

Multi-field G-inflation

Tsutomu Kobayashi,^{1,*} Norihiro Tanahashi,^{2,†} and Masahide Yamaguchi^{3,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 175-8501, Japan*

²*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),*

The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

³*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

We propose a multi-field extension of (generalized) G-inflation, based on covariant multi-galileons and their generalization preserving second-order field equations. We compute the quadratic action for cosmological perturbations. By comparing the formulas for cosmological perturbations, it is highlighted that multi-field DBI galileon inflation is not included in the multi-field version of generalized G-inflation. Our result indicates that the generalized covariant multi-galileon theory is *not* the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation is now the basis of modern cosmology, supported phenomenologically and observationally. The precise measurements of the cosmic microwave background temperature anisotropies made by WMAP [1, 2] and Planck [3–5] enable us to probe the nature of quantum fluctuations generated during inflation. Further progress in theory and observations will help our quest for the origins of inflation and large scale structure of the Universe.

To constrain existing inflation models and hunt for *the* inflaton(s) with cosmological observations, it is important to develop a theoretical framework to address the most general inflation models possible. Within a single-field class, a powerful framework “generalized G-inflation” was proposed [6], in which all the single-field inflation models are described by Horndeski’s most general scalar-tensor Lagrangian [7, 8] in a unified manner. The generalized G-inflation framework generates novel models as well, such as G-inflation [9, 10] and Higgs G-inflation [11]. Aspects of cosmological perturbations from generalized G-inflation have been studied extensively in Refs. [12–23]. Planck constraints on generic single-field inflation of Horndeski’s class are summarized in Ref. [24].

In this paper, we go beyond the single-field class and consider a multi-field extension of generalized G-inflation. A variety of multi-field inflation models have been proposed and their primordial perturbations have been calculated so far, including multi-DBI inflation [25–31] and multi-field inflation with nonminimal couplings. Our aim is to develop a general and compact framework to treat such multi-field models in a unified manner. To do so, we utilize the covariant multi-galileons and their generalization, which were recently proposed and conjectured to be the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations [32]. We compute the quadratic action for cosmological perturbations from the generalized multi-galileons, and in so doing we investigate whether the conjecture is true or not.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly review galileons and their generalization in both the single-field and multi-field cases. The background equations are derived in Sec. III, and the generic second-order actions for cosmological tensor and scalar perturbations are computed in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we discuss whether or not the generalized multi-galileon theory is the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory. The final section is devoted to the conclusion. In the Appendix, the covariant equations of motion for generalized multi-galileons are presented for completeness.

*Email: tsutomu@rikkyo.ac.jp

†Email: norihiro.tanahashi@ipmu.jp

‡Email: gucci@phys.titech.ac.jp

II. GENERALIZING SINGLE- AND MULTI-GALILEONS

A. Generalized galileon

Let us start with reviewing briefly how the single-field galileon theory is generalized to the most general scalar-tensor theory. See e.g. Ref. [33] for a more detailed construction of those galileon theories.

The galileon scalar field was originally considered in Minkowski spacetime [34], which can be covariantized to incorporate gravity [35]. In doing so, nonminimal couplings to the Ricci scalar R and the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ are inevitably introduced to maintain second-order field equations for the scalar field and the metric. The resultant Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & c_2 X - c_3 X \square \phi + \frac{c_4}{2} X^2 R + c_4 X [(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2] \\ & + c_5 X^2 G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{c_5}{3} X [(\square \phi)^3 - 3 \square \phi (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 + 2 (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 := \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi$, $(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3 := \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla^\lambda \phi \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\mu \phi$, and $X := -(\partial\phi)^2/2$ is the usual kinetic term of the scalar field ϕ . The covariant version of the galileon can further be generalized by promoting X and X^2 in the Lagrangian to arbitrary functions of ϕ and X , leading to [36]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & G_2(X, \phi) - G_3(X, \phi) \square \phi + G_4(X, \phi) R + \frac{\partial G_4}{\partial X} [(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2] \\ & + G_5(X, \phi) G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial G_5}{\partial X} [(\square \phi)^3 - 3 \square \phi (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 + 2 (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which still has second-order field equations. Interestingly, it turns out that the generalized galileon theory thus constructed in four dimensions¹ is in fact the most general one having second-order field equations both for ϕ and the metric [6], *i.e.*, the Horndeski theory developed forty years ago [7].²

The nontrivial point is that by construction it is not guaranteed that the generalized galileon theory includes the terms that would vanish in the Minkowski limit. For example, the following terms give rise to second-order field equations,³ but could be dropped:

$$\xi(\phi) (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2), \quad (3)$$

$$L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi, \quad (4)$$

where $L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ is the double dual Riemann tensor,

$$L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} := R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + (R^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha} + R^{\nu\alpha} g^{\mu\beta} - R^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - R^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} R (g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha}). \quad (5)$$

In fact, however, the nonminimal coupling to the Gauss-Bonnet term (3) can be reproduced from [6]

$$G_2 = 8\xi^{(4)} X^2 (3 - \ln X), \quad G_3 = 4\xi^{(3)} X (7 - 3 \ln X), \quad G_4 = 4\xi^{(2)} X (2 - \ln X), \quad G_5 = -4\xi^{(1)} \ln X, \quad \xi^{(n)} := \frac{\partial^n \xi}{\partial \phi^n}, \quad (6)$$

while the term containing the double dual Riemann tensor (4) simply from $G_5 = X$ [39]. A lesson drawn from the above fact is that even though the Lagrangian (2) does not depend on the Riemann tensor explicitly, one can carefully choose the functions $G_\alpha(X, \phi)$ to reproduce nontrivial terms containing the Riemann tensor, given that the generalized galileon is the most general single-scalar-tensor theory.⁴

The most general single-field inflation model (named *generalized G-inflation*) was proposed and studied in Ref. [6] based on the Lagrangian (2). The purpose of this paper is to explore the multi-field extension of generalized G-inflation and to discuss to what extent the constructed multi-field model is general. We will introduce the multi-galileon theory and its generalization in the next subsection.

¹ The generalized galileon theory can be formulated also in spacetime dimensions higher than four, but there is no proof that it is the most general scalar-tensor theory in higher dimensions.

² See also Ref. [37] for a recent consideration on the relation between the second-order nature of the Horndeski theory and the generic disformal transformation of the metric.

³ The term (4) has been studied e.g. in Ref. [38] in the context of massive gravity. Thanks to the transverse nature of the double dual Riemann tensor, $\nabla_\mu L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = 0$, the equations of motion derived from this term remain of second order.

⁴ In Horndeski's original form of the Lagrangian, the Riemann tensor appears explicitly.

B. Generalized multi-galileons

The multi-field extension of the galileon is addressed in Ref. [40, 41]. Following the same way as the single-field case, the covariant multi-galileons and their generalization have been found in Ref. [32]. For multiple scalar field ϕ^I ($I = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), the Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & G_2(X^{IJ}, \phi^K) - G_{3L}(X^{IJ}, \phi^K) \square \phi^L + G_4(X^{IJ}, \phi^K) R \\ & + G_{4,(IJ)} (\square \phi^I \square \phi^J - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^I \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi^J) + G_{5L}(X^{IJ}, \phi^K) G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^L \\ & - \frac{1}{6} G_{5I,\langle JK \rangle} \left[\square \phi^I \square \phi^J \square \phi^K - 3 \square \phi^{(I} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^J \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi^{K)} + 2 \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi^I \nabla^\nu \nabla^\lambda \phi^J \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\mu \phi^K \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$X^{IJ} := -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^I \partial^\mu \phi^J, \quad (8)$$

and we defined the symmetrized derivative for any functions of X^{IJ} by

$$G_{,(IJ)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial X^{IJ}} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial X^{JI}} \right), \quad (9)$$

while hereafter we will use the notation

$$G_{,I} := \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi^I}. \quad (10)$$

In order for the field equations to be of second order, one must require that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3IJK} &:= G_{3I,\langle JK \rangle}, & G_{4IJKL} &:= G_{4,(IJ),\langle KL \rangle}, \\ G_{5IJK} &:= G_{5I,\langle JK \rangle}, & G_{5IJKLM} &:= G_{5IJK,\langle LM \rangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

are symmetric in *all* of their indices I, J, \dots . Hereafter, we will also write $G_{4,(IJ)}$ as G_{4IJ} , which is trivially symmetric in I, J . The covariant field equations derived from the Lagrangian (7) are presented in the Appendix. The Lagrangian (7) is the starting point and the central focus of this paper. We call multi-field inflation based on this Lagrangian as *multi-field G-inflation*.

Padilla and Sivanesan conjectured that the Lagrangian (7) describes the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations [32]. In contrast to the single-field case, however, at this stage it is not clear whether this conjecture is true.⁵

III. BACKGROUND EQUATIONS

Let us start with studying the homogeneous and isotropic cosmology of the generalized multi-galileons. We consider the metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (12)$$

and homogeneous scalar fields $\phi^I = \phi^I(t)$ (and hence $X^{IJ} = \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^J / 2N^2$, where a dot stands for differentiation with respect to t).

Substituting the above ansatz to the action and varying with respect to $N(t)$, we obtain the Friedmann equation

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, H) = 0, \quad (13)$$

⁵ Recently, Sivanesan proved that in the fixed Minkowski spacetime and under the condition that the Lagrangian contains up to second derivatives of ϕ^I , the most general multiple scalar field theory with second-order equations of motion is given by the Lagrangian (7) with $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}$ [42].

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, H) = & 2X^{IJ}G_{2,(IJ)} - G_2 + 6H\dot{\phi}^I X^{JK}G_{3IJK} - 2X^{IJ}G_{3I,J} \\ & - 6H^2G_4 + 24H^2X^{IJ}(G_{4IJ} + X^{KL}G_{4IJKL}) - 12H\dot{\phi}^I X^{JK}G_{4IJ,K} - 6H\dot{\phi}^I G_{4,I} \\ & + 2H^3\dot{\phi}^I X^{JK}(5G_{5IJK} + 2X^{LM}G_{5IJKLM}) - 6H^2X^{IJ}(3G_{5I,J} + 2X^{KL}G_{5IJK,L}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and $H := \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble expansion rate. (We set $N(t) = 1$ after varying the action.) Now one finds that the basic structure of the (generalized) Friedmann equation (14) remains unchanged from the single-field counterpart: in addition to the usual H^2 term, the equation can have the terms proportional to H and H^3 , but no H^4 terms or higher powers.

Varying with respect to $a(t)$, we find

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, \ddot{\phi}^K, H, \dot{H}) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Here, \mathcal{P} is of the form

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, \ddot{\phi}^K, H, \dot{H}) = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, H) + \ddot{\phi}^K \mathcal{B}_K(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, H) + 2\dot{H}\mathcal{G}_T(\phi^I, \dot{\phi}^J, H), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} := & G_2 - 2X^{IJ}G_{3I,J} + 6H^2G_4 - 12H^2X^{IJ}G_{4IJ} + 4H\dot{\phi}^I G_{4,I} + 4X^{IJ}G_{4,I,J} - 8HX^{IJ}\dot{\phi}^K G_{4IJ,K} \\ & - 4H^3X^{IJ}\dot{\phi}^K G_{5IJK} - 4H^2X^{IJ}X^{KL}G_{5IJK,L} + 6H^2X^{IJ}G_{5I,J} + 4HX^{IJ}\dot{\phi}^K G_{5I,J,K}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_I := & -2X^{JK}G_{3IJK} - 4H\dot{\phi}^J G_{4IJ} - 8HX^{JK}\dot{\phi}^L G_{4IJKL} + 2G_{4,I} + 4X^{JK}G_{4IJ,K} \\ & - 6H^2X^{JK}G_{5IJK} - 4H^2X^{JK}X^{LM}G_{5IJKLM} + 4HX^{JK}\dot{\phi}^L G_{5IJK,L} + 4H\dot{\phi}^J G_{5(I,J)}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_T := 2 \left[G_4 - 2X^{IJ}G_{4IJ} - X^{IJ} \left(H\dot{\phi}^K G_{5IJK} - G_{5I,J} \right) \right]. \quad (19)$$

The basic structure is again the same as the single-field counterpart: second derivatives of the scale factor and the scalar fields appear linearly, and in particular, the term \mathcal{B}_I signals the presence of ‘‘braiding’’ between ϕ^I ’s kinetic term and gravity, which cannot in general be unbraided by a conformal transformation.

The scalar-field equation of motion can be written as

$$\frac{1}{a^3} \frac{d}{dt} (a^3 \mathcal{J}_I) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \phi^I}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_I := & \dot{\phi}^J G_{2,(IJ)} + 6HX^{JK}G_{3IJK} - 2\dot{\phi}^J G_{3(I,J)} \\ & - 2HG_{4,I} + 2\dot{\phi}^J G_{4,I,J} + 6H^2\dot{\phi}^J G_{4IJ} + 12H^2\dot{\phi}^J X^{KL}G_{4IJKL} - 8HX^{JK}G_{4JK,I} - 12HX^{JK}G_{4IJ,K} \\ & - 6H^2\dot{\phi}^J G_{5(I,J)} + 4HX^{JK}G_{5J,K,I} + 6H^3X^{JK}G_{5IJK} - 6H^2G_{5IJK,L}\dot{\phi}^J X^{KL} - 2H^2\dot{\phi}^J X^{KL}G_{5JKL,I} \\ & + 4H^3X^{JK}X^{KL}G_{5IJKLM}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

If \mathcal{P} does not depend on ϕ^I , then \mathcal{J}_I is conserved and the solution is given by $\mathcal{J}_I = C_I/a^3$, where C_I is a constant. Therefore, if \mathcal{P} does not depend on some of the fields, the same number of the conserved quantities are present.

Note the relation

$$\dot{\phi}^I \mathcal{J}_I = \mathcal{E} + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (22)$$

which leads to

$$\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P} = \dot{\phi}^I \mathcal{J}_I + \ddot{\phi}^I \mathcal{B}_I + 2\dot{H}\mathcal{G}_T = 0, \quad (23)$$

where the last equality follows from Eqs. (13) and (15).

IV. COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS

We now compute the quadratic actions for scalar and tensor perturbations. To do so, we employ the spatially flat gauge and write the perturbed metric as

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt) (dx^j + N^j dt), \quad (24)$$

with

$$N = 1 + \alpha, \quad N_i = \partial_i \beta, \quad \gamma_{ij} = a^2 \left(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ik} h_{kj} \right), \quad (25)$$

where α and β are scalar perturbations and h_{ij} is the traceless and transverse tensor perturbation. The scalar fields are also perturbed as

$$\phi^I = \bar{\phi}^I(t) + Q^I(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (26)$$

In what follows we will omit the bar on the homogeneous part since there is no worry about confusion. It should be noted that the tensor perturbations h_{ij} are gauge-invariant while the scalar perturbations α, β , and Q^I are gauge-dependent.

A. Tensor perturbations

The quadratic action for h_{ij} is found to be

$$S_{\text{T}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\mathcal{G}_{\text{T}} \dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{T}}}{a^2} \partial_k h_{ij} \partial_k h_{ij} \right], \quad (27)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{T}} := 2 \left[G_4 - X^{IJ} \left(\ddot{\phi}^K G_{5IJK} + G_{5I,J} \right) \right], \quad (28)$$

and \mathcal{G}_{T} was already defined in Eq. (19). The propagation speed of the tensor perturbation is given by $c_t^2 := \mathcal{F}_{\text{T}}/\mathcal{G}_{\text{T}}$.

B. Scalar perturbations

Expanding the action to second order in scalar perturbations, we obtain

$$S_{\text{S}}^{(2)} = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\Sigma \alpha^2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} \dot{Q}^I \alpha - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \phi^I} Q^I \alpha - \frac{\mathcal{B}_I}{a^2} \partial^2 Q^I \alpha + \left(\mathcal{J}_I Q^I + \mathcal{B}_I \dot{Q}^I - 2\Theta \alpha \right) \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{a^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{A}_{IJ} \dot{Q}^I \dot{Q}^J + \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial \phi^I \partial \phi^J} Q^I Q^J \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_J}{\partial \phi^I} Q^I \dot{Q}^J - \frac{1}{2a^2} \mathcal{C}_{IJ} \partial_i Q^I \partial^i Q^J \right], \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\phi}^I \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} + H \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial H} \right), \quad (30)$$

$$\Theta = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial H}, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_I}{\partial \dot{\phi}^J} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_J}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_I}{\partial \phi^J} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_J}{\partial \phi^I} \right), \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{IJ} = & G_{2,(IJ)} + \left(\ddot{\phi}^K + 3H\dot{\phi}^K \right) G_{3IJK} - 2G_{3(I,J)} + a^{-1}\partial_t \left[a\dot{\phi}^K G_{3IJK} \right] \\
& + \left(8H^2 + 6\dot{H} \right) G_{4IJ} + 6H \left(\dot{X}^{KL} + 2HX^{KL} \right) G_{4IJKL} - 4 \left(\ddot{\phi}^K + 2H\dot{\phi}^K \right) G_{4K(I,J)} \\
& + 2a^{-1}\partial_t \left[-aHG_{4IJ} + 4aHX^{KL}G_{4IJKL} - a\dot{\phi}^K G_{4IJ,K} \right] \\
& - 2 \left(3H^2 + 2\dot{H} \right) G_{5(I,J)} + \left(3H^2\ddot{\phi}^K + 5H^3\dot{\phi}^K + 6H\dot{H}\dot{\phi}^K \right) G_{5IJK} \\
& - 4H \left(\dot{X}^{KL} + HX^{KL} \right) G_{5KL(I,J)} + \left(3H^2\dot{X}^{KL}\dot{\phi}^M + 2H^3X^{KL}\dot{\phi}^M \right) G_{5IJKLM} \\
& + a^{-1}\partial_t \left[-aH^2\dot{\phi}^K G_{5IJK} - 4aHX^{KL}G_{5IJK,L} + 2aH^2X^{KL}\dot{\phi}^M G_{5IJKLM} \right]. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

It is worth emphasizing that all the coefficients other than \mathcal{C}_{IJ} can be computed directly from the quantities appearing in the background equations of motion. If, for example, the Lagrangian contains $(\delta_{IJ}X^{IJ})^2 - \delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL}X^{IK}X^{JL}$, its effect can only be probed via \mathcal{C}_{IJ} since $(\delta_{IJ}X^{IJ})^2 - \delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL}X^{IK}X^{JL} = 0$ in a homogeneous background.

It can be seen that the following identities hold:

$$2X^{IJ}\mathcal{C}_{IJ} = \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{P} + 2 \left[2H \left(\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{T}} + H\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}} \right) - \left(\dot{\Theta} + H\Theta \right) - H^2\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} \right], \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} = \dot{\phi}^J \mathcal{A}_{IJ} - 3H\mathcal{B}_I, \tag{35}$$

$$2\Theta = \dot{\phi}^I \mathcal{B}_I + 2H\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}. \tag{36}$$

Note that Eqs. (35) and (36) are combined to give

$$X^{IJ}\mathcal{A}_{IJ} = \Sigma + 6H\Theta - 3H^2\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}. \tag{37}$$

Varying the action with respect to α and β , we obtain the constraint equations,

$$2\Sigma\alpha - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} \dot{Q}^I - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \phi^I} Q^I - \mathcal{B}_I \frac{\partial^2 Q^I}{a^2} - 2\Theta \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{a^2} = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_I Q^I + \mathcal{B}_I \dot{Q}^I - 2\Theta\alpha = 0. \tag{39}$$

Substituting Eq. (39) to the action, we arrive at

$$S_{\mathcal{S}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\mathcal{K}_{IJ} \dot{Q}^I \dot{Q}^J - \frac{1}{a^2} \mathcal{D}_{IJ} \partial_i Q^I \partial^i Q^J - \mathcal{M}_{IJ} Q^I Q^J + 2\Omega_{IJ} Q^I \dot{Q}^J \right], \tag{40}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{IJ} = \mathcal{A}_{IJ} + \frac{\mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{B}_J}{2\Theta^2} (\Sigma + 6H\Theta) - \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{\Theta} \mathcal{B}_{(I} \mathcal{A}_{J)K}, \tag{41}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{IJ} = \mathcal{C}_{IJ} - \frac{1}{\Theta} \mathcal{J}_{(I} \mathcal{B}_{J)} + \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{a\mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{B}_J}{2\Theta} \right), \tag{42}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial \phi^I \partial \phi^J} - \frac{\Sigma}{2\Theta^2} \mathcal{J}_I \mathcal{J}_J + \frac{1}{\Theta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \phi^I} \mathcal{J}_J, \tag{43}$$

and

$$\Omega_{IJ} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_J}{\partial \phi^I} - \frac{1}{2\Theta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \phi^I} \mathcal{B}_J + \frac{\mathcal{J}_I \mathcal{B}_J}{2\Theta^2} (\Sigma + 3H\Theta) - \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{2\Theta} \mathcal{J}_I \mathcal{A}_{JK}. \tag{44}$$

In deriving the above expression we have used Eq. (35) to remove $\partial \mathcal{E} / \partial \dot{\phi}^I$. The equation of motion derived from the action (40) is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{IJ} \ddot{Q}^J - \frac{1}{a^2} \mathcal{D}_{IJ} \partial^2 Q^J + \left(\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{IJ} + 3H\mathcal{K}_{IJ} + \Omega_{JI} - \Omega_{IJ} \right) \dot{Q}^J + \left(\mathcal{M}_{IJ} + \dot{\Omega}_{JI} + 3H\Omega_{JI} \right) Q^J = 0. \tag{45}$$

To avoid ghost and gradient instabilities, we impose that all the eigenvalues of the matrices \mathcal{K}_{IJ} and \mathcal{D}_{IJ} are positive.

Before closing this section, let us give a short remark on the relation between the above result obtained in the spatially flat gauge and the single-field result ($\phi^1 = \phi$) derived previously in the unitary gauge [6]. In the unitary gauge, $\phi = \bar{\phi}(t)$, that is, $Q(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, and the perturbed metric is written as

$$ds^2 = -\tilde{N}^2 dt^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \left(dx^i + \tilde{N}^i dt \right) \left(dx^j + \tilde{N}^j dt \right), \quad (46)$$

with

$$\tilde{N} = 1 + \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{N}_i = \partial_i \tilde{\beta}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = a^2 e^{-2\mathcal{R}} \left(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ik} h_{kj} \right), \quad (47)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$, and \mathcal{R} are scalar perturbations, and h_{ij} is the traceless and transverse tensor perturbation. The tensor perturbation is invariant under the gauge transformation. By the gauge transformation from the spatially flat gauge ($\mathcal{R} = 0$) to the unitary gauge ($Q = 0$), the scalar perturbations transform as

$$\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{Q}{\dot{\phi}} \right), \quad (48)$$

$$\beta \rightarrow \tilde{\beta} = \beta + \frac{Q}{\dot{\phi}}, \quad (49)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} = 0 + H \frac{Q}{\dot{\phi}}. \quad (50)$$

Inserting these into Eq. (29) for the single-field case, we can easily find the second-order action for the scalar perturbations in the unitary gauge,

$$S_s^{(2)} = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[-3\mathcal{G}_T \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_T}{a^2} \partial_i \mathcal{R} \partial^i \mathcal{R} + \Sigma \tilde{\alpha}^2 - 2\Theta \tilde{\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\beta}}{a^2} - 2\mathcal{G}_T \dot{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\beta}}{a^2} - 6\Theta \tilde{\alpha} \dot{\mathcal{R}} + 2\mathcal{G}_T \tilde{\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{R}}{a^2} \right], \quad (51)$$

where \mathcal{F}_T , \mathcal{G}_T , Σ , and Θ are the single-field counterparts of Eqs. (19), (28), (30), and (31). This expression completely coincides with that obtained in Ref. [6] after changing the notation as $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow -\zeta$.

C. Two-field model

For simplicity, let us now focus on a two-field model of inflation, $I = 1, 2$. We introduce the field space metric $\mathbf{g}_{IJ}(\phi^K)$,⁶ by which we measure the size of instantaneous adiabatic and entropy modes. Those modes are defined, respectively, as the perturbations parallel and perpendicular to the background trajectory in field space, and thus it is convenient to employ the basis vectors e_σ^I and e_s^I defined by $e_\sigma^I := \dot{\phi}^I / \dot{\sigma} := \dot{\phi}^I / \sqrt{2\mathbf{g}_{JK} \dot{X}^{JK}}$, $\mathbf{g}_{IJ} e_\sigma^I e_s^J = 1$, and $\mathbf{g}_{IJ} e_\sigma^I e_s^J = 0$ [43]. In terms of $\{e_\sigma^I, e_s^I\}$, the perturbation Q^I can be decomposed into the adiabatic mode, Q_σ^I , and the entropy mode, Q_s^I , as

$$Q^I = Q_\sigma e_\sigma^I + Q_s e_s^I. \quad (52)$$

We then define the curvature and the normalized entropy perturbations, respectively, as

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} Q_\sigma, \quad \mathcal{S} := \frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} Q_s, \quad (53)$$

in terms of which the quadratic action reduces to

$$S = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\mathcal{G}_S \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_S}{a^2} (\partial \mathcal{R})^2 + \mathcal{L}_{SS} + \mathcal{L}_{RS} \right]. \quad (54)$$

⁶ It is worth stressing that the formulation so far does not require utilizing a field space metric; here we introduce it for the first time.

Here,

$$\mathcal{G}_s := \frac{X}{H^2} \mathcal{K}_{IJ} e_\sigma^I e_\sigma^J = \frac{\Sigma}{\Theta^2} \mathcal{G}_T^2 + 3\mathcal{G}_T, \quad (55)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_s := \frac{X}{H^2} \mathcal{D}_{IJ} e_\sigma^I e_\sigma^J = \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{a}{\Theta} \mathcal{G}_T^2 \right) - \mathcal{F}_T, \quad (56)$$

$\mathcal{L}_{SS} \supset \dot{\mathcal{S}}^2$, $(\partial\mathcal{S})^2$, \mathcal{S}^2 , and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}} \supset \dot{\mathcal{R}}\dot{\mathcal{S}}$, $\partial\mathcal{R}\partial\mathcal{S}$, \dots . Explicit expressions for \mathcal{L}_{SS} and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}}$ are not illuminating. Turning off the entropy mode, one can verify that the above action coincides with the single-field result [6]. Of course, in general, requiring $\mathcal{G}_s > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_s > 0$ is not sufficient to avoid instabilities.

On superhorizon scales where spatial gradients may be neglected, one can show using the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints (38), (39) that

$$\frac{\Theta\mathcal{G}_s}{\mathcal{G}_T} \dot{\mathcal{R}} \simeq I(Q_s, \dot{Q}_s), \quad (57)$$

where

$$I(Q_s, \dot{Q}_s) := \frac{\Sigma}{2\Theta} [\mathcal{B}_I \partial_t (Q_s e_s^I) + \mathcal{J}_I Q_s e_s^I] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^I} \partial_t (Q_s e_s^I) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \phi^I} Q_s e_s^I. \quad (58)$$

This implies the generic conclusion in multi-field models that the superhorizon curvature perturbation does not stay constant in the presence of the entropy perturbations, as first demonstrated in Ref. [44]. The coupled superhorizon evolution equations for \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{A}_2 \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{A}_3 \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} \simeq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \mathcal{A}_3^{-1} \mathcal{A}_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{A}_3^{-1} \mathcal{A}_2 \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} \simeq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$, and \mathcal{A}_3 are some 2×2 matrices. Substituting Eq. (57) to the second equation, one sees that the superhorizon evolution of the entropy perturbation is independent of \mathcal{R} . This fact holds quite generically. On the other hand, it is difficult to derive a generic conclusion about the evolution of the adiabatic and entropy modes on subhorizon scales, as the two modes are coupled in a model-dependent way.

V. IS MULTI-FIELD DBI GALILEON INFLATION INCLUDED IN MULTI-FIELD G-INFLATION?

A. Galileons from an embedded brane

In Ref. [45], the galileon field is reformulated as a position modulus of a probe brane embedded in a five-dimensional bulk (see also Ref. [46]). The derivation is similar to that used to obtain the DBI scalar field. Suppose that the Lagrangian for the probe brane contains an induced Einstein-Hilbert term: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{brane}} \supset \sqrt{-\gamma} R[\gamma]$. Substituting to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{brane}}$ the induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + f \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$, where f is assumed to be constant here for simplicity, one obtains the following term up to a total derivative:

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} R[\gamma] = \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - 2fX} R[g] - \frac{f}{\sqrt{1 - 2fX}} [(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2] \right\}. \quad (60)$$

From this it is clear that the *single* DBI galileon is a subclass of the generalized galileon (2) corresponding to $G_4 = \sqrt{1 - 2fX}$.

A multi-field generalization of the DBI galileon has been demonstrated in Ref. [47] using a higher codimension bulk, and cosmology of the multi-field DBI galileons has been addressed in Refs. [48–50]. Following Refs. [48, 49], let us consider the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[-\frac{1}{f} + \frac{M^2}{2} R[\gamma] \right], \quad (61)$$

where M is some mass scale, and substitute the induced metric

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + f \delta_{IJ} \partial_\mu \phi^I \partial_\nu \phi^J, \quad (62)$$

to the above action. We assume the flat field space metric, $\mathbf{g}_{IJ} = \delta_{IJ}$, and a constant warp factor, $f = \text{const}$. The first term in the action (61) leads to a specific case of $G_2(X^{IJ}, \phi^K)$, and its property has been studied extensively in the context of usual multi-field DBI inflation [28, 29]. Our main interest is thus the second term in Eq. (61). Note in passing that in Refs. [48, 49] the Einstein-Hilbert term for the cosmological metric, $\sqrt{-g}R[g]$, is included in the total action in addition to the induced Einstein-Hilbert term $\sqrt{-\gamma}R[\gamma]$. Since the term $\sqrt{-g}R[g]$ just adds a constant contribution to $G_4(X^{IJ}, \phi^K)$, its role is trivial formally. For this reason, we omit the standard Einstein-Hilbert term from the action (61).

A detailed computation of the explicit multi-field action from $\sqrt{-\gamma}R[\gamma]$ is presented in Appendix A of Ref. [49]. The resultant action is quite complicated, which hinders comparing the multi-field DBI galileons with the Lagrangian (7) to determine (if possible) the corresponding functions G_{3I}, G_4 , and G_{5I} . To simplify the analysis, we expand the action to second order in $f\partial_\mu\phi^I\partial_\nu\phi^J$. We then obtain, up to a total derivative,

$$\sqrt{-\gamma}R[\gamma] = \sqrt{-g} \left[R[g] + f\mathcal{L}^{(1)} + f^2\mathcal{L}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(f^3) \right], \quad (63)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = -XR[g] - \nabla^\mu\phi_I\nabla^\nu\phi^I R_{\mu\nu}[g], \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = & \frac{1}{2}(X^2 - 2X_{IJ}X^{IJ})R[g] + (X\delta_{IJ} - 2X_{IJ})\nabla^\mu\phi^I\nabla^\nu\phi^J R_{\mu\nu}[g] \\ & + \partial_\mu\phi^I\partial_\nu\phi^J (\nabla^\nu\nabla_\lambda\phi_I\nabla^\mu\nabla^\lambda\phi_J - \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi_I\Box\phi_J), \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

and we write $\nabla_\mu\phi_I = \delta_{IJ}\nabla_\mu\phi^J$, $X_{IJ} = \delta_{IK}\delta_{JL}X^{KL}$, and $X = \delta_{IJ}X^{IJ}$. A further manipulation shows that, up to a total derivative,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = -XR[g] - \delta_{IJ}(\Box\phi^I\Box\phi^J - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^J), \quad (66)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = & -\frac{1}{6}(X^2 + 2X_{IJ}X^{IJ})R - \frac{1}{3}(X\delta_{IJ} + 2X_{IJ})(\Box\phi^I\Box\phi^J - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^J) \\ & + \frac{1}{3}L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\partial_\mu\phi_I\partial_\nu\phi^I\partial_\alpha\phi_J\partial_\beta\phi^J, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where $L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ is the double dual Riemann tensor defined in Eq. (5). Thus, we see that $\sqrt{-\gamma}R[\gamma]$ can be written in terms of the generalized multi-galileons with

$$G_4 = 1 - f\delta_{IJ}X^{IJ} - \frac{f^2}{6}(\delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL} + \delta_{IK}\delta_{JL} + \delta_{IL}\delta_{JK})X^{IJ}X^{KL} + \mathcal{O}(f^3), \quad (68)$$

plus

$$\mathcal{L}_* := \frac{f^2}{3}\delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL}L^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\partial_\mu\phi^I\partial_\nu\phi^J\partial_\alpha\phi^K\partial_\beta\phi^L + \mathcal{O}(f^3). \quad (69)$$

It is obvious from Eq. (68) that G_{4IJKL} is symmetric in its indices I, J, K, L .

Notice that the extra term \mathcal{L}_* is *not* the multi-field version of (4). This term only manifests itself provided that spacetime is curved *and* there are multiple scalar fields. Apparently, \mathcal{L}_* is not of the form of the generalized multi-galileons. However, one must be careful to conclude that this term is not included in the Lagrangian of the generalized multi-galileons, because in the single-field case terms such as (3) and (4) can be recast in the form of the generalized galileon in a nontrivial manner. Using the concrete example of the cosmological setup shown above, we explore whether or not \mathcal{L}_* can be reproduced by choosing nontrivial G_4 and other functions in the next section.

B. Multi-field DBI galileons versus generalized multi-galileons

In a cosmological setting, \mathcal{L}_* has a distinctive feature. Since $L^{0000} = 0$ due to its antisymmetric nature, this term does not contribute to the background equations. We also have $L^{000i} = 0$, so that \mathcal{L}_* gives rise to only $\partial_i Q^I \partial^i Q^J$ in the quadratic action. Therefore, for our purpose it is sufficient to evaluate L^{0i0j} at zeroth order: $L^{0i0j} = -L^{0ij0} = -(H^2/a^2)\delta^{ij}$. Thus, up to quadratic order in cosmological perturbations, \mathcal{L}_* simply reads

$$\mathcal{L}_* = \frac{4}{3}f^2 H^2 (X_{IJ} - \delta_{IJ}X) \partial_i Q^I \partial^i Q^J + \mathcal{O}(f^3). \quad (70)$$

This then gives the additional contribution to the action (29): $\mathcal{C}_{IJ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{IJ} + \Delta\mathcal{C}_{IJ}$, where

$$\Delta\mathcal{C}_{IJ} = -\frac{4M^2}{3} f^2 H^2 (X_{IJ} - \delta_{IJ} X), \quad (71)$$

without modifying any other coefficients and the background equations at $\mathcal{O}(f^2)$. The relevant terms to be compared with Eq. (71) are those proportional to H^2 in the formula (33),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{IJ} \supset & 6H^2 G_{4IJ} + 20H^2 X^{KL} G_{4IJKL} \\ & - 6H^2 G_{5(I,J)} - 4H^2 X^{KL} G_{5KL(I,J)} - 6H^2 X^{KL} G_{5IJK,L} + 4H^2 X^{KL} X^{MN} G_{5IJKLM,N}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

We see that G_2 and G_3 are irrelevant to $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{IJ}$. To reproduce Eq. (71) by G_4 and G_{5I} , additional contributions in G_{4IJ} and $G_{5(I,J)}$ must be linear in X^{IJ} . Since G_{4IJKL} and $G_{5IJ(K,L)}$ must be symmetric in I, J, K, L , the only possible choice is written as $G_{4IJ} \supset g_4 (\delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL} + \delta_{IK}\delta_{JL} + \delta_{IL}\delta_{JK}) X^{KL}$ and $G_{5(I,J)} \supset g_5 (\delta_{IJ}\delta_{KL} + \delta_{IK}\delta_{JL} + \delta_{IL}\delta_{JK}) X^{KL}$, where g_4 and g_5 are some constants. However, these two terms can never be combined to give Eq. (71). We therefore conclude that the extra term \mathcal{L}_* cannot be described by any consistent choice of G_2, G_{3I}, G_4, G_{5I} , and hence the generalized multi-galileon theory is *not* the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations.

Note that, since $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{IJ} e_\sigma^I e_\sigma^J = 0$, this additional term has no impact on the instantaneous adiabatic mode. By a straightforward calculation, one can confirm that our formulas with Eq. (68) reproduce the result of Ref. [49] (up to $\mathcal{O}(f^2)$) except only for the coefficient of $\partial_i Q^s \partial^i Q^s$. Of course, this coefficient can also be correctly reproduced by taking $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{IJ}$ into account.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have formulated cosmological perturbation theory in inflation models with generalized multi-galileons. The generalized multi-galileon theory is constructed in such a way that the multi-galileons in the fixed flat spacetime are covariantized while maintaining second-order field equations. The resultant inflation model is more general than the multi-field models considered in the literature, including multi-DBI inflation and multi-field inflation with nonminimal couplings. Multi-field G-inflation allows us to treat those different models on equal footing, and therefore is useful for testing multi-field inflation models against cosmological observations. The generalized G-inflation approach is in contrast to, and complementary to, the effective field theory approach to inflation [51, 52], as the guiding principle of the former is the second-order field equations free of Ostrogradski's ghost, rather than symmetry.⁷ In this generalized setup we have demonstrated the fact that the superhorizon evolution of the curvature perturbation is affected by the entropy perturbations, while the entropy perturbations evolve independently of the curvature perturbation on superhorizon scales. It is, however, beyond the scope of the present paper to address generically the generation and evolution of those perturbations on subhorizon scales because the two modes are coupled in a model-dependent way. This point is left for future study.

We have also inspected the question of whether or not the generalized multi-galileon theory is the most general multi-scalar-tensor theory, *i.e.*, the multi-field version of the Horndeski theory. Unfortunately, the answer is *no*. To present a counterexample, we have considered the so-called multi-field DBI galileons derived from an induced gravity term of an embedded probe brane. Comparing cosmological perturbation equations, we have shown that the multi-DBI galileons give rise to an extra term that cannot be reproduced by any consistent choice of arbitrary functions in the generalized multi-galileon theory. Therefore, it would be interesting and desirable to explore the truly most general multi-scalar-tensor theory in a systematic way.

Acknowledgments

We thank Xian Gao for helpful comments. This work was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 24740161 (T.K.), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas No. 24111706 (M.Y.), and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 25287054 (M.Y.). The work of N.T. is supported in part by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 25-755.

⁷ The detailed relation between the generalized Galileon and the effective field theory approach is discussed, for example, in Ref. [53].

Appendix A: Covariant equations of motion

For completeness we derive the covariant equations of motion for the generalized multi-galleons. Variation of the action with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ^I leads to

$$\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{-g}[P_I - \nabla_\mu J_I^\mu]\delta\phi^I, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = & -G_{2,(IJ)}\nabla_\mu\phi^I\nabla_\nu\phi^J - g_{\mu\nu}G_2 + G_{3IJK}\square\phi^I\nabla_\mu\phi^I\nabla_\nu\phi^J + 2\nabla_{(\mu}G_{3I}\nabla_{\nu)}\phi^I - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\lambda G_{3I}\nabla_\lambda\phi^I \\ & + 2G_4G_{\mu\nu} - G_{4IJ}R\nabla_\mu\phi^I\nabla_\nu\phi^J + 2G_{4IJ}R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J + 4G_{4IJ}R_{\mu\lambda}\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\lambda\phi^J \\ & + g_{\mu\nu}G_{4IJ}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J) + 2g_{\mu\nu}(G_{4,I}\square\phi^I + \nabla_\lambda G_{4,I}\nabla^\lambda\phi^I + \nabla_\lambda G_{4IJ}\nabla^\lambda\phi^I\square\phi^J) \\ & - 2(G_{4,I}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^I + \nabla_\mu G_{4,I}\nabla_\nu\phi^I + G_{4IJ}\square\phi^I\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^J - G_{4IJ}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\lambda\phi^I\nabla_\nu\nabla^\lambda\phi^J) - 2\nabla_\lambda G_{4IJ}\nabla^\lambda\phi^I\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^J \\ & - 4\nabla_\mu G_{4IJ}\nabla_\nu\phi^I\square\phi^J + 4\nabla_\lambda G_{4IJ}\nabla_\mu\phi^I\nabla_\nu\nabla^\lambda\phi^J - G_{4IJKL}\nabla_\mu\phi^K\nabla_\nu\phi^L(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J) \\ & - 2g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\alpha G_{4IJ}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^I\nabla_\beta\phi^J + 2\nabla_\mu G_{4IJ}\nabla_\nu\nabla^\lambda\phi^I\nabla_\lambda\phi^J - 2g_{\mu\nu}G_{4IJ}R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J \\ & + 2G_{5IJK}\left[R^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\phi^I\nabla_\mu\phi^J\nabla_\nu\nabla_\beta\phi^K - \frac{1}{2}R^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\phi^I\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^K - R_{\alpha\mu}\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\phi^J\square\phi^K\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2}R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J\square\phi^K + R_{\alpha\mu\beta\lambda}\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\lambda\phi^J\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^K + R_{\alpha\mu\beta\lambda}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J\nabla_\nu\nabla^\lambda\phi^K\right] \\ & + 2G_{5(I,J)}(\square\phi^I\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^J - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\lambda\phi^I\nabla_\nu\nabla^\lambda\phi^J) + \nabla_\lambda G_{5I,J}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^I\nabla^\lambda\phi^J + \nabla_\mu G_{5I,J}\square\phi^I\nabla_\nu\phi^J \\ & - 2\nabla_\mu G_{5I,J}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\lambda\phi^I\nabla^\lambda\phi^J - 2\nabla^\alpha G_{5I}\nabla^\beta\phi^I R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + \nabla_\lambda G_{5I}\nabla^\lambda\phi^I G_{\mu\nu} - 2\nabla_\mu G_I\nabla^\lambda\phi^I R_{\nu\lambda} \\ & - 2\nabla_\lambda G_{5I}\nabla_\mu\phi^I G_{\nu\lambda} - G_{5IJK}\nabla_\mu\phi^I\nabla_\nu\phi^J\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^K G^{\alpha\beta} + G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J)\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^K \\ & - 2G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\nabla^\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi^J\nabla_\lambda\nabla_\nu\phi^K - \nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^I\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\mu\phi^J\nabla_\beta\nabla_\nu\phi^K) - \nabla_\alpha G_{5IJK}\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^K \\ & + \nabla_\alpha G_{5IJK}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I(\square\phi^J\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi^K - \nabla_\beta\nabla_\mu\phi^J\nabla^\beta\nabla_\nu\phi^K) + 2\nabla_\mu G_{5IJK}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J\nabla_\beta\phi^K \\ & - 2\nabla_\alpha G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\mu\phi^J - \nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^I\nabla_\beta\nabla_\mu\phi^J)\nabla_\nu\phi^K + \nabla_\mu G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J)\nabla_\nu\phi^K \\ & - \nabla_\mu G_{5IJK}\nabla_\nu\nabla_\lambda\phi^I\square\phi^J\nabla^\lambda\phi^K + \frac{1}{6}G_{5IJKLM}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J\square\phi^K - 3\square\phi^I\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^K \\ & + 2\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\beta\nabla^\lambda\phi^J\nabla_\lambda\nabla^\alpha\phi^K)\nabla_\mu\phi^L\nabla_\nu\phi^M + g_{\mu\nu}\left[2\nabla_\alpha G_{5I}\nabla_\beta\phi^I R^{\alpha\beta}\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{3}G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J\square\phi^K - 3\square\phi^I\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^K + 2\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\beta\nabla^\lambda\phi^J\nabla_\lambda\nabla^\alpha\phi^K)\right. \\ & \left. + G_{5IJK}(R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J\square\phi^K - R_{\alpha\lambda\beta\sigma}\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J\nabla^\lambda\nabla^\sigma\phi^K) - G_{5I,J}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J)\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\lambda G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\square\phi^J - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J)\nabla^\lambda\phi^K - \nabla_\alpha G_{5I,J}(\square\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\phi^J - \nabla_\beta\nabla^\alpha\phi^I\nabla^\beta\phi^J)\right. \\ & \left. + \nabla_\alpha G_{5IJK}(\square\phi^I\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\lambda\phi^J - \nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^I\nabla^\beta\nabla^\lambda\phi^J)\nabla_\lambda\phi^K\right], \quad (\text{A2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_I^\mu = & -G_{2,(IJ)}\nabla^\mu\phi^J + G_{3IJK}(\square\phi^J\nabla^\mu\phi^K + \nabla^\mu X^{JK}) + 2G_{3(I,J)}\nabla^\mu\phi^J + 2G_{4IJ}G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu\phi^J \\ & - 2G_{4IJKL}(\square\phi^J\nabla^\mu X^{KL} - \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^J\nabla_\nu X^{KL}) - G_{4IJKL}\nabla^\mu\phi^J(\square\phi^K\square\phi^L - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^K\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^L) \\ & - 2G_{4IJK}(\square\phi^J\nabla^\mu\phi^K - \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^J\nabla_\nu\phi^K) - 2G_{5(I,J)}G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu\phi^J - G_{5IJK}\left[G^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla^\mu\phi^K\right. \\ & \left. + G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu X^{JK} + R^{\mu\nu}\square\phi^J\nabla_\nu\phi^K - R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^\mu\nabla^\alpha\phi^J\nabla^\beta\phi^K + R^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\nu}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^J\nabla^\nu\phi^K\right] \\ & + G_{5IJK,L}\left[\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\mu\phi^L(\square\phi^J\square\phi^K - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^K) + \square\phi^J\nabla^\mu X^{KL} - \nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^J\nabla_\nu X^{KL}\right] \\ & + G_{5IJKLM}\left[\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\mu X^{JK}(\square\phi^L\square\phi^M - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^L\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^M) - \nabla_\nu X^{JK}(\square\phi^L\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi^M - \nabla^\lambda\nabla^\mu\phi^L\nabla_\lambda\nabla^\nu\phi^M)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{6}G_{5IJKLM}(\square\phi^J\square\phi^K\square\phi^L - 3\square\phi^J\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^K\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi^L + 2\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi^J\nabla^\beta\nabla^\lambda\phi^K\nabla_\lambda\nabla^\alpha\phi^L)\nabla^\mu\phi^M, \quad (\text{A3}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P_I = & G_{2,I} + \nabla_\mu G_{3,I} \nabla^\mu \phi^J + G_{4,I} R + G_{4JK,I} (\square \phi^J \square \phi^K - \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi^J \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \phi^K) - \nabla_\mu G_{5,J,I} \nabla_\nu \phi^J G^{\mu\nu} \\
& - \frac{1}{6} G_{5JKL,I} (\square \phi^J \square \phi^K \square \phi^L - 3 \square \phi^J \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi^K \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \phi^L + 2 \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi^J \nabla^\beta \nabla^\lambda \phi^K \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\alpha \phi^L). \tag{A4}
\end{aligned}$$

The covariant equations of motion are thus given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu J_I^\mu = P_I. \tag{A5}$$

Note that $J_I^0 \neq \mathcal{J}_I$ and $P_I \neq \partial \mathcal{P} / \partial \phi^I$, where \mathcal{J}_I and \mathcal{P} are the background quantities used in the main text; the equations of motion for the scalar fields can be expressed in different ways by moving some part of the left-hand side to the right-hand side.

-
- [1] C. L. Bennett *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:1212.5225 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO].
- [3] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO].
- [6] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, 511 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5723 [hep-th]].
- [7] G. W. Horndeski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **10** (1974) 363-384.
- [8] C. Charmousis, E. J. Copeland, A. Padilla and P. M. Saffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 051101 (2012) [arXiv:1106.2000 [hep-th]].
- [9] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 231302 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0603 [hep-th]].
- [10] C. Deffayet, O. Pujolas, I. Sawicki and A. Vikman, JCAP **1010**, 026 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0048 [hep-th]].
- [11] K. Kamada, T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 083515 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4238 [astro-ph.CO]]; K. Kamada, T. Kobayashi, T. Takahashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 023504 (2012) [arXiv:1203.4059 [hep-ph]].
- [12] S. Mizuno and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 103518 (2010) [arXiv:1009.0677 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. Naruko and M. Sasaki, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 072001 (2011) [arXiv:1101.3180 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [14] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 103524 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1740 [hep-th]].
- [15] X. Gao, JCAP **1110**, 021 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0292 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [16] X. Gao and D. A. Steer, JCAP **1112**, 019 (2011) [arXiv:1107.2642 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 083504 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3917 [gr-qc]].
- [18] S. Renaux-Petel, JCAP **1202**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1107.5020 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [19] X. Gao, T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 211301 (2011) [arXiv:1108.3513 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [20] X. Gao, T. Kobayashi, M. Shiraishi, M. Yamaguchi, J. Yokoyama and S. Yokoyama, PTEP **2013**, 053E03 (2013) [arXiv:1207.0588 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [21] Y. -i. Takamizu and T. Kobayashi, PTEP **2013**, no. 6, 063E03 (2013) [arXiv:1301.2370 [gr-qc]].
- [22] N. Frusciante, S. -Y. Zhou and T. P. Sotiriou, JCAP **1307**, 020 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6628 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [23] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, JCAP **1303**, 030 (2013) [arXiv:1301.5721 [hep-th]].
- [24] S. Tsujikawa, J. Ohashi, S. Kuroyanagi and A. De Felice, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 023529 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3044 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] D. A. Easson, R. Gregory, D. F. Mota, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, JCAP **0802**, 010 (2008) [arXiv:0709.2666 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. -x. Huang, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 023511 (2008) [arXiv:0709.3299 [hep-th]].
- [27] D. Langlois and S. Renaux-Petel, JCAP **0804**, 017 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1085 [hep-th]].
- [28] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 061301 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3139 [hep-th]].
- [29] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 063523 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0336 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel and D. A. Steer, JCAP **0904**, 021 (2009) [arXiv:0902.2941 [hep-th]].
- [31] S. Renaux-Petel, JCAP **0910**, 012 (2009) [arXiv:0907.2476 [hep-th]].
- [32] A. Padilla and V. Sivanesan, JHEP **1304**, 032 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4026 [gr-qc]].
- [33] C. Deffayet and D. ASteer, arXiv:1307.2450 [hep-th].
- [34] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2197 [hep-th]].
- [35] C. Deffayet, G. Esposito-Farese and A. Vikman, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084003 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1314 [hep-th]].
- [36] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064039 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3260 [hep-th]].
- [37] D. Bettoni and S. Liberati, arXiv:1306.6724 [gr-qc]; M. Zumalacarregui and J. Garcia-Bellido, arXiv:1308.4685 [gr-qc].
- [38] C. de Rham and L. Heisenberg, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 043503 (2011) [arXiv:1106.3312 [hep-th]].
- [39] T. Narikawa, T. Kobayashi, D. Yamauchi and R. Saito, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 124006 (2013) [arXiv:1302.2311 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [40] C. Deffayet, S. Deser and G. Esposito-Farese, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 061501 (2010) [arXiv:1007.5278 [gr-qc]].
- [41] A. Padilla, P. M. Saffin and S. -Y. Zhou, JHEP **1012**, 031 (2010) [arXiv:1007.5424 [hep-th]]; A. Padilla, P. M. Saffin and S. -Y. Zhou, JHEP **1101**, 099 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3312 [hep-th]].

- [42] V. Sivanesan, arXiv:1307.8081 [gr-qc].
- [43] C. Gordon, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 023506 (2001) [astro-ph/0009131].
- [44] A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, gr-qc/9502002.
- [45] C. de Rham and A. J. Tolley, JCAP **1005**, 015 (2010) [arXiv:1003.5917 [hep-th]].
- [46] G. Goon, K. Hinterbichler and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 231102 (2011) [arXiv:1103.6029 [hep-th]].
- [47] K. Hinterbichler, M. Trodden and D. Wesley, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124018 (2010) [arXiv:1008.1305 [hep-th]].
- [48] S. Renaux-Petel, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 182001 (2011) [Erratum-ibid. **28**, 249601 (2011)] [arXiv:1105.6366 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [49] S. Renaux-Petel, S. Mizuno and K. Koyama, JCAP **1111**, 042 (2011) [arXiv:1108.0305 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [50] K. Koyama, G. W. Pettinari, S. Mizuno and C. Fidler, arXiv:1303.2125 [astro-ph.CO].
- [51] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and L. Senatore, JHEP **0803**, 014 (2008) [arXiv:0709.0293 [hep-th]]; S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 123541 (2008) [arXiv:0804.4291 [hep-th]].
- [52] L. Senatore and M. Zaldarriaga, JHEP **1204**, 024 (2012) [arXiv:1009.2093 [hep-th]]; T. Noumi, M. Yamaguchi and D. Yokoyama, JHEP **1306**, 051 (2013) [arXiv:1211.1624 [hep-th]].
- [53] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, JCAP **1308**, 025 (2013) [arXiv:1304.4840 [hep-th]].