

# A LOCAL DUALITY PRINCIPLE FOR IDEALS OF PURE DIMENSION

JOHANNES LUNDQVIST

ABSTRACT. We prove that a certain cohomological residue associated to an ideal of pure dimension is annihilated exactly by the ideal. The cohomological residue is quite explicit and generalizes the classical local Grothendieck residue and the cohomological residue of Passare.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that  $f^1, \dots, f^n$  are germs of holomorphic functions at  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and that they define a complete intersection, i.e., that their common zero set consists of the single point  $x$ . Let  $B(z)$  be the Bochner-Martinelli kernel at  $x$ , i.e.,

$$B(z) = \frac{\sum (-1)^{j-1} (\bar{z}_j - \bar{x}_j) d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{z}_j} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n}{(|z_1 - x_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n - x_n|^2)^n},$$

and let  $f$  be the mapping from  $\mathbb{C}_x^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}_x^n$  given by  $(f^1, \dots, f^n)$ . Then  $u := f^*B$  is defined and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in a punctured neighborhood of  $x$  and it turns out that  $\varphi u$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x = \langle f^1, \dots, f^n \rangle$ , the ideal in the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_x$  generated by the germs  $f_j$ . Another way of putting this is that the Grothendieck residue

$$\text{Res}_f(\psi) := \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^n \int_{\partial D} u \wedge \psi, \quad \psi \in \Omega_x^n,$$

where  $D$  is a sufficiently small neighborhood (depending on  $\psi$ ) of  $x$ , is annihilated by  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\varphi \text{Res}_f(\psi) := \text{Res}_f(\varphi\psi) = 0$  for all  $\psi$ , if and only if  $\varphi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}_x$ . This is called the local duality principle, see [GH78, page 655 and 659]. Note that the integral only depends on the Dolbeault cohomology class, in a punctured neighborhood of  $x$ , defined by  $u$ . This cohomology class can be defined in a more abstract way but the interesting point here is that we have a concrete condition on  $\varphi$  for membership in  $\mathcal{J}_x$ .

The local duality principle above extends to more general ideals. Assume that  $\mathcal{J}_x = \langle f^1, \dots, f^p \rangle$  is a complete intersection and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the common zero set of  $\mathcal{J}_x$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{z \in \mathcal{U}; f^1(z) = \dots = f^p(z) = 0\},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a small neighborhood of  $x$ . Then Passare found, see [Pas88, Theorem 6.3.1], that in  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  the form  $f^*B$ , where  $B$  is the Bochner-Martinelli form on  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,

works just like when  $p = n$ . That is,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$  if and only if

$$(1) \quad \int \varphi u \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi = 0,$$

for all smooth  $(n, n - p)$ -forms  $\psi$  with compact support in a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $x$  and such that  $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$  close to  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Note that when  $n = p$  the condition (1), even though it looks a bit different, coincides with the condition for the local duality principle by Stokes' theorem. As before the integral only depends on the Dolbeault cohomology class of  $u$  and  $[\varphi u]_{\bar{\partial}} = 0$  outside of  $\mathcal{Z}$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$ , see [Pas88, Corollary 6.3.4].

It is well known, see [Roo62], that if the ideal  $\mathcal{J}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_x$  has pure codimension  $p$ , then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_x$  annihilates the  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{O}_x)$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{CH}_{\mathcal{Z}})$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module of Coleff-Herrera currents in the sense of Björk, [Bjö04], annihilated by  $\mathcal{J}_x$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,p-1}(\mathbb{C}_x^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}))$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module of Dolbeault cohomology classes of bidegree  $(0, p)$ , defined outside of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , annihilated by  $\mathcal{J}_x$ . There is a commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{O}_x) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,p-1}(\mathbb{C}_x^n \setminus \mathcal{Z})) \\ & \searrow \nu \cong & \uparrow \gamma \\ & & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{CH}_{\mathcal{Z}}), \end{array}$$

where  $\gamma$  is defined in the following way: If  $\mu \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{CH}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ , then we can assume that there exists a small neighborhood of  $x$  where  $\mu$  is defined. The image  $\gamma(\mu)$  is then defined to be the class of  $\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is any solution to  $\bar{\partial}\eta = \mu$  outside  $\mathcal{Z}$  and in a possible smaller neighborhood of  $x$ . The mapping  $\nu$  is a well known isomorphism and  $\tau$  is defined to make (2) commute. It turns out that  $\gamma$  is injective, see Theorem 6.1 in [And09]. This means that if  $\xi_j$  are generators of  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{O}_x)$ , then the images  $v_l := \tau(\xi_j)$  satisfy a local duality principle. That is,  $\varphi v_\ell = 0$  for all  $\ell$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$ .

In [And12], there is an explicit realization of the isomorphism  $\nu$ , based on the work in [AW07]. This realization can be used to get explicit generators  $v_\ell$  in  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,p-1}(\mathbb{C}_x^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}))$ , given that  $\xi_j$  are explicit. In the special case where  $\mathcal{J}_x$  is a complete intersection there is only one generator for  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{O}_x)$  and the image under  $\nu$  is, up to a holomorphic factor, the classical Coleff-Herrera product, see [CH78] for the definition, and the image under  $\tau$  is the cohomological residue  $f^*B$  of Passare. The fact that the Coleff-Herrera product satisfies a local duality principle was proved independently by Passare and Dickenstein-Sessa in [Pas88] and [DS85] respectively.

The theory of Coleff-Herrera currents relies on Hironaka's desingularization theorem. In this paper we take inspiration of the explicit realization of  $\nu$  and give an algebraic construction of the cohomology classes  $v_l$  and a rather elementary proof that they satisfies a duality principle. The construction and proof do not

rely on the desingularization theorem of Hironaka or residue currents. We also give an integral condition similar to (1). The case where  $\mathcal{J}_x$  is Cohen-Macaulay is already dealt with in [Lun12]. In [Lej82] there is a related cohomology class in the Cohen-Macaulay case. However, that class arises in a more abstract way not giving an explicit representative.

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank Mats Andersson for suggesting the problem and Elizabeth Wulcan for valuable comments that helped improve this paper.

## 2. A COHOMOLOGICAL RESIDUE ASSOCIATED TO IDEALS WITH PURE DIMENSION

Let  $\mathcal{J}_x$  be an ideal in the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_x$  of germs of holomorphic functions at  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and assume that  $\mathcal{J}_x$  has pure dimension, i.e., all associated primes have the same dimension. Let  $X$  be a small Stein neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the ideal sheaf over  $X$  defined from  $\mathcal{J}_x$ . Let  $E_0$  be a trivial vector bundle of rank 1 over  $X$  and let

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_N) \xrightarrow{f_N} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} \mathcal{O}(E_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathcal{O}(E_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{O}(E_0)$$

be a locally free resolution of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is considered as a subsheaf of the sheaf of sections  $\mathcal{O}(E_0) = \mathcal{O}$ .

Set  $E = \bigoplus E_k$ . We introduce a super structure on  $E$ , i.e., we let  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  where  $E^+ = \bigoplus_k E_{2k}$  and  $E^- = \bigoplus_k E_{2k+1}$ . We say that elements in  $E^+$  have even order and that elements in  $E^-$  have odd order. The grading on  $E$  induces a natural  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on  $\text{End } E = \text{End } E^+ \oplus \text{End } E^-$ , where

$$\text{End } E^+ = \text{Hom}(E^+, E^+) \oplus \text{Hom}(E^-, E^-)$$

and

$$\text{End } E^- = \text{Hom}(E^+, E^-) \oplus \text{Hom}(E^-, E^+).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the zero locus of  $\mathcal{J}$  and let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the left  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda T^*(X \setminus \mathcal{Z}))$ -module  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda T^*(X \setminus \mathcal{Z}) \otimes E)$ . We let the operator  $f := \sum f_j$  on  $\mathcal{E}(E)$  and the operator  $\bar{\partial}$  on  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda T^*(X \setminus \mathcal{Z}))$  act on  $\mathcal{M}$  by the definitions

$$f(\xi\eta) := (-1)^{\deg \xi \deg \eta} \xi f(\eta)$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}(\xi\eta) := (-1)^{\deg \xi \deg \eta} \bar{\partial} \xi \eta,$$

for  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda T^*(X \setminus \mathcal{Z}))$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(E)$ . It then follows that

$$(4) \quad \bar{\partial} \circ f = -f \circ \bar{\partial}.$$

Following the ideas in [AW07] we introduce the operator  $\nabla_E := f - \bar{\partial}$ . The operator  $\nabla_E$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}$  but it also extends to a map  $\nabla_{\text{End } E}$  on the endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}$

by the definition  $\nabla_{\text{End } E} v := \nabla_E \circ v + v \circ \nabla_E$ . This implies that

$$(5) \quad \nabla_E(v\varphi) = (\nabla_{\text{End } E} v)\varphi - v\nabla_E(\varphi),$$

for smooth  $(p, q)$ -sections  $\varphi$  of  $E$ . Note that (4) implies that  $\nabla_E^2 = 0$ , and hence also that  $\nabla_{\text{End } E}^2 = 0$ .

Given a Hermitian metric on  $E$  let  $\sigma$  be the minimal inverse to  $f$  on  $X \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ , i.e.,  $\sigma\varphi = \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is the solution to  $f\eta = \varphi$  with minimal norm, if  $\varphi$  belongs to  $\text{Im } f$  and  $\sigma\varphi = 0$  if  $\varphi$  belongs to  $(\text{Im } f)^\perp$ . It is easy to check that  $f\sigma + \sigma f = \text{id}$  and that  $\sigma^2 = 0$  on  $E$ .

The endomorphism

$$(6) \quad u := \sigma + \sigma(\bar{\partial}\sigma) + \sigma(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^2 + \dots$$

on  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad \nabla_{\text{End } E} u = \text{id},$$

the identity on  $\mathcal{M}$ , see [AW07]. Assume from now on that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$ . Then  $\nabla_E \varphi = 0$  and as a direct consequence of (5) and (7) we get

$$(8) \quad \nabla_E(u\varphi) = \varphi.$$

To define the cohomological residue we take inspiration from [And12] and consider the dual complex of (3),

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_0^*) \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \mathcal{O}(E_1^*) \xrightarrow{f_2^*} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{p-1}^*} \mathcal{O}(E_{p-1}^*) \xrightarrow{f_p^*} \mathcal{O}(E_p^*) \xrightarrow{f_{p+1}^*} \dots$$

Let  $u_p$  be the component of  $u$  that take values in  $\text{Hom}(E, E_p)$ . If  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(E_p^*)$  is such that  $f_{p+1}^* \xi = 0$ , then

$$\bar{\partial}(\xi u_p \varphi) = \xi \bar{\partial}(u_p \varphi) = \xi f_{p+1}(u_{p+1} \varphi) = (f_{p+1}^* \xi) u_{p+1} \varphi = 0,$$

where we have used (8) in the second equality. Also, if  $\xi - \xi' = f_p^* \eta$  we see that

$$\xi u_p \varphi - \xi' u_p \varphi = (f_p^* \eta) u_p \varphi = \eta f_p(u_p \varphi) = \bar{\partial}(\eta u_{p-1} \varphi).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$  be the  $p$ -th cohomology group of the complex  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet^*)$ , i.e., locally a representation of  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_x/\mathcal{I}_x, \mathcal{O}_x)$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the sheaf where  $\mathcal{F}_y = H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,p-1}(\mathbb{C}_y^n \setminus \mathcal{Z})$  for  $y \in X$ . To conclude, we then get a bilinear map

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*) \times \mathcal{O}(E_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

defined by  $([\xi], \varphi) \mapsto [\xi u_p \varphi]_{\bar{\partial}}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$  and assume that  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(E_p^*)$  and  $\phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $f_{p+1}^* \xi = 0$  and  $\nabla_E \phi = \nabla_E \phi' = \varphi$  respectively. Then  $[\xi \phi_p]_{\bar{\partial}} = [\xi \phi'_p]_{\bar{\partial}}$ , where  $\phi_p$  is the components of  $\phi$  taking values in  $E_p$ . In particular  $[\xi \phi_p]_{\bar{\partial}} = [\xi u_p \varphi]_{\bar{\partial}}$ , where  $u$  is defined by (6).*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\nabla_E \phi = \nabla_E \phi' = \varphi$ . Then  $\nabla_E(\phi - \phi') = 0$  and hence

$$\nabla_E(u(\phi - \phi')) = (\nabla_{\text{End } E} u)(\phi - \phi') - u \nabla_E(\phi - \phi') = (\phi - \phi')$$

by (5). If we denote  $u(\phi - \phi')$  by  $\psi$  we get that

$$f_{p+1} \psi_{p+1} - \bar{\partial} \psi_p = \phi_p - \phi'_p,$$

which implies that

$$\xi \phi_p - \xi \phi'_p = -\bar{\partial} \xi \psi_p.$$

□

The following proposition says that the cohomology classes we get from  $u$  essentially only depend on the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume that  $u$  is the endomorphism (6) associated to a resolution  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(E_p^*)$  and assume that  $f_{p+1}^* \xi = 0$ . The cohomology class  $[\xi u_p \varphi]_{\bar{\partial}}$  does not depend on the metric on  $E$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\mathcal{O}(F_\bullet)$  is another resolution of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$  and let  $v$  be the endomorphism associated with  $\mathcal{O}(F_\bullet)$ . Then, for appropriately chosen metrics, there exists a holomorphic isomorphism  $g_0$ , from  $E_0$  to  $F_0$ , and a holomorphic isomorphism  $g_p$ , from a subbundle of  $E_p$  to a subbundle of  $F_p$ , such that*

$$v_p \varphi = g_p^{-1} w_p g_0 \varphi,$$

for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$ .

*Proof.* If  $u$  and  $u'$  satisfy  $\nabla_E(u) = \nabla_E(u') = \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$  but are defined from different metrics on  $E$ , then they still satisfy  $\nabla_E(u - u') = 0$ . Hence they define the same cohomology class by Lemma 2.1. That is, the cohomology class is independent of the metric.

Assume now that  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(F_\bullet)$  are two different resolutions of  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$ . Each of these resolutions is isomorphic to a direct sum of a minimal resolution and a trivial complex, and minimal resolutions are isomorphic, see [Eis95, Theorem 20.2]. We therefore have isomorphisms  $h^1, h^2$  and  $g$  according to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_\bullet & \xrightarrow{h^1} & E'_\bullet \oplus E''_\bullet \\ & & \downarrow g \\ F_\bullet & \xrightarrow{h^2} & F'_\bullet \oplus F''_\bullet. \end{array}$$

By assumption  $E_0$  and  $F_0$  have rank 1, so we see that  $E''_0$  and  $F''_0$  are equal to 0. If  $u^\oplus$  is the endomorphism associated to  $E'_\bullet \oplus E''_\bullet$  and we choose a metric on  $E_\bullet$  that respects the direct sum, we can write  $u^\oplus = u' \oplus u''$ , where  $u'$  and  $u''$  are the endomorphisms associated to  $E'_\bullet$  and  $E''_\bullet$  respectively. Note that  $u^\oplus \varphi = u' \varphi$ .

Assume that  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy the assumption in (ii). Then  $u\varphi = (h^1)^{-1}u'h^1\varphi$ . Analogously we get  $v\varphi = (h^2)^{-1}v'h^2\varphi$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u_p\varphi &= (h^1)^{-1}u'_p h^1\varphi = (h^1)^{-1}g^{-1}v'_p g h^1\varphi = (h^1)^{-1}g^{-1}h^2 v'_p (h^2)^{-1}g h^1\varphi = \\ &= (h^1)^{-1}g^{-1}h^2 v_p ((h^1)^{-1}g^{-1}h^2)^{-1}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g_0$  be the part of  $((h^1)^{-1}g^{-1}h^2)^{-1}$  that acts on  $E_0$  and  $g_p$  the part that acts on  $E_p$ . Then  $u_p\varphi = g_p^{-1}v_p g_0\varphi$  and we are done.  $\square$

Let  $\{[\xi_\ell]\}_{\ell=1}^r$  be a set of generators for  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$ . We denote the cohomology class  $[\xi_\ell u_p 1]_{\bar{\partial}}$  by  $\omega_\ell$ . The cohomology classes  $\omega_\ell$  are the cohomological residues we are interested in, i.e., the ones annihilated by  $\mathcal{J}_x$ , see Theorem 3.3 below.

Note that if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$ , then the simple calculation

$$\nabla_E(\varphi u 1) = (\nabla_E \varphi)u 1 + \varphi \nabla_E(u 1) = \varphi \nabla_E(u 1) = \varphi(\nabla_{\text{End } E} u 1 - u \nabla_E 1) = \varphi$$

and Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$(10) \quad \varphi \omega_\ell = [\xi_\ell u_p \varphi].$$

### 3. A LOCAL DUALITY PRINCIPLE

As before, we let  $x$  be a point in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and consider a small Stein neighborhood,  $X$ , of  $x$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_x$  be an ideal and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the ideal sheaf over  $X$  defined by  $\mathcal{J}_x$ .

Let  $D \subset X$  be a small neighborhood of  $x$  and denote by  $\mathcal{D}_Z$  the ring of smooth  $(n, n-p)$ -forms  $\psi$  with compact support in  $D$  that satisfy  $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$  close to  $Z$ .

**Definition 3.1.** *The residue*

$$\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell : \mathcal{D}_Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is given by

$$(11) \quad \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell(\psi) = \int \omega_\ell \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi.$$

We see that the residue  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell$  is well-defined, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of representative of the cohomology class, by Stokes' theorem.

We define multiplication of the residue with  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$  as the mapping

$$\varphi \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell(\psi) := \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell(\varphi\psi) = \int \omega_\ell \wedge \bar{\partial}(\varphi\psi) = \int \varphi \omega_\ell \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi.$$

We say that  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell = 0$  at  $x$  if there exists a small neighborhood  $D$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_Z$ .

**Example 3.2.** If  $\mathcal{J}_x$  is a complete intersection, then the Koszul complex is a resolution of  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$ . This means that  $r = 1$  and that  $\omega_1$  coincides with the cohomological residue defined by Passare in [Pas88]. In the special case of a

complete intersection of codimension  $n$  the residue  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^1$  coincides with the local Grothendieck residue, cf., Section 1. The proof of this fact can be seen in Example 2.6 in [Lun12].

In view of Example 3.2 the following theorem is a direct generalization of the local duality principle for complete intersection ideals and the local duality principle for the cohomological residue of Passare.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$  and let  $[\xi_\ell]$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ , generate  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$
- (ii)  $\varphi \omega_\ell = 0$  for all  $\ell = 1, \dots, r$
- (iii)  $\varphi \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell = 0$  at  $x$  for all  $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ .

In light of (6) we get that  $u_p = \sigma(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{p-1}$ , thus precisely as in the complete intersection case we get an explicit integral condition that  $\varphi$  must fulfill in order to be in  $\mathcal{J}_x$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E_0)$ ,  $D$  be a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $x$ , and let  $[\xi_\ell]$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ , generate  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$ . Then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$  if and only if*

$$\int \xi_\ell \sigma(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{p-1} \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi = 0$$

for all  $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ , and all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ .

**Remark 3.5.** If the ideal  $\mathcal{J}_x$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then Theorem 3.3 coincides with Theorem 2.4 in [Lun12]. In that case we may choose a free resolution of  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)\mathcal{J}$  that stops at level  $p$ , i.e.,  $E_{p+1} = 0$ . In particular, every  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(E_p^*)$  satisfies  $f_{p+1}^* \xi = 0$ . This means that we may choose  $\xi_\ell$  as the vector with all entries equal to zero except entry  $\ell$  that is equal to one, and that  $u_p$  is a vector-valued  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form. The cohomology classes  $\varphi \omega_\ell$  are then represented by the various entries in the vector  $u_p \varphi$ . We use the Cohen-Macaulay case of the theorem in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Assume that  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and that  $\mathcal{I}_x$  and  $\mathcal{J}_x$  are ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_x$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_x \subset \mathcal{I}_x$ . Moreover, assume that  $\mathcal{J}_x$  has pure dimension and that there exists a small neighborhood  $X$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_y = \mathcal{J}_y$  for all  $y \in X \setminus \mathcal{W}$ , where  $\mathcal{W}$  is a set of higher codimension than  $\mathcal{Z}$ , the zero locus of  $\mathcal{J}_x$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_x = \mathcal{J}_x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_d$  be an irredundant primary decomposition of  $\mathcal{J}_x$  and let  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  be the zero locus of  $Q_j$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_x$  has pure dimension there exist holomorphic sections  $\psi_j$  such that  $\psi_j$  is not identically zero on  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  but  $\psi_j = 0$  on  $\mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{Z}_j$ . Assume for a contradiction that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_x \setminus \mathcal{J}_x$ . Then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_x \setminus Q_k$  for some  $k$ . The  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module  $\mathcal{I}_x/Q_k$  has support on  $\mathcal{W}_k$  and since  $\psi_k = 0$  on  $\mathcal{W}_k$  it follows from the Nullstellensatz that  $\psi_k^M(\mathcal{I}_x/Q_k) = 0$  for some positive integer  $M$ . That is,

$\psi_k^M \varphi \in Q_k$ . Since  $Q_k$  is primary and  $\varphi \notin Q_k$  it follows that  $\psi_k^M \in Q_k$  which contradicts that  $\psi$  is not identically zero on  $\mathcal{Z}_j$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Assume that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$ . Then, if  $X$  is chosen small enough, there exists a holomorphic section  $\phi$  of  $E_1$  such that  $\varphi = f_1 \phi$ . In particular,  $\nabla_E \phi = \varphi$  and  $\phi_p = 0$ , so with  $\xi_\ell$  such that  $f_{p+1}^* \xi_\ell = 0$  we get

$$\varphi \omega_\ell = [\xi_\ell u_p \varphi]_{\bar{\delta}} = [\xi_\ell \phi_p]_{\bar{\delta}} = 0,$$

where we have used (10) in the first equality and Lemma 2.1 in the second one.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Trivial.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Assume that  $\varphi \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell = 0$  at  $x$  for all  $\ell$ . Let  $D$  be the neighborhood of  $x$  so that  $\varphi \text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of points  $y$  in  $\mathcal{Z} \cap D$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_y \subset \mathcal{O}_y$  is not Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a set of higher codimension than  $\mathcal{Z}$  since  $\mathcal{J}_y$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every smooth point  $y \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Pick a point  $y \in (\mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}) \cap D$  and let  $\mathcal{U} \subset X$  be a small neighborhood of  $y$  in  $D$  that does not intersect  $\mathcal{W}$ . If we restrict the resolution  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$  to  $\mathcal{U}$ , the minimal resolution  $\mathcal{O}(E'_\bullet)$  of  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$  have length  $p = \text{codim } \mathcal{J}_y = \text{codim } \mathcal{J}_x$ . By Proposition 2.2 we may change both the resolution  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$  and metric on  $E_\bullet$  and still get assumption (iii) for the form  $u_p$  associated to those choices. Therefore we may assume that  $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$  is on the form  $\mathcal{O}(E'_\bullet \oplus E''_\bullet)$  where  $E'_\bullet$  is minimal and  $E''_\bullet$  is trivial. We may also assume that the metric on  $E_\bullet$  respects the direct product, and since  $\mathcal{O}(E_0)$  has rank 1 we can write  $u_p \varphi = (u'_p \oplus 0)\varphi$ , where  $u'_p$  is associated to the minimal resolution.

Even though the cohomology classes and hence the residues  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{J}}^\ell$  change if we change generators  $[\xi_\ell]$  of  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$ , it follows from the definition of the cohomology classes  $\omega_\ell$  that condition (iii) in Theorem 3.3 does not change. Thus, in light of Remark 3.5 we can change generators  $[\xi_\ell]$  for  $\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*)$  and use Theorem 2.4 in [Lun12] to see that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_y$ . If we do the procedure above for all points  $y$  in  $\mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{W}$  we get that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x$  everywhere except at a set  $\mathcal{W}$  of higher codimension. Lemma 3.6 now concludes the proof with  $\mathcal{I}_x = \{\varphi; \varphi \in \mathcal{J}_x \text{ on } X \setminus \mathcal{W}\}$ .  $\square$

The bilinear map (9) induces the bilinear map

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*) \times \mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

Theorem 3.3 implies that (12) is non-degenerate in the second factor. The fact that (12) is non-degenerate in the first factor follows from Theorem 1.2 in [And12] that is based on residue currents (and hence Hironaka's theorem). It may be possible to prove that it is non-degenerate in the first factor in a similar, more elementary fashion, as in this paper but in order to do that one needs to prove a similar theorem as Theorem 3.3 but for  $E_0$  with higher rank.

The map

$$\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^p(E_\bullet^*), \mathcal{F}),$$



where  $\varphi$  is mapped on the homomorphism defined by  $[\xi] \mapsto [\xi u_p \varphi]_{\bar{\delta}}$  for  $[\xi] \in \mathcal{H}^p(E_{\bullet}^*)$  is closely related to a theorem of Roos, [Roo62], see [And12] for details.

#### 4. SOME EXAMPLES

**Example 4.1.** If there is a resolution of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$  on the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^m \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{O}^r \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}$$

with the trivial metric, then we get

$$\sigma_1 = f^*(ff^*)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 = (g^*g)^{-1}g^*.$$

When the resolution has length greater or equal to 2, the computations of the minimal inverses are much more involved, see [Wul09]. In that case one can sometimes use that it is known what  $u$  is in the case of a complete intersection, cf., Section 1, and Theorem 1.1 in [LW12] to calculate  $\omega_\ell$ .

**Example 4.2.** In two variables, let  $\mathcal{J}_0 = (x^2, xy, y^2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is Cohen-Macaulay and we can choose  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  as the vectors  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ . Using Theorem 1.1 in [LW12] we get

$$\omega_1 = \left[ \frac{\bar{x}^2 d\bar{y} - \bar{y} d\bar{x}^2}{(|x|^4 + |y|^2)^2} \right]_{\bar{\delta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \left[ \frac{\bar{y}^2 d\bar{x} - \bar{x} d\bar{y}^2}{(|y|^4 + |x|^2)^2} \right]_{\bar{\delta}}.$$

**Example 4.3.** In three variables, let  $\mathcal{J}_0$  be the Cohen-Macaulay ideal  $(x^2, y^2, z^2, yz)$ . Then

$$\omega_1 = \left[ \frac{\bar{x}^2 d\bar{y} \wedge d\bar{z}^2 - \bar{y} d\bar{x}^2 \wedge d\bar{z}^2 + \bar{z}^2 d\bar{x}^2 \wedge d\bar{y}}{(|x|^4 + |y|^2 + |z|^4)^3} \right]_{\bar{\delta}}$$

and

$$\omega_2 = \left[ \frac{\bar{x}^2 d\bar{y}^2 \wedge d\bar{z} - \bar{y}^2 d\bar{x}^2 \wedge d\bar{z} + \bar{z} d\bar{x}^2 \wedge d\bar{y}^2}{(|x|^4 + |y|^4 + |z|^2)^3} \right]_{\bar{\delta}}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [And09] Andersson M., *Uniqueness and factorization of Coleff-Herrera currents*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), **18** (2009), no. 4, 651-661.
- [And12] Andersson M., *Coleff-Herrera currents, duality, and Noetherian operators*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **139** (2011), no. 4, 535-554.
- [AW07] Andersson M., Wulcan E., *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., (4), **40** (2007), no. 6, 985-1007.
- [Bjö04] Björk J-E., *Residues and D-modules, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel*, 605-651, Springer, 2004.
- [CH78] Coleff N. R., Herrera M. E., *Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe*, Lect. Notes in Math., **633**, Springer, Berlin, (1978).
- [DS85] Dickenstein S., Sessa C., *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 417-434.
- [Eis95] Eisenbud D., *Commutative Algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry*, Springer, 1995.
- [GH78] Griffiths Ph., Harris J., *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, 1978.

- [Lej82] Lejeune-Jalabert M., *Liaison et résidu*, Lect. Notes in Math., **961**, Springer, Berlin, (1982).
- [Lun12] Lundqvist J., *A local Grothendieck duality theorem for Cohen-Macaulay ideals*, Math. Scand. **111** (2012) no. 1, 42-52.
- [LW12] Lärkäng R., Wulcan E., *Computing residue currents of monomial ideals using comparison formulas*, Preprint, Available at arXiv:1205.4648.
- [Pas88] Passare M., *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), no. 1, 75-152.
- [Roo62] Roos J-E., *Bidualité et structure des foncteurs dérivés de  $\varinjlim$  dans la catégorie des modules sur un anneau régulier*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **254** (1962), 1720-1722.
- [Wul09] Wulcan E., *Residue currents constructed from resolutions of monomial ideals*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), 235-253.