Electromagnetic and PotentialScattering from a Radially Inhomogeneous Sphere

by John A. Adam and Umaporn Nuntaplook* Department of Mathematics & Statistics Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529

ABSTRACT

Aspects of of plane wave electromagnetic scattering by a radially inhomogeneous sphere is discussed. The vector problem is reduced to two scalar radial 'Schrödinger-like' equations, and a connection with time-independent potential scattering theory is exploited to draw several conclusions about specific refractive index profiles.

1. Introduction

The refractive index n(r) (which may be complex) is a function of the radial coordinate only, and the sphere has radius a. For r > a, $n(r) \equiv 1$. A time-harmonic dependence of the field quantities, $\exp(-i\omega t)$ is assumed throughout. The governing equation for the electric field $E(r, \theta, \phi)$ is

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} - k^2 n^2(r) \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{1}$$

The wavenumber k is $2\pi/\lambda$, λ being the wavelength. As shown in [1], the solution may be found by expanding the electric field in terms of vector spherical harmonics in terms of the so-called transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes, respectively:

$$\mathbf{M}_{l,m}(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{e^{im\phi}}{kr} S_l(r) \mathbf{X}_{l,m}(\theta), \tag{2a}$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{l,m}(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{e^{im\phi}}{k^2 n^2(r)} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{dT_l(r)}{dr} \mathbf{Y}_{l,m}(\theta) + \frac{T_l(r)}{r^2} \mathbf{Z}_{l,m}(\theta) \right]. \tag{2b}$$

The vector angular functions in equations (2a,b) are defined in a spherical coordinate system as

$$\mathbf{X}_{lm}(\theta) = \langle 0, i\pi_{lm}(\theta), -\tau_{lm}(\theta) \rangle, \tag{3a}$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_{l,m}(\theta) = \langle 0, \tau_{l,m}(\theta), -i\tau_{l,m}(\theta) \rangle, \tag{3b}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{l,m}(\theta) = \langle l(l+1)P_l^m(\cos\theta), 0, 0 \rangle, \tag{3c}$$

where $P_l^m(\cos\theta)$ is an associated Legendre polynomial of degree l and order m. The corresponding scalar angular functions are defined as

$$\pi_{l,m}(\theta) = \frac{m}{\sin \theta} P_l^m(\cos \theta), \tag{4a}$$

$$\tau_{l,m}(\theta) = \frac{dP_l^m(\cos\theta)}{d\theta}.$$
 (4b)

The functions $S_l(r)$ and $T_l(r)$ are called the radial Debye potentials, and they respectively satisfy the equations

$$\frac{d^2S_l(r)}{dr^2} + \left[k^2 n^2(r) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] S_l(r) = 0, \tag{5a}$$

$$\frac{d^2T_l(r)}{dr^2} - \left(\frac{2}{n(r)}\frac{dn(r)}{dr}\right)\frac{dT_l(r)}{dr} + \left[k^2n^2(r) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right]T_l(r) = 0.$$
 (5b)

In addition to the appropriate matching conditions at r = a these potentials must also satisfy the boundary conditions

 $S_l(0) = 0$ and $T_l(0) = 0$. Equation (5b) may be rewritten in terms of the dependent variable $U_l(r)$, where $T_l(r) = n(r)U_l(r)$ to become

$$\frac{d^2U_l(r)}{dr^2} + \left[k^2n^2(r) - n(r)\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\left(\frac{1}{n(r)}\right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right]U_l(r) = 0.$$
 (6)

Provided that $n(0) \neq 0$, $U_l(0) = 0$. Both equations (5a) and (6) may be placed in the form of the canonical time-independent Schrödinger equation, namely

$$\frac{d^2S_l(r)}{dr^2} + \left[k^2 - V_S(r) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right] S_l(r) = 0, \tag{7a}$$

$$\frac{d^2 U_l(r)}{dr^2} + \left[k^2 - V_U(r) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] U_l(r) = 0, \tag{7b}$$

where the k-dependent 'scattering potentials' $V_S(r)$ and $V_U(r)$ are defined in [0,a] as

$$V_S(r) = k^2 [1 - n^2(r)],$$
 (8a)

$$V_U(r) = k^2 \left[1 - n^2(r) + \frac{n(r)}{k^2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left(\frac{1}{n(r)} \right) \right].$$
 (8b)

for the TE and TM modes respectively (the potentials are both identically zero for r > a). These potentials are identical for the case of a uniform refractive index. $V_U(r)$ will be regarded as a small perturbation of the potential $V_S(r)$, so we also define

$$\varepsilon(r) \equiv V_U(r) - V_S(r) = n(r) \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left(\frac{1}{n(r)}\right). \tag{9}$$

It is a standard result for potentials vanishing sufficiently fast at infinity [2–4] that as $r \to \infty$

$$S_l(r) \sim \sin\left(r - \frac{\pi l}{2} + \delta_l^S(k)\right),$$
 (10a)

$$U_l(r) \sim \sin\left(r - \frac{\pi l}{2} + \delta_l^U(k)\right).$$
 (10b)

Here $\delta_l^S(k)$ and $\delta_l^U(k)$ are the phase shifts induced by each potential respectively. Multiplying equations (7a) and (7b) by $U_l(r)$ and $S_l(r)$ respectively, subtracting and integrating we obtain

$$U_l(r)\frac{dS_l(r)}{dr} - S_l(r)\frac{dU_l(r)}{dr} = -\int_0^r \varepsilon(\eta)S_l(\eta)U_l(\eta)d\eta. \tag{11}$$

Utilizing the asymptotic expressions in (10), we have, in the limit as $r \to \infty$,

$$k\sin[\delta_l^U(k) - \delta_l^S(k)] = -\int_0^\infty \varepsilon(r)S_l(r)U_l(r)dr = -\int_0^{ka} \varepsilon(r)S_l(r)U_l(r)dr, \tag{12}$$

since n(r) is constant for r > ka (or r > a). Thus far this equation is exact. If we now consider $\varepsilon(r)$ to be sufficiently small that $U_l(r) \approx S_l(r)$, then $|\delta_l^U(k) - \delta_l^S(k)| << 1$ and we have the relation

$$\delta_l^U(k) \approx \delta_l^S(k) \pm \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{ka} \varepsilon(r) [S_l(r)]^2 dr.$$
 (13)

Whether $\delta_l^U(k) > \delta_l^S(k)$ or not clearly depends on the concavity of n(r). A further approximation can be made if the scattering potential $V_S(r)$ is constant (specifically, $V_S = k^2(1 - N^2)$ for n = N, $r \le a$), for then the solution for equation (7a) can be expressed in terms of a Riccati-Bessel function of the first kind, i.e.

$$S_{l}(r) = \left(\frac{\pi N k r}{2}\right)^{1/2} J_{l+1/2}(N k r). \tag{14}$$

Then we have that (check!)

$$\delta_l^U(k) \approx \delta_l^S(k) \pm \frac{\pi N}{2} \int_0^a \left\{ n(r) \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left(\frac{1}{n(r)} \right) \right\} \left[J_{l+1/2}(Nkr) \right]^2 r dr = \delta_l^S(k) \pm \frac{\pi N}{2} \mathcal{I}(a). \tag{15}$$

In the case of a small perturbation about $V_S = 0$, i.e. for which n = N = 1, the term $\delta_l^S(k)$ in equation (15) is zero, and the resulting approximation for $\delta_l^U(k)$ is related to the first Born approximation in quantum scattering theory [5]. In particular, if $\varepsilon(r) = Dr^{-s}$, D being some constant, a closed form solution for I can be found as $a \to \infty$ [4], namely

$$\mathcal{I}(\infty) = \int_0^\infty [J_{l+1/2}(Nkr)]^2 r^{1-s} dr = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Nk}{2}\right)^{s-2} \frac{\Gamma(s-1)\Gamma\left(l-\frac{1}{2}s+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)\right]^2 \Gamma\left(l+\frac{1}{2}s+\frac{1}{2}\right)},\tag{16}$$

provided s > 1 and 2l > s - 3. The question may be asked: what n(r) profiles give rise to $\varepsilon(r) = Dr^{-s}$ (where D > 0)? Writing $p(r) = [n(r)]^{-1}$ we are led to consider solutions of the equation

$$r^{s} \frac{d^{2}p(r)}{dr^{2}} - Dp(r) = 0. {17}$$

The general solution to this equation may be expressed in terms of modified Bessel functions, but we do not pursue this direction here.

A Liouville transformation

As defined in equations (8a) and (8b), the 'potentials' $V_S(r)$ and $V_U(r)$ are also k-dependent, which is not the case in potential scattering theory [3]. This has an important consequence: unlike the quantum mechanical case, here pure 'bound state' solutions, that is, real square-integrable solutions corresponding to $k^2 < 0$ (Im k > 0) do not exist. This can readily be proven [5,6] for the TE mode (equation (7a)) that

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\left| \frac{dS_l(r)}{dr} \right|^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} |S_l(r)|^2 \right] dr = k^2 \int_0^\infty n^2(r) |S_l(r)|^2 dr.$$
 (18)

This cannot be satisfied for $k^2 < 0$ for a real and positive refractive index n(r). In [7] the corresponding result is established from equation (7b) for $U_l(r)$. Furthermore, a Liouville transformation may be used to define a new k-independent potential [5]. Using the following simultaneous changes of independent and dependent variables in equation (5a)

$$r \to \rho : \rho(r) = \int_0^r n(s)ds, \tag{19a}$$

$$u_l \to \psi_l : \psi_l(\rho) = (n(r))^{1/2} u_l(r).$$
 (19b)

Clearly n(r) must be integrable and non-negative (in naturally-occurring circumstances $n \ge 1$ and n(r) = 1 for r > a); also $\rho(0) = 0$. It is easy to establish the following results:

(i)
$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 + r - a$$
, $r \ge a$, where $\rho_a = \int_0^a n(s)ds$;

(ii)
$$\rho(r) \sim r, r \to \infty$$
;

(iii)
$$r(\rho) = \int_0^{\rho} \frac{ds}{v(s)}$$
, where $v(\rho) = n(r(\rho))$.

Furthermore, by applying (19a) and (19b) to equation (7a) we find that

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{R^2(\rho)} + k^2\right] \psi_l(r) = V(\rho)\psi_l(\rho), \tag{20}$$

where

$$R(\rho) = v(\rho)r(\rho) \sim n(0)\rho, \ \rho \to 0, \text{ and } V(\rho) = [v(\rho)]^{-1/2} \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} [v(\rho)]^{1/2}.$$
 (21)

Clearly $v(\rho)$ should be at least twice differentiable. Now the new 'potential' $V(\rho)$ is independent of the wavenumber k. Note also that $V(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho > \rho_a$. It is of interest to determine the 'shape' of the potential $V(\rho)$ by inverting $\rho(r)$ for various choices of physical n(r) profiles for $r \in [0, a]$ (with $n(0) = n_0$, $n(a) = n_a$ and n(r) = 1 for r > a). In what follows only the non-zero potential shapes with be stated (corresponding to $\rho \in [0, \rho_a]$. Thus [5] for

$$n(r) = n_a \left[1 - c^2 \left(\frac{r - a}{a} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}; \ V(\rho) = \frac{c^2}{n_a^2} > 0, \tag{22a}$$

where c is a real constant, i.e. the potential is a spherical barrier. For the profile [8]

$$n(r) = (A + Br)^{-1}, A = n_0^{-1}, B = \frac{n_0 - n_a}{an_0n_a}; V(\rho) = \frac{B^2}{4} > 0,$$
 (22b)

also a barrier. For the important Maxwell Fish-Eye profile [9],

$$n(r) = n_0 (1 + Br^2)^{-1}, B = \frac{n_0 - n_a}{a^2 n_a}; V(\rho) = -\frac{B}{n_0^2}.$$
 (22c)

In this case, the new potential is a spherical well or barrier as $n_0 > n_a$ or $n_0 < n_a$ respectively. In the latter case the singularity occurring in n(r) is most since it arises for r > a. In all the other cases investigated thus far [10], including $n(r) = n_0 \exp(-\alpha r)$; $n_0 \cos \alpha r$ and $n_0 \cosh \alpha r$, the potentials $V(\rho)$ are rather complicated functions, and there are no significant advantages to using the Liouville transformation in these cases. It is therefore of interest to examine what profiles n(r) give rise to constant potentials $V(\rho)$. In equation (21) let $y(\rho) = [v(\rho)]^{1/2}$ and $V(\rho) = V_0$, where V_0 is a constant of either sign. Then it follows that

$$\frac{d^2y}{d\rho^2} - V_0 y = 0, (23)$$

the general solution being expressible in terms of real or complex exponential functions as $V_0 > 0$ (potential barrier) or $V_0 < 0$ (potential well) respectively. In *r*-space, $V_0 < 0$ corresponds to a constant refractive index $n = N = (1 + |V_0|k^{-2})^{1/2} > 1$, so we proceed with this physically realistic case. Writing the general solution of (23) as

$$y(\rho) = C\cos\left(|V_0|^{1/2}\rho + \eta\right),\tag{24}$$

where C and η are constants, it follows that

$$r(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \frac{ds}{v(s)} = \left(C^2 |V_0|^{1/2} \right)^{-1} \left[\tan \left(|V_0|^{1/2} \rho + \eta \right) - \tan \eta \right]. \tag{25}$$

This can be inverted to yield

$$\rho(r) = \int_0^r n(s)ds = |V_0|^{-1/2} \left\{ \arctan \left[C^2 |V_0|^{1/2} r + \tan \eta \right] - \eta \right\}.$$
 (26)

Therefore

$$n(r) = \rho'(r) = \frac{C}{1 + [Br + \tan \eta]^2},$$
 (27a)

where $C = n_0 \sec^2 \eta$ and η can be determined from the requirement that $n(a) = n_a$. This is a generalization of the Maxwell Fish-Eye profile in equation (22c). The corresponding result for $V_0 > 0$ is

$$n(r) = \frac{C}{1 - \left\lceil Br + \tanh \eta \right\rceil^2}.$$
 (27b)

Note that in this case a singularity exists for r > 0 at $r = B^{-1}(1 - \tanh \eta)$.

References

- [1] B.R. Johnson, Theory of morphology-dependent resonances: shape resonances and width formulas, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A10 (1993) 343-352.
- [2] L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, 3rd edition (1968), New York, McGraw-Hill.
- [3] V. de Alfaro and T. Regge, Potential Scattering (1965), North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- [4] N.F. Mott and H.S. W. Massey, The Theory of Atomic Collisons, 3rd edition (1965), Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [5] C. Eftimiu, Direct and inverse scattering by a sphere of variable index of refraction, J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982) 2140-2146.
- [6] J. A. Adam, (to appear): 'Rainbows' in homogeneous and radially inhomogeneous spheres: connections with ray, wave and potential scattering theory,

Advances in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Research: Applications to Engineering, Physical and Life Sciences, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Vol. 37. Ed. Bourama Toni, Springer, 2013.

[7] Eftimiu, C., 1985: Inverse electromagnetic scattering for radially inhomogeneous dielectric spheres, in Inverse methods in electromagnetic imaging;

Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Bad Windsheim, West Germany, September 18-24 (1983), Part 1 (A85-48926 24-70).

Dordrecht, D. Reidel Publishing Company.

- [8] J. A. Adam and P. Laven, P., On rainbows from inhomogeneous transparent spheres: a ray-theoretic approach, Appl. Opt. 46 (2007) 922–929.
- [9] U. Leonhardt and T. Philbin, Geometry and Light: The Science of Invisibility (2010), New York: Dover Publications. [10] J. A. Adam and U. Nuntaplook, in preparation.