

GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF (PSEUDO-) RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS PRESERVE THE CLASS OF DIFFERENTIABILITY

I. HINTERLEITNER AND J. MIKEŠ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that geodesic mappings of (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds preserve the class of differentiability $(C^r, r \geq 1)$. Also, if the Einstein space V_n admits a non trivial geodesic mapping onto a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$, then \bar{V}_n is an Einstein space. If a four-dimensional Einstein space with non constant curvature globally admits a geodesic mapping onto a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $\bar{V}_4 \in C^1$, then the mapping is affine and, moreover, if the scalar curvature is non vanishing, then the mapping is homothetic, i.e. $\bar{g} = \text{const} \cdot g$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The paper is devoted to the geodesic mapping theory of (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds with respect to differentiability of their metrics. Most of the results in this area are formulated for “sufficiently” smooth, or analytic, geometric objects, as usual in differential geometry. It can be observed in most of monographs and researches, dedicated to the study of the theory of geodesic mappings and transformations, see [1, 3, 5–11, 13–19, 23–36].

Let $V_n = (M, g)$ and $\bar{V}_n = (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds, where M and \bar{M} are n -dimensional manifolds with dimension $n \geq 2$, g and \bar{g} are metrics. All the manifolds are assumed to be connected.

Definition 1. A diffeomorphism $f: V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ is called a *geodesic mapping* of V_n onto \bar{V}_n if f maps any geodesic in V_n onto a geodesic in \bar{V}_n .

Hinterleitner and Mikeš [11] have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *If the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_n ($V_n \in C^r$, $r \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$) admits a geodesic mapping onto $\bar{V}_n \in C^2$, then \bar{V}_n belongs to C^r .*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B20, 53B21, 53B30, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. geodesic mappings, (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold, smoothness class, Einstein manifold.

The paper was supported by grant P201/11/0356 of The Czech Science Foundation and by the project FAST-S-12-25 of the Brno University of Technology.

Here and later $V_n = (M, g) \in C^r$ denotes that $g \in C^r$, i.e. in a coordinate neighborhood (U, x) for the components of the metric g holds $g_{ij}(x) \in C^r$. If $V_n \in C^r$ then $M \in C^{r+1}$. This means that the atlas on the manifold M has the differentiability class C^{r+1} , i.e. for non disjoint charts (U, x) and (U', x') on $U \cap U'$ it is true that the transformation $x' = x'(x) \in C^{r+1}$.

We suppose that the differentiability class r is equal to $0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \omega$, where $0, \infty$ and ω denotes continuous, infinitely differentiable, and real analytic functions respectively.

In the paper we proof more general results. The following theorem holds:

Theorem 2. *If the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_n ($V_n \in C^r$, $r \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$) admits a geodesic mapping onto $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$, then \bar{V}_n belongs to C^r .*

Briefly, this means that *the geodesic mapping preserves the class of smoothness of the metric.*

Remark 1. It's easy to proof that the Theorems 1 and 2 are valid also for $r = \infty$ and for $r = \omega$. This follows from the theory of solvability of differential equations. Of course we can apply this theorem only locally, because differentiability is a local property.

Remark 2. To require $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^1$ is a minimal requirement for geodesic mappings.

T. Levi-Civita [13] found metrics (*Levi-Civita metrics*) which admit geodesic mappings, see [1, 5], [25, p. 173], [27, p. 325]. From these metrics we can easily see examples of non trivial geodesic mappings $V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$, where

- $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^r$ and $\notin C^{r+1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^\infty$ and $\notin C^\omega$;
- $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^\omega$.

2. GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

These results may be applied for geodesic mappings of Einstein manifolds V_n onto pseudo-Riemannian manifolds $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$.

Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces have been studied by many authors starting by A.Z. Petrov (see [27]). Einstein spaces V_n are characterized by the condition $Ric = \text{const} \cdot g$.

An Einstein space V_3 is a space of constant curvature. It is known that Riemannian spaces of constant curvature form a closed class with respect to geodesic mappings (Beltrami theorem [5, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31]). In 1978 (see [15] and PhD. thesis [14], and see [16, 21, 22], [23, p. 125], [25, p. 188]) Mikeš proved that under the conditions $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^3$ the following theorem holds (locally):

Theorem 3. *If the Einstein space V_n admits a non trivial geodesic mapping onto a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold \bar{V}_n , then \bar{V}_n is an Einstein space.*

Many properties of Einstein spaces appear when $V_n \in C^3$ and $n > 3$. Moreover, it is known (D.M. DeTurck and J.L. Kazdan [4], see [2, p. 145]), that Einstein space V_n belongs to C^ω , i.e. for all points of V_n a local coordinate system x exists for which $g_{ij}(x) \in C^\omega$ (*analytic coordinate system*).

It implies global validity of Theorem 3, and on basis of Theorem 2 the following more general theorem holds:

Theorem 4. *If the Einstein space V_n admits a nontrivial geodesic mapping onto a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$, then \bar{V}_n is an Einstein space.*

The present Theorem is true globally, because the function Ψ which determines the geodesic mapping is real analytic on an analytic coordinate system and so ψ ($= \nabla \Psi$) is vanishing only on a set points of zero measure. This simplifies the proof given in [11].

Finally, basing on the results (see [16, 20–22], [23, p. 128], [25, p. 194]) for geodesic mappings of a four-dimensional Einstein manifolds the following theorem holds:

Theorem 5. *If a four-dimensional Einstein space V_4 with non constant curvature globally admits a geodesic mapping onto a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $\bar{V}_4 \in C^1$, then the mapping is affine and, moreover, if the scalar curvature is non vanishing, then the mapping is homothetic, i.e. $\bar{g} = \text{const} \cdot g$.*

3. GEODESIC MAPPING THEORY FOR $V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ OF CLASS C^1

We briefly remind some main facts of geodesic mapping theory of (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds which were found by T. Levi-Civita [13], L.P. Eisenhart [5, 6] and N.S. Sinyukov [31], see [1, 9–11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 23, 25–32, 34–36]. In these results no details about the smoothness class of the metric were stressed. They were formulated “for sufficiently smooth” geometric objects.

Since a geodesic mapping $f: V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ is a diffeomorphism, we can suppose $\bar{M} = M$. A (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $V_n = (M, g)$ admits a geodesic mapping onto $\bar{V}_n = (M, \bar{g})$ if and only if the *Levi-Civita equations*

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X \quad (3.1)$$

hold for any tangent fields X, Y and where ψ is a differential form on M . Here ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are Levi-Civita connections of g and \bar{g} , respectively. If $\psi \equiv 0$ then f is *affine* or *trivially geodesic*.

Let (U, x) be a chart from the atlas on M . Then equation (3.1) on U has the following local form: $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h = \Gamma_{ij}^h + \psi_i \delta_j^h + \psi_j \delta_i^h$, where Γ_{ij}^h and $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h$ are the Christoffel symbols of V_n and \bar{V}_n , ψ_i are components of ψ and δ_i^h is the

Kronecker delta. Equations (3.1) are equivalent to the following Levi-Civita equations

$$\nabla_k \bar{g}_{ij} = 2\psi_k \bar{g}_{ij} + \psi_i \bar{g}_{jk} + \psi_j \bar{g}_{ik} \quad (3.2)$$

where \bar{g}_{ij} are components of \bar{g} .

It is known that

$$\psi_i = \partial_i \Psi, \quad \Psi = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \ln \left| \frac{\det \bar{g}}{\det g} \right|, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

N.S. Sinyukov proved that the Levi-Civita equations (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent to ([31, p. 121], [16], [23, p. 108], [25, p. 167], [29, p. 63]):

$$\nabla_k a_{ij} = \lambda_i g_{jk} + \lambda_j g_{ik}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$(a) \ a_{ij} = e^{2\Psi} \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha i} g_{\beta j}; \quad (b) \ \lambda_i = -e^{2\Psi} \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta i} \psi_\alpha. \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.3) follows $\lambda_i = \partial_i (\frac{1}{2} a_{\alpha\beta} g^{\alpha\beta})$, $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ and $(\bar{g}^{ij}) = (\bar{g}_{ij})^{-1}$.

On the other hand [29, p. 63]:

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\Psi} \hat{g}_{ij}, \quad \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{\det \hat{g}}{\det g} \right|, \quad (\hat{g}_{ij}) = (g^{i\alpha} g^{j\beta} a_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Equations (3.3) and (3.4) we can rewrite in the following equivalent form (see [18], [25, p. 150]):

$$\nabla_k a^{ij} = \lambda^i \delta_k^j + \lambda^j \delta_k^i, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$(a) \ a^{ij} = e^{2\Psi} \bar{g}^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad (b) \ \lambda^i = -\psi_\alpha a^{\alpha i}. \quad (3.7)$$

Evidently, it follows

$$\lambda^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \partial_k (a^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (3.8)$$

The above formulas (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.6), are the criterion for geodesic mappings $V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ globally as well as locally. These formulas are true only under the condition $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^1$.

4. GEODESIC MAPPING THEORY FOR $V_n \in C^2 \rightarrow \bar{V}_n \in C^1$

In this section, we prove the above main Theorem 2. It is easy to see that Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 and the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *If $V_n \in C^2$ admits a geodesic mapping onto $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$, then $\bar{V}_n \in C^2$.*

Proof. Below we prove Theorem 6.

3.1 We will suppose that the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $V_n \in C^2$ admits the geodesic mapping onto the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $\bar{V}_n \in C^1$. Furthermore, we can assume that $\bar{M} = M$.

We study the coordinate neighborhood (U, x) of any point $p = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ at M . Evidently, components $g_{ij}(x) \in C^2$ and $\bar{g}_{ij}(x) \in C^1$ on $U \subset M$. On (U, x) formulas (3.1)–(3.8) hold. From that facts it follows that the functions $g^{ij}(x) \in C^2$, $\bar{g}^{ij}(x) \in C^1$, $\Psi(x) \in C^1$, $\psi_i(x) \in C^0$, $a^{ij}(x) \in C^1$, $\lambda^i(x) \in C^0$, and $\Gamma_{ij}^h(x) \in C^1$, where $\Gamma_{ij}^h = \frac{1}{2} g^{hk}(\partial_i g_{jk} + \partial_j g_{ik} - \partial_k g_{ij})$ are Christoffel symbols.

3.2 It is easy to see that in a neighborhood of the point p in $V_n \in C^r$ exists a semigeodesic coordinate system (U, x) for which the metric $g \in C^r$ has the following form (see [5], [25, p. 64])

$$ds^2 = e(dx^1)^2 + g_{ab}(x^1, \dots, x^n)dx^a dx^b, \quad e = \pm 1, \quad a, b > 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Evidently, for $a > 1$:

$$g_{11} = g^{11} = e = \pm 1, \quad g_{1a} = g^{1a} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{1a}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^a = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

We can construct such a coordinate system using a coordinate transformation of class C^{r+1} for a basis of non-isotropic hypersurfaces $\Sigma \in C^{r+1}$ in a neighborhood of $p \in \Sigma$. Moreover, we can assume at p that

$$g_{ij}(0) = e_i \delta_{ij}; \quad e_i = \pm 1. \quad (4.3)$$

3.3 Equations (3.6) we write in the following form

$$\partial_k a^{ij} = \lambda^i \delta_k^j + \lambda^j \delta_k^i - a^{i\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^j - a^{j\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^i. \quad (4.4)$$

Because $a^{ij} \in C^1$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha k}^j \in C^1$ from equation (4.4) we have the existence of the derivative immediately

$$\partial_{kl} a^{ii}, \quad \partial_{kk} a^{ii}, \quad \partial_{ki} a^{ii} (\equiv \partial_{ik} a^{ii}), \quad \partial_{kl} a^{ij}, \quad \partial_{kk} a^{ij}, \quad \partial_{ki} a^{ij} (\equiv \partial_{ik} a^{ij}),$$

for each set of different indices i, j, k, l . Derivatives do not depend on the order, because they are continuous functions.

We compute formula (4.4) for $i = j = k$ and for $i \neq j = k$:

$$\partial_i a^{ii} = 2\lambda^i - 2a^{i\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_k a^{ik} = \lambda^i - a^{k\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^i - a^{i\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^k$$

where for an index k we do not carry out the Einstein summation, and after eliminating λ^i we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_i a^{ii} - \partial_k a^{ik} = a^{k\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^i + a^{i\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha k}^k - a^{i\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^i \quad (4.5)$$

Because there exists the partial derivative $\partial_{ik} a^{ii}$, formula (4.5) implies the existence of the partial derivatives $\partial_{kk} a^{ik}$.

3.4 In the semigeodesic coordinate system (4.1) we compute (4.4) for $i = j = k = 1$: $\lambda^1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 a^{11}$, and from (3.8): $\lambda^1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 (a^{11} + e a^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta})$, we obtain $\partial_1 (a^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$. Here and later $\alpha, \beta > 1$.

Further (4.4) for $i = j = 1$ and $k = 2$ we have the following expression $\partial_1 a^{12} + a^{1\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma 1}^2 + a^{2\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma 1}^1 = \lambda^2$. Using (3.8) we have

$$\partial_1 a^{12} = \frac{1}{2} g^{2\gamma} \cdot \partial_\gamma (a^{11} + a^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}) - a^{1\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma 1}^2, \quad \gamma > 1,$$

and after integration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^{x^1} g^{2\gamma}(\tau^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) d\tau^1 \right) \cdot \partial_\gamma (a^{\alpha\beta} \cdot g_{\alpha\beta}) + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{x^1} g^{2\gamma}(\tau^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) \cdot \partial_\gamma a^{11} d\tau^1 - \int_0^{x^1} a^{1\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma 1}^2 d\tau^1 + A(x^2, \dots, x^n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

As $a^{12}(0, x^2, \dots, x^n) \equiv A(x^2, \dots, x^n)$, the function $A \in C^1$.

After differentiating the formula (4.6) by x^2 and using the law of commutation of derivatives and integrals, see [12, p. 300], we can see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left\{ \left(\int_0^{x^1} g^{2\gamma}(\tau^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) d\tau^1 \right) \cdot \partial_\gamma (a^{\alpha\beta} \cdot g_{\alpha\beta}) \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

exists. From (4.5) for $i = 2$ and $k = c \neq 2$ we obtain $\partial_c a^{c2} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_2 a^{22} + a^{c\delta} \Gamma_{\delta c}^2 + a^{2\delta} \Gamma_{\delta c}^c - a^{2\delta} \Gamma_{\delta 2}^2$. Using this formula we can rewrite the bracket (4.7) in the following form

$$\left\{ \left(\int_0^{x^1} g^{2\gamma}(\tau^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) d\tau^1 \right) \cdot g_{2\gamma} \cdot \partial_2 a^{22} + f \right\},$$

where f is a rest of this parenthesis, which is evidently differentiable by x^2 .

Because of the parenthesis and also the coefficients by $\partial_2 a^{22}$ are differentiable with respect to x^2 , if $\left(\int_0^{x^1} g^{2\gamma}(\tau^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) d\tau^1 \right) \cdot g_{2\gamma} \neq 0$, then $\partial_2 a^{22}$ must exist.

Using (3.3) this inequality is true for all x in a neighborhood of the point p excluding the point for which $x^1 = 0$.

For these reasons in this domain exists the derivative $\partial_2 a^{22}$ and also exist all second derivatives a^{ij} . This follows from the derivative of the formula (4.5).

So $a^{ij} \in C^2$ and $\lambda^i \in C^1$, from the formula (3.7b) it follows $\psi_i \in C^1$ and it means that $\Psi \in C^2$. From (3.7a) follows $\bar{g}^{ij} \in C^2$ and also $\bar{g}_{ij} \in C^2$. This is a proof of the Theorem 6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] AMINOVA, A.V.: *Projective transformations of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Sci., New York, **113** (2003), 367-470.
- [2] BESSE, A.L.: *Einstein manifolds*, Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, **XII** (1987).
- [3] ĆIRIĆ, M.S., ZLATANOVIĆ, M.L.J., STANKOVIĆ, M.S., AND VELIMIROVIĆ L.J.S.: *On geodesic mappings of equidistant generalized Riemannian spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), 6648-6655.
- [4] DETURCK, D.M., AND KAZDAN, J.L.: *Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., **4** (1981), No. 3, 249-260.

- [5] EISENHART, L.P.: *Riemannian geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1949.
- [6] EISENHART, L.P.: *Non-Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press. 1926. AMS Colloq. Publ., **8** (2000).
- [7] FORMELLA, S., AND MIKEŠ, J.: *Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces*, *Szczecińskie rocz. naukowe, Ann. Sci. Stetinenses*, **9** (1994), 31–40.
- [8] HALL, G.: *Projective structure in space-times*, *Adv. in Lorentzian geometry*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., **49** (2011), 71–79.
- [9] HINTERLEINER, I., AND MIKEŠ, J.: *Fundamental equations of geodesic mappings and their generalizations*, *J. Math. Sci.*, **174** (2011), no. 5, 537–554.
- [10] HINTERLEINER, I., AND MIKEŠ, J.: *Projective equivalence and spaces with equi-affine connection*, *J. Math. Sci.*, **177** (2011), 546–550; transl. from *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.*, **16** (2010), 47–54.
- [11] HINTERLEINER, I., AND MIKEŠ, J.: *Geodesic Mappings and Einstein Spaces*, in *Geometric Methods in Physics* Birkhäuser Basel, **19** (2013), 331–336.
- [12] KUDRJAVCEV, L.D.: *Kurs matematicheskogo analiza*, Vyssh. skola, Moscow, 1981.
- [13] LEVI-CIVITA, T.: *Sulle trasformazioni delle equazioni dinamiche*, *Ann. Mat. Milano*, **24** (1886), 255–300.
- [14] MIKEŠ, J.: *Geodesic and holomorphically projective mappings of special Riemannian spaces*, PhD thesis, Odessa, 1979.
- [15] MIKEŠ, J.: *Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces*, *Math. Notes*, **28** (1981), 922–923; transl. from *Mat. Zametki*, **28** (1980), 935–938.
- [16] MIKEŠ, J.: *Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces*, *J. Math. Sci.*, **78** (1996), no. 3, 311–333.
- [17] MIKEŠ, J.: *Holomorphically projective mappings and their generalizations*, *J. Math. Sci.*, **89** (1998), no. 3, 1334–1353.
- [18] MIKEŠ, J., AND BEREZOVSKI, V.: *Geodesic mappings of affine-connected spaces onto Riemannian spaces*, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, **56** (1992), 491–494.
- [19] MIKEŠ, J., AND HINTERLEITNER, I.: *On geodesic mappings of manifolds with affine connection*, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.)*, **26** (2010), 343–347.
- [20] MIKEŠ, J., AND KIOSAK, V.A.: *On geodesic maps of four dimensional Einstein spaces*, *Odessk. Univ. Moscow: Archives at VINITI*, 9.4.82, no. 1678–82, 1982.
- [21] MIKEŠ, J., AND KIOSAK, V.A.: *On geodesic maps of Einstein spaces*, *Russ. Math.*, **47** (2003), no. 11, 32–37; transl. from *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, (2003), no. 11, 36–41.
- [22] MIKEŠ, J., HINTERLEITNER, I., AND KIOSAK, V.: *On the theory of geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces and their generalizations*, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, **861** (2006), 428–435.
- [23] MIKEŠ, J., KIOSAK, V.A., AND VANŽUROVÁ, A.: *Geodesic mappings of manifolds with affine connection*, Palacky University Press, Olomouc, 2008.
- [24] MIKEŠ, J., STRAMBACH, K.: *Differentiable structures on elementary geometries*, *Result. Math.*, **53** (2009), 153–172.
- [25] MIKEŠ, J., VANŽUROVÁ, A., AND HINTERLEITNER, I.: *Geodesic mappings and some generalizations*, Palacky University Press, Olomouc, 2009.
- [26] NORDEN, A.P.: *Spaces of Affine Connection*, Nauka, Moscow, 1976.
- [27] PETROV, A.Z.: *New methods in the general theory of relativity*, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [28] PRVANOVIĆ, M.: *Foundations of geometry. (Osnovi geometrije)*, (Serbo-Croat), Univ. Novy Sad, OOUR Inst. za Matem. Beograd: “Gradevinska Knjiga”, **12**, 1980.
- [29] RADULOVICH, ZH., MIKEŠ, J., AND GAVRIL'CHENKO, M.L.: *Geodesic mappings and deformations of Riemannian spaces*, CID, Podgorica, OGU, Odessa, 1997.
- [30] SHIROKOV, P.A.: *Selected investigations on geometry*, Kazan' Univ. press, 1966.

- [31] SINYUKOV, N.S.: *Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces*, Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [32] SOLODOVNIKOV, A.S.: *Spaces with common geodesics*, Tr. Semin. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal., **11** (1961), 43–102.
- [33] STANKOVIĆ, M.S., MINCIĆ, S.M., VELIMIROVIĆ, LJ.S., AND ZLATANOVIĆ, M.LJ.: *On equitorision geodesic mappings of general affine connection spaces*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, **124** (2010), 77–90.
- [34] THOMAS, T.Y.: *Determination of affine and metric spaces by their differential invariants*, Math. Ann., **101** (1929), 713–728.
- [35] VRANČEANU, G.: *Leçons de géométrie différentielle*, vol. I, II, (French) Ed. de l'Acad. de la Rep. Popul. Roumaine, Bucharest, 1957.
- [36] WEYL, H.: *Zur Infinitesimalgeometrie Einordnung der projektiven und der konformen Auffassung*, Göttinger Nachrichten, (1921), 99–112.

BRNO UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ŽIŽKOVA 17, 602 00, BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: `hinterleitner.irena@seznam.cz`

PALACKY UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPT. OF ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, 17. LISTOPADU 12, 77146, OLMOUC, CZECH REPUBLIC

Current address: KAG PrF UP, 17. listopadu 12, 77146, Olomouc, Czech Republic

E-mail address: `josef.mikes@upol.cz`