# ON SUMS OF S-INTEGERS OF BOUNDED NORM

CHRISTOPHER FREI, ROBERT TICHY, AND VOLKER ZIEGLER

Dedicated to Wolfgang M. Schmidt on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. We prove an asymptotic formula for the number of S-integers in a number field K that can be represented by a sum of n S-integers of bounded norm.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A (weighted) S-unit equation is an equation over a field K of the form

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0,$$

where  $a_i \in K$  are fixed,  $x_i \in \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $K^*$ . Usually K is a number field and  $\Gamma$  a group of S-units.

The study of S-unit equations for their own interest and also in view of applications has a long history. Siegel and Mahler [13, 18] already considered S-unit equations in order to prove the finiteness of rational points on certain curves. Nowadays a standard tool to investigate S-unit equations is Wolfgang Schmidt's famous Subspace Theorem [16] or one of its generalizations due to Evertse, Ferretti or Schlickewei [4, 6, 14, 15].

The theory of S-unit equations was applied, for example, to count the number of solutions to norm form equations (see e.g. [5, 15]) or to study arithmetic properties of recurrence sequences (see e.g. [7, 17]).

In this paper we consider applications of S-unit equations to the unit sum number problem, i.e. the question which number fields have the property that each algebraic integer is a sum of units. In the last decade this problem has been studied by several authors; we refer to [1] for an overview. We are interested in questions related to a problem posed by Jarden and Narkiewicz [12, Problem C]:

**Problem 1.** Let K be an algebraic number field. Obtain an asymptotic formula for the number  $N_n(x)$  of positive integers  $m \leq x$  which are sums of at most n units of the ring of integers of K.

Variants of this problem were studied by Filipin, Fuchs, Tichy and Ziegler [8, 9]. Using a result of Everest [2], they found an asymptotic formula for the number of non-associated integers in K of bounded norm that are sums of exactly n units; see also [3, 10]. The aim of this article is to close a gap in [8, 9] and to further generalize the results.

For n, m fixed, we consider integers in K that are sums of exactly n integers in K of norm at most m. It follows from [11] that not every integer in K can be written as such a sum. The natural next step is to show a quantitative result in the style of [9]. Everest's theorem allows us to achieve this without much additional effort.

Date: July 29, 2013.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D45, 11N45.

Key words and phrases. unit equations, S-integers, algebraic number fields.

Before we state our main result we fix some notation. Let K be a number field, S a finite set of places of K containing all Archimedean places, s := |S| - 1 (where |S| denotes the cardinality of the set S), and  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  the ring of S-integers of K. We say that two S-integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S} \setminus \{0\}$  are associated,  $\alpha \sim \beta$ , if  $\alpha/\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$ . This is an equivalence relation, and we denote the equivalence class of  $\alpha$  by  $[\alpha]$ . Let u(n,m;q) be the number of equivalence classes  $[\alpha]$  of nonzero S-integers such that

$$N_S(\alpha) := \prod_{v \in S} |\alpha|_v \le q$$
 and  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ 

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $N_S(\alpha_i) \leq m$  and

no subsum of 
$$\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$$
 vanishes. (1)

(The absolute values are normalized by  $|a|_v = |a|_w^{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_w]}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , where w is the place of  $\mathbb{Q}$  below v and  $|\cdot|_w$  is the usual w-adic absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By the product formula,  $N_S(\alpha)$  depends only on  $[\alpha]$ .)

We write  $\mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)$  for the set of all nonzero principal ideals  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  of norm  $[\mathcal{O}_{K,S} : \mathcal{A}] \leq m$ . Moreover, we define the constant  $c_{n,s}$  to be the *ns*-dimensional Lebesgue measure of

$$\{(x_{11},\ldots,x_{ns})\in\mathbb{R}^{ns}\mid g(x_{11},\ldots,x_{ns})<1\},\$$

where

$$g(x_{11},\ldots,x_{ns}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \max\{0, x_{1i},\ldots,x_{ni}\} + \max\left\{0, -\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{1i},\ldots,-\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{ni}\right\}.$$

This positive constant is the same as in [9]. It satisfies the inequalities

$$\frac{2^{ns}}{(ns)!} < c_{n,s} < 2^{ns},$$

and its exact values are known for n = 1 or  $s \leq 2$  [1, 9].

**Theorem 2.** With the above notation the following asymptotic formula holds as  $q \to \infty$ :

$$u(n,m;q) = |\mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)|^n \frac{c_{n-1,s}}{n!} \left(\frac{\omega_K (\log q)^s}{\operatorname{Reg}_{K,S}}\right)^{n-1} + O((\log q)^{(n-1)s-1}),$$

where  $\omega_K$  is the number of roots of unity in K and  $\operatorname{Reg}_{K,S}$  is the S-regulator of K.

We note that the case m = 1 of Theorem 2 is just [9, Theorem 1].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

For each principal ideal  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)$ , we choose a fixed generator  $g_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ , that is,  $g_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $N_S(g_{\mathcal{A}}) = [\mathcal{O}_{K,S} : \mathcal{A}]$ . Let G(m) be the set of all  $g_{\mathcal{A}}$ with  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)$ . We extend the equivalence relation ~ to *n*-tuples in  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n$  by

$$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \sim (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n) :\Leftrightarrow (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) = \lambda \cdot (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times},$$

and write  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n)$  for the equivalence class of  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ . For  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n/\sim$ , the expression

$$N_S(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) = \prod_{v \in S} |\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n|_v,$$

is well defined. Each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $N_S(\alpha) \leq m$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha = g(\alpha)\epsilon$ , where  $g(\alpha)$  is the generator in G(m) of the principal ideal  $\alpha \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$  and  $\epsilon := \alpha/g(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$ .

Our main tool to prove Theorem 2 is a result due to Everest [2, Theorem]. We write  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times})$  for the set of equivalence classes  $(\epsilon_1 : \cdots : \epsilon_n)$  with  $\epsilon_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Theorem 3** (Everest [2]). For fixed  $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in (K \setminus \{0\})^n$ , let  $w_c(n;q)$  be the number of all  $(\epsilon_1 : \cdots : \epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times})$  such that

$$N_S(c_1\epsilon_1 + \dots + c_n\epsilon_n) \le q$$

and

no subsum of 
$$c_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + c_n \epsilon_n$$
 vanishes. (2)

Then, as  $q \to \infty$ ,

$$w_c(n;q) = c_{n-1,s} \left(\frac{\omega_K (\log q)^s}{\operatorname{Reg}_{K,S}}\right)^{n-1} + O((\log q)^{(n-1)s-1}).$$

In view of Theorem 2 we are interested in the set

$$V(n,m;q) := \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n / \sim | N_S(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) \le q, N_S(\alpha_i) \le m, (1) \}.$$

**Lemma 4.** We have, as  $q \to \infty$ ,

$$|V(n,m;q)| = |\mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)|^n c_{n-1,s} \left(\frac{\omega_K (\log q)^s}{\operatorname{Reg}_{K,S}}\right)^{n-1} + O((\log q)^{(n-1)s-1}).$$

*Proof.* Condition (1) implies that  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  holds for all *i*. With  $c_i := g(\alpha_i)$  and  $\epsilon_i := \alpha_i/c_i$ , we can write |V(n,m;q)| as

$$\sum_{(c_1,\ldots,c_n)\in G(m)^n} |\{(\epsilon_1:\cdots:\epsilon_n)\in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}) \mid N_S(c_1\epsilon_1+\cdots+c_n\epsilon_n)\leq q, (2)\}|.$$

The lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

Let us introduce another equivalence relation 
$$\sim_P$$
 on  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n/\sim$ : We say that two  
elements  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n/\sim$  are equivalent if  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  arises from  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  by a permutation of  
the coordinates. By  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  we denote the equivalence class of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^n/\sim$  with  
respect to  $\sim_P$ . Note that each equivalence class with respect to  $\sim_P$  has at most  $n!$   
elements.

Let  $V^*(n, m; q)$  be the set of all  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V(n, m; q)$  whose equivalence class  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  has exactly n! elements.

**Lemma 5.** We have, as  $q \to \infty$ ,

$$|V^*(n,m;q)| = |\mathcal{I}_{K,S}(m)|^n c_{n-1,s} \left(\frac{\omega_K(\log q)^s}{\operatorname{Reg}_{K,S}}\right)^{n-1} + O((\log q)^{(n-1)s-1}).$$

*Proof.* If the equivalence class of  $\alpha$  has less than n! elements then there is a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ ,  $\pi \neq id$ , such that  $(\alpha_{\pi(1)} : \cdots : \alpha_{\pi(n)}) = (\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n)$ , that is,

$$(\alpha_{\pi(1)},\ldots,\alpha_{\pi(n)})=(\lambda\alpha_1,\ldots,\lambda\alpha_n),$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$ . Assume that, say,  $\pi(2) = 1$ . Since  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{\pi^{n!}(2)} = \lambda^{n!}\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , we see that  $\lambda$  is a (n!)-th root of unity. We have  $\alpha_1 = \lambda \alpha_2 = g(\alpha_2)\lambda \epsilon_2$ . In particular, (1) implies that  $g(\alpha_2)(\lambda+1) \neq 0$ , so the number of such  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  in V(n,m;q) for which there exists such a permutation  $\pi$  is bounded by

$$\sum_{\substack{(c_2,\dots,c_n)\in G(m)^{n-1}\\\lambda^{n!}=1,\ \lambda\neq-1}} |\{(\epsilon_2:\dots:\epsilon_n)\in\mathbb{P}^{n-2}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times})\mid N_S((c_2\lambda+c_2)\epsilon_2+\dots+c_n\epsilon_n)\leq q,\ (2)\}|.$$

This is  $\ll (\log q)^{(n-2)s}$  by Theorem 3. The above argument has to be carried out for all  $\binom{n}{2}$  pairs  $1 \le i < j \le n$ . Thus, the number of equivalence classes  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  with less than n! elements is  $\ll (\log q)^{(n-2)s}$ , which proves the result.

Clearly, for  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V(n, m; q)$ , the equivalence class  $[\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n]$  depends only on the equivalence class  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$ . We show that for most of the  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V^*(n, m; q)$ , there is no  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in V^*(n, m; q) \smallsetminus [\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  with  $[\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n] = [\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n]$ .

**Lemma 6.** The number of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V^*(n,m;q)$  for which there is  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in V^*(n,m;q) \setminus [\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$ with  $[\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n] = [\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n]$  is  $\ll (\log q)^{(n-2)s}$  as  $q \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* If there is such a  $\beta$  then we can write  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1 : \cdots : \beta_n),$  with

$$\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - \beta_1 - \dots - \beta_n = 0.$$

Since  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in V^*(n, m; q)$ , all  $\alpha_i$  (resp. all  $\beta_i$ ) are pairwise distinct. Since  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \notin [\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$ ,

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \neq \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}.$$
 (3)

Due to (1) and (3), there exist subsets  $I, J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $|I| \ge 2$ , such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i - \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j = 0 \tag{4}$$

and no proper subsum of (4) vanishes. Assume that  $I = \{1, \ldots, k\}, J = \{1, \ldots, l\}$ , for some  $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We write (uniquely)  $\alpha_i = c_i \epsilon_i, \beta_i = d_i \delta_i$ , with  $c_i, d_i \in G(m)$  and  $\epsilon_i, \delta_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$ . Then (4) becomes a non-degenerate S-unit equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^{l} d_j \delta_j = 0, \tag{5}$$

which has only finitely many solutions  $(\epsilon_1 : \cdots : \epsilon_k : \delta_1 : \cdots : \delta_l) \in \mathbb{P}^{k+l-1}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times})$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(c_1, \ldots, c_k, d_1, \ldots, d_l)$  be the finite set of all representatives of the form

$$(1,\epsilon_2,\ldots,\epsilon_k)$$

that appear in a solution of (5). Then

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (c_1 \mu : c_2 \epsilon_2 \mu : \cdots : c_k \epsilon_k \mu : c_{k+1} \epsilon_{k+1} : \cdots : c_n \epsilon_n),$$

with  $c_i \in G(m)$ ,  $(1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_k) \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mu, \epsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_n \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times}$ . By (1), we have

$$c_1 + c_2 \epsilon_2 + \dots + c_k \epsilon_k \neq 0. \tag{6}$$

The number of such  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is bounded by the sum over all  $(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in G(m)^n$  and  $(1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_k) \in \mathcal{E}$  with (6) of the number of all

$$(\mu:\epsilon_{k+1}:\cdots:\epsilon_n)\in\mathbb{P}^{n-k}(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^{\times})$$

with

$$N_S((c_1 + c_2\epsilon_2 + \dots + c_k\epsilon_k)\mu + c_{k+1}\epsilon_{k+1} + \dots + c_n\epsilon_n) \le q_s$$

and for which no subsum of  $(c_1 + \cdots + c_k \epsilon_k) \mu + c_{k+1} \epsilon_{k+1} + \cdots + c_n \epsilon_n$  vanishes. By Theorem 3, this number is  $\ll (\log q)^{(n-k)s} \leq (\log q)^{(n-2)s}$ .

Every equivalence class counted by u(n,m;q) is of the form  $[\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n]$ , for some  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n) \in V(n,m;q)$ . By Lemma 4 and 5, we can restrict ourselves to equivalence classes  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  coming from  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V^*(n,m;q)$  without changing the main term. By Lemma 6, the equivalence class  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  of each such  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is uniquely defined by the class  $[\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n]$ , with  $\ll (\log q)^{(n-2)s}$  exceptions. Hence, we obtain the desired asymptotic formula by counting equivalence classes  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_P$  with  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V^*(n,m;q)$ . (This argument was not given in [9].)

#### Acknowledgment

R. T. was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the project F5510 (part of the Special Research Program (SFB) "Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications"). V. Z. was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the project P 24801-N26.

### References

- [1] F. Barroero, C. Frei, and R. F. Tichy. Additive unit representations in rings over global fields—a survey. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 79(3-4):291–307, 2011.
- [2] G. R. Everest. Counting the values taken by sums of S-units. J. Number Theory, 35(3):269–286, 1990.
- [3] G. R. Everest and I. E. Shparlinski. Counting the values taken by algebraic exponential polynomials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127(3):665–675, 1999.
- [4] J.-H. Evertse and R. G. Ferretti. A further improvement of the quantitative subspace theorem. Ann. of Math. (2), 177(2):513–590, 2013.
- [5] J.-H. Evertse and K. Győry. The number of families of solutions of decomposable form equations. Acta Arith., 80(4):367–394, 1997.
- [6] J.-H. Evertse and H. P. Schlickewei. A quantitative version of the absolute subspace theorem. J. Reine Angew. Math., 548:21–127, 2002.
- [7] J.-H. Evertse, H. P. Schlickewei, and W. M. Schmidt. Linear equations in variables which lie in a multiplicative group. Ann. of Math. (2), 155(3):807–836, 2002.
- [8] A. Filipin, R. F. Tichy, and V. Ziegler. On the quantitative unit sum number problem—an application of the subspace theorem. *Acta Arith.*, 133(4):297–308, 2008.
- [9] C. Fuchs, R. F. Tichy, and V. Ziegler. On quantitative aspects of the unit sum number problem. Arch. Math., 93:259–268, 2009.
- [10] K. Győry, M. Mignotte, and T. N. Shorey. On some arithmetical properties of weighted sums of S-units. Math. Pannon., 1(2):25–43, 1990.
- [11] L. Hajdu. Arithmetic progressions in linear combinations of S-units. Period. Math. Hung., 54(2):175-181, 2007.
- [12] M. Jarden and W. Narkiewicz. On sums of units. Monatsh. Math., 150(4):327–332, 2007.
- [13] K. Mahler. Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen (I). Math. Ann., 107:691–730, 1933.
- [14] H. P. Schlickewei. Linearformen mit algebraischen Koeffizienten. Manuscripta Math., 18(2):147–185, 1976.
- [15] H. P. Schlickewei. The p-adic Thue-Siegel-Roth-Schmidt theorem. Arch. Math. (Basel), 29(3):267-270, 1977.
- [16] W. M. Schmidt. Linear forms with algebraic coefficients. I. J. Number Theory, 3:253–277, 1971.
- [17] W. M. Schmidt. Linear recurrence sequences. In *Diophantine approximation (Cetraro, 2000)*, volume 1819 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 171–247. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [18] C. L. Siegel. Über einige Anwendungen Diophantischer Approximationen. Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl., pages 41–69, 1929.

Institut für Mathematik A, Technische Universität Graz, Steyrergasse 30, 8010 Graz, Austria

*E-mail address*: frei@math.tugraz.at *E-mail address*: tichy@tugraz.at

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM), Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstr. 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria

*E-mail address*: volker.ziegler@ricam.oeaw.ac.at