

The low-extinction afterglow in the solar-metallicity host galaxy of γ -ray burst 110918A*

J. ELLIOTT¹, T. KRÜHLER², J. GREINER¹, S. SAVAGLIO¹, F. OLIVARES E.¹, A. RAU¹,
A. DE UGARTE POSTIGO^{3,2}, R. SÁNCHEZ-RAMÍREZ³, K. WIERSEMA⁴, P. SCHADY¹, D. A. KANN^{5,1},
R. FILGAS^{6,1}, M. NARDINI⁷, E. BERGER⁸, D. FOX⁹, J. GOROSABEL^{3,11,12}, S. KLOSE⁵, A. LEVAN¹⁰,
A. NICUESA GUEL BENZU⁵, A. ROSSI⁵, S. SCHMIDL⁵, V. SUDILOVSKY¹, N. R. TANVIR⁴,
C. C. THÖNE³

¹MPE; ²DARK; ³IAA-CSIC; ⁴The University of Leicester; ⁵TLS Tautenburg; ⁶Czech Technical University in Prague; ⁷Università degli studi di Milano-Bicocca; ⁸CfA; ⁹Pennsylvania State University; ¹⁰University of Warwick; ¹¹UPV/EHU-IAA/CSIC; ¹²Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science

Galaxies selected through long γ -ray bursts (GRBs) could be of fundamental importance when mapping the star formation history out to the highest redshifts. Before using them as efficient tools in the early Universe, however, the environmental factors that govern the formation of GRBs need to be understood. Metallicity is theoretically thought to be a fundamental driver in GRB explosions and energetics, but is still, even after more than a decade of extensive studies, not fully understood. This is largely related to two phenomena: a dust-extinction bias, that prevented high-mass and thus likely high-metallicity GRB hosts to be detected in the first place, and a lack of efficient instrumentation, that limited spectroscopic studies including metallicity measurements to the low-redshift end of the GRB host population. The subject of this work is the very energetic GRB 110918A ($E_{\gamma,\text{iso}} = 1.9 \times 10^{54}$ erg), for which we measure one of the largest host-integrated metallicities, ever, and the highest stellar mass for $z < 1.9$. This presents one of the very few robust metallicity measurements of GRB hosts at $z \sim 1$, and establishes that GRB hosts at $z \sim 1$ can also be very metal rich. It conclusively rules out a metallicity cut-off in GRB host galaxies and argues against an anti-correlation between metallicity and energy release in GRBs.

PRESENTED AT

the Seventh Huntsville Gamma-Ray Burst Symposium
Nashville, Tennessee, 14–18 April 2013

*Based on observations made with telescopes at the European Southern Observatory at La Silla/Paranal, Chile under program 090.A-0760(A).

1 Introduction

During their prompt emission, long gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are the brightest objects in the Universe, easily reaching isotropic-equivalent luminosities as high as $\sim 10^{54}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Their observed association to supernovae events has tightly linked them to the death of massive stars [13]. The GRB progenitors are likely Wolf-Rayet like stars, that usually undergo vigorous mass loss from stellar winds, and so metallicity constraints ($Z < 0.3 Z_{\odot}$) on the progenitor are postulated to ensure a GRB occurs [12, 38, 36].

The possible association of long GRBs with massive stars supported the idea that they could be used as complementary and independent tracers of star formation, due to their very high luminosities [3, 23]. However, to have full confidence in these studies the intrinsic evolutionary effects in long GRB production must be understood and the galactic environments preferred by the progenitor need to be quantified [32, 31, 4]. Of particular interest is the relation between the galaxies selected by GRBs and the star formation weighted population of field galaxies. To be direct and unbiased tracers of star formation, the relative rates of GRBs in galaxies of various physical properties should be the same in galaxies taken from samples that trace the global star formation density at a given redshift. Studies based on these galaxy samples are most commonly performed at $z \lesssim 1.5$, where the star formation of field galaxies is largely recovered by state-of-the-art deep-field surveys.

Only a few host galaxies with substantial gas-phase metallicities around or above solar [21] that directly violate the proposed cut-off in galaxy metallicity have been observed to date. There is thus still lively debate in the literature about the nature of GRB hosts, and their relation to the star formation weighted galaxy population as a whole [29, 26, 18, 9]. GRB hosts with high stellar mass and high global metallicity are hence of primary interest for GRB host studies, as they directly probe this allegedly forbidden parameter space.

2 Observations

The luminous GRB 110918A was detected on the 18th of September 2011 at $T_0=21:26:57$ UT [15]. This burst had one of the highest fluences of any GRB observed over the last 20 years and had the highest peak flux ever detected by *Konus-Wind* [8, 7]. The X-ray and optical afterglows (see Fig. 1) were later found [19, 25], and followed, by several instruments, for which we outline the ones relevant to our work in the following.

The Gamma-Ray Burst Optical Near-infrared Detector (GROND; [10]) mounted at the MPG/ESO 2.2 m telescope at La Silla, Chile, began its follow-up campaign of GRB 110918A 29.2 hrs after the trigger simultaneously in the $g'r'i'z'JHK_s$ filters [5]. Spectra of the afterglow were obtained with the Optical System for Imaging and low Resolution Integrated Spectroscopy (OSIRIS; [2]) mounted at the Gran Telescopio Canarias (Roque de los Muchachos) and the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrographs (GMOS; [14]) mounted at the Gemini North telescope (Mauna Kea) at ~ 1 day after the trigger, for which a redshift

of $z = 0.984 \pm 0.001$ was estimated using the transition of several metal ions including Fe II, Mg II, and Mg I.

GROND observations continued for over 1 month after the GRB trigger and an underlying host was discovered. Further deep observations of the host galaxy were made 392 d after the trigger with the Wide Field Imager (WFI; [1]), also mounted on the MPG/ESO 2.2m telescope and a source at the host's location found in the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; [37]) All-Sky Source Catalogue. Finally, late time spectra were obtained for the underlying host galaxy with OSIRIS (~ 40 days after the burst) and with X-shooter [34] mounted at the Very Large Telescope (Kueyen/UT2), approximately 1 year later.




Figure 1: A GROND i' band image showing the field of GRB 110918A, and the position of the afterglow highlighted by the red circle.

3 Results

3.1 Afterglow

A broadband SED was constructed from the optical/NIR GROND photometry ~ 2 days after the burst and fit with a powerlaw, assuming that the afterglow emission is well described by the standard synchrotron mechanism. Most notable from the best fit, is the line of sight extinction, $A_V^{\text{GRB}} = 0.16 \pm 0.06$ mag, that assumes a Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) like dust.

3.2 Host Galaxy

The host of GRB 110918A was detected in 11 different filters ranging from the ultra-violet to $4.5\mu\text{m}$, yielding a well-sampled photometric SED (see Fig. 2). To estimate the global properties of the host galaxy we employed standard techniques that use stellar population synthesis to estimate stellar masses, the full details can be found in [6]. This results in a stellar mass of $\log_{10}\left(\frac{M_*}{M_\odot}\right) = 10.68 \pm 0.16$.




Figure 2: The SED of the host of GRB 110918A obtained using GROND, WFI and WISE data, amounting to 11 filters: $UBg'r'i'z'JHK_sW1W2$ from left to right. The best-fit spectrum is depicted in black.

From the X-shooter/OSIRIS spectra, we clearly detect the $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ transition from the Balmer series, as well as the forbidden transitions of $[\text{OII}](\lambda\lambda 3726, 3729)$ and $[\text{NII}](\lambda 6584)$. The Balmer ratio of $H\alpha/H\beta$ implies an $E(B - V)^{\text{gas}} = 0.57^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$ mag towards the star forming regions, assuming a Milky-Way like extinction law. Utilising the $H\alpha$ we estimate a star formation rate of $\text{SFR}_{H\alpha} = 40 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Using the different emission line ratios, we measure a metallicity of $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})_{\text{N2H}\alpha} = 8.93 \pm 0.13$ and $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})_{\text{N2O2}} = 8.85^{+0.14}_{-0.18}$ using the formulation of [28]. Different calibrations of the strong-line diagnostics yield consistent values and imply metallicities between 0.9 and 1.7 times solar.

4 Discussion

4.1 The Afterglow & Host Dynamic

There is a very tight correlation between stellar-mass and sight-line extinction probed by the GRBs [30], and is found to be stronger than for any other physical property of the

galaxy, such that hosts selected due to high afterglow extinction have systematically more massive and dust extinguished sight lines than the optically selected hosts (Fig. 3). While in principle, cases like GRB 110918A would be easy to identify (bright afterglow, easy localization, bright host), no comparable example has been reported in the literature to date. It is possible that: (i) the geometry of dust within the host of GRB110918A is more patchy than homogeneous (see also, GRB 100621A and 061222A [20, 30], or (ii) the progenitor had enough time to destroy local dust from its UV emission [30].




Figure 3: **Left:** The GRB line of sight A_V^{GRB} plotted against the stellar mass of the host galaxy. The extinction values have been obtained from [16], [17], [11], [33] and [30]. **Right:** The isotropic-equivalent energy release in γ -rays of GRBs plotted against the gas-phase metallicity of the host galaxy. Blue data are taken from [22].

4.2 Fundamental Metallicity Relation

The difference between galaxies of long GRBs and that of normal star forming field galaxies is still an on going debate. We have derived estimates for the mass, metallicity and SFR of the host of GRB 110918A, which facilitates comparing this galaxy with respect to normal star forming galaxies through the fundamental metallicity relation [26]. The FMR determined value is in agreement with the metallicity from the [NII]/H α line ratio. This illustrates that the mass and SFR of a GRB-selected galaxy, at least for this one event, can be used as a fair proxy for the metallicity even in the solar, or super-solar regime.

4.3 Metallicity and Long GRB Progenitors

Many authors have attributed the fact that most long GRB host galaxies exhibit low metallicities as the result of an environmental preference [27, 9, 30]. This dependence on metal-

licity has also led to the prediction that the lower the progenitor metallicity, the larger the angular momentum, and thus the higher the energy output of the GRB [24]. With one of the largest metallicities and isotropic-equivalent prompt energies, ever, GRB 110918A is in contradiction to the idea of a correlation between $E_{\gamma, \text{iso}}$ and metallicity (Fig. 3), consistent with other studies [35, 22].

4.4 Metallicity Cut-off

Recently, [30] performed an extensive photometric study of host galaxies selected from a sample of dark bursts, limiting the selection biases present in previous works. However, while the inclusion of dark GRB hosts increases the consistency of GRB hosts with the star formation weighted sample of field galaxies, there is still a clear lack of high-mass galaxies at $z \lesssim 1.5$. Associating the galaxy mass with metallicity, this provides indirect evidence for a metallicity effect in GRB hosts. A similar conclusion was reached based on a comparison of long GRB hosts with supernovae hosts [9], namely that long GRB hosts show a strong preference for lower metallicity environments relative to other populations of star forming galaxies, with a metallicity cut-off of $Z < 0.5 Z_{\odot}$. This cut-off is not consistent with the host galaxy of GRB 110918A even if dispersions of 0.3 dex are considered.

5 Summary

A dedicated follow-up campaign of the afterglow of GRB 110918A and its underlying host has revealed a unique system with the following properties: (i) one of the most massive galaxies selected by a GRB at $z \sim 1$, (ii) the first relatively unobscured afterglow that has been detected in a very massive and dusty host galaxy, (iii) one of the most metal-rich long GRB host galaxies found yet, (iv) no different to star forming galaxies selected through their own stellar light, when compared using the FMR, (v) opposes an anti-correlation between energy output of the GRB and environmental metallicity, and (vi) contradicts a cut-off for host galaxies of $Z < 0.5 Z_{\odot}$.

References

- [1] D. Baade, K. Meisenheimer, O. Iwert et al., The Wide Field Imager at the 2.2-m MPG/ESO telescope: first views with a 67-million-facette eye. *The Messenger*, 95:15–16, Mar. 1999.
- [2] J. Cepa, M. Aguiar, V. G. Escalera et al., OSIRIS tunable imager and spectrograph. In M. Iye and A. F. Moorwood, editors, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, volume 4008 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, pages 623–631, Aug. 2000.
- [3] F. Daigne, E. M. Rossi, and R. Mochkovitch. The redshift distribution of Swift gamma-ray bursts: evidence for evolution. *MNRAS*, 372:1034–1042, Nov. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0607618.

- [4] J. Elliott, J. Greiner, S. Khochfar et al., The long γ -ray burst rate and the correlation with host galaxy properties. *A&A*, 539:A113, Mar. 2012, 1202.1225.
- [5] J. Elliott, T. Kruehler, S. Klose et al., GRB 110918A: GROND detection of the afterglow candidate. *GRB Coordinates Network*, 12366:1, 2011.
- [6] J. Elliott, T. Kruehler, J. Greiner et al., The low-extinction afterglow in the solar metallicity host galaxy of γ -ray burst 110918A. *A&A*, 556:A23, Aug. 2013, 1306.0892.
- [7] D. Frederiks and V. Pal'shin. GRB 110918A: rest-frame energetics in gamma-rays. *GRB Coordinates Network*, 12370:1, 2011.
- [8] S. Golenetskii, R. Aptekar, D. Frederiks et al., Konus-Wind observation of GRB 110918A. *GRB Coordinates Network*, 12362:1, 2011.
- [9] J. F. Graham and A. S. Fruchter. The Metal Aversion of LGRBs. *ApJ*, submitted (arXiv:1211.7086), Nov. 2012, 1211.7068.
- [10] J. Greiner, W. Bornemann, C. Clemens et al., GROND—a 7-Channel Imager. *PASP*, 120:405–424, Apr. 2008, 0801.4801.
- [11] J. Greiner, T. Krühler, S. Klose et al., The nature of “dark” gamma-ray bursts. *A&A*, 526:A30, Feb. 2011, 1011.0618.
- [12] R. Hirschi, G. Meynet, and A. Maeder. Stellar evolution with rotation. XIII. Predicted GRB rates at various Z . *A&A*, 443:581–591, Nov. 2005, arXiv:astro-ph/0507343.
- [13] J. Hjorth, D. Malesani, P. Jakobsson et al., The Optically Unbiased Gamma-Ray Burst Host (TOUGH) Survey. I. Survey Design and Catalogs. *ApJ*, 756:187, Sept. 2012, 1205.3162.
- [14] I. M. Hook, I. Jørgensen, J. R. Allington-Smith et al., The Gemini-North Multi-Object Spectrograph: Performance in Imaging, Long-Slit, and Multi-Object Spectroscopic Modes. *PASP*, 116:425–440, May 2004.
- [15] K. Hurley, S. Golenetskii, R. Aptekar et al., IPN triangulation of GRB 110918A (long duration, extremely intense). *GRB Coordinates Network*, 12357:1, 2011.
- [16] D. A. Kann, S. Klose, and A. Zeh. Signatures of Extragalactic Dust in Pre-Swift GRB Afterglows. *ApJ*, 641:993–1009, Apr. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0512575.
- [17] D. A. Kann, S. Klose, B. Zhang et al., The Afterglows of Swift-era Gamma-ray Bursts. I. Comparing pre-Swift and Swift-era Long/Soft (Type II) GRB Optical Afterglows. *ApJ*, 720:1513–1558, Sept. 2010, 0712.2186.
- [18] D. Kocevski and A. A. West. On the Origin of the Mass-Metallicity Relation for Gamma-Ray Burst Host Galaxies. *ApJL*, 735:L8+, July 2011, 1011.4060.
- [19] H. A. Krimm and H. v. M. Siegel. Swift Observations of GRB 110918A. *GCN Report*, 350:1, 2011.
- [20] T. Krühler, J. Greiner, P. Schady et al., The SEDs and host galaxies of the dustiest GRB afterglows. *A&A*, 534:A108, Oct. 2011, 1108.0674.
- [21] E. M. Levesque, L. J. Kewley, E. Berger, and H. J. Zahid. The Host Galaxies of Gamma-ray Bursts. II. A Mass-metallicity Relation for Long-duration Gamma-ray Burst Host Galaxies. *AJ*, 140:1557–1566, Nov. 2010, 1006.3560.
- [22] E. M. Levesque, A. M. Soderberg, L. J. Kewley, and E. Berger. No Correlation Between Host Galaxy Metallicity and Gamma-ray Energy Release for Long-duration Gamma-ray Bursts. *ApJ*, 725:1337–1341, Dec. 2010, 1007.0439.
- [23] L. Li. Star formation history up to $z = 7.4$: implications for gamma-ray bursts and cosmic metallicity evolution. *MNRAS*, 388:1487–1500, Aug. 2008, 0710.3587.
- [24] A. I. MacFadyen and S. E. Woosley. Collapsars: Gamma-Ray Bursts and Explosions in “Failed Supernovae”. *ApJ*, 524:262–289, Oct. 1999, arXiv:astro-ph/9810274.

- [25] V. Mangano, B. Sbarufatti, P. A. Evans, and H. A. Krimm. GRB 110918A: Swift-XRT observations. *GRB Coordinates Network*, 12364:1, 2011.
- [26] F. Mannucci, R. Salvaterra, and M. A. Campisi. The metallicity of the long GRB hosts and the fundamental metallicity relation of low-mass galaxies. *MNRAS*, 414:439, Mar. 2011, 1011.4506.
- [27] M. Modjaz, L. Kewley, R. P. Kirshner et al., Measured Metallicities at the Sites of Nearby Broad-Lined Type Ic Supernovae and Implications for the Supernovae Gamma-Ray Burst Connection. *AJ*, 135:1136–1150, Apr. 2008, arXiv:astro-ph/0701246.
- [28] T. Nagao, R. Maiolino, and A. Marconi. Gas metallicity diagnostics in star-forming galaxies. *A&A*, 459:85–101, Nov. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0603580.
- [29] Y. Niino. Revisiting the metallicity of long-duration gamma-ray burst host galaxies: the role of chemical inhomogeneity within galaxies. *MNRAS*, 417:567–572, Oct. 2011, 1103.1293.
- [30] D. A. Perley, A. J. Levan, N. R. Tanvir et al., A Population of Massive, Luminous Galaxies Hosting Heavily Dust-Obscured Gamma-Ray Bursts: Implications for the Use of GRBs as Tracers of Cosmic Star Formation. *ApJ*, submitted (arXiv:1301.5903), Jan. 2013, 1301.5903.
- [31] B. E. Robertson and R. S. Ellis. Connecting the Gamma Ray Burst Rate and the Cosmic Star Formation History: Implications for Reionization and Galaxy Evolution. *ApJ*, 744:95, Jan. 2012, 1109.0990.
- [32] R. Salvaterra, S. Campana, S. D. Vergani et al., A Complete Sample of Bright Swift Long Gamma-Ray Bursts. I. Sample Presentation, Luminosity Function and Evolution. *ApJ*, 749:68, Apr. 2012, 1112.1700.
- [33] P. Schady, T. Dwelly, M. J. Page et al., The dust extinction curves of gamma-ray burst host galaxies. *A&A*, 537:A15, Jan. 2012, 1110.3218.
- [34] J. Vernet, H. Dekker, S. D’Odorico et al., X-shooter, the new wide band intermediate resolution spectrograph at the ESO Very Large Telescope. *A&A*, 536:A105, Dec. 2011, 1110.1944.
- [35] C. Wolf and P. Podsiadlowski. The metallicity dependence of the long-duration gamma-ray burst rate from host galaxy luminosities. *MNRAS*, 375:1049–1058, Mar. 2007, arXiv:astro-ph/0606725.
- [36] S. E. Woosley and A. Heger. The Progenitor Stars of Gamma-Ray Bursts. *ApJ*, 637:914–921, Feb. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0508175.
- [37] E. L. Wright, P. R. M. Eisenhardt, A. K. Mainzer et al., The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE): Mission Description and Initial On-orbit Performance. *AJ*, 140:1868–1881, Dec. 2010, 1008.0031.
- [38] S.-C. Yoon and N. Langer. Evolution of rapidly rotating metal-poor massive stars towards gamma-ray bursts. *A&A*, 443:643–648, Nov. 2005, arXiv:astro-ph/0508242.