

Sentiment in New York City: A High Resolution Spatial and Temporal View

Karla Z. Bertrand, Maya Bialik, Kawandeeep Virdee, Andreas Gros and Yaneer Bar-Yam

New England Complex Systems Institute

238 Main St. S319 Cambridge MA 02142, USA

(Dated: August 20, 2013)

Abstract

Measuring public sentiment is a key task for researchers and policymakers alike. The explosion of available social media data allows for a more time-sensitive and geographically specific analysis than ever before. In this paper we analyze data from the micro-blogging site Twitter and generate a sentiment map of New York City. We develop a classifier specifically tuned for 140-character Twitter messages, or tweets, using key words, phrases and emoticons to determine the mood of each tweet. This method, combined with geotagging provided by users, enables us to gauge public sentiment on extremely fine-grained spatial and temporal scales. We find that public mood is generally highest in public parks and lowest at transportation hubs, and locate other areas of strong sentiment such as cemeteries, medical centers, a jail, and a sewage facility. Sentiment progressively improves with proximity to Times Square. Periodic patterns of sentiment fluctuate on both a daily and a weekly scale: more positive tweets are posted on weekends than on weekdays, with a daily peak in sentiment around midnight and a nadir between 9:00 a.m. and noon.

Twitter is a microblogging site created in 2006 [1] that is used by over 500 million people worldwide [2]. Researchers have found it an invaluable data repository for opinion mining and prediction in a number of fields, including politics [3–5] and financial markets [6–8]. Twitter has also served as a resource for predicting and tracking the propagation of natural disasters, epidemics, and terrorist incidents [9]. Public mood can be quantified using Twitter and other Internet sources [10–15]. The usefulness of Twitter as a tool for sentiment analysis has been verified by comparison to more traditional metrics, such as polls [16], socio-economic conditions [17], and stock market performance [18], as well as common sense predictors such as weather [19]. Recent studies have begun to explore both the dynamics [20] and geography [21] of twitter sentiment.

Here we use Twitter to study the fine-grained geography and dynamics of sentiment in the greater New York City area, identifying areas and times of positive and negative sentiment. We collected 603,954 tweets via Twitter’s API [22], restricted to those which were tagged with geocoordinates around the immediate New York metropolitan area over the course of two weeks in April 2012. We built a sentiment classifier in order to assess the mood of the tweets. Using emoticons we constructed a customized classifier directly from tweets rather than lexicons obtained from other sources. A set of tweets that included emoticons such as ;) or :-(served as the training corpus for positive and negative sentiment classifiers. Then, for each tweet in our full set, we removed the URLs and usernames, tokenized the text, and assigned a sentiment score based on these two classifiers. Methodological details are in the Appendix.

We combined the sentiment ratings with geotags to create a map of public mood, as shown in Fig. 1. Expanded views are shown in Fig. 2, centered on the island of Manhattan, and Fig. 3, centered on Brooklyn.

By comparing the sentiment map to a street map of New York, we determine the landmarks corresponding to areas with high and low sentiment (figure labels **A** through **F** in Table I). Generally, areas of very positive sentiment are public parks and gardens (**A1** – **A10**). This is consistent with knowledge from urban design [23], as well as increasing empirical evidence of the importance of public parks to residents’ quality of life [24]. Central Park (**A1**) is visible as a long rectangle of lighter cyan running down the center of Manhattan.

Many of the areas with very negative sentiment are related to transportation hubs such as entrances to tunnels and bridges (**B1** – **B10**), including the Midtown Tunnel (**B5**), the




FIG. 1: Public sentiment map of the New York City metropolitan area according to analysis of over 600,000 tweets, organized by census block. Cyan represents the most positive sentiment and magenta the most negative. White represents areas with insufficient tweet density for analysis. The region analyzed consists of the area between latitudes 40° to 41° N and longitudes 73° to 74° W. The boxes indicate subareas depicted in subsequent figures.

Brooklyn Bridge (**B7**), Port Authority Bus Terminal (**B3**) and Penn Station (**B4**). This is consistent with the finding that people get angry in traffic [25]. Difficulties with other forms of transportation such as buses, trains and flights also seem to be sources of negative sentiment.




FIG. 2: Public sentiment analysis of Manhattan and surrounding areas. Areas of strong sentiment are labeled by A – F as follows: A: Parks; B: Transportation Hubs; C: Cemeteries; D: Riker’s Island ; E: Maspeth Creek; F: Medical Centers. For a full list, see Table I.

Some cemeteries show strong sentiments: the Palisades and Weehawken cemeteries (**C1**) are negative and the Holy Cross cemetery (**C2**) is positive. It is unclear why the sentiment at the Holy Cross cemetery is positive. Medical Centers (**F1 – F2**) and Riker’s Island (**D1**) — New York City’s main jail complex — are also areas of strong negative sentiment.

One area with markedly negative sentiment is Maspeth Creek in Brooklyn (**E1**). While its geographic features are unremarkable, this area is “one of the most polluted urban water bodies in the country,” according to EPA regional administrator Judith Enck [26]. Once one



FIG. 3: Public sentiment analysis of Brooklyn and surrounding areas. Areas of strong sentiment are labeled by A – F as follows: A: Parks; B: Transportation Hubs; C: Cemeteries; D: Riker’s Island ; E: Maspeth Creek; F: Medical Centers. For a full list, see Table I.

of New York’s industrial hubs with more than 50 refineries along its coast [27], this area was the site of the largest oil spill in the country [28] and now contains a 15-foot-thick layer of petroleum-based pollutants that scientists have dubbed “black mayonnaise” [26]. The creek continues to receive 288 million gallons of untreated sewage a year [29] and was listed as a Superfund site on the National Priorities List in 2010 [27]. Although there is a clean up plan in effect and 12 million gallons of oil have already been extracted [26] and resold [28], current efforts to meet the standards for oxygen levels have involved aerating the water, and by doing so, spreading the bacteria into the air [30]. The Hudson River program director Phillip Musegaas claims “if and how it affects the local population is somewhat ... obscure” [28]; our findings of negative sentiment reflect the impact on the local population.

In Fig. 4 we plot the proportion of positive tweets as a function of distance from Times Square. The sentiment declines from a highly positive value, 0.74, to 0.6. The drop is not solely a property of Times Square itself, as the distance until the sentiment declines to a steady level is approximately 10km. The trend towards more positive tweets nearer to

TABLE I: Areas of Strong Sentiment

<p>Parks</p> <p>A1. Central Park</p> <p>A2. New York Botanical Garden</p> <p>A3. Pelham Bay Park</p> <p>A4. Marcus Garvey Park</p> <p>A5. Astoria Park</p> <p>A6. Gantry Plaza State Park</p> <p>A7. Red Hook Park</p> <p>A8. Prospect Park</p> <p>A9. Highland Park</p> <p>A10. Jacob Riis Park</p>
<p>Bus and Train Stations</p> <p>B1. Hunterspoint Avenue train station</p> <p>B2. Harlem 125th St. station</p> <p>B3. Port Authority Bus Terminal</p> <p>B4. Penn Station</p>
<p>Entrances to Bridges and Tunnels</p> <p>B5. Midtown Tunnel</p> <p>B6. Holland Tunnel</p> <p>B7. Brooklyn Bridge</p> <p>B8. Hugh L. Carey Tunnel</p>
<p>Airports</p> <p>B9. JFK Airport</p> <p>B10. LaGuardia Airport</p>
<p>Cemeteries</p> <p>C1. Palisades Cemetery / Weehawken Cemetery</p> <p>C2. Holy Cross Cemetery</p>
<p>Jail Complex</p> <p>D1. Riker’s Island</p>
<p>Sewage Facility</p> <p>E1. Maspeth Creek</p>
<p>Medical Centers</p> <p>F1. Lutheran Medical Center</p> <p>F2. Maimonides Medical Center</p>

Times Square may be due in part to tourism at famous locations and landmarks in the heart of Manhattan, as well as proximity to Central Park.

The temporal patterns of fluctuations in sentiment shown in Fig. 5 reflect the common notion that people are generally happier when they are not at work. On a weekly scale,




FIG. 4: **Spatial analysis** – Public sentiment as reflected by proportion of positive and negative tweets, decreases as a function of distance from Times Square (km).

sentiment is consistently more positive on the weekends than on the weekdays, though the dynamics over the span of one day are remarkably similar in both cases. On a daily scale, sentiment is low in the mornings at 9:00 am, presumably when many people are arriving at work, and steadily rises until it reaches a peak at approximately 4:00-5:00 pm, when many finish their workday. After work there is another rise of sentiment, with a peak at approximately midnight and a monotonic decrease through the night until 9:00 am.

In summary, we have analyzed the geographical and temporal sentiment in New York City during a period of two weeks in April 2011. By building classifiers based on emoticons and averaging sentiment across census blocks, we are able to map the general trends and identify areas of strong sentiment. We find high sentiment in parks and low sentiment in areas of transportation such as train stations, bus stations, entrances to bridges and tunnels, and airports. Other areas of strong sentiment include cemeteries, medical centers, a jail complex, and a polluted sewage facility. We also find a temporal pattern of fluctuation during the weekdays and a similar but higher pattern of fluctuation during the weekends.

Our method of public mood analysis has several strengths. By utilizing Twitter’s abundance of geotagged data, we can obtain spatial information that is both wide-ranging and fine-grained. The brevity of tweets allows for rapid processing and classification, while their frequency produces a time-sensitive picture of public sentiment. Because we do not use se-




FIG. 5: **Temporal analysis** – Public sentiment over the course of the day. **Left:** All days. **Right:** Weekdays (open diamonds) and weekend days (filled circles) plotted separately.

Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

mantic analysis or lemmatization in our classification, our method is language independent—a significant advantage given that fewer than 40% of tweets worldwide are composed in English [31] and that previous studies of twitter sentiment have been constrained by lexicons which were not adapted to twitter data [21].

I. APPENDIX

We collected tweets from the Twitter Streaming Application Programming Interface (API) [22], filtering by location to those geotagged within a bounding box of New York City. We retrieved a total of 603,954 of these tweets between the latitudes of 40° to 41° N and longitudes of 73° to 74° W, from April 13th–April 26th, 2012.

We constructed a classifier based upon supervised learning [32] with training labels determined by the presence and type of the emoticons in Table II.

TABLE II: Emoticons used in training of classifiers.

Positive Emoticons	Negative Emoticons
:)	:(
:-)	:-(<
:D	;(
=)	=(<
=D	: (<
;)	:/
<3	:-/
:p):
:-p	
(:	

For each tweet in this corpus we check if the tweet contains an emoticon. Each tweet receives two binary labels: true/false on containing a positive emoticon and true/false on containing a negative emoticon. We use these labeled tweets to build two classifiers, one to classify whether or not a tweet is positive, and another to classify whether or not a tweet is negative.

To implement the classifiers we use the Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) [32]. Each tweet is first standardized: emoticons are removed, any urls are replaced by “URL”, and any username is replaced by “USER”. We tokenize the tweet and treat each unique token within a tweet as a feature. We use two Bayes classifiers, training one on the true/false positive-labeled feature sets, and another on the true/false negative-labeled feature sets. Using both classifiers, we generate a sentiment measure by combining positive and negative values as in $\frac{p_1+(1-p_2)}{2}$. Human checkers were used to verify the reasonableness of a sample of tweets.

-
- [1] Twitter turns six, *Twitter Blog* (3/21/2012 <http://blog.twitter.com/2012/03/twitter-turns-six.html>).
- [2] I. Lunden, Analyst: Twitter passed 500M users in June 2012, 140M of them in US; Jakarta biggest tweeting city, *TechCrunch* (7/30/2012 <http://techcrunch.com/2012/07/30/analyst-twitter-passed-500m-users-in-june-2012-140m-of-them-in-us-jakarta-biggest-tweetin>).
- [3] N. A. Diakopoulos, D. A. Shamma, Characterizing debate performance via aggregated Twitter sentiment, *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (2010 <http://dmrussell.net/CHI2010/docs/p1hananuparpandEe-PengLimandJingJiang>).
- [4] A. Tumasjan, T. O. Sprenger, P. G. Sandner, I. M. Welpe, Predicting elections with Twitter: What 140 characters reveal about political sentiment, *Proceedings of the Fourth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media* (2010 <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM10/paper/viewFile/1441/1852>).
- [5] A. Bermingham, A. F. Smeaton, On using Twitter to monitor political sentiment and predict election results, *Sentiment Analysis where AI meets Psychology (SAAIP) Workshop at the International Joint Conference for Natural Language Processing* (2011 <http://doras.dcu.ie/16670/>).
- [6] A. Mittal, A. Goel, Stock prediction using Twitter sentiment analysis, *Stanford University, CS229* (2011 <http://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2011/GoelMittal-StockMarketPredictionUsingTwitterSentimentAnalysis.pdf>).
- [7] J. Bollen, H. Mao, X.-J. Zeng, Twitter mood predicts the stock market, *Journal of Computational Science* **2**, 1 (2011 <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1010.3003.pdf>).
- [8] J. Bollen, H. Mao, Twitter mood as a stock market predictor, *Computer* **44**, 91 (2011 <http://www.computer.org/csdl/mags/co/2011/10/mco2011100091-abs.html>).
- [9] S. Doan, B.-K. H. Vo, N. Collier, An analysis of Twitter messages in the 2011 Tohoku Earthquake, *eHealth* pp. 58–66 (2011 <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1109.1618.pdf>).
- [10] Facebook Data Team, Continuing our study of happiness (2010 <http://www.facebook.com/notes/facebook-data-team/continuing-our-study-of-happiness/375901788858>).
- [11] Facebook Data Team, Gross National Happiness (2011 http://apps.facebook.com/gnh_index).

- [12] A. Kramer, *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI 2010)*, p. 287290.
- [13] G. Mishne, M. de Rijke, Capturing global mood levels using blog posts, *Proceedings of AAAI-CAAW-06, The Spring Symposia on Computational Approaches to Analyzing Weblogs* (2006 <http://www.aaai.org/Papers/Symposia/Spring/2006/SS-06-03/SS06-03-028.pdf>).
- [14] G. Mishne, *Proceedings of ACM SIGIR 2005 Workshop on Stylistic Analysis of Text for Information Access*, vol. 19 (2005 <http://staff.science.uva.nl/~gilad/pubs/style2005-blogmoods.pdf>).
- [15] R. Yamashita, S. Yamaguchi, K. Takami, *The Third International Conference on Advances in Human-Oriented and Personalized Mechanisms, Technologies, and Services* (2010 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CENTRIC.2010.9>), pp. 67–72.
- [16] B. O’Connor, R. Balasubramanyan, B. R. Routledge, N.A. Smith, From Tweets to polls: Linking text sentiment to public opinion time series, *ICWSM* **11**, 122 (2010 <http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM10/paper/viewFile/1536/1842>).
- [17] D. Quercia, J. Ellis, L. Capra, J. Crowcroft, *Proceedings of the ACM 2012 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work* (ACM, 2012 <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2145347>), pp. 965–968.
- [18] J. Sharma, A. Vyas, Twitter sentiment analysis, *Indian Institute of Technology unpublished report* (2010 <http://home.iitk.ac.in/~jayscha/cs365/projects/report.pdf>).
- [19] A. Hannak, *et al.*, Tweetin’ in the rain: Exploring societal-scale effects of weather on mood, *AAAI Publications, Sixth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media* (2012).
- [20] M. Thelwall, K. Buckley, G. Paltoglou, Sentiment in Twitter events, *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* **62**, 406418 (2011 <http://www.uvm.edu/~pdodds/files/papers/others/2011/thelwall2011a.pdf>).
- [21] L. Mitchell, M. R. Frank, K. D. Harris, P. S. Dodds, C. M. Danforth, The geography of happiness: Connecting Twitter sentiment and expression, demographics, and objective characteristics of place, *PLoS ONE* **8**, e64417 (2013 <http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0064417>).
- [22] The Twitter REST API v1 (<https://dev.twitter.com/docs/api/1>).
- [23] A. Garvin, R. Brands, *Public parks: The key to livable communities* (New York: WW Norton

- & Company, 2011).
- [24] A. Chiesa, The role of urban parks for the sustainable city, *Landscape and Urban Planning* **68**, 129 (2004 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169204603001865>).
- [25] J. L. Deffenbacher, R. S. Lynch, E. R. Oetting, R. C. Swaim, The Driving Anger Expression Inventory: A measure of how people express their anger on the road, *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **40**, 717 (2002).
- [26] V. Dobnik, Newtown Creek in NYC, one of the most polluted areas in US, awaiting cleanup, *Huffington Post* (2013 http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/03/02/newtown-creek-in-nyc-polluted-cleanup_n_2796500.html).
- [27] E. P. Agency, Newtown Creek, year = <http://www.epa.gov/region2/superfund/npl/newtowncreek/>,.
- [28] SLYBOOTSVIDEO, Newtown Creek: NYC's Dirty Little Secret (2011 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DHgVnucJvLA&feature=youtube_gdata_player).
- [29] M. Waxman, Sacred Grove, *Newtown Pentacle* (2013 <http://newtownpentacle.com/category/newtown-creek/maspeth-creek/>).
- [30] M. E. Dueker, G. D. O'Mullan, A. R. Juhl, K. C. Weathers, M. Uriarte, Local environmental pollution strongly influences culturable bacterial aerosols at an urban aquatic superfund site, *Environmental Science & Technology* **46**, 10926 (2012).
- [31] S. Bennett, 39% of all tweets are in English, but Arabic now fastest-growing language on Twitter, *Media Bistro* (2011 http://www.mediabistro.com/alltwitter/twitter-language-share_b16109).
- [32] S. Bird, E. Loper, E. Klein, *Natural Language Processing with Python* (O'Reilly Media Inc, 2009).