

# The Pólya-Chebotarev Problem and Inverse Polynomial Images\*

Klaus Schiefermayr<sup>†</sup>

May 9, 2018

## Abstract

Consider the problem, usually called the Pólya-Chebotarev problem, of finding a continuum in the complex plane including some given points such that the logarithmic capacity of this continuum is minimal. We prove that each connected inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is always the solution of a certain Pólya-Chebotarev problem. By solving a nonlinear system of equations for the zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$ , we are able to construct polynomials  $\mathcal{T}_n$  with a connected inverse image.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 30C10, 41A21

*Keywords:* Analytic Jordan arc, Inverse polynomial image, Logarithmic capacity, Pólya-Chebotarev problem

## 1 The Pólya-Chebotarev Problem

Let us introduce an extremal problem concerning the logarithmic capacity usually called the “Pólya-Chebotarev problem” or the “Chebotarev problem”, since Chebotarev mentioned the problem in a letter to Pólya [11].

*Pólya-Chebotarev problem:* Given  $\nu$  distinct points  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  in the complex plane, find a *continuum*  $S$ , i.e.  $S$  is *connected*, with the property that  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu \in S$ , such that the logarithmic capacity  $\text{cap } S$  is minimal.

Existence and uniqueness of such a set  $S$  is proved in [4]. We will call the minimal set  $S$  the *Pólya-Chebotarev continuum* for  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu\}$ .

The case of  $\nu = 2$  points  $c_1, c_2$  is trivial: in this situation the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum is just the segment  $[c_1, c_2]$ .

In [7], the authors gave a short history of the Pólya-Chebotarev problem and a description of the solution which can be implemented numerically. Fedorov [1] gave a complete solution of the Pólya-Chebotarev problem for  $\nu = 3$  and  $\nu = 4$  points (only a symmetric case) with the help of the Jacobian elliptic functions, see also [14].

In the following, let us recall the well-known representation of the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum with the help of a hyperelliptic integral, see, e.g., [3] or [2].

---

\*published in: Acta Mathematica Hungarica ?? (2013), ??-??.

<sup>†</sup>University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria, School of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, Stelzhamerstr. 23, 4600 Wels, Austria, KLAUS.SCHIEFERMAYR@FH-WELS.AT

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  be  $\nu \geq 3$  given pairwise distinct complex points. If there exists  $\nu - 2$  points  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2} \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\Phi(c_j)\} &= 0, & j &= 1, 2, \dots, \nu, \\ \operatorname{Re}\{\Phi(d_j)\} &= 0, & j &= 1, 2, \dots, \nu - 2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Phi(z)$  is the hyperelliptic integral

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{c_1}^z \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2}(w - d_j)}}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu}(w - c_j)}} dw, \tag{2}$$

then the set  $S$  defined by

$$S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{\Phi(z)\} = 0\}, \tag{3}$$

is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu\}$ .

**Remark.** (i) Let us mention that the function  $\operatorname{Re}\{\Phi(z)\}$  is harmonic and single valued in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$  and is the unique Green function with pole at  $\infty$  for  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ , see [6]. For the definition and many properties of the Green function and the logarithmic capacity of a set  $S$  in the complex plane, we refer to [12] and [13].

(ii) We will call the complex points  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$ , which appear in formula (2), the *bifurcation points* of the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum  $S$ . If a point  $d^* \in \mathbb{C}$  is a zero of multiplicity  $k$  of the polynomial  $\prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2}(w - d_j)$  then  $d^*$  is called a bifurcation point of multiplicity  $k$ . For a typical Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for  $\nu = 5$  points, see Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Illustration of a Pólya-Chebotarev continuum ( $\nu = 5$ )

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after two preliminary lemmata, the main result is stated in Theorem 2. We prove that each inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , which is connected, solves a certain Pólya-Chebotarev problem. Further, we give some simple examples of polynomials with a connected inverse image. In Section 3, we show how to construct polynomials  $\mathcal{T}_n$  with a connected inverse image by solving a certain nonlinear system of equations for the zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$ . Some examples and a conjecture concerning a denseness statement conclude the paper.

## 2 The Connection between the Pólya-Chebotarev Problem and Inverse Polynomial Images

Let us start with a lemma which is very important for what follows. The first part, see [16, Lemma 2], is just an immediate consequence of the fundamental theorem of algebra, the second part is due to Peherstorfer [9, Corollary 2]. As usual, let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients.

**Lemma 1.** *For any polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \tau z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists a unique  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , a unique monic polynomial*

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{2\ell} (z - a_j) = z^{2\ell} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{2\ell} \quad (4)$$

with pairwise distinct zeros  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2\ell}$ , and a unique polynomial  $\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z) = \tau z^{n-\ell} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{n-\ell}$  such that the polynomial equation

$$\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1 = \mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z) \mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}^2(z) \quad (5)$$

holds.

Further, there exists a monic polynomial  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z) = z^{\ell-1} + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_n'(z) = n \mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z) \mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z) \quad (6)$$

and, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) \notin [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \pm \cosh\left(n \int_{a_j}^z \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(w)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(w)}} dw\right), \quad (7)$$

where  $a_j$  is any zero of  $\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}$ .

Note that the points  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2\ell}$  are exactly those zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  which have odd multiplicity.

Next, let us introduce the notion of the inverse image of a polynomial. For a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  denote the inverse image of  $[-1, 1]$  with respect to  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1]) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{T}_n(z) \in [-1, 1]\}. \quad (8)$$

In general, the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  consists of  $n$  Jordan arcs [16]. One reason why we are interested in the factorization of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  in the form (5) is that the number  $\ell$  signifies the *minimum number of Jordan arcs* the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  consists of, see [16, Theorem 2].

Concerning the connectivity of the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , the following is known.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , and let  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}$  as in Lemma 1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected.*
- (ii) *All zeros of the derivative  $\mathcal{T}_n'$  are located in  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ .*
- (iii) *All zeros of  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}$  are located in  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ .*

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is proved in [16, Theorem 4]. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows immediately from (6), since, by (5), all zeros of  $\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}$  are located in  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ .  $\square$

Next, we state and prove the first main result. It says that each polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$  with a connected inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  solves a certain Pólya-Chebotarev problem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \tau z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and suppose that all zeros of the derivative  $\mathcal{T}'_n$  are located in the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected. Suppose that  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu$  (pairwise distinct) are exactly the simple zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$ . Then  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\nu\}$  with corresponding minimal logarithmic capacity*

$$\text{cap } S = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{2|\tau|}}. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* For  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z)$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z)$  as in Lemma 1. By assumption and Lemma 1,  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{2\ell}\}$  are those zeros of  $\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z)$ , which are not zeros of  $\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z)$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_{2\ell-\nu} \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{2\ell}\}$  be those zeros of  $\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z)$ , which are also zeros of  $\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z)$ . Then, by (5), each  $b_j$  is a zero of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  with an odd multiplicity greater than two. Thus, by (5) and (6), each  $b_j$  is a zero of  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z)$ . Hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}^2(z)}}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^\nu (z - c_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2\ell-\nu} (z - b_j)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j)}}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^\nu (z - c_j)}},$$

where

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j) := \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}^2(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2\ell-\nu} (z - b_j)}. \quad (10)$$

Define

$$\Phi(z) := \int_{c_1}^z \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(w)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(w)}} dw,$$

then, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } \Phi(z) = 0\} &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \cosh(n\Phi(z)) \in [-1, 1]\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{T}_n(z) \in [-1, 1]\} = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

By construction,  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu \in \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , thus  $\text{Re } \Phi(c_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ . By (10),  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$  are zeros of  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}$ , thus, by Lemma 2,  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2} \in \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  and again  $\text{Re } \Phi(d_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$ . Now the assertion apart from formula (9) follows by Theorem 1 and it remains to prove (9).

For any polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \tau z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , it is known, see [9], [5] or [8], that the monic polynomial  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_n(z) := \mathcal{T}_n(z)/\tau = z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$  is the Chebyshev polynomial on its inverse image  $S := \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  with minimum deviation  $L_n(S) = 1/|\tau|$ . Thus, from the identity  $L_n(S) = 2(\text{cap } S)^n$ , see [15, Theorem 1], we get (9).  $\square$

**Remark.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$  and  $S := \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ . Then [9]

$$g_S(z) = \frac{1}{n} \log \left| \mathcal{T}_n(z) + \sqrt{\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1} \right| \quad (11)$$

is the Green function of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$  with pole at infinity. For the complex Green function

$$G_S(z) = \exp(-g_S(z) + ih_S(z)), \quad (12)$$

where  $h_S(z)$  is the harmonic conjugate of  $g_S(z)$ , we have [9]

$$G_S(z) = \exp\left(-\int_{c_1}^z \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(w)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(w)}} dw\right), \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}$  are as in Lemma 1.

- (ii) In [14], the author characterizes the Pólya-Chebotaev continuum with the help of Jacobian elliptic and theta functions (using Zolotarev's conformal mapping) for the case of three and four points (i.e.  $\nu = 3$  and  $\nu = 4$ ). With this characterization, one can construct sets  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$  such that the corresponding Pólya-Chebotaev continuum is *not* an inverse polynomial image.

Let us give some examples of polynomials with a connected inverse image.

**Example.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) := z^n$ . The inverse image of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is

$$S := \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \left\{z = re^{i\varphi} : r \in [0, 1], \varphi \in \left\{0, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \dots, \frac{(2n-1)\pi}{n}\right\}\right\}, \quad (14)$$

see Fig. 2, where  $n = 5$ . By Theorem 2, the set  $S$  in (14) is the Pólya-Chebotaev continuum for the  $\nu = 2n$  points  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1}\}$ , where  $c_k := e^{ik\pi/n}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ , with (the only) bifurcation point  $d_1 = 0$  (of multiplicity  $2n-2$ ). With the notations of Lemma 1, we have  $\ell = n$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z) = \prod_{k=0}^{2n-1} (z - e^{ik\pi/n}) = z^{2n} - 1, \quad \mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z) = z^{n-1}.$$

Although very simple, this example seems to be new since we could not find it in the literature.

- (ii) Let  $n = 2$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) := \frac{2}{1+\alpha^2}(z^2 - 1) + 1$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ . The inverse image of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is the cross

$$S := \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1]) = [-1, 1] \cup [-i\alpha, i\alpha]. \quad (15)$$

By Theorem 2, the set  $S$  in (15) is the Pólya-Chebotaev continuum for the  $\nu = 4$  points  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = \{-1, 1, -i\alpha, i\alpha\}$  with (the only) bifurcation point  $d_1 = 0$  (of multiplicity two), see Fig. 3. With the notations of Lemma 1, we have  $\ell = 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z) = (z^2 - 1)(z^2 + \alpha^2), \quad \mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z) = \frac{2}{1 + \alpha^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z) = z.$$

- (iii) Let  $n = 3$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ , and define

$$\mathcal{T}_n(z) := -1 - \frac{(z-1)(2z+1-\alpha^2)^2}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}. \quad (16)$$

The zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  are  $\pm 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha^2) \pm i\alpha$  (multiplicity one) and  $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 1)$  (multiplicity two). The zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n'(z)$  are  $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 1)$  and  $\frac{1}{6}(3 + \alpha^2)$ . For  $\alpha \in [0, \sqrt{3}]$ ,




Figure 2: The inverse image of  $[-1, 1]$  with respect to the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = z^n$ ,  $n = 5$




Figure 3: Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for the four points  $\{-1, 1, -i\alpha, i\alpha\}$

the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected and consists of the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and a hyperbola moving from  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha^2) + i\alpha$  to  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha^2) - i\alpha$  crossing the interval  $[-1, 1]$  at  $\frac{1}{6}(3 + \alpha^2)$ . By Theorem 2, the set  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for the  $\nu = 4$  points

$$\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = \{-1, 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha^2) - i\alpha, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha^2) + i\alpha\}$$

with the bifurcation point  $d_1 = \frac{1}{6}(3 + \alpha^2)$  (of multiplicity two). In Fig. 4, we have plotted the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z)$  defined in (16) for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ , we get  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = 3z - 4z^3$ , i.e. the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree three, for  $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$ , we get  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = -1 - \frac{1}{4}(z - 1)^3$ .

(iv) Let  $n = 4$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ , and define

$$\mathcal{T}_n(z) := \frac{8z^4 - 8z^2 + 1 + 4\alpha^2}{1 + 4\alpha^2}. \quad (17)$$

The zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  are  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm \frac{1}{2}\beta \pm i\alpha/\beta$  (multiplicity one) and 0 (multiplicity two), where  $\beta := \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}}$ . The zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n'(z)$  are 0 and  $\pm 1/\sqrt{2}$ . The inverse




Figure 4: The inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z)$  defined in (16) for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected and consists of the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and two analytic Jordan arcs moving from  $\frac{1}{2}\beta + i\alpha/\beta$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}\beta + i\alpha/\beta$  to  $\frac{1}{2}\beta - i\alpha/\beta$  and  $-\frac{1}{2}\beta - i\alpha/\beta$  crossing the interval  $[-1, 1]$  at  $1/\sqrt{2}$  and  $-1/\sqrt{2}$ , respectively. By Theorem 2, the set  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for the  $\nu = 6$  points

$$\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\} = \{\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}\beta \pm i\alpha/\beta\}$$

with the bifurcation points  $d_{1,2} = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$ . In Fig. 5, we have plotted the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z)$  defined in (17) for  $\alpha = 2$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ , we get  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = 8z^4 - 8z^2 + 1$ , i.e. the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree four.




Figure 5: The inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z)$  defined in (17) for  $\alpha = 2$

### 3 Construction of Polynomials with Connected Inverse Image

Let us begin with a statement on a polynomial system of equations, which is valid for the zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . For the proof, see [10, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3** ([10]). (i) Let  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \tau z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$  and let  $z_1^+, \dots, z_n^+$  and  $z_1^-, \dots, z_n^-$  be the zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) - 1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) + 1$ , respectively. Then the following polynomial system of equations hold:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (z_j^+)^k - \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j^-)^k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (18)$$

(ii) Suppose that  $z_1^+, \dots, z_n^+, z_1^-, \dots, z_n^- \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $z_i^+ \neq z_j^-, i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , satisfy the polynomial system (18). Then there exists a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n(z) = \tau z^n + \dots \in \mathbb{P}_n$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_n(z) - 1 = \tau \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_n(z) + 1 = \tau \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j^-) \quad (19)$$

holds.

In both cases, the leading coefficient  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is given by

$$\tau = -2 \prod_{j=1}^n (z_1^- - z_j^+)^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

Next, let us give some further properties of the inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  of a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , see [16, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 4** ([16]). Let  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ .

(i) The inverse image  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  consists of  $n$  analytic Jordan arcs, denoted by  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$ , where the  $2n$  zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$  are the endpoints of the  $n$  arcs. If  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a zero of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$  of multiplicity  $\kappa$  then exactly  $\kappa$  analytic Jordan arcs  $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_\kappa}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\kappa \leq n$ , have  $z_0$  as common endpoint. These  $\kappa$  Jordan arcs are cutting each other at successive angles of  $2\pi/\kappa$ . If  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a double zero of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2 - 1$  then the two analytic Jordan arcs with the same endpoint  $z_0$  can be conjoined into one analytic Jordan arc.

(ii) The complement  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected.

With the help of Lemma 3, we will construct polynomials  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$  with the property

$$\mathcal{T}_n'(z_0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{T}_n(z_0) \in \{-1, 1\}. \quad (21)$$

Note that if  $\mathcal{T}_n$  satisfies (21) then, by Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that the  $2\nu - 2$  pairwise distinct points  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2} \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \alpha_j c_j^k + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-2} \gamma_j d_j^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-2\nu+3} \beta_j z_j^k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (22)$$

where  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-2\nu+3} \in \mathbb{C}$  pairwise distinct, with  $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j \in \{-1, 1\}$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \alpha_j + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-2} \gamma_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-2\nu+3} \beta_j = 0. \quad (23)$$



Then  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for  $\{c_1, \dots, c_\nu\}$  with bifurcation points  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_n(z) = 1 + \tau \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \alpha_j=1}}^{\nu} (z - c_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \gamma_j=1}}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j)^3 \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j=1}}^{n-2\nu+3} (z - z_j)^2 \quad (24)$$

and

$$\tau = -2 \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \alpha_j=1}}^{\nu} (z^- - c_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \gamma_j=1}}^{\nu-2} (z^- - d_j)^3 \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j=1}}^{n-2\nu+3} (z^- - z_j)^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad (25)$$

where  $z^-$  is either a point  $c_j$  for which  $\alpha_j = -1$  or a point  $d_j$  for which  $\gamma_j = -1$  or a point  $z_j$  for which  $\beta_j = -1$ .

Moreover, the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  consists of  $2\nu - 3$  analytic Jordan arcs, where

- each point  $c_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , is an endpoint of one analytic Jordan arc;
- each point  $d_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$ , is an endpoint of three analytic Jordan arcs with an angle of  $2\pi/3$  between two arcs.

*Proof.* Suppose that (22) with (23) holds. By Lemma 3, there exists a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1 = \tau^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (z - c_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j)^3 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-2\nu+3} (z - z_j)^2. \quad (26)$$

Since each zero of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  of multiplicity  $\kappa$  is a zero of  $2\mathcal{T}_n(z)\mathcal{T}_n'(z)$  of multiplicity  $\kappa - 1$  thus a zero of  $\mathcal{T}_n'(z)$  of multiplicity  $\kappa - 1$ , we get

$$\mathcal{T}_n'(z) = n\tau \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j)^2 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-2\nu+3} (z - z_j). \quad (27)$$

Thus all zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n'(z)$  are zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$ , i.e. lie in  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , hence, by Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is connected.

The representation (24) with (25) of the polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n$  follows from (19) with (20).

By (26),  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$  has a factorization of the form (5) with (note that  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$  are pairwise distinct by assumption)

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (z - c_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j), \quad (28)$$

i.e.  $\ell = \nu - 1$ , and

$$\mathcal{U}_{n-\ell}(z) := \tau \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-2\nu+3} (z - z_j). \quad (29)$$

By (6), (27) and (29), the polynomial  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z)$  in Lemma 1 is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j),$$

thus, by (28),

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}(z)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{2\ell}(z)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu-2} (z - d_j)}}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (z - c_j)}},$$

and we continue as in the proof of Theorem 2.

The last statement follows immediately by Lemma 4 and the fact that the points  $c_1, \dots, c_{\nu}$  are simple zeros, the points  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$  are triple zeros and the points  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-2\nu+3}$  are double zeros of  $\mathcal{T}_n^2(z) - 1$ , respectively.  $\square$

**Remark.** Consider the simplest case  $\nu = 3$ . In view of (22) and (23), there are 8 possible systems of equations (each with  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^k + c_2^k + c_3^k + 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-6)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k - c_2^k - c_3^k + 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-4)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k - c_2^k + c_3^k - 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-4)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k - 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-4)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k + c_2^k + c_3^k - 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-3)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-3)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k + 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-5)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k - c_2^k + c_3^k + 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-5)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \\ c_1^k - c_2^k - c_3^k - 3d_1^k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} (z_j^+)^k - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-5)/2} (z_j^-)^k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first and second 4 systems are possible only for  $n$  even and  $n$  odd, respectively. In [14], the case  $\nu = 3$  is completely solved with the help of Jacobian elliptic and theta functions.

**Remark.** If the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied and  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is given by (24) with (25), by Theorem 3 one can identify  $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  as a *simple ordinary graph*  $G$  with no cycles, i.e. a *tree*, with the  $\nu$  vertices  $c_1, \dots, c_{\nu}$  of degree one, with the  $\nu - 2$  vertices  $d_1, \dots, d_{\nu-2}$  of degree 3, and  $2\nu - 3$  edges (analytic Jordan arcs). The Pólya-Chebotarev continuum in general can be interpreted as a simple ordinary graph (tree) with no vertices of degree 2, see [7, Definition 1].

**Example.** Consider the special case of  $\nu = 4$  points

$$c_{1,2} = \alpha \pm i\beta, \quad c_{3,4} = -\alpha \pm i\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

which are the vertices of a rectangle. If  $\beta < \alpha$ , it is known [3] that the corresponding Pólya-Chebotarev continuum is symmetric with respect to the real and imaginary axis and the corresponding bifurcation points  $d_1, d_2$  lie on the real axis with  $0 < d_1 < \alpha$  and  $d_2 = -d_1$ , compare Fig. 6. Without loss of generality, let us fix  $\alpha = 1$ . For  $n \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ , below one can find the corresponding system of equations from (22) (note that  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) and the corresponding solution. For  $n = 9$ , note that there are two possible systems. For both cases, we have plotted the corresponding inverse polynomial image in Fig. 6.




Figure 6: Illustration of two Pólya-Chebotarev continua for  $\nu = 4$  points which are the vertices of a rectangle

- $n = 5$ :

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k - c_4^k - 3d_1^k + 3d_2^k = 0 \\ \beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3\sqrt{3}}, \quad d_{1,2} = \pm \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

- $n = 6$ :

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k + c_3^k + c_4^k - 3d_1^k - 3d_2^k + 2z_1^k = 0 \\ \beta = 2 - \sqrt{3}, \quad d_{1,2} = \pm 2\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}, \quad z_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

- $n = 7$ :

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k - c_4^k - 3d_1^k + 3d_2^k + 2z_1^k - 2z_2^k = 0 \\ \beta \approx 0.186748, \quad d_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.848275, \quad z_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.272412 \end{cases}$$

- $n = 8$ :

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k + c_3^k + c_4^k - 3d_1^k - 3d_2^k + 2z_1^k - 2z_2^k + 2z_3^k = 0 \\ \beta \approx 0.138701, \quad d_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.885782, \quad z_{1,3} \approx \pm 0.442891, \quad z_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

- $n = 9$ :

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k - c_4^k - 3d_1^k + 3d_2^k + 2z_1^k - 2z_2^k - 2z_3^k + 2z_4^k = 0 \\ \beta \approx 0.10749, \quad d_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.910657, \quad z_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.558978, \quad z_{3,4} \approx \pm 0.192993 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} c_1^k + c_2^k - c_3^k - c_4^k + 3d_1^k - 3d_2^k - 2z_1^k - 2z_2^k + 2z_3^k + 2z_4^k = 0 \\ \beta \approx 0.594803, \quad d_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.541874, \quad z_{1,2} \approx 0.906406 \pm 0.49118i, \\ z_{3,4} \approx -0.906406 \pm 0.49118i \end{cases}$$

Finally, let us state a conjecture on a certain density result:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $c_1, \dots, c_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  be  $\nu$  distinct points. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$  such that  $S = \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$  is the Pólya-Chebotarev continuum for  $\{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_\nu\}$  with  $|c_j - \tilde{c}_j| < \varepsilon$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ .*

## References

- [1] S.I. Fedorov, *Chebotarev's variational problem in the theory of the capacity of plane sets, and covering theorems for univalent conformal mappings*, Math. USSR Sb. **52** (1985), 115–133.
- [2] E. Grassmann and J. Rokne, *Calculation of some extremal conformal mappings*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **9** (1978), 87–105.
- [3] E.G. Grassmann and J. Rokne, *An explicit calculation of some sets of minimal capacity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **6** (1975), 242–249.
- [4] H. Grötzsch, *Über ein Variationsproblem der konformen Abbildung*, Berichte Leipzig **82** (1930), 251–263 (in German).
- [5] S.O. Kamo and P.A. Borodin, *Chebyshev polynomials for Julia sets*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **49** (1994), 44–45.
- [6] J. Nuttall and S.R. Singh, *Orthogonal polynomials and Padé approximants associated with a system of arcs*, J. Approx. Theory **21** (1977), 1–42.
- [7] J. Ortega-Cerdà and B. Pridhnani, *The Pólya-Tchebotaröv problem*, Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations, Contemp. Math., vol. 505, Amer. Math. Soc., 2010, pp. 153–170.
- [8] I.V. Ostrovskii, F.B. Pakovitch, and M.G. Zaidenberg, *A remark on complex polynomials of least deviation*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1996), no. 14, 699–703.
- [9] F. Peherstorfer, *Minimal polynomials for compact sets of the complex plane*, Constr. Approx. **12** (1996), 481–488.

- [10] F. Peherstorfer and K. Schiefermayr, *Description of extremal polynomials on several intervals and their computation. I., II.*, Acta Math. Hungar. **83** (1999), 27–58, 59–83.
- [11] G. Pólya, *Beitrag zur Verallgemeinerung des Verzerrungssatzes auf mehrfach zusammenhängende Gebiete, III.*, Sitzungsberichte Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Phys.-Math. Kl. (1929), 55–62 (in German).
- [12] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [13] ———, *Computation of logarithmic capacity*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **10** (2010), 555–578.
- [14] K. Schiefermayr, *Zolotarev’s conformal mapping and Chebotarev’s problem*, submitted.
- [15] ———, *A lower bound for the minimum deviation of the Chebyshev polynomial on a compact real set*, East J. Approx. **14** (2008), 223–233.
- [16] ———, *Geometric properties of inverse polynomial images*, Proceedings Approximation Theory XIII (San Antonio, 2010), Springer Proceedings in Mathematics **13**, 2012, pp. 277–287.