

Barrierless Electronic Relaxation in Solution: An analytically solvable model with arbitrary coupling

Aniruddha Chakraborty

School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi,
Mandi, Himachal Pradesh, 175001, India

(Dated: October 18, 2021)

In our recent publication, we have proposed an analytical method for solving the problem of electronic relaxation in solution, modeled by a particle undergoing diffusive motion under the influence of two arbitrary potentials and the coupling between the two potentials was assumed to be localized at one point. In this paper we have extended our work to deal with the case of delocalized coupling between two potentials. The average and long term rate constant for delocalized coupling is presented.

Relaxation of an excited electronic potential energy surface of a molecule which is in a polar solvent is an interesting problem both theoretically and experimentally [1–5]. A molecule immersed in a polar solvent can be put on an electronically excited potential energy surface by the absorption of electromagnetic radiation. The excited molecule executes a walk on that potential energy surface, which may be taken to be random as due to the presence of polar solvent. As the molecule moves it may undergo non-radiative decay from certain regions of that surface and also undergoes radiative decay from anywhere on that surface. In our earlier publication [5], we have proposed an analytical method for solving the problem of electronic relaxation in solution, modeled by a particle undergoing diffusive motion under the influence of two arbitrary potentials and the coupling between the two potentials was assumed to be represented by a Dirac Delta function. In this paper we have extended our model to deal with the case where the coupling between two potentials can be taken as any arbitrary function. Again the problem is to calculate the probability that the molecule will still be in the electronically excited potential energy surface after a finite time t .

We denote the probability that the molecule can survive on the electronically excited potential energy surface by $P_e(x, t)$. We also use $P_g(x, t)$ to represent the probability that the molecule would be found in the ground state potential energy surface. It is very usual to assume the motion on both the potential energy surface to be one dimensional and diffusive, the relevant coordinate being denoted by x . It is also common to assume that the motion on both the potential energy surface is overdamped. Thus both the probability $P_e(x, t)$ and $P_g(x, t)$ may be found at x at the time t obeys a modified Smoluchowskii equation.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial P_e(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{L}_e P_e(x, t) - k_r P_e(x, t) - k_0 S(x) P_g(x, t) \\ \frac{\partial P_g(x, t)}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{L}_g P_g(x, t) - k_r P_g(x, t) - k_0 S(x) P_e(x, t).\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

In the above

$$\mathcal{L}_i = A \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{dV_i(x)}{dx}.\quad (2)$$

$V_i(x)$ is the potential causing the drift of the particle, $S(x)$ is a position dependent sink function, k_0 is the rate of nonradiative decay and k_r is the rate of radiative decay. We have taken k_r to be independent of position. A is the diffusion coefficient. Our model is more general than the model proposed by Sebastian et. al., [6, 7], in the sense that our model considers the motion on the ground state potential energy surface explicitly. It is quite unlikely that the shape of the ground state potential energy surface do not play any role in the electronic relaxation process, but unfortunately this fact was not considered in any of the earlier studies [6–11, 15]. Before we excite, the molecule is in the ground state potential energy surface, and as the solvent is at a finite temperature, its distribution over the coordinate x is random. From this it undergoes Franck-Condon excitation to the excited state potential energy surface. So, x_0 the initial position of the particle, on the excited state potential energy surface is random. We assume it to be given by the probability density $P_e^0(x_0)$. In the following we provide a general procedure for finding the exact analytical solution of Eq. (1). The Laplace transform $\mathcal{P}_i(x, s) = \int_0^\infty P_i(x, t) e^{-st} dt$ obeys

$$\begin{aligned}[s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r] \mathcal{P}_e(x, s) + k_0 S(x) \mathcal{P}_g(x, s) &= P_e^0(x_0) \\ [s - \mathcal{L}_g + k_r] \mathcal{P}_g(x, s) + k_0 S(x) \mathcal{P}_e(x, s) &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where $P_e^0(x_0) = P_e(x, 0)$ is the initial distribution at the electronically excited state and $P_g(x, 0) = 0$ is the initial distribution at the electronically ground state.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_e(x, s) \\ \mathcal{P}_g(x, s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r & k_0 S(x) \\ k_0 S(x) & s - \mathcal{L}_g + k_r \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P_e^0(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

Using the partition technique [13], solution of this equation can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{P}_e(x, s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_0 G(x, s; x_0) P_e^0(x_0), \quad (5)$$

where $G(x, s; x_0)$ is the Green's function defined by

$$G(x, s; x_0) = \langle x | [s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r - k_0^2 S [s - \mathcal{L}_g + k_r]^{-1} S]^{-1} | x_0 \rangle \quad (6)$$

The above equation is true for any general S . This expressions simplify considerably if S is a Dirac Delta function located at x_c [5]. But the majority of the problems of interest, however do not corresponds to a localized coupling and one requires different forms of coupling $S(x)$ for proper description of dynamics in different cases. We express the arbitrary coupling function $S(x)$ in terms of a linear combination of Dirac Delta functions [14, 15]. Expressing the arbitrary coupling function $S(x)$ in terms of Dirac Delta functions has the advantage that it can be solved exactly by using analytical methods. An arbitrary coupling $S(x)$ can be written as

$$S(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' S(x') \delta(x - x') \quad (7)$$

and the above integral can be discretized as

$$S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \delta(x - x_j), \quad (8)$$

here k_j are constants, given by

$$k_j = w_j S(x_j). \quad (9)$$

The weight factor w_j varies depending on the scheme of discretization used [14]. In the operator notation $S(x)$ may be written as $V = \sum_{j=1}^N K_j S_j = \sum_{j=1}^N K_j |x_j\rangle \langle x_j|$. Then

$$G_{11}(x, x_0; E) = \langle x | [s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r - \sum_{j=1}^N K_j^2 G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) S_j]^{-1} | x_0 \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where

$$G_2^0(x, x_0; E) = \langle x | (s - \mathcal{L}_g + k_r)^{-1} | x_0 \rangle, \quad (11)$$

and corresponds to propagation of the particle starting at x_0 on the second potential, in the absence of coupling to the first potential. Now we use the operator identity [16, 17]

$$(s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r - \sum_{j=1}^N K_j^2 G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) S_j)^{-1} = (s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r)^{-1} + (s - \mathcal{L}_e + k_r)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N K_j^2 G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) S_j [s - \mathcal{L}_g + k_r - \sum_{j=1}^N K_j^2 G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) S_j]^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

Inserting the resolution of identity $I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy |y\rangle \langle y|$ in the second term of the above equation and integrating, we arrive at

$$G_{11}(x, x_0; s) = G_e^0(x, x_0; s) + \sum_{j=1}^N K_j^2 G_e^0(x, x_j; s) \times G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) G_{11}(x_j, x_0; s). \quad (13)$$

Considering the above equation at the discrete points x_i , we obtain a set of linear equations, which can be written as

$$AP = Q, \quad (14)$$

where the elements of the matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$, $P = [p_i]$ and $Q = [q_i]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= -k_i^2 G_e^0(x_i, x_j; s) G_g^0(x_j, x_j; s) + \delta_{ij} \\ p_i &= G_{11}(x_i, x_0; s) \\ q_i &= G_e^0(x_i, x_0; s) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

One can solve the matrix equation *i.e.* Eq. (15) easily and obtain $G_{11}(x_i, x_0; E)$ for all x_i . Eq. (14) then yield $G_{11}(x, x_0; E)$. Using these expressions for the Green's function in Eq. (5) we can calculate $\mathcal{P}_e(x, s)$ explicitly. The expressions that we have obtained for $\mathcal{P}_e(x, s)$ are quite general and are valid for any potentials. Using this Green's function in Eq. (5) one can calculate $\mathcal{P}_e(x, s)$ explicitly. Here we are interested to know the survival probability at the electronically excited state potential energy surface $P_e(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P_e(x, t)$. It is possible to evaluate Laplace Transform $\mathcal{P}_e(s)$ of $P_e(t)$ directly. The average rate constant can be defined as $k_I^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} dt P_e(t)$ and also a long term rate constant k_L as

$$k_L = - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \ln P_e(t). \quad (16)$$

Clearly $k_I^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_e(x, 0)$ and k_L is the negative pole of $\mathcal{P}_e(x, 0)$ closest to the origin. The average rate constant k_I is thus given by

$$k_I^{-1} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} (k_r + s)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \mathcal{P}_e(x_i, s) \right] \quad (17)$$

From the above equation we can understand that $\mathcal{P}_e(s)$ depends on $G_g^0(x_c, s; x_c)$. The expression that we have obtained for $\mathcal{P}_e(s)$, k_I and k_L are quite general and are valid for any set of potentials.

-
- [1] D. Ben-Amotz and C. B. Harris, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 4856 (1987).
 - [2] D. Ben-Amotz and C. B. Harris, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 5433 (1987).
 - [3] D. Ben-Amotz, R. Jeanloz and C. B. Harris, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 6119 (1987).
 - [4] B. Bagchi and G. R. Fleming, J. Phys. Chem. **94**, 9 (1990).
 - [5] A. Chakraborty J. Chem. Phys. [under revision] (2013).
 - [6] K. L. Sebastian, Phys. Rev. A **46**, R1732 (1992).
 - [7] N. Chakravarti and K. L. Sebastian, Chem. Phys. Lett. **204**, 496 (1993).
 - [8] B. Bagchi and G. R. Fleming and D. W. Oxtoby, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 7375 (1983).
 - [9] H. Sumi and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. **84**, 4894 (1986).
 - [10] B. Bagchi, J. Chem. Phys. **87**, 5393 (1987).
 - [11] G. Oster and N. Nishijima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **78**, 1581 (1956).
 - [12] K. Schulten, Z. Schulten and A. Szabo, Physica A **100**, 599 (1980).
 - [13] P. Lowdin, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 969 (1962).
 - [14] A. Samanta and S. K. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 4568 (1993).
 - [15] A. Szabo, G. Lamm and G. H. Weiss, J. Stat. Phys. **34**, 225 (1984).
 - [16] K. L. Sebastian, Phys. Rev. A **46**, R1732 (1992).
 - [17] K. L. Sebastian, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.) **106** 493 (1994).