

Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel and tau-functions on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials

C. Kalla and D. Korotkin

Abstract. In this paper we study Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials on Riemann surfaces. We introduce the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function which is related to both Bergman tau-function (which was studied before in the context of Hurwitz spaces and spaces of holomorphic and quadratic differentials) and KP tau-function on such spaces.

In particular, we derive variational formulas of Rauch-Ahlfors type on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials with prescribed singularities: we use the system of homological coordinates, consisting of absolute and relative periods of the meromorphic differential, and show how to vary the fundamental objects associated to a Riemann surface (the matrix of b -periods, normalized Abelian differentials, the Bergman bidifferential, the Szegő kernel and the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel) with respect to these coordinates. The variational formulas encode dependence both on the moduli of the Riemann surface and on the choice of meromorphic differential (variation of the meromorphic differential while keeping the Riemann surface fixed corresponds to flows of KP type).

Analyzing the global properties of the Bergman and Baker-Akhiezer tau-functions we establish relationships between various divisor classes on the moduli spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Variational formulas on spaces of meromorphic differentials in homological coordinates	7
3. Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials	14
4. Tau-functions on spaces of differentials of second kind	18
5. Bergman tau-functions on spaces of differentials of third kind	28
References	34

1. Introduction

Meromorphic Abelian differentials on Riemann surfaces play the fundamental role in the theory of integrable systems - from algebro-geometric construction of solutions of KdV and KP equations and their generalizations [7, 29], when the Riemann surface remains fixed - to Whitham deformations [30] and Frobenius manifolds [8], when the Riemann surface is deformed.

The goal of this paper is to derive variational formulas of Ahlfors-Rauch type on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials on Riemann surfaces and to apply them to study the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel and various tau-functions (the Bergman tau-function, as well as the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function which we introduce in this paper) on these spaces.

DK was partially supported by NSERC, FQRNT and CURC.

Consider a Riemann surface \mathcal{L} and an arbitrary meromorphic differential v on \mathcal{L} with n poles x_1, \dots, x_n and m zeros x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m} ; we fix the multiplicities of the poles and zeros such that the divisor of v is given by

$$(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} k_i x_i ; \quad (1.1)$$

k_1, \dots, k_n are negative and k_{n+1}, \dots, k_{n+m} are positive; $k_1 + \dots + k_{n+m} = 2g - 2$ is the degree of the canonical divisor.

The moduli space of pairs (Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g , meromorphic differential v with n poles, and fixed degrees k_1, \dots, k_{n+m} of divisor (v)) is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_g(k_1, \dots, k_{n+m})$ (briefly $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$).

In the traditional approach used in the theory of integrable systems the system of coordinates on the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ consists of moduli of the Riemann surface punctured at poles x_1, \dots, x_n and coefficients of singular parts of v near the poles; assuming that all a -periods of v vanish, these coordinates determine \mathcal{L} and v uniquely. The problem with such coordinate system is that the coefficients of singular parts of v near singularities depend on the choice of local parameters near poles. In this paper we use a different coordinate system, which originates, on one hand, in the theory of Hurwitz spaces, when the local coordinates are given by branch points of a covering of complex plane; on the other hand, such coordinate system is widely used in the study of the Teichmüller flow on moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials on Riemann surfaces (see [36]).

Here we study the moduli space of meromorphic differentials using the system of homological coordinates. The system of homological local coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ is given by integrals of v along basic a and b cycles on \mathcal{L} , integrals of v around its poles, and integrals of v between its zeros. More precisely, consider the first homology group of the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} punctured at poles x_1, \dots, x_n , relative to the set of zeros x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m} of \mathcal{L} ; this homology group

$$H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n; \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}) \quad (1.2)$$

will be briefly denoted by H_1 . The homology group dual to H_1 is the group

$$H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n) \quad (1.3)$$

which we shall briefly denote by H_1^* ; this is the first homology group of the surface \mathcal{L} punctured at zeros of v , relative to poles of v .

Denote by $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{2g+n+m-2}$ a set of generators of H_1 ; the number of generators $2g+n+m-2$ coincides with dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ and the coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ can be chosen as $z_i = \int_{s_i} v$. The dual basis in H_1^* is denoted by $\{s_i^*\}_{i=1}^{2g+n+m-2}$.

If the differential v is exact: $v = df$, where f is a meromorphic function, then all residues of v vanish, as well as all of its a and b -periods. The moduli space of such differentials is just a Hurwitz space, and the non-vanishing homological coordinates coincide with the critical values of function f i.e. with branch points of corresponding branch covering.

Denote by Ω the matrix of b -periods of \mathcal{L} computed in some homology basis (a_α, b_α) on \mathcal{L} (the choice of this basis is independent of the basis $\{s_i\}$ mentioned before). The dual basis of holomorphic differentials u_α is normalized via $\oint_{a_\alpha} u_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

The variational formulas, say, for the matrix of b -periods with respect to the homological coordinates look as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_i} = \int_{s_i^*} \frac{u_\alpha u_\beta}{v}. \quad (1.4)$$

The formula (1.4) strongly resembles the standard Ahlfors-Rauch formula (see for example [1]) for variation of the matrix of b -periods under change of conformal structure of the Riemann surface defined by an arbitrary Beltrami differential; in (1.4) the role of ‘‘Beltrami differential’’ is played by the vector field $1/v$ localized on the contour s_i^* . In the case of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials, the formula (1.4) was proved in [21] together with variational formulas for u_α , the prime-form, canonical bimeromorphic differential and other objects. In the special case of spaces of exact differentials $v = df$ (when all homological coordinates obtained by integration over absolute periods vanish) we are dealing with Hurwitz

spaces; the homological coordinates coincide with critical values of the meromorphic function f i.e. with branch points of the corresponding branch covering.

Let us use the differential v to introduce on \mathcal{L} a coordinate $z(x)$ (for x which don't coincide with poles or zeros of v). Namely, introduce on \mathcal{L} a system of cuts which are homologous to a and b -cycles, and also fix cuts connecting poles of v ; in this way we get the "fundamental domain" $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} . We introduce these cuts in such a way that their homology classes coincide with the corresponding generators s_i^* in H_1^* . In the domain $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ the integral

$$z(x) = \int_{x_{n+1}}^x v$$

(with the initial point coinciding with the first zero x_{n+1} of v) is single-valued, and defines a coordinate near any point $x \notin (v)$. Near poles or zeros of v one can also use $z(x)$ to define a system of so-called *distinguished* local coordinates; these coordinates are discussed below.

Fix a line bundle χ of degree $g-1$ on \mathcal{L} and denote by δ_χ the corresponding divisor class. The Szegő kernel on the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} is the Cauchy reproducing kernel acting on sections of χ . Introduce two vectors $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^g$ via $\Omega p + q = \mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\delta_\chi) + K^{x_0}$, where x_0 is a basepoint, K^{x_0} is the vector of Riemann constants and \mathcal{A} is the Abel map; assume that $h^0(\chi) = 0$ i.e. $\vartheta_{pq}(0) \neq 0$, where ϑ_{pq} is the theta-function with characteristics $[p, q]$. The vectors p and q are related to holonomies of the line bundle χ along basic cycles: $p_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Hol}(\chi, a_\alpha)$; $q_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Hol}(\chi, b_\alpha)$.

The Szegő kernel $S_\chi(x, y)$, which will also be denoted by $S_{pq}(x, y)$, is defined by the formula

$$S_{pq}(x, y) = \frac{\vartheta_{pq}(\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{A}(y))}{\vartheta_{pq}(0)E(x, y)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $E(x, y)$ is the prime-form; $S_{pq}(x, y)$ is a meromorphic section of χ with respect to x , and of the line bundle $\chi^{-1} \otimes K$ with respect to y , where K is the canonical class.

Assuming that the vectors p and q (i.e. moduli of χ) remain independent of homological coordinates, we prove the following variational formulas for the Szegő kernel with respect to moduli $\{z_i\}$ of the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S_{pq}(x, y) \Big|_{z(x), z(y)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{W[S_{pq}(x, t)S_{pq}(t, y)]}{v(t)} \quad (1.6)$$

where W denotes the Wronskian with respect to variable t : locally for any two functions $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ it is given by $f'g - fg'$ (in the theory of integrable system it is also called the first Hirota derivative of f and g). The Wronskian $W[S_{pq}(x, t)S_{pq}(t, y)]$ is a quadratic differential with respect to t . The z -coordinate of x and y in (1.6) is kept constant under differentiation with respect to moduli.

For a fixed Riemann surface one can consider dependence of the Szegő kernel on components of vectors p and q (i.e. on holonomies of the line bundle χ). For derivatives of S_{pq} with respect to p and q the following variational formulas hold:

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) = - \oint_{b_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, t)S_{pq}(t, y), \quad \frac{d}{dq_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) = - \oint_{a_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, t)S_{pq}(t, y), \quad (1.7)$$

which seem to be new.

The variational formulas (1.6) and (1.7) can be applied to study the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel, which plays a central role in the theory of integrable systems. Its definition is inspired by the theory of algebro-geometric solutions of integrable hierarchies of KP type (called Krichever's scheme [29]). The name Baker-Akhiezer (BA) is inherited from the Baker-Akhiezer function, the object which first appeared historically. The BA kernel was used also in differential geometry (theory of helicoids with handles [6]). Recently the properties of BA kernel were studied in [4] in the context of the topological recursion of Eynard-Orantin.

The Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ can be constructed from a triple: Riemann surface \mathcal{L} , meromorphic differential v and a line bundle χ of degree $g-1$ (a "twisted" spinor line bundle). The line

bundle χ determines two vectors $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^g$ as above. Then $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ is defined by the formula:

$$S^{(v)}(x, y) = S_{p_v, q_v}(x, y) \exp \left\{ \int_x^y v \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where vectors p_v and q_v are expressed via periods of the differential v and vectors p, q :

$$(p_v)_\alpha = p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a_\alpha} v; \quad (q_v)_\alpha = q_\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b_\alpha} v.$$

The BA kernel $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ is well-defined at any point of the moduli space outside of the divisor defined by the equation $\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) = 0$.

If the differential v does not have residues (i.e. it is an Abelian differential of second kind), then with respect to variable x the Baker-Akhiezer spinor is a section of the line bundle χ having one pole (at $x = y$) and essential singularities at poles of v ; with respect to y it is a section of $\chi^{-1} \otimes K$. If v is a differential of third kind (i.e. if it has only simple poles) then the kernel $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ does not have essential singularities; instead it has regular singularities at poles of v , generically connected by branch cuts.

If v has residues at some of its higher order poles, there are also branch cuts connecting the poles with non-trivial residues.

As well as the Szegő kernel, the Baker-Akhiezer kernel is invariant under symplectic transformations of the canonical basis of cycles. The Baker-Akhiezer kernel can be interpreted as the Cauchy kernel acting on sections ψ of χ which are singular at poles of v , such that $\psi(x)e^{-\int^x v}$ is holomorphic near poles of v .

The derivatives of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel with respect to homological coordinates (with vectors p, q kept fixed) contain the contribution from variational formulas for the Szegő kernel, as well as contribution from variation of the characteristic vectors p_v and q_v ($p_v - p$ and $q_v - q$ coincide with some of homological coordinates up to a sign and factor of $1/2\pi i$).

The variational formulas on the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ look as follows:

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S^{(v)}(x, y) \right|_{z(x), z(y)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{W[S^{(v)}(x, t)S^{(v)}(t, y)]}{v(t)} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t \in \mu(s_i^*)} S^{(v)}(x, t)S^{(v)}(t, y) \quad (1.9)$$

where μ is the natural projection of the homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ (1.3) onto the homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L})$ of the unpunctured Riemann surface \mathcal{L} .

The second term in the integrand takes into account the dependence of p_v and q_v on homological coordinates.

The formula (1.9) has an interesting corollary, first proved in [4] by a different method. Namely, assume that the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} remains fixed, while the differential v is changed to $v + \epsilon v_a$ where

$$v_a(x) = \frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)}$$

is a (normalized) meromorphic differential of the second kind having a pole of second order at $a \notin (v)$. Denote by δ_a the derivative with respect to ϵ at $\epsilon = 0$.

Variations corresponding to different points of the Riemann surface satisfy the following algebra:

$$[\delta_a, \delta_b] = \frac{B(a, b)}{v(a)v(b)}(\delta_a - \delta_b),$$

whose structure constants are given by the canonical bidifferential B .

Acting by δ_a on the BA kernel we see that the first term in the integrand of the formula (1.9) does not contribute when δ_a is applied to $S^{(v)}(x, y)$; the second term of the integrand gives the following equation (which in slightly different form first appeared in [4]):

$$\delta_a S^{(v)}(x, y) = \frac{S^{(v)}(x, a)S^{(v)}(a, y)}{v(a)}. \quad (1.10)$$

For a fixed Riemann surface the variational formula (1.9) implies the equations for the Baker-Akhiezer kernel, which are equivalent to the integrable hierarchy of KP type, being written in terms of standard ‘‘times’’ of these hierarchies. If the Riemann surface also varies, these formulas describe the dependence

of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel on moduli of the Riemann surface, providing a simple and geometrically transparent alternative to an earlier construction by Grinevich and Orlov [11].

Another application of the variational formulas on spaces of meromorphic differentials is the theory of Bergman tau-function. So far the notion of Bergman tau-function was introduced for two kinds of spaces, namely, for Hurwitz spaces, and the spaces of holomorphic differentials over Riemann surfaces. In the context of Hurwitz spaces the Bergman tau-function coincides with the isomonodromic tau-function of matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem associated to Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds [7, 26]. The Bergman tau-function is also a non-trivial ingredient of the Jimbo-Miwa tau-function of Riemann-Hilbert problems with quasi-permutation monodromy groups [25]; it appears also in large N expansion of partition function of hermitian matrix models [12].

The Bergman tau-function on spaces of holomorphic differentials arises in the problem of holomorphic factorization of determinant of Laplace operator on Riemann surfaces with flat metrics with conical singularities and trivial holonomy [21]; from the point of view of conformal field theory this tau-function can be interpreted as chiral scalar partition function of free bosonic field theory on a Riemann surface (with respect to such flat metric), see for example [34].

The moduli spaces of *holomorphic* differentials over Riemann surfaces have also recently attracted a lot of attention of experts working in the area of dynamical systems: it turns out that geometry of these spaces is very closely related to fundamental properties of the Teichmüller flow (the sum of Lyapunov exponents of such flow, see [13, 36] and references therein). In particular, according to the fundamental theorem of [36], the sum of Lyapunov exponents can be expressed via the Hodge class and other divisor classes on such spaces. Study of global properties of the Bergman tau-function allows to get new relations between various divisor classes on moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials [27]; these relations turn out to be useful in deriving the formulas for the sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Teichmüller flow [13].

Geometrically, the Bergman tau-function on spaces of holomorphic differentials, as well as on Hurwitz spaces, is a section of Hodge line bundle multiplied by a degree of the tautological line bundle. A detailed study of analytical properties of the tau-function allows to express the Hodge class in terms of other geometrically important divisor classes on spaces of admissible covers (the compactifications of Hurwitz spaces) [25] and spaces of holomorphic differentials [27].

In this paper we extend the notion of Bergman tau-function to spaces of meromorphic differentials. In particular, this allows to extend the results about determinant of Laplace operator on flat Riemann surfaces to the physically important case of Mandelstam diagram (such diagrams correspond to spaces of differentials of third kind). Since spaces of meromorphic differentials contain Hurwitz spaces and spaces of holomorphic differentials as natural subspaces, this provides a unifying framework for results of [21, 27, 22]. Moreover, the Bergman tau-function on spaces of meromorphic differentials should be more suitable for use in the theory of random matrices and Chekhov-Eynard-Orantin topological recursion than its version on Hurwitz spaces used in [12].

In analogy to [22, 27], introduce on \mathcal{L} the meromorphic differential Q_v , which is essentially given by the zero order term of the asymptotics of the Bergman bidifferential $B(x, y) = d_x d_y \log E(x, y)$ near diagonal:

$$Q_v(x) = \frac{1}{v(x)} \left(B(x, y) - \frac{v(x)v(y)}{\left(\int_x^y v\right)^2} \right) \Big|_{y=x} \quad (1.11)$$

(up to the factor $1/v(x)$, Q_v is given by the Bergman projective connection computed in the local parameter $\zeta(x) = \int^x v$).

The differential Q_v has several important properties. First, $Q_v(x)$ is holomorphic at all points x where v is holomorphic and non-vanishing (i.e. for $x \notin (v)$). At zero x_i of order k_i ($i = n+1, \dots, n+m$) the differential Q_v has pole of order $k_i + 2$. At pole x_i of v of order greater than 2 (i.e. if $-k_i > 2$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$) the differential Q_v has zero of order $k_i - 2$. At pole of v of order 2 the differential Q_v has zero of order at least 1. Finally, if at some point v has simple pole with residue r , then Q_v also has simple pole at this point, and its residue equals $-(12r)^{-1}$.

When some poles of v are simple, the formalism of Bergman tau-function becomes more complicated in comparison with the case when all poles of v are of higher order. Therefore, we consider the case of

spaces of second kind differentials (assuming that all poles of v are of order greater or equal than 2 and all residues of v vanish) separately; this case turns out to be a relatively straightforward generalization of corresponding formalism for spaces of holomorphic differentials and Hurwitz spaces.

Suppose that in $(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} k_i x_i$ all $k_i \neq -1$, i.e. all poles of v have order 2 and higher, and, moreover, all residues at these poles vanish. In that case homological coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ are given by integrals of v over some basis in the homology group of \mathcal{L} , relative to the set of zeros of v :

$$H_1(\mathcal{L}; \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}) \quad (1.12)$$

A set of generators $\{s_i\}$ in the group (1.12) can be chosen to consist of canonical a and b -cycles (a_α, b_α) on \mathcal{L} , and contours l_i which connect the first zero x_{n+1} of v with zeros x_{n+i} . The set of dual generators s_i^* then consists of cycles $-b_\alpha$, a_α and small circles around x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+m} .

Then the Bergman tau-function on the given stratum of the space of differentials of the second kind is defined as follows:

$$d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^{2g+m-1} \left(\int_{s_i^*} Q_v \right) d \left(\int_{s_i} v \right) \quad (1.13)$$

The 1-form in the right-hand side of (1.13) is closed as a corollary of variational formulas on the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$; this implies that the tau-function can be defined locally in a neighborhood of any point of $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$.

As well as in the cases of holomorphic differentials and Hurwitz spaces, the τ -function $\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ can be computed explicitly in terms of theta-functions and prime-forms. Moreover, for certain power N depending on multiplicities $\{k_i\}$, $\tau_B^N(\mathcal{L}, v)$ turns out to be a section of the product of N th power of the Hodge line bundle over $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ and certain power of the tautological line bundle. Since τ_B is non-singular and non-vanishing on the (open part of) the moduli space, this allows to express the Hodge class via the tautological class in the Picard group of (the open part of) the moduli space. We consider in detail the simplest non-trivial genus one situation, when the differential has only one pole of certain multiplicity k , and one zero of the same multiplicity; in this case a degree of the Bergman tau-function is a modular form of level k .

By combining the Bergman tau-function with theta-function and certain exponential term, we introduce the object which we denote by τ_{BA} and call the Bergman-Akhiezer tau-function:

$$\tau_{BA}(\mathcal{L}, v, \chi) = e^{-\pi i \langle p_v, q_v \rangle} \tau_B^{-1/2} \vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) \quad (1.14)$$

The Baker-Akhiezer tau-function (taken to an appropriate power to get rid of certain roots of unity) is a modular-invariant object: it is independent of the choice of canonical basis of cycles. While the Bergman tau-function is defined from regularized (near diagonal $x = y$) Bergman canonical bidifferential $B(x, y)$, the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function can be defined intrinsically via regularization of the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel at the diagonal. In the case of exact differentials v the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function coincides with the isomonodromic tau-function of Riemann-Hilbert problems with quasi-permutation monodromy matrices [24, 25].

The Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of differentials of third kind is of particular interest due to its connection with chiral partition function of free bosons on Mandelstam diagrams [17]. However, in that case the natural definition of the Bergman tau-function becomes less invariant than in the cases of differentials of first and second kind: it can be defined for fixed values of the residues of the differentials, and the definition depends in particular on the choice of the ‘‘first’’ zero of the differential. Otherwise the formalism resembles a lot the simpler cases of differentials of first and second kind. Here we treat in detail the first non-trivial case of genus 0 and 4 simple poles.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce all necessary objects, define homological coordinates and derive variational formulas for holomorphic differentials, canonical bimeromorphic differential and Szegő kernel on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials of an arbitrary type. In Section 3 we introduce the Baker-Akhiezer kernel and study its variations with respect to homological coordinates; we specially consider variations preserving the conformal class of the Riemann surface, since these variations enter the KP-type hierarchies corresponding to the BA kernel. In Section 4 we define the Bergman

and Baker-Akhiezer tau-functions on spaces of meromorphic differentials of second kind, study their basic properties, discuss the corresponding line bundles and deduce new relations in the Picard group of the moduli spaces of differentials of second kind. In Section 5 we define Bergman tau-function on spaces of differentials of third kind, discuss ambiguities arising in this case, and study the simplest non-trivial example in detail.

2. Variational formulas on spaces of meromorphic differentials in homological coordinates

2.1. Auxiliary objects. Here we introduce a few auxiliary objects. On a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g introduce a canonical basis of cycles (a_α, b_α) in $H_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{Z})$. Denote by $B(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ the Bergman bidifferential, which is the symmetric bimeromorphic differential on \mathcal{L} having quadratic pole with biresidue 1 on the diagonal and vanishing a -periods. The bidifferential B is expressed via the the prime-form $E(x, y)$ as follows: $B(x, y) = d_x d_y \log E(x, y)$. Consider a basis of holomorphic differentials u_α on \mathcal{L} normalized as follows:

$$\oint_{a_\alpha} u_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (2.1)$$

The b -periods of u_α give the matrix of b -periods Ω of \mathcal{L} :

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \oint_{b_\alpha} u_\beta \quad (2.2)$$

Choosing some local coordinate ξ near the diagonal $\{x = y\} \subset \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$, we have the following expansion of $B(x, y)$ near the diagonal:

$$B(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{(\xi(x) - \xi(y))^2} + \frac{S_B(\xi(x))}{6} + O((\xi(x) - \xi(y))^2) \right) d\xi(x) d\xi(y), \quad (2.3)$$

where S_B is a projective connection on \mathcal{L} called the *Bergman projective connection*.

If two canonical bases of cycles on \mathcal{L} , $\{a'_\alpha, b'_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^g$ and $\{a_\alpha, b_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^g$ are related by a matrix

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2g, \mathbf{Z}), \quad (2.4)$$

then the corresponding Bergman bidifferentials are related as follows (see item 4 on page 21 of [9]):

$$B^\sigma(x, y) = B(x, y) - 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g [(c\Omega + d)^{-1}c]_{\alpha\beta} u_\alpha(x) u_\beta(y). \quad (2.5)$$

The matrix of b -periods Ω^σ corresponding to the new canonical basis of cycles, is related to Ω as follows:

$$\Omega^\sigma = (a\Omega + b)(c\Omega + d)^{-1} \quad (2.6)$$

Let us introduce the theta-function $\vartheta_{pq}(z, \Omega)$ (see [33] for its definition and properties):

$$\vartheta_{pq}(z, \Omega) = e^{\pi i \langle p, \Omega p \rangle + 2\pi i \langle p, z+q \rangle} \vartheta(z + \Omega p + q) \quad (2.7)$$

where the standard theta-function $\vartheta(z)$ is given by

$$\vartheta(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i \langle \Omega m, m \rangle + 2\pi i \langle \Omega z, m \rangle) \quad (2.8)$$

We shall also use the following modified theta-function with vanishing argument z :

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}(0) = e^{-\pi i \langle p, q \rangle} \vartheta_{pq}(0, \Omega); \quad (2.9)$$

in [33] (formula (5.3)) this function is denoted by $\vartheta^\alpha[p, q](0)$; the advantage of $\tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}$ in comparison with $\vartheta_{pq}(0, \Omega)$ is that it's transformation law under change of symplectic homology basis is simpler than the transformation of $\vartheta_{pq}(0)$.

The next key object we introduce in this section is the Szegö kernel.

Let χ be an arbitrary line bundle of degree $g - 1$; denote corresponding divisor class by δ_χ and define two vectors $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^g$ via relation

$$\Omega p + q = \mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\delta_\chi) + K^{x_0} \quad (2.10)$$

where K^{x_0} is the vector of Riemann constants corresponding to an arbitrary basepoint $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$; \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is the Abel map corresponding to the same base point.

Components of vectors p and q are related to holonomies of the line bundle χ along the basic cycles:

$$p_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \text{Hol}(\chi, a_\alpha), \quad q_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \text{Hol}(\chi, b_\alpha) \quad (2.11)$$

Assume that χ does not have holomorphic sections (i.e. $h^0(\chi) = 0$); then Riemann's theorem implies $\vartheta_{pq}(0) \neq 0$ and we can define the Szegő kernel $S_\chi(x, y)$ corresponding to the twisted spin line bundle χ via the following formula¹

$$S_\chi(x, y) := S_{pq}(x, y) = \frac{\vartheta_{pq}(\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{A}(y))}{\vartheta_{pq}(0)E(x, y)} \quad (2.12)$$

The Szegő kernel is the Cauchy reproducing kernel in the line bundle χ ; $S_{pq}(x, y)$ is a section of the line bundle χ with respect to x , and of the line bundle $\chi^{-1} \otimes K$ (K is the canonical line bundle) with respect to y .

From transformation of the vector of Riemann constants under the change of canonical basis of cycles given by (2.4) (see for example lemma 1.5 of [10]) and (2.10), it follows that the vectors p and q transform as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p^\sigma \\ q^\sigma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (c^t d)_0 \\ (a^t b)_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

where the index “0” denotes the diagonal part of the corresponding matrix.

The “modified” theta-function $\tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}(0)$ transforms under the symplectic change (2.4) of canonical basis as follows ((5.4) in [33]):

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{p^\sigma q^\sigma}(0|\Omega^\sigma) = \gamma(\sigma) \det^{1/2}(c\Omega + d) \tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}(0|\Omega) \quad (2.14)$$

where $\gamma(\sigma)$ is an 8th root of unity: $\gamma^8 = 1$.

Let us now introduce the holomorphic differential

$$w(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \partial_\alpha \log \vartheta_{pq}(0) u_\alpha(x) \quad (2.15)$$

In terms of differential w we can write the following simple formulas for derivatives of the modified theta-function $\tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}$ with respect to components of characteristic vectors p and q :

LEMMA 1. *The following formulas hold:*

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} \log \tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}(0) = \pi i q_\alpha + \oint_{b_\alpha} w \quad (2.16)$$

$$\frac{d}{dq_\alpha} \log \tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}(0) = -\pi i p_\alpha + \oint_{a_\alpha} w \quad (2.17)$$

The *proof* of this lemma immediately follows from the definitions (2.8), (2.7) and (2.9) of theta-function with characteristics and the modified theta-function $\tilde{\vartheta}_{pq}$.

□

The next proposition (strangely enough we did not find it in existing literature) describes variation of Szegő kernel with respect to vectors p and q (i.e. it describes variation of $S_\chi(x, y)$ with respect to holonomies of the line bundle χ).

PROPOSITION 1. *The following variational formulas for the Szegő kernel with respect to components of characteristic vectors p and q hold:*

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) = - \oint_{b_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, t) S_{pq}(t, y) \quad (2.18)$$

¹In the sequel we shall use both notations $S_\chi(x, y)$ and $S_{pq}(x, y)$ for the Szegő kernel. In principle, $S_\chi(x, y)$ is a preferable notation: since the line bundle χ is an object chosen independently of canonical basis of cycles, the Szegő kernel $S_\chi(x, y)$ is manifestly independent of the choice of canonical basis. On the other hand, vectors p and q do depend on this choice, and notation $S_{pq}(x, y)$ hides this invariance.

$$\frac{d}{dq_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) = - \oint_{a_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, t) S_{pq}(t, y) \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Let us consider (2.18). The Szegő kernel has the following transformation law under analytical continuation along cycle a_α :

$$S_{pq}(x + a_\alpha, y) = e^{2\pi i p_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) \quad (2.20)$$

Differentiating (2.20) with respect to p_α , we get:

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x + a_\alpha, y) = 2\pi i e^{2\pi i p_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y) + e^{2\pi i p_\alpha} \frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$$

which, due to (2.20), can also be written as

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x_+, y) = 2\pi i S_{pq}(x_+, y) + e^{2\pi i p_\alpha} \frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x_-, y) \quad (2.21)$$

where $x_- = x$ and $x_+ = x + a_\alpha$ are points on different sides of the cycle b_α . Relation (2.21) shows that $\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$ is a section of χ (with respect to x) which has an additive jump $2\pi i S_{pq}(x, y)$ on the cycle b_α . Since $S_{pq}(x, y)$ is itself nothing but the Cauchy kernel in the bundle χ , it can be used to get an alternative expression for $\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$. Namely, integrating the additive jump function $2\pi i e^{2\pi i p_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, t)$ multiplied with the Cauchy kernel $S_{pq}(t, y)$ along the cycle b_α , we see that $\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$ is given by (2.18).

Similar analysis for $\frac{d}{dq_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$ taking into account the automorphy property $S_{pq}(x + b_\alpha, y) = e^{-2\pi i q_\alpha} S_{pq}(x, y)$ with respect to b -cycle, gives (2.19) (the sign in (2.19) is the same as in (2.18): one minus sign arises from “-” in the automorphy factor, and another minus sign arises from skew-symmetry of the intersection index $a_\alpha \circ b_\alpha$).

□

REMARK 1. Notice that the variational formulas (2.18), (2.19) are consistent with the asymptotic of the Szegő kernel near diagonal, as $y \rightarrow x$ ([10], p.29), which in a local coordinate ξ can be written as follows:

$$S_{pq}(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\xi(y) - \xi(x)} + C_0(x) + C_1(x)(\xi(y) - \xi(x)) \right\} \sqrt{d\xi(x)} \sqrt{d\xi(y)} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$C_0(x) = \frac{w(x)}{d\xi(x)}, \quad (2.23)$$

(the differential $w(x)$ is given by (2.15));

$$C_1(x) = \frac{1}{12} S_B(\xi(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \partial_\alpha \log \vartheta_{pq}(0) \frac{d}{d\xi(x)} \left(\frac{u_\alpha(x)}{d\xi(x)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \log \vartheta_{pq}(0) \frac{u_\alpha(x) u_\beta(x)}{(d\xi(x))^2}; \quad (2.24)$$

∂_α denotes derivative of theta-function with respect to its α th argument and ξ is an arbitrary local coordinate near x . Let us for simplicity look at (2.19); the characteristic q corresponds to the shift of the argument of theta-function by q and, therefore, $\partial_{q_\alpha} \vartheta_{pq}(0) = \partial_\alpha \vartheta_{pq}(0)$. Differentiating asymptotics (2.22) with respect to q_β , we get that, as $y \rightarrow x$,

$$\partial_{q_\beta} S_{pq}(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \log \vartheta_{pq}(0) u_\alpha(x). \quad (2.25)$$

On the other hand, taking the limit $y \rightarrow x$ in the formula (2.19), using the well-known relation (formula (39) of [9])

$$S_{pq}(x, t) S_{pq}(t, x) = -B(x, t) - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \log \vartheta_{pq}(0) u_\alpha(x) u_\beta(t) \quad (2.26)$$

where $B(x, t)$ is the Bergman bidifferential, and taking into account that B has vanishing a -periods with respect to both variables, we come to the same formula (2.25).

Similarly one can verify that the asymptotics (2.22) is consistent with (2.18) as $y \rightarrow x$; the calculation in this case is slightly more complicated since b -periods of $B(x, y)$ don't vanish; up to factor of $2\pi i$ they are given by the corresponding holomorphic differentials u_α .

Let us now consider some Abelian differential v on \mathcal{L} ; it could be a differential of either first, second or third kind on \mathcal{L} ; it can be also a meromorphic differential of mixed type (i.e having both poles of higher order and residues).

Introduce the meromorphic differential Q_v , which is given by the zero order term of the asymptotics of the Bergman bidifferential on the diagonal:

$$Q_v = \frac{B_{reg}(x, x)}{v(x)},$$

where the regularization is done using the differential v , i.e.,

$$Q_v(x) = \frac{1}{v(x)} \left(B(x, y) - \frac{v(x)v(y)}{\left(\int_x^y v\right)^2} \right) \Big|_{y=x}. \quad (2.27)$$

To give an alternative definition of Q_v we denote by $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ the usual Schwarzian derivative. Define the following meromorphic projective connection associated with differential v :

$$S_v := \left\{ \int^x v, \xi(x) \right\} \equiv \frac{v''}{v} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{v'}{v} \right)^2, \quad (2.28)$$

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to a local coordinate ξ .

Since for a given 1-differential v , S_v is a meromorphic projective connection on \mathcal{L} , the difference $S_B - S_v$ is a meromorphic quadratic differential. Dividing $S_B - S_v$ by v we get the differential Q_v :

$$Q_v := \frac{1}{6} \frac{S_B - S_v}{v} \quad (2.29)$$

The meromorphic Abelian differential Q_v , constructed from the (holomorphic or meromorphic) Abelian differential v plays the key role in the construction of the Bergman tau-function. Notice that Q_v is determined by v and a choice of canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} .

REMARK 2. Using the definition of Schwarzian derivative (2.28) it is easy to verify that at pole x_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) of order $-k_i$ the differential Q_v has zero of order $k_i - 2$ for poles of order 3 and higher (i.e. when $-k_i \geq 3$). At a pole of v of second order, i.e. when $k_i = -2$, the differential Q_v has zero of order at least 1. Finally, at a simple pole of v with residue r , the differential Q_v also has a simple pole, and its residue equals $-1/(12r)$. At the zero x_{n+i} of order k_{n+i} , the differential Q_v has pole of order $k_{n+i} + 2$.

As a corollary of (2.5), Q_v transforms as follows under symplectic transformation σ :

$$Q_v^\sigma(x) = Q_v(x) - 12\pi i \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g [(c\Omega + d)^{-1}c]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{u_\alpha(x)u_\beta(x)}{v(x)} \quad (2.30)$$

Another object we are going to use in the sequel is given by the following expression:

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}(x)} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g=1}^g \frac{\partial^g \vartheta(K^x)}{\partial z_{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_{\alpha_g}} u_{\alpha_1} \dots u_{\alpha_g}(x), \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}(x) := \det_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq g} \|u_\beta^{(\alpha-1)}(x)\| \quad (2.32)$$

is the Wronskian determinant of the basic holomorphic differentials, and K^x is the vector of Riemann constants with initial point x . The expression (2.31) is a $n(1-n)/2$ -differential which is non-single-valued on \mathcal{L} ; $\mathcal{C}(x)$ does not have any zeros or poles: the zeros of the Wronskian in the denominator are the Weierstrass points; the numerator has at the Weierstrass points zeros of the same order.

In the case of genus 1 the x -dependence in (2.31) drops out and $\mathcal{C}(x)$ turns into $\vartheta'((\Omega + 1)/2)$.

2.2. Variational formulas on spaces of meromorphic differentials. Following the notations introduced in the introduction, we consider the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ of meromorphic differentials v on Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g such that the divisor of v is given by $(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} k_i x_i$, with $k_1, \dots, k_n < 0$ and $k_{n+1}, \dots, k_{n+m} > 0$.

Considering the homology group of \mathcal{L} punctured at poles of v , relative to the set of zeros of v , which is denoted by

$$H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n; \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}), \quad (2.33)$$

we can choose a set of generators $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{2g+m+n-2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha = a_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g} = b_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k} = c_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ s_{2g+n-1+k} = l_{n+1+k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

where c_2, \dots, c_n are small contours around poles x_2, \dots, x_n ; l_{n+2}, \dots, l_{n+m} are contours connecting the “first” zero x_{n+1} with other zeros x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+m} .

The homological coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ are defined as integrals of v over $\{s_i\}$:

$$z_i = \int_{s_i} v, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2g+n+m-2 \quad (2.35)$$

The homology group dual to (2.33) is the homology group of \mathcal{L} , punctured at *zeros* of v , relative to the set of *poles* of v ; it is denoted by

$$H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n). \quad (2.36)$$

The dual to (2.34) set of generators $\{s_i^*\}_{i=1}^{2g+n+m-2}$ in the group (2.36) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* = -b_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g}^* = a_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k}^* = -\tilde{l}_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ s_{2g+n-1+k}^* = \tilde{c}_{n+1+k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where $\tilde{l}_2, \dots, \tilde{l}_n$ are contours connecting the “first” pole x_1 with other poles x_2, \dots, x_n , respectively; $\tilde{c}_{n+2}, \dots, \tilde{c}_{m+n}$ are small circles around the zeros x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+m} .

The variational formulas for the matrix of b -periods, basic holomorphic differentials, Bergman bidifferential and bidifferential Q_v formally look analogous to the case of the space of holomorphic differentials (see Theorem 3 of [21]).

To write down these formulas we introduce on \mathcal{L} a system of cuts homologous to a - and b -cycles to get the fundamental polygon $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$; inside of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ we also introduce branch cuts connecting poles of v with non-vanishing residues; these branch cuts are assumed to start at x_1 , i.e. they connect x_1 with x_2, \dots, x_n ; denote them by the same letters $\tilde{l}_2, \dots, \tilde{l}_n$ as their homology classes in (2.37). In this way we get a domain $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_0$ where the Abelian integral $z(x) = \int_{x_{n+1}}^x v$ is single-valued.

Now we are in a position to formulate the following theorem, which gives variational formulas on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ with respect to homological coordinates $z_i = \int_{s_i} v$.

THEOREM 1. *The variational formulas for matrix of b -periods Ω , normalized holomorphic differentials u_α , Bergman bidifferential $B(x, y)$ and differential Q_v on the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ look as follows (in the cases of u_α , $B(x, y)$ and $Q_v(x)$ the coordinates $z(x)$ and $z(y)$ remain fixed under differentiation):*

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{dz_i} = \int_{s_i^*} \frac{u_\alpha u_\beta}{v} \quad (2.38)$$

$$\frac{du_\alpha(x)}{dz_i} \Big|_{z(x)=const} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{u_\alpha(t) B(x, t)}{v(t)} \quad (2.39)$$

$$\frac{dB(x, y)}{dz_i} \Big|_{z(x), z(y)=const} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{B(x, t) B(y, t)}{v(t)} \quad (2.40)$$

$$\frac{dQ_v(x)}{dz_i} \Big|_{z(x)=const} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{B^2(x, t)}{v(t)} \quad (2.41)$$

The *proof* of this theorem follows the proof of Theorem 3 of [21]. The proof of variational formulas with respect to coordinates $\int_{a_\alpha} v$, $\int_{b_\alpha} v$ and $\int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_{n+j}} v$ repeat the corresponding proof from [21] verbatim.

Formally the new ingredient of the variational formulas on moduli spaces of *meromorphic* differentials is the variational formulas with respect to residues r_2, \dots, r_n of v at x_2, \dots, x_n . The logic of the proof of these variational formulas is also parallel to [21]. Namely, the residue at x_1 equals $-(r_2 + \dots + r_n)$. Consider, for example, the formula (2.39) with $z_i = r_2$. The differential in the left-hand-side of this formula has an additive jump on the contour \tilde{l}_2 , which is given by the differential $d(u_\alpha/v)$. Since the Bergman bidifferential plays the role of Cauchy kernel (with second order pole on the diagonal), such differential with additive jump on \tilde{l}_2 can be written as integral of $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{u_\alpha(t)B(x,t)}{v(t)}$ over \tilde{l}_2 , which gives the right-hand side of (2.39).

□

The variational formulas for Szegő kernel on moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials were not considered in [21], but in the context of Hurwitz spaces the variation of $S_{pq}(x, y)$ with respect to a critical value of meromorphic function was given in Theorem 3 of [25].

Generalizing this theorem from Hurwitz spaces to an arbitrary stratum $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ of the space of meromorphic differentials we get the following theorem. In this theorem W_t denotes the Wronskian with respect to variable t : locally for any two functions $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ it is given by $f'g - fg'$ (in the theory of integrable system it is also called the first Hirota derivative of f and g).

THEOREM 2. *Derivatives of Szegő kernel with respect to homological coordinates z_i on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ are given by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S_{pq}(x, y) \Big|_{z(x), z(y)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{W_t[S_{pq}(x, t), S_{pq}(t, y)]}{v(t)} \quad (2.42)$$

We notice that the Wronskian $W_t[S_{pq}(x, t), S_{pq}(t, y)]$ is a quadratic differential with respect to t ; dividing it by $v(t)$ we get a meromorphic differential on \mathcal{L} (with respect to t).

The *proof* of (2.42), when coordinate z_i is one of integrals between zeros of v : $z_i = \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_{n+i}} v$, coincides with the proof of Theorem 3 of [25], where variational formula for Szegő kernel with respect to branch points of a branched covering were proved.

The variational formulas with respect to other coordinates are obtained by an elementary combination of the proof of Th.3 of [21] and Th.3 of [25].

REMARK 3. (Variational formulas in fatgraph picture). Variational formulas given by Theorems 1 and 2 admit a nice reformulation in terms of fatgraphs with chosen orientation of edges. Namely, assume that $n \geq 1$ i.e. v has at least one pole. Then there exists a (non-unique) fatgraph Γ with m vertices at zeros of v such that the union of faces of Γ coincides with C , and inside of each face one has exactly one pole of v (i.e. there are n faces). The number of edges then equals $2g - 2 + n + m$ and coincides with the dimension of the homology group (2.33). Thus one can choose the (homology classes of oriented) edges as a basis $\{s_i\}$ in (2.33). Let us now consider the dual graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$; it has n vertices which coincide with poles of v . Each face of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ contains exactly one zero of v . The number of edges of Γ coincides with the number of edges of $\tilde{\Gamma}$; to each (oriented) edge s_i of Γ one can uniquely assign its dual, which is an oriented edge s_i^* of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The set of oriented edges $\{s_i^*\}$ is a basis in the dual homology group (2.36). By construction of the dual graph the intersection index of s_i^* and s_j equals δ_{ij} . Therefore, one can use the edges of the fatgraph Γ to define the homological coordinates $z_i = \int_{s_i} v$; in the right hand sides of all variational formulas above one then has to integrate over the corresponding dual edge s_i^* of the dual fatgraph $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

2.3. Deformations preserving the complex structure of the base Riemann surface. Generically, when $m > g - 1$, the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ is greater than $3g - 3 + n$ (which is the dimension of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus g with n marked points (for $g > 1$)). The natural question in this case is how to find those combinations of the vector fields ∂_{z_i} on the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ which do not change the complex structure of the Riemann surface C and positions of n marked points (the poles of v). The simplest answer can be given in the case when all zeros of the differential v are simple, i.e. $k_{n+1} = \dots = k_{n+m} = 1$. Then $m = 2g - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ and the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ equals

$4g - 4 + n - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. Since the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ equals $3g - 3 + n$, there exist $g - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ linearly independent combinations of ∂_{z_i} which preserve the complex structure of the Riemann surface C and marked points x_1, \dots, x_n .

The number $g - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ equals the dimension of the linear vector space of Abelian differentials w such that $(w) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i$ (w is an arbitrary linear combination of holomorphic differentials and normalized differentials of second and third kind with poles at poles of v of order less or equal to $-k_i$).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let w be an arbitrary Abelian differential on C such that $(w) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i$. Then the vector field*

$$V_w := \sum_{i=1}^{2g+n+m-2} \left(\int_{s_i} w \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \quad (2.43)$$

preserves the moduli of the Riemann surface C and positions of points x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proof. Since we assumed that all zeros of v are simple, all zeros of the differential $v^\epsilon = v + \epsilon w$ are also simple for ϵ small enough. The differential v^ϵ is defined on the same Riemann surface and has the same set of poles as v . Therefore, the vector field $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}$ does not change the complex structure of C and positions of poles. On the other hand, expressing this vector field via $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$, we see that $\frac{d}{d\epsilon} = V_w$.

□

One can alternatively check that the matrix of b -periods does not change along the orbits of the vector fields (2.43); this can be done using variational formulas (2.38) and Riemann bilinear identity.

The coefficients of vector fields (2.43) which are given by integrals of w over all generators s_i , can be easily computed for any of basic differentials w (i.e. holomorphic normalized differentials and normalized differentials of second and third kind with poles at x_1, \dots, x_n).

A more non-trivial consideration is required to treat the case of zeros of v of an arbitrary multiplicity, since after adding of a small perturbation to v the zeros of higher order generically split into several simple zeros, and the perturbed differential leaves the original stratum of the moduli space.

Below we consider the case $n = 0$, i.e. the case of spaces of holomorphic differentials with zeros at x_1, \dots, x_m of multiplicities k_1, \dots, k_m such that $k_1 + \dots + k_m = 2g - 2$.

According to Torelli's theorem, to determine which vector fields do not change the conformal structure of the Riemann surface C , one needs to find those linear combinations V_j of the vector fields ∂_{z_i} , which annihilate the matrix of b -periods Ω . The number of such combinations is $m - g + 2$ (this number arises as difference of the dimension of the stratum of the moduli space of holomorphic differentials ($2g + m - 1$) and the dimension of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces ($3g - 3$) i.e. it equals g when all zeros are simple and $m = 2g - 2$); if $m \leq g - 2$, then such vector fields are generically absent.

Let us write V_j as a linear combinations of ∂_{z_i} :

$$V_j = \sum_{\gamma=1}^g \left\{ A_j^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial(\int_{a_\gamma} v)} + B_j^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial(\int_{b_\gamma} v)} \right\} + \sum_{k=2}^m C_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial(\int_{l_k} v)} \quad (2.44)$$

where the matrices A , B and C will be determined from conditions $V_j(\Omega) = 0$.

According to variational formulas (2.38),

$$V_j(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^g \left\{ -A_j^\gamma \int_{b_\gamma} \frac{v_\alpha v_\beta}{v} + B_j^\gamma \int_{a_\gamma} \frac{v_\alpha v_\beta}{v} \right\} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=2}^m C_j^k \operatorname{res}_{|x_k} \frac{v_\alpha v_\beta}{v} \quad (2.45)$$

Let us now assume that the curve C is non-hyperelliptic (according to [28], hyperelliptic curves span a separate connected component of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials). Then linear combinations of products $v_\alpha v_\beta$ of holomorphic normalized differentials span the whole space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on C . In turn, if q is a holomorphic quadratic differential on C , then $u = q/v$ is a meromorphic Abelian differential with poles of order k_i at x_i ; moreover, by choosing an appropriate q one can get an arbitrary meromorphic differential u such that $(u) \geq -\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i$. A basis in the space of such differentials u consists of basic normalized differentials u_1, \dots, u_g , normalized differentials of the third kind $w_{x_1 x_i}$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$ and normalized differentials of the second kind $v_{x_i}^{(s)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $s =$

$2, \dots, k_i$, corresponding to some choice of local parameters near x_i (notice that this basis consists of $g + (m - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i - 1) = 3g - 3$ differentials, which coincides with the dimension of the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials q). (We recall that the differentials of second kind are defined as follows: $v_{x_i}^{(s)}$ has pole of order s at x_i with the singular part given by $\xi_i^{-s} d\xi_i$, where ξ_i is a local parameter near x_i , and all a -periods of $v_{x_i}^{(s)}$ vanish).

Now, requiring that

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^g \left\{ -A_j^\gamma \int_{b_\gamma} u + B_j^\gamma \int_{a_\gamma} u \right\} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=2}^m C_j^k \operatorname{res}|_{x_k} u = 0 \quad (2.46)$$

for any u from this list, we get a set of relations for coefficients of matrices A , B and C . The periods of differentials u_α , $w_{x_1 x_i}$ and $v_{x_i}^{(s)}$ look as follows:

$$\oint_{a_\beta} u_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \oint_{b_\beta} u_\alpha = \Omega_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \operatorname{res}|_{x_k} \{w_{x_1 x_i}\} = 1 \quad (2.47)$$

$$\oint_{b_\beta} w_{x_1 x_i} = 2\pi i \int_{l_i} u_\beta, \quad \oint_{b_\beta} v_{x_i}^{(s)} = 2\pi i \frac{u_\beta^{(s-2)}(x_i)}{(s-1)!}, \quad (2.48)$$

where $u_\beta^{(s-2)}(x_i)$ stands for $\left. \frac{d^{s-2}}{d\xi_i^{s-2}} \left(\frac{u_\beta}{d\xi_i} \right) \right|_{\xi_i=0}$. All other periods of these differentials which enter (2.46), vanish.

Let us introduce the column vector of holomorphic normalized differentials $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_g)^t$.

Substituting (2.47) and (2.48) into (2.46), we come to the following

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose that the point (C, v) does not belong to the hyperelliptic component of the corresponding stratum of the moduli space of holomorphic differentials. Let A be an arbitrary matrix of size $(m - g + 2) \times g$ and rank $m - g + 2$ containing all b -periods of differentials $v_{x_i}^{(s)}$ in its kernel, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{u}^{(s)}(x_i) \in \ker A \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad s = 0, \dots, k_i - 2 \quad (2.49)$$

(the number of these equations equals $2g - 2 - m$).

Then vector fields (2.44), where matrices B and C are given by

$$B = A\Omega \quad C = A \left(\int_{l_2} \mathbf{u}, \dots, \int_{l_m} \mathbf{u} \right), \quad (2.50)$$

preserve the complex structure of the Riemann surface C .

Notice that conditions (2.49) are empty if all zeros of v are simple; in that case A can be chosen to be the $g \times g$ unit matrix. This situation was already covered by the previous proposition.

3. Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials

3.1. Definition and basic properties. Consider a line bundle χ of degree $g - 1$ over \mathcal{L} and define corresponding characteristic vectors $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^g$ via (2.10).

Define now two new vectors $p_v, q_v \in \mathbf{C}^g$, which coincide with vectors p and q up to periods of the meromorphic differential v :

$$(p_v)_\alpha = p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a_\alpha} v; \quad (q_v)_\alpha = q_\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b_\alpha} v \quad (3.1)$$

Introduce the line bundle χ_v of degree $g - 1$, depending on the differential v , such that the corresponding divisor class δ_{χ_v} satisfies $\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\delta_{\chi_v}) + K_{x_0} = \Omega p_v + q_v$.

The Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel is defined by the triple (Riemann surface, meromorphic differential v , line bundle χ of degree $g - 1$); it is expressed via the Szegő kernel by the formula:

$$S^{(v)}(x, y) = S_{p_v, q_v}(x, y) \exp \left\{ \int_y^x v \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$S^{(v)}(x, y) = \frac{\vartheta[p_v, q_v](\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{A}(y))}{\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)E(x, y)} \exp \left\{ \int_y^x v \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

As well as the Szegő kernel, the Baker-Akhiezer (briefly BA) kernel is invariant under symplectic transformations of the canonical basis of cycles. We notice that vectors p_v and q_v , given by (3.1), generically have complex-valued components, since we don't impose here any reality conditions on periods of the differential v .

The BA kernel is well-defined if $h^0(\chi_v) = 0$ i.e. the theta-function in the denominator is non-vanishing:

$$\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) \neq 0; \quad (3.4)$$

therefore, the BA kernel is defined on the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ outside of the divisor defined by equation $\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) = 0$, or, equivalently,

$$\Omega p_v + q_v \in (\Theta), \quad (3.5)$$

where (Θ) is the theta-divisor on Jacobian $J(\mathcal{L})$.

The divisor in the moduli space defined by relation (3.5) for fixed vectors p and q (i.e. for fixed moduli of the line bundle χ), will be denoted by (Θ^χ) :

$$(\mathcal{L}, v) \in (\Theta^\chi) \Leftrightarrow \Omega p_v + q_v \in (\Theta). \quad (3.6)$$

If the differential v does not have residues (i.e. it is an Abelian differential of second kind), then the Baker-Akhiezer kernel (3.2) is a section of the line bundle χ with respect to variable x , and $\chi^{-1} \otimes K$ with respect to variable y . With respect to each variable x and y the kernel $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ has essential singularities at poles of v . Moreover, $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ has simple pole on the diagonal $x = y$.

If v is a differential of third kind having only simple poles then the kernel $S^{(v)}(x, y)$ does not have essential singularities; instead it has regular singularities at poles of v , connected by branch cuts.

If v has residues at some of its poles, there are also branch cuts connecting these poles with non-trivial residues.

For a fixed Riemann surface \mathcal{L} , the variational formulas (2.18) and (2.19) which describe dependence of the Szegő kernel on characteristic vectors p and q , have the following interesting corollary. Namely, assume that the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} and vectors p, q remain unchanged, while the differential v is changed to $v_\epsilon := v + \epsilon v_a$ where

$$v_a(x) = \frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)} \quad (3.7)$$

is the meromorphic differential of the second kind having a pole of second order at point a (not coinciding with any of poles or zeros of v), and vanishing periods over cycles a_α .

Denote by δ_a the derivative with respect to ϵ at $\epsilon = 0$. Obviously,

$$\delta_a v(x) = \frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)}. \quad (3.8)$$

The operators δ_a are vector fields on space of differentials of second kind for a fixed Riemann surface \mathcal{L} (clearly, δ_a don't generically preserve a given stratum of the moduli space since addition of $\frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)}$ changes the pole structure of v).

PROPOSITION 4. *Vector fields (3.8) satisfy the following commutation relations:*

$$[\delta_a, \delta_b] = \frac{B(a, b)}{v(a)v(b)} (\delta_a - \delta_b). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. To verify relation (3.9) on any functional on the space of meromorphic differentials it's sufficient to act by (3.9) on v itself. We have $\delta_a v(x) = \frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)}$ and

$$\delta_b \delta_a v(x) = -\frac{B(a, b)}{v(a)v(b)} \frac{B(x, a)}{v(a)} = -\frac{B(a, b)}{v(a)v(b)} \delta_a v(x),$$

which implies (3.9).

A simple computation shows that the algebra defined by (3.9) satisfies the Jacobi identity.

□

The following corollary of Proposition 1 shows that such variation of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel is given by a simple formula (called in [4] the “self-replication property”):

PROPOSITION 5. *Assume that a does not coincide with singularities of v . Then the δ_a variation of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel is given by the formula*

$$\delta_a S^{(v)}(x, y) = \frac{S^{(v)}(x, a)S^{(v)}(a, y)}{v(a)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Denote $v_\epsilon := v + \epsilon v_a$. For the BA kernel

$$S^{(v_\epsilon)}(x, y) = S_{p_{v_\epsilon}, q_{v_\epsilon}}(x, y) \exp \left\{ \int_y^x v_\epsilon \right\}$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} (p_{v_\epsilon})_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a_\alpha} v_a, \quad \frac{d}{d\epsilon} (q_{v_\epsilon})_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b_\alpha} v_a. \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, taking into account the formulas (2.18), (2.19) for derivatives of Szegő kernel with respect to components of characteristic vectors, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} S^{(v_\epsilon)}(x, y) &= e^{\int_y^x v} \left(\int_y^x v_a \right) S_{p_v, q_v}(x, y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \left[\left\{ - \left(\oint_{a_\alpha} v_a \right) \left(\oint_{b_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y) \right) + \left(\oint_{b_\alpha} v_a \right) \left(\oint_{a_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y) \right) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The sum over α in this formula can be rewritten via sum of residues of the differential

$$- \left(\int^t v_a \right) S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y)$$

inside of the fundamental polygon of \mathcal{L} (with respect to variable t). This differential has poles with residues at three points: $t = a$, $t = x$ and $t = y$. Sum of the residues at $t = x$ and $t = y$ cancels against the first term in (3.12), while the residue at $t = a$ gives the right-hand side of (3.10).

□

The next proposition describes the “Schlesinger transformation” of the BA kernel: we assume that the differential v is changed to $v + w_{ab}$, where w_{ab} is a normalized (all a -periods vanish) meromorphic differential of third kind w_{ab} with residues $+1$ and -1 at a and b , respectively:

PROPOSITION 6. *The following identity holds:*

$$S^{(v+w_{ab})}(x, y) = S^{(v)}(x, y) - \frac{S^{(v)}(x, b)S^{(v)}(a, y)}{S^{(v)}(a, b)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. The formula (3.13) can be easily derived from the standard Fay’s determinantal identity (formula (43) of [9]) for Szegő kernel, which for the case of 2×2 matrices (Fay’s trisecant identity) looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{pq}(x, y)S_{pq}(a, b) - S_{pq}(x, b)S_{pq}(a, y) \\ &= \frac{\vartheta[p, q](\mathcal{A}(x + a - y - b))}{\vartheta[p, q](0)} \frac{E(x, a)E(y, b)}{E(x, y)E(a, b)E(x, b)E(y, a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Using the definition of the BA kernel, the relation (3.13) can be immediately deduced from (3.14) □

Iteration of (3.13) gives determinantal formula for $S^{(v+w_{a_1 b_1} + \dots + w_{a_n b_n})}$ in terms of $S^{(v)}$; the same formula can be derived from $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Fay’s determinant identity for Szegő kernel.

The “Schlesinger transformation” formula (3.13) implies another interesting property of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel - the “reciprocity”:

PROPOSITION 7. *The Baker-Akhiezer kernel satisfies the following “reciprocity” property under addition to v of a normalized (all a -periods vanish) meromorphic differential of third kind w_{ab} with residues $+1$ and -1 at a and b , respectively:*

$$\frac{S^{(v+w_{ab})}(x, y)}{S^{(v)}(x, y)} = \frac{S^{(v+w_{xy})}(a, b)}{S^{(v)}(a, b)} \quad (3.15)$$

where x, y, a, b are four arbitrary points on \mathcal{L} .

The property (3.15) can be also easily checked directly, without using (3.13).

3.2. Variational formulas for the Baker-Akhiezer kernel. Propositions 5 and 7 describe dependence of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel on the choice of meromorphic differential v when the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} remains fixed. Using variation formula (2.42) of the Szegő kernel with respect to full moduli on the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ we can get the complete set of variational formulas for the Baker-Akhiezer kernel S_v on this space. Namely, combining the definition (3.2), (3.1) of the BA kernel with variational formulas (2.42) of Szegő kernel with respect to moduli coordinates z_i and components of characteristic vectors p_α, q_α , we get Theorem 3 below.

Let us first introduce the natural projection μ from the relative homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{n+m}; \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ of \mathcal{L} punctured at zeros of v , relative to the set of poles of v , to the absolute homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{Z})$ of \mathcal{L} (in terms of the generators (2.37) this projection looks as follows: it maps closed cycles a_α, b_α to corresponding generators of the absolute homology group, while all cycles \tilde{l}_k and \tilde{c}_{n+1+k} are mapped to 0). The projection μ enters the next formula since variation of Szegő kernel with respect to characteristic vectors (2.18), (2.19) involves only integrals along cycles from the absolute homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{Z})$.

Then the formulas (2.18), (2.19), together with the variational formula (2.42), immediately imply the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *The variational formulas for the Baker-Akhiezer kernel on the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ look as follows:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} S^{(v)}(x, y) \Big|_{z(x), z(y)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{t \in s_i^*} \frac{W_t[S^{(v)}(x, t), S^{(v)}(t, y)]}{v(t)} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t \in \mu(s_i^*)} S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y) \quad (3.16)$$

where, as usual, the differentiation with respect to homological coordinates $z_i = \int_{s_i} v$ in the left-hand side is performed, assuming that the integrals $z(x) = \int_{x_{n+1}}^x v$ and $z(y) = \int_{x_{n+1}}^y v$ remain constant; W_t stands for Wronskian with respect to variable t .

The second part of the variational formula (3.16) for BA kernel on spaces of meromorphic differentials encodes dependence of BA kernel on the differential v for fixed Riemann surface \mathcal{L} .

The first term in (3.16) encodes dependence of BA kernel on moduli of the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} .

In fact, the first term in the right-hand side of (3.16) gives a very simple alternative to construction of [11] where the dependence of the Baker-Akhiezer function on moduli of the underlying Riemann surface was first addressed.

Theorem 3 describes dependence of the BA kernel on coordinates on moduli space of meromorphic differentials for fixed vectors $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^g$. For a fixed point of the moduli space, dependence of the BA kernel on components of the vectors p and q follows from formulas (2.18), (2.19) for derivatives of the Szegő kernel with respect to components of the characteristic vectors. It is given by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 8. *The following variational formulas for the Baker-Akhiezer kernel with respect to components of real characteristic vectors p and q defining the line bundle χ hold:*

$$\frac{d}{dp_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, y) = - \oint_{b_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\frac{d}{dq_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, y) = - \oint_{a_\alpha} S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y). \quad (3.18)$$

3.3. Baker-Akhiezer kernel and KP times. Let us consider the case when the differential v has only one pole (of degree $k := -k_1$) at a point $a \in \mathcal{L}$. This is an object familiar from the theory of algebro-geometric solutions of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation (called the Krichever scheme).

Let us choose in a neighborhood of a some local coordinate $\xi(x)$ and write the singular part of v near a in the local parameter ξ :

$$v(\xi) = - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\xi^2} + \frac{2\lambda_2}{\xi^3} + \dots + \frac{(k-1)\lambda_{k-1}}{\xi^k} + O(1) \right) d\xi. \quad (3.19)$$

Then the differential v can be represented as follows:

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j v_j, \quad (3.20)$$

where the differential of second kind v_j has the following singular part at a :

$$v_j(x) = \left(-\frac{j}{\xi^{j+1}(x)} + O(1) \right) d\xi(x). \quad (3.21)$$

In contrast to the standard Krichever's scheme we don't normalize differential v by condition of vanishing of its a -periods. We notice that the decomposition (3.20) of the differential v depends on the choice of the local parameter ξ near a .

The variables λ_j are the so-called "KP-times"; and it's instructive to see how variational formulas for the BA kernel $S^{(v)}$ with respect to the KP times arise within our formalism. Namely, the application of the chain rule to formulas (2.18), (2.19) gives the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *Derivatives of the BA kernel with respect to KP times are given by:*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda_n} S^{(v)}(x, y) = \operatorname{res} \Big|_{t=a} \left\{ \frac{S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y)}{\xi^n(t)} \right\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, k-1 \quad (3.22)$$

The *Proof* is a simple exercise on the use of Riemann bilinear identity, analogous to proof of Proposition 5. Namely, analogously to (3.12), we can write, as a corollary of (2.18), (2.19):

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda_n} S^{(v)}(x, y) = S^{(v)}(x, y) \left(\int_y^x v_n \right) + \sum_{t \in \{x, y, a\}} \operatorname{res} \left\{ \left(\int^t v_n \right) S^{(v)}(x, t) S^{(v)}(t, y) \right\}; \quad (3.23)$$

sum of the residues at $t = x$ and $t = y$ cancels against the first term in (3.23); the residue at $t = a$ gives the right-hand side of (3.22).

□

4. Tau-functions on spaces of differentials of second kind

Here we are going to introduce the Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials, and study its relationship to KP tau-function and Baker-Akhiezer kernel.

In defining and studying the Bergman tau-function we encounter additional difficulties if the differential v has non-vanishing residues. These difficulties are avoided by considering spaces of differentials of second kind. On the other hand, spaces of differentials of second kind can also be viewed as natural generalizations of Hurwitz spaces (i.e. spaces of meromorphic functions of given degree on Riemann surfaces of given genus): namely, the Hurwitz spaces can be considered as spaces of *exact* meromorphic differentials of second kind. In other words, the Hurwitz spaces are subspaces of spaces of meromorphic differentials of second kind characterized by condition of vanishing of all absolute periods. On the other hand, when the poles are absent, the spaces of meromorphic differentials turn into spaces of holomorphic differentials. Therefore, by studying the tau-function on spaces of meromorphic differentials of second kind, we provide a unifying framework for tau-functions on Hurwitz spaces (which were originally introduced and studied in [25, 20, 22]) and tau-functions on spaces of holomorphic differentials (which were introduced and studied in [21, 27]).

Therefore, we don't consider here the important case when differential v is of third kind i.e. has only simple poles (this case is of particular interest in string theory since the corresponding Bergman tau-function in this case is the chiral partition function of free bosons on Riemann surfaces). The definition and study of the Bergman tau-function in this case encounters additional difficulties, which we shall discuss in the next section.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ corresponding to all vanishing residues at the poles $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

The dimension of the space $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ equals $2g + m - 1$ (in particular, if all zeros are simple, their number equals $m = 2g - 2 + k_1 + \dots + k_n$, and the dimension equals $4g - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$, coinciding with the dimension of the moduli space of holomorphic differentials with simple zeros if $n = 0$).

The set of homological coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ is constructed in the same way as the set of homological coordinates on spaces of general differentials, excluding the integrals around poles of v : we introduce a set of generators in the homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L}; \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{m+n})$ of the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} relative to the set of zeros of v :

$$s_\alpha = a_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g} = b_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k-n-1} = l_k, \quad k = n+2, \dots, n+m; \quad (4.1)$$

where l_{n+2}, \dots, l_{n+m} are contours connecting the "first" zero x_{n+1} of v with other zeros.

As well as in the general case of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$, the homological coordinates are defined as integrals of v over $\{s_i\}$: $z_i = \int_{s_i} v$.

The Hurwitz spaces (i.e. spaces of meromorphic functions of given degree and given multiplicities of poles and critical points) are subspaces of spaces $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ which correspond to exact differentials v : $v = df$, where f is the meromorphic function. Thus the Hurwitz spaces can be described in terms of homological coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ as subspaces defined by vanishing of all absolute periods of the differential v :

$$\int_{a_\alpha} v = \int_{b_\alpha} v = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The dual set of generators in the homology group $H_1(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{m+n})$ of the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} punctured at zeros of v is, in analogy to (2.37), given by

$$s_\alpha^* = -b_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g}^* = a_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k-n-1}^* = c_k, \quad k = n+2, \dots, n+m; \quad (4.3)$$

where c_k is a small positively oriented contour around zero x_k ($k = n+1, \dots, n+m$).

The variational formulas for matrix of b -periods, basic holomorphic differentials, Bergman bidifferential and bidifferential Q_v coincide with the formulas (2.38)- (2.41) in the case of arbitrary meromorphic differentials, excluding the derivatives with respect to residues.

4.1. Bergman tau-function. The Bergman tau-function $\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ on $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ is defined by the following system of equations:

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)}{\partial z_i} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_i^*} Q_v, \quad (4.4)$$

or,

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)}{\partial z_i} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_i^*} \frac{B_{reg}(x, x)}{v(x)}$$

where the regularization of $B(x, y)$ is made using the differential v :

$$B_{reg}(x, x) = \left(B(x, y) - \frac{v(x)v(y)}{\left(\int_x^y v\right)^2} \right) \Big|_{y=x} \quad (4.5)$$

The system (4.4) can be alternatively written in terms of the differential of $\log \tau_B$:

$$d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^{2g+m-1} \left(\int_{s_i^*} Q_v \right) d \left(\int_{s_i} v \right); \quad (4.6)$$

the closedness of the 1-form in the right-hand side of (4.6) as well as for the case of the spaces of holomorphic differentials or Hurwitz spaces [20], is an immediate corollary of variational formula (2.41).

The second derivatives of τ_B can be computed using variational formula (2.41):

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_i^*} \int_{s_j^*} \frac{B(x, y)}{v(x)v(y)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Equations (4.6) can be integrated similarly to [21, 20]. Let us introduce a distinguished set of local parameters on \mathcal{L} determined by the differential v . In a neighborhood of a point x_i belonging to divisor $(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} k_i x_i$ (if $k_i > 0$ then x_i is a zero of order k_i , if $k_i < 0$ then x_i is a pole of order $-k_i$) the distinguished local parameter is defined by the following two conditions:

$$v = d(\zeta_i^{k_i+1}), \quad \zeta_i(x_i) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

In the case when x_i is a zero, i.e. $k_i > 0$, conditions (4.8) define ζ_i uniquely:

$$\zeta_i(x) = \left[\int_{x_i}^x v \right]^{1/(k_i+1)}, \quad k_i > 0 \quad (4.9)$$

If x_i is a pole i.e. $k_i \leq -2$, conditions (4.8) define ζ_i up to the choice of the initial integration point x_0 , not coinciding with any pole of v :

$$\zeta_i(x) = \left[\int_{x_0}^x v \right]^{1/(k_i+1)}, \quad k_i \leq -2 \quad (4.10)$$

However, it's important to notice that for two different choices x_0 and \tilde{x}_0 of the initial point of integration, we have for corresponding local parameters ζ_i and $\tilde{\zeta}_i$ that

$$\frac{d\zeta_i(x)}{d\tilde{\zeta}_i(x)} \Big|_{x=x_i} = 1 \quad (4.11)$$

Let us now introduce the following notations:

$$E(x, x_i) := \left(E(x, y) [d\zeta_i(y)]^{1/2} \right) \Big|_{y=x_i}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$E(x_i, x_j) := \left(E(x, y) [d\zeta_i(x) d\zeta_j(y)]^{1/2} \right) \Big|_{x=x_i, y=x_j}. \quad (4.13)$$

(we notice that, due to (4.11), these objects are independent of the choice of distinguished local parameters near poles of v). Define two vectors $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}^g$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_x((v)) + 2K^x + \Omega r + s = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

Then the expression for the tau-function on the space of differentials of second kind formally looks similar to the case when v is holomorphic (formulas (3.24) and (3.25) of [21]):

$$\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = \left(\mathcal{F}^4 \prod_{i,j=1}^{m+n} E^{k_i k_j}(x_i, x_j) \right)^{1/6} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6} \langle r, \Omega r \rangle}, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[\frac{v(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m+n} E^{k_i}(x, x_i)} \right]^{(g-1)/2} e^{-\pi i \langle r, K^x \rangle} \mathcal{C}(x) \quad (4.16)$$

is independent of x . Here $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is given by (1.17) of [10]; this is a multiplicative $g(1-g)/2$ -differential on C which is expressible via derivatives of order g of the theta-function at the vector of Riemann constants.

Independence of \mathcal{F} of x follows from two observations. First, the combination of prime-forms in the denominator of (4.16) "kills" all poles and zeros of $v(x)$. Therefore, the ratio in front of $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is holomorphic in x in the fundamental domain of \mathcal{L} . Since $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is also holomorphic and non-vanishing in the fundamental domain, \mathcal{F} as a whole is holomorphic and non-vanishing. Moreover, a simple computation (using (4.14)) shows that the automorphy factors along b -cycles introduced by the prime-forms cancel against corresponding automorphy factors of $\mathcal{C}(x)$. Finally, the tensor weight of \mathcal{F} equals 0 ($\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a

$g(1-g)/2$ -differential, and the pre-factor in front of $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a $g(g-1)/2$ - differential since $\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} k_i = 2g-2$.

For the case of Bergman tau-function on spaces of holomorphic differentials the dependence on the choice of differential for a fixed Riemann surface is given by a discrete version of Polyakov-Alvarez formula (see equation (4.66) of [21]). Using the explicit expression for the tau-function (4.15), one can extend the discrete Polyakov formula to the case of meromorphic differentials.

4.2. Baker-Akhiezer tau-function. Here we are going to define *Baker-Akhiezer tau-function* which is a combination of the KP and Bergman tau-functions. The Baker-Akhiezer tau-function is naturally associated to the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel. The main guiding principle for our definition will be behavior of this tau-function under symplectic transformations, and possibility to define it intrinsically in terms of Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel as a section of a line bundle on moduli space of meromorphic differentials.

DEFINITION 1. *For a Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g , holomorphic line bundle χ of degree $g-1$ over \mathcal{L} and meromorphic differential v with divisor type (k_1, \dots, k_{m+n}) the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function τ_{BA} is defined by the formula*

$$\tau_{BA}(\mathcal{L}, v, \chi) = e^{-\pi i \langle p_v, q_v \rangle} \tau_B^{-1/2} \vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) \quad (4.17)$$

where $\tau_B = \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ is the Bergman tau-function.

Similarly to the Bergman tau-function, which satisfies variational formulas involving regularization of the Bergman bidifferential $B(x, y)$ near diagonal $y = x$, variational formulas for the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function involve regularization of the Baker-Akhiezer kernel near diagonal. In both cases the regularization procedure is performed using the differential v .

Namely, let us introduce the following holomorphic Abelian differential:

$$w(x) = S_{reg}^{(v)}(x, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(S^{(v)}(x, y) - \frac{\sqrt{v(x)}\sqrt{v(y)}}{\int_x^y v} e^{\int_x^y v} \right), \quad (4.18)$$

and the following meromorphic quadratic differential:

$$\phi(x) = \left[S_{reg}^{(v)}(x, x) \right]^2 - \left[(S^{(v)})^2 \right]_{reg}(x, x), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$\left[(S^{(v)})^2 \right]_{reg}(x, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \left(S^{(v)}(x, y) S^{(v)}(y, x) + \frac{v(x)v(y)}{\left(\int_x^y v\right)^2} \right).$$

The formulas (4.18) and (4.19) define $w(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ intrinsically in terms of the Baker-Akhiezer spinor kernel.

Using the relationship between the BA kernel and the Szegö kernel, we can express $w(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ in terms of coefficients of asymptotics of Szegö kernel near diagonal given by (2.23) and (2.24). Namely, if in (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) we use the local parameter $\xi(x)$ such that $d\xi(x) = v(x)$, we get

$$w(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^g \partial_\alpha \log \vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) u_\alpha(x) \quad (4.20)$$

where, as before, ∂_α stands for derivative of theta-function with respect to its argument z_α .

The quadratic differential $\phi(x)$ can be rewritten using relation (2.26) between the Szegö kernel and $B(x, y)$, and the regularization of the Bergman bidifferential near diagonal given by (2.27), (2.29):

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{6}(S_B - S_v) + \frac{1}{\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \vartheta[p_v, q_v](0) u_\alpha(x) u_\beta(x) \quad (4.21)$$

where S_B is the Bergman projective connection, and S_v is the projective connection given by (2.28).

Denote by N the least common multiple of $k_1 + 1, \dots, k_{m+n} + 1$. The key property of the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function is given in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 9. *The $24N$ th power of the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function, $(\tau_{BA})^{24N}$, is independent of the choice of canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} .*

The *proof* follows from the formula (4.25) below describing the transformation of the Bergman tau-function under change of canonical basis of cycles and transformation law (2.14) of the modified theta-function.

□

Derivatives of the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function with respect to homological coordinates, assuming holonomies p, q of the line bundle χ remain fixed, are given by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 10. *The tau-function $\tau_{BA}(\mathcal{L}, v, \chi)$ (4.17) satisfies the following variational formulas on the space $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \log \tau_{BA} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint_{s_i^*} \frac{\phi}{v} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mu(s_i^*)} \left(w + \frac{v}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \log \text{Hol}(\chi, \mu(s_i^*)), \quad (4.22)$$

$i = 1, \dots, 2g + m - 1$, where μ is the natural projection from relative to absolute homology groups defined before Theorem 3; holomorphic abelian differential w and meromorphic quadratic differential ϕ are expressed in terms of Baker-Akhiezer kernel via (4.18), (4.19).

Proof. The multiplier $\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)$ of the tau-function τ_{BA} (4.17) depends on homological coordinates z_i in two ways: via the matrix of b -periods Ω and via characteristic vectors p_v, q_v .

Partial derivatives of $\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)$ with respect to z_i which correspond to z_i -dependence of the matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$, can be computed using heat equation and variational formulas for the matrix of b -periods (2.38). Combining the derivatives of $\vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)$ with respect to $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ with derivatives of the Bergman tau-function with respect to z_i , we get the first integral in the r.h.s. of (4.22).

The second and third terms in (4.22) correspond to dependence of the combination

$$e^{-\pi i \langle p_v, q_v \rangle} \vartheta[p_v, q_v](0)$$

on absolute periods of v through the characteristic vectors p_v and q_v . In computation of these terms we use formulas (2.16) and (2.17) for derivatives of the modified theta-function $\tilde{\vartheta}$. Recall that holonomies of χ with respect to basic cycles are related to p and q via (2.11).

□

REMARK 4. The Bergman tau-functions τ_B and the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function τ_{BA} admit a natural interpretation from the point of view of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces, as well as from the point of view of the theory of determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators. There exist numerous references on these subjects; we mention here [19, 34, 2] and the fundamental Quillen's paper [31].

From the point of view of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces (see [19, 34, 2]), the Bergman tau-function τ_B can be interpreted as chiral partition function of free bosonic theory corresponding to the system of local coordinates on \mathcal{L} defined by the differential v . From the point of view of Quillen's theory τ_B can be interpreted as a section of the determinant line bundle corresponding to the operator $\bar{\partial}_0$ acting on functions $f(x)$ with essential singularities on \mathcal{L} at poles of v such that $f(x)e^{-\int^x v}$ is non-singular at these poles.

On the other hand, the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function τ_{BA} is, from the point of view of CFT, the chiral partition function of the system of free "twisted fermions" on \mathcal{L} . From the point of view of Quillen's theory τ_{BA} is a section of the determinant line bundle corresponding to the operator $\bar{\partial}_\chi$ acting on sections ψ of χ which have essential singularities on \mathcal{L} at poles of v such that $\psi(x)e^{-\int^x v}$ is non-singular at these poles.

Under such interpretation the relation (4.17) can be considered as a version of the "bosonization" formula from [19, 34, 2].

4.3. Tau-functions as holomorphic sections of line bundles on moduli space of differentials of second kind. Let us consider the transformation of the Bergman tau-function under symplectic change of the canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} . Let us look first at the definition (4.6). A change of basis

$\{s_i\}$ in $H_1(\mathcal{L}; \{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{m+n})$ does not change the right-hand side of (4.6) since the basis of dual cycles $\{s_i^*\}$ is transformed by the inverse matrix.

However, the differential Q_v transforms under change of normalization of canonical basis of cycles according to (2.30), which implies the following transformation of $d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ under symplectic transformation σ :

$$d \log \tau_B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}, v) = d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^g [(c\Omega + d)^{-1}c]_{\alpha\beta} \left(\int_{s_i^*} \frac{u_\alpha(x)u_\beta(x)}{v(x)} \right) d \left(\int_{s_i} v \right) \quad (4.23)$$

which, taking into account variational formula (2.38) for the matrix of b -periods, can be written as follows:

$$d \log \tau_B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}, v) = d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) + d \log[\det(c\Omega + d)] \quad (4.24)$$

therefore,

$$\tau_B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}, v) = \gamma(\sigma)[\det(c\Omega + d)]\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) \quad (4.25)$$

where $\gamma(\sigma)$ is a moduli-independent character of $Sp(2g, \mathbf{Z})$.

Some information about $\gamma(\sigma)$ can be deduced from the explicit formula (4.15). The only ambiguity in the formula (4.15) is due to the root of sixth degree in (4.15), the roots of unity in the definition of the distinguished local parameters (4.9) and the square root ambiguity in the definitions (4.12) and (4.13).

Let us now introduce the least common multiple N of the numbers $k_i + 1$ (recall that $(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} k_i x_i$):

$$N := LCM(k_1 + 1, \dots, k_{m+n} + 1) \quad (4.26)$$

Then from the explicit formula (4.15) for the tau-function we see that the $12N$ th degree of the tau-function is free from the ambiguity related to the choice of the branches of all of the roots; therefore, $\gamma^{12N}(\sigma) = 1$.

We arrive at the following transformation law of τ_B^{12N} :

$$[\tau_B^\sigma(\mathcal{L}, v)]^{12N} = [\det(c\Omega + d)]^{12N} \tau_B^{12N}(\mathcal{L}, v) \quad (4.27)$$

Denoting by λ the (pullback from the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus g) Hodge class on $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$, we get the following

PROPOSITION 11. *The $12N$ th power of the Bergman tau-function τ_B is a holomorphic non-vanishing section of $12N$ th power of the Hodge line bundle λ^{12N} on $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$.*

The holomorphy and non-vanishing of τ_B in the space $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ follows either from the definition (4.6), or from the explicit formula (4.15).

The proposition 11 in particular implies that the $12N$ th power of the Hodge line bundle, λ^{12N} , is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle on the space $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$. The isomorphism between λ^{12N} and the trivial line bundle is given by τ_B^{12N} .

Let us now consider the projectivization $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ of the space $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$: on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ the pairs (C, v) and $(C, \kappa v)$ (where κ is a constant independent of a point of a Riemann surface) are identified. Denote by L the tautological line bundle associated with the projection $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$.

In analogy to the formula (3.9) of [27] one can easily verify (either using the definition (4.6) or the explicit expression (4.15)) that the tau-function $\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ transforms as follows under the rescaling of the differential v :

$$\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon v) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1}} \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) \quad (4.28)$$

Combining this rescaling property with the Proposition 11, we get the following characterization of τ_B as a section of a line bundle over $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$:

PROPOSITION 12. *The $12N$ th power of the Bergman tau-function τ_B is a holomorphic non-vanishing section of the line bundle $\lambda^{12N} \otimes L^{N \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1}}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$.*

Denote the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle by ψ : $\psi = c_1(L)$. Then Proposition 12 implies the following relation in the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m}))$ between the Hodge class λ and the tautological class ψ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1} \psi. \quad (4.29)$$

Consider now the Baker-Akhiezer tau-function τ_{BA} on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$. According to (2.14) and proposition 11, τ_{BA}^{24N} is invariant under symplectic transformations of canonical basis of cycles. On the other hand, due to (4.28), τ_{BA} has the following homogeneity property:

$$\tau_{BA}(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon v) = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1}} \tau_{BA}(\mathcal{L}, v) \quad (4.30)$$

Therefore, τ_{BA}^{24N} is a holomorphic section (with zeros) of the line bundle $L^{-N \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1}}$.

The divisor of zeros (Θ^x) of τ_{BA} is defined by (3.6); taking into account the transformation law (2.14) of the modified theta-function, we conclude that in the Picard group of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ we have $(\Theta^x) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda$. Combining this with (4.29), we get the following expression for the class of divisor (Θ^x) via the tautological class:

$$(\Theta^x) = -\frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \frac{k_i(k_i+2)}{k_i+1} \psi \quad (4.31)$$

In [27, 22] the Bergman tau-function was studied on compactifications of Hurwitz spaces and spaces of holomorphic differentials, which allowed to express the Hodge class on compactifications of these spaces in terms of boundary divisors (and the tautological class in the case of spaces of holomorphic differentials).

We don't perform similar analysis in the more general case of spaces of differentials of second kind considered here, since we are not aware of existence of a suitable compactification of these spaces.

4.4. Special cases: Hurwitz spaces and spaces of holomorphic differentials. The Hurwitz spaces are subspaces of spaces of differentials of second kind defined by vanishing of all absolute periods of the differential v ; in that case the differential v is exact: $v = df$ where f is a meromorphic function on \mathcal{L} . Denote the Hurwitz subspace of $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ defined by vanishing of all absolute periods of the differential v : $\oint_{a_\alpha} v = \oint_{b_\alpha} v = 0$ by $\text{Hur}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$. In genus zero case, when the absolute periods are absent, the spaces $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ and $\text{Hur}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ coincide; these are simple spaces of rational functions with fixed multiplicities of poles and critical points. The Bergman tau-function on spaces of rational functions was studied in detail in [23, 22].

On the space $\text{Hur}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ only relative periods of the differential v don't vanish: $\int_{x_1}^{x_i} df = f(x_i) - f(x_1)$, $i = 2, \dots, m$. These are nothing but critical values z_2, \dots, z_m of the function f (the first critical value z_1 in our conventions coincides with 0); in other words, the zeros of $v = df$ are ramification points of the covering $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by function f ; values of the function f at these points: $z_1 = 0, z_2, \dots, z_m$ are the branch points of the covering.

The transformation laws for the tau-function under the change of canonical basis on \mathcal{L} (4.27) and under the rescaling of differential v (4.28) remain if τ_B is restricted on Hurwitz space $\text{Hur}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ from $\mathcal{H}_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$. Therefore, propositions (11) and (12) remain in force for the case of the Hurwitz spaces. Actually, in the case of Hurwitz spaces one can say much more about geometrical meaning of τ_B due to existence of natural compactification of Hurwitz spaces given by spaces of admissible covers of Harris and Mumford [14].

First (see [22] for details), in the case of Hurwitz spaces it is natural to introduce a stronger equivalence relation than in general case: instead of assuming that the pair (\mathcal{L}_1, v_1) is equivalent to the pair (\mathcal{L}_2, v_2) if $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$ and $v_1 = \kappa v_2$ for a constant κ , one can introduce a stronger equivalence relation: (\mathcal{L}_1, df_1) and (\mathcal{L}_2, df_2) are equivalent if $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$ and f_2 is obtained by a Möbius transformation from f_1 .

This equivalence relation is most natural in the generic case: if we assume that all poles of f and all zeros of df are simple. That was the case treated in details in [22].

Another important property of the Hurwitz subspaces is that the square of the Vandermonde determinant of the critical values

$$V^2 = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^2$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, is a well-defined function on $\text{Hur}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ (obviously, this is not the case if some absolute periods don't vanish).

Let us now restrict ourselves to the generic stratum of the Hurwitz space, assuming that all poles and all critical points of the function f are simple (i.e. all zeros of $v = df$ are simple, and all poles of v have multiplicity two).

Denote such space by $\text{Hur}_{g,n}$, where n now equals the degree of the meromorphic function f .

Then, as was shown in [22], the following combination of the Bergman tau-function on the space $\text{Hur}_{g,n}$ and the Vandermonde determinant V :

$$\hat{\eta} = \frac{\tau_B^{24(m-1)}}{V^6} \quad (4.32)$$

is invariant under Möbius transformation of the function f .

Let us denote by $\text{Hur}_{g,n}^0$ the quotient of $\text{Hur}_{g,n}$ by the Möbius equivalence relation: the point (\mathcal{L}_1, df_1) is equivalent to the point (\mathcal{L}_2, df_2) if $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$ and $f_2 = (af_1 + b)(cf_1 + d)^{-1}$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{C}$.

On $\text{Hur}_{g,n}^0$ the combination $\hat{\eta}$ is a holomorphic non-vanishing section of $\lambda^{24(m-1)}$; thus on $\text{Hur}_{g,n}^0$ the line bundle $\lambda^{24(m-1)}$ is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle.

The space $\text{Hur}_{g,n}^0$ admits a natural compactification $\overline{\text{Hur}}_{g,n}^0$, called the space of admissible covers [14]. On various components of the boundary $\overline{\text{Hur}}_{g,n}^0 \setminus \text{Hur}_{g,n}^0$ the section $\hat{\eta}$ has poles and zeros of easily computable degrees. This allows to express the λ -class in terms of boundary divisors in the rational Picard group of the space $\overline{\text{Hur}}_{g,n}^0$ (see the formula (3.13) of [22]).

The Baker-Akhiezer tau-function in the case of Hurwitz spaces has the form:

$$\tau_{BA} = e^{-\pi i \langle p, q \rangle} \tau_B^{-1/2} \vartheta_{pq}(0) \quad (4.33)$$

(we have $p_v = p$ and $q_v = q$ since all absolute periods of v vanish); the factor $e^{-\pi i \langle p, q \rangle}$ then does not depend on any moduli coordinates.

The tau-function (4.33) is the Jimbo-Miwa tau-function of Riemann-Hilbert problems with quasi-permutation monodromy matrices (see [24, 25]). The divisor of zeros of τ_{BA} in the context of the Riemann-Hilbert problems is called the Malgrange divisor (\mathcal{M}); it consists of configurations of poles of the RH problem where the latter becomes unsolvable. The previous analysis shows that on the open part of the Hurwitz space the class of Malgrange divisor is expressed via the Hodge class as $(\mathcal{M}) = -\lambda/2$, and can be further expressed via tautological class using (4.29). However, it seems hard to write a nice universal expression for (\mathcal{M}) on the compactified Hurwitz space (i.e. space of admissible covers) due to complicated behavior of $\vartheta_{pq}(0)$ near different boundary components.

Spaces of holomorphic differentials correspond to a special case of the general construction presented in this section, corresponding to $n = 0$. The geometrical properties of the Bergman tau-function on the space holomorphic differentials with simple zeros were treated in detail in [27]. The space of holomorphic differentials with simple zeros admits a natural compactification given by the Hodge vector bundle over Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. In this compactification the boundary divisors are the (pullbacks of) the boundary divisors $D_0, \dots, D_{[g/2]}$ of Deligne-Mumford compactification. An additional boundary component D_{deg} corresponds to the space of differentials having one zero of multiplicity 2 and other zeros simple. Computing the asymptotics of the tau-function near these boundary components one can express the Hodge class in terms of the boundary divisors and the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle (see formula (4.6) from [27]).

4.5. Genus one: Bergman tau-function and modular forms. In genus zero spaces of meromorphic differentials coincide with spaces of rational functions; for this case the Bergman tau-function was studied in detail in [20, 22]. New non-trivial examples first appear in genus one.

Since holomorphic differential v on Riemann surface of genus one is unique up to a constant, the corresponding Bergman tau-function depends only on a - and b -periods of v (call them A and B); it coincides with the square of the corresponding Dedekind's eta-function (formula (1.6) of [21]):

$$\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = \eta^2(B/A) \quad (4.34)$$

The 24th power of this tau-function, $\tau_B^{24} = \eta^{48}$, is a modular form of weight 24 and level 1. Essentially this is the only interesting genus 1 example if we restrict ourselves by holomorphic differential v .

However, once we allow v to have singularities, we can get other examples of modular form. Namely, consider the space $\mathcal{H}_1(-k, k)$, which consists of pairs (\mathcal{L}, v) , where \mathcal{L} is the Riemann surface of genus one, and v is a meromorphic differential on \mathcal{L} with one pole of order k and one zero of order k . Then $v = h^k$, where h is a meromorphic section of a “ k -spin” line bundle χ over \mathcal{L} (the k -spin line bundle is a root of k th degree from the canonical line bundle, i.e. χ^k is the canonical line bundle over \mathcal{L}). The “ k -spinor” h has simple pole at x_1 and simple zero at x_2 ; the divisor $k(x_2 - x_1)$ is trivial. Choose on \mathcal{L} the basic cycles a and b , introduce the corresponding normalized holomorphic differential u and denote its b -period by μ . Then $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} u = \frac{p}{k}\mu + \frac{q}{k}$ for some integers p and q which depend on the choice of the k -spinor line bundle χ and the choice of canonical cycles (a, b) . Let

$$\alpha := \frac{p}{k}, \quad \beta := \frac{q}{k}$$

Using the holomorphic differential u , let us introduce on \mathcal{L} the coordinate λ :

$$\lambda(x) = \int_{x_2}^x u \quad (4.35)$$

(i.e. $u = d\lambda$). Then $\lambda(x_1) = 0$ and $\lambda(x_2) = \alpha\mu + \beta$ and the differential v can be written as follows:

$$v = C \left(\frac{\vartheta[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta](\lambda)}{\vartheta[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}](\lambda)} \right)^k d\lambda \quad (4.36)$$

where $\vartheta[1/2, 1/2] = \vartheta_1$ is the odd Jacobi theta-function; C is a constant which may depend on the module of the curve.

To get an explicit formula for the Bergman tau-function from the general expression (4.15) one needs to compute various ingredients of (4.15). In genus one case the Riemann constant K^x is independent of x and given by $K^x = (\mu + 1)/2$. Relation (4.14) allows to express integers r and s via α and β . Namely, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_x((v)) = k(\alpha\mu + \beta);$$

therefore, the relation $\mathcal{A}_x((v)) + 2K^x + r\mu + s = 0$ implies $r = -(k\alpha + 1)$, $s = -(k\beta + 1)$.

Then

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\mu+1)(k\alpha+1)} \vartheta' \left(\frac{\mu+1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$\tau_B = e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}(1-k^2\alpha^2)\mu} \left[\vartheta' \left(\frac{\mu+1}{2} \right) \right]^{2/3} [E(x_2, x_1)]^{-k^2/6}. \quad (4.37)$$

The prime-form $E(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is given by:

$$E(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{\vartheta_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{\vartheta_1'(0)\sqrt{d\lambda_1}\sqrt{d\lambda_2}}; \quad (4.38)$$

thus

$$E(x_2, x_1) = \frac{\vartheta_1(\alpha\mu + \beta)}{\vartheta_1'(0)} \left[\frac{d\zeta_1(x)}{d\lambda(x)} \Big|_{x=x_1} \frac{d\zeta_2(x)}{d\lambda(x)} \Big|_{x=x_2} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (4.39)$$

where ζ_1 and ζ_2 are distinguished local parameters near the pole x_1 ($\lambda = 0$) and the zero x_2 ($\lambda = \alpha\mu + \beta$), respectively.

We have $\zeta_1(x) = [\int^x v]^{-1/(k-1)}$ where the initial point of integration can be chosen arbitrarily. Thus near x_1 we have

$$v \sim C \left[\frac{\vartheta[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta](0)}{\vartheta_1'(0)} \right]^k \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^k},$$

and, up to a moduli-independent constant:

$$\left. \frac{d\zeta_1(x)}{d\lambda(x)} \right|_{x=x_1} = C^{-1/(k-1)} \left[\frac{\vartheta[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta](0)}{\vartheta_1'(0)} \right]^{-k/(k-1)}. \quad (4.40)$$

For the distinguished local parameter near the zero x_2 we have $\zeta_2(x) = [\int_{x_2}^x v]^{1/(k+1)}$.

From the definition of theta-function with characteristics one gets the following relation:

$$\vartheta \left[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right] (\lambda) = e^{\pi i \mu \alpha^2 - 2\pi i \alpha (\lambda - \beta + 1/2)} \vartheta \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] (\lambda - \mu \alpha - \beta), \quad (4.41)$$

which implies that, up to a moduli-independent constant,

$$v \sim C \left[\frac{e^{-\pi i \mu \alpha^2} \vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\alpha \mu + \beta)} \right]^k (\lambda - \alpha \mu - \beta)^k d\lambda, \quad (4.42)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \alpha \mu + \beta$. Therefore,

$$\left. \frac{d\zeta_2(x)}{d\lambda(x)} \right|_{x=x_2} = C^{1/k+1} \left[\frac{e^{-\pi i \mu \alpha^2} \vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\alpha \mu + \beta)} \right]^{k/(k+1)},$$

or, using (4.41),

$$\left. \frac{d\zeta_2(x)}{d\lambda(x)} \right|_{x=x_2} = C^{1/k+1} \left[\frac{\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta[1/2 - \alpha, 1/2 - \beta](0)} \right]^{k/(k+1)}. \quad (4.43)$$

Combining (4.39) with (4.40) and (4.43), we get, up to a moduli-independent constant:

$$E(x_2, x_1) = e^{-\pi i \mu \alpha^2} \left[\frac{\vartheta_1'(0)}{C \vartheta[1/2 - \alpha, 1/2 - \beta](0)} \right]^{1/(k^2-1)}. \quad (4.44)$$

Substituting (4.44) into (4.37) we get

$$\tau_B = C^{\frac{k^2}{6(k^2-1)}} \{\vartheta_1'(0)\}^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{k^2}{1-k^2}} \left\{ \vartheta \left[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta \right] (0) \right\}^{\frac{k^2}{6(k^2-1)}}. \quad (4.45)$$

The natural choice of the constant C is $C = [\vartheta_1'(0)]^{2/3}$, which makes the differential v moduli-invariant up to root of unity; then (4.45) turns into

$$\tau_B = \{\vartheta_1'(0)\}^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{18} \frac{k^2}{1-k^2}} \left\{ \vartheta \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{k}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{k} \right] (0) \right\}^{\frac{k^2}{6(k^2-1)}} \quad (4.46)$$

For k even the expression $(\tau_B)^{9(k^2-1)}$ is a modular form of level k and weight $9(k^2-1)$. For k odd the expression $(\tau_B)^{18(k^2-1)}$ is a modular form of level $2k$ and weight $18(k^2-1)$. There exist several known cases when tau-functions arising in various contexts transform as modular forms [16, 35, 3, 15]; here we get new examples of this kind.

5. Bergman tau-functions on spaces of differentials of third kind

5.1. Definition and basic properties. Here we briefly outline the construction and properties of the Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of differentials of third kind. The study of Bergman tau-function on spaces of differentials of third kind is important due to possible interpretation of this tau-function as chiral partition function of free bosons on Mandelstam diagrams [17].

However, presence of simple poles with non-vanishing residues makes the definition of the Bergman tau-function more difficult. To explain the source of these difficulties we notice first that all variational formulas (2.38) - (2.41) are valid for differentials with non-vanishing residues (irrespectively of the order of the poles), as well as for differentials without residues. In particular, derivative with respect to a residue of v at x_k involves in the right-hand side an integral over contour which is dual to the small circle around the pole; this dual contour (denoted by $-\tilde{l}_k$ in (2.37) connects a chosen “first” pole with pole number k . The r.h.s. of formulas (2.38) - (2.41) are well-defined since all differentials ($u_a u_b / v$ etc) integrated along $-\tilde{l}_k$ are non-singular (and, moreover, vanishing) at poles of v i.e. at the endpoints of $-\tilde{l}_k$.

A naive attempt to extend the definition (4.4) of the Bergman tau-function from the space of differentials of second kind to the space of differentials having simple poles with residues would be to choose $\{s_i\}$ to be a full set of generators (2.34) of the homology group (2.33); then the set of dual generators is given by (2.37). However, this attempt fails since Q_v (in contrast to differentials in the r.h.s. of variational formulas (2.38)-(2.41)) has simple poles at the endpoints of contours $-\tilde{l}_k$ (i.e. at the simple poles of v).

Here we circumvent this problem by considering all residues at the poles of v constant, and not varying the tau-function with respect to them. This assumption can not be considered completely satisfactory, in particular, since it makes the definition of the Bergman tau function more ambiguous than in the case of differentials of second kind. However, we follow this assumption here and study in detail the first non-trivial case of moduli space of rational functions with 4 simple poles.

Following notations of Section 2.2, let differential v have n simple poles at x_1, \dots, x_n with given residues r_1, \dots, r_n ($r_1 + \dots + r_n = 0$) and $m = 2g - 2 + n$ simple zeros (we denote them by x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}).

Denote moduli space of Riemann surfaces equipped with such differential by $\mathcal{H}_{g,m}^r$. Assuming that the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} is weakly-marked i.e. equipped with a chosen canonical basis of cycles, we get covering of the space $\mathcal{H}_{g,m}^r$, which we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{g,m}^r$.

To introduce homological coordinates on $\mathcal{H}_{g,m}^r$ we consider relative homology group (2.33) and choose a set of generators (2.34). The homological coordinates $\oint_{c_{k+1}} v = 2\pi i r_{k+1}$ are going to be kept fixed; the remaining $2g + m - 1$ generators will be denoted by

$$s_\alpha = a_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g} = b_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k-1} = l_{n+k}, \quad k = 2, \dots, m; \quad (5.1)$$

where, as before, l_k is a contour $[x_{n+1}, x_k]$ connecting the first chosen zero x_{n+1} with zero x_k .

To define generators (5.1) we introduce the fundamental polygon $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ by cutting \mathcal{L} along the basic cycles (a_i, b_i) , and introduce inside of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ a system of cuts (denote it by L) connecting, say, the first pole x_1 with other poles x_2, \dots, x_n . Let us now connect the first zero x_{n+1} with other zeros x_2, \dots, x_{n+m} by contours $[x_{n+1}, x_k]$ lying in $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \setminus L$.

The differential Q_v has poles of third order (generically with non-vanishing residues) at x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m} . What makes the present case essentially different from the case of holomorphic differentials or differentials of the second kind is that Q_v also has first order poles at the poles x_1, \dots, x_n of v with corresponding residues $-1/(12r_1), \dots, -1/(12r_n)$.

The set of “dual” cycles s_i^* is now defined as follows:

$$s_\alpha^* = -b_\alpha, \quad s_{\alpha+g}^* = a_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g; \quad s_{2g+k-1}^* = \tilde{c}_{n+k}, \quad k = 2, \dots, m; \quad (5.2)$$

where \tilde{c}_{n+k} are small circles around the zeros x_{n+k} . The dual cycles (5.2) are uniquely determined by the choice of the cycles (5.1).

There are the following two sources of ambiguity in the construction of cycles $\{s_i\}$ and $\{s_i^*\}$ relevant in the definition of Bergman tau-function.

- The choice of the fundamental domain $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the system of cuts L connecting poles of v .

- For fixed fundamental domain $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \setminus L$ the initial point of contours connecting zeros of v can be put to any zero x_{n+j} , instead of the first zero x_{n+1} . In the construction of the Bergman tau-function and variational formulas the same point x_{n+j} should then be chosen as initial point of integration in defining the flat coordinate $z(x)$.

For a given choice of the contours $\{s_i\}$ and $\{s_i^*\}$ we now introduce the following 1-form, which is defined locally on the space $\mathcal{H}_{g,m}^r$:

$$\mathbf{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{2g+m-1} \left(\int_{s_i^*} Q_v \right) d \left(\int_{s_i} v \right) \quad (5.3)$$

In contrast to the case of differentials of second kind, the form \mathbf{q} is not globally well-defined on $\mathcal{H}_{g,m}^r$.

Suppose that the fundamental domain $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the system of cuts L inside of \mathcal{L} are fixed. Let us change the “first” zero x_{n+1} to another zero x_{n+j} as the initial integration point. Denote the form \mathbf{q} corresponding to this choice of homological coordinates by $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ (in the case of differentials of second kind we had $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q}$). Now, since, $\sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{res}_{|x_{n+i}} Q_v = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_i}$, it is easy to check that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q} = \left(\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_i} \right) d \left(\int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_{n+j}} v \right). \quad (5.4)$$

The form \mathbf{q} is closed, $d\mathbf{q} = 0$, as a corollary of variational formulas (2.41); notice that the transformation (5.4) is compatible with the closedness of \mathbf{q} since $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}$ is a closed form.

The potential of \mathbf{q} is called the Bergman tau-function $\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ on moduli space of the differentials of 3rd kind

$$d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathbf{q}, \quad (5.5)$$

or, in other words, $\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)$ is defined by the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \left(\int_{s_i} v \right)} \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_i^*} Q_v. \quad (5.6)$$

According to (5.4), under change of the choice of the “first” zero the Bergman tau-function transforms as follows:

$$\tau_B \rightarrow \tau_B \exp \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{12r_i} \right) \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_{n+j}} v \right\}. \quad (5.7)$$

The explicit formula for τ_B solving (5.6) formally again looks like (4.15), (4.16). However, distinguished local parameters near simple poles x_i look as follows:

$$\zeta_i(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{r_i} \int_{x_{n+1}}^x v \right\}, \quad (5.8)$$

where the integration paths between x_{n+1} and the neighborhoods of poles x_i are chosen inside of the fundamental domain $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \setminus L$.

The degree with which the distinguished local parameter $\zeta_i(x)$ enters the formula (4.15) equals $-1/12$ (both products of prime-forms in (4.15) contribute to that). Therefore, the change of the initial integration point from x_{n+1} to x_{n+j} leads to multiplication of the explicit expression (4.15) with the factor

$$\exp \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{12r_i} \right) \int_{x_{n+1}}^{x_{n+j}} v \right\},$$

in agreement with (5.7).

Let us now discuss the dependence of τ_B on the choice of the fundamental polygon $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the system of cuts L between poles of v . If the homology classes of a and b -cycles are preserved, but positions of corresponding cuts forming the fundamental polygon $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ are changed (such that some of zeros or poles x_i cross the geometrical positions of the cuts), the integration contours in the definition of distinguished local parameters (5.8) change by adding a combination of a and b -cycles, as well as of small cycles around poles

of v . The integrals of v around its poles are constants on our moduli space, therefore, up to a constant factor, the change of the fundamental polygon leads to the following transformation of the tau-function:

$$\tau_B \rightarrow \tau_B \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_{ij}}{r_j} \int_{s_i} v \right\}, \quad (5.9)$$

where S_{ij} is an arbitrary matrix with integer coefficients.

Finally, the change of homology classes corresponding to a and b -cycles leads to multiplication of τ_B with the factor $\det(c\Omega + d)$ as in (4.25) (again up to moduli-independent factor).

REMARK 5. The Bergman tau-function τ_B on the space of differentials of third kind can be used to define the partition function of free bosons on Mandelstam diagrams [17]. Formally, the partition function for a given Riemann surface is given by $\left(\frac{\det\Delta}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{L})}\right)^{-1/2}$, where Δ is the Laplace operator in a given metric. In the case of Mandelstam diagram one uses the flat metric with conical singularities and cylindrical ends given by $|v|^2$ where v is the differential of third kind with real residues, normalized by the condition that all of its a and b -periods are imaginary. For such metric $\det\Delta$ is ill-defined, and $\text{Area}(\mathcal{L})$ is infinite. However, using an analogy with the case of homomorphic differential [21], one can naturally define such partition function using the Bergman tau-function τ_B as follows:

$$\frac{\det\Delta}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{L})} := \text{const} |\tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v)|^2 \det(\Im\Omega). \quad (5.10)$$

We notice here that, although τ_B itself is defined on the moduli space only up to transformation (5.9), $|\tau_B|^2$ is uniquely defined if all a and b -periods of v are imaginary and all residues r_i are real, which is the case on the Mandelstam diagrams. For the rigorous spectral definition of $\det\Delta$, such that (5.10) becomes the *theorem*, not the definition, see [18].

5.2. Four simple poles in genus zero. Here we consider the simplest non-trivial case when \mathcal{L} is the Riemann sphere and the differential v has on \mathcal{L} four simple poles (which by a Möbius transformation can be mapped to $0, 1, t$ and ∞) with residues r_1, r_2, r_3 and r_4 . Such differential has the form:

$$v = \left(\frac{r_1}{x} + \frac{r_2}{x-1} + \frac{r_3}{x-t} \right) dx, \quad (5.11)$$

with $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 = 0$ ($r_4 := r_\infty$). The differential v has two zeros which are solutions of the quadratic equation

$$r_1(x-1)(x-t) + r_2x(x-t) + r_3x(x-1) = 0, \quad (5.12)$$

which are given by

$$x_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2r_4}(r_1 + r_3 + (r_1 + r_2)t \pm \sqrt{\Delta}), \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\Delta = ((r_1 + r_2)t + r_1 + r_3)^2 + 4r_1r_4t.$$

Equivalently,

$$\Delta = (r_1 + r_2)^2(t - t_1)(t - t_2),$$

where we denote

$$t_{1,2} = \frac{-(r_1r_4 + r_2r_3) \pm \sqrt{4r_1r_2r_3r_4}}{(r_1 + r_2)^2}. \quad (5.14)$$

The differential v (5.11) can be written as

$$v = -r_4 \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{x(x-1)(x-t)} dx;$$

if $t = t_1$ or $t = t_2$, zeros of v coincide: $x_1 = x_2$.

The moduli space of these differentials (with fixed residues r_i) is one-dimensional, it can be parametrized by the position of the pole t ; in the generic case, when all r_i are different, t can be used as a global coordinate on the moduli space.

Let us also introduce the following coordinate ζ , which is defined on two-sheeted covering of t -plane:

$$\zeta = \frac{2}{t_1 - t_2} \left\{ t - \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} + \sqrt{(t - t_1)(t - t_2)} \right\}; \quad (5.15)$$

conversely, t can be expressed via ζ as follows:

$$t = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{4} (\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}. \quad (5.16)$$

We have

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{4}{t_1 - t_2} \frac{\zeta^2}{\zeta^2 - 1},$$

and

$$\zeta \frac{dt}{d\zeta} = \sqrt{(t - t_1)(t - t_2)}. \quad (5.17)$$

Let us now assume that $r_4 = 1$; this condition can be always achieved by rescaling of v . Then the remaining residues can be parametrized by two constants

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}}, \quad q = \sqrt{r_3};$$

then we have

$$(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = \left(-\frac{p^2(1+q^2)}{1+p^2}, -\frac{1+q^2}{1+p^2}, q^2, 1 \right).$$

Other objects can be expressed in term of p and q as follows:

$$x_1 = -\frac{pq}{p^2+1} \left(\zeta - \frac{p}{q} \right), \quad x_2 = -\frac{pq}{p^2+1} \left(\zeta^{-1} - \frac{p}{q} \right), \quad t = \frac{1+p^2}{p^2(1+q^2)} x_1 x_2, \quad (5.18)$$

$$x_{1,2} - 1 = -\frac{pq}{p^2+1} \left(\zeta^{\pm 1} + \frac{1}{pq} \right), \quad (5.19)$$

$$x_{1,2} - t = -\frac{q^2}{(p^2+1)(q^2+1)} \left(\zeta^{\pm 1} - \frac{p}{q} \right) (\zeta^{\mp 1} + pq). \quad (5.20)$$

The homological coordinate can be chosen as

$$z_1 = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} v.$$

It can be expressed as follows in terms of zeros $x_{1,2}$:

$$z_1 = \log \frac{x_2^{r_1} (x_2 - 1)^{r_2} (x_2 - t)^{r_3}}{x_1^{r_1} (x_1 - 1)^{r_2} (x_1 - t)^{r_3}}, \quad (5.21)$$

or, alternatively, in terms of ζ using (5.18), (5.19), (5.20):

$$z_1 = \log \left\{ \zeta^{2q^2} \left(\frac{\zeta^{-1} - p/q}{\zeta - p/q} \right)^{\frac{q^2 - p^2}{1+p^2}} \left(\frac{\zeta^{-1} + 1/pq}{\zeta + 1/pq} \right)^{\frac{q^2 p^2 - 1}{1+p^2}} \right\}. \quad (5.22)$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\frac{dz_1}{dt} = -\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{t(t-1)} \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$\frac{dz_1}{d\zeta} = \frac{p^2(q^2+1)(\zeta - \zeta^{-1})^2}{\zeta(\zeta - p/q)(\zeta^{-1} - p/q)(\zeta + pq)(\zeta^{-1} + pq)}. \quad (5.24)$$

The tau-function τ_B is now defined by only one equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \log \tau_B = -\text{res}|_{x_2} Q_v, \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$Q_v = -\frac{1}{6} \left\{ \frac{1}{v} \left[\left(\frac{v'}{v} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v'}{v} \right)^2 \right] \right\} .$$

The derivative of $\log \tau_B$ with respect to ζ is obtained by combining (5.24) and (5.25).

The presence of simple zeros of v makes the definition (5.25) dependent on the choice of coordinate z_1 . Namely, let us now interchange the zeros x_1 and x_2 ; then we get an alternative homological coordinate $\tilde{z}_1 = \int_{x_2}^{x_1} v = -z_1$. Then instead of equation (5.25) one gets the following equation, which defines a different tau-function $\tilde{\tau}_B$ via

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_1} \log \tilde{\tau}_B = -\text{res}|_{x_1} Q_v . \quad (5.26)$$

Since the sum of residues of Q_v at $x_1, x_2, 0, 1, t$ and ∞ is zero, and the residue of Q_v at a pole of v equals $-1/(12r_i)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_1} \log \tilde{\tau}_B = \text{res}|_{x_2} Q_v - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_4} \right) ;$$

thus, since $\tilde{z}_1 = -z_1$,

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}_B}{\tau_B} = \exp \left\{ \frac{z_1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_4} \right) \right\} , \quad (5.27)$$

or

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}_B}{\tau_B} \right)^{12} = \left(\frac{x_2^{r_1} (x_2 - 1)^{r_2} (x_2 - t)^{r_3}}{x_1^{r_1} (x_1 - 1)^{r_2} (x_1 - t)^{r_3}} \right)^{1/r_1 + \dots + 1/r_4} . \quad (5.28)$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_4} = \frac{(p^2 - q^2)(1 - p^2 q^2)}{p^2 q^2 (1 + q^2)} ,$$

we have, taking into account (5.22):

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}_B^{12}(\zeta)}{\tau_B^{12}(\zeta)} = C \zeta^{2 \frac{(p^2 - q^2)(1 - p^2 q^2)}{p^2(1 + q^2)}} \left(\frac{\zeta^{-1} - p/q}{\zeta - p/q} \right)^{\frac{(q^2 - p^2)^2 (p^2 q^2 - 1)}{(1 + p^2)(1 + q^2)}} \left(\frac{\zeta^{-1} + 1/pq}{\zeta + 1/pq} \right)^{\frac{(q^2 p^2 - 1)^2 (q^2 - p^2)}{p^2 q^2 (1 + p^2)(1 + q^2)}} , \quad (5.29)$$

where C is an integration constant.

The straightforward integration of equation (5.26) leads to the following result:

PROPOSITION 13. *The Bergman tau-function on the space of differentials (5.11) is given by the following expression:*

$$\tau_B^{12}(\zeta) = \zeta^{m_1} \left(\zeta^{-1} - \frac{p}{q} \right)^{m_2} \left(\zeta - \frac{p}{q} \right)^{m_3} \left(\zeta^{-1} + \frac{1}{pq} \right)^{m_4} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{pq} \right)^{m_5} (\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) . \quad (5.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{(q^2 - p^2)(1 - p^2 q^2)}{p^2(1 + q^2)} , \\ m_2 &= 1 + \frac{(q^2 - p^2)^2}{p^2 q^2 (p^2 + 1)(q^2 + 1)} , \\ m_3 &= 1 + \frac{(q^2 - p^2)^2}{(p^2 + 1)(q^2 + 1)} , \\ m_4 &= 1 + \frac{(1 - q^2 p^2)^2}{q^2 (p^2 + 1)(q^2 + 1)} , \\ m_5 &= 1 + \frac{(1 - q^2 p^2)^2}{p^2 (p^2 + 1)(q^2 + 1)} . \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (5.30) with the transformation (5.28), we see that $\tilde{\tau}_B(\zeta) = \tau_B(\zeta^{-1})$, i.e. tau-functions corresponding to two different choices of the homological coordinate z_1 are simply different branches of the tau-function (5.30) (which correspond to variable t belonging to different sheets).

In the ζ -plane the Bergman tau-function has 8 singular points. The zeros at $\zeta = \pm 1$ corresponds to merging zeros of the differential v . Other six singularities correspond to merging poles of v , when $t = 0$, $t = 1$ or $t = \infty$ (since ζ -plane is two-fold covering of t -plane, these three values of t correspond to six different values of ζ).

5.3. Bergman tau-function on spaces of differentials with higher order poles with residues.

Surprisingly enough, the problems with invariant definition of the Bergman tau-function in the case of differentials of third kind disappear if all poles of v have order higher than 1, even though v has non-trivial residues at these poles. Namely, consider the space $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$ such that $k_i \neq -1$ for any i . Then the differential Q_v has zeros at all poles x_1, \dots, x_n of v . As it was discussed in Section 2.2, the set of local homological coordinates is given by integrals (2.35) of v over set of generators $\{s_i\}$ (2.34) of the relative homology group (2.33). The dual generators $\{s_i^*\}$ of the dual relative homology group (2.36) are given by (2.37).

Then the 1-form in the definition of the Bergman tau-function:

$$d \log \tau_B(\mathcal{L}, v) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^{2g-2+m+n} \left(\int_{s_i^*} Q_v \right) d \left(\int_{s_i} v \right) \quad (5.31)$$

is well-defined on $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbf{k}_{n+m})$, in contrast to the case of when some of poles are of first order. This 1-form is closed as corollary of the variational formula (2.41), similarly to the case of differentials of second kind.

All the main properties of the Bergman tau-function on spaces of differentials of second kind extend to the case of differentials with residues: the transformation law under the symplectic transformations (4.27) as well as homogeneity property (4.28). Therefore, Proposition 12, which characterizes τ_B as a section of certain line bundle, remains valid also the case of differentials with residues.

As far as an explicit formula for the tau-function is concerned, expressions (4.15) and (4.16) also remain valid. The only modification which has to be done in these formulas is the definition of distinguished local parameters (4.9). Namely, these parameters remain the same in the case of zeros of v . However, distinguished local parameters near poles x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, should, instead of (4.8), be defined from transcendental equations:

$$v = \left(\frac{r_i}{\zeta_i} + \frac{1 - k_i}{\zeta_i^{k_i}} \right) d\zeta_i$$

or

$$r_i \ln \zeta_i + \frac{1}{\zeta_i^{k_i-1}} = \int_{x_0}^x v \quad (5.32)$$

In contrast to the case of differentials of second kind ($r_i = 0$), when ζ_i can be easily expressed via antiderivative of v via (4.10), for $r_i \neq 0$ we can not find a simple expression for ζ_i from (5.32). As well as in the case of differentials of second kind ($r_i = 0$), ζ_i depend on the choice of the initial point of integration x_0 . However, in analogy to (4.11), we can conclude again that if ζ_i and $\tilde{\zeta}_i$ are local parameters corresponding to two different initial points of integration x_0 and \tilde{x}_0 , then

$$\left. \frac{d\zeta_i(x)}{d\tilde{\zeta}_i(x)} \right|_{x=x_i} = 1.$$

Therefore, the freedom of choosing the initial point x_0 does not influence the explicit formula (4.15), (4.16) for τ_B .

The necessity to solve the transcendental equations (5.32) for distinguished local parameters makes the formula (4.15) somehow “less explicit” in the case of non-vanishing residues in comparison with the case of spaces of differentials of second kind.

Finally, we notice that one can view the spaces of differentials with higher order poles and non-vanishing residues as “master” moduli spaces. Then the spaces of differentials of second kind or differentials with simple poles can be viewed as different boundary strata of this master moduli space. From the

point of view of Bergman tau-function the boundary stratum of differentials of second kind is the “good” one: the Bergman tau-function there is invariantly-defined as a section of the same line bundle as on the master moduli space. On the other hand, the boundary stratum consisting of differentials of third kind does not possess the same good properties: as we discussed above, the Bergman tau-function there does not admit an equally invariant definition.

Acknowledgements. The work of DK was partially supported by NSERC, FQRNT and Concordia University Research Chair grants. We thank M.Bertola, A.Kokotov and P.Zograf for discussions. We thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] Abikoff, W., *The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space. Lecture Notes in Math.*, **820** Springer, Berlin, 144 p. (1980)
- [2] Alvarez-Gaumé, L., Bost, J.-B., Moore, G., Nelson, Ph., Vafa, C., *Bosonization on higher genus Riemann surfaces*, Commun.Math.Phys., **112**, 503-552 (1987)
- [3] Babich, M., Korotkin, D., *Self-dual $SU(2)$ invariant Einstein metrics and modular dependence of theta-functions*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **46** 323-337 (1998)
- [4] G.Borot, B.Eynard, *Geometry of spectral curves and all order dispersive integrable system*, arxiv: 1110.4939 (2011)
- [5] I.Biswas, Ben-Zvi, *Theta Functions and Szegő Kernels*, arXiv:math/0211441
- [6] Bobenko, A., *Helicoids with handles and Baker-Akhiezer spinors*, Math.Z., **229** 9-29 (1998)
- [7] Dubrovin, B., *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*, in: *Integrable systems and quantum groups. Proceedings*, Montecatini Terme, 1993, pp. 120-348, Lecture Notes in Math., v.1620, Berlin: Springer, 1996
- [8] Dubrovin, B., *Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory. The Painlevé property*, 287-342, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, New York, 1999
- [9] Fay, John D., *Theta-functions on Riemann surfaces*, Lect.Notes in Math., **352** Springer (1973)
- [10] Fay, John D., *Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces*, Memoirs of the AMS **464** (1992)
- [11] Grinevich, P., Orlov, A., *Flag Spaces in KP Theory and Virasoro Action on $\det D_j$ and Segal-Wilson τ -function*, Preprint CLNS 945/89 Cornell University, 1989, arxiv math-ph/9804019
- [12] Eynard, B., Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., *Genus one contribution to free energy in Hermitian two-matrix model*, Nuclear Physics **B694** 443-472 (2004)
- [13] Eskin, A., Kontsevich, M., Zorich, A., *Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow*, arXiv:1112.5872.
- [14] Harris, J., Mumford, D., *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves*, Invent. Math. **67**, 23-86 (1982).
- [15] Harnad, J.; McKay, J. *Modular solutions to equations of generalized Halphen type*. R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 456 (2000), no. 1994, 261-294
- [16] Hitchin, N. *Poncelet polygons and the Painlevé equations*. Geometry and analysis (Bombay, 1992), 151-185, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995.
- [17] D'Hoker E., Phong, D.H., *Functional determinants on Mandelstam diagrams*, Comm. Math. Phys. **124** 629-645 (1989)
- [18] Hillairet, L., Kalvin, V., Kokotov, A., *Spectral determinants on Maldelstam diagrams*, arXiv:1312.0167
- [19] Knizhnik, V., *Multiloop amplitudes in the theory of quantum strings and complex geometry*, Soviet Phys. Uspekhi **32** N11, 945-971 (1989)
- [20] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., *Isomonodromic tau function of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds and its applications*, IMRN, **2006**, 1-34 (2006).
- [21] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., *Tau functions on spaces of Abelian differentials and higher genus generalization of Ray-Singer formula*, J. Diff. Geom. **82**, 35-100 (2009).
- [22] Kokotov, A., Korotkin, D., Zograf, P., *Isomonodromic tau function on the space of admissible covers*, Adv. Math., **227** no. 1, 586-600 (2011).
- [23] Kokotov, A., Strachan, I., *On the isomonodromic tau-function for the Hurwitz spaces of branched coverings of genus zero and one*, Math.Res.Lett.,
- [24] D.Korotkin, *Matrix Riemann-Hilbert problems related to branched coverings of CP^1* , in “Operator theory: Advances and Applications”, 103-129, ed. by I.Gohberg, A.F. dos Santos and N.Manojlovic, Birkhauser, Boston, 2002
- [25] Korotkin, D., *Solution of matrix Riemann-Hilbert problems with quasi-permutation monodromy matrices*, Math. Ann., **329** 335-364 (2004)
- [26] Korotkin, D., Shramchenko, V., *Inverse monodromy problem for Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds: regular singularities*, J. Reine Agew. Math, **2011**, issue 661, 125-187
- [27] Korotkin, D., Zograf, P., *Tau function and moduli of differentials*, Math. Res. Lett. **18**, no.3, 447-458 (2011).
- [28] Kontsevich, M., Zorich, A., *Connected components of the moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials with prescribed singularities*, Invent. Math. **153** 631-678 (2003)
- [29] Krichever, I., *Integration of non-linear equations by the methods of algebraic geometry*, Math.USSR Uspekhi, **32**. No.6, 183-206 (1977)
- [30] Krichever, I.M., *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), no. 4, 437-475.

- [31] Quillen, D., *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators on Riemann surfaces*, Funkts.Anal.Prilozh., **19** 37-41 (1985)
- [32] Rauch, H.E. *Weierstrass points, branch points, and moduli of Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** 543-560 (1959)
- [33] Mumford, D. *Tata lectures on Theta I*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1984)
- [34] Verlinde, E., Verlinde, H., *Chiral bosonization, determinants and the string partition function*, Nucl.Phys. **B288** 357-396 (1987)
- [35] Takhtajan, Leon A. *Modular forms as tau-functions for certain integrable reductions of the Yang-Mills equations. Integrable systems (Luminy, 1991)*, 115-129, Progr. Math., 115, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [36] Kontsevitch, M., Zorich, A., *Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory*, arXiv hep-th/9701164

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, UFR SCIENCES MAPMO-UMR 6628, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES - ROUTE DE CHARTRES B.P. 6759 - 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2 FRANCE

E-mail address: `caroline.kalla@univ-orleans.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 1455 DE MAISONNEUVE WEST, MONTREAL, H3G 1M8 QUEBEC, CANADA

E-mail address: `korotkin@mathstat.concordia.ca`