

Deformations of Lie 2-algebras *

Zhangju Liu¹, Yunhe Sheng² and Tao Zhang¹

¹Department of Mathematics and LMAM, Peking University,
Beijing 100871, China

² Department of Mathematics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, Jilin, China

Email: liuzj@pku.edu.cn, shengyh@jlu.edu.cn, zhangtao@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we consider deformations of Lie 2-algebras via the cohomology theory. We prove that a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of a Lie 2-algebra \mathfrak{g} corresponds to a 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} with the coefficients in the adjoint representation. The Nijenhuis operator for Lie 2-algebras is introduced to describe trivial deformations. We also study abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras from the viewpoint of deformations of semidirect product Lie 2-algebras.

1 Introduction

Recently, people have paid more attention to higher categorical structures with motivations from string theory. One way to provide higher categorical structures is by categorifying existing mathematical concepts. One of the simplest higher structure is a 2-vector space, which is a categorified vector space. Furthermore, the notion of a Lie 2-algebra is obtained by adding some weak Lie algebra structures on a 2-vector space [2], where the Jacobi identity is replaced by a natural transformation, called the Jacobiator, with some coherence laws of its own.

For a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{l}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{l}})$, a Nijenhuis operator is a linear map $N : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}$ satisfying

$$[Nx, Ny]_{\mathfrak{l}} = N([Nx, y]_{\mathfrak{l}} + [x, Ny]_{\mathfrak{l}} - N[x, y]_{\mathfrak{l}}),$$

which gives a trivial deformation of Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} and plays important role in the study of integrability of Hamilton equations [7, 11]. In general, a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation is controlled by a 2-cocycle $\omega : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}$ (See [14] for more details). In [5], the authors identified the role that Nijenhuis operators play in the theory of contractions and deformations of both Lie algebras and Leibniz (Loday) algebras. Nijenhuis operators for algebras other than Lie algebras, including for Courant algebroids, can be also found in [1, 4, 8, 9, 10, 18].

In this paper, we study deformations of Lie 2-algebras. To do that, we give precise formulas for the cohomologies of Lie 2-algebras. In the strict case, they are already given in [3]. A 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of a Lie 2-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by a 2-cocycle $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ satisfying that itself defines a Lie 2-algebra structure. We pay special attention to trivial deformations, and introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator for Lie 2-algebras. Due to the abundant content of the

*Research partially supported by NSFC (11101179) and SRFDP (20100061120096).

⁰ *Keyword:* Lie 2-algebras, cohomology, deformations, Nijenhuis operators, abelian extensions

⁰ *MSC:* 17A30, 55U15.

corresponding cohomology theory, a Nijenhuis operator N for a Lie 2-algebra contains $N_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $N_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ such that some compatibility conditions are satisfied.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some notions of Lie 2-algebras and their homomorphisms. We study the cohomology of Lie 2-algebras in detail, and give precise formulas for the coboundary operator. In Section 3, we study the 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of a Lie 2-algebra \mathfrak{g} , and give the conditions on generating a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation as a cocycle condition (Theorem 3.1). We introduce a notion of a Nijenhuis operator, which could give trivial deformations (Theorem 3.12). We construct examples of Nijenhuis operators for the Lie 2-algebra of string type in term of \mathcal{O} -operators. In Section 4, we study abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras. We prove that any abelian extension corresponds to a representation and a 2-cocycle. Thus, an abelian extension can be viewed as a deformation of a semidirect product Lie 2-algebra (Remark 4.4) and can be classified by the second cohomology.

Notations: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is a graded vector space, x, y, z, t, x_i are elements in \mathfrak{g}_0 and a, b, a_i are elements in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} . $Sym(\mathbb{V})$ is the symmetric algebra of a graded vector space \mathbb{V} , and $\odot V$ is the symmetric algebra of a vector space V .

2 Lie 2-algebras and their cohomologies

The category of Lie 2-algebras and the category of 2-term L_∞ -algebras are equivalent. What we call a Lie 2-algebra is actually a 2-term L_∞ -algebra, see [2, 13].

Definition 2.1. [2] A Lie 2-algebra structure on a graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ contains of linear maps $d_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}_i \wedge \mathfrak{g}_j \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$ ($-1 \leq i + j \leq 0$), and $l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, such that the following equalities are satisfied:

- (i) $d_{\mathfrak{g}}[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a]_{\mathfrak{g}}$,
- (ii) $[d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b]_{\mathfrak{g}}$,
- (iii) $[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) = 0$,
- (iv) $[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = 0$,
- (v) $l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z, t) + c.p. = [l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z), t]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p.$,

where $c.p.$ means cyclic permutation. We denote a Lie 2-algebra by $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$.

A Lie 2-algebra is called **strict** if $l_3 = 0$ or **skeletal** if $d = 0$. Usually, l_3 is called the **Jacobiator**, and condition (v) is called the Jacobiator identity. We will denote

$$J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(x, y, z, t) = [l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z), t]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. - (l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z, t) + c.p.).$$

Thus, the Jacobiator identity reads $J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(x, y, z, t) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}'; d', [\cdot, \cdot]'_{\mathfrak{g}'}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}'})$ be Lie 2-algebras. A Lie 2-algebra homomorphism F from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{g}' consists of: linear maps $F_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_0$, $F_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$ and $F_2 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$, such that the following equalities hold for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0, a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$,

- (i) $F_0 d_{\mathfrak{g}} = d' F_1$,
- (ii) $F_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [F_0(x), F_0(y)]' = d' F_2(x, y)$,
- (iii) $F_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [F_0(x), F_1(a)]' = F_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a)$,

$$(iv) \quad F_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p. + F_1(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)) = [F_0(x), F_2(y, z)]' + c.p. + l_3'(F_0(x), F_0(y), F_0(z)).$$

If $F_2 = 0$, the homomorphism F is called a strict homomorphism. The identity homomorphism $1_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ has the identity chain map together with $(1_{\mathfrak{g}})_2 = 0$.

Let $F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ and $G : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''$ be two homomorphisms, then their composition $GF : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''$ is a homomorphism defined as $(GF)_0 = G_0 \circ F_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''_0$, $(GF)_1 = G_1 \circ F_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''_{-1}$ and

$$(GF)_2 = G_2 \circ (F_0 \times F_0) + G_1 \circ F_2 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}''_{-1}.$$

A homomorphism $F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is called an isomorphism if there exists a homomorphism $F^{-1} : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that their composition $F^{-1}F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $FF^{-1} : \mathfrak{g}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ are all identity homomorphisms. It is easy to show that

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ be a homomorphism. If F_0, F_1 are invertible, then F is an isomorphism, and F^{-1} is given by*

$$F^{-1} = (F_0^{-1}, F_1^{-1}, -F_1^{-1}F_2(F_0^{-1} \times F_0^{-1})).$$

In fact, let $(\mathfrak{g}'; d', [\cdot, \cdot]', l_3')$ be a Lie 2-algebra, then one can construct a Lie 2-algebra structure on a graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ by use of a triple $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ with invertible F_0 and F_1 as follows, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, define

- (i) $d_{\mathfrak{g}} \triangleq F_0^{-1}d'F_1$;
- (ii) $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \triangleq F_0^{-1}([F_0(x), F_0(y)]' + d'F_2(x, y))$;
- (iii) $[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} \triangleq F_1^{-1}([F_0(x), F_1(a)]' + F_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a))$;
- (iv) $l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) \triangleq F_1^{-1}\{[F_0(x), F_2(y, z)]' - F_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p. + l_3'(F_0(x), F_0(y), F_0(z))\}$.

Proposition 2.4. *With the above notations, for a graded vector space \mathfrak{g} with linear maps $F_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_0$, $F_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$ and $F_2 : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$, such that F_0 and F_1 are invertible, then $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ defined above is a Lie 2-algebra such that $F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is a Lie 2-algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{g}' is a Lie 2-algebra, we have

$$d'[F_0x, F_1a]' = [F_0x, F_0d_{\mathfrak{g}}a]'$$

Consider the left hand side, we have

$$d'[F_0x, F_1a]' = d'F_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - d'F_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = F_0d_{\mathfrak{g}}[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - d'F_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a).$$

The right hand side is equal to $F_0[x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - d'F_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a)$. Thus, we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}}[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{1}$$

since F_0 is invertible. Similarly, by $[d'F_1a, F_1b]' = [F_1a, d'F_1b]'$, we obtain

$$[d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[F_0x, F_0y]', F_0z]' + c.p. + d'l_3'(F_0x, F_0y, F_0z) \\ &= [F_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, F_0z]' - [d'F_2(x, y), F_0z]' + c.p. + d'l_3'(F_0x, F_0y, F_0z) \\ &= F_0[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} - d'F_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) - d'[F_2(x, y), F_0z]' + c.p. + d'l_3'(F_0x, F_0y, F_0z) \\ &= F_0[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + d'F_1l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) \\ &= F_0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, by

$$0 = [[F_0x, F_0y]', F_1a]' + [[F_0y, F_1a]', F_0x]' + [[F_1a, F_0x]', F_0y]' + l_3'(F_0x, F_0y, d'F_1a),$$

we have

$$[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Finally, by the Jacobiator identity $l_3'([F_0x, F_0y]', F_0z, F_0t) + c.p. = [l_3'(F_0x, F_0y, F_0z), F_0t]' + c.p.$, we have

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z, t) + c.p. = [l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z), t]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p.. \quad (5)$$

By (1)-(5), we deduce that $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Lie 2-algebra. \square

The notion of an L_{∞} -module was introduced in [13]. Given a k -term complex of vector spaces $\mathcal{V} : V_{-k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots V_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} V_0$, the endomorphisms form a strict Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$ with the graded commutator bracket and a differential inherited from ∂ . This plays the same role as $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ in the classical case for a vector space V (see [13, 17]). We say that \mathcal{V} is an L_{∞} -**module** of an L_{∞} -algebra L if there is an L_{∞} -morphism $L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$, in which $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$ is considered as an L_{∞} -algebra. We can also describe an L_{∞} -module by a generalized Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of L . An L_{∞} -module structure on a graded vector space \mathcal{V} is equivalent to a degree 1 differential D on the graded vector space

$$(Sym(L^*[-1]) \otimes \mathcal{V})_n = \oplus_k Sym(L^*[-1])_k \otimes V_{n-k},$$

such that $D^2 = 0$.

In the following, we focus on the 2-term case. Let $\mathbb{V} : V_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} V_0$ be a 2-term complex of vector spaces, and we can form a new 2-term complex of vector spaces $\text{End}(\mathbb{V}) : \text{End}^1(\mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{End}_\partial^0(\mathbb{V})$ by defining $\delta(A) = \partial \circ A + A \circ \partial$ for all $A \in \text{End}^1(\mathbb{V})$, where $\text{End}^1(\mathbb{V}) = \text{End}(V_0, V_{-1})$ and

$$\text{End}_\partial^0(\mathbb{V}) = \{X = (X_0, X_1) \in \text{End}(V_0, V_0) \oplus \text{End}(V_{-1}, V_{-1}) \mid X_0 \circ \partial = \partial \circ X_1\}.$$

Define $l_2 : \wedge^2 \text{End}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$ by setting:

$$\begin{cases} l_2(X, Y) = [X, Y]_C, \\ l_2(X, A) = [X, A]_C, \\ l_2(A, A') = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $X, Y \in \text{End}_\partial^0(\mathbb{V})$ and $A, A' \in \text{End}^1(\mathbb{V})$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_C$ is the graded commutator.

Theorem 2.5. [13, 15] *With the above notations, $(\text{End}(\mathbb{V}), \delta, l_2)$ is a strict Lie 2-algebra.*

A representation of a Lie 2-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ on a 2-term complex \mathbb{V} is a Lie 2-algebra homomorphism $\mu = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{V})$. Given a representation, the corresponding generalized Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{-1} \xrightarrow{D} \\ & V_0 \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_0, V_{-1}) \xrightarrow{D} \\ & \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_0, V_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_{-1}) \xrightarrow{D} \\ & \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_{-1}) \xrightarrow{D} \\ & \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(\odot^2 \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^4 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_{-1}) \\ & \xrightarrow{D} \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the first line is of degree -1 , the second line is of degree 0 , the third line is of degree 1 , and etc. In fact, the degree of an element in $\text{Hom}((\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge \odot^l \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_s)$ is $k + 2l + s$. The corresponding cohomology is denoted by $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{g}; \mu)$ and the differential D can be written in components:

$$D = \hat{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \hat{\partial} + d_{\mu} + d_{\mu_2} + d_{l_3}, \quad (7)$$

where each term is defined respectively as follows:

- $\hat{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_s) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^{p-1} \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^{q+1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_s)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}(f)(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1}) \\ &= (-1)^p (f(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1}) + c.p.(a_1, \dots, a_{q+1})); \end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\partial} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_{-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_0)$ defined by

$$\hat{\partial}(f) = (-1)^{p+2q} \partial \circ f;$$

The operator d_{μ} can be written as $d_{\mu} = (d_{\mu}^{(1,0)}, d_{\mu}^{(0,1)})$, where

- $d_{\mu}^{(1,0)} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_s) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^{p+1} \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_s)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\mu}^{(1,0)}(f)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}, a_1, \dots, a_q) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mu_0(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}, a_1, \dots, a_q) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f([x_i, x_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{p+1}, a_1, \dots, a_q) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}, a_1, \dots, [x_i, a_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \dots, a_q); \end{aligned}$$

- $d_{\mu}^{(0,1)} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge (\odot^{q+1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}), V_{-1})$ defined by

$$d_{\mu}^{(0,1)}(f)(x_1, \dots, x_p, a_1, \dots, a_{q+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} (-1)^p \mu_1(a_i) f(x_1, \dots, x_p, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{q+1});$$


- $d_{\mu_2} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge \odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^{p+2} \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge \odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1})$ defined by

$$d_{\mu_2} f(x_1, \dots, x_{p+2}, a_1, \dots, a_q) = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{p+2q} (-1)^{\sigma} \mu_2(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}) f(x_{\sigma(3)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p+2)}, a_1, \dots, a_q);$$

- $d_{l_3} : \text{Hom}((\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge \odot^q \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_s) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((\wedge^{p+3} \mathfrak{g}_0) \wedge \odot^{q-1} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_s)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{l_3} f(x_1, \dots, x_{p+3}, a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} -(-1)^{\sigma} f(x_{\sigma(4)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p+3)}, a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can write the cochain complex in components as follows:



For a 2-cochain $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$, where $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_0)$, $\omega \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_0)$, $\nu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, V_{-1})$, $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0, V_{-1})$, it is a 2-cocycle if and only if the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_\mu \psi + \hat{d}_\mathfrak{g} \omega + \hat{\partial} \nu)(x, a) &= 0, \\
(d_\mu \psi + \hat{d}_\mathfrak{g} \nu)(a, b) &= 0, \\
(d_{l_3} \psi + d_\mu \omega + \hat{\partial} \theta)(x, y, z) &= 0, \\
(d_{\mu_2} \psi + d_\mu \omega + d_\mu \nu + \hat{d}_\mathfrak{g} \theta)(x, y, a) &= 0, \\
(d_{\mu_2} \omega + d_{l_3} \nu + d_\mu \theta)(x, y, z, t) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

More precisely,

$$\mu_0(x)\psi(a) - \psi([x, a]_\mathfrak{g}) + \omega(x, d_\mathfrak{g}a) - \partial \circ \nu(x, a) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_1(a)\psi(b) + \mu_1(b)\psi(a) - \nu(d_\mathfrak{g}b, a) - \nu(d_\mathfrak{g}a, b) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$-\psi(l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z)) + \mu_0(x)\omega(y, z) + c.p. - \omega([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z) + c.p. - \partial \circ \theta(x, y, z) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(a)\omega(x, y) + \mu_0(x)\nu(y, a) - \mu_0(y)\nu(a, x) - \nu([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, a) + \nu([x, a]_\mathfrak{g}, y) - \nu([y, a]_\mathfrak{g}, x) \\ - \theta(x, y, d_\mathfrak{g}a) + \mu_2(x, y)(\psi(a)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$(\mu_2(z, t)(\omega(x, y)) - \nu(t, l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z)) + \mu_0(x)\theta(y, z, t) - \theta([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z, t)) + c.p. = 0. \quad (12)$$

For a Lie 2-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}; d_\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, l_3^\mathfrak{g})$, there is a natural **adjoint representation** on itself. The corresponding (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) , which we denote by $\text{ad} = (\text{ad}^0, \text{ad}^1, \text{ad}^2)$ now, is given by

$$\text{ad}_x^0(y + b) = [x, y]_\mathfrak{g} + [x, b]_\mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{ad}_a^1 x = [a, x]_\mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{ad}_{x, y}^2 z = -l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z).$$

We can view the Lie 2-algebra structure $(d_\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, l_3^\mathfrak{g})$ as a 2-cochain satisfying some conditions.

Example 2.6. Let $(\mathfrak{s}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{s}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathfrak{s})$ be a quadratic Lie algebra. It gives a Lie 2-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where \mathfrak{s} is of degree 0, and \mathbb{R} is of degree -1, and $d, [\cdot, \cdot], l_3$ are given by

$$d = 0, \quad [x + a, y + b] = [x, y]_\mathfrak{s}, \quad l_3(x, y, z) = \langle [x, y]_\mathfrak{s}, z \rangle_\mathfrak{s}.$$

We assume that $l_3 \neq 0$, and denote the corresponding Lie 2-algebra by **Lie2**(\mathfrak{s}). If \mathfrak{s} is semisimple and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathfrak{s}$ is the Killing form on \mathfrak{s} , we obtain the string Lie 2-algebra **string**(\mathfrak{s}).

Since $\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{R}) = \wedge^k \mathfrak{s}^*$, the generalized Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of **Lie2**(\mathfrak{s}) associated to the adjoint representation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{D} \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^* \xrightarrow{D} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \wedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^* \xrightarrow{D} \\
\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{s} \wedge \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \wedge^3 \mathfrak{s}^* \xrightarrow{D} \dots,
\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

It is easy to show that the nonzero components of D is only $d_{\text{ad}}^{(1,0)}$, d_{ad^2} and d_{l_3} . Denote by $\mathbf{H}^k(\mathfrak{s}; \text{ad})$ and $\mathbf{H}^k(\mathfrak{s})$ the k -th cohomology group of \mathfrak{s} with coefficients in the adjoint representation and the trivial representation respectively.

- For all (-1) -cochain $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $D(a) = 0$. So we have $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s}); \text{ad}) = \mathbb{R}$;
- For all $(x, \xi) \in \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^*$, if $D(x, \xi) = 0$, consider the components in $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s})$, we have $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{s}$, which implies that $x \in \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{s})$, where $\text{Cen}(\mathfrak{s})$ is the center of \mathfrak{s} ; the component in \mathbb{R} is 0 naturally; consider the component in $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^*$, we have $-l_3(y, z, x) - \xi([y, z]_{\mathfrak{s}}) = 0$. Since $x \in \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{s})$, we obtain that $\xi([y, z]_{\mathfrak{s}}) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s}); \text{ad}) = \mathbf{H}^0(\mathfrak{s}; \text{ad}) \oplus \mathbf{H}^1(\mathfrak{s}).$$

3 Deformations of Lie 2-algebras

3.1 1-parameter infinitesimal deformations of Lie 2-algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie 2-algebra, and $\omega_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\omega_2^0 : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\omega_2^1 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $\omega_3 : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ be linear maps. Consider a λ -parametrized family of linear operations:

$$\begin{aligned} d^\lambda a &\triangleq d_{\mathfrak{g}} a + \lambda \omega_1(a), \\ [x, y]_\lambda &\triangleq [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega_2^0(x, y), \\ [x, a]_\lambda &\triangleq [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega_2^1(x, a), \\ l_3^\lambda(x, y, z) &\triangleq l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \lambda \omega_3(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

If all $(d^\lambda, [\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, l_3^\lambda)$ endow the graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ with Lie 2-algebra structures, we say that $(\omega_0, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ generates a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of the Lie 2-algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 3.1. $(\omega_0, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ generates a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of the Lie 2-algebra \mathfrak{g} is equivalent to the following conditions:

- $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ is a 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} with the coefficients in the adjoint representation;
- $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ itself defines a Lie 2-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.

Proof. If $(\mathfrak{g}, d^\lambda, [\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, l_3^\lambda)$ is a Lie 2-algebra, by (i) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d^\lambda [x, a]_\lambda - [x, d^\lambda a]_\lambda \\ &= (d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega_1)([x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega_2^1(x, a)) - [x, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a + \lambda \omega_1 a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda \omega_2^0(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a + \lambda \omega_1 a) \\ &= d_{\mathfrak{g}} [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda (\omega_1 [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} \omega_2^1(x, a)) + \lambda^2 \omega_1 \omega_2^0(x, a) \\ &\quad - [x, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda (\omega_2^0(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a) + [x, \omega_1 a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \lambda^2 \omega_2^1(x, \omega_1 a) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\omega_1 [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} \omega_2^1(x, a) - \omega_2^0(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}} a) - [x, \omega_1 a]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\omega_1 \omega_2^1(x, a) - \omega_2^0(x, \omega_1 a) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, by (ii) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [d^\lambda a, b]_\lambda - [a, d^\lambda b]_\lambda \\
&= [d_{\mathfrak{g}}a + \lambda\omega_1 a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_2^1(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a + \lambda\omega_1 a, b) - [a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b + \lambda\omega_1 b]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda\omega_2^1(a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b + \lambda\omega_1 b) \\
&= [d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda([\omega_1 a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega_2^1(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, b)) + \lambda^2\omega_2^1(\omega_1 a, b) \\
&\quad - [a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda(\omega_2^1(a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b) + [a, \omega_1 b]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \lambda^2\omega_2^1(a, \omega_1 b) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$[\omega_1 a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [a, \omega_1 b]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega_2^1(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, b) - \omega_2^1(a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\omega_2^1(\omega_1 a, b) - \omega_2^1(a, \omega_1 b) = 0. \quad (17)$$

By (iii) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[x, y]_\lambda, z]_\lambda + c.p. + d^\lambda l_3^\lambda(x, y, z) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_2^0(x, y), z]_\lambda + c.p. + (d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_1)(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_3)(x, y, z) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + \lambda[\omega_2^0(x, y), z]_\lambda + c.p. + (d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda(\omega_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}}\omega_3) + \lambda^2\omega_1\omega_3)(x, y, z) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + \lambda(\omega_2^0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + [\omega_2^0(x, y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + c.p. + \lambda^2\omega_2^0(\omega_2^0(x, y), z) + c.p. \\
&\quad + d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \lambda(\omega_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}}\omega_3)(x, y, z) + \lambda^2\omega_1\omega_3(x, y, z), \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\omega_2^0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p. + [\omega_2^0(x, y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + (\omega_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}}\omega_3)(x, y, z) = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\omega_2^0(\omega_2^0(x, y), z) + c.p. + \omega_1\omega_3(x, y, z) = 0. \quad (19)$$

By (iv) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[x, y]_\lambda, a]_\lambda + c.p. + l_3^\lambda(x, y, d^\lambda a) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_2^0(x, y), a]_\lambda + c.p. + (l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_3)(x, y, (d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_1)a) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a]_\lambda + c.p. + \lambda[\omega_2^0(x, y), a]_\lambda + c.p. + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, (d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_1)a) + \lambda\omega_3(x, y, (d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda\omega_1)a) \\
&= [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + \lambda(\omega_2^1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a) + [\omega_2^0(x, y), a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + c.p. + \lambda^2\omega_2^1(\omega_2^0(x, y), a) + c.p. \\
&\quad + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \lambda(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, \omega_1 a) + \omega_3(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a)) + \lambda^2\omega_3(x, y, \omega_1 a), \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\omega_2^1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, a) + \omega_2^1([y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x) + \omega_2^1([a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, y) + [\omega_2^0(x, y), a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\omega_2^1(y, a), x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\omega_2^1(a, x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, \omega_1 a) + \omega_3(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\omega_2^1(\omega_2^0(x, y), a) + \omega_2^1(\omega_2^1(y, a), x) + \omega_2^1(\omega_2^1(a, x), y) + \omega_3(x, y, \omega_1 a) = 0. \quad (21)$$

For the equality

$$l_3^\lambda([x, y]_\lambda, z, t) + c.p. - [l_3^\lambda(x, y, z), t]_\lambda - c.p. = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& l_3^\lambda([x, y]_\lambda, z, t) + c.p. - [l_3^\lambda(x, y, z), t]_\lambda - c.p. \\
= & (l_3^\mathfrak{g} + \lambda\omega_3)([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z, t) + c.p. + \lambda(l_3^\mathfrak{g} + \lambda\omega_3)(\omega_2^0(x, y), z, t) + c.p. \\
& - [l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z), t]_\lambda - c.p. - \lambda[\omega_3(x, y, z), t]_\lambda - c.p. \\
= & l_3^\mathfrak{g}([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z, t) + c.p. + \lambda\omega_3([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z, t) + c.p. \\
& + \lambda l_3^\mathfrak{g}(\omega_2^0(x, y), z, t) + c.p. + \lambda^2\omega_3(\omega_2^0(x, y), z, t) + c.p. \\
& - [l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z), t]_\mathfrak{g} - c.p. - \lambda\omega_2^1(l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z), t) - c.p. \\
& - \lambda[\omega_3(x, y, z), t]_\mathfrak{g} - c.p. - \lambda^2\omega_2^1(\omega_3(x, y, z), t) - c.p. \\
= & 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(\omega_3([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z, t) + l_3^\mathfrak{g}(\omega_2^0(x, y), z, t) + c.p. = (\omega_2^1(l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z), t) + [\omega_3(x, y, z), t]_\mathfrak{g}) + c.p., \quad (22)$$

$$\omega_3(\omega_2^0(x, y), z, t) + c.p. = \omega_2^1(\omega_3(x, y, z), t) + c.p.. \quad (23)$$

By (14), (16), (18), (20) and (22), we deduce that $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ is a 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} with the coefficients in the adjoint representation.

Furthermore, by (15), (17), (19), (21) and (23), $(\mathfrak{g}; \omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ is a Lie 2-algebra. \square

3.2 Nijenhuis operators

In this subsection, we introduce the notion of Nijenhuis operators, which could give trivial deformations.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, d_\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, l_3^\mathfrak{g})$ be a Lie 2-algebra, and $N = (N_0, N_1)$ be a chain map, i.e. $N_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $N_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ are linear maps satisfying $d_\mathfrak{g} \circ N_1 = N_0 \circ d_\mathfrak{g}$. Define an exact 2-cochain

$$(d_N, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, l_3^N) = D(N_0, N_1).$$

by differential D discussed in Section 2, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_N &= d_\mathfrak{g} \circ N_1 - N_0 \circ d_\mathfrak{g} = 0, \\
[x, y]_N &= [N_0x, y]_\mathfrak{g} + [x, N_0y]_\mathfrak{g} - N_0[x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, \\
[x, a]_N &= [N_0x, a]_\mathfrak{g} + [x, N_1a]_\mathfrak{g} - N_1[x, a]_\mathfrak{g}, \\
l_3^N(x, y, z) &= l_3^\mathfrak{g}(N_0x, y, z) + c.p. - N_1(l_3^\mathfrak{g}(x, y, z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. A chain map $N = (N_0, N_1)$ is called a Nijenhuis operator if for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $d_\mathfrak{g} \circ N_1 = N_0 \circ d_\mathfrak{g} = 0$;
- (ii) $N_0[x, y]_N = [N_0x, N_0y]_\mathfrak{g}$;
- (iii) $N_1[x, a]_N = [N_0x, N_1a]_\mathfrak{g}$;
- (iv) $N_1l_3^N(x, y, z) = 0$;
- (v) $l_3^\mathfrak{g}(N_0x, N_0y, N_0z) = 0$;
- (vi) $l_3^\mathfrak{g}(N_0x, N_0y, z) + c.p. = 0$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $N = (N_0, N_1)$ be a Nijenhuis operator, then $\lambda N = (\lambda N_0, \lambda N_1)$ is also a Nijenhuis operator for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $(d_{\lambda N} = 0, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda N}, l_3^{\lambda N})$ defines a skeletal Lie 2-algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} , and*

$$\lambda N : (\mathfrak{g}; d_{\lambda N} = 0, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda N}, l_3^{\lambda N}) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$$

is a homomorphism of Lie 2-algebras.

Proof. It is obvious that conditions (i)-(vi) holds for λN , which implies that λN is a Nijenhuis operator, for all λ . To prove that $(d_{\lambda N} = 0, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda N}, l_3^{\lambda N})$ defines a skeletal Lie 2-algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} for all λ , it is sufficient to show the case that $\lambda = 1$. By the Nijenhuis condition (ii), we have

$$[[x, y]_N, z]_N + c.p. = d_{\mathfrak{g}}(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, z) + c.p.) + N_0 \circ d_{\mathfrak{g}}(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, y, z) + c.p.) + N_0^2 \circ d_{\mathfrak{g}}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z).$$

By the Nijenhuis conditions (i) and (vi), we deduce that $[[x, y]_N, z]_N + c.p. = 0$. Similarly, we can prove that $[[x, y]_N, a]_N + c.p. = 0$.

Furthermore, by the Nijenhuis conditions (iii), (iv) and (vi), we have

$$J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(x, y, z, t) = J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(N_0x, N_0y, z, t) + c.p. + N_1 J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(N_0x, y, z, t) + c.p. + N_1^2 J_{l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}}(x, y, z, t) = 0.$$

Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g}, d_N = 0, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, l_3^N)$ is a skeletal Lie 2-algebra.

To see that λN is a homomorphism, first the Nijenhuis condition (i) guarantees that N commutes with the differential. Since the corresponding F_2 in Definition 2.2 is zero here, it is obvious that conditions (ii) and (iii) in Definition 2.2 hold. At last, the condition (iv) in Definition 2.2 reduce to $\lambda N_1 l_3^{\lambda N}(x, y, z) = l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda N_0x, \lambda N_0y, \lambda N_0z)$, which holds by the Nijenhuis conditions (iv) and (v). \square

In the following, we show that for all polynomial P , $P(N)$ is also a Nijenhuis operator. To do that, we need some preparation.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $N = (N_0, N_1)$ be a Nijenhuis operator, then for all $j, k > 0$, we have*

$$N_1^j l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^j y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, N_0^j z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, N_0^j z) \\ & + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^j x, N_0^k y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^j x, y, N_0^k z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^j y, N_0^k z) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Proof. We prove $N_1 l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z) = 0$ by induction. Assume that $N_1 l_3^{N^{k-1}}(x, y, z) = 0$, i.e.

$$N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1}x, y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^{k-1}y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^{k-1}z) = N_1 N_1^{k-1} l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z).$$

Substitute x, y, z by $N_0^{k-1}x, N_0^{k-1}y, N_0^{k-1}z$ respectively in the equation $N_1 l_3^N(x, y, z) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1}x, N_0 y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1}x, y, N_0 z) - N_1^2 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1}x, y, z) = 0, \\ & N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0 x, N_0^{k-1}y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^{k-1}y, N_0 z) - N_1^2 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^{k-1}y, z) = 0, \\ & N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0 x, y, N_0^{k-1}z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0 y, N_0^{k-1}z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^k z) - N_1^2 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^{k-1}z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the left hand side of the above three equations are

$$\begin{aligned} & N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^k z) \\ & - N_1^2 (l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1}x, y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^{k-1}y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^{k-1}z)) \\ & = N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, z) + N_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, N_0^k z) - N_1 N_1^k l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) \\ & = N_1 l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $N_1 l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z) = 0$, and $N_1^j l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z) = N_1^{j-1}(N_1 l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z)) = 0$. This finishes the proof of (24).

For all $k > 1$, we have

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^k y, z) = l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^k y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^{k-1} y, N_0 z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{k-1} x, N_0^k y, N_0 z) = 0.$$

Therefore, for all $k > 0$, we have

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^k y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, N_0^k z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, N_0^k z) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $j > k$, and substitute x, y, z by $N_0^{j-k} x, N_0^{j-k} y, N_0^{j-k} z$ in the above equation respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^j x, N_0^k y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^j x, y, N_0^k z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{j-k} x, N_0^k y, N_0^k z) &= 0, \\ l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^j y, z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^{j-k} y, N_0^k z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^j y, N_0^k z) &= 0, \\ l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^k y, N_0^{j-k} z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, y, N_0^j z) + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, N_0^k y, N_0^j z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain (25) by considering the sum of the left hand side of the above three equations, and the fact that

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^{j-k} x, N_0^k y, N_0^k z) = l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^{j-k} y, N_0^k z) = l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^k x, N_0^k y, N_0^{j-k} z) = 0.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $N = (N_0, N_1)$ be a Nijenhuis operator, then for all polynomial $P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X^i$, the operator $P(N) = (P(N_0), P(N_1))$ is also a Nijenhuis operator.*

Proof. The Nijenhuis conditions (i) and (v) hold obviously. We can also prove that the Nijenhuis conditions (ii) and (iii) hold similar as the proof of [7, Proposition 3.3], and we do not repeat it here. By (25), we have

$$P(N_1) l_3^{P(N)}(x, y, z) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j c_k N_1^j l_3^{N^k}(x, y, z) = 0,$$

which implies that the Nijenhuis condition (iv) hold. By (24), we have

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(P(N_0)x, P(N_0)y, z) + c.p. = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j c_k l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0^j x, N_0^k y, z) + c.p. = 0,$$

which implies that the Nijenhuis condition (vi) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Let $(\mathfrak{s}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{s}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}})$ be the Lie 2-algebra $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$ given in Example 2.6. As a special case, we consider operator $N_0 : \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$, $N_1 = 0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By Definition 3.2, it is easy to check that $N = (N_0, 0)$ is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if the following equalities hold:

$$[N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}} - N_0 [N_0 x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}} - N_0 [x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}} + N_0^2 [x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\langle [N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\langle [N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} + \langle [N_0 x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} + \langle [x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0. \quad (28)$$

We see that Equation (26) means that N_0 is an ordinary Nijenhuis operator for Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} . The meanings of the other two equations are given as follows.

Proposition 3.6. *With the notations above, suppose that N_0 satisfies (26) and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is invariant under the operator $T_\lambda = \text{id} + \lambda N_0$, i.e. $\langle T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter, then $N = (N_0, 0)$ is a Nijenhuis operator for the Lie 2-algebra $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$.*

Proof. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is invariant under T_λ , we have

$$\langle N_0 x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} + \langle x, N_0 y \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0, \quad \langle N_0 x, N_0 y \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0, \quad (29)$$

which means that N_0 is skew-symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$, and $N'_0 \circ N_0 = 0$. Thus, we have $N_0^2 = 0$. Therefore, by (26), we have

$$\langle [N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle N_0 [x, y]_N, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle N_0^2 [x, y]_N, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0,$$

which implies that (27) holds. Also by (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} + \langle [N_0 x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} + \langle [x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, N_0 z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ &= \langle [N_0 x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} - \langle N_0 [N_0 x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} - \langle N_0 [x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ &= \langle [N_0 x, N_0 y] - N_0 [N_0 x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}} - N_0 [x, N_0 y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ &= -\langle N_0^2 [x, y]_{\mathfrak{s}}, z \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (28) holds. The proof is finished. \square

Corollary 3.7. *With the notations above, suppose that N_0 satisfies (26). If N_0 is skew-symmetric and satisfies $N_0^2 = 0$, then $N = (N_0, 0)$ is a Nijenhuis operator for the Lie 2-algebra $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$.*

Example 3.8. We now construct a class of Nijenhuis operators for Lie 2-algebra $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$, $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$, for a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , where \mathfrak{h}^* is the dual space of \mathfrak{h} with zero Lie bracket. It is called a Lie 2-algebra of string type in [16]. Recall that $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a quadratic Lie algebra defined by, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$,

$$[x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\mathfrak{s}} = [x, y] + \text{ad}_x^* \eta - \text{ad}_y^* \xi, \quad \langle x + \xi, y + \eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle x, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, y \rangle.$$

Let $H = -H^* : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$, be a skew-symmetric linear map. We use N_0 to denote its extension on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$, i.e., $N_0(x + \xi) = Hx$, or in term of a matrix: $N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & N_0([x + \xi, y + \eta]_N) \\ &= N_0([N_0(x + \xi), y + \eta]_{\mathfrak{s}} + [x + \xi, N_0(y + \eta)]_{\mathfrak{s}} - N_0[x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\mathfrak{s}}) \\ &= N_0([Hx, y + \eta] + [x + \xi, Hy] - H[x, y]) \\ &= N_0(-\text{ad}_y^*(Hx) + \text{ad}_x^*(Hy) - H[x, y]) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $[N_0(x + \xi), N_0(y + \eta)]_{\mathfrak{s}} = [Hx, Hy] = 0$. Thus, (26) holds, i.e., N_0 is an ordinary Nijenhuis operator for Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$. Furthermore, it is obvious that N_0 is skew-symmetric and satisfies $N_0^2 = 0$, by Corollary 3.7, $(N_0, 0)$ is a Nijenhuis operator for the Lie 2-algebra $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$.

Now we construct another class of examples of Nijenhuis operators for $\mathbf{Lie2}(\mathfrak{s})$ given in the above example in term of \mathcal{O} -operators. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra, and V be a vector space. Let $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation. A linear operator $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is called an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to (V, ρ) if T satisfies

$$T(\rho(Tu)v + \rho(Tv)u) = [Tu, Tv] \quad (30)$$

Such a concept was introduced to study the classical Yang-Baxter equations and integrable systems. For more information, see [12].

Example 3.9. Let $T = -T^* : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$, be a skew-symmetric \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the coadjoint representation $(\mathfrak{h}^*, \text{ad}^*)$. We use N_0 to denote its extension on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$, i.e., $N_0(x + \xi) = T\xi$, or in term of a matrix: $N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. it is straightforward to check that (26) holds. Also by Corollary 3.7, $(N_0, 0)$ is a Nijenhuis operator for the Lie 2-algebra **Lie2**(\mathfrak{s}).

Definition 3.10. A deformation is said to be trivial if there exists linear maps $N_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$, $N_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and $N_2 : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, such that (T_0, T_1, T_2) is a morphism from $(\mathfrak{g}, d^\lambda, [\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, l_3^\lambda)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$, where $T_0 = \text{id} + \lambda N_0$, $T_1 = \text{id} + \lambda N_1$ and $T_2 = \lambda N_2$.

Note that (T_0, T_1, T_2) is a morphism means that

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ T_1(a) = T_0 \circ d^\lambda(a), \quad (31)$$

$$T_0[x, y]_\lambda = [T_0x, T_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}}T_2(x, y), \quad (32)$$

$$T_1[x, a]_\lambda = [T_0x, T_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + T_2(x, d^\lambda a), \quad (33)$$

$$T_2([x, y]_\lambda, z) + c.p. + T_1l_3^\lambda(x, y, z) = [T_0(x), T_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(T_0x, T_0y, T_0z). \quad (34)$$

Now we consider conditions that $N = (N_0, N_1, N_2)$ should satisfy. For (31), we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}}a + \lambda d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_1(a) = d_{\mathfrak{g}}a + \lambda N_0(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \lambda \omega_1(a) + \lambda^2 N_0 \omega_1(a).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 a &= d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_1 a - N_0 d_{\mathfrak{g}}a, \\ N_0 \omega_1 a &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that N must satisfy the following condition:

$$N_0(d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_1 a - N_0 d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = 0. \quad (35)$$

For (32), the left hand side is equal to

$$[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda N_0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda \omega_2^0(x, y) + \lambda^2 N_0 \omega_2^0(x, y),$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [N_0x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 [N_0x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_2(x, y).$$

Thus, (32) is equivalent to

$$\omega_2^0(x, y) = [N_0x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_2(x, y),$$

$$N_0 \omega_2^0(x, y) = [N_0x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

It follows that N must satisfy the following condition:

$$[N_0x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_0[N_0x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_0[x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N_0^2[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_0 d_{\mathfrak{g}}N_2(x, y) = 0. \quad (36)$$

For (33), the left hand side is equal to

$$[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega_2^1(x, a) + \lambda N_1([x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda^2 N_1 \omega_2^1(x, a),$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [N_0(x), a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, N_1(a)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 [N_0(x), N_1(a)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda N_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \lambda^2 N_2(x, \omega_1 a).$$

Thus, (33) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_2^1(x, a) &= [N_0x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a), \\ N_1\omega_2^1(x, a) &= [N_0x, N_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N_2(x, \omega_1a).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that N must satisfy the following condition:

$$[N_0x, N_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N_2(x, \omega_1a) - N_1[N_0x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_1[x, N_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N_1^2[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_1N_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = 0. \quad (37)$$

For (34), the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \lambda\omega_3(x, y, z) + \lambda N_1l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \lambda^2N_1\omega_3(x, y, z) \\ + \lambda N_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p. + \lambda^2N_2(\omega_2^0(x, y), z) + c.p.\end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \lambda l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, y, z) + c.p. + \lambda^2l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, z) + c.p. \\ + \lambda^3l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, N_0z) + \lambda[x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. + \lambda^2[N_0x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (34) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_3(x, y, z) &= l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, y, z) + c.p. - N_1l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + [x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. - (N_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p.), \\ N_1\omega_3(x, y, z) &= l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, z) + c.p. + [N_0x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p. - (N_2(\omega_2^0(x, y), z) + c.p.), \\ & \quad l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, N_0z) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that N must satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}N_1(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, y, z)) + c.p. - N_1^2l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + N_1([x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + c.p. - (N_1N_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p.) \\ - (l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, z) + c.p.) - ([N_0x, N_2(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p.) + N_2(\omega_2^0(x, y), z) + c.p. = 0, \quad (38)\end{aligned}$$

$$l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, N_0y, N_0z) = 0. \quad (39)$$

Thus, (T_0, T_1, T_2) is a morphism if and only if $N = (N_0, N_1, N_2)$ satisfy conditions (35), (36), (37), (38) and (39). Note that in this case, $N = (N_0, N_1, N_2)$ is not a Nijenhuis operator.

Remark 3.11. A trivial deformation does not give rise to a Nijenhuis operator. This result is different from the case of ordinary Lie algebras. However, the converse is true, i.e. a Nijenhuis operator could give a trivial deformation.

A Nijenhuis operator (N_0, N_1) could give a trivial deformation by setting

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3) = D(N_0, N_1). \quad (40)$$

Theorem 3.12. *Let $N = (N_0, N_1)$ be a Nijenhuis operator. Then a deformation can be obtained by putting*

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 &= 0, \\ \omega_2^0(x, y) &= [N_0x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N_0y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \omega_2^1(x, a) &= [N_0x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N_1a]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \omega_3(x, y, z) &= l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(N_0x, y, z) + c.p. - N_1(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)). \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.

Proof. Since $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3) = D(N_0, N_1)$, it is obvious that $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ is closed. By Proposition 3.3, $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3) = (0, d_N, l_3^N)$ defines a Lie 2-algebra structure. By Theorem 3.1, $(\omega_1, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_3)$ generates a deformation. \square

Example 3.13. Let $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a skeletal Lie 2-algebra. Then (N_0, N_1) is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if the Nijenhuis conditions (ii)-(vi) hold.

Note that $\omega_1 = 0$ in this case, which implies that a trivial deformation of a skeletal Lie 2-algebra is still skeletal.

Example 3.14. Let $(\mathfrak{g}; d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0)$ be a strict Lie 2-algebra. Then (N_0, N_1) is a Nijenhuis if and only if the Nijenhuis conditions (i)-(iii) hold. It is obvious that $l_3^N = 0$ in this case, which implies that a trivial deformation given by a Nijenhuis operator is also strict.

However, for a general trivial deformation, ω_3 could be nonzero, which implies that a trivial deformation of a strict Lie 2-algebra maybe nonstrict.

4 Abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras

In this section, we study abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras using the cohomology theory studied in Section 2. Similar as the classical case, we show that associated to any abelian extension, there is a representation and a 2-cocycle. Consequently, any abelian extension can be viewed as a deformation of a semidirect product Lie 2-algebra, see Remark 4.4. Furthermore, abelian extensions can be classified by the second cohomology group. For nonabelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras, see [6].

Definition 4.1. (i) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}})$, $(\mathfrak{h}, d_{\mathfrak{h}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{h}})$, $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \hat{d}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{l}_3)$ be Lie 2-algebras and $i = (i_0, i_1) : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $p = (p_0, p_1) : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be strict homomorphisms. The following sequence of Lie 2-algebras is a short exact sequence if $\text{Im}(i) = \text{Ker}(p)$, $\text{Ker}(i) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(p) = \mathfrak{g}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathfrak{h}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 \\
0 \downarrow & & d_{\mathfrak{h}} \downarrow & & \hat{d} \downarrow & & d_{\mathfrak{g}} \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow \\
0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathfrak{h}_0 & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xrightarrow{0} & 0
\end{array} \tag{42}$$

We call $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ an extension of \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{h} , and denote it by $E_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. It is called an abelian extension if \mathfrak{h} is abelian, i.e. if $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ and $l_3^{\mathfrak{h}}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$. We will view \mathfrak{h} as subcomplex of \mathfrak{g} directly, and omit the map i .

- (ii) A splitting $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $p : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ consists of linear maps $\sigma_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ and $\sigma_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ such that $p_0 \circ \sigma_0 = id_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $p_1 \circ \sigma_1 = id_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}$.
- (iii) Two extensions of Lie 2-algebras $E_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} : 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{i} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ and $E_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} : 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ are equivalent, if there exists a Lie 2-algebra homomorphism $F : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $F \circ i = j$, $q \circ F = p$ and $F_2(i(u), \alpha) = 0$, for all $u \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, $\alpha \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$.

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an abelian extension of \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{h} , and $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a splitting. Define μ_0, μ_1, μ_2 by

$$\begin{cases} \mu_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 & \rightarrow \text{End}_{d_{\mathfrak{h}}}^0(\mathfrak{h}), & \mu_0(x)(u+m) & \triangleq [\sigma(x), u+m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \\ \mu_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \rightarrow \text{End}^1(\mathfrak{h}), & \mu_1(a)(u) & \triangleq [\sigma(a), u]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \\ \mu_2 : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 & \rightarrow \text{End}^1(\mathfrak{h}), & \mu_2(x, y)(u) & \triangleq -l_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), u), \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $m \in \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$.

Proposition 4.2. *With the above notations, (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) is a homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to the strict Lie 2-algebra $\text{End}(\mathfrak{h})$, i.e. \mathfrak{g} represents on \mathfrak{h} via (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) . Furthermore, (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) does not depend on the choice of the splitting σ . Moreover, equivalent abelian extensions give the same representation of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} .*

Proof. By the fact that p is a strict homomorphism, it is easy to show that μ_0, μ_1, μ_2 are well-defined. Since \mathfrak{h} is abelian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})(u + m) - [\mu_0(x), \mu_0(y)](u + m) \\ &= [\sigma[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - [\sigma(x), [\sigma(y), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\sigma(y), [\sigma(x), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ &= [[\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - [\sigma(x), [\sigma(y), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\sigma(y), [\sigma(x), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ &= -d_{\mathfrak{h}}\hat{l}_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), u) - \hat{l}_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), d_{\mathfrak{g}}m), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\mu_0([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - [\mu_0(x), \mu_0(y)] = \delta(\mu_2(x, y)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mu_1([x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - [\mu_1(x), \mu_1(a)] = \mu_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a).$$

By the Jacobiator identity, we can also show that

$$\mu_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + c.p. + \mu_1(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)) = [\mu_0(x), \mu_2(y, z)] + c.p..$$

Thus, (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) is a homomorphism.

Since \mathfrak{h} is abelian, we can show that μ_i are independent of the choice of σ . In fact, if we choose another splitting $\sigma' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $p_0(\sigma(x) - \sigma'(x)) = x - x = 0$, $p_1(\sigma(a) - \sigma'(a)) = a - a = 0$, i.e. $\sigma(x) - \sigma'(x) \in \text{Ker}p_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0$, $\sigma(a) - \sigma'(a) \in \text{Ker}p_1 = \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$. Thus, $[\sigma(x) - \sigma'(x), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$, $[\sigma(a) - \sigma'(a), u]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$, which implies that μ_0, μ_1 are independent on the choice of σ . We also have $\hat{l}_3(\cdot, u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, which implies that $\hat{l}_3(\sigma'(x), \sigma'(y), u) = \hat{l}_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), u)$, i.e. μ_2 is also independent on the choice of σ .

Suppose that $E_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $E_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ are equivalent abelian extensions, and $F : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Lie 2-algebra homomorphism satisfying $F \circ i = j$, $q \circ F = p$ and $F_2(i(u), \alpha) = 0$, for all $u \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, $\alpha \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. Choose linear sections σ and σ' of p and q . Then we have $q_0 F_0 \sigma(x) = p_0 \sigma(x) = x = q_0 \sigma'(x)$, so $F_0 \sigma(x) - \sigma'(x) \in \text{Ker}q_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0$. Thus, we have

$$[\sigma'(x), u + m]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = [F_0 \sigma(x), u + m]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = F_0[\sigma(x), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = [\sigma(x), u + m]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}},$$

which implies that equivalent abelian extensions give the same μ_0 . Similarly, we can show that equivalent abelian extensions also give the same μ_1 . At last, by the fact that $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$ is a homomorphism and $F_2(u, \cdot) = 0$, we have

$$\hat{l}_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), u) = \tilde{l}_3(F_0 \sigma(x), F_0 \sigma(y), u) = \tilde{l}_3(\sigma'(x), \sigma'(y), u).$$

Therefore, equivalent abelian extensions also give the same μ_2 . The proof is finished. \square

Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a splitting of the abelian extension (42). Define the following linear maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0, & \psi(a) &\triangleq \hat{d}\sigma(a) - \sigma(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a), \\ \omega : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0, & \omega(x, y) &\triangleq [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \sigma[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{-1}, & \nu(x, a) &\triangleq [\sigma(x), \sigma(a)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \sigma[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \theta : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{-1}, & \theta(x, y, z) &\triangleq \hat{l}_3(\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)) - \sigma(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $m \in \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $E_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be an abelian extension of \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{h} given by (42), then $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$ is a 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in \mathfrak{h} , where the representation is given by (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) .*

Proof. By the equality $\hat{d}[\sigma x, \sigma a]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = [\sigma x, \hat{d}\sigma a]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$, we obtain that

$$\mu_0(x)(\psi(a)) + \omega(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = \psi([x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + d_{\mathfrak{h}}\nu(x, a). \quad (44)$$

By the equality $[\hat{d}(\sigma a), \sigma b]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = [\sigma a, \hat{d}\sigma b]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$, we obtain that

$$-\mu_1(b)(\psi(a)) - \nu(b, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) = \mu_1(a)(\psi(b)) + \nu(a, d_{\mathfrak{g}}b). \quad (45)$$

By the equality

$$[\sigma x, [\sigma y, \sigma z]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + c.p. = \hat{d}\hat{l}_3(\sigma x, \sigma y, \sigma z),$$

we get

$$\mu_0(x)\omega(y, z) + \omega(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + c.p. = d_{\mathfrak{h}}\theta(x, y, z) + \psi(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)). \quad (46)$$

We also have the equality

$$[\sigma x, [\sigma y, \sigma a]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + c.p. = \hat{l}_3(\sigma x, \sigma y, \hat{d}\sigma a).$$

Consider the left hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\sigma x, [\sigma y, \sigma a]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + c.p. \\ &= [\sigma x, \sigma[y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(y, a)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\sigma y, \sigma[a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(a, x)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\sigma a, \sigma[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega(x, y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ &= \sigma[x, [y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(x, [y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mu_0(x)\nu(y, a) + \sigma[y, [a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(y, [a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mu_0(y)\nu(a, x) \\ &\quad + \sigma[a, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(a, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mu_1(a)\omega(x, y) \\ &= \sigma l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \mu_0(x)\nu(y, a) + \mu_0(y)\nu(a, x) + \mu_1(a)\omega(x, y) \\ &\quad + \nu(x, [y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \nu(y, [a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \nu(a, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{l}_3(\sigma x, \sigma y, \hat{d}\sigma a) &= \hat{l}_3(\sigma x, \sigma y, \sigma(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \psi(a)) \\ &= \sigma l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \theta(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) - \mu_2(x, y)\psi(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_0(x)\nu(y, a) + \mu_0(y)\nu(a, x) + \mu_1(a)\omega(x, y) + \nu(x, [y, a]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \nu(y, [a, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \nu(a, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & - \theta(x, y, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a) + \mu_2(x, y)\psi(a) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

At last, by the Jacobiator identity:

$$\hat{l}_3([\sigma x, \sigma y]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \sigma z, \sigma t) + c.p. = [\sigma x, \hat{l}_3(\sigma y, \sigma z, \sigma t)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + c.p.,$$

we obtain

$$\theta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z, t) - \mu_2(z, t)\omega(x, y) + c.p. = \mu_0(x)\theta(y, z, t) + \nu(x, l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(y, z, t)) + c.p.. \quad (48)$$

By (44)-(48), we deduce that $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$ is a 2-cocycle. \square

Now we can transfer the Lie 2-algebra structure $(\hat{d}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{l}_3)$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the Lie 2-algebra structure $(d_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}, l_3^{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}})$ on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ using the 2-cocycle given above. More precisely, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}(a + m) \triangleq d_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) + \psi(a) + d_{\mathfrak{h}}(m), \\ [x + u, y + v]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}} \triangleq [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \omega(x, y) + \mu_0(x)v - \mu_0(y)u, \\ [x + u, a + m]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}} \triangleq [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \nu(x, a) + \mu_0(x)m - \mu_1(a)u, \\ l_3^{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}(x + u, y + v, z + w) \triangleq l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) + \theta(x, y, z) - \mu_2(x, y)(w) - \mu_2(z, x)(v) - \mu_2(y, z)(u), \end{array} \right. \quad (49)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $m \in \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$. Thus any extension $E_{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by (42) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathfrak{h}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 \\ 0 \downarrow & & d_{\mathfrak{h}} \downarrow & & \hat{d} \downarrow & & d_{\mathfrak{g}} \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathfrak{h}_0 & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xrightarrow{0} & 0, \end{array} \quad (50)$$

where the Lie 2-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is given by (49) for some morphism (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) given by (43), (i_0, i_1) is the inclusion and (p_0, p_1) is the projection. We denote the extension (50) by $E_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$.

Remark 4.4. In fact, the extension $E_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ can be viewed as a deformation of the semidirect product Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\mu} \mathfrak{h}$ by the 2-cocycle $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$. First, given a representation μ of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} , we can obtain the semidirect product Lie 2-algebra $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\mu} \mathfrak{h}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_s(a+m) \triangleq d_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) + d_{\mathfrak{h}}(m), \\ [x+u, y+v]_s \triangleq [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_0(x)v - \mu_0(y)u, \\ [x+u, a+m]_s \triangleq [x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_0(x)m - \mu_1(a)u, \\ l_3^s(x+u, y+v, z+w) \triangleq l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z) - \mu_2(x, y)(w) - \mu_2(z, x)(v) - \mu_2(y, z)(u). \end{array} \right. \quad (51)$$

Then it is not hard to show that the 2-cocycle $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$ can be extended to the 2-cocycle $(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\theta})$ of $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\mu} \mathfrak{h}$ with the coefficients in the adjoint representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(a+m) &= \psi(a), & \bar{\omega}(x+u, y+v) &= \omega(x, y), \\ \bar{\nu}(x+u, a+m) &= \nu(x, a), & \bar{\theta}(x+u, y+v, z+w) &= \theta(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is straightforward to see that the Lie 2-algebra structure (49) is the deformation of $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\mu} \mathfrak{h}$ by the 2-cocycle $(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\theta})$.

In the sequel, we only consider the abelian extensions in the form of (50), i.e. (i_0, i_1) is the inclusion and (p_0, p_1) is the projection. We fix the representation (μ_0, μ_1, μ_2) and study the relation between equivalent classes of abelian extensions and the second cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mu)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Given a representation $(\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{h})$, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of abelian extensions, in the form of (50), of the Lie 2-algebra \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{h} and the second cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mu)$.*

Proof. Let $E'_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ be another abelian extension determined by the 2-cocycle $(\psi', \omega', \nu', \theta')$. Denote the corresponding Lie 2-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ by $(d', [\cdot, \cdot]', l'_3)$. We only need to show that $E_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ and $E'_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ are equivalent if and only if 2-cocycles $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$ and $(\psi', \omega', \nu', \theta')$ are in the same cohomology class.

If $E_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ and $E'_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ are equivalent, let $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2) : E_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow E'_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}$ be the corresponding homomorphism.

Since F is an equivalence of extensions, we have

$$F_2(u, v) = 0, \quad F_2(x, u) = 0, \quad F_2(x, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_{-1},$$

and there exist two linear maps $b_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $b_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{-1}$ such that

$$F_0(x+u) = x + b_0(x) + u, \quad F_1(a+m) = a + b_1(a) + m.$$

Set $b_2 = F_2|_{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0}$.

First, by the equality

$$d'F_1(a) = F_0d_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}(a),$$

we have

$$\psi(a) - \psi'(a) = d_{\mathfrak{h}}b_1(a) - b_0(d_{\mathfrak{g}}a). \quad (52)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$F_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}} - [F_0(x), F_0(y)]' = d'F_2(x, y),$$

which implies that

$$\omega(x, y) - \omega'(x, y) = \mu_0(x)b_0(y) - \mu_0(y)b_0(x) - b_0[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ b_2(x, y). \quad (53)$$

Similarly, by $F_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}} - [F_0(x), F_1(a)]' = F_2(x, \hat{d}a)$, we get

$$\nu(x, a) - \nu'(x, a) = \mu_0(x)b_1(a) - \mu_1(a)b_0(x) - b_1[x, a]_{\mathfrak{g}} + b_2(x, d_{\mathfrak{g}}a). \quad (54)$$

At last, by the equality

$$[F_0(x), F_2(y, z)]' + c.p. + l'_3(F_0(x), F_0(y), F_0(z)) = F_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}, z) + c.p. + F_1 l_3^{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}}(x, y, z),$$

we have

$$(\theta - \theta')(x, y, z) = \mu_0(x)b_2(y, z) - b_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) - \mu_2(x, y)b_0(z) + c.p. - b_1(l_3^{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y, z)). \quad (55)$$

By (52)-(55), we deduce that $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta) - (\psi', \omega', \nu', \theta') = D(b_0, b_1, b_2)$. Thus, they are in the same cohomology class.

Conversely, if $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta)$ and $(\psi', \omega', \nu', \theta')$ are in the same cohomology class, assume that $(\psi, \omega, \nu, \theta) - (\psi', \omega', \nu', \theta') = D(b_0, b_1, b_2)$. Then define (F_0, F_1, F_2) by

$$F_0(x + u) = x + b_0(x) + u, \quad F_1(a + m) = a + b_1(a) + m, \quad F_2(x + u, y + v) = b_2(x, y).$$

Similar as the above proof, we can show that (F_0, F_1, F_2) is an equivalence. We omit the details. \square

References

- [1] P. Antunes, C. Laurent-Gengoux and Joana M. Nunes da Costa, Hierarchies and compatibility on Courant algebroids, *Pacific J. Math.* **261** (1)(2013), 1–32.
- [2] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans, Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, **12** (2004), 492–538.
- [3] C. Bai, Y. Sheng and C. Zhu, Lie 2-bialgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **320** (2013), 149–172.
- [4] H. Boualem and R. Brouzet, Semi-simple generalized Nijenhuis operators, *J. Geom. Mech.* **4** (4) (2012), 385–395.
- [5] J. Cariñena, J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, Contractions: Nijenhuis and Saletan tensors for general algebraic structures. *J. Phys. A* **34** (18) (2001), 3769–3789.
- [6] S. Chen, Y. Sheng and Z. Zheng, Non-abelian extensions of Lie 2-algebras. *Sci. China Math.*, **55** (8) (2012), 1655–1668.
- [7] I. Dorfman, *Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equation*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1993.
- [8] B. Fuchssteiner, Compatibility in abstract algebraic structures. in *Algebraic aspects of integrable systems*, A. S. Fokas and I. M. Gelfand, eds., *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **26**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 131-141.

- [9] J. Grabowski, Courant-Nijenhuis tensors and generalized geometries, in *Groups, geometry and physics*, Monogr. Real Acad. Ci. Exact. Fís.-Quím. Nat. Zaragoza, 29, 2006, pp. 101–112.
- [10] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Nijenhuis structures on Courant algebroids. Bull. Brazilian Math. Soc., **42** (4) (2011), 625–649.
- [11] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **53** (1) (1990), 35–81.
- [12] B. Kupershmidt, What a Classical r-Matrix Really Is? J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 6 (1999) 448-488.
- [13] T. Lada and M. Markl, Strongly homotopy Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra **23** (6) (1995), 2147–2161.
- [14] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 1–29.
- [15] Y. Sheng, Z.-J. Liu and C. Zhu, Omni-Lie 2-algebras and their Dirac structures. J. Geom. Phys., **61** (2011), 560–575.
- [16] Y. Sheng and C. Zhu, Semidirect products of representations up to homotopy. Pacific J. Math., 249 (1) (2011), 211–236.
- [17] Y. Sheng and C. Zhu, Integration of semidirect product Lie 2-algebras. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., **9** (5) (2012), 1250043.
- [18] M. Stiénon and P. Xu, Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds, Comm. Math. Phys., **270** (2007), 709–725.