# ON INVOLUTIVE CLUSTER AUTOMORPHISMS

NDOUNÉ NDOUNÉ

ABSTRACT. We construct a special embedding of the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  in the three-dimensional affine space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  where Q is a finite connected acyclic quiver and  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains a local slice whose quiver is isomorphic to the opposite quiver  $Q^{op}$  of Q. Via this embedding, we show that there exists an involutive anti-automorphism of the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ . As an immediate consequence, we characterize explicitly the group of cluster automorphisms of the cluster algebras of seed (X, Q), where Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras were invented by Fomin and Zelevinsky [11] in order to understand the canonical bases of quantized enveloping algebras associated to semi-simple Lie algebras [18]. A cluster algebra is a commutative ring with a distinguished set of generators, called *cluster variables*. The set of all cluster variables is constructed recursively from an initial set using a procedure called *mutation*.

Today the theory of cluster algebras relates with numerous fields of mathematics, for example, representation theory of algebras, Lie theory, Poisson geometry, Teichmüller theory and integrable systems. Following [3, 2], we are interested in studying the involutive cluster automorphisms. The objective of this paper is to solve an open problem posed in [3]; it was conjectured that if Q is a finite connected acyclic quiver which is mutation equivalent to  $Q^{op}$ , then the group of cluster automorphisms of the cluster algebra of a seed (X, Q) is a semi-direct product of the subgroup of the direct cluster automorphisms with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . A partial answer was given in [3], in the case when the underlying graph of Q is a tree. We prove it here in general.

In this paper, we construct an embedding of a repetitive translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  in 3-space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , when Q is a finite connected acyclic quiver and the translation quiver contains a local slice whose quiver is isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$ . In particular, we construct an embedding of the transjective component of the Auslander Reiten quiver of the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_Q$ . We then use this construction to prove the existence of an involutive inverse cluster automorphism of a cluster algebra with a seed (X, Q), where Q is a finite connected acyclic quiver which is mutation equivalent to  $Q^{op}$ .

Our paper is organized as follows. After an introductory section 2, we construct in section 3, the required embedding  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , such that if  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  are two local slices whose quivers are respectively isomorphic to Q and  $Q^{op}$ , then  $\eta(\Sigma)$  and  $\eta(\overline{\Sigma})$  are symmetric with respect to a particular plane.

In section 4, we prove that, if Q is a finite acyclic quiver which is mutation equivalent to  $Q^{op}$ , then the group of cluster automorphisms of a cluster algebra of a seed (X, Q) is a semi-direct product of the subgroup of the direct cluster automorphisms with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is part of my PhD thesis, under the supervision of Ibrahim Assem and Vasilisa Shramchenko. I would like to thank them deeply for their patience and availability.

# 2. Cluster Automorphisms

The notion of cluster automorphisms was introduced in [3] and it is connected with quiver automorphisms. We recall that a *quiver* is a quadruple  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$  consisting of two sets,  $Q_0$  (whose elements are called *vertices*) and  $Q_1$  (whose elements are called *arrows*), and of two maps  $s, t: Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0$  associating to each arrow  $\alpha \in Q_1$  its source  $s(\alpha)$  and its target  $t(\alpha)$ . If  $i = s(\alpha)$  and  $j = t(\alpha)$ , we denote this situation by  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$ . Given a vertex *i*, we set  $i^+ = \{\alpha \in Q_1 | s(\alpha) = i\}$  and  $i^- = \{ \alpha \in Q_1 | t(\alpha) = i \}.$ 

Let Q be a finite connected quiver without oriented cycles of length one or two. Let  $n = |Q_0|$  denote the number of vertices in Q, X = $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  be a set of n variables and denote the vertices by  $Q_0 =$  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , where we agree that the point *i* corresponds to the variable  $x_i$ . We consider the field  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  of rational functions in  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  (with rational coefficients). The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(X, Q)$  is the Z-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by a set of generators obtained recursively from X in the following manner. Let k be such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We define the mutation  $\mu_{x_k,X}$  (or  $\mu_{x_k}$  or  $\mu_k$  for brevity if there is no ambiguity) of the pair (X, Q) to be a new pair (X', Q'), that is  $\mu_k(X,Q) = (X',Q')$ , where Q' is the quiver obtained from Q by performing the following operations:

(a) for any path  $i \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow j$  of length two having k as mid-vertex, we insert a new arrow  $i \longrightarrow j$ .

- (b) all arrows incident to the vertex k are reversed,
- (c) all cycles of length two are deleted.

On the other hand, X' is a set of variables defined as follows.  $X' = (X \setminus \{x_k\}) \cup \{x'_k\}$  where  $x'_k \in \mathcal{F}$  is obtained from X by the

so-called *exchange relation*:

$$x_k x'_k = \prod_{\alpha \in i^+} x_{t(\alpha)} + \prod_{\alpha \in i^-} x_{s(\alpha)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the union of all possible sets of variables obtained from X by successive mutations. Then the *cluster algebra*  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(X, Q)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Each pair (X, Q) obtained from (X, Q) by successive mutations is called a *seed*, and the set  $\tilde{X}$  is called a *cluster*. The elements  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, ..., \tilde{x}_n$ of a cluster  $\tilde{X}$  are called *cluster variables*. Each cluster is a transcendence basis for the field  $\mathcal{F}$ . The pair (X, Q) is called the *initial seed*, and X is called the *initial cluster*.

Gekhtman, Shapiro and Vainshtein showed in [13] that for every seed  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Q})$  the quiver  $\tilde{Q}$  is uniquely defined by the cluster  $\tilde{X}$ , and we use the notation  $Q(\tilde{X})$  for the quiver of a given cluster  $\tilde{X}$ . We write  $p_x$  for the point of  $Q(\tilde{X})$  corresponding to the cluster variable  $x \in \tilde{X}$ . Following [11] we recall that the Laurent phenomenon asserts that each cluster variable in  $\mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as a Laurent polynomial in  $x_i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , that is, every cluster variable is of the form

$$\frac{p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\prod\limits_{l=1}^n x_l^{d_l}},$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ , and  $d_l$  is a positive integer (for  $1 \leq l \leq n$ ).

As a consequence,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, ..., x_n^{\pm 1}]$ , see [11] for more details. The following definition is due to [3].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cluster algebra, and let  $f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras. Then f is called cluster automorphism if there exists a seed (X, Q) of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(CA1) f(X) is a cluster;

(CA2) f(X) is compatible with mutations, that is, for every  $x \in X$ , we have  $f(\mu_{x,X}(x)) = \mu_{f(x),f(X)}(f(x))$ .

Now we state an equivalent characterization of cluster automorphisms see [3,Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then f is a cluster automorphism if and only if there exists a seed (X, Q) such that f(X) is a cluster and one of the following two conditions is satisfied: (a) there exists an isomorphism of quivers  $\varphi : Q \longrightarrow Q(f(X))$  such that  $\varphi(p_x) = p_{f(x)}$  for all  $p_x \in Q_0$ , or (b) there exists an isomorphism of quivers  $\varphi : Q^{op} \longrightarrow Q(f(X))$  such that  $\varphi(p_x) = p_{f(x)}$  for all  $p_x \in Q_0$ .

A cluster automorphism f is called *direct* if it satisfies condition (a) of the above lemma, and f is called *inverse* if it satisfies condition (b).

The set of all cluster automorphisms forms a group under composition denoted by  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  and called the group of *cluster automorphisms*. The set of all direct automorphisms is denoted by  $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\mathcal{A})$ ; it is a normal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  of index at most two. For more results and properties of cluster automorphisms, we refer the reader to [3].

# 3. Involutive automorphisms of quivers

In this section, we assume that Q is a finite connected acyclic quiver. Let K be an algebraically closed field, KQ the path algebra of Q and modKQ the category of finitely generated right KQ-modules. For the properties of modKQ and its Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma(\text{mod}KQ)$  we refer the reader to [5, 4]. We denote by  $\mathcal{D}^d(\text{mod}KQ)$  the bounded derived category over modKQ. This is a triangulated Krull-Schmidt category having a Serre duality and hence almost split triangles. Since KQ is hereditary, the Auslander Reiten quiver  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^d(\text{mod}KQ))$  of  $\mathcal{D}^d(\text{mod}KQ)$  is well-understood, see [14].

Following [4] we give the definitions and some basic properties of a well-known translation quiver. If Q is a connected acyclic quiver, the repetitive quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  is the quiver whose set of vertices is  $(\mathbb{Z}Q)_0 =$  $\{(m,\nu)|m \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu \in Q_0\}$  and the set of arrows is  $(\mathbb{Z}Q)_1 = \{(m,\alpha) :$  $(m,\nu) \to (m,\nu')|m \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha : \nu \to \nu'\} \cup \{(m,\alpha') : (m,\nu') \to (m-1,\nu)|m \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha : \nu \to \nu'\}$ . The map  $\tau : \mathbb{Z}Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}Q$  defined by  $\tau(m,\nu) = (m-1,\nu)$  is called the translation of the quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ . The pair  $(\mathbb{Z}Q,\tau)$  is a translation quiver, for more details we refer to [4]. The translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  is stable because its translation is defined everywhere. We recall that an automorphism of a translation quiver is a quiver automorphism commuting with the translation  $\tau$ .

The quiver  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^d(\mathrm{mod}KQ))$  consists of two types of components: the regular components and the transjective components, the latter are of the form  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , see[14]. The *cluster category*  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  of Buan-Marsh-Reineke-Reiten-Todorov is defined to be the orbit category of  $\mathcal{D}^d(\mathrm{mod}KQ)$  under the action of the automorphism  $\tau^{-1}[1]$ , where  $\tau$  is the Auslander-Reiten translation and [1] is the shift of  $\mathcal{D}^d(\mathrm{mod}KQ)$ , see [7]. Then  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  is also a triangulated Krull-Schmidt category having almost split triangles. Moreover,  $C_Q$  is a 2-Calabi-Yau category [7]. The Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}_Q)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  is the quotient of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^d(\text{mod}KQ))$  under the action of the quiver automorphism induced by  $\tau^{-1}[1]$ . Then, the combinatorics of cluster variables is encoded in the cluster category. That is, if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(X, Q)$  is a cluster algebra, with Q an acyclic quiver having  $n = |Q_0|$  points, there exists a map  $X_? : \mathcal{C}_Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, ..., x_n^{\pm 1}]$ called the canonical *cluster character*, or the *Caldero-Chapoton map*. The map  $X_{?}$  induces a bijection between the indecomposables M(up)to isomorphism) in  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  which have no self-extensions and the cluster

variables  $X_M$ , see [8,9]. Under this bijection, clusters correspond to cluster-tilting objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ .

It has been shown in [3] that, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cluster algebra with a seed (X, Q) such that the underlying graph of Q is a tree, then there exists an inverse cluster automorphism  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  of order two and therefore  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{Aut}^+(\mathcal{A}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . In this section we show that this statement is valid for any acyclic quiver which is mutation equivalent to its opposite quiver.

Let j be an integer, a subquiver of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  of the form (j, Q) is a full subquiver of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  whose set of vertices is  $(j, Q)_0 = \{(j, \nu) | \nu \in Q_0\}.$ 

We denote by  $C^{j}$  the subquiver of the quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  of the form (j, Q). The notion of *local slice* was introduced in [1] for the connected components of Auslander-Reiten quivers of the categories of modules. For the repetitive quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , the notion of local slice coincides with the notion of *section* as defined in [4, p.302].

We consider the 3-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with coordinates (x, y, z), where the frame of reference has a negative orientation as shown in Fig 1.

Our main result will be obtained as a corollary of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver such that the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains two local slices  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma$  whose quivers are respectively isomorphic to Q and  $Q^{op}$ . Then there is an embedding  $\eta: \mathbb{Z}Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  and an oblique reflection with respect to the plane (xOz) which maps  $\eta(\Sigma)$  and  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  to each other.

*Proof.* The translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains two local slices  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma$ whose quivers are isomorphic respectively to Q and  $Q^{op}$ . Since the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  is stable, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\Sigma$  and  $\overline{\Sigma}$  are such that  $\Sigma \cap \overline{\Sigma} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Sigma$  is chosen to be the copy of Q at zero, that is  $\Sigma = (0, Q) = C^0$ .

Because  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  is a subquiver of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  and  $\Sigma_0 \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_0 \subset C^0$ , there exists a maximal nonnegative integer k, such that  $C^{-j} \cap \widetilde{\Sigma} \neq \emptyset$  for all j with  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . We use the following notation for the vertices of  $\Sigma$ : the vertex  $(0, \nu_i)$  is denoted by  $(0, \nu_{ji})$ , where j is such that the vertex  $(-j,\nu_i)$  belongs to  $\Sigma$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . We extend this notation to the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  that is  $(-m, \nu_{ji}) = \tau^m(0, \nu_{ji})$ . We denote by  $s_j$ , where  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , the number of vertices  $(0, \nu_{ji})$ . Thus we have  $\sum_{j=0}^{k} s_j = |Q_0|.$ 

$$=0$$

The proof is completed in the following three steps (a) (b) (c).

(a) If  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_h$  are points of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we denote by  $[A_1A_2...A_h]$  the convex hull of the points  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_h$ .



Fig.1

Let  $n = |Q_0|$  and define  $\mathbb{P}_{nk}$  to be the convex hull of the points  $O, D_0, D_k, E_k, E_0^n, E_k^n, D_0^n, D_k^n$  whose coordinates are given by:

 $O = (0,0,0), D_0 = (-1,0,0), D_k = (-1,k,0), E_k = (0,k,0), E_0^n = (0,0,n), E_k^n = (0,k,n), D_0^n = (-1,0,n), D_k^n = (-1,k,n)$ ; then  $\mathbb{P}_{nk}$  is a rectangular parallelepiped (see Fig.1).

We want to embed  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in such a way that the vertices of the embedded quiver  $\eta(\Sigma)$  belong to  $\mathbb{P}_{nk} \setminus [D_0 D_k D_k^n D_0^n]$  that is, to the parallelepiped  $\mathbb{P}_{nk}$  from which we remove the face  $D_0 D_k D_k^n D_0^n$ . We set H = (0, 0, n - 1) and T = (-1, 0, n - 1). Let  $(\mathcal{P}_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the family of parallel planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by the equations  $\mathcal{P}_m : y = m$ . We decompose  $\Sigma$  into k + 1 non-intersecting subquivers  $\Sigma^j$  and construct the embedding of each subquiver separately.

The construction of  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is thus done in three steps  $(a_i)$ ,  $(a_{ii})$  and  $(a_{iii})$  as follows.

 $(a_i)$  Construction of  $\eta(\Sigma^0)$ , where  $\Sigma^0 = \Sigma \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}$ . Then  $\Sigma^0$  is the full subquiver of  $\Sigma$  whose set of vertices is  $\Sigma_0^0 = \{(0, \nu_{0l}) | 1 \leq l \leq s_0\}$ . We map the vertices  $(0, \nu_{01}), (0, \nu_{02}), ..., (0, \nu_{0s_0})$  of  $\Sigma$ , to distinct points  $A_{01}$ ,  $A_{02}, ..., A_{0s_0}$  in  $[E_0^n D_0^n TH] \setminus [TD_0^n]$  such that for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., s_0\}$ the line  $(A_{0i}A_{0j})$  is not parallel to a line  $(OD_0)$ . Each arrow  $\alpha$  of  $\Sigma$ from the vertex  $(0, \nu_{0i})$  to the vertex  $(0, \nu_{0j})$  is mapped to an arrow  $\bar{\alpha}$ from  $A_{0i}$  to  $A_{0j}$ . In other words, we set  $\eta(0, \nu_{0i}) = A_{0i}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq s_0$ and  $\eta(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$ . The quiver  $\eta(\Sigma^0)$  obtained in the plane  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is isomorphic to  $\Sigma^0$ , we call it an embedding of  $\Sigma^0$ .

 $(a_{ii})$  Now we construct  $\eta(\Sigma^1)$ , where  $\Sigma^1$  is the full subquiver of  $\Sigma$  whose set of vertices is  $\Sigma_0^1 = \{(0, \nu_{1l}) | 1 \le l \le s_1\}.$ 

We denote by  $t_{\vec{v}}$  the translation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by the vector  $\vec{v} = (0, 1, -1)$ .

Let  $\Sigma_0^{11}$  be the set of all vertices  $(0, \nu_{1l})$  of  $\Sigma_0^1$  such that there exists at least one arrow from a vertex  $(0, \nu_{0i})$  in  $\Sigma^0$  to the vertex  $(-1, \nu_{1l})$ . And denote by  $\Sigma_0^{12}$  the complement of  $\Sigma_0^{11}$  in  $\Sigma_0^1$ ; then  $\Sigma_0^{12}$  is the set of the elements  $(0, \nu_{1l})$  in  $\Sigma_0^1$  such that there is no arrow from  $\Sigma^0$  to  $(-1, \nu_{1l})$ . Since the quiver  $\Sigma$  is connected, we have  $\Sigma_0^{11} \neq \emptyset$ . For the construction of the embedding of the set  $\Sigma_0^{11}$ , we divide  $\Sigma_0^{11}$ 

into two families of subsets as follows.

The first family consists of the non-intersecting subsets  $L_1, L_2, ..., L_h$ of  $\Sigma_0^{11}$  such that  $|L_j| \geq 2$  and for some  $(0, \nu_{0i_j}) \in \Sigma_0^0$  there exists an arrow to  $(0, \nu_{0i_i})$  from each element  $L_j$ . The second family is given by the remaining elements of  $\Sigma_0^{11}$ , the elements which do not belong to any set  $L_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq h$ .

Now we construct first the embedding of the elements of the set  $\Sigma_0^{11} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 ... \cup L_h)$ . For an element  $(0, \nu_{1r})$  of  $\Sigma_0^{11} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 ... \cup L_h)$ , we take any arrow originating in  $(0, \nu_{1r})$  whose target is  $(0, \nu_{0i_r}) \in \Sigma_0^0$ . Then the vertex  $(0, \nu_{1r})$  is mapped to the point  $A_{1r} := t_{\vec{v}}(A_{0i_r})$ .

For the construction of the embedding of the elements of the set  $L_j$ with  $1 \leq j \leq h$ , we choose an element  $(0, \nu_{1l_j})$  in  $L_j$  and we map it to a point  $A_{1l_i} := t_{\vec{v}}(A_{0i_i})$  with  $A_{0i_i} = \eta(0, \nu_{0i_i})$ . In order to define the embedding for the remaining elements of  $L_i$ , we proceed as follows. Let  $\Delta_j$  be the line parallel to (Ox) passing through  $A_{1l_j}$  and let [FG]be the intersection of  $\Delta_j$  and the rectangle  $[E_1 D_1 D_1^n E_1^n]$  (we recall that  $A_{1l_i} \in [E_1 D_1 D_1^n E_1^n]$ ). The elements of  $L_j \setminus \{(0, \nu_{1l_j})\}$  are mapped to arbitrary distinct points in  $[GF] \setminus \{G, F, A_{1l_i}\}$ . The elements of  $L_i$ ,  $i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq h$  are mapped to the distinct point of the line  $\Delta_i$ constructed as above. We have  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$ , for  $i \neq j$ .

It remains to construct the elements of the set  $\Sigma_0^{12}$ . We map the elements of  $\Sigma_0^{12}$  to distinct points (distinct from the points associated with the elements of  $\Sigma_0^{11}$  in  $t_{\vec{v}}([E_0^n D_0^n TH] \setminus [TD_0^n])$  such that any line containing at least two of them is not parallel to  $(OD_0)$ .

Each arrow  $\alpha$  of  $\Sigma$  from the vertex  $(0, \nu_{1r}) \in \Sigma_0^{12}$  to the vertex  $(0,\nu_{1l}) \in \Sigma_0^1$  is mapped to an arrow  $\bar{\alpha}$  from  $A_{1r} = \eta(0,\nu_{1r})$  to  $A_{1l} =$  $\eta(0,\nu_{1l})$  and each arrow  $\beta$  of  $\Sigma$  from the vertex  $(0,\nu_{1j}) \in \Sigma_0^{11}$  to the vertex  $(0, \nu_{0i}) \in \Sigma_0^0$  is mapped to an arrow  $\bar{\beta}$  from  $A_{1j} = \eta(0, \nu_{1j})$  to  $A_{0i} = \eta(0, \nu_{1i}).$ 

The obtained quiver  $\eta(\Sigma^1)$  is an embedding of the subquiver  $\Sigma^1$ into the plane  $\mathcal{P}_1: y = 1$ . More precisely,  $\eta(\Sigma^1)$  is constructed in the rectangle  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathbb{P}_{nk}$ .

Thus, we have constructed the quiver  $\eta(\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1})$ , where  $\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1}$  is the full subquiver of  $\Sigma$  whose set of vertices is  $\Sigma_0^0 \cup \Sigma_0^1$ .

 $(a_{iii})$  Construction of  $\eta(\Sigma^j)$ , where  $\Sigma^j, 2 \leq j \leq k$  is the full subquiver of  $\Sigma$  whose set of vertices is  $\Sigma_0^j = \{(0, \nu_{jl}) | 1 \le l \le s_j\}.$ 

We construct  $\eta(\Sigma^j)$  assuming that  $\eta(\Sigma^{j-1})$  is constructed.

We reproduce the construction of step  $a_{(ii)}$  with  $\Sigma^{j}$  playing the role of  $\Sigma^1$  and  $\Sigma^{j-1}$  playing the role of  $\Sigma^0$ . The vertices of  $\Sigma^j$  are mapped by

 $\eta$  to the rectangle  $[E_j D_j D_j^n E_j^n] \setminus [D_j D_j^n]$  and the arrows between two vertices  $\nu$  and  $\zeta$  of  $\Sigma_0$  are mapped to arrows between the corresponding images  $\eta(\nu)$  and  $\eta(\zeta)$ . Therefore, we construct the embedding  $\eta(\Sigma^j)$  of  $\Sigma^j$  into the plane  $\mathcal{P}_j$ : y = j for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , more precisely  $\eta(\Sigma^j) \in$  $\mathcal{P}_j \cap \mathbb{P}_{nk}$ . Thus, we have constructed the quiver  $\eta(\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \dots \cup \Sigma^j})$ , where  $\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \dots \cup \Sigma^j}$  is the full subquiver of  $\Sigma$  whose set of vertices is  $\Sigma_0^0 \cup \Sigma_0^1 \dots \cup \Sigma_0^j$ . In other words, we have constructed the embedding of  $\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \dots \cup \Sigma^j}$  in  $[OD_0 D_0^n E_0^n E_j^n E_j D_j D_j^n] \setminus [D_0 D_0^n D_j^n D_j]$ .

Since  $\Sigma$  is finite, the process ends after k iterations and we find the required embedded quiver  $\eta(\Sigma)$ . By construction we have  $\eta(\Sigma) = \eta(\overline{\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \dots \cup \Sigma^k})$  and  $|\eta(\Sigma)_0| = |\Sigma_0|$ . Also, we have an isomorphism of quivers  $\eta(\Sigma) \cong \Sigma$  and  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is an embedding of  $\Sigma$  in  $[OD_0D_0^n E_0^n E_1^n E_1 D_1 D_1^n] \setminus [D_0D_0^n D_1^n D_1]$ .

(b) Construction of the quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To define  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we need first to construct each  $\eta(C^m)$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $C^m$  is a copy of the quiver Q.

Let  $\vec{u} = (-1, -2, 0)$  and  $t_{\vec{u}}$  be the translation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by vector  $\vec{u}$ .

We extend  $\eta$  to each copy  $C^m$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by  $\eta(C^m) = t_{m\vec{u}}(\eta(\Sigma))$ . We complete the construction of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  as one would do for the repetitive quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  using that  $\eta(\tau) = t_{\vec{u}}$ . By the construction, there are no arrows which cross each other when considered as segments in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus we have extended the embedding  $\eta$  to  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ .

(c) Finally we show that  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is the image of  $\eta(\Sigma)$  under the oblique reflection S with respect to the plane  $\mathcal{P}_0$ , and the direction given by  $\vec{u}$ .

If A = (a, b, c) is a point of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the image of A under the oblique reflection S is the point S(A) = (a - b, -b, c).

Let  $A_{jr} = (a_{jr}, b_{jr}, c_{jr})$  be a point of  $\eta(\Sigma)$  such that  $\eta(0, \nu_{jr}) = A_{jr}$ , with  $(0, \nu_{jr}) \in \Sigma_0$ . Since  $A_{jr} \in \mathcal{P}_j$ , then the second coordinate of  $A_{jr}$ is equal to j, and  $S(A_{jr}) = (a_{jr} - j, -j, c_{jr})$ .

By the definition of  $\eta$ , we have  $\eta(\tau) = t_{\vec{u}}$  and  $\eta(\tau^{-1}) = t_{-\vec{u}}$ . Now we want to show that  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma}) = S(\eta(\Sigma))$ .

Let  $N_r \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_0$ , then  $N_r = (-j, \nu_{jr}) = \tau^j(0, \nu_{jr})$ , with  $1 \leq r \leq s_j$ , where  $\sum_{i=0}^k s_j = n$ . We have

$$\eta(N_r) = \eta(\tau^j(0,\nu_{jr})) = t_{j\vec{u}}(A_{jr}) = (a_{jr} - j, -j, c_{jr}) = S(A_{jr}).$$

Therefore  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_0) \subseteq S(\eta(\Sigma_0))$ . Since  $|\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_0)| = |S(\eta(\Sigma_0))|$ , then  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}_0) = S(\eta(\Sigma_0))$ . Because the quivers  $\Sigma$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  are full subquivers of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , it follows that  $S(\eta(\Sigma))$  and  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  are also full subquivers of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . Thus  $S(\eta(\Sigma)) = \eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.1.** The quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  is called a spatial representation of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Remark 3.1.** The reflection S defined in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in step (c) of the proof transforming  $\eta(\Sigma)$  to  $\eta(\Sigma^{op})$  does not induce necessarily a quiver morphism, as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let Q be a quiver defined by:



The quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains a local slice  $\Sigma$  isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$  and that intersects the local slice  $\Sigma = (0, Q)$ . The local slice  $\Sigma$  is given by



and the local slice  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  is given by



We set  $\eta(0,3) = (0,0,2), \ \eta(0,2) = (0,1,1)$  and  $\eta(0,1) = (0,1,0).$ We have  $S(\eta(0,3)) = (0,0,2), \ S(\eta(0,2)) = \eta(-1,2)$  and  $S(\eta(0,1)) = \eta(-1,1).$  The reflection S maps the arrow  $\eta(0,\alpha_{12}) : \eta(0,1) \to \eta(0,2)$ to the arrow  $\eta(-1,\alpha_{12}) : \eta(-1,1) \to \eta(-1,2), \ with \ S(\eta(0,1)) = \eta(-1,1)$ and  $S(\eta(0,2)) = \eta(-1,2).$  Thus S does not induce an anti-morphism. The reflection S does not induce a quiver morphism, because for the arrow  $\eta(0,\alpha_{23}) : \eta(0,2) \to \eta(0,3) \ of \ \eta(\Sigma), \ we \ have \ S(\eta(0,\alpha_{23})) = \eta(-1,2).$ 

## 4. Involutive cluster automorphisms

Before giving a first consequence of our result, we need to recall the notion of quiver with length appearing in [6, 10].

Let Q be a quiver, and let  $\omega = \alpha_1^{\varepsilon_1} \alpha_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots \alpha_s^{\varepsilon_s}$  be a walk, where  $\alpha_i \in Q_1$ and  $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  for all i. We call *length* of  $\omega$  the integer defined by  $L(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} L(\alpha_i^{\varepsilon_i})$ , where  $L(\alpha_i) = 1$  and  $L(\alpha_i^{-1}) = -1$  for all i. Two paths in Q having same source and target are called *parallel paths*. We say that Q is a *quiver with length* when any two parallel paths in Q have the same length. Let  $\nu, \xi$  be two vertices of the quiver Q with length and n an integer. The length  $L(\nu,\xi)$  between  $\nu$  and  $\xi$  is n if there exists a path from  $\nu$  to  $\xi$  of length n.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver such that the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains two local slices  $\Sigma$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  whose quivers are respectively isomorphic to Q and  $Q^{op}$ . If the quiver Q is a quiver with length, then the reflection S induces an anti-automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ .

Proof. We show that the reflection  $S : \eta(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \eta(\overline{\Sigma})$  constructed in Theorem 3.1 is an anti-isomorphism of quivers. Consider the embedded quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  defined in Theorem 3.1. Note that all sinks of  $\Sigma$  belong to the intersection  $\Sigma \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}$  and therefore are mapped to the plane  $\mathcal{P}_0$ . As before  $\Sigma$  is decomposed into k + 1 non-intersecting subquivers  $\Sigma^j$ .

Because the point  $A_{ji}$  belongs to the plane  $\mathcal{P}_j$ : y = j, then j = $\rho(A_{ji}, \mathcal{P}_0)$  where  $\rho(A_{ji}, \mathcal{P}_0)$  is the Euclidean distance from the point  $A_{il}$  to the plane  $\mathcal{P}_0$ . Note that by the definition of the reflection S, we have also  $j = \rho(S(A_{ii}), \mathcal{P}_0)$ . Because the quiver Q is a quiver with length, each subquiver  $\Sigma^l$  of  $\Sigma$  is discrete, that is, it contains no arrows. The only arrows of  $\Sigma$  are from a subquiver  $\Sigma^{j}$  to a subquiver  $\Sigma^{j-1}$ . Thus in spatial representation of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  all arrows are between different planes and therefore are reversed by the reflection S, which shows that S is an anti-morphism. To make this reasoning formal, let  $\bar{\alpha}_{il}: A_{ji} \longrightarrow A_{j-1l}$  be an arrow of  $\eta(\Sigma)$ . Then the arrow  $(0, \alpha_{il}):$  $(0,\nu_{ji}) \longrightarrow (0,\nu_{j-1l})$  is an arrow of  $\Sigma$  and we have  $\eta(0,\alpha_{il}) = \bar{\alpha}_{il}$ . On the other hand,  $(-j+1, \alpha'_{il}) : (-j+1, \nu_{j-1l}) \longrightarrow (-j, \nu_{ji})$  is an arrow of  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ . Since  $\eta(-j+1,\nu_{j-1l}) = S(A_{j-1l})$  and  $\eta(-j,\nu_{ji}) = S(A_{ji})$ , then  $\eta(-j+1, \alpha'_{il}) = \bar{\alpha}'_{il}$  is an arrow from  $S(A_{j-1l})$  to  $S(A_{ji})$ . Thus  $S(\bar{\alpha}_{il}) = \bar{\alpha}'_{il}$ . Hence S is an anti-isomorphism from the quiver  $\Sigma$  to the quiver  $\Sigma$ . The symmetry S is extended to an anti-automorphism of the quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ . 

**Example 4.1.** Let Q be the Dynkin quiver of type  $\mathbb{A}_3$  given by  $1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{12}} 2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{23}} 3$ . The quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains a local slice  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$  which intersects the local slice  $\Sigma = (0, Q)$ . The quiver  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$(0,1) \stackrel{(0,\alpha_{12})}{\longrightarrow} (0,2) \stackrel{(0,\alpha_{23})}{\longrightarrow} (0,3)$$

and the quiver  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  is given by

$$(0,3) \stackrel{(0,\alpha'_{23})}{\longrightarrow} (-1,2) \stackrel{(-1,\alpha'_{12})}{\longrightarrow} (-2,1).$$

We set  $\eta(0,3) = (0,0,3)$ ,  $\eta(0,2) = (0,1,1)$  and  $\eta(0,1) = (0,2,0)$ . We have  $S(\eta(0,3)) = (0,0,3)$ ,  $S(\eta(0,2)) = \eta(-1,2) = (-1,-1,1)$  and  $S(\eta(0,1)) = \eta(-1,1) = (-2,-2,0)$ .

We define the anti-morphism  $\sigma$  on the points by  $\sigma(0,0,3) = (0,0,3)$ ,  $\sigma(0,1,1) = (-1,-1,1)$  and  $\sigma(0,2,0) = (-2,-2,0)$ ; and define on the arrows by  $\sigma(\eta(0,\alpha_{23})) = \eta(0,\alpha'_{23})$  and  $\sigma(\eta(0,\alpha_{12})) = \eta(-1,\alpha'_{12})$ . The map  $\sigma$  is an anti-isomorphism of quivers, which is extended to an anti-automorphism of quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . The anti-automorphism  $\sigma$  is a reflection. Therefore, the reflection  $\sigma$  induces an involutive antiautomorphism of the quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ .

We can now establish the existence of a particular automorphism of the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver such that the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains a local slice whose quiver is isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$ . Then there exists an anti-automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  such that  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver.

If Q is a quiver with length, the reflection S induces an involutive anti-automorphism due to Proposition 4.1.

Suppose that Q is not a quiver with length. According to [10] the quiver Q contains a subquiver of type  $\tilde{A}_{pq}$ , with  $p \neq q$ . Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be two local slices of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  whose quivers are respectively isomorphic to Q and  $Q^{op}$ . Without loss of generality, we can choose  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  such that  $\Sigma_0 \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Consider the spatial representation  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. In the case without length, there exist planes  $\mathcal{P}_j$  containing non-discrete quivers. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $\eta(\Sigma)$  and  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  are symmetric to each other with respect to the plane  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  via the reflection S. Let  $\tilde{Q}$  be the quiver defined by  $\tilde{\Sigma} = (0, \tilde{Q})$  and denote by  $\tilde{C}^m$  the subquiver of  $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{Q}$  of the form  $(m, \tilde{Q})$ . Since  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a local slice of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , then  $\tilde{C}^m$  is a local slice of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  for all integer m.

By the choice of  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , each sink of  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is a source of  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$ . Because  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is isomorphic to Q and  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$ , then there exists an anti-isomorphism  $\sigma : \eta(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  such that every point of  $\eta(\Sigma) \cap \eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ . The anti-isomorphism  $\sigma$ induces a permutation  $\sigma_j$  in  $S_{s_j}$ , where  $s_j$  is defined as in the proof of the Theorem 3.1. The permutation  $\sigma_j$  is viewed as the restriction of  $S\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{P}_j \cap \eta(\Sigma_0)$ .

We define the map  $\overline{\sigma} : \eta(\Sigma) \cup \eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow \eta(\Sigma) \cup \eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  such that the restriction of  $\overline{\sigma}$  on  $\eta(\Sigma)$  is  $\sigma$  and the restriction of  $\overline{\sigma}$  on  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  is  $\sigma^{-1}$ . By the definition, the map  $\overline{\sigma}$  is an anti-automorphism of the quiver  $\eta(\Sigma) \cup \eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  which has the following properties:

 $(p_1) \overline{\sigma}$  is an involution

 $(p_2) \overline{\sigma} \text{ maps } \mathcal{P}_j \text{ on } \mathcal{P}_{-j}, \text{ with } 0 \leq j \leq k.$ 

We recall that  $t_{\vec{u}}$  is the translation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by vector  $\vec{u} = (-1, -2, 0)$ defined on the step (b) of the proof of the Theorem 3.1. We extend  $\overline{\sigma}$  to  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  by the map  $\tilde{\sigma} : \eta(\mathbb{Z}Q) \longrightarrow \eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  defined as follows:  $\tilde{\sigma}(\eta(C^m)) = t_{m\vec{u}}\overline{\sigma}t_{m\vec{u}}(\eta(C^m))$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; because  $\mathbb{Z}Q \cong \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{Q}$  it is

equivalent to define  $\tilde{\sigma}$  by  $\tilde{\sigma}(\eta(\tilde{C}^m)) = t_{m\vec{u}}\overline{\sigma}t_{m\vec{u}}(\eta(\tilde{C}^m))$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $t_{m\vec{u}}(\eta(C^m)) = \eta(\Sigma)$  and  $t_{-m\vec{u}}(\eta(C^{-m})) = \eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  for m nonnegative integer. We set  $A_{mi} := t_{m\vec{u}}(A_{ji})$ , where  $A_{ji}$  is defined as in the proof of the Theorem 3.1 and  $\sigma_j \in S_{s_j}$  is the permutation induced by  $\sigma$ , with  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . To make the definition of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  more specific, let  $A_{mi}$  be a point of  $\eta(C^m)$  and  $A'_{mi}$  the image of  $A_{mi}$  under the reflection S, we have  $\tilde{\sigma}(A_{mi}) = A'_{m\sigma_j(i)} = S(A_{m\sigma_j(i)})$  if m is non-negative integer, and  $\tilde{\sigma}(A_{mi}) = A'_{m\sigma_j^{-1}(i)} = S(A_{m\sigma_j^{-1}(i)})$  otherwise, with  $0 \leq j \leq k$ .

It is enough to define  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on the points of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ , because the definition of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on the arrows is induced by the one on the points. The map  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is uniquely determined by its value on the points of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  and thus extends in the unique way to an anti-automorphism of the quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . Hence the extension  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$  is an anti-automorphism of the quiver  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$  which maps  $C^m$  and  $\tilde{C}^{-m}$  to each other.

It remains to show that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an involution. By the definition, it is sufficient to show this just for the points of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . Let  $A_{mi}$  be a point of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ , if m is a non-negative integer then

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2(A_{mi}) = \tilde{\sigma}(A'_{m\sigma_j(i)}) = A_{m\sigma_j^{-1}(\sigma_j(i))} = A_{mi};$$

if m is a negative integer then

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2(A_{mi}) = \tilde{\sigma}(A'_{m\sigma_j^{-1}(i)}) = A_{m\sigma_j(\sigma_j^{-1}(i))} = A_{mi}.$$

The map  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an involutive anti-automorphism of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\sigma}$  induces an involutive anti-automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ .

In order to illustrate the notations used in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we present an example.

**Example 4.2.** We consider the quiver Q of the Example 3.1 defined by:



We know that  $\eta(0,3) = (0,0,2)$ ,  $\eta(0,2) = (0,1,1)$  and  $\eta(0,1) = (0,1,0)$ . We have  $S(\eta(0,3)) = (0,0,2)$ ,  $S(\eta(0,2)) = \eta(-1,2)$  and  $S(\eta(0,1)) = \eta(-1,1)$ . We define  $\sigma$  by: the arrow  $\eta(0,\alpha_{12}) : \eta(0,1) \to \eta(0,2)$  is mapped to the arrow  $\eta(-1,\alpha_{12}) : \eta(-1,1) \to \eta(-1,2)$ , that is  $\sigma(\eta(0,1)) = \eta(-1,2)$  and  $\sigma(\eta(0,2)) = \eta(-1,1)$ ; we have also  $\sigma(\eta(0,3)) = \eta(-1,2)$ .

 $\eta(0,3)$  and  $\sigma(\eta(0,\alpha_{13})) = \eta(0,\alpha'_{23})$  and  $\sigma(\eta(0,\alpha_{23})) = \eta(0,\alpha'_{13})$ . The map  $\sigma$  is extended to an involutive anti-automorphism of  $\eta(\mathbb{Z}Q)$ . Hence  $\sigma$  induces an involutive anti-automorphism in the transjective component  $\Gamma_{tr} \cong \mathbb{Z}Q$  of the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_Q$ .

To simplify the notations, let denote by  $\sigma$  the anti-automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  defined above. Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  be the set of variables and  $\mathcal{A}$  the cluster algebra with initial seed (X, Q). We define the map f from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  by:  $f(x_1) = \frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_2 x_3^2}{x_1 x_2}$ ,  $f(x_2) = \frac{1 + x_2 x_3}{x_1}$  and  $f(x_3) = x_3$ . The above data defined an inverse cluster automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By observing that  $f(x_1) = \frac{1 + f(x_2) x_3}{x_2}$ , we have  $f^2(x_2) = \frac{1 + f(x_2) x_3}{f(x_1)} = x_1$  and  $f^2(x_1) = \frac{1 + f^2(x_2) x_3}{f(x_2)} = x_2$ ; thus f is an involution.

One can show by using the categorification of the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  as in [7] that the involutive cluster automorphism f is induced by the involutive anti-automorphism  $\sigma$ .

It has been proved in [3, Theorem 3.11] that, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cluster algebra with a seed (X, Q) such that Q is a tree then the group of cluster automorphisms is the semidirect product  $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Aut}^+\mathcal{A}\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Moreover this product is not direct. The following result shows that this holds for any finite connected acyclic quiver which is mutation equivalent to its opposite quiver.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver such that Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cluster algebra with a seed (X, Q) then the group of cluster automorphisms is the semidirect product  $Aut\mathcal{A}=Aut^+\mathcal{A}\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ . This product is usually not direct.

Proof. Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver and  $\mathcal{A}$  a cluster algebra with a seed (X, Q). We assume without loss of generality that (X, Q) is the initial seed of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where the quivers Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent. We may assume that Q is not Dynkin, for Dynkin type case we refer to [3, Lemma 3.10]. The transjective component of the cluster category is of the form  $\Gamma_{tr} \cong \mathbb{Z}Q$  and  $\Gamma_{tr} \cong \mathbb{Z}Q^{op}$ . Then, there exist two local slices  $\Sigma$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  of  $\Gamma_{tr}$  whose associated quivers are respectively isomorphic to Q and  $Q^{op}$ . We may choose  $\Sigma$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  such that  $\Sigma_0 \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . By [3, Lemma 3.10] the anti-automorphism  $\sigma$  of the Lemma 4.1 induces an inverse cluster automorphism  $f_{\sigma}$  of order two. Therefore [3, Corollary 2.12] implies that  $\operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{Aut}^+\mathcal{A}\rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It was proved in [3, Theorem 3.11] that for the particular case where Q is a tree, this product is not direct.

The existence of an involutive anti-automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}\Sigma$  give rise to a characterization of finite connected acyclic quiver  $\Sigma$  such that the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}\Sigma$  contains a local slice whose quiver is isomorphic to  $\Sigma^{op}$ .

Let Q be a finite connected quiver and R a subquiver of Q. We call the *closure* of R and we denote by  $\overline{R}$  the smallest full subquiver of Q containing R. A symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$  is a pair consisting of a quiver  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$  and an involution  $\sigma : Q_0 \amalg Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0 \amalg Q_1$ with  $\sigma(Q_0) = Q_0$  and  $\sigma(Q_1) = Q_1$  such that:  $(a) \ s(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(t(\alpha))$  and  $t(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(s(\alpha))$  for all  $\alpha \in Q_1$ (b) If  $\sigma(t(\alpha)) = s(\alpha)$  then  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ .

In other words Q is a symmetric quiver if it has an involutive antiautomorphism. For more details about symmetric quivers, we refer to [15].

**Definition 4.1.** Let Q be a connected quiver and  $(Q^i)_{1 \le i \le m}$  a family of full subquivers of Q. We say that the family  $(Q^i)_{1 \le i \le m}$  is a complete decomposition of Q if the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(C1) Q_0^i \cap Q_0^j = \phi \text{ if } i \neq j$   $(C2) Q = \overline{Q^1 + Q^2 - Q^n}$ 

$$(C2) Q = Q^1 \cup Q^2 \dots Q^n.$$

(C3) The only arrows between two connected components are from  $Q^i$  to  $Q^{i-1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ 

**Corollary 4.2.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver such that the translation quiver  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  contains a local slice whose quiver is isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$ . Then Q possesses a complete decomposition into a family of symmetric subquivers.

Proof. Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver, and  $\Sigma$  be a local slice in  $\mathbb{Z}Q$  whose quiver is isomorphic to  $Q^{op}$ . We consider the spatial representation of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ . Because of Theorem 3.1 and the definition of the spatial representation of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , we assume that  $\eta(\Sigma)$  intersects k + 1planes  $\mathcal{P}_m : y = m$ , where  $0 \leq m \leq k$ . We have  $\eta(\Sigma^l) = \eta(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{P}_l$ , where  $\Sigma^l$  is the full subquiver of  $\eta(\Sigma)$  represented in the plane  $\mathcal{P}_l : y = l$ , via the embedding  $\eta$  of Theorem 3.1. By construction, the family  $(\Sigma^l)_{0 \leq l \leq k}$ is a complete decomposition of  $\Sigma$ . It remains to prove that  $\Sigma^l$  is a symmetric quiver for  $0 \leq l \leq k$ . We have  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}^l) = \eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{-l}$ , where  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma}^l)$  is the full subquiver of  $\eta(\widetilde{\Sigma})$  represented in the plane  $\mathcal{P}_l : y = -l$ , via the embedding  $\eta$  of Theorem 3.1. So the family  $(\widetilde{\Sigma}^l)_{0 \leq l \leq k}$  is a complete decomposition of  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ .

Since  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  is a local slice of  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ , then by the Lemma 4.1, there exists an involutive anti-isomorphism  $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}Q$  such that  $\sigma(\Sigma) = \widetilde{\Sigma}$ .

Because  $S(\eta(\Sigma^l))$  and  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)$  are two full subquivers of  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma})$  located in the same plane with  $|S(\eta(\Sigma^l))| = |\eta(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)|$ , we deduce that the coincide:  $S(\eta(\Sigma^l)) = \eta(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)$ . Since  $S(\eta(\Sigma^l)) \cong \eta(\Sigma^l)$  and  $\eta(\tilde{\Sigma}^l) \cong (\eta(\Sigma^l))^{op}$ , we get that  $\eta(\Sigma^l) \cong (\eta(\Sigma^l))^{op}$ . Thus the restriction of  $\sigma$  on  $\eta(\Sigma^l)$  is an involutive anti-automorphism. Hence  $\Sigma^l$  is a symmetric quiver for all l, with  $0 \le l \le k$ . This completes the proof of our assertion.  $\Box$ 

14

**Corollary 4.3.** Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver and K an algebraically closed field. Then Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent if and only if KQ and  $KQ^{op}$  are derived equivalent.

Proof. Let Q be a finite connected acyclic quiver and K an algebraically closed field, KQ the path algebra. Suppose that Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent. Then Corollary 4.1 implies that there exists an inverse automorphism of the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  denoted by  $\sigma$ . Then, because of [3, Corollary 3.1]  $\sigma$  induces a triangle equivalence  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} KQ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} KQ^{op})$ . Conversely, suppose that KQ and  $KQ^{op}$  are derived equivalent, the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  of the finite connected acyclic quiver Q is an orbit category of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} KQ)$ . It was proved by Keller in [16, Theorem 1] that the canonical projection  $\pi : \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} KQ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_Q$  is a triangle functor. Therefore  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\flat}_Q$  are triangulated equivalent. Thus, according to [17], Q and  $Q^{op}$  are mutation equivalent.

# References

- Assem, I., Brüstle, T., and Schiffler, R. Cluster-tilted algebras without clusters, J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 9, 2475-2502
- [2] I. Assem, G. Dupont and R. Schiffler, On a category of cluster algebras, arXiv:1201.5986v1 [Math.RT], (2012)
- [3] Assem, I. Schiffler, R., and Shramchenko, V. Cluster Automorphisms, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012), no. 6, 1271-1302.
- [4] Assem, I., Simson, D., and Skowroński, A. Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [5] Auslander, M., Reiten, I., and Smalø, S.O. Representation theory of Artin algebras. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- Bongartz, K.; Gabriel, P. Covering spaces in representation-theory., Invent. Math. 65 (1981/82), no. 3, 331-378.
- [7] Buan, A.B., Marsh, R.J., Reineke, M. Reiten, I., and Todorov, G. Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math. 204(2006), 572-618.
- [8] Caldero, P., and Chapoton, F. Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations, Comment. Math. Helv. 81 (2006), 595-616.
- [9] Caldero, P., and Keller, B. From triangulated categories to cluster algebras, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), 169-211.
- [10] Chaio, C., Platzeck, M. I., Trepode, S., On the degree of irreducible morphisms., J. Algebra 281 (2004), no. 1, 200-224.
- [11] Fomin, S., and Zelevinsky, A. Cluster algebras I: Foundations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 497-529
- [12] Fomin, S., and Zelevinsky, A. Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification, Ivent. Math. 154 (2003), 63-121
- [13] Gekhtman, M., Shapiro, M., and Vainshtein, A. Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry, Moscow Math. J. 3 (2003), 899-934.
- [14] Happel, D. Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finitedimensional algebras. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

- [15] Derksen, H. and Weyman, J. Generalized quivers associated to reductive groups, Colloquium Math., vol. 94 (2002), no. 2, 151-173.
- [16] Keller, B. On triangulated orbit categories, Doc. Math. 10 (2005), 551-581.
- [17] Keller, B., and Reiten, I. Acyclic Calabi-Yau categories., Compos. Math. 144 (2008), no. 5, 1332-1348.
- [18] Lusztig, S., Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras., J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no. 2, 447-498.

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Sherbrooke 2500,<br/>boul. de l'Université, Sherbrooke, Québec, J1K2R1 Canada .<br/> E-mail address: ndoune.ndoune@usherbrooke.ca

16