

Invertible extensions of symmetric operators and the corresponding generalized resolvents.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

1 Introduction.

Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H . The domain of A is not supposed to be necessarily dense in H . Let \tilde{A} be a self-adjoint extension of A , acting in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$. Recall that an operator-valued function \mathbf{R}_λ , given by the following relation:

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda = \mathbf{R}_\lambda(A) = \mathbf{R}_{s;\lambda}(A) = P_{\tilde{H}} \left(\tilde{A} - \lambda E_{\tilde{H}} \right)^{-1} |_{H}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e,$$

is said to be a **generalized resolvent** of the symmetric operator A (corresponding to the extension \tilde{A}). Fix an arbitrary point $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_e$. An arbitrary generalized resolvent $\mathbf{R}_{s;\lambda}$ of the operator A is given by Shtraus's formula:

$$\mathbf{R}_{s;\lambda} = \begin{cases} (A_{F(\lambda)} - \lambda E_H)^{-1}, & \lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0} \\ (A_{F^*(\bar{\lambda})} - \lambda E_H)^{-1}, & \bar{\lambda} \in \Pi_{\lambda_0} \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a function from $\mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A))$. Conversely, an arbitrary function $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A))$ defines by relation (1) a generalized resolvent $\mathbf{R}_{s;\lambda}$ of the operator A . Moreover, for different functions from $\mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A))$ there correspond different generalized resolvents of the operator A . By $\mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A)) = \mathcal{S}_a(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A))$ we denote a set of all operator-valued functions $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\lambda}_0}(A))$, which are λ_0 -admissible with respect to the operator A . *Throughout this paper we shall use the notations from the survey paper [1].*

Our main aim here is to characterize those generalized resolvents $\mathbf{R}_{s;\lambda}$, which are generated by an (at least one) invertible self-adjoint extension. Such generalized resolvents we shall call **generalized I-resolvents**. A generalized I-resolvent will be described by Shtraus's formula (1), where the parameter $F(\lambda)$ satisfies a boundary condition at 0. As a by-product, using the generalized Neumann's formulas we describe all invertible extensions of a symmetric operator inside H .

Notations. As it was already mentioned, in this paper we use notations from [1].

2 Invertible extensions.

Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H . Let z from \mathbb{C}_- (\mathbb{C}_+) be a fixed number. Recall that the following formulas (see [1, Theorem 3.13])

$$D(B) = D(A) \dot{+} (T - E_H)D(T), \quad (2)$$

$$B(f + T\psi - \psi) = Af + zT\psi - \bar{z}\psi, \quad f \in D(A), \psi \in D(T), \quad (3)$$

establish a one-to-one correspondence between all admissible with respect to A isometric operators T , $D(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_z(A)$, $R(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(A)$, and all symmetric extensions B of the operator A . We have

$$D(T) = \mathcal{N}_z(A) \cap R(B - zE_H), \quad (4)$$

$$T \subseteq (B - \bar{z}E_H)(B - zE_H)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Formulas (2),(3) define a one-to-one correspondence between all admissible with respect to A non-expanding operators T , $D(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_z(A)$, $R(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(A)$, and all dissipative (respectively accumulative) extensions B of the operator A . Relations (4),(5) hold in this case, as well.

Consider the Cayley transformation of the operator A :

$$U_z = U_z(A) = (A - \bar{z}E_H)(A - zE_H)^{-1} = E_H + (z - \bar{z})(A - zE_H)^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

The operator B may be also determined by the following relations:

$$W_z = (B - \bar{z}E_H)(B - zE_H)^{-1} = E_H + (z - \bar{z})(B - zE_H)^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

$$B = (zW_z - \bar{z}E_H)(W_z - E_H)^{-1} = zE_H + (z - \bar{z})(W_z - E_H)^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

$$W_z = U_z(A) \oplus T. \quad (9)$$

It is readily checked that

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda(A) = \mathcal{M}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}), \quad \mathcal{N}_\lambda(A) = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e; \quad (10)$$

$$U_\lambda(A) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} U_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 1 *Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H , and $z \in \mathbb{R}_e$ be a fixed point. Let T be an admissible with respect to A non-expanding operator with $D(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_z(A)$, $R(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(A)$. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) The operator B , defined by (2) and (3), is invertible;

(ii) The operator $\frac{z}{z}T$ is $\frac{1}{z}$ -admissible with respect to A^{-1} .

Proof. From relation (8) it follows that B is invertible if and only if the operator $\frac{z}{z}W_z$ has no non-zero fixed elements. By (9),(11) we see that

$$\frac{z}{z}W_z = \frac{z}{z}U_z(A) \oplus \frac{z}{z}T = U_{\frac{1}{z}}(A^{-1}) \oplus \frac{z}{z}T.$$

It remains to apply Theorem 3.6 from [1]. \square

Remark 1 By the definition of an admissible operator condition (ii) of the last theorem is equivalent to the following condition:

(iii) the operator $T - \frac{z}{z}X_{\frac{1}{z}}(A^{-1})$ is invertible,

where $X_{\lambda}(A^{-1})$ is the forbidden operator for A^{-1} .

Theorem 2 Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H . Suppose that A has finite defect numbers. Then there exists an invertible self-adjoint operator $\tilde{A} \supseteq A$ in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$.

Proof. Let the operator A from the formulation of the theorem have the deficiency index (n, m) , $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n + m \neq 0$. Set $\mathcal{H} = H \oplus H$ and $\mathcal{A} = A \oplus (-A)$. The closed symmetric operator \mathcal{A} has equal defect numbers. Fix an arbitrary number $z \in \mathbb{R}_e$. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{n+m}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{N}_z(\mathcal{A})$. Set

$$T(\alpha f_1) = \alpha h, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $h \in \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}}(\mathcal{A})$ is an arbitrary element such that $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$, and $h \neq \frac{z}{z}X_{\frac{1}{z}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1})f_1$ if $f_1 \in D(X_{\frac{1}{z}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}))$; $h \neq X_z(\mathcal{A})f_1$ if $f_1 \in D(X_z(\mathcal{A}))$. The operator T with the domain $\text{span}\{f_1\}$ is z -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A} and condition (iii) holds for \mathcal{A} . By Theorem 1 the symmetric extension B , corresponding to T , is invertible. The defect numbers of B are equal to $n + m - 1$. If B is not self-adjoint, we can take B instead of \mathcal{A} in the above construction to obtain a closed symmetric extension with the deficiency index $(n + m - 2, n + m - 2)$. Repeating this procedure we shall construct a self-adjoint invertible extension of \mathcal{A} . \square

3 Generalized resolvents.

Consider a closed symmetric invertible operator A in a Hilbert space H . Choose and fix an arbitrary point $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_e$. A function $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^-(A))$

is said to be λ_0 -**I-admissible with respect to the operator** A , if the validity of

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, \lambda \rightarrow 0} F(\lambda)\psi = \frac{\overline{\lambda_0}}{\lambda_0} X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi, \quad (12)$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, \lambda \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{|\lambda|} (\|\psi\|_H - \|F(\lambda)\psi\|_H) \right] < +\infty, \quad (13)$$

for some ε : $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$, implies $\psi = 0$.

A set of all operator-valued functions $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$ which are λ_0 -I-admissible with respect to the operator A , we shall denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A)) = \mathcal{S}_I(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A)).$$

In the case $\overline{R(A)} = H$, we have $D(X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})) = \{0\}$ and therefore $\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A)) = \mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$.

Theorem 3 *Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H , and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_e$ be an arbitrary point. An arbitrary generalized I-resolvent \mathbf{R}_λ of the operator A has the following form:*

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda = \begin{cases} (A_{F(\lambda)} - \lambda E_H)^{-1}, & \lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0} \\ (A_{F^*(\overline{\lambda})} - \lambda E_H)^{-1}, & \overline{\lambda} \in \Pi_{\lambda_0} \end{cases}, \quad (14)$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a function from $\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$. Conversely, an arbitrary function $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$ defines by relation (14) a generalized I-resolvent \mathbf{R}_λ of the operator A . Moreover, for different functions from $\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$ there correspond different generalized I-resolvents of the operator A .

Proof. Let A be a closed symmetric invertible operator in a Hilbert space H , $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_e$.

Let us prove the first statement of the theorem. Let \mathbf{R}_λ be an arbitrary generalized I-resolvent of the operator A . The generalized I-resolvent \mathbf{R}_λ is generated by a self-adjoint invertible operator $\tilde{A} \supseteq A$ in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$. Repeating the arguments from the proof of Theorem 3.34 in [1] we obtain a representation (14), where $F(\lambda)$ is a function from $\mathcal{S}_{a;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$. It remains to check that $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\lambda_0}}(A))$.

The self-adjoint extension \tilde{A}^{-1} of the operator A^{-1} in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$ generates the following objects, see Subsection 3.6 in [1]: the operator-valued function $\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e$; the operator $\mathfrak{B}_\infty(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$;

the operator-valued function $\mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$, $\lambda \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}$; the operator $\Phi_{\infty}(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$.

On the other hand, the operator A can be identified with the operator

$$\mathcal{A} = A \oplus A_e, \quad (15)$$

with $A_e = o_H$, in the Hilbert space

$$\tilde{H} = H \oplus H_e, \quad (16)$$

where $H_e := \tilde{H} \ominus H$, see Subsection 3.5 in [1]. Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1} = A^{-1} \oplus A_e^{-1} = A^{-1} \oplus A_e. \quad (17)$$

By the generalized Neumann's formulas, for $\tilde{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ there corresponds an isometric operator T , $D(T) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{A})$, $R(T) = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(\mathcal{A})$, which is λ_0 -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A} . Moreover, since the operator \tilde{A} is invertible, then by Theorem 1 we conclude that the operator $\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A}^{-1} .

Applying Theorem 3.16 in [1] for the operator \mathcal{A}^{-1} , with $z = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}$, we obtain that the operator $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A}^{-1} .

By the generalized Neumann's formulas, for $\tilde{A}^{-1} \supseteq \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ there corresponds an isometric operator V , $D(V) = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{A})$, $R(V) = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{A})$, which is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A}^{-1} . Consider the following operator $\mathfrak{B}(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V)$, see (3.38) in [1]:

$$\mathfrak{B}(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V)h = P_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{H}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1})_V h = P_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{H}}\tilde{A}^{-1}h, \quad h \in D((\mathcal{A}^{-1})_V) \cap H = D(\tilde{A}^{-1}) \cap H.$$

Comparing this definition with the definition of the operator $\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$ we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{B}(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V) = \mathfrak{B}_{\infty}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}). \quad (18)$$

Applying Theorem 3.20 in [1] for the operator \tilde{A}^{-1} , with $z = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}$, we get:

$$\mathfrak{B}(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V) = (A^{-1})_{\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V), \frac{1}{\lambda_0}}.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$ we have:

$$\mathfrak{B}_\infty(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) = (A^{-1})_{\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}), \frac{1}{\lambda_0}}.$$

Then

$$\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) = \Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, V). \quad (19)$$

By the generalized Neumann's formulas (4),(5) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D(T) &= \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{A}) \cap R(\tilde{A} - \lambda_0 E_{\tilde{H}}), \\ T &\subseteq (\tilde{A} - \overline{\lambda_0} E_{\tilde{H}})(\tilde{A} - \lambda_0 E_{\tilde{H}})^{-1}; \\ D(V) &= \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}) \cap R(\tilde{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} E_{\tilde{H}}) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{A}) \cap R(\tilde{A} - \lambda_0 E_{\tilde{H}}), \\ V &\subseteq (\tilde{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} E_{\tilde{H}})(\tilde{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} E_{\tilde{H}})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} (\tilde{A} - \overline{\lambda_0} E_{\tilde{H}})(\tilde{A} - \lambda_0 E_{\tilde{H}})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$V = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} T.$$

By (19) we get:

$$\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) = \Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} T). \quad (20)$$

Therefore the operator $\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to A^{-1} .

The self-adjoint operator $\tilde{A} \supseteq A$ in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$ generates the operator-valued function $\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e$, and the operator-valued function $\mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A})$, $\lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}$ (see [1, Subsection 3.6]). Denote (see [1, p. 222])

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) = \left\{ h \in D(\tilde{A}) : (\tilde{A} - \lambda E_{\tilde{H}})h \in H \right\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \quad (21)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) = P_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{H}} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We shall also need sets $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$, $\mathfrak{L}_\lambda(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, constructed by (21) with the operators A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1} instead of A, \tilde{A} .

Choose an arbitrary element $h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e$. Then $\tilde{A}h \in R(\tilde{A})$, and

$$\left(\tilde{A}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}E_{\tilde{H}}\right)\tilde{A}h = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(\tilde{A} - \lambda E_{\tilde{H}})h \in H.$$

Therefore $\tilde{A}h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$, and

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e.$$

In order to obtain the equality:

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e, \quad (22)$$

it remains to apply the proved inclusion for the operators A^{-1} , \tilde{A}^{-1} instead of A , \tilde{A} , and with $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ instead of λ .

The operator $P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})}^{\tilde{H}}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e$, is invertible, see considerations below (3.48) in [1]. By the definition of $\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})$ we may write:

$$\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) = P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})}^{\tilde{H}} \tilde{A} \left(P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})}^{\tilde{H}} \right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e. \quad (23)$$

Applying this representation for the operators A^{-1} , \tilde{A}^{-1} instead of A , \tilde{A} , and with $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ instead of λ we get:

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) = P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})}^{\tilde{H}} \tilde{A}^{-1} \left(P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})}^{\tilde{H}} \right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e.$$

Observe that

$$R(\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})) = P_{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})}^{\tilde{H}} \tilde{A} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) \mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) g = g, \quad g \in \mathfrak{L}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e.$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A})^{-1} = \mathfrak{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_e. \quad (24)$$

By the definition of the operator-valued function $\mathfrak{F}(\lambda)$ and by (24) we may write:

$$\mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A}) = (\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) - \overline{\lambda_0} E_H) (\mathfrak{B}_\lambda(A, \tilde{A}) - \lambda_0 E_H)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A)}, \quad \lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0};$$

and, also for $\lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right) \\ &= \left(\mathfrak{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) - \frac{1}{\lambda_0}E_H\right)\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}) - \frac{1}{\lambda_0}E_H\right)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \left(\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}(A, \tilde{A}) - \overline{\lambda_0}E_H\right)\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}(A, \tilde{A}) - \lambda_0E_H\right)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A}), \quad \lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}. \quad (25)$$

Suppose that relations (12),(13) with $F(\lambda) = \mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A})$ hold for some $\varepsilon: 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then using the change of a variable $y = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ we get:

$$\lim_{y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}\left(\frac{1}{y}; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A}\right)\psi = \frac{\overline{\lambda_0}}{\lambda_0} X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi, \quad (26)$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow \infty} \left[|y|(\|\psi\|_H - \|\mathfrak{F}\left(\frac{1}{y}; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A}\right)\psi\|_H) \right] < +\infty. \quad (27)$$

By (25) we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{F}\left(\frac{1}{y}; \lambda_0, A, \tilde{A}\right) = \frac{\overline{\lambda_0}}{\lambda_0} \mathfrak{F}\left(y; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right), \quad y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}.$$

Substituting this expression in relations (26),(27) we get:

$$\lim_{y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}\left(y; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right)\psi = X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi, \quad (28)$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow \infty} \left[|y|(\|\psi\|_H - \|\mathfrak{F}\left(y; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right)\psi\|_H) \right] < +\infty. \quad (29)$$

By Theorem 3.32 in [1] we conclude that $\psi \in D(\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}))$, and

$$\Phi_\infty\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1}\right)\psi = X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi.$$

Since $\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A^{-1}, \tilde{A}^{-1})$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to A^{-1} , we obtain that $\psi = 0$. Consequently, $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A))$.

Let us check the second statement of the theorem. Let $F(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary function from $\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A))$. We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$: $n + m \neq 0$. There exists a closed symmetric invertible operator A in a Hilbert space H , $\overline{D(A)} = H$, $\overline{R(A)} = H$, which has the deficiency index (n, m) .*

Proof. Let H_0 be an arbitrary Hilbert space, and $\mathfrak{A} = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis in H_0 . Consider the following operator (unilateral shift):

$$V_0 h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k f_{k+1}, \quad h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k f_k \in H_0, \quad \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

with $D(V_0) = H_0$. The operator V_0 is closed, isometric, and its deficiency index is $(0, 1)$. The condition $V_0 g = \pm g$, for an element $g \in H_0$, implies $g = 0$. Consequently, the inverse Cayley transformation:

$$A_0 = i(V_0 + E_{H_0})(V_0 - E_{H_0})^{-1},$$

is a closed symmetric invertible operator in H_0 , with the deficiency index $(0, 1)$. If $h \in H_0$ and $h \perp (V_0 \pm E_{H_0})H_0$, then $V_0^* h = \mp h$. The condition $V_0^* h = V_0^{-1} P_{R(V_0)}^{H_0} h = \mp h$, for an element $h \in H_0$, implies $h = 0$. Therefore, $\overline{D(A_0)} = H_0$, $\overline{R(A_0)} = H_0$. Set

$$H^l = \bigoplus_{j=0}^l H_0, \quad W_l = \bigoplus_{j=0}^l V_0, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}.$$

W_l is a closed isometric operator in H^l . The deficiency index of W_l is equal to $(0, l+1)$. If $W_l h = \pm h$, or $W_l^* h = \pm h$, then $h = 0$. Then

$$A_l = i(W_l + E_{H^l})(W_l - E_{H^l})^{-1},$$

is a closed symmetric invertible operator in H^l , with the deficiency index $(0, l+1)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Moreover, we have $\overline{D(A_l)} = H^l$, $\overline{R(A_l)} = H^l$. Observe that the operator $-A_l$ has the deficiency index $(l+1, 0)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If $m > 0, n > 0$, we set

$$H = H^{m-1} \oplus H^{n-1}, \quad A = (-A_{m-1}) \oplus A_{n-1}.$$

□

Let us return to the proof of the theorem. If the operator A is self-adjoint, then the set $\mathcal{S}_{I;\lambda_0}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A), \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^-(A))$ consists of a unique function $F(\lambda) = o_H$. Of course, this function generates the resolvent of A by (14). Thus, we can assume that A is not self-adjoint.

We shall use the scheme of the proof of the corresponding statement in Theorem 3.34 in [1]. By Lemma 1 there exists a closed symmetric invertible operator A_1 in a Hilbert space H_1 , $\overline{D(A_1)} = H_1$, $\overline{R(A_1)} = H_1$, which has the same defect numbers as A . Let U and W be arbitrary isometric operators, which map respectively $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A_1)$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A)$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^-(A_1)$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^-(A)$. Set $F_1(\lambda) = W^{-1}F(\lambda)U$, $\lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}$. Since $F_1(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\Pi_{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A_1), \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^-(A_1))$, by Shtraus's formula it generates a generalized resolvent $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(A_1)$ of A_1 .

Let us check that $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(A_1)$ is generated by a self-adjoint *invertible* operator $\tilde{A}_1 \supseteq A_1$ in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H}_1 \supseteq H_1$. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(A_1)$ is generated by a self-adjoint operator $\hat{A}_1 \supseteq A_1$ in a Hilbert space $\hat{H}_1 \supseteq H_1$. Suppose that $U_i(\hat{A}_1)h = -h$, for an element $h \in \hat{H}_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h, U_i(\hat{A}_1)g)_{\hat{H}_1} &= -(U_i(\hat{A}_1)h, U_i(\hat{A}_1)g)_{\hat{H}_1} = -(h, g)_{\hat{H}_1}, \quad g \in \hat{H}_1, \\ 0 &= (h, (U_i(\hat{A}_1) + E_{\hat{H}_1})g)_{\hat{H}_1}, \quad g \in \hat{H}_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, h is orthogonal to $(U_i(A_1) + E_{H_1})D(U_i(A_1)) = R(A_1)$. Then $h \in \hat{H}_1 \ominus H_1$. Set

$$\hat{H}_0 = \{h \in \hat{H}_1 : U_i(\hat{A}_1)h = -h\}.$$

Observe that \hat{H}_0 is a subspace of $\hat{H}_1 \ominus H_1$. Then

$$\hat{H}_1 = H_1 \oplus (\hat{H}_1 \ominus H_1) = H_1 \oplus \left((\hat{H}_1 \ominus H_1) \ominus \hat{H}_0 \right) \oplus \hat{H}_0 = \tilde{H}_1 \oplus \hat{H}_0,$$

where $\tilde{H}_1 := H_1 \oplus \left((\hat{H}_1 \ominus H_1) \ominus \hat{H}_0 \right)$.

Notice that $U_i(\hat{A}_1)\hat{H}_0 = \hat{H}_0$ and $U_i(\hat{A}_1)\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{H}_1$. Set $W_1 = U_i(\hat{A}_1)|_{\tilde{H}_1}$. There are no non-zero elements $g \in \tilde{H}_1$ such that $W_1g = -g$. Then the inverse Cayley transformation

$$\tilde{A}_1 := i(W_1 + E_{\tilde{H}_1})(W_1 - E_{\tilde{H}_1})^{-1},$$

is invertible. Since $\tilde{A}_1 \subseteq \hat{A}_1$, then

$$(\tilde{A}_1 - \lambda E_{\tilde{H}_1})^{-1} \subseteq (\hat{A}_1 - \lambda E_{\hat{H}_1})^{-1}.$$

Therefore \tilde{A}_1 generates $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(A_1)$.

Set

$$H_e := \tilde{H}_1 \ominus H_1.$$

Starting from the same formula, we repeat the rest of the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.34 in [1]. In what follows, *we shall use notations and constructions from this proof without additional references*. We shall obtain a self-adjoint operator $\tilde{A} \supseteq A$ in a Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \supseteq H$, which generates a generalized resolvent \mathbf{R}_λ of A . This generalized resolvent is related to $F(\lambda)$ by (14). It remains to check that the operator \tilde{A} is invertible.

Since the operator \tilde{A}_1 is invertible, then by Theorem 1 we obtain that the operator $\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A}_1^{-1} . By Theorem 3.16 in [1] we conclude that the operators $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)$ and $\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T_{22}$ are $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to A_1^{-1} and $A_e^{-1} = A_e$, respectively.

Comparing the domains of $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)$ and $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)$ we conclude that

$$D(\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)) = UD(\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)). \quad (30)$$

Using Remark 3.15 and formula (3.28) in [1, p. 218] for $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)$ and $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)$, we get:

$$\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V) = W\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T)U^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

We can apply the arguments in the proof of the already proved first statement of the theorem for the operator $A := A_1$; the point λ_0 ; the generalized I -resolvent $\mathbf{R}_\lambda := \mathbf{R}_\lambda(A_1)$ of A_1 , which is generated by the self-adjoint invertible operator $\tilde{A} := \tilde{A}_1$ in $\tilde{H}_1 \supseteq H_1$.

$$\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1}) = \Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T). \quad (32)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(\frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1}) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \lambda_0, A_1, \tilde{A}_1), \quad \lambda \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}. \quad (33)$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{F}(y; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1}) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \mathfrak{F}(\frac{1}{y}; \lambda_0, A_1, \tilde{A}_1), \quad y \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}. \quad (34)$$

By Theorem 3.32 in [1] we have:

$$D(\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1})) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A_1^{-1}) : \\ \lim_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, \lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left[|\lambda|(\|\psi\|_{H_1} - \|\mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1})\psi\|_{H_1}) \right] < +\infty \}, \quad (35)$$

$$\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1})\psi = \lim_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}^\varepsilon, \lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}(\lambda; \frac{1}{\lambda_0}, A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1})\psi, \quad \psi \in D(\Phi_\infty(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; A_1^{-1}, \tilde{A}_1^{-1})), \quad (36)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Using (30),(32),(35),(36),(34) and the change of a variable: $y = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we obtain that

$$D(\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A) : \\ \lim_{y \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{|y|}(\|\psi\|_H - \|F(y)\psi\|_H) \right] < +\infty \}; \quad (37)$$

$$\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)\psi = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \lim_{y \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow 0} F(y)\psi, \quad \psi \in D(\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)). \quad (38)$$

Suppose that there exists an element $\psi \in D(\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)) \cap X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})$ such that the following equality holds:

$$\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)\psi = X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi.$$

By (37),(38) this means that $\psi \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}(A)$ and

$$\lim_{y \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{|y|}(\|\psi\|_H - \|F(y)\psi\|_H) \right] < +\infty, \\ \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \lim_{y \in \Pi_{\lambda_0}^\varepsilon, y \rightarrow 0} F(y)\psi = X_{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}(A^{-1})\psi.$$

Since $F(\lambda)$ is λ_0 -I-admissible with respect to the operator A , we get $\psi = 0$. This means that $\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}; \mathcal{A}^{-1}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V)$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to A^{-1} . Since $\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}T_{22}$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to A_e , then by Theorem 3.16 in [1] we obtain that the operator $\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}V$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ -admissible with respect to \mathcal{A}^{-1} . By Theorem 1 we conclude that the operator $\mathcal{A}_V = \tilde{A}$ is invertible.

The last statement of the theorem follows directly from Shtraus's formula. \square

References

- [1] S.M. Zagorodnyuk, Generalized resolvents of symmetric and isometric operators: the Shtraus approach. —*Annals of Functional Analysis*, **4**, No. 1 (2013), 175-285.

Invertible extensions of symmetric operators and the corresponding generalized resolvents.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

In this paper we study invertible extensions of a symmetric operator in a Hilbert space H . All such extensions are characterized by a parameter in the generalized Neumann's formulas. Generalized resolvents, which are generated by the invertible extensions, are extracted by a boundary condition among all generalized resolvents in the Shtraus formula.