

Construction of class fields over imaginary biquadratic fields

JA KYUNG KOO AND DONG SUNG YOON*

Abstract

Let K be an imaginary biquadratic field and K_1, K_2 be its imaginary quadratic subfields. For integers $N > 0$, $\mu \geq 0$ and an odd prime p with $\gcd(N, p) = 1$, let $K_{(Np^\mu)}$ and $(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ be the ray class fields of K and K_i , respectively, modulo Np^μ . We first present certain class fields $\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$ of K , in the sense of Hilbert, which are generated by Siegel-Ramachandra invariants of $(K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$ for $i = 1, 2$ over $K_{(Np^\mu)}$ and show that $K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} = \widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$ for almost all μ .

1 Introduction

In 1900 Hilbert asked at the Paris ICM, as his 12-th problem, that what kind of analytic functions and algebraic numbers are necessary to construct all abelian extensions of given number fields. For any number field K and a modulus \mathfrak{m} of K , it is well known that there is a unique maximal abelian extension of K unramified outside \mathfrak{m} with certain ramification condition ([27] or [6, Theorem 8.6]), which we call the *ray class field* of K modulo \mathfrak{m} . Since any abelian extension of K is contained in some ray class field modulo \mathfrak{m} by class field theory, in order to approach the problem we are first in need of constructing ray class fields of given number fields as Ramachandra did in [19] over imaginary quadratic fields.

Historically, over imaginary quadratic fields, after Hasse ([8]) one can now construct it by using the theory of complex multiplication of elliptic curves together with the singular values of modular functions and elliptic functions ([15, Chapter 10] or [23]). However, for any other number fields we know relatively little until now. For example, over cyclotomic

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G16, 11R37 (primary), 11F46, 11G15 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. class field theory, complex multiplication, Shimura's reciprocity law, modular units

*Corresponding author.

field see [12], [13], [20] (also [18]), [21], and over biquadratic fields we refer to [1], [2], [3], [4], [26] and [28].

In this paper we will concentrate on the case of imaginary biquadratic fields K . There are two imaginary quadratic subfields K_1, K_2 in K . For integers $N > 0, \mu \geq 0$ and an odd prime p with $\gcd(N, p) = 1$, we denote by $K_{(Np^\mu)}$ and $(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ the ray class fields of K and K_i modulo Np^μ , respectively. In Section 2, we apply Shimura's reciprocity law to the field K and put emphasis on the necessity of using modular units to construct class fields over K as Hilbert proposed. On the other hand, Jung-Koo-Shin ([10, Theorem 3.5]) constructed relatively simple ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields by means of the singular values of certain Siegel functions, namely, Siegel-Ramachandra invariants. Using this idea we define in Section 4 and 5 certain class field $\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$ of K which is generated by Siegel-Ramachandra invariants of $(K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$ for $i = 1, 2$ over $K_{(Np^\mu)}$, and provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the field $\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$ to become $K_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$ (Theorem 4.7 and 5.4).

NOTATION 1.1. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by \bar{z} the complex conjugate of z and put $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. If R is a ring with identity and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $M_{r \times s}(R)$ indicates the ring of all $r \times s$ matrices with entries in R . In particular, we set $M_r(R) = M_{r \times r}(R)$. The identity matrix of $M_r(R)$ is written by 1_r and the transpose of a matrix α is written as ${}^t\alpha$. And, R^\times means the group of all invertible elements of R . If G is a group and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r are elements of G , let $\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \rangle$ be the subgroup of G generated by g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r . For a number field K , let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K . If $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ we denote by (a) the principal ideal of K generated by a . When \mathfrak{f} is an integral ideal of K , we mean by $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f})$ the absolute norm of an ideal \mathfrak{f} . For a finite extension L of K , let $[L : K]$ be the extension degree of L over K . When L/K is abelian, we mean by $(\frac{L}{K})$ the Artin map of L/K . Further, we let $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$ be a primitive N -th root of unity for a positive integer N .

2 Shimura's reciprocity law on imaginary biquadratic fields

In this section we shall briefly recall Siegel modular forms and apply Shimura's reciprocity law to an imaginary biquadratic field.

Let n be a positive integer and

$$J = J_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For a commutative ring R with unity, we let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(R) &= \{\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) \mid {}^t\alpha J\alpha = \nu(\alpha)J \text{ with } \nu(\alpha) \in R^\times\}, \\ \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) &= \{\alpha \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(R) \mid \nu(\alpha) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}^+} = \{\alpha \in G_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \nu(\alpha) > 0\}$. Let

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \{Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid {}^tZ = Z, \mathrm{Im}(Z) > 0\}$$

be the *Siegel upper half-space of degree n* . Here, for a hermitian matrix ξ we write $\xi > 0$

when ξ is positive definite. An element $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}^+}$ acts on \mathbb{H}_n by

$$\alpha(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1},$$

where $A, B, C, D \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$.

For every positive integer N , let

$$\Gamma(N) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv 1_{2n} \pmod{N \cdot M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})}\}.$$

For an integer k a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a (*classical*) *Siegel modular form of degree n , weight k and level N* if

$$f(\gamma(Z)) = \det(CZ + D)^k f(Z)$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma(N)$ and $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$, plus the requirement that f is holomorphic at every cusp when $n = 1$. A Siegel modular form f of degree n and level N has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(Z) = \sum_{\xi} A(\xi) e(\mathrm{tr}(\xi Z)/N)$$

with $A(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}$, where ξ runs over all positive semi-definite half-integral symmetric matrices of degree n [11, §4 Theorem 1]. Here, a symmetric matrix $\xi \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is called *half-integral* if 2ξ is an integral matrix and its diagonal entries are even.

For a subfield D of \mathbb{C} , let

$\mathcal{M}_k^n(\Gamma(N), D)$ = the space of Siegel modular forms of weight k and level N
with Fourier coefficients in D ,

$$\mathcal{M}_k^n(D) = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_k^n(\Gamma(N), D),$$

$\mathcal{A}_0^n(\Gamma(N), D)$ = the field of functions of the form g/h
with $g \in \mathcal{M}_k^n(D)$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}_k^n(D) \setminus \{0\}$ for the same weight k ,
which are invariant under $\Gamma(N)$.

In particular, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_N^n &= \mathcal{A}_0^n(\Gamma(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)), \\ \mathcal{F}^n &= \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_N^n.\end{aligned}$$

Let $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ be the adelization of the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, G_0 be the non-archimedean part of $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ and G_{∞} be the archimedean part of $G_{\mathbb{A}}$. Then ν in (2.1) defines a homomorphism $G_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$. We put $G_{\infty+} = \{x \in G_{\infty} \mid \nu(x) > 0\}$ and $G_{\mathbb{A}+} = G_0 G_{\infty+}$. For every algebraic number field F , let F_{ab} be the maximal abelian extension of F and $F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ be the idele group of F . By class field theory, every element x of $F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ acts on the field F_{ab} as an automorphism. We then write this automorphism as $[x, F]$. On the other hand, any element of $G_{\mathbb{A}+}$ acts on the space $\mathcal{A}_0^n(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}})$ as an automorphism ([22, p.680]). If $x \in G_{\mathbb{A}+}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_0^n(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}})$, by f^x we mean the image of f under x .

For each index $i = 1, 2$, let $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d_i})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with square-free positive integer d_i and set

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-d_i}} & \text{if } -d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-d_i}} & \text{if } -d_i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Note that ρ_i is the number in K_i for which $-\rho_i^2$ is totally positive, $\text{Im}(\rho_i) > 0$ and $\text{Tr}_{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}(\rho_i x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$. Let $L_i = \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$ be a lattice in \mathbb{C} . Then, for $z_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}$ we define an \mathbb{R} -bilinear form $E_i(z_i, w_i)$ on \mathbb{C} by

$$E_i(z_i, w_i) = \rho_i(z_i \bar{w}_i - \bar{z}_i w_i).$$

And, E_i becomes a non-degenerate Riemann form on the complex torus \mathbb{C}/L_i satisfying

$$E_i(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = \text{Tr}_{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}(\rho_i \alpha_i \bar{\beta}_i) \quad \text{for } \alpha_i, \beta_i \in K_i,$$

which makes it an elliptic curve as a polarized abelian variety ([24, p.43–44]). Let

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} (-1 + \sqrt{-d_i})/2 & \text{if } -d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{-d_i} & \text{if } -d_i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

and let $\Omega_i = [\theta_i \ 1] \in M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{K_i} = \mathbb{Z}[\theta_i]$ and Ω_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}L_i &= \left\{ \Omega_i \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\ E_i(\Omega_i \mathbf{x}, \Omega_i \mathbf{y}) &= {}^t \mathbf{x} J_1 \mathbf{y} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we define a ring monomorphism $h_i : K_i \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i \theta_i \\ \alpha_i \end{bmatrix} = h_i(\alpha_i) \begin{bmatrix} \theta_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \alpha_i \in K_i,$$

in other words, $h_i(\alpha_i)$ is the regular representation of α_i with respect to $\{\theta_i, 1\}$. Then θ_i becomes the CM-point of \mathbb{H}_1 induced from h_i which corresponds to the elliptic curve $(\mathbb{C}/L_i, E_i)$ ([22, p.684-685] or [24, §24.10]).

Now, let $Y = K_1 \oplus K_2$ be a CM-algebra so that $[Y : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$, $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_{K_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{K_2}$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2) \in Y$. We denote by

$$v(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Y.$$

Let $L = \{v(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_Y\}$ be a lattice in \mathbb{C}^2 . For $\mathbf{z} = {}^t [z_1 \ z_2]$ and $\mathbf{w} = {}^t [w_1 \ w_2]$ in \mathbb{C}^2 , we define an \mathbb{R} -bilinear form $E(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 by

$$E(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 E_i(z_i, w_i).$$

Then E becomes a non-degenerate Riemann form on the complex torus \mathbb{C}^2/L satisfying

$$E(v(\alpha), v(\beta)) = \text{Tr}_{Y/\mathbb{Q}}(\rho \alpha \bar{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \text{Tr}_{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}(\rho_i \alpha_i \bar{\beta}_i) \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_i), \beta = (\beta_i) \in Y,$$

which also makes it a polarized abelian variety ([24, p.43–44, 129–130]). Let

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 4}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then Ω satisfies

$$L = \left\{ \Omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \right\},$$

$$E(\Omega \mathbf{x}, \Omega \mathbf{y}) = {}^t \mathbf{x} J_2 \mathbf{y} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Here, we write $\Omega = [\Omega_1 \ \Omega_2] = [v(e_1) \ v(e_2) \ v(e_3) \ v(e_4)]$ with $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in Y$. Then $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is a free \mathbb{Q} -basis of Y , so we can define a ring monomorphism $h : Y \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha e_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha e_4 \end{bmatrix} = h(\alpha) \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in Y,$$

that is, $h(\alpha)$ is the regular representation of α with respect to $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. One can then readily show that $Z_0 = \Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is the CM-point of \mathbb{H}_2 induced from h which corresponds to the polarized abelian variety $(\mathbb{C}^2/L, E)$ ([22, p.684-685] or [24, §24.10]).

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d_1}, \sqrt{-d_2})$ be an imaginary biquadratic field which is the composite field of K_1 and K_2 . Let $Y_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_{i=1}^2 (K_i)_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times} = \prod_{i=1}^2 (K_i)_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$. We define a map $\varphi : K_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ by

$$\varphi(x) = (N_{K/K_i}(x))_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \quad \text{for } x \in K_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}.$$

Then the map h can be naturally extended to a homomorphism $Y_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$, which we also denote by h . Hence, for every $b \in K_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ we get $\nu(h(\varphi(b))) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(b)$ and $h(\varphi(b)^{-1}) \in G_{\mathbb{A}+}$ ([24, p.172]).

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Shimura's reciprocity law). *Let Y, h, Z_0 and K be as above. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}})$ which is finite at Z_0 , the value $f(Z_0)$ belongs to K_{ab} . Moreover, if $b \in K_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$, then $f^{h(\varphi(b)^{-1})}$ is finite at Z_0 and*

$$f(Z_0)^{[b, K]} = f^{h(\varphi(b)^{-1})}(Z_0).$$

PROOF. [24, Theorem 26.8]. □

REMARK 2.2. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{ab}})$ that is finite at Z_0 , the value $f(Z_0)$ indeed belongs to the class field \widehat{K}_{ab} of K corresponding to the kernel of φ .

Now, we define a subset $\mathbb{H}_2^{\text{diag}}$ of the Siegel upper half-space \mathbb{H}_2 by

$$\mathbb{H}_2^{\text{diag}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, the CM-point Z_0 belongs to $\mathbb{H}_2^{\text{diag}}$. A function f in \mathcal{F}_N^1 is called a *modular unit of level N* if it has no zeros and poles on \mathbb{H}_1 [14, p.36].

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and $f \in \mathcal{F}_N^2$. Then f has no zeros and poles on $\mathbb{H}_2^{\text{diag}}$ if and only if there exist modular units f_1, f_2 of level N such that*

$$f \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = f_1(z_1)f_2(z_2).$$

PROOF. See [7, Theorem 4.2]. □

Thus, we see from Proposition 2.3 that it is natural to investigate the class field of K generated by the singular values $f(\theta_i)$ of modular units f .

3 Siegel-Ramachandra invariants

In this section we shall introduce the well-known modular units, Siegel functions, and review some necessary facts about the Siegel-Ramachandra invariants as singular values of Siegel functions.

For a rational vector $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ with an integer $N \geq 2$, we define the *Siegel function* $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)$ on $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_1$ by the following infinite product

$$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau) = -q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(r_1)} e^{\pi i r_2(r_1-1)} (1 - q^{r_1} e^{2\pi i r_2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n+r_1} e^{2\pi i r_2}) (1 - q^{n-r_1} e^{-2\pi i r_2}),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Then a Siegel function is a modular unit, namely, it is a modular function which has no zeros and poles on \mathbb{H}_1 ([25] or [14, p.36]). Furthermore, the function $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_N^1 .

Let F be a number field and \mathfrak{m} be a modulus of F . A modulus \mathfrak{m} may be written as $\mathfrak{m}_0 \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$, where \mathfrak{m}_0 is an integral ideal of F and \mathfrak{m}_{∞} is a product of distinct real infinite primes of F . Let $I_F(\mathfrak{m})$ be the group of all fractional ideals of F which are relatively prime to \mathfrak{m} and $P_{F,1}(\mathfrak{m})$ be the subgroup of $I_F(\mathfrak{m})$ generated by the principal ideals $\alpha \mathcal{O}_F$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$ satisfies

- (i) $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_0}$
- (ii) $\tau(\alpha) > 0$ for every real infinite prime τ dividing \mathfrak{m}_{∞} .

Further, we let $\text{Cl}_F(\mathfrak{m}) = I_F(\mathfrak{m})/P_{F,1}(\mathfrak{m})$ be the ray class group of F modulo \mathfrak{m} . Then there exists a unique abelian extension $F_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of F whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\text{Cl}_F(\mathfrak{m})$ via the Artin map ([6, Theorem 8.6]). Here, the field $F_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is called the *ray class field* of F modulo \mathfrak{m} . And, it is well-known ([6, Theorem 8.2]) that any finite abelian extension of F is contained in some ray class field $F_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

From now on, let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with square-free positive integer d and let

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (-1 + \sqrt{-d})/2 & \text{if } -d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{-d} & \text{if } -d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

so that $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. Let \mathfrak{f} be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of F and N be the smallest positive integer in \mathfrak{f} . For $C \in \text{Cl}_F(\mathfrak{f})$, we take any integral ideal \mathfrak{c} in C and choose a basis $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ of $\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}$ such that $\omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}_1$. Then we can write

$$N = r_1 \omega_1 + r_2 \omega_2$$

for some $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* of conductor \mathfrak{f} at C by

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C) = g_{\begin{bmatrix} r_1/N \\ r_2/N \end{bmatrix}}(\omega_1/\omega_2)^{12N}.$$

This value depends only on the class C and \mathfrak{f} , not on the choice of \mathfrak{c} .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_F$ with an integer $N \geq 2$ and C_0 be the unit class in $\text{Cl}_F(\mathfrak{f})$. Assume that $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Then the value*

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0) = g_{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{bmatrix}}(\theta)^{12N}.$$

is a real algebraic integer. Moreover, for any positive integer n , we get

$$F_{(N)} = F(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n).$$

PROOF. [10, Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.6] □

4 Class fields over imaginary biquadratic fields (I)

We shall consider an imaginary biquadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d_1}, \sqrt{-d_2})$ where d_1, d_2 are square-free positive integer such that $-d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $-d_2 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$. Then we have two imaginary quadratic subfields $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d_1})$, $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d_2})$ and one real quadratic subfield $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1 d_2})$ in K .

LEMMA 4.1. *The ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of K is $\mathbb{Z}[(-1 + \sqrt{-d_1})/2, \sqrt{-d_2}]$. Consequently,*

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[a + b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + d\sqrt{d_1 d_2} \right] \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b \pmod{2}, c \equiv d \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

PROOF. By [9, Chapter I, Theorem 9.5] we have $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}\mathcal{O}_{K_2} = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-d_1}}{2}, \sqrt{-d_2}\right]$. Hence we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_K &= \left\{ A + B\left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-d_1}}{2}\right) + C\sqrt{-d_2} + D\left(\frac{-\sqrt{-d_2} - \sqrt{d_1 d_2}}{2}\right) \mid A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(2A - B) + B\sqrt{-d_1} + (2C - D)\sqrt{-d_2} - D\sqrt{d_1 d_2} \right] \mid A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[a + b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + d\sqrt{d_1 d_2} \right] \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b \pmod{2}, c \equiv d \pmod{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let N be a positive integer and p be an odd prime not dividing N . For a non-negative integer μ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} S_{N,p,\mu} &= \{a \in K^\times \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^\mu \mathcal{O}_K}, a \text{ is prime to } p\mathcal{O}_K\}, \\ S_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} &= \{a \in K_i^\times \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^\mu \mathcal{O}_{K_i}}, a \text{ is prime to } p\mathcal{O}_{K_i}\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we let

$$\begin{aligned} H_{N,p,\mu} &= S_{N,p,\mu+1}(S_{N,p,\mu} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times), \\ H_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} &= S_{N,p,\mu+1}^{(i)}(S_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we achieve the isomorphisms

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(K_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/K_{(Np^\mu)}) &\cong S_{N,p,\mu} \mathcal{O}_K^\times / S_{N,p,\mu+1} \mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong S_{N,p,\mu} / H_{N,p,\mu} \\ \text{Gal}((K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)}) &\cong S_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times / S_{N,p,\mu+1}^{(i)} \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times \cong S_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} / H_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

by class field theory ([9, Chapter V §6]). Since $N_{K/K_i}(H_{N,p,\mu}) \subset H_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we can define a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2} : S_{N,p,\mu} / H_{N,p,\mu} \rightarrow S_{N,p,\mu}^{(1)} / H_{N,p,\mu}^{(1)} \times S_{N,p,\mu}^{(2)} / H_{N,p,\mu}^{(2)},$$

by

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}(aH_{N,p,\mu}) = \left(N_{K/K_1}(a)H_{N,p,\mu}^{(1)}, N_{K/K_2}(a)H_{N,p,\mu}^{(2)} \right).$$

Let $\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$ be the class field of K corresponding to $\ker(\widetilde{\varphi}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2})$. Note that

$$\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2} = K_{(Np^\mu)}(K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} \cap \widetilde{K}_{\text{ab}}),$$

where $\widetilde{K}_{\text{ab}}$ is the class field of K described in Remark 2.2.

LEMMA 4.2. *With the notations as above, for a non-negative integer μ we obtain*

$$\widetilde{K}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2} = K_{(Np^\mu)}(K_1)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}(K_2)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}.$$

PROOF. For each index $i = 1, 2$, let γ_i be a primitive generator of $(K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$ over $(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)}$. Since $(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)} \subset K_{(Np^\mu)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we attain

$$K_{(Np^\mu)}(K_1)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}(K_2)_{(Np^{\mu+1})} = K_{(Np^\mu)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2).$$

If $aH_{N,p,\mu} \in \ker(\widetilde{\varphi}_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2})$, then $N_{K/K_i}(a) \in H_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\gamma_i \in (K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$, we have

$$\gamma_i^{\left(\frac{K_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/K}{(a)}\right)} = \gamma_i^{\left(\frac{(K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/K_i}{N_{K/K_i}((a))}\right)} = \gamma_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

([9, Chapter III §3]). Hence $\widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}} \supset K_{(Np^\mu)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.

Conversely, let $bH_{N,p,\mu} \in S_{N,p,\mu}/H_{N,p,\mu}$ such that

$$\gamma_i \left(\frac{K_{(Np^{\mu+1})/K}}{(b)} \right) = \gamma_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since γ_i is the primitive generator of $(K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/(K_i)_{(Np^\mu)}$, we obtain $N_{K/K_i}(b) \in H_{N,p,\mu}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by (4.1). Thus $bH_{N,p,\mu} \in \ker(\varphi_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2})$, and so $\widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}} \subset K_{(Np^\mu)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. This completes the proof. \square

For a non-negative integer μ and $i = 1, 2$, we let $\mathfrak{f}_{\mu,i} = Np^\mu \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$ and $C_{\mu,i}$ be the unit class in $\text{Cl}_{K_i}(\mathfrak{f}_{\mu,i})$.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Assume that $K_1, K_2 \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. For any positive integers n_1, n_2 , the value*

$$\prod_{i=1}^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i}$$

generates $\widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}}$ over $K_{(Np^\mu)}$.

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}} = K_{(Np^\mu)} \left(g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,1}}(C_{\mu+1,1})^{n_1}, g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,2}}(C_{\mu+1,2})^{n_2} \right).$$

Note that

$$|(g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i})^\tau| > |g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i}|$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}((K_i)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/K_i) \setminus \{\text{Id}\}$ ([10, Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.6]).

Hence

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i} \right)^\tau \neq \prod_{i=1}^2 g_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i}$$

for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}}/K_{(Np^\mu)}) \setminus \{\text{Id}\}$. This proves the corollary. \square

In this section we shall consider only the case $\mu = 0$. As for the other cases, see Section 5.

LEMMA 4.4. *With the notation as above, we get*

$$|S_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}| = (p-1) \prod_{j=1}^3 m_{p,j},$$

where $m_{p,i} = p - \left(\frac{-d_i}{p}\right)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $m_{p,3} = p - \left(\frac{d_1 d_2}{p}\right)$.

PROOF. Note that for any coset $aS_{N,p,1}$ in $S_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}$, there is an element $a' \in S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $aS_{N,p,1} = a'S_{N,p,1}$. Here we claim that the map

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi : S_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1} &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \\ \omega S_{N,p,1} &\longmapsto \omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{for } \omega \in S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, if $\omega, \omega' \in S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\omega S_{N,p,1} = \omega' S_{N,p,1}$, then $\omega' = \omega\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in S_{N,p,1}$. We can then write $\alpha = a/b$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that a, b are prime to $Np\mathcal{O}_K$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$. So $\omega'b = \omega a \equiv \omega b \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$, from which we have $\omega' \equiv \omega \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$. Thus ψ is a well-defined homomorphism. If $\omega S_{N,p,1} \in \ker(\psi)$ then $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$. Since $\gcd(N, p) = 1$, we obtain $\omega \equiv 1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$, and so ψ is injective. For given $\omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$, we can find $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\omega + pa \equiv 1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K}$. Therefore ψ is surjective, and hence the claim is proved. By [5, Proposition 4.2.12] we derive

$$|(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times| = \mathcal{N}(p\mathcal{O}_K) \cdot \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} | p\mathcal{O}_K \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})}\right).$$

By considering all possible prime ideal factorization of $p\mathcal{O}_K$, we get the conclusion ([17, p.74 and p.116]). \square

From now on, we assume that $N \neq 2$ and $K_1, K_2 \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Let ε_0 be the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field K_3 . Since $d_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the norm of ε_0 is 1. We let m_0 be the smallest positive integer such that $\varepsilon_0^{m_0} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K}$, and set

$$\varepsilon'_0 = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0^{m_0} & \text{if } N \neq 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_0^{m_0} \equiv +1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K} \\ -\varepsilon_0^{m_0} & \text{if } N \neq 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_0^{m_0} \equiv -1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K} \\ \varepsilon_0 & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

Further, we let n_0 be the smallest positive integer for which $(\varepsilon'_0)^{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$.

LEMMA 4.5. *With the assumptions as above, we deduce*

$$|H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}| = \begin{cases} n_0 & \text{if } N \neq 1 \\ n_0 \cdot Q(K) & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ is even} \\ 2n_0 \cdot Q(K) & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $Q(K) = [\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times]$.

PROOF. By the assumption, $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times = \mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$ so that $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times = \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times$. Observe that

$$|H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}| = |H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)| \cdot |S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)/S_{N,p,1}|.$$

First, we consider the group $H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)$. Suppose $N \neq 1$. Then we claim that $S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times \subset \mathbb{R}$, namely, $H_{N,p,0} = S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)$. Indeed, let $\varepsilon \in S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. By Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[a + b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + d\sqrt{d_1d_2} \right]$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$, $c \equiv d \pmod{2}$. Since $\varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K}$, we have $a \equiv 2 \pmod{N}$ and so $a \neq 0$. Here we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[a^2 - b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 + d^2d_1d_2 + 2\{(ab + cdd_2)\sqrt{-d_1} + (ac + bdd_1)\sqrt{-d_2} + (ad - bc)\sqrt{d_1d_2}\} \right] \\ &\in \mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times \subset \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

because $Q(K) = 1$ or 2 . And, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} ab + cdd_2 &= 0 \\ ac + bdd_1 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $d(b^2d_1 - c^2d_2) = 0$. If $d = 0$, then $ab = ac = 0$ which enables us to get $b = c = 0$. Thus $\varepsilon = a/2 \in \mathbb{R}$ in this case. Now, suppose that $d \neq 0$ and $b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 = 0$. Then it follows from (4.3) that $-(a^2b)/(dd_2) = ac = -bdd_1$, and we have $b(a^2 - d^2d_1d_2) = 0$. If $b = 0$ then $c = 0$, and hence $\varepsilon = (a + d\sqrt{d_1d_2})/2 \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a^2 - d^2d_1d_2 = 0$, then we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/K_1}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{4} [a^2 - b^2d_1 + c^2d_2 - d^2d_1d_2 + 2(ab - cdd_2)\sqrt{-d_1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(ab - cdd_2)\sqrt{-d_1} \\ &\neq \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the assumption $\mathcal{O}_{K_1} = \{\pm 1\}$, and the claim is justified.

If $N = 1$, then $S_{1,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and $S_{1,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times = \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times$. Hence one can show $S_{1,p,1} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times \subset \mathbb{R}$ in a similar fashion as in the above claim. And, we establish

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,p,0}/S_{1,p,1}(S_{1,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times) &= S_{1,p,1}\mathcal{O}_K^\times/S_{1,p,1}\mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_K^\times/\mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times(S_{1,p,1} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_K^\times/\mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we attain

$$(4.4) \quad \left| H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times) \right| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } N \neq 1 \\ Q(K) & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

Next, we consider the group $S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)/S_{N,p,1}$. If $N \neq 1$, then $S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times = \{(\varepsilon'_0)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and hence we have

$$\left| S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)/S_{N,p,1} \right| = \left| \langle \varepsilon'_0 S_{N,p,1} \rangle \right| = n_0.$$

Now, suppose $N = 1$. If n_0 is even, then $(\varepsilon'_0)^{n_0/2}$ is the root of the equation $X^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_{K_3}}$. Write $(\varepsilon'_0)^{n_0/2} = \alpha + \beta\sqrt{d_1d_2}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2 + d_1d_2\beta^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ 2\alpha\beta &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.\end{aligned}$$

If p divides α , then $d_1d_2\beta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Since $N_{K_3/\mathbb{Q}}((\varepsilon'_0)^{n_0/2}) = \alpha^2 - d_1d_2\beta^2 = 1$, it is a contradiction. Thus p divides β , and we achieve $(\varepsilon'_0)^{n_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$ by the minimality of n_0 . If n_0 is odd, then $(\varepsilon'_0)^n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Indeed, if $(\varepsilon'_0)^n \equiv -1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $(\varepsilon'_0)^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$. By definition of n_0 we see that n_0 divides $2n$. Since n_0 is odd, n_0 divides n . But $(\varepsilon'_0)^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_K}$, so it gives a contradiction. Since $\mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times = \{\pm(\varepsilon'_0)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we derive that

$$S_{1,p,1}(S_{1,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)/S_{1,p,1} = S_{1,p,1}\mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times/S_{1,p,1} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/n_0\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n_0 \text{ is even} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_0\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n_0 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

And, we deduce

$$(4.5) \quad |S_{N,p,1}(S_{N,p,0} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times)/S_{N,p,1}| = \begin{cases} n_0 & \text{if } N \neq 1 \text{ or } n_0 \text{ is even} \\ 2n_0 & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the lemma follows from (4.4) and (4.5). \square

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic field with square-free integer d and p be an odd prime such that $p \nmid d$. Then we attain*

$$\{\omega + p\mathcal{O}_F \in (\mathcal{O}_F/p\mathcal{O}_F)^\times \mid N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},$$

with $m = p - \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)$.

PROOF. First, consider an affine curve

$$\mathcal{C} : x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

defined over a finite field \mathbb{F}_p . Then \mathcal{C} is smooth since $p \nmid d$. We define a group law on \mathcal{C} by

$$(r, s) \oplus (t, u) = (rt + dsu, ru + st)$$

for points $(r, s), (t, u)$ on \mathcal{C} . Then the group $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ([16]).

On the other hand, since $\gcd(2, p) = 1$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_F/p\mathcal{O}_F = \{x + y\sqrt{d} + p\mathcal{O}_F \mid x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p\}.$$

And, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega + p\mathcal{O}_F \in (\mathcal{O}_F/p\mathcal{O}_F)^\times \mid N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ x + y\sqrt{d} + p\mathcal{O}_F &\longmapsto (x, y) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism, which completes the proof. \square

Now, we further assume that $p \nmid d_1 d_2$. Then we derive

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 \mathfrak{p}_3 \mathfrak{p}_4 & \text{if } \left(\frac{-d_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-d_2}{p}\right) = 1 \\ \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the \mathfrak{p}_i are distinct prime ideals of K ([17, p.116]). By making use of (4.1), Lemma 4.4 and 4.5, one can find the extension degree of $K_{(Np)}$ over $K_{(N)}$. Here we note that

$$\varepsilon'_0 + p\mathcal{O}_{K_3} \in \{\omega + p\mathcal{O}_{K_3} \in (\mathcal{O}_{K_3}/p\mathcal{O}_{K_3})^\times \mid N_{K_3/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$$

and so n_0 divides $m_{p,3}$ by Lemma 4.6.

For $i = 1, 2$, let

$$W_{N,p,0}^i = \begin{cases} \{\omega \in S_{N,p,0} \mid N_{K/K_i}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_{K_i}}\} & \text{if } N \neq 1 \\ \{\omega \in S_{1,p,0} \mid N_{K/K_i}(\omega) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_{K_i}}\} & \text{if } N = 1, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$W_{N,p,0}^{1,2} = W_{N,p,0}^1 \cap W_{N,p,0}^2,$$

so that $\ker(\widetilde{\varphi_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}) = W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}/H_{N,p,0}$. Here, we mean by $\widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}$ the image of $W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}/S_{N,p,1}$ in $(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$ via the isomorphism (4.2).

THEOREM 4.7. *Let $N \neq 2$ be a positive integer and p be an odd prime not dividing $Nd_1 d_2$. Assume that $K_1, K_2 \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Then we deduce*

$$[K_{(Np)} : \widetilde{K_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}] = \begin{cases} \frac{m_{p,3}}{n_0} & \text{if } N \neq 1 \\ \frac{4m_{p,3}}{n_0 \cdot Q(K)} & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ is even} \\ \frac{2m_{p,3}}{n_0 \cdot Q(K)} & \text{if } N = 1 \text{ and } n_0 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we get

$$K_{(Np)} = \widetilde{K_{N,p,0}^{1,2}} \quad \text{if and only if } N \neq 1 \text{ and } n_0 = m_{p,3}.$$

PROOF. Let

$$\widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}' = \{\omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid N_{K/K_i}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_{K_i}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2\},$$

and let d_i^{-1} be the inverse of d_i in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ for $i = 1, 2$. For $\omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \in \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ we can write $\omega = a + b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + d\sqrt{d_1 d_2}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ due to the fact $\gcd(2, p) = 1$.

First, we consider the group $\widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}$. If $\omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \in \widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}$, then we attain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a^2 - b^2d_1 + c^2d_2 - d^2d_1d_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ ab - cdd_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} a^2 + b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 - d^2d_1d_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ ac - bdd_1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c^2dd_2 \equiv abc \equiv b^2dd_1 \pmod{p}$, we obtain $d(b^2d_1 - c^2d_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then p must divide b, c and hence we get $a^2 - d^2d_1d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Indeed, if $\left(\frac{d_1d_2}{p}\right) = -1$, it is clear. On the contrary, suppose that $\left(\frac{d_1d_2}{p}\right) = 1$ and $b, c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $d_1^{-1}d_2 \equiv D^2 \pmod{p}$ for some $D \in \mathbb{Z}$, which yields $b \equiv \pm cD \pmod{p}$ and $a \equiv \pm dDd_1 \pmod{p}$. Thus we deduce that

$$a^2 - b^2d_1 + c^2d_2 - d^2d_1d_2 \equiv d^2d_1d_2 - c^2d_2 + c^2d_2 - d^2d_1d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

which contradicts (4.6). If $b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $ab \equiv ac \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and so $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. But it follows from (4.6), (4.7) that $b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 \equiv -1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}' &= \{a + d\sqrt{d_1d_2} + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid a^2 - d^2d_1d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\} \\ &\cong \{\omega + p\mathcal{O}_{K_3} \in (\mathcal{O}_{K_3}/p\mathcal{O}_{K_3})^\times \mid N_{K_3/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}/m_{p,3}\mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6}). \end{aligned}$$

If $N \neq 1$, then $\widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}} = \widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}'$ and by Lemma 4.5 we attain

$$[K_{(Np)} : K_{N,p,0}^{1,2}] = |W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}/H_{N,p,0}| = \frac{|\widetilde{W_{N,p,0}^{1,2}}|}{|H_{N,p,0}/S_{N,p,1}|} = \frac{m_{p,3}}{n_0}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \{b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid b^2d_1 - c^2d_2 \equiv (-1)^i \pmod{p}\} \\ &= \{b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid b^2 - c^2d_1^{-1}d_2 \equiv (-1)^i d_1^{-1} \pmod{p}\} \\ &\neq \phi \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6}). \end{aligned}$$

If $N = 1$, we choose $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ so that $a_i + p\mathcal{O}_K \in A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/K_1}(a_1) &\equiv -1 \pmod{p}, & N_{K/K_2}(a_1) &\equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \\ N_{K/K_1}(a_2) &\equiv 1 \pmod{p}, & N_{K/K_2}(a_2) &\equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we achieve

$$\widetilde{W}_{1,p,0}^{1,2} = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i, j < 2} a_1^i a_2^j \cdot \widetilde{W}_{1,p,0}^{1,2}.$$

Thus $|\widetilde{W}_{1,p,0}^{1,2}| = 4m_{p,3}$, and so by Lemma 4.5 we derive

$$[K_{(p)} : \widetilde{K}_{1,p,0}^{1,2}] = |W_{1,p,0}^{1,2}/H_{1,p,0}| = \frac{|\widetilde{W}_{1,p,0}^{1,2}|}{|H_{1,p,0}/S_{1,p,1}|} = \begin{cases} \frac{4m_{p,3}}{n_0 \cdot Q(K)} & \text{if } n_0 \text{ is even} \\ \frac{2m_{p,3}}{n_0 \cdot Q(K)} & \text{if } n_0 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This proves the theorem. \square

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}, \sqrt{-26})$. Then $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$, $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-26})$, $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{390})$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 79 + 4\sqrt{390}$. We set $N = 5$ and $p = 37$ so that $m_0 = 5$, $\varepsilon'_0 = -\varepsilon_0^{m_0}$ and $n_0 = 38 = m_{p,3}$. Thus by Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.7, for any positive integers n_1, n_2 ,

$$(4.8) \quad K_{(185)} = \widetilde{K}_{5,37,0}^{1,2} = K_{(5)} \left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/185 \end{smallmatrix} \right]}(\theta_1)^{2220n_1} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/185 \end{smallmatrix} \right]}(\theta_2)^{2220n_2} \right)$$

where $\theta_1 = (-1 + \sqrt{-15})/2$ and $\theta_2 = \sqrt{-26}$.

5 Class fields over imaginary biquadratic fields (II)

Following the previous section we shall consider the more general case $\mu > 0$.

Let N be a positive integer and p be an odd prime not dividing N . We use the same notations as in Section 4.

LEMMA 5.1. *For a positive integer μ , we have*

$$|S_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}| = p^4.$$

PROOF. Now that $S_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ by a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} S_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \\ (1 + Np^\mu\omega)S_{N,p,\mu+1} &\longmapsto \omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathcal{O}_K, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $S_\mu/S_{\mu+1} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4$. \square

Let ε_0 be the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field K_3 , ℓ_0 be the smallest positive integer such that $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ and μ_0 be the maximal positive integer satisfying $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^{\mu_0}\mathcal{O}_K}$. Write

$$\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} = 1 + Np^{\mu_0}(\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2})$$

with $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the maximality of μ_0 we have $\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2} \notin p\mathcal{O}_{K_3}$. Since

$$1 = N_{K_3/\mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0}) \equiv 1 + 2Np^{\mu_0}\alpha_0 \pmod{Np^{\mu_0+1}},$$

we get $p \mid \alpha_0$ and $p \nmid \beta_0$.

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume that $K_1, K_2 \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Then for a positive integer μ we get*

$$|H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 \\ p & \text{if } \mu \geq \mu_0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. In a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 4.5, one can verify that if ℓ_0 is odd, then $\varepsilon_0^n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. And, if ℓ_0 is even, then we have either $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ or $\varepsilon_0^n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Indeed, suppose $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$. If $\varepsilon_0^n \equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $\ell_0 \mid 2n$ and so $(\ell_0/2) \mid n$. It contradicts the fact $(\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2})^m \not\equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Next, we claim that if ℓ_0 is even and $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{Np\mathcal{O}_K}$, then μ_0 is the maximal positive integer such that $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{Np^{\mu_0}\mathcal{O}_K}$. For, write $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} = -1 + Np\omega$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_{K_3}$. Then

$$\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} = 1 + Np\omega(-2 + Np\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^{\mu_0}\mathcal{O}_{K_3}}.$$

Since $-2 + Np\omega \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} dividing $p\mathcal{O}_{K_3}$, ω belongs to $p^{\mu_0-1}\mathcal{O}_{K_3}$ and $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{Np^{\mu_0}\mathcal{O}_K}$. If $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{Np^\mu\mathcal{O}_K}$ for some $\mu > \mu_0$, then $\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^\mu\mathcal{O}_K}$, which contradicts the maximality of μ_0 .

On the other hand, one can show by utilizing the idea in the proof of Lemma 4.5 that $S_{N,p,\mu} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\times \subset \mathcal{O}_{K_3}^\times = \{\pm\varepsilon_0^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Here we observe that we don't need the assumptions $N \neq 2$. Since $H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} \subseteq S_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4$, we attain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

It then follows from the above claim that $H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} = 0$ if $\mu < \mu_0$. Now, assume $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Observe that $H_{N,p,\mu_0}/S_{N,p,\mu_0+1} = \langle \varepsilon_0^{\ell_0} S_{N,p,\mu_0+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ because $\gcd(2, p) = 1$. For a positive integer m ,

$$(\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0})^m = 1 + mNp^{\mu_0}(\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2}) + \sum_{i=2}^m \binom{m}{i} \{Np^{\mu_0}(\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2})\}^i.$$

Since $\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2} \notin p\mathcal{O}_{K_3}$ and

$$\sum_{i=2}^p \binom{p}{i} \{Np^{\mu_0}(\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{d_1d_2})\}^i \in Np^{\mu_0+2}\mathcal{O}_{K_3},$$

$m = p$ is the smallest positive integer satisfying $(\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0})^m \in H_{N,p,\mu_0+1} \setminus S_{N,p,\mu_0+2}$. In a similar fashion, one can prove by using the induction that $m = p^{\mu-\mu_0}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $(\varepsilon_0^{\ell_0})^m \in H_{N,p,\mu} \setminus S_{N,p,\mu+1}$. Therefore, we conclude

$$H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} = \langle \varepsilon_0^{\ell_0 p^{\mu-\mu_0}} S_{N,p,\mu+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

□

COROLLARY 5.3. *With the assumption as in Lemma 5.2, for a positive integer μ*

$$[K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} : K_{(Np^\mu)}] = \begin{cases} p^4 & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 \\ p^3 & \text{if } \mu \geq \mu_0, \end{cases}$$

PROOF. It is immediate from (4.1), Lemma 5.1 and 5.2. □

For a positive integer μ and $i = 1, 2$, let

$$W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2} = \{\omega \in S_{N,p,\mu} \mid N_{K/K_i}(\omega) \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^{\mu+1}\mathcal{O}_{K_i}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$$

so as to get $\ker(\widetilde{\varphi_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}}) = W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}/H_{N,p,\mu}$.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let N be a positive integer and p be an odd prime not dividing N . Assume that $K_1, K_2 \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Then for a positive integer μ , we derive*

$$[K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} : \widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}}] = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \mu \geq \mu_0, \end{cases}$$

PROOF. For any coset $\alpha S_{N,p,\mu+1}$ in $S_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}$ we can choose $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K \cap S_{N,p,\mu}$ such that $\alpha S_{N,p,\mu+1} = \omega S_{N,p,\mu+1}$. And, we write $\omega = 1 + (Np^\mu/2)(a + b\sqrt{-d_1} + c\sqrt{-d_2} + d\sqrt{d_1 d_2})$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$, $c \equiv d \pmod{2}$.

First, we consider the group $W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K \cap W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/K_1}(\omega) &\equiv 1 + Np^\mu(a + b\sqrt{-d_1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^{\mu+1}\mathcal{O}_{K_1}}, \\ N_{K/K_2}(\omega) &\equiv 1 + Np^\mu(a + c\sqrt{-d_2}) \equiv 1 \pmod{Np^{\mu+1}\mathcal{O}_{K_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a + b\sqrt{-d_1} = a + b + 2b\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{-d_1}}{2}\right) \in p\mathcal{O}_{K_1}$ and $a + c\sqrt{-d_2} \in p\mathcal{O}_{K_2}$, p divides a, b, c . Thus we claim that

$$W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}/S_{N,p,\mu+1} = \langle (1 + Np^\mu\sqrt{d_1 d_2}) S_{N,p,\mu+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z},$$

and by Lemma 5.2 we get

$$[K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} : \widetilde{K_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}}] = \frac{|W_{N,p,\mu}^{1,2}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}|}{|H_{N,p,\mu}/S_{N,p,\mu+1}|} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \mu \geq \mu_0. \end{cases}$$

□

On the other hand, let $f_{\mu,i}$ and $C_{\mu,i}$ be as in Section 4.

COROLLARY 5.5. *With the notations and assumptions as above, if $\mu \geq \mu_0$, then for any positive integers n_1, n_2 , the value*

$$\prod_{i=1}^2 g_{f_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i}$$

generates $K_{(Np^{\mu+1})}$ over $K_{(Np^{\mu_0})}$.

PROOF. By Proposition 3.1, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 5.4 we obtain

$$K_{(Np^{\mu+1})} = K_{(Np^{\mu_0})}(K_1)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}(K_2)_{(Np^{\mu+1})} = K_{(Np^{\mu_0})}(K_1)_{(Np^{\mu+1})}(K_2)_{(Np^{\mu+1})} = K_{(Np^{\mu_0})}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2),$$

where $\gamma_i = g_{f_{\mu+1,i}}(C_{\mu+1,i})^{n_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since the only element of $\text{Gal}(K_{(Np^{\mu+1})}/K_{(Np^{\mu_0})})$ fixing the value $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ is the identity, we get the conclusion ([10, Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.6]).

□

EXAMPLE 5.6. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}, \sqrt{-26})$, $N = 5$ and $p = 37$ as in Example 4.8 (ii). Then $\varepsilon_0 = 79 + 4\sqrt{390}$, $\ell_0 = 190$ and $\mu_0 = 1$. Hence by Corollary 5.5 and (4.8) we get that for any positive integers n_1, n_2 and μ ,

$$K_{(5 \cdot 37^\mu)} = K_{(5)} \left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/(5 \cdot 37^\mu) \end{smallmatrix} \right]}(\theta_1)^{60 \cdot 37^\mu n_1} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/(5 \cdot 37^\mu) \end{smallmatrix} \right]}(\theta_2)^{60 \cdot 37^\mu n_2} \right)$$

where $\theta_1 = (-1 + \sqrt{-15})/2$ and $\theta_2 = \sqrt{-26}$.

References

- [1] A. Azizi, *Construction de la tour des 2-corps de classes de Hilbert de certains corps biquadratiques*, Pacific J. Math. 208 (2003), 1–10.
- [2] S. Bae and Q. Yue, *Hilbert genus fields of real biquadratic fields*, Ramanujan J. 24 (2011), 161–181.
- [3] E. Benjamin, *On the 2-class field tower of some imaginary biquadratic number fields*, Ramanujan J. 11 (2006), 103–110.
- [4] D. A. Buell, H. C. Williams and K. S. Williams, *On the imaginary bicyclic biquadratic fields with class-number 2*, Math. Comp. 31 (1977), 1034–1042.
- [5] H. Cohen, *Advanced Topics in Computational Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 193. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

- [6] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field, and Complex Multiplication*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [7] I. S. Eum, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *Some applications of modular units*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 59 (2016), 91–106.
- [8] H. Hasse, *Neue begründung der komplexen multiplikation*, Teil I, J. für Math. 157 (1927), 115–139, Teil II, J. für Math. 165 (1931), 64–88.
- [9] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.
- [10] H. Y. Jung, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *Ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields*, Tohoku Math. J., 63 (2011), 413–426.
- [11] H. Klingen, *Introductory Lectures on Siegel Modular Forms*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [12] K. Komatsu, *Construction of a normal basis by special values of Siegel modular functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 315–323.
- [13] J. K. Koo and D. S. Yoon, *Construction of class fields over cyclotomic fields*, to appear in Kyoto J. Math., <http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.4662>.
- [14] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.
- [15] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [16] F. Lemmermeyer, *Conics - a poor man's elliptic curves*, arXiv:math/0311306, 2003.
- [17] D. A. Marcus, *Number fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [18] B. Mazur, *How can we construct abelian Galois extensions of basic number fields ?*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (2011), 155–209.
- [19] K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. (2) 80 (1964), 104–148.
- [20] K. A. Ribet, *A modular construction of unramified p -extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$* , Invent. Math. 34 (1976), 151–162.
- [21] G. Shimura, *On the class-fields obtained by complex multiplication of abelian varieties*, Osaka Math. J. 14 (1962), 33–44.

- [22] G. Shimura, *Theta functions with complex multiplication*, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 673–696.
- [23] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [24] G. Shimura, *Abelian Varieties with Complex Multiplication and Modular Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1998.
- [25] C. L. Siegel, *Lectures on advanced analytic number theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, 23, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1965.
- [26] P. J. Sime, *Hilbert class fields of real biquadratic fields*, J. Number Theory 50 (1995), 154–166.
- [27] T. Takagi, *Über eine Theorie des relativ-Abelschen Zahlkörpers*, J. Coll. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 41 (1920), 1–133.
- [28] H. Yokoi, *Imaginary bicyclic biquadratic fields with the real quadratic subfield of class-number one*, Nagoya Math. J. 102 (1986), 91–100.

JA KYUNG KOO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KAIST

DAEJEON 34141

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr

DONG SUNG YOON

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KAIST

DAEJEON 34141

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: math_dsyoon@kaist.ac.kr