Some New Properties of Jacobi's Theta Functions^{*}

Klaus Schiefermayr[†]

Abstract

In this paper, a monotonicity property for the quotient of two Jacobi's theta functions with respect to the modulus k is proved.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 33E05 Keywords: Jacobi's elliptic functions, Jacobi's theta functions

1 Introduction and Main Result

Let $k \in (0, 1)$ denote the modulus of Jacobi's elliptic functions $\operatorname{sn}(u)$, $\operatorname{cn}(u)$, and $\operatorname{dn}(u)$, of Jacobi's theta functions $\Theta(u)$, H(u), $H_1(u)$, and $\Theta_1(u)$, and, finally, of Jacobi's zeta function, $\operatorname{zn}(u)$. Here we follow the notation of Carlson and Todd [3], in other references, like [8], Jacobi's zeta function is denoted by Z(u). Let $k' := \sqrt{1-k^2} \in (0,1)$ be the complementary modulus, let $K \equiv K(k)$ and $E \equiv E(k)$ be the complete elliptic integral of the first and second kind, respectively, and let $K' \equiv K'(k) := K(k')$ and $E' \equiv E'(k) :=$ E(k').

If we want to point out the dependence of these functions on the modulus k, we will write K(k), K'(k), $\operatorname{sn}(u, k)$, $\Theta(u, k)$, etc. In this paper, we follow the old notation of the theta functions, which goes back to Jacobi. There is another notation of the four theta functions given by $\Theta(u, k) = \vartheta_0(v, \tau) = \vartheta_4(v, \tau)$, $H(u, k) = \vartheta_1(v, \tau)$, $H_1(u, k) = \vartheta_2(v, \tau)$ and $\Theta_1(u, k) = \vartheta_3(v, \tau)$, where $\tau = iK'/K$ and v = u/(2K) (note that in some references, like [2] and [4], $v = u\pi/(2K)$).

For the definitions and many important properties of these functions, see, e.g., [2], [4], [5], [7], and [1].

In [3], Carlson and Todd proved that, for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the functions $\operatorname{sn}(\lambda K, k)$ and $\operatorname{zn}(\lambda K, k)$ are strictly monotone increasing with respect to the modulus k, 0 < k < 1. In addition, they investigated the degenerating behaviour of these functions as $k \to 0$ and especially as $k \to 1$. Hence the question arises, whether analogous monotonicity properties hold for the theta functions. Unfortunately, $\Theta(\lambda K, k)$ does not have the same monotonicity behaviour with respect to the modulus k for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Numerical examples show that for small λ ($\lambda \leq 0.5$), $\Theta(\lambda K)$ is strictly monotone decreasing, for large λ ($\lambda \geq 0.6$), $\Theta(\lambda K)$ is strictly monotone increasing in k and for some $\lambda \in (0.5, 0.6)$, $\Theta(\lambda K)$ is not monotone at all in the whole interval (0, 1). However, we are able to prove that for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the quotient $\Theta(\lambda K)/\Theta(\mu K)$ of two theta functions is strictly monotone. In addition, the degenerative bevaviour of $\Theta(\lambda K)/\Theta(\mu K)$ as $k \to 0$ and $k \to 1$ is given.

^{*}published in: Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 178 (2005), 419-424.

[†]University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria, School of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, Stelzhamerstrasse 23, 4600 Wels, Austria, KLAUS.SCHIEFERMAYR@FH-WELS.AT

Theorem 1.

- (i) Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\cos(\lambda \pi) > \cos(\mu \pi) [\cos(\lambda \pi) < \cos(\mu \pi)]$, then $\Theta(\lambda K) / \Theta(\mu K)$ is a positive, strictly monotone decreasing [increasing] function of the modulus $k \in (0, 1)$. If $\cos(\lambda \pi) = \cos(\mu \pi)$, i.e., $\lambda = \mu + 2\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\Theta(\lambda K) / \Theta(\mu K) = 1$.
- (ii) Let $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1)$, $k \in (0, 1)$, then $\Theta(\lambda K) / \Theta(\mu K) \to 1$ as $k \to 0$ and

$$\frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)} \sim \left(\frac{k'}{4}\right)^{(\mu-\lambda)(1-(\lambda+\mu)/2)} as \ k \to 1.$$

(iii) Let $\mu \in (0,1)$ and $k \in (0,1)$. Then $f(\lambda) := \Theta((\mu - \lambda)K) / \Theta((\mu + \lambda)K)$ is a convex function of $\lambda \in (0,1)$ with f(0) = f(1) = 1.

Remark. By the relation $\Theta_1(u) = \Theta(u+K)$, one gets analogous monotonicity properties for the quotients $\Theta_1(\lambda K)/\Theta_1(\mu K)$, $\Theta(\lambda K)/\Theta_1(\mu K)$, and $\Theta_1(\lambda K)/\Theta(\mu K)$.

In [6], we considered polynomials, whose [-1,1] inverse image consists of two Jordan arcs, i.e., we characterized polynomials P_n , for which $P_n^{-1}([-1,1])$ consists of two Jordan arcs (in general, $P_n^{-1}([-1,1])$ consists of *n* Jordan arcs). Since these polynomials P_n can be given with the help of an elliptic integral, Jacobi's elliptic and theta functions appear in a natural way. When describing the shape of the two Jordan arcs, we need the above theorem, see Theorem 22, Lemma 33 and Lemma 34 of [6].

2 Proof of the Main Result

First, we collect some derivation formulas, which are an immediate consequence of formula (710.00) of [2].

Lemma 2. Let $k \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}K}{\mathrm{d}k} = \frac{E - k'^2 K}{kk'^2}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}K'}{\mathrm{d}k} = \frac{k^2 K' - E'}{kk'^2},\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \left\{\frac{1}{K}\right\} = \frac{k'^2 K - E}{kk'^2 K^2}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \left\{\frac{K'}{K}\right\} = \frac{-\pi}{2kk'^2 K^2}$$

Concerning the limiting behaviour of $\operatorname{sn}(\lambda K)$, etc., as $k \to 1$, Carlson and Todd [3] have proved the following.

Lemma 3. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$, then, as $k \to 1$, we have

$$K \sim \log(\frac{4}{k'}), \quad \operatorname{sn}(\lambda K) \sim 1 - 2(\frac{k'}{4})^{2\lambda}, \quad \operatorname{cn}(\lambda K) \sim \operatorname{dn}(\lambda K) \sim 2(\frac{k'}{4})^{\lambda},$$
$$\operatorname{zn}(\lambda K) \sim 1 - \lambda - 2(\frac{k'}{4})^{2\lambda}.$$

Moreover, we will need the following formulas for the derivatives of the theta functions, which are a direct consequence of (1053.01), (1052.02) and (731.01)-(731.03) of [2].

Lemma 4. The following relations hold:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \{\Theta(u)\} = \Theta(u) \operatorname{zn}(u), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \{H(u)\} = \sqrt{k} \,\Theta(u) \left(\operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{dn}(u) + \operatorname{sn}(u) \operatorname{zn}(u)\right), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \{H_1(u)\} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}} \,\Theta(u) \left(-\operatorname{sn}(u) \operatorname{dn}(u) + \operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{zn}(u)\right), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \{\Theta_1(u)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k'}} \,\Theta(u) \left(-k^2 \operatorname{sn}(u) \operatorname{cn}(u) + \operatorname{dn}(u) \operatorname{zn}(u)\right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \{\Theta(u)\} &= \Theta(u) \left(\mathrm{dn}^2(u) + \mathrm{zn}^2(u) - E/K \right), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \{H(u)\} &= \sqrt{k} \Theta(u) \left(-k^2 \mathrm{sn}(u) \mathrm{cn}^2(u) + 2\mathrm{cn}(u) \mathrm{dn}(u) \mathrm{zn}(u) \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{sn}(u) \left(\mathrm{zn}^2(u) - E/K \right) \right), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \{H_1(u)\} &= \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}} \Theta(u) \left(-k^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(u) \mathrm{cn}(u) - 2\mathrm{sn}(u) \mathrm{dn}(u) \mathrm{zn}(u) \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{cn}(u) \left(\mathrm{zn}^2(u) - E/K \right) \right), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \{\Theta_1(u)\} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k'}} \Theta(u) \left(\mathrm{dn}(u) \left(1 - k^2 \mathrm{cn}^2(u) \right) - 2k^2 \mathrm{sn}(u) \mathrm{cn}(u) \mathrm{zn}(u) \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{dn}(u) \left(\mathrm{zn}^2(u) - E/K \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. By (123.01), (123.03) of [2] and Lemma 4, the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{H(v-u)}{H(v+u)} \right) \right\} = \frac{2\operatorname{sn}(v)\operatorname{cn}(v)\operatorname{dn}(v)}{\operatorname{sn}^2(u) - \operatorname{sn}^2(v)} - 2\operatorname{zn}(v)$$

holds which implies

$$\log\left(\frac{H(v-u)}{H(v+u)}\right) = \int_0^u \frac{2\operatorname{sn}(v)\operatorname{cn}(v)\operatorname{dn}(v)}{\operatorname{sn}^2(u) - \operatorname{sn}^2(v)} \operatorname{d}u - 2\,u\operatorname{zn}(v).$$
(1)

Analogous formulas can be obtained for other ratios of theta functions.

The next lemma gives the derivatives with respect to the modulus k for the four theta functions $\Theta(u)$, H(u), $H_1(u)$, $\Theta_1(u)$, where $u = \lambda K$. Note that λK as well as the theta functions themselves depend on the modulus k.

Lemma 5. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the derivatives with respect to k of the four theta functions $\Theta(\lambda K)$, $H(\lambda K)$, $H_1(\lambda K)$, $\Theta_1(\lambda K)$ are given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ \Theta(\lambda K) \big\} &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ \Theta(u) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \Theta(\lambda K) \big(\mathrm{dn}^2(\lambda K) + \mathrm{zn}^2(\lambda K) - E/K \big), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ H(\lambda K) \big\} &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ H(u) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ H_1(\lambda K) \big\} &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ H_1(u) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ \Theta_1(\lambda K) \big\} &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ \Theta_1(u) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \end{split}$$

Proof. The four theta functions $\vartheta_j(v, \tau)$, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, satisfy a differential equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \big\{ \vartheta_j(v,\tau) \big\} = 4i\pi \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \big\{ \vartheta_j(v,\tau) \big\},$$

see [5, p. 375]. By Lemma 2 and since $\Theta(u,k) = \vartheta_4(\frac{u}{2K},\tau)$, where $\tau = iK'/K$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \{\Theta(u,k)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \{\vartheta_4(\frac{u}{2K},\tau)\} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \{\frac{u}{2K}\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \{\vartheta_4(\frac{u}{2K},\tau)\} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}k} \\ = \frac{u(k'^2K - E)}{kk'^2K} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \{\Theta(u,k)\} - \frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \{\Theta(u,k)\}.$$

Thus, for the derivative with respect to k, by Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ \Theta(\lambda K,k) \big\} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \big\{ \lambda K \big\} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ &= \frac{\lambda (E-k'^2 K)}{kk'^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} + \frac{\lambda K (k'^2 K-E)}{kk'^2 K} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ &- \frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \big\{ \Theta(u,k) \big\} \Big|_{u=\lambda K}. \end{split}$$

Since the last identity holds for the other three theta functions as well, this gives the assertion. $\hfill \Box$

Proof of Theorem 1.

(i) By Lemma 5,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} \left\{ \frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)} \right\} = -\frac{1}{2kk'^2} \frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)} \times \left(\mathrm{dn}^2(\lambda K) + \mathrm{zn}^2(\lambda K) - \mathrm{dn}^2(\mu K) - \mathrm{zn}^2(\mu K) \right).$$

Thus, it remains to be shown that $g(u) := dn^2(u) + zn^2(u)$ satisfies the inequality $g(\lambda K) - g(\mu K) > 0$ [< 0] for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\cos(\lambda \pi) > [<] \cos(\mu \pi)$. This property holds since g(-u) = g(u), g(u+2K) = g(u) and g(u) is a positive, strictly monotone decreasing function in (0, K). Concerning the monotonicity of g(u), note that

$$g'(u) = -2\mathrm{dn}^2(u) \left(\underbrace{\frac{k^2 \mathrm{sn}(u)\mathrm{cn}(u)}{\mathrm{dn}(u)} - \mathrm{zn}(u)}_{=:h(u)}\right) - \frac{2 E \mathrm{zn}(u)}{K}$$

where h(0) = h(K) = 0 and

$$h''(u) = -\frac{2k^2k'^2\operatorname{sn}(u)\operatorname{cn}(u)}{\operatorname{dn}^3(u)} < 0 \quad \text{for } u \in (0, K).$$

Thus h(u) > 0 and therefore g'(u) < 0 for $u \in (0, K)$.

(ii) Assume that $\lambda < \mu$ and let $\alpha := (\lambda + \mu)/2$, $\beta := (\mu - \lambda)/2$, i.e. $\lambda = \alpha - \beta$, $\mu = \alpha + \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$. By (1052.02) of [2] and (1), we get

$$\log\left(\frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\operatorname{sn}(\mu K)H(\lambda K)}{\operatorname{sn}(\lambda K)H(\mu K)}\right) = \log(\operatorname{sn}(\mu K)) - \log(\operatorname{sn}(\lambda K)) + 2K\operatorname{sn}(\alpha K)\operatorname{cn}(\alpha K)\operatorname{dn}(\alpha K)\int_{0}^{\beta}\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\operatorname{sn}^{2}(\nu K) - \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\alpha K)} - 2\beta K\operatorname{zn}(\alpha K).$$

Thus, as $k \to 0$,

$$\log\left(\frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)}\right) \sim \log(\sin(\frac{\mu\pi}{2})) - \log(\sin(\frac{\lambda\pi}{2})) + \pi\sin(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})\cos(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}) \int_0^\beta \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\sin^2(\frac{\nu\pi}{2}) - \sin^2(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})} = 0,$$

and, as $k \to 1$, by Lemma 3, a := k'/4,

$$\log\left(\frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)}\right) \sim \log(1 - 2a^{2\mu}) - \log(1 - 2a^{2\lambda}) \\ - 2\log(a)(1 - 2a^{2\alpha})4a^{2\alpha} \int_0^\beta \frac{d\nu}{4(a^{2\alpha} - a^{2\nu})} + 2\beta(1 - \alpha - 2a^{2\alpha})\log(a) \\ \sim 2\log(a) \int_0^\beta \frac{d\nu}{a^{2(\nu - \alpha)} - 1} + 2\beta(1 - \alpha)\log(a) \\ = -2\beta\log(a) + \log(a^{2(\beta - \alpha)} - 1) - \log(a^{-2\alpha} - 1) + 2\beta(1 - \alpha)\log(a) \\ \sim -2\beta\log(a) + 2(\beta - \alpha)\log(a) + 2\alpha\log(a) + 2\beta(1 - \alpha)\log(a) \\ = 2\beta(1 - \alpha)\log(a).$$

If $\lambda > \mu$, note that by the above proved result

$$\log \frac{\Theta(\lambda K)}{\Theta(\mu K)} = -\log \frac{\Theta(\mu K)}{\Theta(\lambda K)} \sim -(\lambda - \mu)(1 - (\lambda + \mu)/2)\log a$$
$$= (\mu - \lambda)(1 - (\lambda + \mu)/2)\log a.$$

(iii) Obviously, f(0) = 1 and, by (1051.02) and (1051.03) of [2],

$$f(1) = \frac{\Theta(\mu K - K)}{\Theta(\mu K + K)} = \frac{\Theta_1(-\mu K)}{\Theta_1(\mu K)} = 1.$$

Further, by Lemma 4,

$$f''(\lambda) = K^2 f(\lambda) \Big(\Big(\operatorname{zn}((\mu - \lambda)K) + \operatorname{zn}((\mu + \lambda)K) \Big)^2 \\ + \operatorname{dn}^2((\mu - \lambda)K) - \operatorname{dn}^2((\mu + \lambda)K) + \frac{2E}{K^2} \Big) > 0$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, which gives the assertion.

References

- N.I. Achieser, *Elements of the theory of elliptic functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 79, American Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [2] P.F. Byrd and M.D. Friedman, Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and scientists, Springer, 1971.
- B.C. Carlson and J. Todd, The degenerating behavior of elliptic functions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20 (1983), 1120–1129.
- [4] D.F. Lawden, *Elliptic functions and applications*, Springer, 1989.
- [5] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R.P. Soni, Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics, Springer, 1966.
- [6] F. Peherstorfer and K. Schiefermayr, Description of inverse polynomial images which consist of two Jordan arcs with the help of Jacobi's elliptic functions, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 4 (2004), 355–390.
- [7] J. Tannery and J. Molk, Éléments de la théorie des fonctions elliptiques. Tomes I, II. Calcul différentiel, Chelsea Publishing, 1972.
- [8] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1962.