

THE BISHOP-PHELPS-BOLLOBÁS PROPERTY FOR OPERATORS BETWEEN SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

MARÍA D. ACOSTA, JULIO BECERRA-GUERRERO, YUN SUNG CHOI, MACIEJ CIESIELSKI, SUN KWANG KIM,
HAN JU LEE, MARY LILIAN LOURENÇO, AND MIGUEL MARTÍN

ABSTRACT. We show that the space of bounded linear operators between spaces of continuous functions on compact Hausdorff topological spaces has the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property. A similar result is also proved for the class of compact operators from the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact and Hausdorff topological space into a uniformly convex space, and for the class of compact operators from a Banach space into a predual of an L_1 -space.

1. INTRODUCTION

E. Bishop and R. Phelps proved in 1961 [7] that every (continuous linear) functional x^* on a Banach space X can be approximated by a norm attaining functional y^* . This result is called the Bishop-Phelps Theorem. Shortly thereafter, B. Bollobás [8] showed that this approximation can be done in such a way that, moreover, the point at which x^* almost attains its norm is close in norm to a point at which y^* attains its norm. This is a quantitative version of the Bishop-Phelps Theorem, known as the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem.

For a real or complex Banach space X , we denote by S_X , B_X and X^* the unit sphere, the closed unit ball and the dual space of X , respectively.

Theorem 1.1 (Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem, [8, Theorem 1]). *Let X be a Banach space and $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. Given $x \in B_X$ and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $|1 - x^*(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$, there are elements $y \in S_X$ and $y^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that $y^*(y) = 1$, $\|y - x\| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$ and $\|y^* - x^*\| < \varepsilon$.*

We refer the reader to the recent paper [10] for a more accurate version of the above theorem.

In 2008, M.D. Acosta, R.M. Aron, D. García and M. Maestre introduced the so-called Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators [1, Definition 1.1]. For our purposes, it will be useful to recall an appropriate version of this property for classes of operators defined in [2, Definition 1.3]. Given two Banach spaces X and Y , $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all (bounded and linear) operators from X into Y . The subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of *finite-rank operators* $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$; $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ will denote the subspace of all *compact operators*.

Date: July 17th, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B04.

First and eighth authors partially supported by Spanish MINECO and FEDER project no. MTM2012-31755, Junta de Andalucía and FEDER grants FQM-185 and P09-FQM-4911. Second author supported by MTM2011-23843 and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM 0199 and FQM 1215. Third author partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2010-0008543), and also by Priority Research Centers Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MEST) (No. 2012047640). Sixth author partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A1006869). Seventh author partially supported by FAPESP (Project no 2012/01015-9).

Definition 1.2. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and \mathcal{M} a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We say that \mathcal{M} satisfies the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property* if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ satisfy that $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, then there exist a point $u_0 \in S_X$ and an operator $S \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\|Su_0\| = 1, \quad \|u_0 - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

In case that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ satisfies the previous property it is said that the pair (X, Y) has the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators* (shortly *BPBp for operators*).

Observe that the BPBp of a pair (X, Y) means that one is able to approximate any pair of an operator and a point at which the operator almost attains its norm by a new pair of a norm-attaining operator and a point at which this new operator attains its norm. In particular, if a pair (X, Y) has the BPBp, the set of norm-attaining operators is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. The reverse result is far from being true: there are Banach spaces Y such that the pair (ℓ_1^2, Y) does not have the BPBp (see [1]).

In [1] the authors provided the first version of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators. Amongst them, a sufficient condition on a Banach space Y to get that for every Banach space X , the pair (X, Y) has the BPBp for operators, which is satisfied, for instance, by $Y = c_0$ or $Y = \ell_\infty$. A characterization of the Banach spaces Y such that the pair (ℓ_1, Y) has the BPBp for operators is also given. There are also positive results for operators from $L_1(\mu)$ into $L_\infty(\nu)$ [5, 12], for operators from $L_1(\mu)$ into $L_1(\nu)$ [12], for certain ideals of operators from $L_1(\mu)$ into another Banach space [11, 2], for operators from an Asplund space into $C_0(L)$ or into a uniform algebra [4, 9], and for operators from a uniformly convex space into an arbitrary Banach space [3, 16]. For some more recent results, see also [6]. Let us also point out that the set of norm attaining operators from $L_1[0, 1]$ into $C[0, 1]$ is not dense in $\mathcal{L}(L_1[0, 1], C[0, 1])$ [19].

Our aim in this paper is to provide classes of Banach spaces satisfying a version of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators. The first result, which is the content of section 2, states that given arbitrary compact Hausdorff topological spaces K and S , the pair $(C(K), C(S))$ satisfies the BPBp for operators in the real case. This result extends the one by J. Johnson and J. Wolfe [14] that the set of norm attaining operators from $C(K)$ into $C(S)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(C(K), C(S))$. In section 3, we prove that the space $\mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)$ satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property whenever L is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and Y is uniformly convex in both the real and the complex case. Let us remark that it was also proved in [14] that the set of norm-attaining weakly compact operators from $C(K)$ into Y is dense in the space of all weakly compact operators. But, as commented above, there are Banach spaces Y such that the pair (ℓ_∞^2, Y) does not satisfy the BPBp for operators (in the real case, $\ell_\infty^2 \equiv \ell_1^2$), so some assumption on Y is needed to get the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property. Finally, we devote section 4 to show that the space $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ has the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property when X is an arbitrary Banach space and Y is a predual of an L_1 -space in both the real and the complex case. This extends the result of [14] that the set of norm-attaining finite-rank operators from an arbitrary Banach space into a predual of an L_1 -space is dense in the space of compact operators. In particular, for $Y = C_0(L)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff topological space L , the result is a consequence of the already cited paper [4].

2. OPERATORS BETWEEN SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Throughout this section, K and S are compact Hausdorff topological spaces. Here $C(K)$ is the space of real valued continuous functions on K . $M(K)$ denotes the space of regular Borel finite

measures on K , which identifies with the dual of $C(K)$ by the Riesz representation theorem. For $s \in S$, we write δ_s to denote the point measure concentrated at s .

Lemma 2.1 ([13, Theorem 1, p. 490]). *Let X be a Banach space and let S be a compact Hausdorff topological space. Given an operator $A : X \rightarrow C(S)$, define $\mu : S \rightarrow X^*$ by $\mu(s) = A^*(\delta_s)$ for every $s \in S$. Then the relationship*

$$[Ax](s) = \mu(s)(x), \quad \forall x \in X, s \in S$$

defines an isometric isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}(X, C(S))$ and the space of w^ -continuous functions from S to X^* , endowed with the supremum norm, i.e. $\|\mu\| = \sup\{\|\mu(s)\| : s \in S\}$. Compact operators correspond to norm continuous functions.*

Lemma 2.2 ([14, Lemma 2.2]). *Let $\mu : S \rightarrow M(K)$ be w^* -continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $s_0 \in S$ and an open subset V of K be given. Then there exists an open neighborhood U of s_0 such that if $s \in U$, then $|\mu(s)|(V) \geq |\mu(s_0)|(V) - \varepsilon$.*

The next result is a version of [14, Lemma 2.3] in which the main difference is that we start with an operator and a function in the unit sphere of $C(K)$ where the operator almost attains its norm and construct a new operator and a new function, both close to the previous elements and satisfying additional restrictions. Condition iii) is the new ingredient that will be useful to our purpose.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mu : S \rightarrow M(K)$ be a w^* -continuous function satisfying $\|\mu\| = 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Suppose that $s_0 \in S$ and $f_0 \in S_{C(K)}$ satisfy $\int_K f_0 d\mu(s_0) > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. Then there exist a w^* -continuous mapping $\mu' : S \rightarrow M(K)$, an open set U in S , an open set V of K and $h_0 \in C(K)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- i) $|\mu'(s)|(V) = 0$ for every $s \in U$.
- ii) $\int_K h_0 d\mu'(s) \geq \|\mu'\| - \delta$ for every $s \in U$.
- iii) $\|h_0 - f_0\| < \delta$.
- iv) $\|h_0\| = 1$ and $|h_0(t)| = 1 \quad \forall t \in K \setminus V$.
- v) $\|\mu' - \mu\| < \delta$.

Proof. Let us write $\mu_0 := \mu(s_0)$. By the Hahn decomposition theorem, there is a partition of K into two measurable sets K^+ and K^- such that K^+ is a positive set for μ_0 and K^- is negative for μ_0 . For every $0 < x < 1$, consider two open subsets of K given by

$$O_x^+ := \{t \in K : f_0(t) > x\}, \quad O_x^- := \{t \in K : f_0(t) < -x\},$$

and consider the set

$$D_x := (K^+ \cap O_x^+) \cup (K^- \cap O_x^-).$$

Write $\alpha = \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &< \int_K f_0 d\mu_0 \leq |\mu_0|(D_x) + x|\mu_0|(K \setminus D_x) \\ &\leq |\mu_0|(D_x) + x(1 - |\mu_0|(D_x)) = (1 - x)|\mu_0|(D_x) + x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(1) \quad |\mu_0|(D_x) > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{1 - x}.$$

Next, consider the open subset W_x of K given by

$$W_x := O_x^+ \cup O_x^- = \{t \in K : |f_0(t)| > x\},$$

and observe that, since $D_x \subset W_x$, we have

$$(2) \quad |\mu_0|(W_x) \geq |\mu_0|(D_x) \geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{1-x}.$$

Write $c := 1 - \frac{\delta}{4}$ and choose real numbers a and b with $1 - \delta < a < b < c < 1$. As the open subset W_a contains $\overline{O_b^+ \cup O_b^-}$, there is $u \in C(K)$ such that $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $u \equiv 1$ on $O_b^+ \cup O_b^-$ and $\text{supp } u \subset W_a$. Since the support of u is contained in W_a (where f_0 is separated from 0), the function h_0 defined on K by

$$h_0(t) = \frac{f_0(t)}{|f_0(t)|} u(t) + (1 - u(t)) f_0(t) \quad \text{if } f_0(t) \neq 0, \quad h_0(t) = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

is continuous and, actually, $h_0 \in B_{C(K)}$. We claim that $\|h_0 - f_0\| < \delta$, which guarantees condition iii). Indeed, if $t \in K \setminus W_a$, then $u(t) = 0$ and so $h_0(t) = f_0(t)$; if otherwise $t \in W_a$, we have that

$$|h_0(t) - f_0(t)| = u(t) \left| \frac{f_0(t)}{|f_0(t)|} - f_0(t) \right| \leq 1 - |f_0(t)| < 1 - a < \delta,$$

proving the claim. On the other hand, we know that $u \equiv 1$ in $O_b^+ \cup O_b^-$, so $|h_0| = 1$ on $\overline{O_b^+ \cup O_b^-}$. Therefore, if we write $V := K \setminus \left[\overline{O_b^+ \cup O_b^-} \right]$, which is an open subset of K , the second part of condition iv) is satisfied.

Next, as the open subsets V and W_c satisfy $\overline{V} \cap \overline{W_c} = \emptyset$, there is a function $f \in C(K)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f \equiv 1$ on V and $\text{supp } f \subset K \setminus W_c$. Since $D_c \subset W_c$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_c} h_0 \, d\mu_0 &= \int_{D_c} h_0 \, d\mu_0 + \int_{W_c \setminus D_c} h_0 \, d\mu_0 = |\mu_0|(D_c) + \int_{W_c \setminus D_c} h_0 \, d\mu_0 \\ &\geq |\mu_0|(D_c) - |\mu_0|(W_c \setminus D_c) \geq |\mu_0|(D_c) - |\mu_0|(K \setminus D_c) \geq 2|\mu_0|(D_c) - |\mu_0|(K). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using (1), we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \int_{W_c} h_0 \, d\mu_0 > 1 - 2\frac{\alpha}{1-c} = 1 - \frac{2}{3}\delta.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K h_0(1-f) \, d\mu_0 &= \int_{W_c} h_0(1-f) \, d\mu_0 + \int_{K \setminus W_c} h_0(1-f) \, d\mu_0 \\ &\geq \int_{W_c} h_0(1-f) \, d\mu_0 - |\mu_0|(K \setminus W_c) \\ &> 1 - 2\frac{\alpha}{1-c} - (1 - |\mu_0|(W_c)) \quad (\text{by (3)}) \\ &> 1 - 3\frac{\alpha}{1-c} = 1 - \delta \quad (\text{by (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, in view of the w^* -continuity of μ , the previous inequality, condition (2) and Lemma 2.2, we get that there exists an open neighborhood U_0 of s_0 such that

$$(4) \quad \int_K h_0(1-f) \, d\mu(s) > 1 - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu(s)|(W_c) > 1 - \delta, \quad \forall s \in U_0.$$

We can also choose an open subset U of S such that $s_0 \in U$ and $\overline{U} \subset U_0$, and a function $g \in C(S)$ such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$, $g(U) = \{1\}$ and $\text{supp } g \subset U_0$. Define $\mu' : S \rightarrow M(K)$ by

$$\mu'(s) = (1 - g(s)f)\mu(s), \quad (s \in S),$$

that is, $\mu'(s)$ is the unique Borel measure on K satisfying

$$\int_K \varphi d\mu'(s) = \int_K (1 - g(s)f)\varphi d\mu(s) \quad \forall \varphi \in C(K).$$

It is clear that μ' is w^* -continuous. If $s \in U$, $g(s) = 1$ and $f(V) = \{1\}$, so condition i) is satisfied. Since $0 \leq f, g \leq 1$, then $\|\mu'\| \leq \|\mu\| = 1$ and hence, in view of (4), for every $s \in U$ we have that

$$\int_K h_0 d\mu'(s) = \int_K (1 - g(s)f)h_0 d\mu(s) > 1 - \delta \geq \|\mu'\| - \delta,$$

so condition ii) is also satisfied.

We only have to check condition v), that is, $\|\mu' - \mu\| < \delta$. Indeed, if $s \in S \setminus U_0$, then $g(s) = 0$ and so $\mu'(s) = \mu(s)$; if, otherwise, $s \in U_0$, by (4) and the fact that $f(W_c) = \{0\}$, we obtain that

$$\left| \int_K \varphi d(\mu(s) - \mu'(s)) \right| = \left| \int_K \varphi g(s)f d\mu(s) \right| \leq |\mu(s)|(K \setminus W_c) \leq \|\mu\| - |\mu(s)|(W_c) < \delta$$

for every $\varphi \in B_{C(K)}$, proving the claim.

Finally, since $\|\mu\| = 1$, condition v) implies that $\mu' \neq 0$ and, in view of i), we deduce that $K \neq V$, so $K \setminus V$ is not empty and $\|h_0\| = 1$ since $|h_0(t)| = 1$ for every $t \in K \setminus V$. \square

The last ingredient that we will use is the next iteration result due to Johnson and Wolfe.

Lemma 2.4 ([14, Lemma 2.4]). *Let $\mu : S \rightarrow M(K)$ be w^* -continuous and $\delta > 0$. Suppose there is an open set $U \subset S$, an open set $V \subset K$, $s_0 \in U$ and $h_0 \in C(K)$ with $\|h_0\| = 1$ such that*

- i) if $s \in U$, then $|\mu(s)|(V) = 0$,
- ii) $\int_K h_0 d\mu(s_0) \geq \|\mu\| - \delta$,
- iii) $|h_0(t)| = 1$ for $t \in K \setminus V$.

Then, for any $\frac{2}{3} < r < 1$ there exist a w^* -continuous function $\mu' : S \rightarrow M(K)$ and a point $s_1 \in U$ such that

- i) if $s \in U$, then $|\mu'(s)|(V) = 0$,
- ii) $\int_K h_0 d\mu'(s_1) \geq \|\mu'\| - r\delta$,
- iii) $\|\mu' - \mu\| \leq r\delta$.

The next result improves [14, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.5. *Let K and S be compact Hausdorff topological spaces. Then the pair $(C(K), C(S))$ has the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators in the real case. Moreover, the function η satisfying Definition 1.2 does not depend on the spaces K and S (in fact one can take $\eta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{12.6^2}$).*

Proof. Let us fix $\frac{2}{3} < r < 1$. Given $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ let us choose $0 < \delta < \varepsilon \frac{1-r}{2}$. Assume that $T_0 \in S_{\mathcal{L}(C(K), C(S))}$ and $f_0 \in S_{C(K)}$ satisfy that $\|T_0(f_0)\| > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. Then, there is an element $s_1 \in S$ such that $|[T_0(f_0)](s_1)| > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. By using $-f_0$ instead of f_0 , if necessary, we may assume that $T_0(f_0)(s_1) > 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to the w^* -continuous function $\mu_0 : S \rightarrow M(K)$ associated with the operator T_0 (i.e. $\mu_0(s) = T_0^*(\delta_s)$ for every $s \in S$)

to get that there exist a function $h_0 \in S_{C(K)}$, an open set U in S , an open set V of K and a w^* -continuous function $\mu_1 : S \rightarrow M(K)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $|\mu_1(s)|(V) = 0$ for every $s \in U$.
- ii) $\int_K h_0 d\mu_1(s) \geq \|\mu_1\| - \delta$ for every $s \in U$.
- iii) $\|h_0 - f_0\| < \delta$.
- iv) $\|h_0\| = 1$ and $|h_0(t)| = 1 \quad \forall t \in K \setminus V$.
- v) $\|\mu_1 - \mu_0\| < \delta$.

Now, by using Lemma 2.4, we inductively construct a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of w^* -continuous functions from S into $M(K)$ and a sequence $\{s_n\}$ in U satisfying

- i) $\|\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n\| \leq r^n \delta$.
- ii) $\|\mu_n\| \leq \int_K h_0 d\mu_n(s_n) + r^n \delta$
- iii) $|\mu_n(s)|(V) = 0$ for every $s \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), C(S))$ to denote the bounded linear operator associated with the function μ_n , we may rewrite i) and ii) as

$$(5) \quad \|T_{n+1} - T_n\| \leq r^n \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_n\| \leq \|T_n(h_0)\| + r^n \delta.$$

Since $0 < r < 1$, the previous condition implies that $\{T_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so it converges to an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), C(S))$ satisfying

$$\|T - T_0\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T_{k+1} - T_k\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \delta = \delta \frac{1}{1-r} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By taking limit in the right-hand side of (5), we also have that

$$\|T\| \leq \|T(h_0)\|$$

and, since $h_0 \in S_{C(K)}$, T attains its norm at h_0 .

Finally, we have that

$$|1 - \|T\|| \leq \| \|T_0\| - \|T\| \| \leq \|T_0 - T\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 1,$$

so $T \neq 0$, $\frac{T}{\|T\|}$ also attains its norm at h_0 and

$$\left\| \frac{T}{\|T\|} - T_0 \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{T}{\|T\|} - T \right\| + \|T - T_0\| = |1 - \|T\|| + \|T - T_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

As we already knew that $\|h_0 - f_0\| < \delta < \varepsilon$, this shows that the pair $(C(K), C(S))$ satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators with $\eta = \frac{\delta^2}{12}$. \square

3. COMPACT OPERATORS FROM A SPACE OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS INTO A UNIFORMLY CONVEX SPACE

Our purpose now is to prove the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for compact operators. The following result due to Kim will play an essential role:

Lemma 3.1 ([15, Theorem 2.5]). *Let Y be a uniformly convex space. For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is $0 < \gamma(\varepsilon) < 1$ with the following property: given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \in S_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, Y)}$ and $x_0 \in S_{\ell_\infty^n}$ such that $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \gamma(\varepsilon)$, there exist $S \in S_{\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^n, Y)}$ and $x_1 \in S_{\ell_\infty^n}$ satisfying*

$$\|Sx_1\| = 1, \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

It is easy to show that the function γ in the previous result satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \gamma(t) = 0$.

Let L be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space. As usual, $C_0(L)$ will be the space either of real or complex continuous functions on L with limit zero at infinity. We recall that $C_0(L)^*$ can be identified with the space $M(L)$ of regular Borel measures on L by the Riesz representation theorem.

For every $f \in C_0(L)$ and every (non-empty) set $S \subset L$, we define $\text{Osc}(f, S)$ by

$$\text{Osc}(f, S) = \sup_{x, y \in S} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

The next result generalizes Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 of [14] to $C_0(L)$. Its proof is actually based on the proof of these results.

Proposition 3.2. *Let L be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and let Y be a Banach space. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $T \in \mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)$ and $f_0 \in C_0(L)$, there exist a positive regular Borel measure μ , a non-negative integer m , pairwise disjoint compact subsets K_j of L and $\varphi_j \in C_0(L)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\text{Osc}(f_0, K_j) < \varepsilon$.
- (2) $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ and $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on K_j .
- (3) $\text{supp } \varphi_i \cap \text{supp } \varphi_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
- (4) The operator $P : C_0(L) \rightarrow C_0(L)$ given by

$$P(f) := \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\mu(K_j)} \left(\int_{K_j} f \, d\mu \right) \varphi_j, \quad \forall f \in C_0(L),$$

is a norm-one projection from $C_0(L)$ onto the linear span of $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ that also satisfies $\|T - TP\| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Since T is a compact operator, the adjoint operator T^* is a compact operator from Y^* into $C_0(L)^*$, so we may take a finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ -net $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}$ of $T^*(B_{Y^*}) \subset C_0(L)^* \equiv M(L)$. We define the (finite regular) measure μ by $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^t |\mu_i|$. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, we have that $\mu_i \ll \mu$, hence the Radon-Nikodým theorem allows us to find a function $g_i \in L_1(\mu)$ such that $\mu_i = g_i \mu$. Since the set of simple functions is dense in $L_1(\mu)$, we may choose a set of simple functions $\{s_i : i = 1, \dots, t\}$ such that $\|g_i - s_i\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{12}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Next, consider a finite family $(A_j)_{j=1}^{m_0}$ of pairwise disjoint measurable sets such that for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, there is a family $(\alpha_j^i)_{j=1}^{m_0}$ of scalars such that $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \alpha_j^i \chi_{A_j}$. Let M be a positive real number satisfying

$M \geq \max\{|\alpha_j^i| : 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq m_0\}$. Since μ is regular, for each $1 \leq j \leq m_0$ we find a compact set $C_j \subset A_j$ such that $\mu(A_j \setminus C_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{12m_0M}$. As f_0 is continuous and each C_j is compact, we may divide each C_j into a family of Borel sets $(B_j^p)_{p=1}^{n_j}$ such that

$$\text{Osc}(f_0, B_j^p) < \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m_0, 1 \leq p \leq n_j.$$

Applying the regularity again, for each j and p , there is a compact set $K_j^p \subset B_j^p$ such that $\mu(B_j^p \setminus K_j^p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{12m_0n_jM}$. Finally, choose suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a rearrangement $(K_j)_{j=1}^m$ of the family $\{K_j^p : 1 \leq j \leq m_0, 1 \leq p \leq n_j, \mu(K_j^p) > 0\}$ and scalars (β_j^i) for $j \leq m$ and $i \leq t$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^i \chi_{K_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \alpha_j^i \left(\sum_{p=1}^{n_j} \chi_{K_j^p} \right).$$

Using Urysohn lemma, we may choose a family $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^m$ in $C_0(L)$ satisfying that $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$, $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on K_j for each $j \leq m$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_i \cap \text{supp } \varphi_j = \emptyset$ for every $i \neq j$.

To finish the proof, we only have to check (4). Indeed, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, we write $\nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^i \chi_{K_j} \mu \in M(L) = C_0(L)^*$. By defining the operator P as in condition (4), it is easy to check that P is a norm one projection onto the linear span on $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ and $P^* \nu_i = \nu_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_i - P^* \nu_i\| &= \|g_i \mu - \nu_i\| \leq \|g_i \mu - s_i \mu\| + \|s_i \mu - \nu_i\| \\ &\leq \|g_i - s_i\|_1 + \left\| s_i \mu - \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \alpha_j^i \chi_{C_j} \mu \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \alpha_j^i \chi_{C_j} \mu - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^i \chi_{K_j} \mu \right\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} |\alpha_j^i| \mu(A_j \setminus C_j) + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \left(\alpha_j^i \sum_{p=1}^{n_j} \chi_{B_j^p} \right) \mu - \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \left(\alpha_j^i \sum_{p=1}^{n_j} \chi_{K_j^p} \right) \mu \right\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} |\alpha_j^i| \sum_{p=1}^{n_j} \mu(B_j^p \setminus K_j^p) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \frac{\varepsilon}{12} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}$ is a $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ -net of $T^*(B_{Y^*})$, the above inequality shows that $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t\}$ is a $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -net of $T^*(B_{Y^*})$. Now, given $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$, we can choose $i \leq t$ satisfying $\|\nu_i - T^* y^*\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^* y^* - P^* T^* y^*\| &\leq \|T^* y^* - \nu_i\| + \|\nu_i - P^* T^* y^*\| \\ &= \|T^* y^* - \nu_i\| + \|P^* \nu_i - P^* T^* y^*\| \leq 2\|T^* y^* - \nu_i\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\|T - TP\| = \|T^* - P^* T^*\| < \varepsilon$, as desired. \square

The following result shows that $\mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)$ satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for every locally compact Hausdorff topological space L and every uniformly convex space Y , and that the function $\eta(\varepsilon)$ involved in the definition of the property does not depend on L .

Theorem 3.3. *Let Y be a uniformly convex Banach space. For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there is $0 < \eta(\varepsilon) < 1$ such that for any locally compact Hausdorff topological space L , if $T \in S_{\mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)}$ and $f_0 \in S_{C_0(L)}$ satisfy $\|T f_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, there exist $S \in S_{\mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)}$ and $g_0 \in S_{C_0(L)}$ such that*

$$\|S g_0\| = 1, \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_0 - f_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we choose $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ such that $0 < \gamma(\delta) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, where $\gamma(\delta)$ satisfies the statement of Lemma 3.1. We also consider α such that $0 < \alpha < \min\{\delta, \frac{\gamma(\delta)}{2}\}$ and $\eta(\varepsilon) := \alpha > 0$.

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $T \in S_{\mathcal{K}(C_0(L), Y)}$ and $f_0 \in S_{C_0(L)}$ with $\|T f_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon) = 1 - \alpha$. Applying Proposition 3.2, we get a positive regular Borel measure μ on L , a non-negative integer m , pairwise disjoint compact subsets K_j of L and $\varphi_j \in C_0(L)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) such that

- (1) $\text{Osc}(f_0, K_j) < \alpha$,
- (2) For every $1 \leq j \leq m$, $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ and $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on K_j ,
- (3) $\text{supp } \varphi_i \cap \text{supp } \varphi_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
- (4) $\|T - TP\| < \alpha$,

where $P \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(L))$ is given by

$$P(f) := \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\mu(K_j)} \left(\int_{K_j} f \, d\mu \right) \varphi_j \quad (f \in C_0(L)),$$

and it is a norm-one projection onto the linear span of $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$.

Now, if $t \in K_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |[P(f_0)](t) - f_0(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(K_j)} \int_{K_j} (f_0(s) - f_0(t)) \, d\mu(s) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(K_j)} \int_{K_j} |f_0(s) - f_0(t)| \, d\mu(s) \leq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad \max \left\{ |[P(f_0) - f_0](t)| : t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j \right\} \leq \alpha.$$

We also have that

$$(7) \quad \|TP(f_0)\| \geq \|T(f_0)\| - \|T - TP\| > 1 - 2\alpha > 1 - \gamma(\delta),$$

and this implies that

$$1 - 2\alpha \leq \|TP\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - 2\alpha \leq \|P(f_0)\|.$$

Since the functions $\{\varphi_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ have pairwise disjoint support, the linear operator $\Phi : \text{lin}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\} \rightarrow \ell_\infty^m$ satisfying $\Phi(\varphi_j) = e_j$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$ is an onto linear isometry (where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is the natural basis of ℓ_∞^m). We define $U_1 := T \circ \Phi^{-1} : \ell_\infty^m \rightarrow Y$ and observe that, clearly, $\|U_1\| \leq 1$. On the other hand, the element $x^0 := \Phi(P(f_0)) \in B_{\ell_\infty^m}$ satisfies that $U_1(x^0) = TP(f_0)$ so, in view of (7),

$$\|U_1(x^0)\| > 1 - \gamma(\delta) \quad \text{and so} \quad \|U_1\|, \|x^0\| > 1 - \gamma(\delta) > 0.$$

We consider $U = \frac{U_1}{\|U_1\|}$ and apply Lemma 3.1 to the pair $\left(U, \frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|}\right)$, to get an operator $V : \ell_\infty^m \rightarrow Y$ with $\|V\| = 1$ and $x^1 \in S_{\ell_\infty^m}$ with

$$(8) \quad \|V - U\| < \delta, \quad \left\| x^1 - \frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|} \right\| < \delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \|V(x^1)\| = 1.$$

We clearly have that

$$(9) \quad \|U - U_1\| = \left\| \frac{U_1}{\|U_1\|} - U_1 \right\| = |1 - \|U_1\|| \leq \gamma(\delta)$$

and, also,

$$(10) \quad \|x^1 - x^0\| \leq \left\| x^1 - \frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|} \right\| + \left\| \frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|} - x^0 \right\| \leq \delta + 1 - \|x^0\| \leq \delta + \gamma(\delta).$$

As a consequence,

$$\|V - U_1\| \leq \|V - U\| + \|U - U_1\| \leq \delta + \gamma(\delta).$$

Finally, we define the operator $S : C_0(L) \rightarrow Y$ given by $S(f) := V(\Phi(P(f)))$ for every $f \in C_0(L)$, which is clearly a compact operator and satisfies $\|S\| \leq 1$. Consider the element $f_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m x^1(j)\varphi_j \in B_{C_0(L)}$. It is clear that $P(f_1) = f_1$, $\Phi(f_1) = x^1$ and that

$$\|S\| \geq \|S(f_1)\| = \|V\Phi P(f_1)\| = \|V(x^1)\| = 1.$$

We deduce that $f_1 \in S_{C_0(L)}$ and that $\|S\| = \|S(f_1)\| = 1$, i.e. S attains its norm on f_1 .

Next, we estimate the distance between S and T as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S - T\| &= \|V\Phi P - T\| \leq \|V\Phi P - TP\| + \|TP - T\| \\
&\leq \|V\Phi P - U\Phi P\| + \|U\Phi P - TP\| + \alpha \\
&\leq \|V - U\| + \|U\Phi P - T\Phi^{-1}\Phi P\| + \alpha \\
&< \delta + \|U - T\Phi^{-1}\| + \alpha \quad (\text{by (8)}) \\
&\leq 2\delta + \|U - U_1\| \leq 2\delta + \gamma(\delta) < \varepsilon \quad (\text{by (9)}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{ |[f_1 - f_0](t)| : t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j \right\} &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \max_{t \in K_j} |x^1(j) - f_0(t)| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ |x^1(j) - x^0(j)| + \max_{t \in K_j} |x^0(j) - f_0(t)| \right\} \\
&\leq \|x^1 - x^0\| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \max_{t \in K_j} |[P(f_0) - f_0](t)| \\
&\leq \delta + \gamma(\delta) + \alpha < 2\delta + \gamma(\delta) \quad (\text{by (10) and (6)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists an open set $O \subset L$ such that

$$(11) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j \subset O, \quad |[f_1 - f_0](t)| < 3\delta + \gamma(\delta) \quad (t \in O).$$

By Urysohn Lemma again, there is $\psi \in C_0(L)$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on $\bigcup_{k=1}^m K_j$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset O$. We write $g_0 := \psi f_1 + (1 - \psi)f_0 \in B_{C_0(L)}$ and we claim that S attains its norm at g_0 and that $\|f - g_0\| < \varepsilon$, which finishes the proof. Indeed, on one hand, it is clear that the restriction of g_0 to $\bigcup_{k=1}^m K_j$ coincides with f_1 . It follows that $P(g_0) = P(f_1)$ and so $S(g_0) = S(f_1)$ and S attains its norm at g_0 . On the other hand, for $t \in L \setminus O$ we have $g_0(t) = f_0(t)$. If, otherwise, $t \in O$, condition (11) gives that

$$|g_0(t) - f_0(t)| = |\psi(t)(f_1(t) - f_0(t))| < 3\delta + \gamma(\delta) < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

4. COMPACT OPERATORS INTO A PREDUAL OF AN $L_1(\mu)$ -SPACE

Our goal is to show that the space of compact operators from an arbitrary Banach space into an isometric predual of an L_1 -space has the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property in both the real and the complex case.

We need a preliminary result which follows easily from the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem. It is also a very particular case of [1, Theorem 2.2].

Lemma 4.1. *For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is $0 < \eta'(\varepsilon) < 1$ such that for every positive integer n and every Banach space X , the pair (X, ℓ_∞^n) has the BPBp for operators with this function $\eta'(\varepsilon)$. More concretely, given an operator $U \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty^n)}$ and an element $x_0 \in S_X$ such that $\|U(x_0)\| > 1 - \eta'(\varepsilon)$, there exist $V \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_\infty^n)}$ and $z_0 \in S_X$ satisfying*

$$\|Vz_0\| = 1, \quad \|z_0 - x_0\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|V - U\| < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 4.2. *For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if X is any Banach space, Y is a predual of an L_1 -space, $T \in S_{\mathcal{K}(X, Y)}$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ satisfy $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, then there exist $S \in S_{\mathcal{F}(X, Y)}$ and $z_0 \in S_X$ with*

$$\|Sz_0\| = 1, \quad \|z_0 - x_0\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we take $\eta(\varepsilon) = \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \eta'(\varepsilon/2)\}$, where η' is the function provided by the previous lemma.

Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $T \in S_{\mathcal{K}(X,Y)}$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ satisfying $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$. Let us choose a positive number δ with $\delta < \frac{1}{4} \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \|T(x_0)\| - 1 + \eta'(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\}$ and let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a δ -net of $T(B_X)$. In view of [17, Theorem 3.1] and [18, Theorem 1.3], there is a subspace $E \subset Y$ isometric to ℓ_∞^m for some natural number m and such that $\text{dist}(y_i, E) < \delta$ for every $i \leq n$. Let $P : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a norm one projection onto E . We will check that $\|PT - T\| < 4\delta$. In order to show that we fix any element $x \in B_X$ and so $\|Tx - y_i\| < \delta$ for some $i \leq n$. Let $e \in E$ be any element satisfying $\|e - y_i\| < \delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - PT(x)\| &\leq \|T(x) - y_i\| + \|y_i - e\| + \|e - PT(x)\| \\ &\leq 2\delta + \|P(e) - PT(x)\| \leq 2\delta + \|e - T(x)\| \\ &\leq 2\delta + \|e - y_i\| + \|y_i - T(x)\| < 4\delta. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|PT\| > \|T\| - 4\delta = 1 - 4\delta > 0$. As a consequence we also obtain that

$$\|PT(x_0)\| > \|T(x_0)\| - 4\delta > 1 - \eta'(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Hence the operator $R = \frac{PT}{\|PT\|}$ satisfies $\|R(x_0)\| > 1 - \eta'(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Since E is isometric to ℓ_∞^m , by Lemma 4.1 there exist an operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, E) \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ and $z_0 \in S_X$ satisfying that

$$\|S - R\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \|z_0 - x_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|Sz_0\| = 1.$$

Finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S - T\| &\leq \|S - R\| + \|R - PT\| + \|PT - T\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 1 - \|PT\| + 4\delta \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 8\delta < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M.D. Acosta, R.M. Aron, D. García and M. Maestre, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (11) (2008), 2780–2799.
- [2] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra-Guerrero, D. García, S.K. Kim and M. Maestre, *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for certain spaces of operators*, preprint 2013.
- [3] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra-Guerrero, D. García and M. Maestre, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for bilinear forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear). Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05881-3>.
- [4] R.M. Aron, B. Cascales and O. Kozhushkina, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem and Asplund operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (10) (2011), 3553–3560.
- [5] R.M. Aron, Y.S. Choi, D. García and M. Maestre, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for $\mathcal{L}(L_1(\mu), L_\infty[0, 1])$* , Adv. Math. **228** (1) (2011), 617–628.
- [6] R.M. Aron, Y.S. Choi, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and M. Martín, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás version of Lindenstrauss properties A and B*, preprint 2013. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.6420>.
- [7] E. Bishop and R.R. Phelps, *A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **67** (1961), 97–98.
- [8] B. Bollobás, *An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 181–182.
- [9] B. Cascales, A.J. Guirao, and V. Kadets, *A Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás type theorem for uniform algebras*, Adv. Math. **240** (2013), 370–382.
- [10] M. Chica, V. Kadets, M. Martín, S. Moreno-Pulido and F. Rambla-Barreno, *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás moduli of a Banach space*, preprint 2013. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0376>.
- [11] Y.S. Choi and S.K. Kim, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators from $L_1(\mu)$ to Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (6) (2011), 1446–1456.
- [12] Y.S. Choi, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and M. Martín, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators on $L_1(\mu)$* , preprint 2013. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.6078>.

- [13] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Volume I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [14] J. Johnson and J. Wolfe, *Norm attaining operators*, *Studia Math.* **65** (1) (1979), 7–19.
- [15] S.K. Kim, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators from c_0 to uniformly convex spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* (to appear). Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11856-012-0186-x>.
- [16] S.K. Kim and H.J. Lee, *Uniform convexity and Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property*, *Canadian J. Math.* (to appear). Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2013-009-2>.
- [17] A.J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, *Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces and their representing matrices*, *Acta Math.* **126** (1971), 165–193.
- [18] N.J. Nielsen and G.H. Olsen, *Complex preduals of L_1 and subspaces of $\ell_\infty^n(\mathbb{C})$* , *Math. Scand.* **40** (2) (1977), 271–287.
- [19] W. Schachermayer, *Norm attaining operators on some classical Banach spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **105** (2) (1983), 427–438.

(Acosta, Becerra and Martín) UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, 18071 GRANADA (SPAIN)

E-mail address: dacosta@ugr.es, juliobg@ugr.es, mmartins@ugr.es

(Choi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POSTECH, POHANG (790-784), REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: mathchoi@postech.ac.kr

(Ciesielski) POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PIOTROWO 3A, 60-965 POZNAŃ, POLAND

E-mail address: maciej.ciesielski@put.poznan.pl

(Kim) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY (KIAS), 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 130-722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: lineksk@gmail.com

(Lee) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY - SEOUL, 100-715 SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: hanjulee@dongguk.edu

(Lourenço) UNIVERSIDADE DE SAO PAULO, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, CP 66281 CEP:05311-970 SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

E-mail address: mllouren@ime.usp.br