

**QUANTITATIVE  
LOWER BOUND FOR LIFESPAN FOR SOLUTION  
OF NAIVER-STOKES EQUATIONS.**

**E.Ostrovsky<sup>a</sup>, L.Sirota<sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Corresponding Author. Department of Mathematics and computer science,  
Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: galo@list.ru eugostrovsky@list.ru

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and computer science. Bar-Ilan University, 84105,  
Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

**Abstract.**

We find a simple quantitative *lower* bound for lifespan of solution of the multidimensional initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in whole space when the initial function belongs to the correspondent Lebesgue - Riesz space, and give some a priory estimations for solution in some rearrangement invariant spaces.

*Keywords and phrases:* Multivariate Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, Riesz integral transform, rearrangement invariant, Grand and ordinary Lebesgue - Riesz spaces, initial value problem, Helmholtz-Weyl projection, divergence, Laplace operator, Besov, Morrey, Sobolev and Sobolev weight norms and spaces, pseudo - differential operator, global and short-time well - posedness, Young inequality, lifespan of solution.

*2000 AMS Subject Classification:* Primary 37B30, 33K55, 35Q30, 35K45; Secondary 34A34, 65M20, 42B25.

## 1 Notations. Statement of problem.

### Statement of problem.

We consider in this article the initial value problem for the multivariate Navier-Stokes (NS) equations

$$\partial u_t - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = \nabla P, \quad x \in R^d, \quad d \geq 3, \quad t > 0; \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{Div}(u) = 0, \quad x \in R^d, \quad t > 0; \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad x \in R^d. \quad (1.3)$$

Here as ordinary

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_d) \in R^d; \|x\| := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}.$$

and

$$u = u(t) = u(t, \cdot) = u(x, t) = \{u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), \dots, u_d(x, t)\}$$

denotes the (vector) velocity of fluid in the point  $x$  at the time  $t$ ,  $P$  is represents the pressure.

Equally:

$$\partial u_i / \partial t = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j}^2 u_i - \sum_{j=1}^d u_j \partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} P,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} u_j = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = a(x),$$

$$\text{Div } u = \text{Div } \vec{u} = \text{Div}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d\} = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} = 0$$

in the sense of distributional derivatives.

As long as

$$P = \sum_{j,k=1}^d R_j R_k (u_j \cdot u_k),$$

where  $R_k = R_k^{(d)}$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$   $d$  dimensional Riesz transform:

$$R_k^{(d)}[f](x) = c(d) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\|y\| > \epsilon} \|y\|^{-d} \Omega_k(y) f(x - y) dy,$$

$$c(d) = -\frac{\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}, \quad \Omega_k(x) = x_k / \|x\|,$$

the system (1.1) - (1.3) may be rewritten as follows:

$$\partial u_t = \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u), \quad x \in R^d, \quad t > 0; \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{Div}(u) = 0, \quad x \in R^d, \quad t > 0; \quad (1.5)$$

$$u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad x \in R^d, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $Q$  is multidimensional Helmholtz-Weyl projection operator, i.e., the  $d \times d$  matrix pseudo-differential operator in  $R^d$  with the matrix symbol

$$a_{i,j}(\xi) = \delta_{i,j} - \xi_i \xi_j / \|\xi\|^2, \quad \delta_{i,j} = 1, i = j; \delta_{i,j} = 0, i \neq j.$$

We will understand henceforth as a capacity of the solution (1.4) - (1.6) the vector - function  $u = \vec{u} = \{u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), \dots, u_d(x, t)\}$  the so-called mild solution, see [28].

Namely, the vector- function  $u = u(t)$  satisfies almost everywhere in the time  $t$  the following *non-linear integral - differential equation*:

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}a + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}[(u \cdot \nabla)u(s) + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(s)]ds \stackrel{def}{=} e^{t\Delta}a + G[u](t) \stackrel{def}{=} u_0(x, t) + G[u](t), \quad (1.7)$$

the operator  $\exp(t\Delta)$  is the classical convolution integral operator with heat kernel:

$$u_0(x, t) := e^{t\Delta}[a](x, t) = w_t(x) * a(x),$$

where

$$G(u) \stackrel{def}{=} F(u, u) = F(u), \quad F(u, v) := \int_0^t [(u \cdot \nabla)v(s) + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)(s)] ds, \quad (1.8)$$

$$w_t(x) \stackrel{def}{=} (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t}\right)$$

The convolution between two functions  $r = f(t)$ ,  $g(t)$  defined on the set  $R_+ = (0, \infty)$  is defined as usually

$$f \odot g(t) = \int_0^t f(t-s) g(s) ds = g \odot f(t)$$

("time-wise" convolution) and between two, of course, measurable vector - functions  $u(x), v(x)$  defined on the whole space  $x \in R^d$

$$u * v(x) = \int_{R^d} u(x-y) v(y) dy,$$

("space wise" and coordinate-wise convolution). The authors hope that this notations does not follow the confusion.

More results about the existence, uniqueness, numerical methods, and a priori estimates in the different Banach function spaces: Lebesgue-Riesz  $L_p$ , Morrey, Besov for this solutions see, e.g. in [1]- [41]. The first and besides famous result belong to J.Leray [25]; it is established there in particular the *global in time* solvability and uniqueness of NS system in the space  $L_2(R^d)$  and was obtained a very interest a priori estimate for solution.

The immediate predecessor for offered article is the article of T.Kato [18]; in this article was considered the case  $a(\cdot) \in L_d(R^d)$ . See also celebrate works of H. Fujita and T.Kato [10], Y.Giga [12] - [15], T.Kato [19] etc.

T.Kato in [18] proved in particular that if the initial function  $a = a(x)$  belongs to the space  $L_d(R^d)$  (in our notations), then there exists a positive time value  $T > 0$  (lifespan of solution) such that the solution of NS system  $u = u(x, t)$  there exists for  $t \in (0, T)$ , is smooth and satisfy some a priori integral estimates.

Furthermore, if the norm  $\|a\|_{L_d(R^d)}$  is sufficiently small, then  $T = \infty$ , i.e. the solution  $u = u(x, t)$  is global.

The *upper* estimate for the value  $T$ , conditions for finite-time blow-up and asymptotical behavior of solution as  $t \rightarrow T - 0$  see in the articles [53], [54], [2], [1], [55], [27], [31], [32] etc.

**Our purpose in this report is to obtain the quantitative simple lower estimates for the lifespan of solution  $T$ .**

For the chemotaxis equations under some additional conditions this estimate is obtained in [16].

In detail, we understand as a solution  $u = u(x, t)$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$  together with T.Kato [18] the mild solution of NS equations such that for all the values  $q \geq d$

$$t^{(1-d/q)/2} \cdot u \in BC([0, T], L_q^0), \quad t^{1-d/2q} \cdot \nabla u \in BC([0, T], L_q^0). \quad (1.9)$$

The critical value of the variable  $T$ , more exactly, for its lower estimate does not depend on the variable  $q$ .

Here  $T \in (0, \infty)$ ; the case  $T = \infty$  implies the global (in time) solution.

The space  $BC([0, T], L_q^0)$  consists by definition on all the functions  $v = v(x, t)$ ,  $x \in R^d$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  with zero divergence and finite norm

$$\|v(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{BC([0, T], L_q^0)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_q. \quad (1.10)$$

Will be also presumed for all the functions from the space  $BC([0, T], L_q^0)$  the *continuity* on the time  $t$  in the  $L_q$ ,  $q \geq d$  sense:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \|v(\cdot, t) - v(\cdot, s)\|_q = 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

At the value  $t = 0+$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \|u(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, 0)\|_q = 0, \quad q \geq d.$$

For instance, the initial condition  $a(x) = u(x, 0)$  will be understood as follows:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \|u(\cdot, t) - a(\cdot)\|_d = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

This estimates allow us to establish some new properties of solution and develop numerical methods.

Note that this statement of problem appeared in [65].

## 2 Some Notations, with Clarification.

As ordinary, for the measurable function  $x \rightarrow u(x)$ ,  $x \in R^d$

$$\|u\|_p = \left[ \int_{R^d} |u(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Multidimensional case.*

Let  $u = \vec{u} = \{u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_d(x)\}$  be measurable vector - function:  $u_k : R^d \rightarrow R$ . We can define as ordinary the  $L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$  norm of the function  $u$  by the following way:

$$\|u\|_p := \max_{k=1,2,\dots,d} \|u_k\|_p, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Define also

$$K_S(d, p) := \pi^{-1/2} d^{-1/p} \left( \frac{p-1}{d-p} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1+d/2) \Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(d/p) \Gamma(1+d-d/p)} \right\}^{1/d}. \quad (2.4)$$

The function  $K_S(d, p)$  is the optimal (i.e. minimal) value in the famous Sobolev's inequality

$$\|\phi\|_r \leq K_S(d, q) \|\nabla \phi\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q < d, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{d}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad (2.5)$$

see Bliss [43], (1930); Talenti, [52], (1995).

$$\tilde{\omega}(d) := \frac{4\pi^{d/2-1}}{\Gamma(d/2)}, \quad \omega(d) := \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)},$$

$$c(d) = -\frac{\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}. \quad \Omega_k(x) = x_k/|x|.$$

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_d) \in R^d \Rightarrow \|x\| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}.$$

The explicit view for Riesz's transform has a view

$$R_k[f](x) = R_k^{(d)}[f](x) = c(d) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\|y\| > \epsilon} \|y\|^{-d} \Omega_k(y) f(x-y) dy. \quad (2.6)$$

The ultimate result in this direction belongs to T.Iwaniec and G.Martin [50]: the *upper estimate* value  $\|R_k\|(L_p \rightarrow L_p)$  does not dependent on the dimension  $d$  and coincides with the Pichorides constant:

$$K_R(p) := \|R_k\|(L_p \rightarrow L_p) = \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{2p^*} \right), \quad p^* = \max(p, p/(p-1)), \quad p > 1. \quad (2.7)$$

For instance,  $K_R(3) = \sqrt{3}$ .

T.Iwaniec and G.Martin considered also the vectorial Riesz transform.  
See for additional information [42], [35], chapter 2, section 4; [36], chapter 3.

We will use the famous Young inequality for the (measurable) functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  :

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq K_{BL}(p, q) \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad 1/r + 1 = 1/p + 1/q, \quad p, q, r > 1,$$

where  $d$  is a dimension of arguments of a functions  $f, g$  and the optimal value of "constant"  $K_{BL}(p, q)$  was obtained by H.J.Brascamp and E.H. Lieb [44]:

$$K_{BL}(d; p, q) = K_{BL}(p, q) = \left[ p^{1/p} s^{-1/s} q^{1/q} t^{-1/t} r^{1/r} z^{-1/z} \right]^{d/2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$s = p/(p-1), \quad t = q/(q-1), \quad z = r/(r-1).$$

Note that  $K_{BL}(d; p, q) \leq 1$ .

Let us denote

$$M(d, r) = \|w_1(\cdot)\|_r, \quad r \geq 1.$$

We deduce by direct computation

$$M(d, r) = (4\pi)^{-d/2} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-r\|x\|^2/(4t)} dx \right]^{1/r} = 2^{d/r} \pi^{-d(1-1/r)/2} r^{-d/2r}.$$

Therefore

$$\|w_t(\cdot)\|_r = t^{-d(1-1/r)/2} M(d, r) = t^{-d(1-1/r)/2} 2^{d/r} \pi^{-d(1-1/r)/2} r^{-d/2r}. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that the value  $M(d, r)$  allows a very simple estimates:  $M(d, r) < 2^d$ .

Let us denote  $K_0 = K_0(d, \delta) = K_9(a; d, \delta) :=$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{(1-\delta)/2} \|u_0(t)\|_{d/\delta} \right] &= \sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{(1-\delta)/2} \|e^{t\Delta} a\|_{d/\delta} \right] = \\ &= \sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{(1-\delta)/2} \|w_t * a\|_{d/\delta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude as a consequence using Young inequality: if  $t > 0$ ,  $a \in L_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\delta = \text{const} \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$K_0(d, \delta) \leq K_{BL}(d; d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot M(d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot \|a\|_d. \quad (2.10)$$

We get analogously denoting  $K'_0 = K'_0(d) = K'_0(a; d) :=$

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{1/2} \cdot \|\nabla u_0(t)\|_d \right] = \sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{1/2} \|\nabla e^{t\Delta} a\|_d \right] = \sup_{t>0} \left[ t^{1/2} \|(\nabla w_t) * a\|_d \right] :$$

$$K'_0 \leq 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}(d; d, d) \cdot M\left(d, \frac{d^2}{d-1}\right) \cdot \|a\|_d. \quad (2.11)$$

Notice [18] that for the operator (non-linear)  $G$  are true the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gu\|_{d/\gamma} &\leq K_R(d/\alpha) K_R(d/\beta) \\ \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)/2} \|u(s)\|_{d/\alpha} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{d/\beta} ds &= \\ K_R(d/\alpha) K_R(d/\beta) \left\{ t^{-(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)/2} \odot \left[ \|u(t)\|_{d/\alpha} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{d/\beta} \right] \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla Gu\|_{d/\gamma} &\leq K_R(d/\alpha) K_R(d/\beta) \times \\ \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(1+\alpha+\beta-\gamma)/2} \|u(s)\|_{d/\alpha} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{d/\beta} ds &= \\ K_R(d/\alpha) K_R(d/\beta) \left\{ t^{-(1+\alpha+\beta-\gamma)/2} \odot \left[ \|u(t)\|_{d/\alpha} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{d/\beta} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \gamma \leq \alpha + \beta < d.$$

Another useful inequalities:  $\|e^{t\Delta}v\|_q \leq$

$$K_{BL}\left(d; \frac{pq}{pq+p-q}, p\right) \cdot M\left(d, \frac{pq}{pq+p-q}\right) \cdot \|v\|_p \cdot t^{-(d/p-d/q)/2}, \quad 1 < p \leq q < \infty; \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta}v\|_q \leq 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}\left(d; \frac{pq}{pq+p-q}, p\right).$$

$$M\left(d; d + \frac{pq}{pq+p-q}\right) \cdot \|v\|_p \cdot t^{-(1+d/p-d/q)/2}, \quad 1 < p \leq q < \infty; \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|F(u, v)\|_p \leq \|u\|_r \|v\|_s, \quad p, r, s > 1, \quad 1/p = 1/r + 1/s. \quad (2.16)$$

We denote for simplicity

$$M'(d, r) = 0.5M(d, d+r),$$

so that

$$\|\nabla w_t\|_r = M'(d, r) \cdot t^{-1/2-d(1-1/r)/2}$$

and

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta} v\|_q \leq K_{BL}(d; r_0(p, q), p) \cdot M'(d, r_0(p, q)) \cdot \|v\|_p \cdot t^{-(1+d(1-1/r_0(p, q)))/2}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r_0(p, q)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that  $M'(d, r) \leq 2^{d-1}$ .

### 3 Main result.

We suppose in this section that the initial function  $a = a(x)$  belong to the space  $L_d$ :  $\|a\|_d < \infty$ , and such that  $\text{Div } a = 0$ .

#### 1. Derivation of the basic inequalities.

Let  $\delta$  be arbitrary fixed number from the set  $(0, 1)$ . We denote

$$u_0 = u_0(x, t) = e^{t\Delta} a = [w_t * a](x) \quad (3.1)$$

and consider together with T.Kato [18] the following recursion:

$$u_{n+1} = u_0 + Gu_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that the functions  $u_n = u_n(x, t)$  are vector functions.

We denote also  $K_n = K_n(d, \delta) = K_n(a; d, \delta) :=$

$$\sup_{t>0} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_n\|_{BC([0, \infty); L_{d/\delta})} = \sup_{t>0} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_n\|_{d/\delta}, \quad (3.3)$$

$K'_n = K'_n(d) = K'_n(a; d, \delta) :=$

$$\sup_{t>0} \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_n\|_{BC([0, \infty); L_d)} = \sup_{t>0} \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_n\|_d, \quad (3.4)$$

$K_n(T) = K_n(d, \delta; T) = K_n(a; d, \delta; T) :=$

$$\|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_n\|_{BC([0, T]; L_{d/\delta})} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_n\|_{d/\delta}, \quad (3.3')$$

$K'_n(T) = K'_n(d; T) = K'_n(a; d; T) :=$

$$\|t^{1/2} \nabla u_n\|_{BC([0, T]; L_d)} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_n\|_d, \quad (3.4')$$

and correspondingly

$K_0 = K_0(d, \delta) = K_0(a; d, \delta) :=$



$$\|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_0\|_{BC([0, \infty); L_{d/\delta})} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_0\|_{d/\delta}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$K'_0 = K'_0(d, \delta) = K'_0(a; d, \delta) :=$$

$$K'_0 = K'_0(d) = \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_0\|_{BC([0, \infty); L_d)} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_0\|_d. \quad (3.6)$$

$$K_0(T) = K_0(d, \delta; T) = K_0(a; d, \delta; T) :=$$

$$K_0(T) = K_0(d, \delta; T) = \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_0\|_{BC([0, T]; L_{d/\delta})} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} u_0\|_{d/\delta}, \quad (3.5')$$

$$K'_0(T) = K'_0(d, \delta; T) = K'_0(a; d, \delta; T) :=$$

$$K'_0(T) = K'_0(d; T) = \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_0\|_{BC([0, T]; L_d)} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{1/2} \nabla u_0\|_d. \quad (3.6')$$

Obviously,

$$K'_n(d; T) < K'_n(d), \quad K_n(d; T) < K_n(d).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} K_0(T) = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} K'_0(T) = 0,$$

see [18].

As we know, see (2.10), (2.11),

$$K_0(d, \delta) \leq K_{BL}(d; d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot M(d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot \|a\|_d, \quad (3.7)$$

$$K'_0(d) \leq 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}(d; d, d) \cdot M(d, 1) \cdot \|a\|_d. \quad (3.8)$$

Further, we find using (2.12) and (2.13):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gu_n\|_{d/\delta} &\leq K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot \int_0^t \|\nabla u_n(t-s)\|_d \cdot \|u_n(s)\|_{d/\delta} ds \leq \\ &K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} \cdot s^{-(1-\delta)/2} ds = \\ &K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot t^{-(1-\delta)/2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\Gamma((1+\delta)/2)} = \\ &K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot t^{-(1-\delta)/2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\Gamma((1+\delta)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{n+1} &\leq K_{BL}(d; d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot M(d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot \|a\|_d + \\
&K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\Gamma((1 + \delta)/2)}. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain analogously

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla Gu(t)\|_d &\leq K_R^2(d) \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(1+\delta)/2} \|u(s)\|_d \|\nabla u(s)\|_d ds \leq \\
&K_R^2(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(1+\delta)/2} s^{-1+\delta/2} ds = \\
&K_R^2(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot t^{-(1-\delta)/2} \int_0^1 (1-z)^{-(1+\delta)/2} z^{\delta/2-1} dz = \\
&K_R^2(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot t^{-(1-\delta)/2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma((1-\delta)/2) \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following,

$$\begin{aligned}
K'_{n+1} &\leq 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}(d; d, d) \cdot M(d, 1) \cdot \|a\|_d + \\
&K_R^2(d) \cdot K_n \cdot K'_n \cdot \frac{\Gamma((1-\delta)/2) \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up the local. Let us denote

$$S_1 = S_1(d, \delta) = K_{BL}(d; d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))) \cdot M(d, d/(d - (1 + \delta))), \tag{3.11}$$

$$J_1 = J_1(d, \delta) = K_R(d/\delta) \cdot K_R(d) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\Gamma((1 + \delta)/2)}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$S_2 = S_2(d) = 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}(d; d, d) \cdot M(d, 1), \tag{3.13}$$

$$J_2 = J_2(d, \delta) = K_R^2(d) \cdot \frac{\Gamma((1-\delta)/2) \Gamma(\delta/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{3.14}$$

We obtained the following system of recurrent inequalities for the vector sequence  $(K_n, K'_n)$  :

$$K_{n+1} \leq K_0 + J_1 K_n K'_n, \tag{3.15}$$

$$K'_{n+1} \leq K'_0 + J_2 K_n K'_n \tag{3.16}$$

with initial conditions  $(K_0, K'_0)$ , where  $K_0 \leq S_1(d, \delta) \cdot \|a\|_d$ ,  $K'_0 \leq S_2(d) \cdot \|a\|_d$ .

## 2. Auxiliary facts.

Let us consider the following non-linear recurrent inequality:  $x_n \geq 0$ ,

$$x_{n+1} \leq \alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_n^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.17)$$

with initial condition  $x_0 = x(0) > 0$ . Denote

$$D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\beta - 1)^2 - 4\alpha\gamma, \quad Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1 - \beta + \sqrt{D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}}{2\gamma}. \quad (3.18)$$

**Lemma 1.** Let

$$\alpha, \gamma > 0, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) > 0, \quad Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) > 0, \quad x(0) < Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Then

$$\sup_n x_n \leq Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (3.19)$$

This assertion may be proved easily by means of induction over  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let us consider the following system of recurrent relations:  $x_n, y_n \geq 0$ ,

$$x_{n+1} \leq \alpha_1 + \beta_1 x_n y_n, \quad (3.20)$$

$$y_{n+1} \leq \alpha_2 + \beta_2 x_n y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.21)$$

with *positive* initial conditions  $x_0 = x(0)$ ,  $y_0 = y(0)$ .

We retain last notations and add some news:

$$Det_1 = Det_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \stackrel{def}{=} \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2, \quad Det_2 = -Det_1, \quad (3.22)$$

$$D_1 = D_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \stackrel{def}{=} (Det_1 + 1)^2 - 4\alpha_1 \beta_2, \quad (3.23)$$

$$D_2 = D_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \stackrel{def}{=} (Det_2 + 1)^2 - 4\alpha_2 \beta_1. \quad (3.24)$$

Suppose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, D_1, D_2 > 0$ ,

$$Z(\alpha_1, Det_1, \beta_2) > 0, \quad Z(\alpha_2, Det_2, \beta_1) > 0,$$

$$0 < x(0) < Z(\alpha_1, Det_1, \beta_2), \quad 0 < y(0) < Z(\alpha_2, Det_2, \beta_1).$$

We assert by induction based on the lemma 1:

$$\sup_n x_n \leq Z(\alpha_1, Det_1, \beta_2), \quad \sup_n y_n \leq Z(\alpha_2, Det_2, \beta_1). \quad (3.25)$$

### 3. Main result.

We restrict ourselves in relations (3.15) - (3.16) only the time interval  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $T < \infty$ . We obtain then the following system of non-linear inequalities:

$$K_{n+1}(T) \leq K_0(T) + J_1 K_n(T) \cdot K'_n(T), \quad (3.26)$$

$$K'_{n+1}(T) \leq K'_0(T) + J_2 K_n(T) \cdot K'_n(T). \quad (3.27)$$

We have taken into account the notations (3.5') - (3.6'). It remains to use the estimates (3.25). Namely, we denote using last notations

$$s_1 = s_1(d, \delta) = J_1(d, \delta)K'_0(T) - J_2(d, \delta)K_0(T), \quad s_2(d, \delta) = -s_1(d, \delta); \quad (3.28)$$

$$V_1(a(\cdot); d, \delta; T) = Z(K_0(T); s_1(d, \delta), J_2(d, \delta)), \quad (3.29)$$

$$V_2(a(\cdot); d, \delta; T) = Z(K'_0(T); s_2(d, \delta), J_1(d, \delta)). \quad (3.30)$$

We have proved in fact the following assertion:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let as before  $a \in L_d(R^d)$ . Define the set  $L = L(a; d, \delta)$  as a set  $\{T_0\}$  of all the values  $T_0$  as an arbitrary positive solutions of inequalities*

$$K_0(T_0) < V_1(a(\cdot); d, \delta, T_0), \quad (3.31)$$

$$K'_0(T_0) < V_2(a(\cdot), d, \delta; T_0). \quad (3.32)$$

*Then the lifespan of solution  $T^*$  of NS equations is greatest than  $T_0$  :*

$$T^* \geq \sup\{T_0(a; d, \delta), t_0 \in L\} \stackrel{def}{=} \hat{T} = \hat{T}(a; d, \delta). \quad (3.33)$$

**Remark 3.1.** As long as  $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0+} [K_0(T) + K'_0(T)] = 0$  and

$$|s_1|, |s_2| \leq J_1(d, \delta)K'_0(T) + J_2(d, \delta)K_0(T) \leq J_1(d, \delta)K'_0 + J_2(d, \delta)K_0,$$

the system of inequalities (3.31), (3.32) has at last one positive solution.

**Remark 3.2.** Evidently,

$$T \geq \sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \hat{T}(a; d, \delta) \stackrel{def}{=} \tilde{T}(a; d). \quad (3.33)$$

## 4 Simplification.

The system of inequalities (3.31) - (3.32) is very complicate. We aim to in this section simplification of this relations in order to obtain more convenient explicit view for the lower bound for lifespan  $T$ .

1. Note first of all that

$$J_1 \leq J^{(1)} = J^{(1)}(d, \delta) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{9d^2}{2\delta^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$J_2 \leq J^{(2)} = J^{(2)}(d, \delta) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{81d^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}\delta(1-\delta)}, \quad (4.2)$$

as long as  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

2. We denote

$$K^{(0)}(T) = K^{(0)}(a(\cdot); d, \delta; T) = \max(K_0(a(\cdot); d, \delta; T), K'_0(a(\cdot); d, \delta; T)),$$

$$X_n = X_n(T) = X_n(d, \delta; T) = \max(K_n(d, \delta; T), K'_n(d, \delta; T)),$$

$$J = J(d, \delta) = \max(J^{(1)}(d, \delta), J^{(2)}(d, \delta)), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\delta_0 := \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{9 + 2\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.282577, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\bar{J} = \bar{J}(d) = \min_{\delta \in (0,1)} J(d, \delta) = \frac{9d^2}{2\delta_0^2} = C_1 \cdot d^2, \quad (4.5)$$

$$C_1 \approx 56.35566683; \quad (4.5a)$$

then

$$J(d, \delta) = J^{(1)}(d, \delta), \quad \delta \in (0, \delta_0); \quad J(d, \delta) = J^{(2)}(d, \delta), \quad \delta_0 \leq \delta < 1.$$

It is a reason to name the pair of values  $(\delta_0, \bar{J})$  as a critical value for considered problem.

3. For the variables  $X_n = X_n(T)$  we can write one inequality:

$$X_{n+1} \leq K^{(0)}(T) + \bar{J} X_n^2 \quad (4.6)$$

with initial condition  $X_0 = K^{(0)}(T)$ . We conclude applying lemma 1:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Define the value  $T_0$  as follows:*

$$\max(K_0(T_0), K'_0(T_0)) \leq \frac{3}{16\bar{J}} = C_2/d^2, \quad (4.7)$$

$$C_2 \approx 0.0033270; \quad (4.7a)$$

or equally

$$\max \left( \max_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{(1-\delta)/2} w_t * a\|_{d/\delta}, \max_{t \in (0, T)} \|t^{1/2} \nabla w_t * a\|_d \right) \leq \frac{3}{4\bar{J}} = C_3/d^2. \quad (4.7b)$$

Then the lifespan  $T$  of solution NS system is greatest than  $T_0 : T \geq T_0$ . Moreover:

$$\max(K_n(T), K'_n(T)) \leq \frac{3}{4\overline{J}} = C_3/d^2, \quad (4.8)$$

$$C_3 \approx 0.0133308333. \quad (4.8a)$$

We have for instance in the ordinary three-dimensional case  $d = 3$

$$C_2/d^2 \approx 0.00036967, \quad C_3/d^2 \approx 0.0014767.$$

**4.** In order to use the theorem 4.1 we need to derive a simple estimate for the values  $K_0(T)$ ,  $K'_0(T)$  as  $T \rightarrow 0 +$ .

$\alpha$ . **Estimation of  $K_0(T)$ .**

Suppose in addition to the condition  $\|a\|_d < \infty$  that for some  $\theta \in (0, \min(1, (d-1)/\delta))$

$$\|a\|_{d+\theta} < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Repeating the consideration for the inequality (2.10) and taking into account the inequalities  $K_{BL}(\cdot) \leq 1$ ,  $M(d, r) \leq 2^d$  we deduce:

$$\|u_0\|_{d/\delta} \leq t^{-(1-\delta)/2} \cdot t^{(\theta\delta)/(2d)} \cdot 2^{d+\theta} \cdot \|a\|_{d+\theta};$$

therefore

$$K_0(T) \leq T^{\frac{\theta\delta}{2d}} \cdot 2^{d+\theta} \cdot \|a\|_{d+\theta}. \quad (4.10)$$

$\beta$ . **Estimation of  $K'_0(T)$ .**

We demonstrate in this pilcrow a different method. Namely, assume in addition that the initial condition  $a(\cdot)$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W_1^d(R^d)$ , which consists on all the (measurable) functions  $a : R^d \rightarrow R^d$  which finite semi-norm

$$\|a\|_{W_1^d(R^d)} = \|\nabla a\|_d < \infty. \quad (4.11)$$

We get using again Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} K'_0(T) &= \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{1/2} \nabla(w_t) * a \right]_d = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{1/2} w_t * \nabla a \right]_d \leq \\ &= \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{1/2} \|w_t\|_1 \|\nabla a\|_d \right] = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{1/2} \|\nabla a\|_d \right] = \\ &= \sqrt{T} \cdot \|a\|_{W_1^d(R^d)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

since  $\|w_t\|_1 = 1$ .

**Remark 4.1.** The variables  $K_0(T)$ ,  $K'_0(T)$  dependent in particular on the initial condition  $a(\cdot)$  :

$$K_0(T) = K_0(T; a(\cdot)); \quad K'_0(T) = K'_0(T; a(\cdot)).$$

If it is so little that

$$\max(K_0(a(\cdot)), K'_0(a(\cdot))) \leq \frac{3}{16 \bar{J}} = C_2/d^2, \quad (4.13)$$

then we can choose  $T = \infty$ , i.e. this solution  $u = u(x, t)$  is *global*.

Recall that

$$K_0(a(\cdot)) = K_0(a(\cdot); \infty); \quad K'_0(a(\cdot)) = K'_0(a(\cdot); \infty).$$

## 5 Mixed norm estimates for solution.

We suppose during this section  $\|a\|_d < \infty$ .

It is known, see [1], [18]- [19] that the global in time solution  $u(x, t) = u(t)$  obeys the property

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_q = 0, \quad q > d.$$

( "Energy" decay).

The case  $q = 2$  was investigated in [29]; see also reference therein.

We want clarify in this section this fact; i.e. give the quantitative estimates one of main result if the article [18].

Recall that the so-called *mixed*, or equally *anisotropic*  $(p_1, p_2)$  norm  $\|u\|_{p_1, p_2}^*$  for the function of "two" variables  $u = u(x, t)$ ,  $x \in R^d$ ,  $t \in R_+^1$  is defined as follows:

$$\|u\|_{p_1, p_2}^* = \left( \int_{R^d} \left[ \int_0^\infty |u(x, t)|^{p_1} dx \right]^{p_2/p_1} dt \right)^{1/p_2}$$

with evident modification in the case when  $p_2 = \infty$  :

$$\|u\|_{p_1, \infty}^* = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{R^d} \left[ \int_0^\infty |u(x, t)|^{p_1} dx \right]^{1/p_1}.$$

We introduce here the following *weight* mixed norm, more precisely, the family of norms as follows:

$$\| \|u\|_{q; T}^* = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{(1-d/q)/2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_q \right], \quad q \geq d. \quad (5.1)$$

Let us introduce some new notations.

$$\theta_1 = \frac{dq}{d(q+1) - q(\delta+1)}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{q}{\delta+1}, \quad \theta_3 = \frac{d}{\delta}, \quad \theta_4 = d;$$

$$\psi(d, q, \delta) = \psi(a; d, q, \delta) = K_{BL}(d; \theta_1, \theta_2) K^0(a; d, q, \delta; T) K^0(a; d, q, \delta; T)' \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_R(d/\delta) K_R(d) M(d, \theta_1) B\left(\frac{1-\delta}{2} + \frac{d}{2q}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right) + \\
& 0.5 \cdot K_{BL}(d; d, d) \cdot M\left(d, \frac{d^2}{d-1}\right) \cdot \|a\|_d,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the classical Beta-function;

$$\psi(q) = \psi(a; q) = \inf_{\delta \in (0,1)} \psi(a; d, q, \delta). \tag{5.3}$$

Note that  $1 < \theta_j < \infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let the lifespan of solution of NS system  $T$  be positive; may be infinite. Then*

$$\| \|u\|_{q;T}^* \leq \psi(q), \quad q \geq d. \tag{5.4}$$

**Proof.** We follow T.Kato [18]. Indeed,

$$-Gu(t) = \int_0^t w_{t-s}(\cdot) * F(u(\cdot), s) ds.$$

We use the triangle inequality for the  $L_q(R^d)$  norm:

$$\|Gu(t)\|_q \leq \int_0^t \|w_{t-s}(\cdot) * F(u(\cdot), s)\|_q ds. \tag{5.5}$$

The Young inequality give us

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|w_{t-s}(\cdot) * F(u(\cdot), s)\|_q \leq K_{BL}(d; \theta_1, \theta_2) K_R(\theta_3) K_R(\theta_4) \|w_{t-s}(\cdot)\|_{\theta_1} \|F\|_{\theta_2} \leq \\
& K_{BL}(d; \theta_1, \theta_2) \cdot M(d, \theta_1) \cdot (t-s)^{-d(1-1/\theta_1)/2} \cdot K_R(\theta_3) \cdot K_R(\theta_4) \times \\
& \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{\theta_3} \cdot \|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{\theta_4},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

we have taken into account the norm of Riesz transform. Further, if  $t \in (0, T)$  then

$$\|u(\cdot, s)\|_{d/\delta} \leq K^0(a; d, q, \delta; T) s^{-(1-\delta)/2},$$

$$\|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_d \leq K^0(a; d, q, \delta; T)' s^{-1/2}.$$

We conclude substituting into the inequality (5.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Gu(t)\|_q \leq C(a; d, q, \delta, T) \cdot \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(1+\delta-d/q)/2} s^{-1+\delta/2} ds = \\
& C(a; d, q, \delta, T) t^{-(1-d/q)/2} B\left(\frac{1-\delta}{2} + \frac{d}{2q}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$



As long as

$$\|u(t)\|_q \leq \|a\|_q + \|Gu(t)\|_q$$

and the value  $\|a\|_q$  was estimated in (2.11), we deduce after simple calculations

$$\|u(t)\|_q \leq t^{-(1-d/q)/2} \psi(a; d, q, \delta, T)$$

or equally

$$\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^* \leq \psi(a; d, q, \delta, T). \quad (5.8)$$

It remains to take the minimum over  $\delta$ ;  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

**Remark 5.1.** If we define the so-called mixed Grand Lebesgue norm  $\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^* G(\psi; T)$  as follows:

$$\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^* G(\psi; T) := \sup_{q \geq d} \left[ \frac{\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^*}{\psi(a; q)} \right], \quad (5.9)$$

then the assertion of the theorem 5.1 may be rewritten as follows: under the conditions of theorem 5.1

$$\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^* G(\psi(a; T)) \leq 1. \quad (5.10)$$

The detail investigation with applications of these norm and correspondent spaces see, e.g. in [45] - [51], [58], [60], [63], [64].

Let us investigate here the following *weight* mixed norm with derivative, (Sobolev's weight norm) for solution  $u = u(x, t)$ , more precisely, the family of *semi-norms* as follows:

$$\| \|u\| \|_{q;T}^{**} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{(1-d/2q)} \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_q \right], \quad q \geq d. \quad (5.11)$$

New notations and restrictions:

$$1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\theta_5} + \frac{1}{d}, \quad 1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\theta_6} + \frac{1}{\theta_7},$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta_7} = \frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{d},$$

$$\nu(a; d, \delta, q, T) = K_{BL}(d; q, \theta_5) \cdot M'(d, \theta_5) \|a\|_d +$$

$$K_{BL}(d; \theta_6, \theta_7) K_R(\theta_6) K_R(\theta_7) K(a; d, \delta, T) K'(a; d, \delta, T) M'(d, \theta_6),$$

$$\nu(q) = \nu(a; q) := \inf_{\delta \in (0, 1)} \nu(a; d, \delta, q, T).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let the lifespan of solution of NS system  $T$  be positive; may be infinite. Then*

$$\|u\|_{q;T}^{**} \leq \nu(q), \quad q \geq d. \quad (5.12)$$

**Proof.** First of all we estimate the influence of the initial condition  $a = a(x)$ . Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_0\|_q &= \|\nabla w_t * a\|_q \leq K_{BL}(d; q, \theta_5) \cdot \|\nabla w_t\|_{\theta_5} \cdot \|a\|_d = \\ &t^{-(1-d/(2q))} \cdot K_{BL}(d; q, \theta_5) \cdot M'(d, \theta_5) \|a\|_d. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla Gu(t)\|_q &= \left\| \int_0^t \nabla w_{t-s} * F(u(s)) \, ds \right\|_q \leq \int_0^t \|\nabla w_{t-s} * F(u(s))\|_q \, ds \leq \\ &K_{BL}(d; \theta_6, \theta_7) K_R(\theta_6) K_R(\theta_7) \int_0^t \|\nabla w_{t-s}\|_{\theta_6} \|F(u(s))\|_{\theta_7} \, ds \leq \\ &K_{BL}(d; \theta_6, \theta_7) K_R(\theta_6) K_R(\theta_7) \int_0^t \|\nabla w_{t-s}\|_{\theta_6} \|u(s)\|_{d/\delta} \|\nabla u(s)\|_d \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

As long as

$$\|u(s)\|_{d/\delta} \leq s^{-(1-\delta)/2} K(a; d, \delta, T), \quad \|\nabla u\|_d \leq K'(a; d, \delta, T) s^{-1/2}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\|\nabla w_{t-s}\|_{\theta_6} = M'(d, \theta_6) t^{-1/2-d(1-1/\theta_6)/2}, \quad (5.16)$$

we obtain substituting into (5.14):  $\|\nabla Gu(t)\|_q \leq$

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{BL}(d; \theta_6, \theta_7) K_R(\theta_6) K_R(\theta_7) K(a; d, \delta, T) K'(a; d, \delta, T) M'(d, \theta_6) \times \\ &\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2-d(1-1/\theta_6)/2} s^{(-1+\delta/2)} \, ds = t^{-(1-d/(2q))} \cdot B(0.5 - d(1-\theta_6)/2, \delta/2) \times \\ &K_{BL}(d; \theta_6, \theta_7) K_R(\theta_6) K_R(\theta_7) K(a; d, \delta, T) K'(a; d, \delta, T) M'(d, \theta_6). \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

We get summing (5.13) and (5.17):

$$\|u(t)\|_q \leq t^{-(1-d/(2q))} \cdot \nu(a; d, \delta, T)$$

and after minimization over  $\delta$

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left[ t^{(1-d/(2q))} \|u(t)\|_q \right] \leq \nu(q), \quad (5.18)$$

Q.E.D.

## 6 Concluding remarks.

1. It is known [12], [13], [18], [19] etc. that in general case, i.e. when the value  $\epsilon = \|a\|_d$  is not sufficiently small, then the lifespan of solution of NS equation  $T$  may be finite (short-time solution). Perhaps, it is self-contained interest to find a quantitative computation of the *exact value*  $T$ .

For the non-linear Schrödinger's equation the estimate

$$T \geq \exp(C/\epsilon)$$

was obtained in the recent article [57].

2. At the same considerations may be provided for the NS equations with external force  $f = f(x, t)$  :

$$\partial u_t = \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) + f(x, t), \quad x \in R^d, \quad t > 0; \quad (6.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = a(x), \quad x \in R^d.$$

see [12] - [15], [21], [26], [29], [39].

More detail, the considered here problem may be rewritten as follows:

$$u(x, t) = e^{t\Delta}a(x) + G[u](t) \stackrel{def}{=} u_0(x, t) + G[u](t) + v[f](x, t), \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$v[f](x, t) = v(x, t) = v = \int_0^t ds \int_{R^d} w_{t-s}(x-y) f(y, s) dy = \int_0^t w_{t-s}(\cdot) * f(\cdot, s) ds. \quad (6.3)$$

In order to formulate a new result we introduce new Banach spaces on the (measurable) functions of two variables  $f(x, t)$ ,  $x \in R^d$ ,  $t > 0$  :

$$\| \| f \| \|_{\theta, \lambda} := \sup_{s > 0} \left[ \frac{\| f(\cdot, s) \|_{\theta}}{s^{\lambda}} \right], \quad (6.4)$$

$\theta = \text{const} \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda = \text{const} \in (-1, 0)$ . The space of all the functions  $\{f(\cdot, \cdot)\}$  with finite such a norm will be denoted  $A(\theta, \lambda)$  :

$$A(\theta, \lambda) = \{f : \| \| f \| \|_{\theta, \lambda} < \infty\}. \quad (6.5)$$

We assume in this subsection

$$f \in A(\theta_1, \lambda_1) \cap A(\theta_2, \lambda_2). \quad (6.6)$$

Define for arbitrary  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  the values  $r_1, r_2$  as follows:

$$1 + \frac{\delta}{d} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{\theta_1}, \quad 1 + \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{\theta_2}$$

with the following restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{1,2} > 1, \theta_{1,2} \geq 1, \quad -1 < \lambda_{1,2} < 0, \\
d \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) < 2, \quad d \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) < 1, \\
\frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) - 1 - \lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \delta}{2}, \quad \frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) - 1 - \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

We estimate using once more Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{d/\delta} &\leq \int_0^t \|w_{t-s} * f(s)\|_{d/\delta} ds \leq K_{BL}(r_1, \theta_1) \int_0^t \|w_{t-s}\|_{r_1} \cdot \|f(s)\|_{\theta_1} ds \leq \\
&K_{BL}(r_1, \theta_1) M(d, r_1) \|f\|_{\theta_1, \lambda_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-d(1-1/r_1)} s^\lambda ds = \\
&K_{BL}(r_1, \theta_1) M(d, r_1) \|f\|_{\theta_1, \lambda_1} t^{1-0.5d(1-1/r_1)+\lambda_1} B(1-d(1-1/r_1), 1+\lambda_1) = \\
&t^{-(1-\delta)/2} K_{BL}(r_1, \theta_1) M(d, r_1) \|f\|_{\theta_1, \lambda_1} B(1-d(1-1/r_1), 1+\lambda_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

We find analogously

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla v &= \int_0^t ds \int_{R^d} \nabla w_{t-s}(x-y) f(y, s) ds; \\
\|\nabla v\|_d &\leq K_{BL}(r_2, \theta_2) M'(d, r_2) \|f\|_{\theta_2, \lambda_2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2-0.5d(1-1/r_2)} s^{\lambda_2} ds = \\
&K_{BL}(r_2, \theta_2) M'(d, r_2) \|f\|_{\theta_2, \lambda_2} B(1/2-0.5d(1-1/r_2), \lambda_2+1) t^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Summing with the estimations for  $\|u_0\|_{d/\delta}$ ,  $\|\nabla u_0\|_d$  with correspondent estimations (6.8), (6.9) for  $\|v\|_{d/\delta}$ ,  $\|\nabla v\|_d$  we conclude that if the norms  $\|a\|_d$ ,  $\|f\|_{\theta_1, \lambda_1}$ ,  $\|f\|_{\theta_2, \lambda_2}$  are finite. then  $T > 0$ ; if these norms are sufficiently small, then  $T = \infty$ .

**3.** Analogously to the content of this report may be considered a more general case of abstract (linear or not linear) parabolic equation of a view

$$\partial u_t = Au + F(u, \nabla u; x, t) + f(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = a(x).$$

The detail investigation of this case when the initial condition and external force belong to some Sobolev's space may be found, e.g. in [36] - [38], [17], [34].

4. Let us consider the general non-linear parabolic equation (may be multivariate, i.e. system of equations) of a view

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au + F(u, \nabla u)$$

with initial condition  $u(x, 0+) = a(x)$ . Here  $A$  be negative definite linear operator, may be unbounded, for example

$$Au = \sum_{i,j=1}^d b_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

i.e  $A$  be strictly elliptical differential operator of a second order with bounded coefficients:

$$0 < \inf_{\xi: \|\xi\|=1} \inf_{x \in R^d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d b_{i,j}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \sup_{\xi: \|\xi\|=1} \sup_{x \in R^d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d b_{i,j}(x) \xi_i \xi_j < \infty,$$

satisfying the Hölder's condition: for some positive  $\alpha = \text{const} \in (0, 1]$

$$\max_{i,j} |b_{i,j}(x) - a_{i,j}(y)| \leq C \cdot \|x - y\|^\alpha.$$

We set ourselves a goal to obtain a positive lower estimate for lifespan  $T$  for solution likewise to the third section, following, e.g. [38], p. 272-275.

We understand as before in the capacity of solution the mild solution:

$$u(t) = e^{At}a + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(u(s), \nabla u(s)) ds \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{At}a + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Phi(u(s)) ds \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi[u](t),$$

where  $\Phi(u(s)) = F(u(s), \nabla u(s))$ .

We enumerate the conditions.

**A.** There exist two Banach spaces  $X, Y$  such that  $a(\cdot) \in X$  and such that the semigroup  $\{S_t\} = \{\exp(At)\}$ ,  $X \rightarrow X$   $t \geq 0$  is strong continuous.

**B.** The operator (non linear, in general case)  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally Lipschitz map.

**C.**

$$\forall t > 0 \quad S_t = e^{At} : Y \rightarrow X$$

and moreover

$$\exists \gamma \in (0, 1), \exists C = C(\gamma) \Rightarrow \|e^{At}\|(Y \rightarrow X) \leq C(\gamma) t^{-\gamma}, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Many examples of such a semigroups are given in [38], p. 274 - 286.

Let  $\alpha = \text{const} \in (0, 1)$ ; we define

$$Z = Z(\alpha) = \{u \in C([0, T_1], X : u(0) = a, \|u(t) - f\|_X \leq \alpha\}. \quad (6.10)$$

There exists a value  $T_2 = T_2(\alpha) > 0$  for which

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T_2)} \|e^{tA}a - a\|_X \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (6.11)$$

Denote also

$$K_1 = K_1(\alpha) = \sup_{u \in Z(\alpha)} \|\Phi(u)(\cdot)\|_Y < \infty;$$

then

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} \Phi(u(s)) ds \right\|_X \leq K_1(\alpha) C(\gamma) \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}. \quad (6.12)$$

We can choose  $T_3$  such that

$$K_1(\alpha) C(\gamma) \frac{T_3^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

As long as

$$\forall u, v \in Z(\alpha) \Rightarrow \|\Phi(u)(s) - \Phi(v)(s)\|_Y \leq K_2(\alpha) \|u(s) - v(s)\|_X, \quad K_2 = K_2(\alpha) < \infty,$$

we deduce

$$\|\Psi[u](t) - \Psi[v](t)\| \leq \int_0^t e^{As} \|\Phi(u)(s) - \Phi(v)(s)\|_Y ds \leq$$

$$K_2(\alpha) C(\gamma) \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \sup_{s \in (0, T_4)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_X \leq$$

$$0.5 \sup_{s \in (0, T_4)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_X,$$

if

$$K_2(\alpha) C(\gamma) \frac{T_4^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \leq 0.5.$$

Thus, we can take  $T = \min(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4)$ .

On the other hand, the examples of blow up in finite time solutions of non-linear parabolic equations see, e.g. in articles [53], [61]. Examples of non-uniqueness for this equations see in [59].

**5.** It may be investigated in addition analogously the *boundary* value problem, for instance, Dirichlet or Neuman, when the variable  $x$  belongs to some domain  $\Omega$  (bounded or not) with boundary of the class  $C^{1,1}$ .

## References

- [1] SHANGBIN CUI. *Global well-posedness of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes initial value problem in  $L(p) \cap L(2)$  with  $3 < p < \infty$ .* arXiv:1204.5040v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2012
- [2] CHAE DONGHO AND LEE JIHOON. *On the blow-up criterion and small data global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics.* arXiv:1305.4681v1 [math.AP] 21 May 2013
- [3] BARRAZA O. *Self-similar solutions in weak  $L_p$ - spaces of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Mat. Iberoamer., 12(1996), 411 - 439.
- [4] CAFFARELLI L., KOHN R. AND NIRENBERG L. *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **35**, (1982), 771 - 831.
- [5] CALDERON C. *Existence of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in  $L(p)$ .* Trans. A.M.S., 318(1990), 179 - 207.
- [6] CANNONE M. *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Matematica Iberoamericana. V. 13 **3**, (1997), 515 - 542.
- [7] ESCAURIAZA L., SEREGIN G., AND SVERAK V.  *$L(3, \infty)$  -Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations and Backward Uniqueness.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58(2003), no.2, 3 - 44.
- [8] FABES E., JOHNS B. AND RIVIERE N. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in  $L(p)$ .* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 45(1972), 222 - 240.
- [9] FOIAS C., GUILLOPE C. AND TEMAM R. *New a priori estimates for Navier-Stokes equations in dimension 3.* Comm. in Part. Dif. Eq., **6**, (1981), 329 - 359.
- [10] FUJITA H. AND KATO T. *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 16(1964), 269 - 315.
- [11] GERMAIN P. *Multipliers, paramultipliers, and weak-strong uniqueness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Diff. Equations., 226(2006), 373 - 428.
- [12] GIGA Y. *Solutions of semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$  and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system.* J. Diff. Equations, 62(1986), 186 - 212.
- [13] GIGA Y. AND MIYAKAWA T. *Navier-Stokes flows in  $R^3$  with measure as initial vorticity and the Morrey spaces.* Comm. P. D. E., 14(1989), 577 - 618.
- [14] GIGA Y. AND SOHR H. *Abstract  $L^p$  - estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains.* Hokkaido University, Preprint, Series 60 on Mathematics, November 1989.

- [15] GIGA Y. AND SOHR H. *Abstract  $L^p$  – estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains.* J. Funk. Anal., **102** (1991), 72 - 94.
- [16] FARHAD HATAMI, MOHAMMAD BAGHER GHAEMI. *On the global existence solution for a chemotaxis model.* Applied Mathematics, (2013), **78**, 134 - 143.
- [17] IWASHITA H.  *$L^q - L^r$  estimates for solution of non-stationary Stokes equations in exterior domain and the Navier-Stokes initial value problems in  $L_q$  spaces.* Math. Ann., **285**, (1989), 265 - 288.
- [18] KATO T. *Strong  $L_p$  solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $R^m$  with applications to weak solutions.* Math. Z., 187(1984), 471 - 480.
- [19] KATO T. AND PONCE G. *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. P. D. E., 41(1988), 891 - 907.
- [20] KENIG C.E. AND KOCH G.S. *An alternative approach to regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space.* arXiv:0908.3349.
- [21] KOCH H. AND TATARU D. *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* Adv. in Math., 157(2001), 22 - 35.
- [22] KOZONO H. AND TANIUCHI Y. *Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations.* Math. Z., 235(2000), 173 - 194.
- [23] LEMARIE-RIEUSSET P.G. *Weak infinite-energy solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations in  $R^3$ ,* Preprint, 1998.
- [24] LEMARIE-RIEUSSET P.G. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problems.* Research Notes in Mathematics, Chapman, Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [25] LERAY J. *Sur le mouvement dun liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace.* Acta Math., 63(1934), 193 - 248.
- [26] MASUDA K. *Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations.* Tohoku Math. J., 36(1984), 623 - 646.
- [27] MONTGOMERY-SMITH S. *Finite-time blow up for a Navier-Stokes like equation.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129, (2001,) pages 3025 - 3029.
- [28] MIURA H. *Remarks on uniqueness of mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Funct. Anal., 218(2005), 110129.
- [29] OGAWA T., RAJOPADHYE SH. V. AND SCHONBEK M.E. *Energy Decay for a Weak Solution of the Navier-Stokes Equation with Slowly Varying External Forces.* Journal of Functional Analysis, **144**, (1997), 325 - 358.
- [30] PLANCHON F. *Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in  $R^3$ ,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, 13(1996), 319 - 336.



- [31] SEREGIN G. *A certain necessary condition of potential blow up for Navier-Stokes equations.* arXiv:1104.3615. 21 Aug 2010.
- [32] SEREGIN, G. (2011) *Necessary conditions of potential blowup for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 178, (2011), 345 - 352.
- [33] SERRIN J. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations.* In: R.E. Langer, (Ed.), *Nonlinear Problems*, 1963, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1963, pp. 69 - 98.
- [34] SOLONNIKOV V.A. *Estimates for Solutions of non-stationaty Navier - Stokes equations.* J. Soviet Math., **8**, (1977), 467 - 523.
- [35] STEIN E. M. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* Princeton, University Press, (1970), Princeton, New Jersey.
- [36] TAYLOR M.E. *Pseudodifferential Operators.* Princeton, University Press; Princeton, New Jersey, (1981)
- [37] TAYLOR M.E. *Partial Differential Equations I. Linear Equations.* Applied Math. Sciences, **117**, Springer, (1996).
- [38] TAYLOR M.E. *Partial Differential Equations III. Non-linear Rquations.* Applied Math. Sciences, **117**, Springer, (1996).
- [39] TEMAM R. *Navier - Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis.* North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, (1977).
- [40] VISHIK M.I. *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces.* Arch. ration. Mech. Anal., 145, 197-214 (1998)
- [41] ZENG ZHANG, ZHAOYANG YIN *Global Well-posedness for the Generalized Navier-Stokes System.* arXiv:1306.3735v1 [math.AP] 17 Jun 2013
- [42] BAÑUELOS R. AND OSEKOWSKI A. *Sharp martingale inequalities and applicationa to Riesz transforms on manifolds, Lie group and Gauss space.* arXiv:1305.1492v1 [math.PR] 7 May 2013
- [43] BLISS G. *An integral inequality.* J. London Math. Soc., (1930), vol. 5, 40 - 46.
- [44] BRASCAMP H.J. AND E.H. LIEB E.H. *Best constants in Youngs inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions.* Journ. Funct. Anal., 20(1976), 151 - 173.
- [45] FIORENZA A., AND KARADZHOV G.E. *Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs.* Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche, Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo Mauro Picone, Sezione di Napoli, Rapporto tecnico n. 272/03, (2005).

- [46] FIORENZA A. *Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces*. Collect. Math. **51**(2000), 131 - 148.
- [47] FIORENZA A. KARADZHOV G.E. *Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs*. Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche, Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo Mauro Picone”, Sezione di Napoli, Rapporto tecnico 272/03(2005).
- [48] IWANIEC T. AND SBORDONE C. *On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses*. Arch. Rat.Mech. Anal., **119**(1992), 129 - 143.
- [49] IWANIEC T., KOSKELA P. AND ONNINEN J. *Mapping of Finite Distortion: Monotonicity and Continuity*. Invent. Math. **144**(2001), 507 - 531.
- [50] IWANIEC T. AND MARTIN G. *Riesz transforms and related singular integrals*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **473** (1996), 25 - 57.
- [51] JAWERTH B. AND MILMAN M. *Extrapolation theory with applications*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **440**, (1991).
- [52] G.TALENTI. *Inequalities in Rearrangement Invariant Function Spaces. Non-linear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications*. Prometheus, Prague, **5**, (1995), 177 - 230.
- [53] BALL J. *Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations*. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics **28** (1977) 473-486.
- [54] BENAMEUR, J. (2010) *On the blow-up criterion of 3D Navier-Stokes equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **371**, (2010) 719 - 727.
- [55] GALLAGHER I. AND PAICU M. *Remarks on the blow-up of solutions to a toy model for the Navier-Stokes equations*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **137**, 2009, pages 2075 - 2083.
- [56] GERMAN P. *The second iterate for the Navier-Stokes equation*. arXiv:0806.4525v1 [math.AP] 27 Jun 2008
- [57] MASAHIRO IKEDA, SOICHIRO KATAYAMA, AND HIDEAKI SUNAGAWA. *Null structure in a system of quadratic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. arXiv:1305.3662v1 [math.AP] 16 May 2013
- [58] KOZACHENKO YU. V., OSTROVSKY E.I. (1985). *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type*. Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, **32**, 43 - 57.
- [59] LADYZHENSKAYA, O. A. *Example of non-uniqueness in the Hopf class of weak solutions for the navier-Stokes equations*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom **33** (1969), No. 1, pp. 229-236.
- [60] LIFLYAND E., OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Structural Properties of Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces*. Turk. J. Math.; **34** (2010), 207 - 219.

- [61] MARINO V., PACELLA F., AAN SCUINZI B. *Blow up of solution of semilinear heat equations in general domains.* arXiv:1306.1417v1 [math.AP] 6 Jun 2013
- [62] OSTROVSKY E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its applications (in Russian).* Moscow - Obninsk, OINPE.
- [63] OSTROVSKY E. AND SIROTA L. *Moment Banach spaces: theory and applications.* HIAT Journal of Science and Engineering, **C**, Volume 4, Issues 1 - 2, pp. 233 - 262, (2007).
- [64] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Nikolskii-type inequalities for rearrangement invariant spaces.* arXiv:0804.2311v1 [math.FA] 15 Apr 2008
- [65] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Solvability of Navier-Stokes equations in some rearrangement invariant spaces.* arXiv:1305.5321v1 [math.AP] 23 May 2013
- [66] PICHORIDES S.K. *On the best values of the constants in the theorems of M. Riesz, Zygmund and Kolmogorov.* Studia Math. 44 (1972), 165 - 179.