

**SOME IDENTITIES OF q -EULER POLYNOMIALS ARISING
FROM q -UMBRAL CALCULUS**

DAE SAN KIM AND TAEKYUN KIM

ABSTRACT. Recently, Araci-Acikgoz-Sen derived some interesting identities on weighted q -Euler polynomials and higher-order q -Euler polynomials from the applications of umbral calculus (See [1]). In this paper, we develop the new method of q -umbral calculus due to Roman and we study new q -extension of Euler numbers and polynomials which are derived from q -umbral calculus. Finally, we give some interesting identities on our q -Euler polynomials related to the q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials of Hegazi and Mansour.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we will assume q to be a fixed real number between 0 and 1. We define the q -shifted factorials by

$$(a : q)_0 = 1, (a : q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i), (a : q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i). \quad (1.1)$$

If x is a classical object, such as a complex number, its q -version is defined as $[x]_q = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q}$. We now introduce the q -extension of exponential function as follows:

$$e_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{((1-q)z : q)_\infty}, \quad (\text{see}[3, 6, 7, 8]), \quad (1.2)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < 1$.

The Jackson definite q -integral of the function f is defined by

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t = (1-q) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} f(q^a x) x q^a, \quad \text{see [3, 6, 9]}. \quad (1.3)$$

The q -difference operator D_q is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{d_q f(x)}{d_q x} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \frac{df(x)}{dx} & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q f(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx}, \quad (\text{see}[3,6,8,10]).$$

By using exponential function $e_q(x)$, Hegazi and Mausour defined q -Bernoulli polynomials by means of

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt), \quad (\text{see [3,6,8,12]}). \quad (1.5)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $B_{n,q}(0) = B_{n,q}$ are called the n -th q -Bernoulli numbers.

From (1.5), we can easily derive the following equation:

$$B_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{n-l,q} x^l = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} x^{n-l}, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\binom{n}{l}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-l]_q! [l]_q!} = \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-l+1]_q}{[l]_q!}, \quad (\text{see [6,12]}).$$

In the next section, we will consider new q -extensions of Euler numbers and polynomials by using the method of Hegazi and Mansour. More than five decades ago, Carlitz[2] defined a q -extension of Euler polynomials. In a recent paper (see [7]), B. A. Kupersmidt constructed reflection symmetries of q -Bernoulli polynomials which differ from Carlitz's q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. By using the method of B. A. Kupersmidt, Hegazi and Mansour also introduced new q -extension of Bernoulli numbers and polynomials (see [3,7,8]). From the q -exponential function, Kurt and Cenkci derived some interesting new formulae of q -extension of Genocchi polynomials. Recently, several authors have studied various q -extension of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials (see [1-10]). Let \mathbb{C} be the complex number field and let \mathcal{F} be the set of all formal power series in variable t over \mathbb{C} with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[t]$ and let \mathbb{P}^* be the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} . $\langle L|p(x) \rangle$ denotes the action of linear functional L on the polynomial $p(x)$, and it is well known that the vector space operations on \mathbb{P}^* are defined by

$$\langle L + M|p(x) \rangle = \langle L|p(x) \rangle + \langle M|p(x) \rangle, \quad \langle cL|p(x) \rangle = c \langle L|p(x) \rangle,$$

where c is complex constant (see[1,5,12]).

For $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the linear functional on \mathbb{P} by setting

$$\langle f(t)|x^n \rangle = a_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

From (1.7) and (1.8), we note that

$$\langle t^k|x^n \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker's symbol.

Let us assume that $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle L|x^n \rangle \frac{t^k}{k!}$. Then by (1.9), we easily see that $\langle f_L(t)|x^n \rangle = \langle L|x^n \rangle$. That is, $f_L(t) = L$. Additionally, the map $L \mapsto f_L(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^* onto \mathcal{F} . Henceforth \mathcal{F} denotes both the algebra of formal power series in t and the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} , and so an element $f(t)$ of \mathcal{F} will be thought as a formal power series and a linear functional. We call it the q -umbral algebra. The q -umbral calculus is the study of

q -umbral algebra. By (1.2), and (1.3), we easily see that $\langle e_q(yt)|x^n \rangle = y^n$ and so $\langle e_q(yt)|p(x) \rangle = p(y)$ for $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$. The order $o(f(t))$ of the power series $f(t) \neq 0$ is the smallest integer for which a_k does not vanish. If $o(f(t)) = 0$, then $f(t)$ is called an invertible series. If $o(f(t)) = 1$, then $f(t)$ is called a delta series (see [1,5,11,12]). For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\langle f(t)g(t)|p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t)|g(t)p(x) \rangle = \langle g(t)|f(t)p(x) \rangle$. Let $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$. Then we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t)|x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k|p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} \quad (\text{see [11]}). \quad (1.10)$$

From (1.10), we have

$$p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \frac{\langle t^l|p(x) \rangle}{[l]_q!} [l]_q \cdots [l-k+1]_q x^{l-k}. \quad (1.11)$$

By (1.11), we get

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k|p(x) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1|p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(0). \quad (1.12)$$

Thus from (1.12), we note that

$$t^k p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x). \quad (1.13)$$

Let $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $o(f(t)) = 1$ and $o(g(t)) = 0$. Then there exists a unique sequence $S_n(x)$ ($\deg S_n(x) = n$) of polynomials such that $\langle g(t)f(t)^k|S_n(x) \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}$, ($n, k \geq 0$). The sequence $S_n(x)$ is called the q -sheffer sequence for $(g(t), f(t))$ which is denoted by $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$. Let $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$. For $h(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$, we have

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h(t)|S_k(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} g(t)f(t)^k, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t)f(t)^k|p(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} S_k(x), \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_q(y\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(y)}{[k]_q!} t^k, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.15)$$

where $\bar{f}(t)$ is the compositional inverse of $f(t)$ (see [11,12]).

Recently, Araci-Acikgoz-Sen derived some new interesting properties on the new family of q -Euler numbers and polynomials from some applications of umbral algebra (see[1]). The properties of q -Euler and q -Bernoulli polynomials seem to be of interest and worthwhile in the areas of both number theory and mathematical physics. In this paper, we develop the new method of q -umbral calculus due to Roman and study new q -extension of Euler numbers and polynomials which are derived from q -umbral calculus. Finally, we give new explicit formulas on q -Euler polynomials relate to Hegazi-Mansour's q -Bernoulli polynomials.

2. q -EULER NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS

We consider the new q -extension of Euler polynomials which are generated by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \quad (2.1)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $E_{n,q}(0) = E_{n,q}$ are called the n -th q -Euler numbers. From (2.1), we note that

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{l,q} x^{n-l} = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q} x^l. \quad (2.2)$$

By (2.1), we easily get

$$E_{0,q} = 1, \quad E_{n,q}(1) + E_{n,q} = 2\delta_{0,n} \quad (2.3)$$

For example, $E_{0,q} = 1$, $E_{1,q} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $E_{2,q} = \frac{q-1}{4}$, $E_{3,q} = \frac{q+q^2-1}{4} + \frac{(1-q)[3]_q}{8}, \dots$. From (1.15) and (2.1), we have

$$E_{n,q}(x) \sim \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2}, t \right) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} x^n = E_{n,q}(x), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, by (1.13) and (2.5), we get

$$tE_{n,q}(x) = \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} tx^n = [n]_q \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} x^{n-1} = [n]_q E_{n-1,q}(x), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.6)$$

Indeed, by (1.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} t^k | E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle &= \frac{[k]_q!}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) + 1 | E_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[k]_q!}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q (E_{n-k,q}(1) + E_{n-k,q}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k | E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k} \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, by (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$0 = E_{n-k,q}(1) + E_{n-k,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l}_q E_{l,q} + E_{n-k,q}, \quad (n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ with } n > k). \quad (2.9)$$

This is equivalent to

$$-2E_{n-k,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n-k}{l}_q E_{l,q}, \quad \text{where } n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ with } n > k. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, by (2.10), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$-2E_{n,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{l}_q E_{l,q}.$$

From (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+y} E_{n,q}(u) d_q u &= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q} \frac{1}{[l+1]_q} \{(x+y)^{l+1} - x^{l+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n+1}{l+1}_q E_{n-l,q} \{(x+y)^{l+1} - x^{l+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}_q E_{n+1-l,q} \{(x+y)^l - x^l\} \quad (2.11) \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}_q E_{n+1-l,q} \{(x+y)^l - x^l\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{E_{n+1,q}(x+y) - E_{n+1,q}(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} | E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} | t E_{n+1,q}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \langle e_q(t) - 1 | E_{n+1,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{E_{n+1,q}(1) - E_{n+1,q}\} \\ &= \int_0^1 E_{n,q}(u) d_q u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, by (2.12), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} | E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = \int_0^1 E_{n,q}(u) d_q u.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] | \deg p(x) \leq n\}. \quad (2.13)$$

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x). \quad (2.14)$$

Then, by (2.4), we get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k | E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), we can derive the following equation (2.16):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k | p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k | E_{l,q}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! b_{k,q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Thus, by (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k | p(x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k | p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle e_q(t) + 1 | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \{p^{(k)}(1) + p^{(k)}(0)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$.

Therefore, by (2.14) and (2.17), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k | p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \{p^{(k)}(1) + p^{(k)}(0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$.

From (1.5), we note that

$$B_{n,q}(x) \sim \left(\frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t}, t \right), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.18)$$

Let us take $p(x) = B_{n,q}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then $B_{n,q}(x)$ can be represented as a linear combination of $\{E_{0,q}(x), E_{1,q}(x), \dots, E_{n,q}(x)\}$ as follows:

$$B_{n,q}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x), \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k | B_{n,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q}{2[k]_q!} \langle e_q(t) + 1 | B_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) + 1 | B_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \{B_{n-k,q}(1) + B_{n-k,q}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

From (1.5), we can derive the following recurrence relation for the q -Bernoulli numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{l,q} \frac{t^l}{[l]_q!} \right) (e_q(t) - 1) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} \right) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (B_{n,q}(1) - B_{n,q}) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Thus, by (2.21), we get

$$B_{0,q} = 1, B_{n,q}(1) - B_{n,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

For example, $B_{0,q} = 1, B_{1,q} = -\frac{1}{[2]_q}, B_{2,q} = \frac{q^2}{[3]_q[2]_q}, \dots$

By (2.19), (2.20), and (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{n,q}(x) &= b_{n,q}E_{n,q}(x) + b_{n-1,q}E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} b_{k,q}E_{k,q}(x) \\
 &= E_{n,q}(x) + \frac{[n]_q}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{[2]_q} \right) E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x) \\
 &= E_{n,q}(x) - \frac{[n]_q(1-q)}{2[2]_q} E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore, by (2.23), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *For $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$B_{n,q}(x) = E_{n,q}(x) + \frac{[n]_q(q-1)}{2[2]_q} E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x).$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the q -Euler polynomials, $E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x)$, of order r are defined by the generating function to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r e_q(xt) &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right) \times \dots \times \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)}_{r\text{-times}} e_q(xt) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

In the special case, $x = 0, E_{n,q}^{(r)}(0) = E_{n,q}^{(r)}$ are called the n -th q -Euler numbers of order r .

Let

$$g^r(t) = \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r, \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \tag{2.25}$$

Then $g^r(t)$ is an invertible series. From (2.24) and (2.25), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \quad (2.26)$$

By (2.26), we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^n, \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$tE_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} tx^n = [n]_q \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^{n-1} = [n]_q E_{n-1,q}^{(r)}(x). \quad (2.28)$$

Thus, by (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28), we see that

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r, t \right). \quad (2.29)$$

By (1.9) and (2.24), we get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r e_q(yt) | x^n \right\rangle = E_{n,q}^{(r)}(y) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q}^{(r)} y^l. \quad (2.30)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r | x^n \right\rangle &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = m} \frac{E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q}}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} \right) \langle t^m | x^n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where $\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!}$.

By (2.30), we easily get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r | x^n \right\rangle = E_{n,q}^{(r)}. \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore, by (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)} = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q},$$

where $\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!}$.

Let us take $p(x) = E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x), \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k | p(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) | t^k p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{k}_q}{2} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) | E_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(x) \rangle = \frac{\binom{n}{k}_q}{2} \{E_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n-k,q}^{(r)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

From (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{E_{n,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n,q}^{(r)}\} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r (e_q(t) + 1) \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^{r-1} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r-1)} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

By comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.35), we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n,q}^{(r)} = 2E_{n,q}^{(r-1)}, \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.36)$$

Therefore, by (2.33), (2.34) and (2.36), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k}_q E_{n-k,q}^{(r-1)} E_{k,q}(x).$$

Let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (2.37)$$

By (2.29) and (2.37), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q}^r \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | E_{l,q}^{(r)}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q}^r [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! b_{k,q}^r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

From (2.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | p(x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r | t^k p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \right) \frac{1}{[m]_q!} \langle 1 | t^{m+k} p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} p^{(m+k)}(0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Therefore by (2.37) and (2.39), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. For $n \geq 0$, let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} = \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r t^k | p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} p^{(m+k)}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$.

Let us take $p(x) = E_{n,q}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$E_{n,q}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x), \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{[m]_q!} [n]_q \cdots [n - m - k + 1]_q E_{n-m-k,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\ &\quad \times \frac{[m+k]_q! [n]_q \cdots [n - m - k + 1]_q}{[m]_q! [k]_q! [m+k]_q!} E_{n-m-k,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q E_{n-m-k,q} \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Therefore, by (2.40) and (2.41), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. For $n, r \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,q}(x) &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times E_{n-m-k,q} \right\} E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let us consider q -Bernoulli polynomials of order r which are defined by the generating function to be

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r e_q(xt) &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right)}_{r\text{-times}} e_q(xt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $B_{n,q}^{(r)}(0) = B_{n,q}^{(r)}$ are called the n -th q -Bernoulli numbers of order r . By (2.42), we easily get

$$B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q}^{(r)} x^{n-l} \in \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (2.43)$$

Let us take $p(x) = B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x), \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r t^k | B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\ &\quad \times \frac{[n]_q \cdots [n-m-k+1]_q}{[m]_q!} B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Therefore, by (2.44) and (2.45), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *For $n, r \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)} \right\} E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MOE) (No.2012R1A1A2003786).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Araci, M. Acikgoz, E. Sen, *On the extended Kim's p -adic q -deformed fermionic integrals in the p -adic integer ring*, J. Number Theory 133(2013), no.10, 3348-3361.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Duke Math. J. 15(1948), 987-1000.
- [3] A. S. Hegazi, M. Mansour, *A note on q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 13(2006), no. 1, 9-18.
- [4] M. E. H. Ismail, M. Rahman, *Inverse operators, q -fractional integrals, and q -Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 114(2002), no. 2, 269-307.
- [5] D. S. Kim, T. Kim, S.-H. Lee, S.-H. Rim, *Some identities of Bernoulli, Euler and Abel polynomials arising from umbral calculus*, Adv. Difference Equ., 2013, 2013:15.
- [6] T. Kim, *q -Generalized Euler numbers and polynomials*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 13. 293-298 (2006).
- [7] B. O. Kupershmidt, *Reflection symmetries of q -Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12(2005), suppl. 1, 412-422.
- [8] V. Kurt, M. Cenkci, *A new approach to q -Genocchi numbers and polynomials*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), no. 3, 575-583.
- [9] N.I.Mahmudov, M. E. Keleshteri, *On a class of generalized q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2013, 2013:115.
- [10] N.I.Mahmudov, *On a class of q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2013, 2013:108.
- [11] S. Roman, *More on the umbral calculus, with emphasis on the q -umbral calculus*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 107 (1985), 222-254.
- [12] S. Roman, *The umbral calculus*, Dover Publ. Inc., New york, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.
E-mail address: `dskim@sogang.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `tkkim@kw.ac.kr`