

Nonnegative solutions to stochastic heat equation with nonlinear drift

Makoto Nakashima *

Abstract

In this paper, we consider one-dimensional stochastic heat equation with nonlinear drift, $\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + b(u)u + \sigma(u)\dot{W}(t, x)$, where $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with suitable property. We will construct nonnegative solutions to such SPDEs.

We denote by (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) a probability space. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$. Let $C(S)$ be the set of continuous functions on S .

1 Introduction and Main Result

We consider the nonnegative solutions $u(t, x)$ with $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, to the stochastic heat equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + a(u) + \sigma(u)\dot{W} \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x),\end{aligned}\tag{SPDE}_{a\sigma}$$

where $\dot{W} = \dot{W}(t, x)$ is 2-parameter white noise. This type of stochastic heat equations appears in several models related to physics or population genetics.

Example 1 If $a(x, u) = a(x)u$, $a(x)$ is a bounded continuous function in x and $\sigma(u) = \sqrt{u}$, then a solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ corresponds to the density $u(t, x)dx = X_t(dx)$, where X_t is the one-dimensional super-Brownian motion[5, 10].

Example 2 If $a(u) = p(1 - u) + qu + ru(1 - u)$ for $p, q \geq 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma(u) = \sqrt{u(1 - u)}$ and $u_0 \in [0, 1]$, then the solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ corresponds to the density for the scaling limit of the stepping-stone model[11].

Example 3 If $a(u) = \theta u - u^2$ for $\theta \geq 0$ and $\sigma(u) = \sqrt{u}$, then a solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ arises as the density of the limit of the long-range contact process and voter model[7].

Example 4 If $a(u) \equiv 0$ and $\sigma(u) = u$, then the solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ is the Cole-Hopf solution to KPZ equation arising at the statistical mechanics [1]. It is known that the solution is pathwise unique[13].

*nakamako@math.tsukuba.ac.jp, Division of Mathematics, Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Ten-noudai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki-ken, Japan

Example 5 If $a(u) \equiv 0$ and $\sigma(u) = \sqrt{u + u^2}$, then a solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ appears as a density of a weak limit process of some branching random walks in random environment[9]. Its “dual process” is also a solution to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$ for $a(u) = -\frac{1}{2}u^2$ and $\sigma(u) = u$.

Remark: The uniqueness in law has been already known for the above examples under some initial condition.

Also, the existence of the solutions to such SPDE has been studied well. Iwata showed the existence and the uniqueness in law of the case where $a(u)$ and $\sigma(u)$ are global Lipschitz continuous, or $\sigma(u)$ is bounded and $a(u)$ grows at most polynomially with some condition[4]. Mueller and Perkins showed the existence for the case where $a(u) = 0$ and $\sigma(u)$ is a general continuous function with some growth condition, and showed compact support property of the solutions[8].

In this paper, we will prove the existence of nonnegative solutions with local Lipschitz continuity on $a(u)$ with some condition and without boundedness of $\sigma(u)$.

To state our main theorem, we introduce some notations.

In this paper, we suppose that $a(u) = b(u)u$ for some continuous function $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let $C_b^n(\mathbb{R})$, $C_c^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_0^n(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of n -th continuously differentiable functions with bounded, compact support and vanishing at infinity, respectively. Also, the subscript ‘+’ means the subset of the nonnegative elements.

Definition 1.1. (Rapidly decreasing functions) For $C(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$|f|_p = \sup_x \left| e^{p|x|} f(x) \right|, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We introduce subspace $C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})$ of $C(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$C_{rap}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : |f|_\lambda < \infty \text{ for every } \lambda > 0\}.$$

Let $\theta > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and $L_b, l_b, L_\sigma \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $b \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\sigma \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)_+$ satisfies

$$-l_b(u^\theta + 1) \leq b(u) \leq L_b \tag{1.1}$$

$$0 \leq \sigma(u) \leq L_\sigma(u^r + u). \tag{1.2}$$

Then, for any $u_0 \in C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$, there are solutions to the following martingale problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For all } \phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}) \\ Z_t(\phi) = \int \phi(x)u(t, x)dx - \int \phi(x)u_0(x)dx \\ \quad - \int_0^t \int (\frac{1}{2}\Delta + b(u(s, x)))\phi(x)u(s, x)dxds \\ \text{is an } \mathcal{F}_t^u\text{-martingale with} \\ \langle Z(\phi) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \int \sigma(u(s, x))^2 \phi(x)^2 dxds. \end{array} \right. \tag{1.3}$$

Especially, $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$ is the continuous map from $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow C_{rap}^+(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark: Solutions to the martingale problem of (1.3) are solutions to $(\text{SPDE}_{a\sigma})$

Remark: If $b(u) \vee 0$ is unbounded and solutions exist, then solutions may blow up in finite time. Actually, $b(u) = u^\alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$ with boundary condition $u(t, 0) = u(t, R) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$, $R > 0$, then the solution blow up[2, 3, 6].

2 Preliminary

Let B_t be the one dimensional Brownian motion and P_x be the law of B starting at x . Also, we denote by $P_{s,x}$ the law of $Y_t = (t, B_t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let \mathcal{E}_b be the set of the bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

For $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_b$, we define the semigroup P_t associated to (t, B_t) by

$$P_t \phi(s, x) = P_{s,x}(\phi(t, B_t)).$$

Also, we denote by A the generator of Y , that is for $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$

$$A\phi(s, x) = \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{P_{s,x}(\phi(Y_{s+h})) - \phi(s, x)}{h}, \quad \text{if the limit exists,}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b : \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{P_{s,x}(\phi(Y_{s+h})) - \phi(s, x)}{h} \text{ exists.} \right\}$$

In particular, $\phi(t, s) = \phi_1(t)\phi_2(x)$ for $\phi_1 \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\phi_2 \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$A\phi(t, x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \right) \phi$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of finite measures on \mathbb{R} with the topology of weak convergence.

Let $b \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma \in C_b(\mathbb{R})_+$. Then, for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R})$, there exists Dawson-Watanabe superprocess characterized by the unique solution to the following martingale problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{For any } \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A), \\ Z_t(\phi) = X_t(\phi) - m(\phi) - \int_0^t (A + b)\phi(s, x)X_s(dx)ds \\ \text{is an } \mathcal{F}_t^X\text{-martingale and} \\ \langle Z(\phi) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \gamma(x)\phi(s, x)^2 X_s(dx)ds \end{cases}$$

We denote it by X and its law by $\mathbb{P}_{m,b,\gamma}$. Especially, we call it (B, b, γ) -super-Brownian motion. We remark that X takes continuous $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R})$ -valued paths. We define

$$\Omega_X = C([0, \infty), \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}))$$

with its Borel σ -field \mathcal{F}^X . Let

$$\mathcal{F}^X[s, t] = \sigma(X_r : s \leq r \leq t)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^X[s, t+] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^X\left[s, t + \frac{1}{n}\right].$$

Especially, we write $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \mathcal{F}^X[0, t]$.

For our convenience, we will identify the element u of $L_+^1(\mathbb{R})$ with the element of $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\int_B u(x)dx, \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

3 Proof

In this section, we will construct a solution of the martingale problem (1.3).

At the moment, we assume that

$$r \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$$

and we define $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\gamma(u) = \frac{\sigma^2(u)}{u} 1\{u > 0\}.$$

We remark that $\gamma(u)$ is continuous in $u \in [0, \infty)$ and $0 \leq \gamma(u) \leq C(\gamma)(1+u)$.

For $X \in \Omega_X$, we define

$$u(t, x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n X_t(I_n(x)), & \text{if the limit exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $I_n(x) = [j2^{-n}, (j+1)2^{-n}) \ni x$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [5, Theorem 1.4] and [12, Theorem 2.5], if we assume $b \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $\gamma \in C_b(\mathbb{R})_+$ and m has rapidly decreasing continuous density u_0 , then $u(t, x)$ exists $\mathbb{P}_{m, b, \gamma}$ -a.s. and also $u(t, \cdot) \in C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$. Now, we construct new probability measures \mathbb{P}^n on $(\Omega_X, \mathcal{F}^X, \mathcal{F}_t^X)$ by induction as follows:

Let $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The restriction of \mathbb{P}^n to $\mathcal{F}^X[0, \delta_n]$ is given by $\mathbb{P}_{u_0, b(u_0), \gamma(u_0)}$, where we remark that $b(u_0) \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma(u_0) \in C_b(\mathbb{R})_+$ since $u_0 \in C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$. That is, X_t is the super-Brownian motion $(B, b(u_0), \gamma(u_0))$ up to time $t = \delta_n$.

Given $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, \delta_n] \times \mathbb{R}\}$, we suppose that the restriction of \mathbb{P}^n to $\mathcal{F}^X[\delta_n, 2\delta_n]$ is given by $\mathbb{P}_{u_{\delta_n}, b(u_{\delta_n}), \gamma(u_{\delta_n})}$. That is given $\{u(\delta_n, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $X_{t+\delta_n}$ evolves as the super-Brownian motion $(B, b(u_{\delta_n}), \gamma(u_{\delta_n}))$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta_n$ with starting $u_{\delta_n}(x)dx$, where we write $u(t, x) = u_t(x)$.

Inductively, we can construct \mathbb{P}^n on $(\Omega_X, \mathcal{F}^X, \mathcal{F}_t^X)$. In particular, $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$ is a continuous $C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$ -valued process under \mathbb{P}^n .

Let $u^n(t, x) = u([\frac{t}{\delta_n}] \delta_n, x)$. Then, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t(\phi) &= X_t(\phi_t) - \int \phi_0(x) u_0(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int (A\phi(s, y) + b(u^n(s, y)) \phi(s, y)) X_s(dy) ds \end{aligned}$$

is a \mathcal{F}_{t+}^X -martingale under \mathbb{P}^n and

$$\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \int \gamma(u^n(s, y)) \phi^2(s, y) X_s(dy) ds, \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s.}$$

We define the Feynman-Kac semigroup associated the Brownian motion B and $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$P_t^g \phi(s, y) = E_{s, y} \left[\exp \left(\int_s^t g(B_r) dr \right) \phi(t, B_t) \right], \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b(A).$$

Then, we have that for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_b(A)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& AP_t^g \phi(s, y) \\
&= \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(E_{s,y} \left[E_{s+h, B_{s+h}} \left[\exp \left(\int_{s+h}^t g(B_r) dr \right) \phi(t, B_t) \right] \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - E_{s,y} \left[\exp \left(\int_s^t g(B_r) dr \right) \phi(t, B_t) \right] \right) \\
&= -g(y) P_t^g(\phi)(s, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. For all $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_b(A)$,

$$\mathbb{P}^n(|X_t(\phi)|) \leq \int P_t^{L_b} |\phi|(0, y) u_0(y) dy.$$

Proof. First, we let $\phi \geq 0$ for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $b(u^n) \leq L_b$ \mathbb{P}^n -a.s., we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t(\phi_t) &= \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(0, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int (b(u_0(y)) - L_b) P_t^{L_b} \phi(r, y) X_r(dy) dr \\
&\quad + Z_t(P_t^{L_b} \phi) \\
&\leq \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(0, y) u_0(y) dy + Z(P_t^{L_b} \phi),
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, \delta_n]$ \mathbb{P}^n -a.s. and the statement follows up to $t = \delta_n$ since $\mathbb{P}^n \left(Z \left(P_t^{L_b}(\phi) \right) \right) = 0$. By definition of \mathbb{P}^n , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t(\phi_t) &= \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(\delta_n, y) u^n(\delta_n, y) dy + Z_t(P_t^{L_b} \phi) - Z_{\delta_n}(P_t^{L_b} \phi) \\
&\quad + \int_{\delta_n}^t \int (b(u^n(\delta_n, y)) - L_b) P_t^{L_b} \phi(r, y) X_r(dy) dr \\
&\leq \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(\delta_n, y) u^n(\delta_n, y) dy + Z_t(P_t^{L_b} \phi) - Z_{\delta_n}(P_t^{L_b} \phi)
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 2\delta_n]$ and by the Markov property, $\mathbb{P}^n \left(Z_t(P_t^{L_b} \phi) - Z_{\delta_n}(P_t^{L_b} \phi) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\delta_n}^X \right) = 0$ \mathbb{P}^n -a.s.. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^n(X_t(\phi_t)) \leq \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(\delta_n, y) \mathbb{P}^n(u(\delta_n, y)) dy \leq \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(0, y) u_0(y) dy$. By inductively, the statement follows for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Also, since $|X_t(\phi_t)| \leq X_t(|\phi_t|)$, the statement holds for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_b(A)$. \square

For $\lambda > 0$, $t > 0$, $q > 0$, let

$$\nu_n(\lambda, q, t) = \sup_{s \leq t} \int e^{\lambda|x|} \mathbb{P}^n(u(s, x)^q) dx.$$

Lemma 3.2. (i) For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_b(A)$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_t) < \infty.$$

(ii) $\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, q, t) < \infty$ for any $q > 0$.

Proof. We will divide the proof into several steps as follows.

Step (1- k) For each $k\delta_n$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), $\mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_{k\delta_n}) < \infty$.

Step (2- k) We will extend Z to an orthogonal martingale measure $\{Z(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b\}$ (see [13]) up to time $t = k\delta_n$.

Step (3- k) $\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, q, k\delta_n) < \infty$.

We will prove the above statements by induction; step (1-1) \Rightarrow step (2-1) \Rightarrow step (3-1) \Rightarrow step (1-2) $\Rightarrow \dots$.

Step 1

It follows by the property of super-Brownian motion that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_{\delta_n}) &= \int (\gamma(u_0(y))\phi(s, y)^2) \mathbb{P}^n(u(s, y)) dy \\ &= C(\phi) \int_0^{\delta_n} \mathbb{P}^n(X_s(\gamma(u_0(\cdot)))) ds \\ &\leq C(\phi) \int_0^{\delta_n} \int P_s^{L_b} \gamma(u_0)(y) u_0(y) dy ds \\ &\leq C(\gamma, \phi) e^{L_b \delta_n} \int_0^{\delta_n} \int (1 + P_s u_0(y)) u_0(y) dy ds \\ &\leq C(\gamma, \phi) e^{L_b \delta_n} \left(\int_0^{\delta_n} \int (u_0(y) + u_0(y)^2) dy ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.1 in the third line. Also, if $\nu_n(\lambda, q, k\delta_n) < \infty$, then we have by the Markov property that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_{(k+1)\delta_n} - \langle Z(\phi) \rangle_{k\delta_n} | \mathcal{F}_{k\delta_n}^X) \\ &= \int_{k\delta_n}^{(k+1)\delta_n} \gamma(u(k\delta_n, y)) \phi(s, y)^2 \mathbb{P}^n(u(s, y) | u(k\delta_n, \cdot)) dy ds \\ &\leq C(\phi) \int_{k\delta_n}^{(k+1)\delta_n} \int \gamma(u^n(k\delta_n, y)) \mathbb{P}_{u_{k\delta_n}, b(u_{k\delta_n}), \gamma(u_{k\delta_n})}(u(s - k\delta_n, y)) dy ds \\ &\leq C(\gamma, \phi) e^{L_b \delta_n} \int_{k\delta_n}^{(k+1)\delta_n} \int (1 + P_s u^n(k\delta_n, y)) u^n(k\delta_n, y) dy ds \\ &\leq C(\gamma, \phi) e^{L_b \delta_n} \int_{k\delta_n}^{(k+1)\delta_n} \int (u^n(k\delta_n, y) + u^n(k\delta_n, y)^2) dy ds, \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the same argument of Proof of Lemma 3.1 in the forth line. By taking expectation with assumption, we can obtain that $\mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_{(k+1)\delta_n}) < \infty$.

Step 2

We assume that step (1- k). We will show that we can extend $Z_t(\phi)$ be an orthogonal martingale on \mathbb{R} up to $t = k\delta_n$. $\phi_m \in \mathcal{D}_b(A)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_b$ satisfies that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ pointwise boundedly, then

$$\mathbb{P}^n(\langle Z(\phi_m) - Z(\phi_\ell) \rangle_t) = \mathbb{P}^n\left(\int_0^t \int \gamma(u^n(s, y)) (\phi_m(s, y) - \phi_\ell(s, y))^2 u(s, y) dy ds\right)$$

$\rightarrow 0$, as $m, \ell \rightarrow \infty$

for $t \leq k\delta_n$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Thus, $Z_t(\phi_m)$ converges to a continuous square integrable martingale uniformly in t on compacts in L^2 and we can extend Z to an orthogonal martingale measure $\{Z(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b\}$ such that

$$\langle Z(\phi) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \int \gamma(u^n(s, y)) \phi(s, y)^2 u(s, y) dy ds, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq k\delta_n \text{ and } \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b.$$

Also, if $\phi : \Omega_X \times [0, k\delta_n] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t+}^X \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ -predictable and

$$\mathbb{P}^n \left(\int_0^t \int \gamma(u^n(s, y)) \phi(\omega, s, y)^2 u(s, y) dy ds \right) < \infty, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq k\delta_n,$$

then we can extend Z to a stochastic integral of the form

$$Z_t(\phi) = \int_0^t \phi(\omega, r, y) dZ(r, y).$$

Step 3

The statement is true for the case $q = 1$ by Lemma 3.1 for any $t > 0$. Indeed, let $\{\phi_m(x) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the $\mathcal{D}_b(A)_+$ -valued non-decreasing sequence such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi_m(x) = e^{\lambda|x|}$ pointwisely. Then, by Fubini's theorem and by monotone convergence theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n \left(\int e^{\lambda|\cdot|} u(t, x) dx \right) &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^n \left(\int \phi_\ell(x) u(t, x) dx \right) \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^n (X_t(\phi_\ell)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \int P_t^{L_b} |\phi_\ell|(y) u_0(y) dy \\ &= \int P_t^{L_b} (e^{\lambda|\cdot|})(y) u_0(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n(\lambda, 1, t) &\leq \sup_{s \leq t} \int P_s^{L_b} (e^{\lambda|\cdot|})(y) u_0(y) dy \\ &\leq \sup_{s \leq t} e^{L_b s} \int P_s (e^{\lambda|\cdot|})(y) u_0(y) dy \\ &\leq c(t, \lambda) \int e^{\lambda|y|} u_0(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 6.2 in [12] in the last line. Thus, $\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, 1, t) < \infty$.

For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\phi_s(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2s}\right)$ and for $\lambda' > \lambda \geq 0$, we define

$$T(\ell) = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : |u_t|_{\lambda'} = \sup_x e^{\lambda'|x|} |u(t, x)| > \ell \right\}.$$

We assume that step (2-k). Then, we have for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq k\delta_n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ that by taking $\psi(s, x) = e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1\{T(\ell) \geq t\} \int \phi_\varepsilon(x)u(t, x)dx \\ & \quad + 1\{T(\ell) < t\} \int e^{L_b(t-T(\ell))}\phi_{t-T(\ell)+\varepsilon}(y)u(T(\ell), y)dy \\ & = \int e^{L_b t}\phi_{t+\varepsilon}(y)u_0(y)dy + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b)e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y)u(s, y)dyds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y)dZ(s, y). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that each term converges \mathbb{P}^n -a.s. except the last term as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1\{T(\ell) \geq t\}u(t, x_0) \\ & \quad + 1\{T(\ell) < t\} \int e^{L_b(t-T(\ell))}\phi_{t-T(\ell)}(y)u(T(\ell), y)dy \\ & = P_t^{L_b}(u_0)(x_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b)e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s}(y)u(s, y)dyds \\ & \quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y)dZ(s, y), \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{L_b(t-s)} (\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y) - \phi_{t-s}(y)) dZ(s, y) \right)^2 \right) \\ & = \mathbb{P}^n \left(\int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{2L_b(t-s)} (\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y) - \phi_{t-s}(y))^2 \gamma(u^n(s, y))u(s, y)dyds \right) \\ & \leq c(\gamma)\mathbb{P}^n \left(\int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{2L_b(t-s)} (\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y) - \phi_{t-s}(y))^2 (u^n(s, y) + 1)u(s, y)dyds \right) \\ & \leq c(\gamma)\ell(\ell + 1) \int_0^t \int e^{2L_b(t-s)} (\phi_{t-s+\varepsilon}(y) - \phi_{t-s}(y))^2 dyds \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1\{T(\ell) \geq t\}u(t, x_0) + 1\{T(\ell) < t\}P_{t-T(\ell)}^{L_b}u(T(\ell), \cdot)(x_0) \\ & = P_t^{L_b}u_0(x_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b)e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s}(y)u(s, y)dyds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s}(y)dZ(s, y), \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Especially, we have that

$$u(t, x_0)1\{t \leq T(\ell)\} \leq P_t^{L_b}u_0(x_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T(\ell)} \int e^{L_b(t-s)}\phi_{t-s}(y)dZ(s, y), \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s.}$$

Thus, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}^n(u(t, x_0)^q \mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\}) \\
& \leq c(q) \left(P_t^{L_b} u_0(x_0) \right)^q \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int \mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\} e^{2L_b(t-s)} \phi_{t-s}(y)^2 \gamma(u^n(s, y)) u(s, y) dy ds \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \\
& \leq c(q, \gamma) \left(P_t^{L_b} u_0(x_0) \right)^q \\
& + c(q, \gamma) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int \mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\} e^{2L_b(t-s)} \phi_{t-s}(y)^2 (u^n(s, y) + 1) u(s, y) dy ds \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \\
& \leq c(q, \gamma) \left(P_t^{L_b} u_0(x_0) \right)^q \\
& + c(q, r) \left(\int_0^t \int \phi_{t-s}(y)^2 dy ds \right)^{q/2-1} \\
& \times \mathbb{P}^n \left(\int_0^t \int \mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\} e^{L_b q(t-s)} \phi_{t-s}(y)^2 \left(u(s, y)^q + u^n(s, y)^q + u(s, y)^{q/2} \right) dy ds \right) \\
& \leq c(q, \gamma) \left(P_t^{L_b} u_0(x_0)^q + \left(t^{(q-2)/4} \int_0^t \int (t-s)^{-1/2} \phi_{t-s}(y) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \mathbb{P}^n \left(\mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\} e^{L_b q(t-s)} \left(u(s, y)^q + u^n(s, y)^q + u(s, y)^{q/2} \right) dy ds \right) \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $\phi_s(y)^2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi(y)$ and $\int_0^t \int \phi(x)^2 dx ds \leq Ct^{1/2}$. Let $\nu_n(\lambda, q, s, \ell) = \sup_{u \leq s} \int e^{\lambda|x|} \mathbb{P}^n(u(u, x)^q \mathbf{1}\{s \leq T(\ell)\}) dx$. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu_n(\lambda, q, t, \ell) \\
& \leq c(q, \gamma) \sup_{s \leq t} \left(e^{L_b q t} \int P_t(e^{\lambda|\cdot|})(x) u_0(x)^q dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + t^{(q-2)/4} \int_0^t \int (t-s)^{-1/2} P_{t-s}(e^{\lambda|\cdot|})(y) e^{L_b q(t-s)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \mathbb{P}^n \left(\mathbf{1}\{t \leq T(\ell)\} \left(u^n(s, y)^q + u(s, y)^q + u(s, y)^{q/2} \right) dy ds \right) \right) \\
& \leq c(q, \lambda, t, \gamma, L_b) \\
& \times \left(\int (e^{\lambda|x|})(x) u_0(x)^q dx + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} (\nu(\lambda, q, s, \ell) + \nu(\lambda, q/2, s, \ell)) ds \right) \\
& \leq c(q, \lambda, t, \gamma, L_b) \left(|u_0^q|_{2\lambda} \lambda^{-1} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} (\nu(\lambda, q, s, \ell) + \nu(\lambda, q/2, s, \ell)) ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu_n(\lambda, q, t, \ell) < \infty$ for $q > 1$ by definition of $T(\ell)$ and $\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, 1, t, \ell) \leq \sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, 1, t) < \infty$, we have by using the Lemma 3.3 inductively that for $q = 2^m$

$$\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, q, t, \ell) \leq c(q, \lambda, t, \gamma, L_b, u_0) < \infty, \quad 0 \leq t \leq k\delta_n.$$

Thus, letting $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\sup_n \nu_n(\lambda, q, k\delta_n) < \infty$ for $q = 2^m$ and also for any $q > 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. ([8, Lemma 4.1]) Assume $g : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is bounded, $f : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing, and $g(t) \leq c(f(t) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} g(s) ds)$, $t \leq T$. Then, $g(t) \leq f(t) \exp(4ct^{1/2})$ for $t \leq T$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_b$. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi(t, y) u(t, y) dy &= \int P_t^{L_b} \phi(0, y) u_0(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int (b(u^n) - L_b) P_s^{L_b} \phi(s, y) u(s, y) dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int P_s^{L_b} \phi(s, y) dZ(s, y), \end{aligned}$$

and when we write $Z_t(\phi) = \int_0^t \int \phi(s, y) dZ(s, y)$, its quadratic variation is given by

$$\int_0^t \int \gamma(u^n(s, y)) \phi^2(s, y) u(s, y) dy ds, \quad \mathbb{P}^n\text{-a.s. for all } t < \infty$$

if it is finite. Especially, we have that for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= P_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int b(u^n(s, y)) \phi_{t-s}^x(y) u(s, \cdot)(y) dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \phi_{t-s}^x(y) dZ(s, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_t^x(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}\right)$.

The following two lemmas will be used to prove the tightness of $\mathbb{P}^n(u \in \cdot)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $p_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right)$ and $p_t(x) = 0$ if $t < 0$. If $T, \lambda > 0$, there exists a $C(T, \lambda) < \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\lambda|y|} dy ds \\ &\leq C(T, \lambda) (|x-x'| + |t-t'|^{1/2}) e^{-\lambda|x|}, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t' \leq T, |x-x'| \leq 1, \lambda > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $\{X_n(t, \cdot) : t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of continuous $C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$ -valued processes. Suppose that there exist some $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 2$ and for all $T, \lambda > 0$, there exists $C(T, \lambda) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|X_n(t, x) - X_n(t', x')|^\alpha) &\leq C(|x-x'|^\beta + |t-t'|^\beta) e^{-\lambda|x|}, \\ &\text{for all } t, t' \in [0, T], |x-x'| \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\{\mathbb{P}_{X_n(0)}\}$ is tight on $C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$, then $\{\mathbb{P}(X_n : n \in \mathbb{N})\}$ is tight on $C([0, \infty), C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+)$.

The reader can refer the proof of these lemmas to [8, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4].

Now, we will show the tightness of $\mathbb{P}^n(u \in \cdot)$.

Proposition 3.7. $\{\mathbb{P}^n(u \in \cdot) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight on $C([0, \infty), C_{rap}^+)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{u}(t, x) = u(t, x) - P_t^{L_b} u_0(x)$. Since $t \mapsto P_t^{L_b} u_0 \in C([0, \infty), C_{rap}^+)$, we will show that $\{\mathbb{P}^n(\hat{u} \in \cdot) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight. Let $q \geq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq t' \leq T$ and $|x - x'| \leq 1$ and $p_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right)$ $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_t(x) = 0$ for $t < 0$. Then, by Corollary 3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}^n (|\hat{u}(t, x) - \hat{u}(t', x')|^{2q}) \\
& \leq c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int e^{L_b(t-s)} (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b) (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x')) u(s, y) dy ds \right)^{2q} \right) \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int (e^{L_b(t'-s)} - e^{L_b(t-s)}) (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b) p_{t'-s}(y-x') u(s, y) dy ds \right)^{2q} \right) \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_t^{t'} \int e^{L_b(t'-s)} (b(u^n(s, y)) - L_b) p_{t'-s}(y-x') u(s, y) dy ds \right)^{2q} \right) \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int e^{2L_b(t-s)} \gamma(u^n(s, y)) (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 u(s, y) dy ds \right)^q \right) \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_0^t \int (e^{L_b(t'-s)} - e^{L_b(t-s)})^2 \gamma(u^n(s, y)) (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 u(s, y) dy ds \right)^q \right) \\
& + c(q) \mathbb{P}^n \left(\left(\int_t^{t'} \int e^{2L_b(t'-s)} \gamma(u^n(s, y)) (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 u(s, y) dy ds \right)^q \right) \\
& \leq c(q, L_b, T, b) \left(\int_0^t \int (\mathbb{P}^n ((u^n(s, y)^\theta + 1) u(s, y))^{2q}) e^{2q\lambda|y|} (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right. \\
& \times \left. \left(\int_0^t \int (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{2q\lambda}{2q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{2q-1} \right) \\
& + c(q, L_b, T, b) |t' - t|^{2q} \left(\int_0^t \int (\mathbb{P}^n ((u^n(s, y)^\theta + 1) u(s, y))^{2q}) e^{2q\lambda|y|} (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right. \\
& \times \left. \left(\int_0^t \int (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{2q\lambda}{2q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{2q-1} \right) \\
& + c(q, L_b, T, b) \left(\int_t^{t'} \int (\mathbb{P}^n ((u^n(s, y)^\theta + 1) u(s, y))^{2q}) e^{2q\lambda|y|} (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right. \\
& \times \left. \left(\int_t^{t'} \int (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{2q\lambda}{2q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{2q-1} \right) \\
& + c(q, L_b, T, \gamma) \left(\int_0^t \int \mathbb{P}^n (((u^n(s, y) + 1) u(s, y))^q) e^{q\lambda|y|} (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right) \\
& \times \left(\int_0^t \int (p_{t-s}(y-x) - p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{q\lambda}{q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{q-1} \\
& + c(q, L_b, T, \gamma) |t' - t|^{2q} \left(\int_0^t \int \mathbb{P}^n (((u^n(s, y) + 1) u(s, y))^q) e^{q\lambda|y|} (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\int_0^t \int (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{q\lambda}{q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{q-1} \\
& + c(q, L_b, T, \gamma) \left(\int_t^{t'} \int \mathbb{P}^n \left((u^n(s, y) + 1) u(s, y)^q \right) e^{q\lambda|y|} (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 dy ds \right) \\
& \times \left(\int_0^t \int (p_{t'-s}(y-x'))^2 e^{-\frac{q\lambda}{q-1}|y|} dy ds \right)^{q-1} \\
& \leq c(q, \lambda, L_b, T, b, \gamma) \left(|x-x'|^{q-1} + |t-t'|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \right) e^{-\lambda|x|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_0 \in C_{rap}^+$, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq 1$. For fixed $u_0(\cdot) \in C_{rap}^+(\mathbb{R})$, let $\{u_n(s, x) : (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}\}$ have the law of \mathbb{P}^n . By Lemma 3.7, there exist subsequences N_k such that $u_{N_k} \Rightarrow u$ in $C([0, \infty), C_{rap}^+(\mathbb{R}))$. By Skorohod's theorem, we may assume that $\{u_{N_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{u\}$ can be constructed on the same probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $u_{N_{k_\ell}}$ converges uniformly to u \mathbb{P} -a.s. for a subsequence $\{k_\ell : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Then, we remark by continuity of b and γ that for any $T > 0$ and $K > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \leq T} \sup_{|x| \leq K} |b(u^n(t, x)) - b(u(t, x))| \rightarrow 0 \\
& \sup_{t \leq T} \sup_{|x| \leq K} |\gamma(u^n(t, x)) - \gamma(u(t, x))| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

\mathbb{P}^n -a.s. Lemma 3.7 implies that for each $\phi \in C_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_t(\phi) &= \int \phi(t, x) u(t, x) dx - \int \phi(0, x) u_0(x) dx - \int_0^t \int (A\phi(s, x) + b(u(s, x))\phi(s, x)) u(s, x) dx ds, \\
Z_t(\phi)^2 - \int_0^t \int \phi^2(s, x) \gamma(u(s, x)) u(s, x) dx ds
\end{aligned}$$

are \mathcal{F}_t^u -martingales under \mathbb{P} . Then, we can extend Z to an orthogonal martingale measure $\{Z(\phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}_b\}$ by the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Thus, u_t is a solution of the martingale problem (1.3). \square

In the end of this paper, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2 for $0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\sigma_n(u) = \sigma(u) \left(\frac{u}{u+1/n} \right)^{1/2}$. Then, it is clear that $\sigma_n(u)$ satisfies that

$$0 \leq \sigma_n(u) \leq L'_\sigma (u^{r+1/2} + u)$$

and

$$\sup_n \sigma_n(u) = \sigma(u).$$

Thus, for each n , there exists a $C_{rap}(\mathbb{R})_+$ -valued solution to the martingale problem (1.3) for b and σ_n . We denote it by u_n . Then, the same results as the above Lemmas and Propositions are true for u_n so that $\{\mathbb{P}(u_n \in \cdot) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight on $C([0, \infty), C_{rap}^+(\mathbb{R}))$. Also, the same argument as the proof for $1/2 < r \leq 1$ does hold and we complete the proof. \square

References

- [1] Lorenzo Bertini and Giambattista Giacomin. Stochastic Burgers and KPZ equations from particle systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 183, No. 3, pp. 571–607, 1997.
- [2] Stathis Filippas and Robert V. Kohn. Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 45, No. 7, pp. 821–869, 1992.
- [3] Hiroshi Fujita. On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I*, Vol. 13, pp. 109–124 (1966), 1966.
- [4] Koichiro Iwata. An infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equation with state space $C(\mathbf{R})$. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 74, No. 1, pp. 141–159, 1987.
- [5] N. Konno and T. Shiga. Stochastic partial differential equations for some measure-valued diffusions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 79, No. 2, pp. 201–225, 1988.
- [6] Tzong-Yow Lee and Wei-Ming Ni. Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 333, No. 1, pp. 365–378, 1992.
- [7] C. Mueller and R. Tribe. Stochastic p.d.e.’s arising from the long range contact and long range voter processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 102, No. 4, pp. 519–545, 1995.
- [8] Carl Mueller and Edwin A. Perkins. The compact support property for solutions to the heat equation with noise. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 93, No. 3, pp. 325–358, 1992.
- [9] Makoto Nakashima. Branching random walks in random environment and super-brownian motion in random environment. 2013. preprint.
- [10] Mark Reimers. One-dimensional stochastic partial differential equations and the branching measure diffusion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 81, No. 3, pp. 319–340, 1989.
- [11] Tokuzo Shiga. Stepping stone models in population genetics and population dynamics. In *Stochastic processes in physics and engineering (Bielefeld, 1986)*, Vol. 42 of *Math. Appl.*, pp. 345–355. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [12] Tokuzo Shiga. Two contrasting properties of solutions for one-dimensional stochastic partial differential equations. *Canad. J. Math.*, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 415–437, 1994.
- [13] John B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In *École d’été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984*, Vol. 1180 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 265–439. Springer, Berlin, 1986.