

Group classification and exact solutions of variable-coefficient generalized Burgers equations with linear damping

Oleksandr A. Pocheketa[†], Roman O. Popovych^{†‡} and Olena O. Vaneeva[†]

[†] *Institute of Mathematics of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
3 Tereshchenkivska Str., Kyiv-4, 01601 Ukraine*

[‡] *Wolfgang Pauli Institut, Universität Wien, Nordbergstraße 15, A-1090 Wien, Austria*

E-mail: *poheketa@yandex.ua, rop@imath.kiev.ua, vaneeva@imath.kiev.ua*

Admissible point transformations between Burgers equations with linear damping and time-dependent coefficients are described and used in order to exhaustively classify Lie symmetries of these equations. Optimal systems of subalgebras of the listed Lie invariance algebras are constructed, and corresponding Lie reductions to ODEs and to algebraic equations are carried out. Exact solutions to particular equations are constructed. Some generalized Burgers equations are linearized to the heat equation by composing equivalence transformations with the Hopf–Cole transformation.

1 Introduction

The remarkable equation $u_t + uu_x = u_{xx}$ was suggested by Burgers in 1948 [3] as a model for one-dimensional turbulence. The equation bears his name now, though it has appeared in works by Forsyth [7] and Bateman [1] earlier. Various generalizations of the Burgers equation are used as models in nonlinear acoustics. Some of these models are discussed in [23]. The equation that includes effects of cylindrical or spherical spreading and of non-equilibrium relaxation,

$$u_t + uu_x + \frac{j}{2t}u = \frac{\delta}{2}u_{xx}, \quad \delta = \text{const},$$

was proposed in [12, Chapter 4] and studied later in [5, 25]. Here the integer j is the number of dimensions the wave can spread ($j = 1$ for cylindrical spreading and $j = 2$ for spherical one).

The generalized Burgers equation describing the propagation of weakly nonlinear acoustic waves under the impact of geometrical spreading and thermoviscous diffusion was derived in [8]. In dimensionless variables it can be reduced to the form

$$u_t + uu_x = g(t)u_{xx}, \quad g \neq 0.$$

As Lie symmetries present a powerful tool for construction of exact solutions, such model equations were intensively studied from the Lie symmetry point of view. For example, Lie symmetries of the latter Burgers equations with variable diffusion coefficient were considered in [6] and [34]. The complete group classification of the class

$$u_t + a(u^m)_x = g(t)u_{xx}, \quad ag \neq 0, \quad m \neq 0, 1,$$

was recently presented in [33]. Self-similar solutions of the equations

$$u_t + u^n u_x + \frac{j}{2t}u = \frac{\delta}{2}u_{xx}, \quad n > 0, \quad j \geq 0, \quad \delta > 0,$$

were investigated in [22]. The paper [27] is devoted to Lie symmetries and Lie reductions of generalized Burgers equations with linear damping and variable viscosity,

$$u_t + u^n u_x + \alpha u = g(t) u_{xx}, \quad g \neq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

In this paper we investigate Lie symmetries and construct exact solutions of variable coefficient generalized Burgers equations with linear damping of the form

$$u_t + u^n u_x + h(t)u = g(t)u_{xx}, \quad ng \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $h(t)$ and $g(t)$ are arbitrary smooth functions with $g \neq 0$, n is an arbitrary nonzero constant. This class includes all aforementioned equations as special cases.

Note that the more general class of variable coefficient generalized Burgers equations with three time-dependent coefficients of the form

$$u_t + f(t)u^n u_x + h(t)u = g(t)u_{xx}, \quad nfg \neq 0, \quad (2)$$

reduces to the class (1) via the change of the variable t . That is why without loss of generality it is sufficient to study the class (1), since all results on symmetries, exact solutions, conservation laws, etc. for the class (2) can be derived from those obtained for (1).

Our aim is to present the complete classification of Lie symmetries of the class (1), to enhance existing results on Lie symmetries of its certain subclasses and to find, when possible, exact solutions to particular equations of the form (1). The linear case $n = 0$ is excluded from the consideration as well studied from the Lie symmetry point of view [13]. (Moreover, any linear equation of this form is reduced by a point transformation to the classical heat equation.) We also describe equations from the class (1) that are linearized to the heat equation using the composition of equivalence transformations with the Hopf–Cole transformation.

Recent studies of group classification problems in classes of differential equations (DEs) show that it is important to investigate the whole set of admissible transformations in a class of DEs, which naturally possesses the structure of groupoid and is hence called the *equivalence groupoid* of the class. The use of admissible transformations appears to be usually the optimal way to get the complete group classification of a class of DEs with reasonable efforts. Roughly speaking, an *admissible transformation* in a class of DEs is a transformation that maps an equation from this class to another equation from the same class. Therefore, an admissible transformation can be regarded as a triple consisting of an initial equation, a target equation and a point transformation that links them. A number of group classification problems were recently solved using such transformations. See, e.g., [2, 20, 21, 31, 32] and references therein for related notions, techniques and results.

That is why it is natural to start the study of Lie symmetries of the class (1) with the description of its equivalence groupoid, which is done in Section 2 of the present paper in terms of normalized subclasses and conditional equivalence groups of different kinds. The structure of the rest of the paper is as follows. Using parameterized families of transformations from the equivalence group of the entire class (1), we can gauge arbitrary elements of the class, which generates mappings of this class onto its subclasses. This is done for the gauge $h = 0$ in Section 3. Lie symmetries of the class (1) are classified in Section 4 via reducing the problem to the group classification of the subclass singled out by the constraint $h = 0$. In Section 5.1 we find optimal systems of one- and two-dimensional subalgebras for all inequivalent cases of Lie symmetry extensions in the class (1). Lie reductions with respect to subalgebras from the optimal systems are performed in Section 5.2, as well as some exact solutions to equations from the class (1) with $h = 0$ are constructed. Section 5.3 is devoted to the generation of exact solutions to such equations with $h \neq 0$. The linearization of equations from the class (1) to the heat equation is discussed in Section 6.

2 Admissible transformations

In order to study admissible transformations in the class (1), we suppose that an equation of the form (1) is connected with an equation

$$\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} + \tilde{u}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{h}(\tilde{t}) \tilde{u} = \tilde{g}(\tilde{t}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} \quad (3)$$

from the same class by a point transformation $\tilde{t} = T(t, x, u)$, $\tilde{x} = X(t, x, u)$, $\tilde{u} = U(t, x, u)$, where $|\partial(T, X, U)/\partial(t, x, u)| \neq 0$. It is known that admissible transformations of evolution equations obey the restrictions $T_x = T_u = 0$ [11]. Moreover, each admissible transformation between equations of the form $u_t = F(t, x, u)u_{xx} + G(t, x, u, u_x)$ necessarily satisfies the condition $X_u = 0$ [19]. Therefore, for the class (1) it suffices to consider transformations of the form

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U(t, x, u),$$

where $T_t X_x U_u \neq 0$. Expressing all values in (3) in terms of the untilded variables, we substitute $u_t = -u^n u_x - h(t)u + g(t)u_{xx}$ into the rewritten equation (3) in order to confine it to the manifold defined by (1) in the second-order jet space with the independent variables (t, x) and the dependent variable u . Splitting of the obtained identity with respect to the derivatives u_{xx} and u_x leads to the determining equations on the functions T , X and U ,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{uu} &= 0, \quad \tilde{g}T_t = gX_x^2, \\ u^n - \frac{T_t}{X_x}U^{\tilde{n}} + 2\tilde{g}\frac{T_t}{X_x^2}\frac{U_{xu}}{U_u} - \tilde{g}T_t\frac{X_{xx}}{X_x^3} + \frac{X_t}{X_x} &= 0, \\ X_t U_x - X_x U_t + \tilde{g}T_t\left(\frac{U_x}{X_x}\right)_x - T_t U^{\tilde{n}} U_x + h u X_x U_u - \tilde{h} T_t X_x U &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The first equation implies that U is linear function of u , $U = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x)$. From the second one we derive that X_x is a function only of t , so $X = X^1(t)x + X^0(t)$, and

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{(X^1)^2}{T_t} g.$$

Using the differential consequences of the third equation with respect to u we prove that the arbitrary element n is invariant under the action of admissible transformations, i.e., $\tilde{n} = n$ and if $n \neq 1$ then $U^0 = 0$. The further consideration depends on whether $n \neq 1$ or $n = 1$. Substituting the expressions for U , X and $\tilde{g}$ into the third and the fourth equations we split them with respect to u and x .

Case $n \neq 1$. The splitting implies $U_x^1 = 0$, $X_t^1 = 0$, $X_t^0 = 0$. Solving the remaining determining equations, $(U^1)^n T_t = X^1$ and $\tilde{h} X^1 (U^1)^{1-n} = h U^1 - U_t^1$, gives the transformation components for u and h . The transformations obtained are parameterized by the constant arbitrary element n and they can be applied to all equations from the class (1) including those with $n = 1$. Therefore, these transformations form the generalized equivalence group $\hat{G}^\sim$ of the class (1), which leads to the following statement.

Theorem 1. *The generalized equivalence group $\hat{G}^\sim$ of the class (1) consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_0, \quad \tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{T_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} u, \quad \tilde{h} = \frac{1}{T_t} h + \frac{T_{tt}}{n T_t^2}, \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{\delta_1^2}{T_t} g, \quad \tilde{n} = n,$$

where δ_1 and δ_0 are arbitrary constants and $T = T(t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function with $\delta_1 T_t > 0$. The equivalence groupoid of the subclass of the class (1) singled out by the condition $n \neq 1$ is generated by elements of $\hat{G}^\sim$, i.e., this subclass is normalized in the generalized sense.

Remark 1. If we assume that the power n varies in the class (1), then the equivalence group in Theorem 1 is generalized since n is involved in transformation of the dependent variable u . The notion of usual equivalence group supposes that transformation components for the independent and dependent variables do not depend on the arbitrary elements. From the other hand, n is invariant under the action of transformations from the equivalence group, so the class (1) can be considered as the union of its subclasses with fixed n . Under fixing n the group $\hat{G}^\sim$ generates the usual equivalence groups for these subclasses.

Remark 2. The signs of power bases should be carefully treated throughout the paper. Thus, solutions of an equation from the class (1) should be positive if the exponent n is not a rational number with odd denominator. Therefore, the condition $u > 0$ is natural when the entire class (1) with varying n is considered. Moreover, usually the positivity of u well agrees with the physical meaning of this function. In order to avoid the positivity restriction on u we could formally replace u^n in (1) by $|u|^n$. If a subclass of (1) is studied where the fixed exponent n is a rational number with odd numerator and odd denominator, we can omit the positivity restriction on u and then equivalence transformations with $\delta_1 T_t < 0$ become allowed, i.e., we can alternate the signs of pairs (t, u) and (x, u) independently. We neglect these transformations in the paper.

Theorem 1 allows us to easily derive the conditional equivalence group of (1) for the case $h = \text{const}$, which was considered in [27]. The transformation component for the arbitrary element h ,

$$\tilde{h} = \frac{1}{T_t}h + \frac{T_{tt}}{nT_t^2},$$

can be treated as an equation in the parameter-function T . We present the general solution of this equation in a form that illustrates its continuous dependence on the parameters h and $\tilde{h}$.

Corollary 1. *The generalized equivalence group $\hat{G}_{h=\text{const}}^\sim$ of the class (1) with $h = \text{const}$ consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_0, \quad \tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{ht - \tilde{h}T} u, \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{\delta_1^2}{\alpha} e^{nht - n\tilde{h}T} g, \quad \tilde{n} = n,$$

where the function $T = T(t)$ depends on h and $\tilde{h}$ and is defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} h\tilde{h} \neq 0: \quad & \frac{e^{-n\tilde{h}T} - 1}{-n\tilde{h}} = \alpha \frac{e^{-nht} - 1}{-nh} + \beta, \quad h \neq 0, \tilde{h} = 0: \quad T = \alpha \frac{e^{-nht} - 1}{-nh} + \beta, \\ h = 0, \tilde{h} \neq 0: \quad & \frac{e^{-n\tilde{h}T} - 1}{-n\tilde{h}} = \alpha t + \beta, \quad h = \tilde{h} = 0: \quad T = \alpha t + \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Here α , β , δ_0 and δ_1 are arbitrary constants with $\alpha\delta_1 > 0$.

Case $n = 1$. The splitting of the third and the fourth equations (4) leads to the system

$$\begin{aligned} U_x^1 = 0, \quad U^1 &= \frac{X^1}{T_t}, \quad U^0 = \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{T_t}, \quad \tilde{h} = \frac{1}{T_t} \left(h + \frac{T_{tt}}{T_t} - 2 \frac{X_t^1}{X^1} \right), \\ X_{tt}^1 - \frac{2(X_t^1)^2}{X^1} + hX_t^1 &= 0, \quad X_{tt}^0 - \frac{2X_t^0 X_t^1}{X^1} + hX_t^0 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The second-order equations on X^1 and X^0 imply $X^0 = \delta_1 X^1 + \delta_0$, where

$$X^1 = \left(\gamma \int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt + \delta \right)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

and δ_0 , δ_1 , δ and γ are arbitrary constants with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (0, 0)$. Here and in what follows an integral with respect to t should be interpreted as a fixed antiderivative.

Theorem 2. *The generalized extended equivalence group $\hat{G}_{n=1}^{\sim}$ of the class*

$$u_t + uu_x + h(t)u = g(t)u_{xx} \quad (7)$$

consists of the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} &= T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = (x + \delta_1)X^1 + \delta_0, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{X^1}{T_t} \left(u + (x + \delta_1) \frac{X_t^1}{X^1} \right), \\ \tilde{h} &= \frac{1}{T_t} \left(h + \frac{T_{tt}}{T_t} - 2 \frac{X_t^1}{X^1} \right), \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{(X^1)^2}{T_t} g. \end{aligned}$$

Here δ_0, δ_1 are arbitrary constants, $T = T(t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function with $T_t \neq 0$, and the function $X^1 = X^1(t)$ is defined by (6). Moreover, this class is normalized in the generalized extended sense.

In order to complete the study of equivalence transformations between equations with constant value of the arbitrary element h , we consider the subclass of the class (7) singled out by the constraint $h = \text{const}$. In this case the parameter $T = T(t)$ is not an arbitrary function but a solution of the last equation in (5) with X^1 defined by the formulae

$$X^1 = \begin{cases} \left(\gamma \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{-h} + \delta \right)^{-1} & \text{if } h \neq 0, \\ (\gamma t + \delta)^{-1} & \text{if } h = 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where γ, δ are arbitrary constants, $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (0, 0)$.

Integrating the last equation in (5) we get the following statement.

Corollary 2. *The generalized equivalence group $\hat{G}_{n=1, h=\text{const}}^{\sim}$ of the class (7) with $h = \text{const}$ consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = (x + \delta_1)X^1 + \delta_0, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{e^{ht-\tilde{h}T}}{\Delta X^1} \left(u + (x + \delta_1) \frac{X_t^1}{X^1} \right), \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{1}{\Delta} e^{ht-\tilde{h}T} g,$$

where the function X^1 is of form (8) and the function $T = T(t)$ is defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} h\tilde{h} \neq 0: \quad \frac{e^{-\tilde{h}T} - 1}{-\tilde{h}} &= \frac{\alpha \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{-h} + \beta}{\gamma \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{-h} + \delta}, & h \neq 0, \tilde{h} = 0: \quad T &= \frac{\alpha \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{-h} + \beta}{\gamma \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{-h} + \delta}, \\ h = 0, \tilde{h} \neq 0: \quad \frac{e^{-\tilde{h}T} - 1}{-\tilde{h}} &= \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, & h = \tilde{h} = 0: \quad T &= \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here α, β, γ and δ are arbitrary constants defined up to a nonzero multiplier, $\Delta = \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$.

Therefore, the admissible transformations in the class (1) are exhaustively described. The following statement is true.

Theorem 3. *The class (1), where the exponent n varies, is not normalized. It can be partitioned into the normalized subclasses with fixed values of n , which are not connected by point transformations. Each subclass of (1) with a specified value of n , $n \neq 1$, is normalized in the usual sense, whereas the subclass (7) corresponding to the value $n = 1$ is normalized in the generalized extended sense only. Every union of any subclasses of (1) with $n \neq 1$ is normalized in the generalized sense.*

3 Gauging the arbitrary elements

The transformations from $\hat{G}^\sim$ are parameterized by an arbitrary function $T = T(t)$. This allows of gauging one of the arbitrary elements, g or h , to a simple constant value. For example, we can set g to one or h to zero. The gauge $h = 0$ looks more convenient since in this case the class (1) reduces to another one, for which the group classification problem has been solved recently [33].¹ This gauge can be realized with the transformation

$$\mathcal{T}: \quad \hat{t} = \int e^{-n \int h(t) dt} dt, \quad \hat{x} = x, \quad \hat{u} = e^{\int h(t) dt} u \quad (9)$$

from the group $\hat{G}^\sim$, which links the class (1) with the class $\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} + \hat{u}^n \hat{u}_{\hat{x}} = \hat{g}(\hat{t}) \hat{u}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}$, where the new arbitrary element $\hat{g}$ depends on h and g as

$$\hat{g} = e^{n \int h(t) dt} g. \quad (10)$$

Of course the transformation $\mathcal{T}$ for this gauging is not unique. If $n \neq 1$, then the most general transformation is

$$\hat{t} = \alpha \int e^{-n \int h(t) dt} dt + \beta, \quad \hat{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_0, \quad \hat{u} = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\int h(t) dt} u,$$

where α, β, δ_1 and δ_0 are arbitrary constants with $\alpha \delta_1 \neq 0$. In the case $n = 1$ the most general transformation takes the form

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha \hat{t} + \beta}{\gamma \hat{t} + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x + \mu_1 \hat{t} + \mu_0}{\gamma \hat{t} + \delta}, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{(\gamma \hat{t} + \delta) e^{\int h(t) dt} u - \gamma x + \mu_1 \delta - \mu_0 \gamma}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma},$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu_0$, and μ_1 are arbitrary constants with $\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0$, and $\hat{t} = \int e^{-n \int h(t) dt} dt$.

If h is a nonzero constant, then the transformation $\mathcal{T}$ gauging h to zero has the form

$$\hat{t} = -\frac{1}{nh} e^{-nht}, \quad \hat{x} = x, \quad \hat{u} = e^{ht} u.$$

Theorems 1–3 exhaustively describe the equivalence groupoid of the class (1), which allows us to easily find the equivalence groupoid of any subclass of the class (1). Consider the two gauged classes, which respectively consist of the equations

$$u_t + u^n u_x = g(t) u_{xx}, \quad ng \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

$$u_t + uu_x = g(t) u_{xx}, \quad g \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

Corollary 3. *The subclass of the class (11) singled out by the constraint $n \neq 1$ is normalized in the generalized sense. More precisely, the equivalence groupoid of this class is generated by its generalized equivalence group, which coincides with the generalized equivalence group $\hat{G}_{h=0}^\sim$ of the entire class (11) and consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = \alpha t + \beta, \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_1 x + \delta_0, \quad \tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} u, \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{\delta_1^2}{\alpha} g(t), \quad \tilde{n} = n,$$

where α, β, δ_0 and δ_1 are arbitrary constants with $\alpha \delta_1 > 0$.

¹ Group classification problems for certain subclasses of (1) with $h = 0$ were considered in [6, 34, 28, 27].

Corollary 4. *The class (12), which is singled out from the class (11) by the constraint $n = 1$, is normalized in the usual sense, and its usual equivalence group $\hat{G}_{h=0, n=1}^{\sim}$ consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x + \mu_1 t + \mu_0}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{(\gamma t + \delta)u - \gamma x + \mu_1 \delta - \mu_0 \gamma}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma}, \quad \tilde{g} = \frac{1}{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma} g.$$

Here $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu_0$, and μ_1 are arbitrary constants with $\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0$.²

Remark 3. The alternative gauge $g = 1$ can be set using a parameterized family of point transformations that are projections of transformations from $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ to the space of variables,

$$\hat{t} = \int g(t) dt, \quad \hat{x} = x \operatorname{sgn} g(t), \quad \hat{u} = |g(t)|^{-\frac{1}{n}} u,$$

which maps the class (1) onto the class $\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} + \hat{u}^n \hat{u}_{\hat{x}} + \hat{h}(\hat{t}) \hat{u} = \hat{u}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}$, where the new arbitrary element $\hat{h}$ depends on h and g as $\hat{h} = \frac{h}{g} + \frac{g_t}{ng^2}$.

4 Lie symmetries

In the previous section we have proven that the group classification problem for the class (1) can be reduced to the similar problem for the subclass singled out by the condition $h(t) = 0$, i.e., for the class (11). In this specific class, the classical approach [14, 15] to finding Lie symmetries is to look for vector fields of the form $Q = \tau(t, x, u) \partial_t + \xi(t, x, u) \partial_x + \eta(t, x, u) \partial_u$ which generate one-parameter groups of point-symmetry transformations of an equation from the class (11). Any such vector field Q meets the infinitesimal invariance criterion, i.e., the action of the second prolongation $Q^{(2)}$ of Q on equation (11) results in the condition identically satisfied by all solutions of this equation, which reads

$$Q^{(2)} \{u_t + u^n u_x - g(t) u_{xx}\} \Big|_{u_t = -u^n u_x + g(t) u_{xx}} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Here $Q^{(2)} = Q + \eta^t \partial_{u_t} + \eta^x \partial_{u_x} + \eta^{xx} \partial_{u_{xx}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^t &= D_t(\eta) - u_t D_t(\tau) - u_x D_t(\xi), \\ \eta^x &= D_x(\eta) - u_t D_x(\tau) - u_x D_x(\xi), \\ \eta^{xx} &= D_x(\eta^x) - u_{tx} D_x(\tau) - u_{xx} D_x(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

$D_t = \partial_t + u_t \partial_u + u_{tt} \partial_{u_t} + u_{tx} \partial_{u_x} + \dots$ and $D_x = \partial_x + u_x \partial_u + u_{tx} \partial_{u_t} + u_{xx} \partial_{u_x} + \dots$ are the total derivatives with respect to t and x , respectively. The condition (13) in particular implies that

$$\tau = \tau(t), \quad \xi = \xi(t, x), \quad \eta = \eta^1(t, x)u + \eta^0(t, x),$$

where τ, ξ, η^1 and η^0 are arbitrary smooth functions of their variables. The remaining determining equations, which also follow from (13), are

$$\begin{aligned} 2g\xi_x &= (g\tau)_t, \\ \eta_x^1 u^{n+1} + \eta_x^0 u^n + (\eta_t^1 - g\eta_{xx}^1)u + \eta_t^0 - g\eta_{xx}^0 &= 0, \\ (\tau_t - \xi_x + n\eta^1)u^n + n\eta^0 u^{n-1} + g\xi_{xx} - 2g\eta_x^1 - \xi_t &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

² The group $\hat{G}_{h=0, n=1}^{\sim}$ was found before in [10, 17, 18] in the course of study of admissible transformations within the class of generalized Burgers equations $u_t + uu_x + g(t, x)u_{xx} = 0$ and its subclass with $g = g(t)$.

The result of splitting the second and the third equations with respect to u depends on value of n . Only the case $n = 1$ appears to differ from the other cases of splitting. The determining equations in this case become

$$2g\xi_x = (g\tau)_t, \quad \eta_x^1 = 0, \quad \eta_x^0 + \eta_t^1 = 0, \quad \tau_t - \xi_x + \eta^1 = 0, \quad \eta^0 - \xi_t = 0.$$

For other nonzero values of n the coefficient η^0 equals zero and the determining equations are

$$2g\xi_x = (g\tau)_t, \quad \eta_x^1 = 0, \quad \tau_t - \xi_x + n\eta^1 = 0, \quad \xi_t = 0.$$

The group classification of the class (1) up to general point equivalence, which is in fact generated in the class (1) by the equivalence groups from Theorems 1 and 2, is presented in Table 1. This classification can also be interpreted as the group classification of the class (11) up to general point equivalence, which is generated in the class (11) by the equivalence groups given in Corollaries 3 and 4.³ The intersection of the maximal Lie invariance algebras of equations from the class (1) (resp. from the class (11)) is the algebra $\langle \partial_x \rangle$, which is given as Case 1 of Tables 1–3.

Table 2 contains the complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the class (11), where the forms of the arbitrary element g are not simplified by equivalence transformations.⁴ There are some remarks on Cases 7 and 8 of this table.

Only three constants are really independent in Case 7. To show this, we consider two subcases depending on whether γ is zero or not. If $\gamma = 0$ then $g = \lambda' \exp(\alpha't)$, where $\lambda' = \lambda \exp(\beta/\delta)$, $\alpha' = \alpha/\delta$. If $\gamma \neq 0$ then $g = \lambda' \exp(\frac{\beta'}{t+\delta'})$, where $\lambda' = \lambda \exp(\alpha/\gamma)$, $\beta' = (\beta\gamma - \alpha\delta)/\gamma^2$, $\delta' = \delta/\gamma$.

Case 8 seems not to be maximally general but this impression is deceptive. Indeed, similarly to Cases 6 and 7 we can consider the value $g = \lambda \exp\left(2\rho \arctan \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}\right)$ with $\Delta = \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$. The corresponding Lie symmetry algebra is spanned by the basis operators

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad ((\alpha t + \beta)^2 + (\gamma t + \delta)^2) \partial_t + ((\alpha^2 + \gamma^2)t + \rho\Delta + \alpha\beta + \gamma\delta) x \partial_x \\ + ([-(\alpha^2 + \gamma^2)t + \rho\Delta - \alpha\beta - \gamma\delta] u + (\alpha^2 + \gamma^2)x) \partial_u. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

However, the formula for sum of arctangents,

$$\arctan y + \arctan z = \begin{cases} \arctan \frac{y+z}{1-yz} + \frac{\pi}{2} (\operatorname{sgn} y)(1 + \operatorname{sgn}(1-yz)), & \text{if } yz \neq 1, \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn} y, & \text{if } yz = 1, \end{cases}$$

implies that the above value of g locally coincides with $\check{g} = \check{\lambda} \exp(2\check{\rho} \arctan(\check{\alpha}t + \check{\beta}))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\lambda} = \lambda e^{-2\rho \arctan \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \pi\rho (\operatorname{sgn} \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}) \operatorname{sgn} (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}(\check{\alpha}t + \check{\beta}))}, \quad \check{\rho} = \rho, \quad \check{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^2 + \gamma^2}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}, \quad \check{\beta} = \frac{\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \text{ if } \alpha\gamma \neq 0, \\ \check{\lambda} = \lambda e^{\pi\rho \operatorname{sgn}(\check{\alpha}t + \check{\beta})}, \quad \check{\rho} = -\rho, \quad \check{\alpha} = -\frac{\gamma}{\beta}, \quad \check{\beta} = -\frac{\delta}{\beta} \text{ if } \alpha = 0, \quad \gamma \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the case $\alpha \neq 0, \gamma = 0$ is obvious. The above expressions for constant parameters also agree with the simplification of the form of the third operator in (14).

³The group classification problem for the class of equations of the form $u_t + a(u^m)_x = g(t)u_{xx}$ with $g \neq 0$, $m \neq 0, 1$ and $a = \text{const} \neq 0$, which is in fact another representation of the subclass of (11) with $n \neq -1$ under setting $a = 1/m$ and $m = n + 1$, was solved in [33]. Hence the classification lists for these classes can be derived from each other. As the case $n = -1$ is not singular from the Lie symmetry point of view, we do not exclude this value of n from the classification list for the class (11).

⁴The group classification of the class (12), which is a subclass of the class (11) singled out by the constraint $n = 1$, was carried out in several papers. In [6] each of Cases 6 and 7 of Table 2 was partitioned into two subcases, $g = e^{\alpha t}$, $g = e^{\frac{1}{\alpha t}}$ and $g = (\alpha t + \beta)^\rho$, $g = \left(\frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}\right)^\rho$, respectively. The similar results were obtained in [34]. The corresponding equivalence group was neither computed nor utilized in [6, 34].

Table 1. The group classification of the class (1) up to general point equivalence.

no.	g	Basis operators of $A^{\max}$
$n \neq 1$. This case is classified up to $\hat{G}^\sim$ -equivalence.		
1	$\forall$	∂_x
2	εt^ρ	$\partial_x, \quad 2nt\partial_t + n(\rho+1)x\partial_x + (\rho-1)u\partial_u$
3	εe^t	$\partial_x, \quad 2n\partial_t + nx\partial_x + u\partial_u$
4	1	$\partial_x, \quad \partial_t, \quad 2nt\partial_t + nx\partial_x - u\partial_u$
$n = 1$. This case is classified up to $\hat{G}_{n=1}^\sim$ -equivalence.		
5	$\forall$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u$
6	εt^ρ	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad 2t\partial_t + (\rho+1)x\partial_x + (\rho-1)u\partial_u$
7	εe^t	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad 2\partial_t + x\partial_x + u\partial_u$
8	$\varepsilon e^{2\rho \arctan t}$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad (t^2 + 1)\partial_t + (t + \rho)x\partial_x + (x + (\rho - t)u)\partial_u$
9	1	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad \partial_t, \quad 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x - u\partial_u, \quad t^2\partial_t + tx\partial_x + (x - tu)\partial_u$

Here $h(t) = 0 \bmod \hat{G}^\sim$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1 \bmod \hat{G}^\sim$, ρ is a nonzero constant. In Cases 6 and 8 we can set, $\bmod \hat{G}_{n=1}^\sim$, either $\rho > 0$ or $\rho < 0$.

Table 2. The complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the class (11).

no.	g	Basis operators of $A^{\max}$
$n \neq 1$		
1	$\forall$	∂_x
2	$\lambda(\alpha t + \beta)^\rho$	$\partial_x, \quad 2n(\alpha t + \beta)\partial_t + \alpha n(\rho+1)x\partial_x + \alpha(\rho-1)u\partial_u$
3	$\lambda e^{\alpha t}$	$\partial_x, \quad 2n\partial_t + \alpha nx\partial_x + \alpha u\partial_u$
4	λ	$\partial_t, \quad \partial_x, \quad 2nt\partial_t + nx\partial_x - u\partial_u$
$n = 1$		
5	$\forall$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u$
6	$\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta} \right)^\rho$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u,$ $(\alpha t + \beta)(\gamma t + \delta)\partial_t + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho-1)\Delta + \alpha(\gamma t + \delta) \right) x\partial_x$ $+ \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(\rho+1)\Delta - \alpha(\gamma t + \delta) \right] u + \alpha\gamma x \right) \partial_u$
7	$\lambda e^{\frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}}$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u,$ $(\gamma t + \delta)^2\partial_t + \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \gamma(\gamma t + \delta) \right) x\partial_x + \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \gamma(\gamma t + \delta) \right] u + \gamma^2 x \right) \partial_u$
8	$\lambda e^{2\rho \arctan(\alpha t + \beta)}$	$\partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u,$ $((\alpha t + \beta)^2 + 1)\partial_t + \alpha(\alpha t + \rho + \beta)x\partial_x + \alpha([-\alpha t + \rho - \beta]u + \alpha x)\partial_u$
9	λ	$\partial_t, \quad \partial_x, \quad t\partial_x + \partial_u, \quad 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x - u\partial_u, \quad t^2\partial_t + tx\partial_x + (x - tu)\partial_u$

Here λ and ρ are nonzero constants. $\alpha = \pm 1$ in Case 2 and $\alpha \neq 0$ in Cases 3 and 8. In Cases 6 and 7 α, β, γ , and δ are arbitrary constants defined up to a nonzero multiplier (with an additional possibility of scaling in Case 6) such that $\Delta = \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$. In Case 7 we can set $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \{(\alpha', 0, 0, 1), (0, \beta', 1, \delta')\}$.

To derive the complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the entire class (1), where arbitrary elements are not simplified by point transformations, we use the equivalence-based approach.⁵ For this purpose we apply the transformation $\mathcal{T}$ given by (9) to the vector fields presented in Table 2, and find the corresponding values of the arbitrary element g by means of (10). The results are collected in Table 3.

Table 3. The complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the class (1).

no.	g	Basis operators of $A^{\max}$
$n \neq 1$		
1	$\forall$	∂_x
2	$\lambda T_t(\alpha T + \beta)^\rho$	$\partial_x, 2n(\alpha T + \beta)T_t^{-1}\partial_t + \alpha n(\rho+1)x\partial_x + (\alpha(\rho-1) - 2nh(t)(\alpha T + \beta)T_t^{-1})u\partial_u$
3	$\lambda T_t e^{\alpha T}$	$\partial_x, 2nT_t^{-1}\partial_t + \alpha n x\partial_x + (\alpha - 2nh(t)T_t^{-1})u\partial_u$
4	λT_t	$\partial_x, T_t^{-1}\partial_t - h(t)T_t^{-1}u\partial_u, 2nTT_t^{-1}\partial_t + nx\partial_x - (2nh(t)TT_t^{-1} + 1)u\partial_u$
$n = 1$		
5	$\forall$	$\partial_x, T\partial_x + T_t\partial_u$
6	$\lambda T_t \left(\frac{\alpha T + \beta}{\gamma T + \delta} \right)^\rho$	$\partial_x, T\partial_x + T_t\partial_u,$ $(\alpha T + \beta)(\gamma T + \delta)T_t^{-1}\partial_t + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho-1)\Delta + \alpha(\gamma T + \delta)\right)x\partial_x$ $+ \left([-\alpha(\gamma T + \delta) - h(t)(\alpha T + \beta)(\gamma T + \delta)T_t^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho+1)\Delta]u + \alpha\gamma T_t x\right)\partial_u$
7	$\lambda T_t e^{\frac{\alpha T + \beta}{\gamma T + \delta}}$	$\partial_x, T\partial_x + T_t\partial_u,$ $(\gamma T + \delta)^2 T_t^{-1}\partial_t + \left(\gamma(\gamma T + \delta) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\right)x\partial_x$ $+ \left([-\gamma(\gamma T + \delta) - h(t)(\gamma T + \delta)^2 T_t^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta]u + \gamma^2 T_t x\right)\partial_u$
8	$\lambda T_t e^{2\rho \arctan(\alpha T + \beta)}$	$\partial_x, T\partial_x + T_t\partial_u,$ $((\alpha T + \beta)^2 + 1)T_t^{-1}\partial_t + \alpha(\alpha T + \rho + \beta)x\partial_x$ $+ ([\alpha(-\alpha T + \rho - \beta) - h(t)((\alpha T + \beta)^2 + 1)T_t^{-1}]u + \alpha^2 T_t x)\partial_u$
9	λT_t	$\partial_x, T\partial_x + T_t\partial_u, 2TT_t^{-1}\partial_t + x\partial_x - (2h(t)TT_t^{-1} + 1)u\partial_u,$ $T_t^{-1}\partial_t - h(t)T_t^{-1}u\partial_u, T^2T_t^{-1}\partial_t + Tx\partial_x + (T_t x - (h(t)T^2T_t^{-1} + T)u)\partial_u$

Here $T = T(t) = \int e^{-n \int h(t) dt} dt$, and the function $h(t)$ is arbitrary in all cases. All constants satisfy the same restrictions as in Table 2.

Remark 4. Using Table 3 it is easy to derive the group classification of the class (1) with $h = \text{const}$. For example, if $n \neq 1$, then the values of g , for which the corresponding equations from the class (1) with $h = \text{const}$ admit Lie symmetry extension, are $g = \lambda e^{-nht}(\alpha e^{-nht} + \beta)^\rho$, $g = \lambda e^{-nht}e^{\alpha e^{-nht}}$ and $g = \lambda e^{-nht}$, where λ , α and ρ are nonzero constants and the constant β is arbitrary; cf. Cases 2–4 of Table 3. The maximal Lie invariance algebras are two-dimensional for the first two values of the parameter-function g . For the third value of g the maximal Lie invariance algebra is three-dimensional. Namely, the equation

$$u_t + u^n u_x + hu = \lambda e^{-nht} u_{xx}, \quad n \neq 0, 1,$$

admits the maximal Lie invariance algebra $A^{\max} = \langle \partial_x, e^{nht}(\partial_t - hu\partial_u), 2\partial_t - nhx\partial_x - hu\partial_u \rangle$.⁶

⁵This approach was successfully applied in [29, 30] in order to construct the complete classification lists for classes of variable coefficient KdV and mKdV equations.

⁶The third basis element of $A^{\max}$ was missed in [27].

5 Similarity solutions

One of the most important applications of Lie symmetries is that they provide a powerful tool for finding closed-form solutions of PDEs. The Lie reduction method is well known [14]. If a $(1+1)$ -dimensional PDE admits a Lie symmetry generator of the form $Q = \tau\partial_t + \xi\partial_x + \eta\partial_u$, then the ansatz reducing this PDE to ODE is found as a solution of the invariant surface condition $Q[u] := \tau u_t + \xi u_x - \eta = 0$. In other words, the corresponding characteristic system $\frac{dt}{\tau} = \frac{dx}{\xi} = \frac{du}{\eta}$ should be solved. Reductions to algebraic equations can be performed using two-dimensional subalgebras.

Remark 5. Some equations from the class (1) admit discrete point symmetries. For example, if g and h are odd functions then the corresponding equation is invariant with respect to simultaneous alternating the signs of (t, x) . We do not use these transformations in the course of the classification of subalgebras for the corresponding maximal invariance algebras.

5.1 Inequivalent subalgebras

The Lie algebras spanned by the generators presented in Table 1 have the following structure. In Case 1 the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebra $A^{\max}$ is one-dimensional, A_1 . Here and in what follows we use the notations of [16] for Lie algebras of dimensions less than four. The algebras in Cases 2, 3 and 5 are two-dimensional. They are Abelian ($2A_1$) in Case 2 with $\rho = -1$ and in Case 5, and they are non-Abelian (A_2) in Case 2 with $\rho \neq -1$ and in Case 3. The algebras presented in Cases 4 and 6–8 are three-dimensional. In Case 4 and in Case 6 with $\rho \neq \pm 1$ the algebras are of the type $A_{3.5}^a$ with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a = \left(\frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1}\right)^{\text{sgn } \rho}$, respectively. If $\rho = \pm 1$, then $A^{\max}$ from Case 6 is $A_1 \oplus A_2$. In Case 7 $A^{\max}$ is of the type $A_{3.2}$, and in Case 8 it is of the type $A_{3.7}^a$ with $a = |\rho|$. The five-dimensional algebra presented in Case 9 is $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes 2A_1$.

The necessary and highly important step in finding group-invariant solutions is the construction of an optimal system of subalgebras of the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebra $A^{\max}$. This procedure is well described in [14]. In Table 4 we present optimal systems of one- and two-dimensional subalgebras for all cases of Table 1,⁷ except Case 9 that corresponds to the classical Burgers equation. (As this equation is linearized by the Hopf–Cole transformation [4, 9] to the linear heat equation, finding exact solutions of the Burgers equation by Lie reductions is needless.) These results completely agree with [16], where optimal systems of subalgebras are obtained for all three- and four-dimensional Lie algebras.

5.2 Lie reductions

Reductions with one-dimensional subalgebras. Ansatzes and reduced equations that are obtained for equations from the class (11) by means of one-dimensional subalgebras from Table 4 are collected in Table 5. We do not consider a reduced equation associated with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle$ because it gives only constant solutions. We also omit the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in all cases as it does not satisfy the so-called transversality condition [14] and cannot be used for the construction of an ansatz. Due to gauging $h = 0$ by equivalence transformations, the further simplification of the arbitrary element g by equivalence transformations for cases of Lie symmetry extensions and reductions using simplest representatives in families of equivalent algebras, both the ansatzes and the reduced equations generally have a similar simple structure.

⁷The optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras for maximal Lie invariance algebras of generalized Burgers equations from the subclass (12) were found earlier in [6] although there is an incorrectness therein. Namely, the maximal Lie invariance algebras of Case 6 with $\rho = 1$ and $\rho = -1$ was supposed to have the same optimal system of subalgebras, which is not true; cf. Table 4. More specifically, the subalgebra $\langle t\partial_t + (x + at)\partial_x + a\partial_u \rangle$ given in [6] for $\rho = \pm 1$ should be replaced by the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{2.2} = \langle t\partial_t + a\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle$ if $\rho = -1$.

Table 4. Optimal systems of one- and two-dimensional subalgebras of algebras given in Table 1.

no.	Subalgebras
$n \neq 1$	
1	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle$
$2_{\rho \neq -1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho = \langle t\partial_t + \frac{\rho+1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{\rho-1}{2n}u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.3}^\rho = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_t + \frac{\rho+1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{\rho-1}{2n}u\partial_u \rangle$
$2_{\rho=-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.2}^a = \langle nt\partial_t + a\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.3}^{-1} = \langle \partial_x, nt\partial_t - u\partial_u \rangle$
3	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{3.1} = \langle 2n\partial_t + nx\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{3.2} = \langle \partial_x, 2n\partial_t + nx\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle$
4	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{4.1}^\sigma = \langle \partial_t + \sigma\partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^0 = \langle 2nt\partial_t + nx\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle,$ $\mathfrak{g}_{4.2} = \langle \partial_t, \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.3}^0 = \langle \partial_x, 2nt\partial_t + nx\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{4.3} = \langle \partial_t, 2nt\partial_t + nx\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle$
$n = 1$	
5	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_5^a = \langle (t+a)\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle$
$6_{\rho \neq \pm 1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_5^\sigma = \langle (t+\sigma)\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho = \langle t\partial_t + \frac{\rho+1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{\rho-1}{2}u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle,$ $\mathfrak{g}_{2.3}^\rho = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_t + \frac{\rho+1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{\rho-1}{2}u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{6.1} = \langle t\partial_x + \partial_u, t\partial_t + \frac{\rho+1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{\rho-1}{2}u\partial_u \rangle$
$6_{\rho=-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_5^\sigma = \langle (t+\sigma)\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.2}^a = \langle t\partial_t + a\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle,$ $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2.3}^{-1} = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_t - u\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{6.4}^a = \langle t\partial_x + \partial_u, t\partial_t + a\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle$
7	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_5^0 = \langle t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{3.1} = \langle 2\partial_t + x\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle,$ $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{3.2} = \langle \partial_x, 2\partial_t + x\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle$
8	$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \langle \partial_x \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_8 = \langle (t^2+1)\partial_t + (t+\rho)x\partial_x + (x+(\rho-t)u)\partial_u \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle \partial_x, t\partial_x + \partial_u \rangle$

Here $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \neq 0$, $\sigma \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Case $6_{\rho=1}$ is omitted since it is $\hat{G}_{n=1}^\sim$ -equivalent to Case $6_{\rho=-1}$.

Table 5. Similarity reductions of equations from the class (1) to ODEs with respect to one-dimensional invariance algebras given in Table 4.

$g(t)$	$\mathfrak{g}$	ω	Ansatz, u	Reduced ODE
General value of n				
εt^ρ	$\mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho$	$xt^{-\frac{\rho+1}{2}}$	$t^{\frac{\rho-1}{2n}}\varphi(\omega)$	$\varepsilon\varphi'' + \left(\frac{\rho+1}{2}\omega - \varphi^n\right)\varphi' + \frac{1-\rho}{2n}\varphi = 0$
εt^{-1}	$\mathfrak{g}_{2.2}^a$	$x - \frac{a}{n}\ln t$	$t^{-\frac{1}{n}}\varphi(\omega)$	$\varepsilon\varphi'' + \left(\frac{a}{n} - \varphi^n\right)\varphi' + \frac{1}{n}\varphi = 0$
εe^t	$\mathfrak{g}_{3.1}$	$xe^{-\frac{1}{2}t}$	$e^{\frac{1}{2n}t}\varphi(\omega)$	$\varepsilon\varphi'' + \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega - \varphi^n\right)\varphi' - \frac{1}{2n}\varphi = 0$
1	$\mathfrak{g}_{4.1}^\sigma$	$x - \sigma t$	$\varphi(\omega)$	$\varphi'' + (\sigma - \varphi^n)\varphi' = 0$
Specific cases for $n = 1$				
$\forall$	$\mathfrak{g}_5^a$	t	$\varphi(\omega) + \frac{x}{t+a}$	$(\omega+a)\varphi' + \varphi = 0$
$\varepsilon e^{2\rho \arctan t}$	$\mathfrak{g}_8$	$\frac{xe^{-\rho \arctan t}}{\sqrt{t^2+1}}$	$\frac{e^{\rho \arctan t}}{\sqrt{t^2+1}}\varphi(\omega) + \frac{xt}{t^2+1}$	$\varepsilon\varphi'' + (\rho\omega - \varphi)\varphi' - \rho\varphi - \omega = 0$

Here $h(t) = 0 \bmod \hat{G}^\sim$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. For the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho$ we have $\rho \neq -1$ if $n \neq 1$, $\rho \neq \pm 1$ if $n = 1$, and $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\rho = 0$.

Note that the transformation $y = \varphi^{-n}$ maps the reduced equations from Table 5 corresponding to all subalgebras except $\mathfrak{g}_5^a$ and $\mathfrak{g}_8$ to the class of so-called Euler–Painlevé equations [24], whose general form is $yy'' + \alpha y'^2 + p(\omega)yy' + q(\omega)y^2 + \beta y' + \gamma = 0$. Here p and q are smooth functions of ω and α, β and γ are constants.

Only some reduced equations admit order lowering, not to mention the representation of solutions in closed form. We consider these cases in detail. This covers and even enhances all existing results on Lie reductions of equations from the class (1).⁸ In what follows c_0 and c_1 are arbitrary (integration) constants.

Subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho$. For $n \neq \pm 1$ and $\rho = \frac{1-n}{1+n}$ the corresponding reduced equation is simplified to $\varepsilon\varphi'' - \varphi^n\varphi' + \frac{1}{n+1}(\omega\varphi' + \varphi) = 0$ and once integrated, cf. [27, Eq. (85)],

$$\varepsilon(n+1)\varphi' - \varphi^{n+1} + \omega\varphi + c_0 = 0. \quad (15)$$

It is possible to solve (15) for the particular value of the integration constant $c_0 = 0$, which gives a one-parametric family of solutions to (11) with $g(t) = \varepsilon t^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}}$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$,

$$u(t, x) = \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{n}x^2 t^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}\right)}{\left(c_1 - 2\mu t^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \int \exp\left(-\mu x^2 t^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}\right) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \quad \mu = \frac{n}{2\varepsilon(n+1)}. \quad (16)$$

If $\mu > 0$, these solutions are represented in terms of the error function, $\operatorname{erf}(\theta) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\theta e^{-s^2} ds$, as

$$u(t, x) = \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{n}x^2 t^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}\right)}{\left(c_1 - \sqrt{\pi\mu} \operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{\mu} x t^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \quad (17)$$

Subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{2.2}^a$. In the case $n = 1$ the subalgebra of this family with $a = 0$ gives the reduced equation that is once integrated to $\varphi' + \ln(\varphi' - 1) = \frac{\varphi^2}{2\varepsilon} + c_0$, or $(\varphi' - 1)e^{\varphi'-1} = \tilde{c}_0 e^{\frac{\varphi^2}{2\varepsilon}}$. The last equation is solved with respect to φ' in terms of the Lambert function $W(y)$, which is implicitly defined by the equation $We^W = y$, as $\varphi' = 1 + W(\tilde{c}_0 e^{\frac{\varphi^2}{2\varepsilon}})$. Thus, the general solution of the reduced equation under consideration can be implicitly represented via a single quadrature involving the Lambert function. Another possibility is to express φ via φ' from the once integrated equation and then use the fact that the general solution of an equation $\varphi = F(\varphi')$ is represented in the parametric form as $\omega + c_1 = \int \zeta^{-1} F'(\zeta) d\zeta$, $\varphi = F(\zeta)$.

Subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{3.1}$. The corresponding reduced equation in the case $n = -1$ can be once integrated to $\varepsilon\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\omega\varphi - \ln \varphi + c_0 = 0$, but nothing more.⁹

Subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{4.1}^\sigma$. For convenience, we extend σ to an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}$ from the very beginning. The ansatz $u = \varphi(x - at)$ obtained for $g(t) = 1$ (for $n = 1$ it corresponds to the classical Burgers equation) leads to the reduced equation $\varphi'' + (a - \varphi^n)\varphi' = 0$, which can be once integrated,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' - \frac{1}{n+1}\varphi^{n+1} + a\varphi + c_0 &= 0, \quad \text{if } n \neq -1, \\ \varphi' + a\varphi - \ln \varphi + c_0 &= 0, \quad \text{if } n = -1. \end{aligned}$$

⁸ A reduced equation associated with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_8$ was obtained in [34] with misprints in signs. As a result, the expression constructed therein for the unknown function does not satisfy the corresponding generalized Burgers equation.

⁹ The reduced equations associated with the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{2.1}^\rho$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{3.1}$ were numerically solved in [24]. In fact, an equivalent reduction to the first one was considered therein for the value $g = (t+1)^\rho$, which is equivalent to $g = t^\rho$ up to shifts of t .

After one more integration, the general solutions of this equations are implicitly expressed via single quadratures. For some values of constant parameters, it is possible to find explicit formulas for solutions. An obvious case is $n = 1$, which corresponds to the classical Burgers equation and is thus not interesting. Another case with an explicit solution is $c_0 = 0$, which leads, depending on values of a , to two different families of solutions to (11) with $g(t) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \left(\frac{a(n+1)}{1 + c_1 e^{an(x-at)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ u(t, x) &= \left(\frac{n+1}{c_1 - nx} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{if } a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_5^a$. The corresponding reduced equation is $(\omega + a)\varphi' + \varphi = 0$. It gives a degenerate solution of (12) for an arbitrary value of $g(t)$,

$$u = \frac{x + c_0}{t + a}. \quad (19)$$

Reductions with two-dimensional subalgebras. Lie reductions with two-dimensional subalgebras, for which reduced equations are algebraic, do not lead to interesting exact solutions for equations from the class (1). Thus, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ does not satisfy the transversality condition and hence it is not associated with a Lie ansatz for u . The reductions with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_{2,3}^\rho$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ give only the trivial (identically zero) solution. A solution is invariant with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_{4,2}$ if and only if it is a constant. Using the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{4,3}$ we obtain the solution (18) with $c_1 = 0$. The only solution invariant with respect to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{6,1}$ has the form (19) with $a = c_0 = 0$. The reduction using the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{6,4}^a$ results in a solution only if $a = 0$, and this solution has the form (19) with $a = 0$.

5.3 Generation of exact solutions for equations from the initial class

Using solutions of (11) and (12) obtained in Section 5.2 and the transformation (9), one can derive solutions of equations from the initial class (1) with arbitrary values of $h(t)$ and specific values of $g(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u^n u_x + h(t)u &= \varepsilon T^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} e^{-n \int h(t) dt} u_{xx} : & u &= \frac{T^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa^2}{n} x^2 T^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}\right) e^{-\int h(t) dt}}{\left(c_1 - 2\kappa^2 T^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} \int e^{-\kappa^2 x^2 T^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \\ u_t + u^n u_x + h(t)u &= e^{-n \int h(t) dt} u_{xx} : & u &= \left(\frac{a(n+1)}{1 + c_1 e^{an(x-aT)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\int h(t) dt}, \\ & & u &= \left(\frac{n+1}{c_1 - nx} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\int h(t) dt}, \\ u_t + uu_x + h(t)u &= g(t)u_{xx} \quad \forall g : & u &= \frac{x + c_0}{\int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt + a} e^{-\int h(t) dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Here a and c are arbitrary constants, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\varepsilon(n+1)}}$, and the function $T = T(t)$ is defined as

$$T(t) = \int e^{-n \int h(t) dt} dt.$$

Before mapping by the transformation (9), the solution (16) can be additionally extended by transformations from the equivalence group $\hat{G}_{h=0}^\sim$. (Shifts of x belong to the intersection of the maximal Lie symmetry groups of equations from the class (1) (resp. from the class (11)) and just transform solutions, whereas shifts of t and scalings are rather equivalence transformations and change also the arbitrary element g).

6 Generalized Burgers equations linearizable to the heat equation

The remarkable property of the classical Burgers equation

$$\hat{u}_{\hat{t}} + \hat{u}\hat{u}_{\hat{x}} = \lambda\hat{u}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \quad (20)$$

is that it is linearizable to the heat equation

$$\hat{v}_{\hat{t}} = \lambda\hat{v}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \quad (21)$$

by the Hopf–Cole transformation $\hat{u} = -2\lambda\hat{v}_{\hat{x}}/\hat{v}$. More precisely, the Hopf–Cole transformation reduces equation (20) to the equation $(\hat{v}\partial_{\hat{x}} - \hat{v}_{\hat{x}})[\hat{v}_{\hat{t}} - \lambda\hat{v}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}] = 0$, which can be integrated once with respect to x , and the “integration constant”, which is an arbitrary function of t , can be neglected due to the freedom in choosing the function $\hat{v}$. Thus exact solutions for (20) can be easily obtained from exact solutions of the linear heat equation (21).

In [26] variable-coefficient generalized Burgers equations of the form

$$u_t + uu_x + hu = \lambda e^{-ht}u_{xx} \quad (22)$$

with $\lambda = 1/2$ were linearized in different ways to linear parabolic equations that are similar to the classical heat equation with respect to point transformations. In our opinion, the direct linearization to the heat equation is more efficient. It can be done using the composition of an equivalence point transformation of the class (1), which maps a generalized Burgers equation of the form (22) to the classical Burgers equation (20), with the Hopf–Cole transformation. Namely, the equation (22) is linearized to (21) by the transformation

$$\hat{t} = -\frac{1}{h}e^{-ht}, \quad \hat{x} = x, \quad -2\lambda\frac{\hat{v}_{\hat{x}}}{\hat{v}} = e^{ht}u.$$

More generally, equations from the class (1) that are linearized to (21) have the form

$$u_t + uu_x + h(t)u = \lambda e^{-\int h(t) dt}u_{xx}. \quad (23)$$

The corresponding transformation

$$\hat{t} = \int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt, \quad \hat{x} = x, \quad -2\lambda\frac{\hat{v}_{\hat{x}}}{\hat{v}} = e^{\int h(t) dt}u$$

gives the formula for generating solutions of the equation (23) from solutions of the heat equation

$$u = -2\lambda e^{-\int h(t) dt} \frac{\hat{v}_x(\hat{t}, x)}{\hat{v}(\hat{t}, x)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{t} = \int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt.$$

Consider, for example, the exact solution $\hat{v} = ce^{a\hat{x} + a^2\lambda\hat{t}}(\hat{x} + 2a\lambda\hat{t})$ of the heat equation (21). Here c and a are arbitrary nonzero constants. Using the last transformation, we get an exact solution of the equation (23),

$$u = -2\lambda \frac{ax + 2a^2\lambda \int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt + 1}{x + 2a\lambda \int e^{-\int h(t) dt} dt} e^{-\int h(t) dt}.$$

7 Conclusion

In this paper we have carried out exhaustive Lie symmetry analysis of equations from the class (1). The consideration is essentially based on the complete description of the equivalence groupoids of the entire class and certain its subclasses, which is presented in Theorems 1–3 and their corollaries and involves the notion of normalized class of differential equations. One more essential point is that for the subclass (7) singled out by the constraint $n = 1$ it is necessary to find its generalized extended equivalence group, in which transformation components for x and u nonlocally depend on the arbitrary element h . The equivalence generated by the usual equivalence group of the class (1) or the above subclass is too weak for an effective use. In particular, transformations from the generalized extended equivalence group of the subclass (7) play an important role in the linearization of equations from this subclass.

The group classification problem for the entire class (1) up to general point equivalence has been solved by the reduction to the same problem for the subclass (11) due to the fact that the arbitrary element $h(t)$ is gauged to zero using a parameterized family of equivalence transformations. The corresponding classification list is presented in Table 1, whereas Table 3 contains the complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the class (1) with no simplification of the forms of the arbitrary elements by equivalence transformations.

The results on Lie symmetries have been applied to finding exact solutions of equations from the class (1). All possible inequivalent Lie reductions have been carried out in a systematic way. Both general point equivalence of equations from the class (1) and equivalence of subalgebras with respect to internal automorphisms of the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebras have been involved in the consideration, which significantly reduced the number of different Lie reductions to be studied and also simplified the corresponding ansatzes and reduced equations. In spite of the consequent concise presentation, families of exact solutions constructed in the paper to equations from the class (1) include all closed-form solutions of these equations, which are in the literature.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Prof. C. Sophocleous for pointing out many relevant bibliographic references and to Prof. V.M. Boyko for useful discussions. The research of ROP was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project P25064.

References

- [1] H. BATEMAN. Some recent researches on the motion of fluids, *Monthly Weather Review* **43**:4:163–170 (1915).
- [2] A. BIHLO, E. DOS SANTOS CARDOSO-BIHLO and R. O. POPOVYCH. Complete group classification of a class of nonlinear wave equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**:123515 (2012); arXiv:1106.4801.
- [3] J. M. BURGERS. A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence, *Adv. Appl. Mech.* **1**:171–199 (1948).
- [4] J. D. COLE. On a quasilinear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **9**:225–236 (1951).
- [5] D. G. CRIGHTON and J. F. SCOTT. Asymptotic solutions of model equations in nonlinear acoustics, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **292**:101–134 (1979).
- [6] J. DOYLE and M. J. ENGLEFIELD. Similarity solutions of a generalized Burgers equation, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **44**:145–153 (1990).
- [7] A. R. FORSYTH, *Theory of differential equations. Part 4. Partial differential equations (Vol. 5–6)*, Reprinted: Dover, New York, 1959.
- [8] P. W. HAMMERTON and D. G. CRIGHTON. Approximate solution methods for nonlinear acoustic propagation over long ranges, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **426**:125–152 (1989).
- [9] E. HOPF. The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**:201–230 (1950).

- [10] J. G. KINGSTON and C. SOPHOCLEOUS. On point transformations of a generalised Burgers equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **155**:15–19 (1991).
- [11] J. G. KINGSTON and C. SOPHOCLEOUS. On form-preserving point transformations of partial differential equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**:1597–1619 (1998).
- [12] S. LEIBOVICH and A. R. SEEBASS (Eds.), *Nonlinear waves*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y.–London, 1974.
- [13] S. LIE. Über die Integration durch bestimmte Integrale von einer Klasse linear partieller Differentialgleichung, *Arch. for Math.* **6**:328–368 (1881). (Translation by N.H. Ibragimov: S. LIE, On integration of a class of linear partial differential equations by means of definite integrals, *CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2, 1994, 473–508).
- [14] P. OLVER, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, New-York, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [15] L. V. OVSIANNIKOV, *Group analysis of differential equations*, New York, Academic Press, 1982.
- [16] J. PATERA and P. WINTERNITZ. Subalgebras of real three- and four-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**:1449–1455 (1977).
- [17] O. A. POCHKEKETA and R. O. POPOVYCH. Reduction operators and exact solutions of generalized Burgers equations, *Phys. Lett. A* **376**:2847–2850 (2012); arXiv:1112.6394.
- [18] O. A. POCHKEKETA. Normalized classes of generalized Burgers equations, *Proc. 6th Workshop “Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems” (Protaras, Cyprus, 2012)*, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, 2013, pp. 170–178.
- [19] R. O. POPOVYCH and N. M. IVANOVA. New results on group classification of nonlinear diffusion-convection equations, *J. Phys. A* **37**:7547–7565 (2004); arXiv:math-ph/0306035.
- [20] R. O. POPOVYCH, M. KUNZINGER and H. ESHRAGHI. Admissible transformations and normalized classes of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109**:315–359 (2010); arXiv:math-ph/0611061.
- [21] R. O. POPOVYCH and O. O. VANEVA. More common errors in finding exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations: Part I, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **15**:3887–3899 (2010); arXiv:0911.1848.
- [22] CH. S. RAO, P. L. SACHDEV and M. RAMASWAMY. Analysis of the self-similar solutions of the nonplanar Burgers equation, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **51**:1447–1472 (2002).
- [23] P. L. SACHDEV. *Nonlinear Diffusive Waves*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [24] P. L. SACHDEV, K. R. C. NAIR and V. G. TIKEKAR. Generalized Burgers equations and Euler–Painlevé transcendents. III, *J. Math. Phys.* **29**:2397–2404 (1988).
- [25] P. L. SACHDEV, K. T. JOSEPH and K. R. C. NAIR. Exact N -wave solutions for the non-planar Burgers equation, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **445**:501–517 (1994).
- [26] B. M. VAGANAN and T. JEYALAKSHMI. Generalized Burgers equations transformable to the Burgers equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **127**:211–220 (2003).
- [27] B. M. VAGANAN and M. SENTHIL KUMARAN. Exact linearization and invariant solutions of the generalized Burgers equation with linear damping and variable viscosity, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **117**:95–108 (2006).
- [28] B. M. VAGANAN and M. SENTHIL KUMARAN. Exact linearization and invariant solutions of a generalized Burgers equation with variable viscosity, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* **14**:97–105 (2009).
- [29] O. O. VANEVA. Lie symmetries and exact solutions of variable coefficient mKdV equations: an equivalence based approach, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **17**:611–618 (2012); arXiv:1104.1981.
- [30] O. O. VANEVA. Group classification of variable coefficient KdV-like equations, V. Dobrev (ed.), *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Vol. 36. IX International Workshop “Lie Theory and Its Application in Physics”*, Springer, 2013, pp. 451–459; arXiv:1204.4875.
- [31] O. O. VANEVA, R. O. POPOVYCH and C. SOPHOCLEOUS. Enhanced group analysis and exact solutions of variable coefficient semilinear diffusion equations with a power source, *Acta Appl. Math.* **106**:1–46 (2009); arXiv:0708.3457.
- [32] O. O. VANEVA, R. O. POPOVYCH and C. SOPHOCLEOUS. Extended group analysis of variable coefficient reaction-diffusion equations with exponential nonlinearities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **396**:225–242 (2012); arXiv:1111.5198.
- [33] O. O. VANEVA, C. SOPHOCLEOUS and P. G. L. LEACH. Lie symmetries of generalized Burgers equations: application to boundary-value problems; arXiv:1303.3548.
- [34] C. WAFO SOH. Symmetry reductions and new exact invariant solutions of the generalized Burgers equation arising in nonlinear acoustics, *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.* **42**:1169–1191 (2004).