar{p}(1 - \bar{q})]^m} \sum_{l=0}^m {}^m C_l (b_{(L)k}^\dagger)^l (b_{(R)(-k)})^{m-l} \quad (14)$$

Here, we have used the fact that the left and right Rindler operators commute with each other, that is,

$$\left[(b_{(L)k}^{(\cdot,\dagger)}, b_{(R)k}^{(\cdot,\dagger)}) \right] = 0 \quad (15)$$

Combining the above equations together, the density matrix ρ_{th} can finally be written completely in terms of the left and right Rindler operators and

basis states as

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{th} &= \prod_k C_k^2 \sum_{m,p,q,l,l'} \left[\frac{e^{-m\beta'\omega_k} e^{-\frac{(p+q)}{2}\beta\omega_k} [-\bar{q}]^{2m-l-l'}}{[\bar{p}(1-\bar{q})]^{2m}} \right] \\
&\times \left[\frac{{}^m C_l {}^m C_{l'} {}^p P_{m-l} {}^q P_{m-l'}}{m!p!q!} \right] \Theta_{p-m+l} \Theta_{q-m+l'} \\
&\times \sqrt{(p+l)!(q+l')!(p-m+l)!(q-m+l)!} \\
&\times |_L(p+l)\rangle \otimes |_R(p-m+l)\rangle \langle (q-m+l')|_R \otimes \langle (q+l')|_L
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Taking the trace of ρ_{th} over the right Rindler modes and performing straightforward algebraic manipulations, we get the required result quoted in the text. (A detailed calculation and other physical aspects of ρ_r are discussed in a separate paper [12].)

References

- [1] P. C. W. Davies, J. Phys. A **8**, 609 - 616 (1975).
- [2] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).
- [3] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976); Bryce DeWitt, The Global Approach to Quantum Field Theory (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003); N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1982).
- [4] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, and G. E. A. Matsas, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 787 (2008).
- [5] T. Padmanabhan and T.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2457-2463 (1988).
- [6] S. S. Costa and G. E. A. Matsas, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3466-3471 (1995).
- [7] D. E. Bruschi, N. Friis, I. Fuentes and S. Weinfurtner. New J. Phys. **15**, 113016 (2013)
- [8] L. Smolin Class. Quantum Grav. **3**, 347 (1986).
- [9] G. V. Steeg and N. C. Menicucci, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 044027 (2009); G. Salton, R. B. Mann, N. C. Menicucci, arXiv:1408.1395.

- [10] I. Agullo, J. Navarro-Salas, G. J. Olmo and L. Parker, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 095017 (2010)
- [11] S. Deser and O. Levin, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14**, L163-L168 (1997).
- [12] S. Kolekar, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 044036 (2014).