

Three-body calculation of the $\Delta\Delta$ dibaryon candidate $\mathcal{D}_{03}(2370)$

A. Gal^{*1} and H. Garcilazo^{†2}

¹*Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel*

²*Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Edificio 9, 07738 México D.F., Mexico*

(Dated: September 10, 2018)

The \mathcal{D}_{03} dibaryon is generated dynamically as a resonance pole in a $\pi N\Delta'$ three-body model, where Δ' is a stable Δ baryon. Using separable interactions dominated by the $\Delta(1232)$ isobar for πN and by the $\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ isobar for $N\Delta'$, with $\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ the $N\Delta$ dibaryon deduced in and constrained by 1D_2 pp scattering, the model reduces to an effective two-body problem for $\Delta\Delta'$ which is solved. The mass and width of \mathcal{D}_{03} are found close to those of the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ resonance peak observed by WASA@COSY in pion-production pn collisions at 2.37 GeV.

PACS numbers: 11.80.Jy, 13.75.Cs, 13.75.Gx, 21.45.-v

Introduction. Arguments in favor of $N\Delta$ and $\Delta\Delta$ dibaryon resonances and estimates of their mass values relative to the respective thresholds at 2.17 and 2.46 GeV date back to 1964 [1], as soon as SU(6) symmetry proved useful in classifying baryons and mesons below 2 GeV. Since nucleons and Δ 's belong to the **56** representation, product states of **56** \times **56** offer numerous non-strange dibaryon candidates. Focusing on $L = 0$ s -wave dibaryons \mathcal{D}_{IS} , with isospin I and spin S , and on the **10** and **27** SU(3) multiplets that contain the deuteron \mathcal{D}_{01} and NN virtual state \mathcal{D}_{10} , respectively, these symmetry-based arguments leave only two additional nonstrange dibaryon candidates: \mathcal{D}_{12} and \mathcal{D}_{03} with predicted masses 2.16 and 2.35 GeV, respectively [1].

Of these two s -wave dibaryon candidates, the \mathcal{D}_{12} shows up experimentally as an $NN({}^1D_2) \leftrightarrow \pi d({}^3P_2)$ coupled-channel resonance corresponding to a quasi-bound $N\Delta$ with mass 2.15 GeV, near the $N\Delta$ threshold, and width about 0.115 GeV [2, 3]. Early versions of quark models placed \mathcal{D}_{12} almost 200 MeV too high [4, 5], but subsequent chiral quark cluster model $N\Delta$ calculations place it at 2.17 GeV, right on threshold [6]. Elsewhere we show in detail that \mathcal{D}_{12} also appears within a dynamical πNN three-body model as a robust $N\Delta$ dibaryon resonance, with $M - i$ ($\Gamma/2$) $\approx 2.15 - i$ 0.06 GeV [7], compatible with its observed mass and width.

Experimental evidence for \mathcal{D}_{03} developed in the 1970s by observing a resonance-like behavior of the proton polarization in $\gamma d \rightarrow pn$ at $\sqrt{s} \approx 2.38$ GeV and correlating it with a strong $\Delta\Delta$ attraction using quark-model coupling constants in one-boson-exchange (OBE) model calculations [8]. Subsequent OBE calculations [9] and quark-model based calculations [4, 5, 10–13] of the (real) $\Delta\Delta$ interaction yielded binding energies ranging from a few to hundreds of MeV. The most recent evidence for \mathcal{D}_{03} , with mass 2.37 GeV, comes from exclusive and kinematically-complete high-statistics measurements of

$np \rightarrow d\pi^0\pi^0, d\pi^+\pi^-$ two-pion production reactions by WASA@COSY [14]. A most intriguing feature of this resonance, particularly when interpreted as a $\Delta\Delta$ dibaryon bound by 90 MeV, is the width of $\Gamma \approx 70$ MeV which is remarkably smaller than given by a naive estimate $\Gamma_\Delta \lesssim \Gamma \lesssim 2\Gamma_\Delta$, where $\Gamma_\Delta \approx 120$ MeV.

In this Letter we study the \mathcal{D}_{03} dibaryon using nucleons and pions as the relevant hadronic degrees of freedom, rather than constituent quarks used in most past works to generate $N\Delta$ and $\Delta\Delta$ interaction potentials for solving a two-body (mostly Schroedinger) wave equation. Given the prominent role of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance in πN dynamics, we extend our hadronic building blocks to include Δ 's as self-consistently as possible. Earlier attempts by Ueda to consider dibaryon resonances within πNN and $\pi\pi NN$ dynamics were limited to Heitler-London estimates [15], followed by πNN Faddeev calculations with unrealistic nonrelativistic kinematics [16]. In contrast, we solve three-body Faddeev equations with relativistic kinematics, πNN for \mathcal{D}_{12} and $\pi N\Delta$ -like for \mathcal{D}_{03} , the latter substituting for $\pi\pi NN$ four-body Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations. Each of these derived dibaryon resonances is the lowest, and perhaps the only s -wave dibaryon within its own class. Here we focus on \mathcal{D}_{03} in the first quantitative phenomenological few-body calculation to confront realistically the recently observed WASA@COSY 2.37 GeV resonance peak [14].

Three-body model of \mathcal{D}_{03} . In Table I we list two-body thresholds relevant for cluster decompositions of the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ $\pi\pi NN$ system. At 1 fm separation distance, the $\ell = 2$ centrifugal energy upward shifts of at least 200 MeV make the channels $(NN)_{d^-}(\pi\pi)_{\sigma(500)}$ and $N-(\pi\pi N)_{N^*(1440)}$ incompetent against the Δ - Δ channel with $\ell = 0$ threshold at 2460 MeV. For $\pi-$ $(\pi NN)_{\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)}$, the Δ -dominated πN interaction should reduce the $\ell = 1$ upward shift from 300 MeV (no interaction) to about 150 MeV, so that the effective threshold here becomes somewhat lower than the $\ell = 0$ $\Delta\Delta$ threshold. This singles out $\Delta(1232)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ as the most likely fermionic degrees of freedom of which pions may benefit in forming the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ $\pi\pi NN$

*Corresponding author: avragal@vms.huji.ac.il

†humberto@esfm.ipn.mx

TABLE I: Two-body threshold energies E_{th} (in MeV) and lowest partial waves ℓ for the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ $\pi\pi NN$ system.

	$\Delta-\Delta$	$d-\sigma(500)$	$N-N^*(1440)$	$\pi-\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$
E_{th}	2460	2380	2380	2290
ℓ	0	2	2	1

system, with \mathcal{D}_{03} likely to emerge as a quasibound state in $(\Delta\Delta)_{\text{upper}}-[\pi\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)]_{\text{lower}}$ coupled-channel calculations. This is consistent with the observation that $\mathcal{D}_{03} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{12} + \pi$ provides a dominant doorway decay mode into two-pion final states [17]. We also note that by assigning s -wave $N\Delta$ structure to \mathcal{D}_{12} , both angular-momentum and isospin recoupling coefficients for transforming $\pi\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ with p -wave pion in a $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ state into s -wave $\Delta\Delta$ are equal to 1, thus maximizing the coupling between these channels.

These arguments led us to reduce the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ $\pi\pi NN$ system to a system of three hadrons π , N , Δ' interacting via pairwise separable potentials. This approximates one of the πN resonating pairs in the four-body system by a *stable* Δ of mass 1232 MeV and zero width, here denoted Δ' . In this model the πN interaction is limited to the $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ p -wave channel (denoted P_{33}) dominated by the Δ resonance. The $N\Delta'$ interaction is limited to the $I(J^P) = 1(2^+)$ s -wave channel dominated by the \mathcal{D}_{12} dibaryon and, finally, the $\pi\Delta'$ interaction is neglected because the mass of the lightest $N^*(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ isobar candidate $N^*(1680)$ is too high for our purpose. This $\pi N\Delta'$ three-body model leads to a $\Delta\Delta'$ eigenvalue problem for the T matrix diagram shown in Fig. 1, where starting with $\Delta\Delta'$, the Δ resonance isobar decays into a πN pair followed by $N\Delta' \rightarrow N\Delta'$ scattering via the \mathcal{D}_{12} isobar (marked D in the figure) with a spectator pion, and finally by $\pi N \rightarrow \Delta$ fusion back into the $\Delta\Delta'$ channel.




FIG. 1: Graphical representation of the $\Delta\Delta'$ dibaryon T -matrix pole equation. D denotes the \mathcal{D}_{12} isobar.

We note that, whereas the Δ -isobar decay to the πN channel is fully accounted for, the \mathcal{D}_{12} isobar is allowed to decay only to $N\Delta'$. Additional width contribution will arise upon allowing the stable Δ' in the $\pi N\Delta'$ model to acquire normal $\Delta \rightarrow N\pi$ decay width. However, this added Δ' width is partly suppressed by quantum-statistics correlations between the decay $N\pi$ pair and the pre-existing $N\pi$ pair. Thus, for s -wave nucleons and p -wave pions, $J^P=3^+$ implies space-spin symmetry for nucleons as well as for pions. With total $I=0$, Fermi-

TABLE II: $\pi N P_{33}$ form factor $g_3(p)$ parameters [Eqs. (1), (4), (5)], and the zero r_0 of the Fourier transform $\tilde{g}_3(r)$ [18].

k	λ_3 (fm ⁴)	β (fm ⁻¹)	γ (fm ⁻¹)	C (fm ²)	r_0 (fm)
1	-0.07587	1.04	2.367	0.23	1.36
2	-0.04177	1.46	4.102	0.11	0.91

Dirac (Bose-Einstein) statistics for nucleons (pions) allows for isospins $I_{NN}=I_{\pi\pi}=0$, forbidding $I_{NN}=I_{\pi\pi}=1$, with weights 2/3 and 1/3, respectively, obtained by recoupling the two isospins $I_{N\pi}=3/2$ in the $I=0$ $\Delta\Delta$ state.

We now specify the pairwise interactions in the $\pi N\Delta'$ three-body model. The interaction between particles 1,2 is denoted V_3 , etc. Since the πN interaction V_3 is dominated by the $\Delta(1232)$ isobar resonance, it is limited here to a P_{33} rank-one separable-potential of the form

$$V_3(p_3, p'_3) = \lambda_3 g_3(p_3) g_3(p'_3), \quad (1)$$

so that the corresponding t matrix is given by

$$t_3(\omega_3; p_3, p'_3) = g_3(p_3) \tau_3(\omega_3) g_3(p'_3), \quad (2)$$

where $\tau_3(\omega_3)$ is the propagator of the $\Delta(1232)$ isobar in the two-body cm system, with ω_3 the two-body πN cm energy. In the three-body cm system, with W the total three-body cm energy and q_3 the momentum of the spectator Δ' with respect to the two-body πN isobar, it assumes the form

$$\mathcal{T}_3^{-1}(W; q_3) = \lambda_3^{-1} - \int_0^\infty \frac{[g_3(p_3)]^2 p_3^2 dp_3}{W - E_{\Delta'}(q_3) - \mathcal{E}_3(p_3, q_3) + i\epsilon}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_3(p_3, q_3) = [(E_\pi(p_3) + E_N(p_3))^2 + q_3^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, with $E_h(p) = (m_h^2 + p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for hadron h . For $q_3 = 0$, when the three-body cm system degenerates to the two-body cm system, \mathcal{T}_3 and τ_3 are related by a simple mass shift, $\mathcal{T}_3(W; q_3 = 0) = \tau_3(W - m_{\Delta'})$, as expected.

For the πN p -wave form factor g_3 we considered two forms, labeled here $k=1,2$:

$$g_3(p_3) = p_3 \exp(-p_3^2/\beta^2) + C p_3^3 \exp(-p_3^2/\gamma^2), \quad (k=1) \quad (4)$$

falling off exponentially [18], and

$$g_3(p_3) = \frac{p_3}{(1 + p_3^2/\beta^2)^2} + C \frac{p_3^3}{(1 + p_3^2/\gamma^2)^3}, \quad (k=2) \quad (5)$$

falling-off as inverse power of p_3 , here as p_3^{-3} . Both form factors, using the parameters listed in Table II, reproduce perfectly the $\pi N P_{33}$ phase shifts from Ref. [19]. The table also lists the distance r_0 at which the Fourier transform $\tilde{g}_3(r)$ flips sign, which roughly represents the spatial extension of the P_{33} p -wave form factor [18]. Together with a rank-two separable NN potential reproducing the 3S_1 phase shift, these form factors lead in a relativistic πNN Faddeev calculation to \mathcal{D}_{12} dibaryon pole at $E = M - i(\Gamma/2) = 2151(2) - i60(3)$ MeV [7], in good agreement with accepted values [2].




FIG. 2: The 1D_2 NN phase shift δ . Dashed: Arndt *et al.* [20]. Solid: Eq. (9) best fit for $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 1$.

The $N\Delta'$ interaction V_1 , dominated by the $\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ isobar resonance, is limited here to the $I(J^P) = 1(2^+)$ channel. \mathcal{D}_{12} shows up as an inelastic resonance in the 1D_2 NN partial-wave above the πNN threshold [2, 20]. To generate the necessary inelastic πNN cut we introduced a third s -wave subchannel NN' where N' is a fictitious nonstrange stable baryon with $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ and $m_{N'} = m_N + m_\pi$. We have then fitted the NN 1D_2 partial-wave amplitude of Arndt *et al.* [20] using a coupled-channel separable potential

$$V_1^{mn}(p_1, p'_1) = \lambda_1 g_1^m(p_1) g_1^n(p'_1) \quad (m, n = 1-3), \quad (6)$$

where the three subchannels labeled m, n are $1=NN$ (d -wave), $2=NN'$ (s -wave), and $3=N\Delta'$ (s -wave). The t -matrix of the system is obtained by solving a Lippmann-Schwinger equation with relativistic kinematics which in the case of the separable potential (6) has the solution

$$t_1^{mn}(\omega_1; p_1, p'_1) = g_1^m(p_1) \tau_1(\omega_1) g_1^n(p'_1), \quad (7)$$

where $\tau_1(\omega_1)$ is the propagator of the \mathcal{D}_{12} isobar in the two-body cm system. In the three-body cm system it assumes the form

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{-1}(W; q_1) = \lambda_1^{-1} - \sum_{r=1}^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{[g_1^r(p_1)]^2 p_1^2 dp_1}{W - E_\pi(q_1) - \mathcal{E}_1^r(p_1, q_1) + i\epsilon}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_1^r(p_1, q_1) = [(E_N(p_1) + E_r(p_1))^2 + q_1^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, with masses $m_r = (m_N, m_{N'}, m_{\Delta'})$ for $r = (1, 2, 3)$.

The form factors of the separable potential (6) were taken in the following form:

$$g_1^n(p_1) = \frac{p_1^\ell}{[1 + p_1^2/(\alpha_n)^2]^{1+\frac{\ell}{2}}} \left(1 + A_n \frac{p_1^2}{1 + p_1^2/(\alpha_n)^2} \right), \quad (9)$$




FIG. 3: The 1D_2 NN inelasticity η . Dashed: Arndt *et al.* [20]. Solid: Eq. (9) best fit for $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 1$.

where $\ell = 2$ for $n = 1$, and $\ell = 0$ for $n = 2, 3$. The range parameters α_n were limited to values $\alpha_n \lesssim 3 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ to ensure that the physics of these coupled channels does not require explicit shorter-range degrees of freedom, for example $\pi N \rightarrow \rho N$. Good fits to the NN 1D_2 scattering parameters satisfying this limitation required that not all A_n be zero. A comparison between the phase shift δ and inelasticity η from our best fit and those derived from pp scattering analyses [20] is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Here, δ and η are given in terms of S and T matrices by $S = 1 + 2iT = \eta \exp(2i\delta)$. A variance of 0.02 was used for $\text{Re } T$ and $\text{Im } T$ in these fits. We note that the decrease of the inelasticity η from a value 1 is due to the $r = 2$ NN' subchannel which generates the inelastic cut starting at the πNN threshold, and that no explicit \mathcal{D}_{12} pole term was introduced in the $r = 3$ $N\Delta'$ subchannel. **Results and discussion.** Applying standard three-body techniques [18] to the Faddeev equations of our $\pi N\Delta'$ three-body model, the following homogeneous integral equation for T -matrix poles is obtained:

$$T_3(W; q_3) = \mathcal{T}_3(W; q_3) \int_0^\infty dq'_3 M(W; q_3, q'_3) T_3(W; q'_3), \quad (10)$$

where, suppressing the dependence on W ,

$$M(q_3, q'_3) = 2 \int_0^\infty dq_1 K_{31}(q_3, q_1) \mathcal{T}_1(q_1) K_{13}(q_1, q'_3), \quad (11)$$

$$K_{31}(W; q_3, q_1) = \frac{1}{2} q_3 q_1 \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta g_3(p_3) g_1^{N\Delta'}(p_1) \times \frac{\hat{p}_3 \cdot \hat{q}_1}{W - E_1(q_1) - E_2(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_3) - E_3(q_3) + i\epsilon}, \quad (12)$$

with $K_{13}(W; q_1, q_3) = K_{31}(W; q_3, q_1)$. The factor 2 on the rhs of Eq. (11) takes into account that the decay

$\mathcal{D}_{12} \rightarrow N\Delta'$ may proceed with either one of the two nucleons in this πNN dibaryon. The three-vectors \vec{p}_3 and \vec{p}_1 are pair relative momenta which in the case of relativistic kinematics are given in terms of q_3 , q_1 and $\cos\theta$ by Eqs. (39-43) of Ref. [18]. We note that the fictitious baryon N' enters the calculation of the resonance pole through the definition of the in-medium propagator of the \mathcal{D}_{12} isobar, $\mathcal{T}_1(W; q_1)$ of Eq. (8).

The integral equation (10) coincides with that depicted in Fig. 1 for the $\Delta\Delta'$ eigenvalue problem. In practice, replacing $T_3(W; q_3)$ by $Z_3(W; q_3) = T_3(W; q_3)/\mathcal{T}_3(W; q_3)$, Eq. (10) was transformed to a standard eigenvalue equation

$$Z_3(W; q_3) = \int_0^\infty dq'_3 M(W; q_3, q'_3) \mathcal{T}_3(W; q'_3) Z_3(W; q'_3) \quad (13)$$

which was solved numerically. In order to search for resonance poles of (10), the integral equation was extended into the complex plane using the standard procedure $q_i \rightarrow q_i \exp(-i\phi)$ [21] which opens large sections of the unphysical Riemann sheet so that one can search for eigenvalues of the form $W = M - i(\Gamma/2)$.

TABLE III: Lowest χ^2/N values of NN^1D_2 fits, fitted range parameters $\alpha_{1,2,3}$ (in fm^{-1}) of the $NN-NN'-N\Delta'$ form factors (9) upon fixing $A_{1,2,3}$ (in fm^2), and Faddeev-calculated \mathcal{D}_{03} pole positions W_k (in MeV) for πN form factors labeled k in Table II.

$A_{1,2,3}$	χ^2/N	α_1	α_2	α_3	W_1	W_2
1,1,1	0.78	1.47	2.27	3.24	2383 - i 41	2343 - i 24
0,1,1	1.10	2.00	2.11	2.96	2384 - i 44	2356 - i 30
0,1, $\frac{3}{2}$	1.15	2.04	2.16	2.44	2392 - i 52	2380 - i 45

In the actual solution of the eigenvalue equation (13) we replaced the Breit-Wigner mass value $m_{\Delta'} = 1232$ MeV in the propagator \mathcal{T}_3 , Eq. (3), by an effective Δ -pole complex mass value [19] $m_\Delta = 1211 - i(2/3) \times 49.5$ MeV, where the $2/3$ suppression factor accounts for the Δ decay phase space effective in the $I(J^P) = 0(3^+)$ $\pi N\Delta$ three-body system, as discussed earlier. \mathcal{D}_{03} resonance pole positions calculated using the three lowest χ^2 fits of the $NN-NN'-N\Delta'$ form factors (9) to the 1D_2 NN scattering parameters are listed in Table III, for the two πN form factors specified in Table II. Comparing the calculated mass values $\text{Re } W$ in the last column to those in the preceding column, one observes sensitivity to the spatial extension of the πN form factor, quantified by

the values of r_0 from Table II which we consider as providing reasonable bounds on this spatial extension. The smaller r_0 , the lower the calculated mass values are. Admitting values of r_0 appreciably below 0.9 fm requires the introduction of explicit vector-meson and/or quark-gluon degrees of freedom. Within a given column for W in the table, the calculated mass values display sensitivity to the $N\Delta'$ form factor primarily through the fitted values of α_3 listed here. The larger α_3 , the lower the calculated mass values are. In general, it was found impossible to get values of $\alpha_3 \lesssim 2.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, whereas going beyond $\alpha_3 \sim 3 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ was considered undesirable, again requiring the introduction of explicit short-range degrees of freedom. As for width values $-2 \text{ Im } W$, the calculated widths display little sensitivity to these form factors and the widths are determined mostly by the phase space available for decay. Averaging over the pole positions of the best-fit solutions in the first row of Table III, the mass and width values for \mathcal{D}_{03} are

$$\mathcal{D}_{03} : \quad M = 2363 \pm 20, \quad \Gamma = 65 \pm 17 \quad (\text{MeV}). \quad (14)$$

By scaling to the mass-width phenomenology of the known Δ resonance [19], the corresponding \mathcal{D}_{03} Breit-Wigner mass-width values for comparison with experiment [14] should each be about 10 MeV higher.

In summary, considering the Δ resonance as a stable baryon Δ' , we have presented a dynamical $\pi N\Delta'$ separable-potential model for the \mathcal{D}_{03} dibaryon that captures the essential physics of the underlying pairwise interactions, using fitted form factors for the p -wave πN and the s -wave $N\Delta'$ interactions in the channels dominated by the $\Delta(1232)$ baryon resonance and the $\mathcal{D}_{12}(2150)$ dibaryon resonance, respectively. The corresponding three-body Faddeev equations were derived and the resulting effective two-body $\Delta\Delta'$ eigenvalue equation of Fig. 1 was solved, replacing the Δ' -spectator real mass in the in-medium πN propagator by a physical Δ effective complex mass value. A robust \mathcal{D}_{03} dibaryon pole was found above the $\pi\mathcal{D}_{12}$ threshold but below the $\Delta\Delta$ threshold, with mass value $M = (2.36 \pm 0.02)$ GeV in good agreement with the location of the $pn \rightarrow d\pi\pi$ resonance observed by WASA@COSY. Furthermore, the calculated width of $\Gamma = 65 \pm 17$ MeV also agrees well with the observed width of 70 MeV.

Acknowledgments: H.G. is supported in part by COFAA-IPN (México) and A.G. by the HadronPhysics3 networks SPHERE and LEANNIS of the European FP7 initiative.

-
- [1] F.J. Dyson and N.-H. Xuong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 815 (1964).
[2] N. Hoshizaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60**, 1796 (1978); **61**, 129 (1979); **89**, 251 (1993); **89**, 563 (1993); Phys. Rev. C **45**, R1424 (1992).
[3] R.A. Arndt, Phys. Rev. **165**, 1834 (1968); R. Bhan-

- dari, R.A. Arndt, L.D. Roper, and B.J. VerWest, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 1111 (1981); C.H. Oh, R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, and R.L. Workman, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 635 (1997).
[4] P.J. Mulders, A.T. Aerts, and J.J. de Swart, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2653 (1980), and earlier works cited therein.

- [5] P.J. Mulders and A.W. Thomas, *J. Phys. G* **9**, 1159 (1983).
- [6] R.D. Mota, A. Valcarce, F. Fernández, and H. Garcilazo, *Phys. Rev. C* **59**, 46 (1999); H. Garcilazo, A. Valcarce, and F. Fernández, *Phys. Rev. C* **60**, 044002 (1999); R.D. Mota, A. Valcarce, F. Fernández, D.R. Entem, and H. Garcilazo, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 034006 (2002).
- [7] H. Garcilazo and A. Gal, in preparation.
- [8] T. Kamae *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 468 (1977); T. Kamae and T. Fujita, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 471 (1977).
- [9] H. Sato and K. Saito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 648 (1983).
- [10] M. Oka and K. Yazaki, *Phys. Lett.* **90B**, 41 (1980).
- [11] K. Maltman, *Nucl. Phys.* **A438**, 669 (1985); **A501**, 843 (1989).
- [12] T. Goldman, K. Maltman, G.J. Stephenson Jr., K.E. Schmidt, and F. Wang, *Phys. Rev. C* **39**, 1889 (1989); J. Ping, H. Pang, F. Wang, and T. Goldman, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 044003 (2002); J.L. Ping, H.X. Huang, H.R. Pang, F. Wang, and C.W. Wong, *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 024001 (2009).
- [13] H. Garcilazo, F. Fernández, A. Valcarce, and R.D. Mota, *Phys. Rev. C* **56**, 84 (1997); A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, R.D. Mota, and F. Fernández, *J. Phys. G* **27**, L1 (2001); R.D. Mota, A. Valcarce, F. Fernández, D.R. Entem, and H. Garcilazo, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 034006 (2002).
- [14] M. Bashkanov *et al.* (CELSIUS/WASA Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 052301 (2009); P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 242302 (2011); *Phys. Lett. B* **721**, 229 (2013).
- [15] T. Ueda, *Phys. Lett.* **74B**, 123 (1978); **79B**, 487 (1978).
- [16] T. Ueda, *Phys. Lett.* **119B**, 281 (1982).
- [17] M.N. Platonova and V.I. Kukulín, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 025202 (2013).
- [18] A. Gal and H. Garcilazo, *Nucl. Phys.* **A864**, 153 (2011).
- [19] R.A. Arndt, W.J. Briscoe, I.I. Strakovsky, and R.L. Workman, *Phys. Rev. C* **74**, 045205 (2006).
- [20] R.A. Arndt, W.J. Briscoe, I.I. Strakovsky, and R.L. Workman, *Phys. Rev. C* **76**, 025209 (2007).
- [21] B.C. Pearce and I.R. Afnan, *Phys. Rev. C* **30**, 2022 (1984).