

PERSISTENT MARKOV PARTITIONS FOR RATIONAL MAPS

MARY REES

ABSTRACT. A construction is given of Markov partitions for some rational maps, which persist over regions of parameter space, not confined to single hyperbolic components. The set on which the Markov partition exists, and its boundary, are analysed.

1. CONSTRUCTION OF PARTITIONS

The first result of this paper is a construction of Markov partitions for some rational maps, including some non-hyperbolic rational maps (Theorem 1.1). Of course, results of this type have been around for many decades. We comment on this below. There is considerable freedom in the construction. In particular, the construction can be made so that the partition varies isotopically to a partition for all maps in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the original one (Lemma 2.1). So the partition is not specific, like the Yoccoz puzzle, and also less specific than other partitions which have been developed to exploit the ideas on analysis of dynamical planes and parameter space, which were pioneered using the Yoccoz puzzle. We then investigate the boundary of the set of rational maps for which the partition exists in section 2, in particular in Theorem 2.2. We also explore the set in which the partition does exist, in section 3, in particular in Theorem 3.3. We show how parameter space is partitioned, using a partition which is related to the Markov partitions of dynamical planes — in much the usual manner — and show that all the sets in the partition are nonempty. We are able to apply some of the results of [15] in our setting, in particular in the analysis of dynamical planes. The main tool used in the results about the partitioning the subset of parameter space admitting a fixed Markov partition is the λ -lemma [12].

It is natural to start our study with hyperbolic rational maps. For some integer N which depends on f , the iterate f^N of a hyperbolic map f is expanding on the Julia set $J = J(f)$ with respect to the spherical metric. The full expanding property does not hold for a parabolic rational map on its Julia set, but a minor adjustment of it does. Given any closed subset of the Julia set disjoint from the parabolic orbits, the map f^N is still expanding with respect to the spherical metric, for a suitable N .

We shall use the spherical metric throughout the proof, unless otherwise stated, when referring to distance or diameter. Also, $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the spherical metric, unless otherwise stated. Similarly, expansion and magnitude of derivative are with respect to the spherical metric.

Throughout this paper, an *arc* is a homeomorphic image of $[0, 1]$. The *endpoints* of the arc are the images of 0 and 1. An *open arc* is a homeomorphic image of $(0, 1)$.

We shall use the following definition of Markov partition for a rational map $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 1.0.1. *A Markov partition for f is a set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ such that:*

- $\overline{\text{int}(P_i)} = P_i$;
- P_i and P_j have disjoint interiors if $i \neq j$;
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$;
- each P_i is a union of connected components of $f^{-1}(P_j)$ for varying j .

Our first theorem applies to a familiar “easy” class of rational maps. In particular, we assume that every critical orbit is attracted to an attractive or parabolic periodic orbit. The most important property of the Markov partitions yielded by this theorem, however, is that the set of rational maps for which they exist is open – and if this open set contains a rational map with at least one parabolic periodic point, the open set is not contained in a single hyperbolic component.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a rational map such that every critical point is in the Fatou set, and such that the closure of any Fatou component is a closed topological disc, and all of these are disjoint. Let F_0 be the union of the periodic Fatou components. Let Z be a finite forward invariant set which includes all parabolic points. Let $G_0 \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a graph such that the following hold.*

- G_0 is connected and has finitely many vertices and edges.
- G_0 is piecewise C^1 , that is, the closure of each edge is a piecewise C^1 arc.
- All components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ are topological discs, as are the closures of these components.
- $G_0 \cap (F_0 \cup Z) = \emptyset$, any component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ contains at most one component of $F_0 \cup Z$.
- G_0 is trivalent, that is, exactly three edges meet at each vertex.
- The closures of any two components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ intersect in at most a single component, which, if it exists, must be either an edge together with the endpoints of this edge, or a single vertex, by the previous conditions.

Then there exists $G' \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (F_0 \cup Z)$ which is ambient isotopic to G_0 such that $G' \subset f^{-N}(G')$ for some N . Moreover, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, by choosing

N sufficiently large, the isotopy of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which maps G_0 to G' can be chosen to be a composition of one isotopy which is the identity outside finitely many components of the Fatou set, and a second which maps all points a spherical distance $\leq \varepsilon$, and with both isotopies fixing $F_0 \cup Z$.

Moreover, there is a connected graph G with finitely many vertices and edges, with $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$, and such that the following hold, where $\varepsilon' > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily small given ε and α_0 .

1. G is in the ε' -neighbourhood of $\cup_{i=0}^{N-1} f^{-i}(G')$.
2. Any simple closed loop of G' bounding a disc of diameter $\geq \alpha_0$ on both sides is within ε' of a closed loop of G .

Hence $\mathcal{P} = \{\overline{U} : U \text{ is a component of } \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G\}$ is a Markov partition for f , such that each set in the partition contains at most one periodic Fatou component.

For quite some time, I thought that there was no general result of this type in the literature, that is, no general result giving the existence of such a graph and related Markov partition for a map f with expanding properties. To some extent, this is true. One would expect to have a result of this type for smooth expanding maps of compact Riemannian manifolds, for which the derivative has norm greater than one with respect to the Riemannian metric. I shall call such maps *expanding local diffeomorphisms*. Of course, an expanding map of a compact metric space is never invertible. Also, a rational map is never expanding on the whole Riemann sphere, unless one allows the metric to have singularities — because of the critical points of the map. A hyperbolic rational map is an expanding local diffeomorphism on a neighbourhood of the Julia set, but such a neighbourhood is not forward invariant. The invertible analogue of expanding local diffeomorphisms is Axiom A diffeomorphisms. There is, of course, an extensive literature on these, dating from the 1960's and '70's. The existence of Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms was proved by Rufus Bowen [3], who developed the whole theory of describing invertible hyperbolic systems in terms of their symbolic dynamics in a remarkable series of papers. Bowen's results are in all dimensions. The construction of the sets in these Markov partitions is quite general, and the sets are not shown to have nice properties. In fact, results appear to be in the opposite direction: [4], for example, showing that boundaries of Markov partitions of Anosov toral diffeomorphisms of the three-torus are never smooth — a relatively mild, but interesting pathology, which, in itself, has generated an extensive literature.

The existence of Markov partitions for expanding maps of compact metric spaces appears as Theorem 4.5.2 in the recent book by Przytycki and Urbanski [13]. But there is no statement, there, about topological properties of the sets in the partition. I only learnt relatively recently (from Feliks Przytycki, among others) about the work of F.T. Farrell and L.E. Jones on expanding local diffeomorphisms, in particular about their result in dimension two [8]. Their result is a version of the statement in Theorem 1.1 —

more general in some respects – about an invariant graph G for f^N for a suitably large N . In the Farrell-Jones set-up, f is an expanding local diffeomorphism of a compact two-manifold. Unaware of their result, the first version of this paper included my own proof of the theorem above – which of course has different hypotheses from the Farrell-Jones result. Other such results have also been obtained relatively recently in other contexts, for example by Bonk and Meyer in [2], where Theorem 1.2 states that the n 'th iterate $F = f^n$ of an expanding Thurston map f admits an invariant Jordan curve, if n is sufficiently large, and consequently, by Corollary 1.5, F admits cellular Markov partitions of a certain type. My proof makes an assumption of conformality which is not in the Farrell-Jones result, and part of the proof of 1.5 is rather different from that of Farrell-Jones. I also claimed a proof for f , rather than f^N . There is no such result in [8]. If $G' \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a graph satisfying $G' \subset f^{-N}(G')$, then the set $G^0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} f^{-i}(G')$ satisfies $G^0 \subset f^{-1}(G^0)$. But G^0 might not be a graph with finitely many edges and vertices. In the first version of this paper a proof was given that G^0 was, nevertheless, such a graph. However, on seeing the result, Mario Bonk and others warned that the method of proof did not appear to take account of counter-examples in similar contexts, and was likely to be flawed - as indeed it was.

The statement now proved is not that G^0 itself is a graph with finitely many vertices and edges, but that there is such a graph G with $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$, arbitrarily close to G^0 in the Hausdorff metric, and with closed loops arbitrarily close to closed loops in G^0 bounding discs of diameter bounded from 0.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a rational map with connected Julia set J , such that the forward orbit of each critical point is attracted to an attractive or parabolic periodic orbit, and such that the closure of any Fatou component is a closed topological disc, and all of these are disjoint. Then there exists a connected graph $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the following hold.*

- (1) $G \subset f^{-1}G$.
- (2) G does not intersect the closure of any periodic Fatou component.
- (3) Any component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G$ contains at most one periodic Fatou component of f .

In particular, the set of closures of components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G$ is a Markov partition for f .

Proof. We can choose the graph G_0 of Theorem 1.1 to satisfy the conditions of 1.1 and also to not intersect the closure of any periodic Fatou component, and to separate periodic Fatou components. Theorem 1.1 then gives Property 1 above. By taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can ensure that G' also does not intersect the closure of any periodic Fatou component, and separates them. Then the same is true for $f^{-i}(G')$ for each $0 \leq i < N$.

By choosing $\varepsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small that $f^{-i}(G')$ does not intersect the $2\varepsilon'$ -neighbourhood of any periodic Fatou component, Property 1 of 1.1 gives Property 2 of this corollary. Then choosing δ_0 such that every periodic Fatou component contains a disc of diameter $\geq \delta_0$, Property 2 of 1.1 gives that every closed loop in G' which separates some periodic Fatou component from all others is approximated by a similar loop in G . This gives Property 3 above. \square

The first step in the proof of 1.1 is a lemma about the existence of subgraphs – which, as already stated, parallels methods in Farrell-Jones, section 1 of [8].

Lemma 1.3. *Let f , F_0 , Z and G_0 be as in 1.1. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be given. Let $F(G_0)$ denote the union of G_0 and all sets \overline{F} such that F is a Fatou component intersected by G_0 of diameter $\geq \delta_1/2$. Then the following holds for δ sufficiently small given δ_1 . Let Γ be another graph which has the same properties as G_0 , and such that every component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$ that has nontrivial intersection with the $2\delta_1$ -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$ is either contained in the δ -neighbourhood of some Fatou component whose closure is intersected by G_0 , or has diameter $< \delta$. Then there is a subgraph G_1 of Γ which is in the δ_1 -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$, such that G_1 can be isotoped to G_0 by an isotopy φ_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) which is the identity outside this neighbourhood, and such that the diameter of any set $\{\varphi_t(x) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is $\leq 2M_0$, where M_0 is the maximum diameter of any Fatou component.*

Remark 1.3.1. *Many of the vertices of G_1 are likely to be bivalent rather than trivalent, but these are the only types which occur.*

Proof.

The idea of the proof is to choose the graph G_1 in the union of boundaries of components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$ which intersect G_0 . Care is needed at vertices of G_0 and at intersections of G_0 with Fatou components.

We can assume without loss of generality that δ_1 is sufficiently small that $4\delta_1$ -neighbourhoods of vertices of G_0 are disjoint, and that any Fatou component which contains a vertex of G_0 has diameter $\geq 4\delta_1$. Choose $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the endpoints of any arc on G_0 of diameter $\geq \delta_1/2$ are distance $\geq 4\delta_2$ apart. Similarly choose $\delta_3 > 0$ and then $\delta_4 > 0$ such that the endpoints of any arc on G_0 of diameter $\geq \delta_i/2$ are distance $\geq 4\delta_{i+1}$ apart, and the distance between any two distinct Fatou components of diameter $\geq \delta_i/2$ is $\geq 4\delta_{i+1}$ for $i = 2, 3$. So we have $\delta_{i+1} \leq \delta_i/8$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Let \mathcal{C}_0 be the set of components of intersection γ of G_0 with the δ_3 -neighbourhood of some Fatou component of diameter $\geq \delta_2$ which also intersect the $\delta_3/2$ neighbourhood of this component, We will construct an isotopy ψ_t ($t \in [0, 1/2]$) which is the identity outside the $\delta_3/2$ -neighbourhood of Fatou components of diameter $\geq \delta_2$ but moves all components of $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_0$ outside the δ -neighbourhoods of these Fatou components, where δ is yet to be chosen. We will denote by G'_0 the image of G_0 under this isotopy. There is a

bound N_0 , where N_0 is bounded in terms of δ_4 and δ_2 , on the number of sets in \mathcal{C}_0 intersecting the $\delta_3/2$ -neighbourhood of some Fatou component V of diameter $\geq \delta_2$. By isotoping one set γ in \mathcal{C}_0 intersecting V at a time, we can ensure that for a suitable δ_j for $j \leq N_0 + 4$, for $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_0$ for some $3 \leq i \leq N_0 + 2$, the image of γ is contained in the δ_i neighbourhood of V and is disjoint from the $2\delta_{i+1}$ neighbourhood and does not intersect the δ_{i+2} -neighbourhood of any Fatou component of diameter $\geq \delta_{i+1}$ and there is just one such γ for each i and V . We call $G'_{0,i}$ the union, over all V , of images of these sets γ . We can also ensure that the δ_j are decreasing sufficiently fast that the endpoints of any arc of $G'_{0,i}$ of diameter $\geq \delta_{i+1}/2$ are distance $\geq 4\delta_{i+2}$ apart. In particular, $8\delta_{j+1} \leq \delta_j$ for all $j \leq N_0 + 3$. So for each vertex v of $G'_{0,i}$ there is an open neighbourhood U_v of a connected $G_v \subset G'_{0,i}$ containing v such that U_v contains the δ_{i+2} -neighbourhood of G_v , the points of $G_v \cap \partial U_v$ are distance $\leq \delta_{i+1}$ and $\geq \delta_{i+1}/2$ from v and the sets U_v are all disjoint. The diameters of path $\{\psi_t(x) : x \in [0, 1/2]\}$ is $\leq M_0 + \delta_3$.

Now we assume that $\delta < \delta_i/2$ for all $i \leq N_0 + 4$.

Now we isotope G'_0 to a subgraph of Γ . First we consider points of

$$G'_0 \setminus \bigcup_{3 \leq i \leq N_0+2} G'_{0,i}.$$

We choose a finite subset $G''_{0,1}$ of

$$(G'_0 \setminus \bigcup_{3 \leq i \leq N_0+2} G'_{0,i}) \cap \Gamma$$

such that if two points x and y in $G''_{0,1}$ are not separated in G'_0 by other points of $G''_{0,1}$ or by $\bigcup_{3 \leq i \leq N_0+2} G'_{0,i}$ then the following hold.

- There are components U_1 and U_2 of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$ such that $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \partial U_1$ and $y \in \partial U_2$.
- If $U_1 = U_2$, there is no other point of $G''_{0,1}$ in $\partial U_1 = \partial U_2$. If $U_1 \neq U_2$ there is no other point of $G''_{0,1}$ in $\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2$ except when x and y are separated by a vertex v of G'_0 . In this case, a third point z is allowed in $\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2$ such that there is one of x , y and z each of the arms of G'_0 attached to v . At most one of the three points x , y and z is in $\partial U_1 \cap \partial U_2$.

We choose $G''_{0,1}$ by successively removing points from an initial choice of the set, as necessary. Then the arc of G'_0 between two points of $G''_{0,1}$ which are not separated by other points of $G''_{0,1}$ or by $\bigcup_{i \geq 3} G'_{0,i}$ has diameter $\leq \delta_1/2$. We can join two adjacent points x and y as above by an arc in $\partial U_1 \cup \partial U_2 \subset \Gamma$. Since U_i has diameter $\leq \delta_2 + 2\delta_3$, the diameter of $U_1 \cup U_2$ is $< 3\delta_2$, whether or not $U_1 = U_2$. So the arc of Γ between x and y has diameter $< 3\delta_2 < \delta_1/2$. The arc in G'_0 between x and y , which is also in G_0 , has diameter $\leq \delta_1/2$, and an isotopy between the arcs in G_0 and Γ can be chosen to be the identity outside a δ_1 neighbourhood of G_0 . In the case when there is a vertex v between x and y and hence there is a third point z on the other edge of G_0 ,

the arcs from y and z to x in Γ , can be chosen to meet at a vertex of Γ and continue on the same arc to x . In this case x , y and z can be in the closures of two or three distinct components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$.

Similarly we can find a set of points $G''_{0,i} \subset G'_{0,i}$ for $3 \leq i \leq N_0 + 2$ such that similar properties hold for points $x, y \in G''_{0,i}$ in the same component of $G'_{0,i}$ which are not separated in $G'_{0,i}$ by any other points in $G''_{0,i}$. The components U_1 and U_2 of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma$ containing x and y then have diameter $\leq \delta_{i+1} + 2\delta_{i+2}$, and so the diameter of $U_1 \cup U_2$ is, once again, $< 3\delta_i$, whether or not $U_1 = U_2$. So the arc of $G'_{0,i}$ between x and y has diameter $< \delta_i/2$, and an isotopy from the arc of $G'_{0,i}$ between x and y to the arc of Γ can be chosen to be the identity outside the δ_i -neighbourhood of $G'_{0,i}$. We construct the isotopy mapping $G'_{0,i}$ into Γ on arcs between x and y containing a vertex of G'_0 in the same way as in the case $i = 1$.

So we can construct an isotopy $\{\xi_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}$ which maps G'_0 to Γ and such that the diameter of any set $\{\xi_t(x) : t \in [0, 1/2]\}$ is $\leq \delta_1$. Combining this with the isotopy ψ_t which maps G_0 to G'_0 and which is the identity outside the $\delta_3/2$ -neighbourhood of the union of Fatou components of diameter $\geq \delta_2$, we obtain the required isotopy φ_t ($t \in [0, 1]$), which is the identity outside the δ_1 -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$, and such that the diameter of any path $\varphi_t(x) : t \in [0, 1]$ is $\leq M_0 + \delta_3 + \delta_1 < 2M_0$, assuming as we may do that $\delta_1 < M_0/2$. □

We will prove Theorem 1.1 using Lemma 1.4. A homeomorphism h of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is *piecewise C^1* if there is a partition of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ into sets with piecewise C^1 boundary (the boundary is a finite union of closed C^1 arcs) such that h is C^1 restricted to each set in the partition.

Lemma 1.4. *Let f, Z, G_0 be as in 1.1 to 1.3. As in 1.3, let $F(G_0)$ be the union of G_0 and the closures of any components of the Fatou set of f which are intersected by G_0 . Let U be a closed neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$ with C^1 boundary such that for some M , the diameter of any component of $\text{int}(F(G_0))$ is at most M times the distance of any point on the boundary of the component to ∂U .*

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ be given. Then for all sufficiently large N , depending on G_0, M, ε and λ , there are a graph G_1 , a neighbourhood U_1 of G_1 , a constant C_1 , a piecewise C^1 homeomorphism h of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and h such that the following hold.

- $G_1 \subset f^{-N}(G_0)$ and G_1 is contained in the ε -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$.
- h is the identity outside U , is isotopic to the identity via an isotopy θ_t ($t \in [0, 1]$), the diameter of $\{\theta_t(x) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is $\leq C_1$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, and $h(G_0) = G_1$.
- $f^N(U_1) \subset U$, and $g = f^N \circ h$ is expanding on $h^{-1}(U_1)$, and f^N is expanding on U_1 both with expansion constant $\geq \lambda^{-1}$.

Proof. If N is sufficiently large given δ , then every component of $f^{-N}(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0)$ either has diameter $< \delta$, or is within the δ -neighbourhood of some Fatou component. This is simply because, if B_1 is any closed set, and S is any univalent local inverse of f^n defined on an open set B_2 containing B_1 , then the diameter of $S(B_1)$ tends to zero uniformly with n , independent of S . The proof is from Brodin [5]. If the diameter does not tend to 0 then by Montel's Theorem there is an open neighbourhood B_3 of B_1 with $\overline{B_3} \subset B_2$ and a subsequence S_{n_i} such that $S_{n_i} B_3$ converges to a set bounded from 0 and such that $f^{n_i - n_{i-1}} \circ S_{n_i} = S_{n_{i-1}}$. This is only possible if $S_{n_i} B_3$ converges to a subset of the full orbit of a Siegel disk or Herman ring, neither of which exists, under our assumptions on f . In fact, our assumptions ensure that we can take B_2 to be any open set which is disjoint from the closures of the critical forward orbits. In particular, we can take B_2 to be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{B_1}$ of any component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ which does not contain a periodic Fatou component. We can also take B_1 to be any closed simply-connected set in $\overline{W_1} \setminus W_2$ for any component W_1 of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ and periodic Fatou component W_2 with $W_2 \subset W_1$, and in the complement of a neighbourhood of the set of parabolic points. We now assume that $N = N_0 k_0$ for some N_0 sufficiently large, and with k_0 sufficiently large given N_0 , in senses to be specified later. It follows from the fact that G_0 satisfies the properties of 1.3, that $f^{-N_0}(G_0)$ satisfies the properties of Γ of 1.3 if δ is sufficiently small given δ_1 . So, for $\delta_1 < \varepsilon/2$, we choose

$$G_{1,N_0} \subset f^{-N_0}(G_0) \cap B_{\delta_1}(G_0)$$

as in 1.3. In particular, G_{1,N_0} is isotopic to G_0 , and the isotopy can be performed within a δ_1 -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$. We assume that δ_1 is sufficiently small that the $2\delta_1$ -neighbourhood of $F(G_0)$ is contained in U . We assume without loss of generality that U is a tubular neighbourhood of G_0 with piecewise C^1 boundary. We then define G_{1,iN_0} for $2 \leq i \leq k_0$, and an isotopy of $G_{1,(i-1)N_0}$ to G_{1,iN_0} inductively by: $G_{1,iN_0} \subset f^{-N_0}(G_{1,(i-1)N_0})$ is the image of $G_{1,(i-1)N_0}$ for the isotopy which is the lift under f^{N_0} of the isotopy between $G_{1,(i-2)N_0}$ and $G_{1,(i-1)N_0}$. Then $G_1 = G_{1,N} = G_{1,k_0 N_0}$.

It remains to choose U_1 and the piecewise C^1 homeomorphism h of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ mapping G_0 to G_1 such that h is a piecewise C^1 homeomorphism, and we have the required expanding properties of $f^N \circ h$. For this, it suffices to bound the derivative of h on $h^{-1}(U_{1,N})$ from 0, independently of N , because the minimum of the derivative of f^N on $f^{-N}(U)$ tends to ∞ with N . We will choose h to be the identity outside U .

Choose a finite partition \mathcal{R}_0 of \overline{U} into topological rectangles with piecewise C^1 boundary such that each rectangle is a square, up to bounded distortion, has two edges in U , and intersects G_0 in a single arc in an edge of G_0 . We have $G_{1,iN_0} \subset f^{-iN_0}(G_0)$. The interior of the union of the rectangles in \mathcal{R}_0 is of course the set U . We also write $U = U_{1,0}$. Let U_{1,iN_0} be the union of components of $f^{-iN_0}(R)$, for $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$, which intersect G_{1,iN_0} , including

those which intersect G_{1,iN_0} in just a single point, adjacent to a component intersecting G_{iN_0} in an edge.

There might be some sets in $f^{-iN_0}(\mathcal{R}_0)$, which intersect G_{1,iN_0} in a single point which is a vertex of $f^{-iN_0}(G_0)$ but not a vertex of G_{1,iN_0} . All the sets in $f^{-iN}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ which intersect G_{1,iN_0} in a nontrivial arc in an edge are then topological rectangles, if we regard the edges of the rectangle as the two components of intersection with $\partial U_{1,iN_0}$, and the two complementary components in the boundary. We call these sets of $f^{-iN_0}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ *first type sets* and the others are *second type sets*. A second type set R intersects G_{1,iN_0} in a single point in ∂R which is a vertex of $f^{-iN_0}(G_{1,0})$ but not a vertex of G_{1,iN_0} . The set $\partial R \cap \partial U_{1,iN_0}$ is connected. We can assume that second type sets in $f^{-iN_0}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ are always adjacent to rectangles of the first type. and then add each set R of the second type to an adjacent one of the first type, say R' . We also combine the set $\partial R \cap \partial U_{1,iN_0}$ to the adjacent component of $\partial R' \cap \partial U_{1,iN_0}$ so that the combined set is a topological rectangle with two edges in $\partial U_{1,iN_0}$. We write \mathcal{R}_{iN_0} for this set of topological rectangles, each of which maps forward under f^{iN_0} to either a topological rectangle in \mathcal{R}_0 or a union of two topological rectangles in \mathcal{R}_0 whose boundaries intersect in an arc including a vertex of $G_0 = G_{1,0}$. Thus the number of possibilities for the images under f^{iN_0} of rectangles in \mathcal{R}_{iN_0} is bounded in terms of the number of sets in \mathcal{R}_0 . If N_0 is sufficiently large, we have $U_{1,N_0} \subset \text{int}(U_{1,0})$, and then $U_{1,(i+1)N_0} \subset \text{int}(U_{1,iN_0})$ for all $0 \leq i < k_0$. By construction, U_{1,iN_0} is a closed neighbourhood of G_{1,jN_0} for all $j \geq i$. In particular, $U_1 = U_{1,N}$ is a neighbourhood of $G_1 = G_{1,N}$.

To construct h , we first construct two foliations \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 of $U_{1,0} = U$. The map h will then map leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 to leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 . For \mathcal{F}_0 , for each rectangle R , there will be a piecewise C^1 homeomorphism from $[a(R), b(R)] \times [-1, 1]$ to R , with derivative bounded and bounded from 0, where it is defined, such that the leaves of the foliation are the images of the sets $\{x\} \times [-1, 1]$, and the arc of an edge of G_0 in R is the image of $[a(R), b(R)] \times \{0\}$, and $R \cap \partial U$ is the image of $[a(R), b(R)] \times \{1, -1\}$. Thus each leaf of \mathcal{F}_0 in R crosses G_0 exactly once. It is clear that we can construct \mathcal{F}_0 because it just depends on the homeomorphisms between rectangles in U and $[a(R), b(R)] \times [-1, 1]$. It is convenient to choose $a(R)$, $b(R)$ and the homeomorphism between the topological and geometric rectangles as follows. For each edge e of G_0 , there is a finite number $n(e)$ of topological rectangles in \mathcal{R}_0 containing e . Number these rectangles $R_i(e)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n(e)$ in the order in which they are placed along e . Choose a component $\partial_1 R_i(e)$ of $\partial R_i(e) \cap \partial U_{1,0}$ so that $\partial_1 R_i(e)$ is in the same component of $\partial U_{1,0}$ for all $i \leq n(e)$. Then for $R = R_i(e)$ for some i , let $b(R) - a(R)$ be the length of $\partial_1 R$ in the spherical metric and let $a(R_1(e)) = 0$ and $a(R_{i+1}(e)) = b(R_i(e))$ for $1 \leq i < n(e)$. Then choose the piecewise C^1 homeomorphism from R to $[a(R), b(R)] \times [-1, 1]$ to map spherical length on $\partial_1 R$ to Euclidean length on $[a(R), b(R)]$ and to have a uniform bound on derivative.

We make a similar parametrisation of the sets in \mathcal{R}_{iN_0} , all of which are topological rectangles. Each one intersects in its interior a single arc from a single edge of G_{1,iN_0} which is ambient isotopic to an edge e of $G_0 = G_{1,0}$. We write $R_j(e, i)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n(e, i)$ for the rectangles in \mathcal{R}_{iN_0} which intersect G_{1,iN_0} in an arc from the edge which is ambient isotopic to $e \subset G_0$. We choose the component $\partial_1 R$ of $\partial R \cap \partial U_{1,iN_0}$, for $R = R_j(e, i)$, so that $\partial_1 R$ is in the component of $\partial U_{1,iN_0}$ which is not separated from $\partial_1 R'$ by G_{1,iN_0} , for $R' = R_k(e) \in \mathcal{R}_0$ for any k . Then for $R = R(e, i)$, we let $b(R) - a(R)$ be the spherical length of $\partial_1 R$ and we choose a piecewise C^1 homeomorphism from R to $[a(R), b(R)] \times [-c(R), c(R)]$ where $c(R)$ is the length of one of the components of $\partial R \cap U_{1,iN_0}$. It does not matter which one because the lengths of the two components differ by at most a bounded multiplicative constant. We also choose the homeomorphism to map G_{1,iN_0} to $[a(R), b(R)] \times \{0\}$. We can choose this piecewise C^1 homeomorphism to have distortion bounded independently of i and j , because f^{iN_0} is univalent from a neighbourhood of $R_j(e, i)$ onto the neighbourhood of either a rectangle of \mathcal{R}_0 or the union of two rectangles of \mathcal{R}_0 .

So now we consider \mathcal{F}_1 . Each leaf of \mathcal{F}_1 in U will cross G_1 exactly once, and will also cross $\partial U_{1,iN_0}$ exactly twice for each $0 \leq i \leq k_0$, once on each side of G_1 . Each leaf of \mathcal{F}_1 will have the same two endpoints as some leaf of \mathcal{F}_0 . Intersections of leaves with $\partial U_{1,iN_0}$ will be transverse for each $1 \leq i \leq k_0$. For each edge e of G_0 and each $i < k_0$, leaf segments from $x \in \partial_1 R_k(e, i)$ in $U_{1,iN_0} \setminus U_{1,(i+1)N_0}$ will end at $\tau(x) \in \partial_1 R_\ell(e, i+1)$ for some $\ell \leq n(e, i+1)$. The endpoint $\tau(x)$ is determined from x by using the parametrisations of $\bigcup_{k \leq n(e,i)} \partial_1 R_k(e, i)$ and $\bigcup_{\ell \leq n(e,i+1)} \partial_1 R_\ell(e, i+1)$ by $[a_1(e, i), b_{n(e,i)}(e, i)]$ and $[a_1(e, i+1), b_{n(e,i+1)}(e, i+1)]$ respectively. We choose the map τ to be of the form $t \mapsto \beta t$ which respect to this parametrisation. Thus, τ multiplies length by a constant depending only on e and i . We take the same parametrisation on the other components of $\partial R_k(e, i) \cap \partial U_{1,iN_0}$ and $\partial R_\ell(e, i+1) \cap \partial U_{1,(i+1)N_0}$ and again use the map $t \mapsto \beta t$ to determine the endpoints of the leaf segments of leaves in \mathcal{F}_1 in this component of $U_{1,iN_0} \setminus U_{1,(i+1)N_0}$. This time the map does not multiply length by a constant, but does do so up to bounded distortion. We then foliate each component of $U_{1,iN_0} \setminus U_{1,(i+1)N_0}$ by leaf segments with these endpoints. For adjacent edges e and e' , where $\partial R_{n(e,i)}(e, i) \cap \partial R_1(e', i) \neq \emptyset$ (or similarly with e and e' interchanged) then we need to make sure that we choose the segment joining the point $\partial_1 R_{n(e,i)}(e, i) \cap \partial_1 R_1(e', i) \cap \partial U_{iN_0}$ to $\partial_1 R_{n(e,i+1)}(e, i+1) \cap \partial_1 R_1(e', i+1) \cap \partial U_{(i+1)N_0}$ extends C^1 continuously on both sides in the component of $U_{1,iN_0} \setminus U_{1,(i+1)N_0}$, and similarly on the other components of $\partial R_{n(e,i)}(e, i) \cap \partial R_1(e', i) \cap \partial U_{iN_0}$ and $\partial R_{n(e,i+1)}(e, i+1) \cap \partial R_1(e', i+1) \cap \partial U_{(i+1)N_0}$. In U_{1,k_0N_0} the leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 in R are simply the images of the lines $\{x\} \times [-c(R), c(R)]$ in the rectangles. Because all rectangles in \mathcal{R}_{iN_0} and $\mathcal{R}_{(i+1)N_0}$ map forward under f^{iN_0} to either rectangles or the union of two rectangles in \mathcal{R}_0 and \mathcal{R}_{N_0} , we can choose the leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 to be piecewise C^1

with bounded derivative independent of i . Moreover, the length of leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 is bounded independently of k_0 .

Since h is to be the identity on ∂U , we know exactly which leaf of \mathcal{F}_1 is the image under h of any given leaf in $L \in \mathcal{F}_0$. We also choose h to map the point $L \cap G_0$ to $h(L) \cap G_{1,k_0N_0}$. Now we claim that the derivative of $h : G_0 \rightarrow G_{1,k_0N_0}$ is bounded from 0 independently of k_0 . This follows from the lower bound of the derivative of the map along leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 from $\partial_1 R$ to $\partial_1 R'$ for $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$ and $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{k_0N_0}$ for $R = R_j(e)$ and $R' = R_\ell(e, k_0)$ for some k_0 . Whenever there are such leaves they have been chosen so that the map along leaves from $\partial_1 R$ to $\partial_1 R'$ multiplies length by a constant depending only on lengths of $\bigcup_{i \leq n(e)} R_i(e)$ and $\bigcup_{i \leq n(e, k_0)} R_i(e, k_0)$. Since the first of these has length bounded above and below and the second has length bounded below, and the rectangles in $\mathcal{R}_{k_0N_0}$ map under $f^{k_0N_0}$ to rectangles or unions of two rectangles in \mathcal{R}_0 with bounded distortion, we obtain the required lower bound on the derivative of $h : G_0 = G_{1,0} \rightarrow G_1 = G_{1,k_0N_0}$. To obtain the required lower bound of the derivative of h on $h^{-1}(U_1)$, we simply choose the segments of leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 containing G_0 which map to U_1 to be sufficiently short that the derivative of h along these segments is ≥ 1 : we have this freedom in choosing $h^{-1}(U_1)$ and h . The bound on the diameter of leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 , independent of k_0 , gives the bound on the diameter of $\{\theta_t(x) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ for a suitable C_1 and isotopy θ_t between the identity and h .

1.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for some N . Let G_0 and G_1 be the graphs as in Lemma 1.4, and let $U_1 = U_{1,N} = U_{1,k_0N_0}$, and h be as in Lemma 1.4, and $U = U_0$, so that $f^N \circ h = g$ is expanding on G_0 , and h is the identity outside U and isotopic to the identity on \mathbb{C} via an isotopy which is the identity outside U . More generally, let U_{1,iN_0} be as in 1.4 and write $U_n = U_{1,nN}$, remembering that $N = k_0N_0$. So $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ for all n and $f^N(U_{n+1}) = U_n$. We also choose a neighbourhood U'_n of U_n for $n \geq 1$ with $U'_n \subset U_{n-1}$ as follows. Recall from 1.4 that U_1 is a finite union of topological rectangles and that U_n is covered by rectangles of the form SR where S is a univalent local inverse of $f^{(n-1)N}$ on R , and R is one of the rectangles in the finite union. We then let U'_1 be a finite union of open balls B covering U_1 such that $U'_1 \subset U_0$ and such that any local inverse S of $f^{(n-1)N}$ on B is univalent, and let U'_n be the union of such balls SB such that $SB \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$. We thus have

$$U_n \subset U'_n \subset U_{n-1}.$$

Recall that d is the spherical metric on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Now we are going to define homeomorphisms h_n on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that h_n is the identity outside U and such that

$$(1.5.1) \quad \text{Max}\{d(h_n(x), x) : x \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}\} \leq C_1 \lambda^n,$$

where C_1 is as in 1.4,

$$(1.5.2) \quad h_n \circ f^N = f^N \circ h_{n+1} \text{ on } U_{n+1} \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(1.5.3) \quad h_n = \text{identity outside } U'_n \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Write $h = h_0$. Then h_0 is the identity outside $U = U_0$ and isotopic to the identity. Now let $n \geq 0$ and suppose that h_n has been defined satisfying the hypotheses. In particular h_n is the identity outside U'_n . Now $f^N : f^{-N}(U_{n-1}) \rightarrow (U_{n-1})$ is a covering map. Define $\tilde{h}_n : f^{-N}(U_{n-1}) \rightarrow f^{-N}(U_{n-1})$ by the properties

$$(1.5.4) \quad f^N \circ \tilde{h}_n = h_n \circ f^N \text{ on } f^{-N}(U_{n-1})$$

and \tilde{h}_n is isotopic to the identity on $f^{-N}(U_{n-1})$. This implies that $\tilde{h}_n = \text{identity on } \partial f^{-N}(U_{n-1})$. As $\lambda^{-1} > 1$ is the expansion constant of f^N on U_1 , we have

$$(1.5.5) \quad d(x, \tilde{h}_n(x)) \leq \lambda d(f^N(x), h_n(f^N(x))) \leq C_1 \lambda^{n+1}$$

for all $x \in f^{-N}(U)$, for C_1 as in 1.4. Also, by the inductive hypothesis, \tilde{h}_n is the identity outside the $f^{-N}(U'_n) \subset f^{-N}(U_{n-1})$. So \tilde{h}_n is equal to the identity on $\partial U'_{n+1} \cap \partial f^{-N}(U'_n)$. So we can define a homeomorphism h_{n+1} by $h_{n+1} = \tilde{h}_n$ on U_n except on $SB \setminus U_{n+1}$ where SB is one of the balls covering U'_{n+1} which intersects $\partial U_{n+1} \cap \text{int}(f^{-N}(U_n))$. Then we can extend h_{n+1} from U_{n+1} over such balls so that (1.5.1) to (1.5.3) hold with $n+1$ replacing n , using (1.5.5) and (1.5.4)

Write $f^N \circ h_0 = g$ and $G_n = G_{1,nN}$ where G_{1,iN_0} is as in 1.4. Then $G_{n+1} = h_n(G_n)$. Let $\varphi_n = h_n \circ \dots \circ h_0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then

$$d(\varphi_n(x), \varphi_{n-1}(x)) \leq C_1 \lambda^n$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that φ_n converges uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ to a continuous map $\varphi : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. The set $G' = \varphi(G_0)$ is then the required graph with $G' \subset f^{-N}(G')$, provided that φ is a homeomorphism. We have

$$(1.5.6) \quad \varphi_n^{-1}(U_n) = \varphi_{n-1}^{-1}(h_n^{-1}(U_n)) \subset \varphi_{n-1}^{-1}(U'_n) \subset \varphi_{n-1}^{-1}(U_{n-1}).$$

Using (1.5.9) for i replacing n for each $k \leq i \leq n$ and also using (1.5.2) with i replacing n , for $k-1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have, for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$(1.5.7) \quad f^N \circ \varphi_n = h_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ h_k \circ f^N \circ \varphi_k \text{ on } \varphi_n^{-1}(U_n)$$

This gives

$$(1.5.8) \quad f^N \circ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1} \circ g \text{ on } \varphi_n^{-1}(U_n),$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Hence

$$(1.5.9) \quad f^{kN} \circ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-k} \circ g^k \text{ on } \varphi_n^{-1}(U_n).$$

In particular

$$(1.5.10) \quad f^{nN} \circ \varphi_n = h \circ g^n \text{ on } \varphi_n^{-1}(U_n).$$

and

$$g^n(\varphi_n^{-1}(U_n)) = h^{-1}(U_0) = U_0$$

Since by 1.4 any local inverse of g on U_0 mapping into U_0 has contraction constant λ , we deduce that

$$\bigcap_k \varphi_k^{-1}(U_k) = G'$$

Taking limits in (1.5.8), we obtain

$$f^N \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ g \text{ on } G_0.$$

Now since φ is the limit of the homeomorphisms φ_n , it follows that φ is a monotone map, that is, $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is connected for all x . (This is because we can find a sequence ε_n decreasing to 0 such that $\varphi_n^{-1}(\{y : d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon_n\})$ is a decreasing sequence of connected sets which is equal to $\varphi^{-1}(x)$.) We have $h_n = \text{identity}$ outside U_{n-1} for $n \geq 1$ and hence $\varphi_n = \varphi_k = \varphi$ outside $\varphi_k^{-1}(U_k)$ for all $n \geq k$, and φ is a homeomorphism except possibly on $\bigcap_k \varphi_k^{-1}(U_k) = G'$. So if φ is not a homeomorphism then $\varphi^{-1}(x)$, for some $x \in G'$, is a nontrivial connected set in G_0 . Then $\varphi^{-1}(f^{nN}(x)) = g^n(\varphi^{-1}(x))$ is nontrivial connected for each $n \geq 0$ and since g is expanding on G_0 we obtain that φ is constant on a subgraph of G' which separates $F(G_0)$, an obvious contradiction.

1.6. Nested sequences of arcs. We are now ready to start studying intersections between $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-j}(G')$ for $0 \leq i, j < N$ and $i \neq j$. Since $f^i : f^{-i}(G') \rightarrow G'$ is a finite covering and G' is a finite graph, $f^{-i}(G')$ is a finite graph for all $i \geq 0$.

Fix ε_0 suitably small that the distance between any two vertices of $f^{-k}(G')$ is $\geq 4\varepsilon_0$, for $0 \leq k < N$. Let $0 \leq i, j < N$, with $i \neq j$. A sequence of arcs $\gamma_n \subset f^{-j}(G')$ ($n \geq n_0$), with γ_n of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ for all n , such that γ_n is disjoint from $f^{-i}(G')$ apart from having both endpoints in $f^{-j}(G') \cap \eta$, where $\eta \subset f^{-i}(G')$ has diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$, and γ_{n+1} is inside the topological disc bounded by γ_n and η , is called a *nested sequence of arcs for $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-j}(G')$* .

More generally we will talk about a *nested sequence of arcs for $(f^{-i}(G'), f^{-\ell}(G'))$ and $f^{-j}(G')$* if $\gamma_n \subset f^{-j}(G')$ is disjoint from $f^{-i}(G') \cup f^{-\ell}(G')$ apart from having one endpoint in $f^{-j}(G') \cap f^{-i}(G')$ and the other in $f^{-j}(G') \cap f^{-\ell}(G')$ and γ_{n+1} is inside the topological disc bounded by γ_n and η , where γ_n has diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ for all n , $\eta = \eta^1 \cup \eta^2$ has diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ and where $\eta^1 \subset f^{-i}(G')$ and $\eta^2 \subset f^{-\ell}(G')$ are disjoint apart from a common endpoint in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-\ell}(G')$.

Locally, and abstractly, it is not difficult to construct nested sequences of arcs, and it might well be possible to make the constructions in our context. Our strategy will be to isolate nested sequences before replacement to make another graph. First we need some analysis of them.

Lemma 1.7. *Fix $0 \leq i, j < N$ with $i \neq j$ and any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

- (1) *There is a finite set Y_1 of periodic points (under f) in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} f^{-i}(G')$ such that the following holds. Let $0 \leq i, j < N$ with $i \neq j$ and let γ*

be any arc with $\text{int}(\gamma) \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ and at least one endpoint of γ , x_1 , is in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. Then there is $n > 0$ and such that $f^n(x_1) \in Y_1$.

- (2) There is a finite set \mathcal{C} of pairs $(\gamma', \{x'_1, x'_2\})$ of arcs γ' with endpoints x'_1 and x'_2 such that the following hold. Suppose that γ is an arc of diameter $< \varepsilon$ with $\text{int}(\gamma) \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ and with endpoints x_1 and x_2 . Then there is $n > 0$ and $(\gamma', \{x'_1, x'_2\}) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f^{nN}(\gamma) = \gamma'$ and $\{f^{nN}(x_1), f^{nN}(x_2)\} = \{x'_1, x'_2\}$.

Consequently, if γ_n ($n \geq n_0$) is any nested sequence of arcs, and γ_n has endpoints $x_{n,1}$ and $x_{n,2}$ and γ_n has diameter $\leq \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$, then there are n and $n+k$ with $n_0 \leq n < n+k \leq n_0 + \#\mathcal{C}$ and m and $\ell > 0$ and $(\gamma', \{x'_1, x'_2\}) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $f^{mN}(\gamma_n) = f^{(m+\ell)N}(\gamma_{n+k}) = \gamma'$, and $f^{mN}(x_{n,t}) = f^{(m+\ell)N}(x_{n+k,t}) = x'_t$ for $t = 1, 2$, and some ordering of endpoints such that $x_{n+k,1}$ is nearer to $x_{n,1}$ than the other endpoint of γ_n .

Moreover, either $f^{mN}(\gamma_p)$ ($p \geq n$) is a nested sequence of arcs for $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-j}(G')$, or $f^{mN}(\gamma_n)$ is in a small neighbourhood of a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$.

- (3) Similarly, for fixed distinct $0 < i, \ell, j < N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite set \mathcal{C}' of pairs $(\gamma', \{x'_1, x'_2\})$ of arcs γ' with endpoints x'_1 and x'_2 such that the following hold. If γ_n is any nested sequence of arcs for $(f^{-i}(G'), f^{-\ell}(G'))$ and $f^{-j}(G')$, and γ_n has diameter $\leq \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$, then there are n and $n+k$ with $n_0 \leq n < n+k \leq n_0 + \#\mathcal{C}'$ and m and $\ell > 0$ and $(\gamma', \{x'_1, x'_2\}) \in \mathcal{C}'$ with $f^{mN}(\gamma_n) = f^{(m+\ell)N}(\gamma_{n+k}) = \gamma'$ and $f^{mN}(x_{n,t}) = f^{(m+\ell)N}(x_{n+k,t}) = x'_t$, where $x'_{n,1}, x'_{n+k,1}$ and x'_1 are the respective endpoints in $f^{-i}(G')$ and $x'_{n,2}, x'_{n+k,2}$ and x'_2 are the respective endpoints in $f^{-\ell}(G')$.

Remark 1.7.1.

Note that the statements of 2 and 3 are effectively about finite nested sequences. It is possible that the set of limits of infinite nested sequences is uncountable.

Proof.

We choose a constant C so that the maximum of the spherical derivative of f^N is $\leq C$. We choose ε such that the distance between any two vertices of $f^{-i}(G')$ is $\geq 100C\varepsilon$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2N$, and similarly for the minimum distance between any two edges of $f^{-i}(G')$ which do not have a common vertex.

Let Y be the set of all endpoints, in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$, of arcs of diameter $\geq \varepsilon$ and $\leq C\varepsilon$ in $f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ but with at least one endpoint in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. The set Y is finite, because there is a lower bound, depending on G' , on the Hausdorff distance between two such disjoint arcs.

The set \mathcal{C} contains all $(\gamma, \{x_1, x_2\})$ such that γ is an arc in $f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ apart from endpoints x_1 and x_2 , with endpoint $x_1 \in f^{-i}(G')$, and spherical diameter $\geq \varepsilon$ and $\leq C\varepsilon$. The set of such arcs is finite because each

edge of $f^{-j}(G')$ is an arc. The remaining pairs $(\gamma, \{x_1, x_2\})$ in \mathcal{C} are of the form $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_2$ (arcs in this order) such that:

- the diameter of γ is $\geq \varepsilon$ and $\leq C\varepsilon$;
- γ_1 is either a single vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$ or is an arc in $f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ apart from *both* endpoints in $f^{-i}(G')$ in different edges of $f^{-i}(G')$ which meet at a vertex x of $f^{-i}(G')$ and similarly for γ_2 ;
- x_k is the endpoint of γ which is also an endpoint of γ_k for $k = 1$ and 2 ;
- γ_3 does not intersect the edges of $f^{-i}(G')$ (one or two edges) containing x_1 and x_2 – unless $x_1 = \gamma_1 = x$, in which case γ_3 does not intersect the interior of the edge of $f^{-i}(G')$ containing x_2 , and similarly if $x_2 = \gamma_2$

Once again this set of arcs on $f^{-j}(G')$ is finite, because any two such arcs γ_1 are either equal or disjoint apart from endpoints, and similarly for γ_2 , and the decomposition of γ as $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_2$ (γ_i in this order along γ) is canonical.

Now let γ be an arc in $f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ apart from, possibly, the endpoints, and the endpoint x_1 is in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. Since we are only interested in x_1 for the moment we can reduce γ if necessary and assume that it has diameter $\leq \varepsilon$. We want to show that $f^{nN}(x_1) \in Y$ for some $n > 0$. Since this includes the case $x_1 \in Y$, this will show that points in Y are eventually periodic and will prove 1. It suffices to show that there is $n > 0$ such that $f^{nN}(\gamma)$ contains an arc with endpoint at $f^{nN}(x_1)$ but otherwise in $f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ and of diameter $\geq \varepsilon$.

Either there is $n > 0$ such that

$$(1.7.1) \quad f^{rN}(\gamma) \setminus \{f^{rN}(x_1)\} \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$$

for $0 \leq r \leq n$ and $f^{nN}(\gamma)$ has diameter $\geq \varepsilon$ and $\leq C\varepsilon$, or for some least $0 \leq r < n$, $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ has diameter $< \varepsilon$, (1.7.1) holds, but

$$f^{rN}(\gamma) \setminus \{f^{rN}(x_1)\} \cap f^{-i-N}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G') \neq \emptyset.$$

There must be an arc of diameter $< \delta$ in $f^{-i-N}(G')$ which starts at x_3 , continues on to a vertex x_4 of $f^{-i-N}(G')$ in $f^{-i}(G')$ before continuing in $f^{-i}(G')$ to the endpoint $f^{rN}(x_1) \in f^{-i}(G')$ of $f^{rN}(\gamma)$. Here δ can be taken arbitrarily small by taking ε arbitrarily small. If x_4 coincides with $f^{rN}(x_1)$ then of course x_1 is eventually periodic. Otherwise, let $x_5 \in f^{-i-N}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ be the nearest such point on $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ to $f^{rN}(x_1)$. Let γ'_1 be the subarc of $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ between $f^{rN}(x_1)$ and x_5 . Then γ'_1 is disjoint from $f^{-i-N}(G')$ apart from endpoints, and $f^N(\gamma'_1)$ is disjoint from $f^{-i}(G')$ apart from endpoints, but bounds a disc together with an arc of $f^{-i}(G')$ which contains the vertex $f^N(x_4)$ of $f^{-i}(G')$ which is eventually periodic. Then $f^{sN}(\gamma'_1)$ cannot be close to a vertex of $f^{-i-N}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ until it has expanded out of a neighbourhood of $f^N(x_4)$, by which time it has length $\geq \varepsilon$ and $< C\varepsilon$. If $n = r + s$ then $f^{sN}(\gamma'_1)$ is the required subarc of $f^{nN}(\gamma)$ with endpoint at $f^{nN}(x_1)$ and the proof of 1 is completed.

To prove 2, we start with $\text{Int}(\gamma) \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $\leq \varepsilon$ and with both endpoints x_1 and x_2 in $f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. Then if $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ intersects $f^{-i-N}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$, we let x_6 be the point of $f^{rN}(\gamma) \cap f^{-i-N}(G')$ which is nearest to $f^{rN}(x_2)$ on $f^{rN}(\gamma)$, and γ'_2 is the arc of $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ between $f^{rN}(x_2)$ and x_6 . Then γ'_3 is the arc of $f^{rN}(\gamma)$ between γ'_1 and γ'_2 and does not intersect $f^{-i}(G')$. It follows that $f^{(r+1)N}(\gamma)$ satisfies the conditions for an arc in \mathcal{C} apart, possibly, from being of diameter $\geq \varepsilon$. Then, once again, $f^{(t+r)N}(\gamma)$ remains near a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$ and hence bounded from edges of $f^{-i-N}(G') \setminus f^{-i}(G')$ for $t \leq s$ for the first s such that $f^{(s+r)N}(\gamma)$ has diameter $\geq \varepsilon$.

The set \mathcal{C}' used to prove the result about nested sequences for $(f^{-i}(G'), f^{-\ell}(G'))$ and $f^{-j}(G')$ is a set of arcs in $f^{-j}(G')$ of diameter $\geq \varepsilon$ and $\leq C\varepsilon$ and with endpoints in $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-\ell}(G')$. Then the conditions for $(\gamma, \{x_1, x_2\}) \in \mathcal{C}'$ are refined to either

$$\gamma \setminus \{x_1, x_2\} \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus (f^{-i}(G') \cup f^{-\ell}(G'))$$

or

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_2$$

with

$$\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\} \subset f^{-j}(G') \setminus (f^{-i}(G') \cup f^{-\ell}(G')),$$

and γ_3 is disjoint from the edge of $f^{-i}(G')$ containing x_1 and the edge of $f^{-\ell}(G')$ containing x_2 . The proof is then exactly similar to that of 2. \square

Now to proceed further we have a sequence of simple lemmas about the graphs $f^{-i}(G')$ for $0 \leq i < N$ which use the expanding property and bounded distortion of iterates of f .

Lemma 1.8. *There is a constant K_1 and $\delta_0 > 0$, such that if x and y are two points on $f^{-i}(G')$, for $0 \leq i < N$, and if $d(x, y) \leq \delta_0$, then there is an arc in $f^{-i}(G')$ between x and y of diameter $\leq K_1 d(x, y)$: that is, arcs of $f^{-i}(G')$ are quasi-arcs.*

Proof. Choose $L > 0$ such that any closed loop in $f^{-i}(G')$ has diameter $\geq 4L$ and such that the $4L$ -neighbourhood of any point of $f^{-i}(G')$ is disjoint from the postcritical set of f . Choose δ_0 so that if x and y are points on $f^{-i}(G')$ which are distance $\leq \delta_0$ apart, then there is an arc of $f^{-i}(G')$ joining x and y of diameter $\leq L$. If $d(x, y) \leq \delta_0$, then apply f^{nN} for the largest n such that $d(f^{kN}(x), f^{kN}(y)) \leq \delta_0$ for $k \leq n$. Then use the bounded distortion S on the ball of radius L centred on $f^{nN}(x)$, where S is the local inverse of f^{nN} mapping $f^{nN}(x)$ and $f^{nN}(y)$ to x and y . \square

Lemma 1.9. *There exist $K_1 > 1$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $x_1 \in f^{-i}(G')$ and $x_2 \in f^{-j}(G')$ for some $0 < i, j < N$ and $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \delta_0$ then the following holds. There is $x_3 \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$ such that $d(x_k, x_3) \leq K_1 d(x_1, x_2)$*

for $k = 1, 2$, and if $i = j$ and x_1 and x_2 are in different edges of $f^{-i}(G')$ then x_3 is a vertex at which these two edges meet.

Proof

Choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $K_0 > 1$ such that local inverses S of f^n on balls B of radius $2K_0\varepsilon_0$ round points of $f^{-i}(G')$, for $0 \leq i < N$, are univalent, of distortion bounded by K_0 and contract distance for all $n \geq N$. So if $x, y \in B$,

$$K_0^{-1} \frac{|S'(x)|}{|S'(y)|} \leq K_0.$$

Suppose also that the spherical derivative $|(f^N)'| \leq K_0$ on such balls B . First suppose $i \neq j$. Then there is $\eta_0 > 0$ such that if $y_1 \in f^{-i}(G')$ and $y_2 \in f^{-j}(G')$ for $0 \leq i, j < N$ and $d(y_1, y_2) < \eta_0$ then there is $y_3 \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$ such that $d(y_1, y_3) < \varepsilon_0$ and $d(y_2, y_3) < \varepsilon_0$. For suppose not. Then there are points $y_{1,n} \in f^{-i}(G')$ and $y_{2,n} \in f^{-j}(G')$ such that $d(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) < 2^{-n}$ and $d(y_{1,n}, y_3) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all $y_3 \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. But choosing a subsequence we can assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{1,n} = y_3$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2,n} = y_3$ also, and so $y_3 \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$, and for all sufficiently large n , $d(y_{k,n}, y_3) < \varepsilon_0$ for $k = 1, 2$, a contradiction.

Similarly, given ε_0 , if η_0 is sufficiently small and y_1, y_2 are in different edges of $f^{-i}(G')$, and $d(y_1, y_2) \leq \eta_0$, then these two edges meet at a vertex y_3 with $d(y_k, y_3) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for $k = 1, 2$. So there is $\nu_0 \leq \eta_0$ such that if y_1 and y_2 are in different edges of $f^{-i-N}(G')$ with $d(y_1, y_2) \leq \nu_0$, then they are in edges with a common endpoint y_3 , a vertex of $f^{-i-N}(G')$, with $d(y_k, y_3) \leq \eta_0/K_0$.

Returning to the case of $i \neq j$, for suitably chosen η_0 , with $d(y_1, y_2) \leq \eta_0$, we can assume that $y_3 \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$ is either a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$ or a vertex of $f^{-j}(G')$, or any arc in $f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $\leq K_0\varepsilon_0$ between y_1 and y_3 does not contain a vertex, and similarly for y_2 and y_3 in $f^{-j}(G')$.

Now we consider the general results. Let $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \eta_0/K_0$. Choose the least n such that $\eta_0/K_0 \leq d(f^{nN}(x_1), f^{nN}(x_2)) \leq \eta_0$. Then write $y_k = f^{nN}(x_k)$ for $k = 1, 2$. Let y_3 be as in the first paragraph, so that $d(y_3, y_k) \leq \varepsilon_0$. Let $x_3 = S(y_3)$, where S is the local inverse of f^{nN} with $S(y_k) = x_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Let S_m be the local inverse of f^{mN} with $S_m(f^{nN}(x_1)) = f^{(n-m)N}(x_1)$, so that $S = S_n$.

First we consider the case $i = j$. We claim by induction that $S_m(y_3)$ is a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$, with $S_m(y_1)$ and $S_m(y_2)$ on adjacent edges of $f^{-i}(G')$. Clearly this is true for S_0 . Suppose it is true for S_m . If $S_{m+1}(y_3)$ is not a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$ then it is a vertex of $f^{-i-N}(G')$, but still a point of $f^{-i}(G')$, since $S_{m+1}(y_1)$ and $S_{m+1}(y_2) \in f^{-i}(G')$. But that would imply that $S_{m+1}(y_1)$ and $S_{m+1}(y_2)$ are in the same edge of $f^{-i}(G')$, and then x_1 and x_2 are in the same edge of $f^{-i}(G')$, giving a contradiction. So $S_{m+1}(y_3)$ is a vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$, with $S_{m+1}(y_1)$ and $S_{m+1}(y_2)$ in adjacent edges. So by induction the same is true for $x_k = S_n(y_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

To obtain the general result for $i \neq j$, we proceed similarly. We can now assume by choice of ε_0 that any two distinct vertices of $f^{-i-N}(G')$ and

$f^{-j-N}(G')$ are distance $\geq 2K_0\varepsilon_0$ apart. We need to prove by induction on m that $S_m(y_3) \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j}(G')$. But if this is not true for a least m , then without loss of generality $S_m(y_3) \notin f^{-i}(G')$. Then $S_m(y_3) \in f^{-i-N}(G')$, and an arc between $S_m(y_3)$ and $S_m(y_1)$ in $f^{-i-N}(G')$ must contain a vertex of $f^{-i-N}(G')$ in the boundary between $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-i-N}(G')$. But then $S_m(y_3)$ must be this vertex, and $S_m(y_3)$ is in $f^{-i}(G')$ after all.

We therefore take $\delta_0 = \eta_0/K_0$ and $K_1 = K_0\varepsilon_0/\eta_0$. \square

Lemma 1.10. *If C_2 is sufficiently large, if $x \in G' \cap f^{-i}(G')$ and $\delta > 0$ is given, then for any arc $\zeta \subset G'$ with endpoint at x and of diameter $\geq C_2\delta$, there is an arc $\zeta_1 \subset \zeta$ of diameter $\geq \delta$, which is either in $G' \cap f^{-i}(G')$ or is disjoint from $f^{-i}(G')$, for each $0 < i < N$.*

Proof.

Given an arc $\zeta \subset G'$ with endpoint at x , $f^{nN}(\zeta)$ contains an edge of G' for all sufficiently large n . Suppose n is minimal with this happening, and let e be the edge contained. The number of components of $f^{-nN}(e) \cap \zeta$ is bounded in terms of the degree of f^N on G' . There is an arc $\zeta' \subset e$ such that, for each $0 < i < N$, ζ' is either disjoint from $f^{-i}(G')$ or contained in $f^{-i}(G')$. To see this, if $e \cap f^{-i}(G')$ has empty interior for each $0 < i < N$, then $e \cap \bigcup_{0 < i < N} f^{-i}(G')$ has empty interior, and we simply choose

$$\zeta' \subset e \setminus \bigcup_{0 < i < N} f^{-i}(G').$$

Otherwise, choose a maximal set $A \subset \{i : 0 < i < N\}$ such that $e \cap \bigcup_{i \in A} f^{-i}(G')$ has interior. Let e' be a component of this interior and then choose

$$\zeta' \subset e' \setminus \bigcup_{0 < i < N, i \notin A} f^{-i}(G').$$

Thus, the choice of ζ' only depends on e and the diameter of ζ' depends only on e . Let S be the local inverse of f^{nN} mapping $f^{nN}(x)$ to x . Any component of $f^{-nN}(\zeta')$ is either in $f^{-i}(G')$ or disjoint from $f^{-i}(G')$, noting that a component of $f^{-N}(G' \cap f^{-i}(G'))$ can be in $G' \cap (f^{-i-N}(G')) \setminus f^{-i}(G')$. Then we use the fact that S has bounded distortion independent of n to obtain C_2 , which is bounded in terms of the choice of ζ' for the edge e , for each of the finitely many edges e . \square

Lemma 1.11. *Let $K_1 > 1$ be sufficiently large and $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, and $0 < i < N$. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two arcs of $f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $\leq \delta_0$ with endpoints x_1 and y_1 , x_2 and y_2 in G' , but otherwise not intersecting G' and with γ_2 inside the disc bounded by γ_1 , and G' , with x_2 separating y_1 and y_2 from x_1 . Then*

$$\text{Min}(d(x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2)) \geq K_1^{-1} \text{diam}(\gamma_1).$$

Proof. Let K_1 and δ_0 be as in 1.8. We can assume $K_1 > 1$. Also, we can assume that δ_0 is small enough that

$$3\delta_0 \leq \min\{d(v_1, v_2) \mid v_1, v_2 \text{ are distinct vertices of } f^{-i}(G')\},$$

where d denotes spherical distance. If x_i and y_i are the endpoints of γ_i and $d(x_1, x_2) < K_1^{-1} \text{diam}(\gamma_1)$ then there is an arc γ' on $f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $< \text{diam}(\gamma_1)$ joining x_1 and x_2 . Clearly $\gamma' \setminus \{x_1\}$ cannot contain $\gamma_1 \setminus \{x_1\}$, and hence is disjoint from γ_1 , since the endpoint x_1 is also an endpoint of γ_1 , and the other endpoint of γ' is not in γ_1 . Then $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma' \cup \gamma_2$ is an arc of diameter $\geq \text{diam}(\gamma_1)$ and $< 3\delta_0$ with endpoints y_1 and y_2 , whether or not γ' contains γ_2 . By the restrictions on δ_0 , any other arc in $f^{-i}(G')$ joining y_1 and y_2 has diameter $\geq 3\delta_0$, and hence has diameter greater than γ . So $d(y_1, y_2) \geq K_1^{-1} \text{diam}(\gamma_1)$ as required. \square

Lemma 1.12. *There is $\lambda < 1$ and C such that for any nested sequence γ_n for G' and $f^{-i}(G')$, with $0 < i < N$,*

$$\text{diam}(\gamma_n) \leq C\lambda^{n-m} \text{diam}(\gamma_m)$$

for all $n > m$.

Proof.

It suffices to show that there exists k such that $\text{diam}(\gamma_{m+\ell}) < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(\gamma_m)$ for some $\ell \leq k$, for any nested sequence γ_n , and any m . For then there are γ_{m_j} with $m_0 = m$ and $0 < m_{j+1} - m_j \leq k$ and

$$\text{diam}(\gamma_{m_{j+1}}) < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(\gamma_{m_j})$$

for all $j \geq 0$. Then if η_j is the arc on G' with the same endpoints as γ_{m_j} , we have

$$\text{diam}(\eta_j) \leq K_1 \text{diam}(\gamma_{m_j})$$

and

$$\text{diam}(\gamma_\ell) \leq K_1^2 \text{diam}(\gamma_{m_j}), \quad m_j \leq \ell,$$

which gives the full result.

Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be such that the distance between vertices of $f^{-i}(G')$ is $\geq 4K_1^2\varepsilon_0$. We can assume that the diameter of γ_n is $\leq \varepsilon_0$ for all n . Let η be the arc of G' of diameter $\leq K_1 \text{diam}(\gamma_m) \leq K_1\varepsilon_0$ which has the same endpoints as γ_m . Then, for each n , γ_n and γ_m lie in an arc of $f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $\leq K_1^2\varepsilon_0$ with both endpoints in η . By breaking into two subsequences if necessary, but both containing γ_m , we can assume that all the γ_n are in an arc ζ of $f^{-i}(G')$ of diameter $\leq 2K_1^2\varepsilon_0$ which contains at most one vertex of $f^{-i}(G')$.

So suppose that $\text{diam}(\gamma_{m+\ell}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(\gamma_m)$ for $\ell \leq k$. It is convenient to use the Euclidean metric rather than spherical metric at this point, assuming as we may do that the constants K_1 and δ_0 are respectively large enough and small enough to work for both metrics. So diameter now refers to Euclidean diameter. Divide each $\gamma_{m+\ell}$ into two arcs $\gamma_{m+\ell,1}$ and $\gamma_{m+\ell,2}$ which are disjoint apart from having a common endpoint, and each having diameter $\geq \frac{1}{4} \text{diam}(\gamma_m)$. Thus, each of $\gamma_{m+\ell,1}$ and $\gamma_{m+\ell,2}$ has one endpoint in η . We call these endpoints $x_{m+\ell,1}$ and $x_{m+\ell,2}$ respectively. We choose numbering

so that, if $\ell_1 < \ell_2$, then $x_{m+\ell_2,1}$ separates $x_{m+\ell_1,1}$ from $x_{m+\ell_1,2}$ and $x_{m+\ell_2,2}$ in η . Consider $K_1^{-1}\text{diam}(\gamma_m)/2^4$ -neighbourhoods $N_{m+\ell,j}$ of each $\gamma_{m+\ell,j}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. These sets all lie in the set of diameter $\leq 2K_1\text{diam}(\gamma_m)$ bounded by γ_m and η , which in turn is contained in a Euclidean square with side length $\leq 2K_1\text{diam}(\gamma_m)$ and hence the Euclidean area of the set bounded by γ_m and η is $\leq 4K_1^2(\text{diam}(\gamma_m))^2$. Meanwhile, the Euclidean area of $N_{m+\ell,j}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{area}(N_{m+\ell,j}) &\geq \frac{1}{2^2}\text{diam}(\gamma_m) \cdot \frac{1}{2^4}K_1^{-1}\text{diam}(\gamma_m) \\ &= \frac{K_1^{-1}}{2^6}(\text{diam}(\gamma_m))^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $k > 2^9K_1^3$, then there are distinct integers ℓ_j , for $j = 1, 2, 3$, with $0 < \ell_i \leq k$ with $N_{m+\ell_j,1} \cap N_{m+\ell_1,1} \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 2, 3$. This means that there are points x_2 and x_3 on $\gamma_{m+\ell_1,1}$ which are distance $\leq K_1^{-1}\text{diam}(\gamma_m)/8$ from points y_2 and y_3 on $\gamma_{m+\ell_2,1}$ and $\gamma_{m+\ell_3,1}$. If y_2 and y_3 are not separated in ζ from x_2 and x_3 , then one of y_2 and y_3 separates the other from x_2 and x_3 . So then either the arc in ζ between x_2 and y_2 contains $\gamma_{m+\ell_3}$, or the arc between x_3 and y_3 in ζ contains $\gamma_{m+\ell_2}$. If y_2 and y_3 are separated in ζ by x_2 and x_3 , then either the arc in ζ between x_2 and y_2 contains $\gamma_{m+\ell_1,2}$ or the arc in ζ between x_2 and y_2 contains $\gamma_{m+\ell_1,2}$. In all cases, in ζ between x_2 and y_2 or between x_3 and y_3 has diameter $\geq \frac{1}{4}\text{diam}(\gamma_m)$. This gives the required contradiction. \square

Lemma 1.13. *Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be given. Let the definition of nested sequences in 1.6 be relative to this ε_0 . Then there exists N_1 and a finite collection \mathcal{B} of disjoint closed contractible sets with locally connected boundaries, such that the following hold.*

- (1) $\text{diam}(B) < \varepsilon_0$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (2) If $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ and B_2 is a component of $f^{-1}(B_1)$, then either $B_2 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ or $B_2 \subset B_3$ for some $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (3) Let γ_n be any nested sequence of arcs for $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-j}(G')$ for any $0 \leq i, j < N$ and $i \neq j$, or for $(f^{-i}(G'), f^{-\ell}(G'))$ and $f^{-j}(G')$ for distinct i, ℓ, j with $0 \leq i, j, \ell < N$. Then there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and m and a component B' of $f^{-m}(B)$ such that $\gamma_n \subset B'$ for all $n \geq N_1$.
- (4) If $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ then B_1 contains a component of $f^{-1}(B_0)$ for at least one $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq i \leq N$. By 1.7 and 1.12, given ε_1 , there is a finite set of nested sequences for G' and $f^{-i}(G')$, say $\gamma_{n,j,i}$ ($n \geq 1$) for $1 \leq j \leq r(i)$, where $\gamma_{n,j,i}$ has the endpoints as the arc $\eta_{n,j,i} \subset G'$ of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_1$, and there is N_1 depending on ε_0 and ε_1 such that the following holds. Let $D_{j,i}$ be the closed topological disc bounded by $\gamma_{1,j,i} \cup \eta_{1,j,i}$. Let γ_n be any nested sequence for G' and $f^{-i}(G')$. Let η_n be the arc of G' of diameter $\leq K_1\varepsilon_0$ with the same endpoints as γ_n . Let D_n be the topological disc bounded by

$\eta_n \cup \gamma_n$. Then there is $j \leq r(i)$ and $m \geq 0$ such that, for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$D_n \subset f^{-mN}(D_{j,i}).$$

We have a similar finite set of nested sequences for $(G', f^{-i}(G'))$ and $f^{-\ell}(G')$. We call these sequences $\gamma_{n,j,i,\ell}$ ($n \geq 1$) for $1 \leq j \leq r(i, \ell)$. We write $\eta_{n,j,i,\ell}$ for the arc of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ which is the union of an arc in G' and an arc in $f^{-i}(G')$ which has the same endpoints in $f^{-\ell}(G')$ as $\gamma_{n,j,i,\ell}$. Let $D_{j,i,\ell}$ be the topological disc bounded by $\gamma_{1,j,i,\ell} \cup \eta_{1,j,i,\ell}$. Let γ_n be any nested sequence for $(G', f^{-i}(G'))$ and $f^{-\ell}(G')$ such that that γ_n shares endpoints with an arc η_n of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ which is a union of an arc in G' and an arc in $f^{-i}(G')$. Let D_n be the topological disc bounded by $\gamma_n \cup \eta_n$. Then there is $j \leq r(i, \ell)$ and $m \geq 0$ such that, for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$D_n \subset f^{-mN}(D_{j,i,\ell}).$$

Let K_1 and δ_0 satisfy the conditions of 1.8 and 1.11. Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of univalent local inverses of f^n with domains of diameter $\leq 2K_1\delta_0$. We also assume that δ_0 is small enough, and $K_1 > 1$ large enough, that $|S'| \leq K_1$ for any $S \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with domain intersecting $f^{-\ell}(G')$ for any $n, \ell \geq 0$, and $|S'| < 1$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}_{nN}$, for any $n > 0$.

We define

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \{D_{i,j} : 0 < i < N, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)\} \cup \{D_{i,\ell,j} : 0 < i, \ell < N, i \neq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq r(i, \ell)\},$$

and

$$\Omega(0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup \{S(D) : S \in \mathcal{S}_{nN}, D \in \mathcal{B}_0, S(\partial D) \subset G^0\},$$

$$\Omega(n) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(\Omega(0)), \quad 0 \leq n \leq \infty,$$

$$\Omega(k; N) = \bigcup_{i=0}^k f^{-iN}(\Omega(0)),$$

$$\Omega(r, k; N) = \bigcup_{i=0}^k f^{-iN}(\Omega(r)).$$

Thus, $\Omega(N-1, k-1; N) = \Omega(kN-1)$.

Now we claim that there is an integer k_1 , and a constant C_1 independent of ε_1 and k_1 , such that, if ε_1 is sufficiently small, then all components of $N_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega(k_1N-1))$ have diameter $\leq C_1\varepsilon_1$, where $N_{\varepsilon}(X)$ denotes the ε -neighbourhood of X . We shall then show that the components of $\Omega(\infty)$ which intersect $\Omega(k_1N-1)$ are contained in $N_{\varepsilon_1/2}(\Omega(k_1N-1))$. The closure of each such component then bounds a closed contractible set with locally connected boundary of diameter $\leq C_1\varepsilon_1$. We then choose ε_1 so that $C_1\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$. Our required set \mathcal{B} is then the set of maximal unions B of closures of components of $\Omega(\infty)$ that intersect $\bigcup \mathcal{B}_0$, and complementary components of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ bounded by them. Properties 1 and 2 then

hold by construction. Thus, each such B contains at least one of the discs $D_{j,i}$ or $D_{j,i,\ell}$, for $j \leq r(i)$ for some $0 < i < N$, or $j \leq r(i,\ell)$ for $0 < i, \ell < N$, $i \neq \ell$. Thus, the number of sets in \mathcal{B} is finite. Properties 3 and 4 hold by 1.7. Note that although sets in \mathcal{B}_0 are topological discs, they are not necessarily disjoint. So the sets in $\Omega(0)$ need not be topological discs, the more so for the sets in $\Omega(n)$.

Note that the results of 1.8 and 1.5 work for $f^{-nN-i}(G')$ provided that $K_1\delta_0$ is replaced by half the minimal distance between vertices of $f^{-nN-i}(G')$. Let C_2 be as in 1.10. Also, using bounded distortion of local inverses of f^{nN} on G' , we can choose C_2 to work with G' replaced by $f^{-nN}(G')$ in 1.10 for any $n \geq N$, that is, any arc $\zeta \subset f^{-nN}(G')$ of diameter $\geq C_2\delta$, which is contained in the union of two edges of $f^{-nN}(G')$, contains an arc ζ_1 of diameter $\geq \delta$, such that for each $0 < i < N$, ζ_1 is either contained in $f^{-i-Nn}(G')$ or disjoint from $f^{-i-Nn}(G')$. We also assume that $C_2 \geq 6$. Note that C_2 is independent of ε_1 . This is important, because at different stages of the proof we will want to make further restrictions on ε_1 . For the moment, we assume that $3C_2K_1^N\varepsilon_1 < \delta_0$.

Define $\varepsilon_k = K_1^{k-1}\varepsilon_1$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$. Then for any set X of diameter $\leq \delta_0$ intersecting $f^{-n}(G')$ for any $n \geq 0$, we have $N_{\varepsilon_{k-1}}(f^{-1}(X)) \subset f^{-1}(N_{\varepsilon_k}(X))$ for $2 \leq k \leq N$. We claim that components of $N_{\varepsilon_N}(\Omega(0))$ have diameter $\leq 3C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1$. For if D_1 and D_2 are intersecting sets of \mathcal{B}_0 , then $D_1 \cup D_2$ has diameter $\leq 2\varepsilon_1$, and $D_1 \cap G'$ and $D_2 \cap G'$ lie in an arc of G' of diameter $\leq 2K_1\varepsilon_1$. But any arc of G' starting from $D_1 \cap G'$ of diameter $\geq (5/2)C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1$ contains an arc of diameter $\geq (5/2)K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1$ which is disjoint from $\Omega(0)$. So the set of points in $G' \cap B$ for a component B of $N_{\varepsilon_N}(\Omega(0))$ is contained in a union of at most two arcs of G' , containing at most one vertex, of diameter $\leq (5/2)C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1$. So

$$\text{diam}(B) \leq (5/2)C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_1 + 2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1 \leq 3C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1.$$

Now let an integer $k_1 > 1$ be given. Write $C_3 = (3C_2)^N K_1^{3N-3}$. Let ε_1 be small enough given k_1 that $C_3\varepsilon_1 < \delta_0$, and that the distance between distinct vertices of $f^{-n}(G')$ and $f^{-m}(G')$ for $0 \leq m, n \leq k_1N + N$ is $\geq 2C_3\varepsilon_1$, as is the minimum distance between any two disjoint edges of $f^{-n}(G')$ for $0 \leq n \leq k_1N + N$. For $D \in \mathcal{B}_0$, all components of $f^{-n}(D)$ have diameter $\leq K_1\varepsilon_1$. Then in the same way as for $\Omega(0)$, all components of $N_{\varepsilon_N}(\Omega(k_1; N))$ have diameter $\leq 3C_2K_1^{N-1}\varepsilon_1$.

Now we will apply 1.10 to prove that the diameter of any component of $N_{\varepsilon_{N-r}}(\Omega(r, k_1 - 1; N))$ is $\leq K_1^{2r+N-1}(3C_2)^{r+1}\varepsilon_1$, by induction on $r < N$. In this way we will show that the diameter of any component of $N_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega(k_1N - 1))$ is $\leq K_1^{3N-3}(3C_2)^N\varepsilon_1 = C_3\varepsilon_1$.

We have the bound for $r = 0$. Suppose inductively that the bound holds for $r < N - 1$. Let B be a component of $N_{\varepsilon_{N-r-1}}(\Omega(r + 1, k_1 - 1; N))$. Then B is contained in a union B' of components of $N_{\varepsilon_N}(\Omega(k_1 - 1; N))$ and

components of $f^{-1}(N_{\varepsilon_{N-r}}(\Omega(r, k_1 - 1, N)))$. Now

$$\text{diam}(B_1) \leq K_1^{2r+N} (3C_2)^{r+1} \varepsilon_1$$

for any component B_1 of $f^{-1}(N_{\varepsilon_{N-r}}(\Omega(r, k_1 - 1; N)))$. To obtain the bound for $\text{diam}(B')$, we consider any two components B_0, B_2 of $N_{\varepsilon_N}(\Omega(k_1 - 1; N))$ and a component B_1 of $f^{-1}(N_{\varepsilon_{N-r}}(\Omega(r, k_1 - 1; N)))$, such that $B_0 \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$. We have

$$\text{diam}(B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2) \leq (K_1^{2r+N} (3C_2)^{r+1} + 6C_2 K_1^{N-1}) \varepsilon_1 \leq (3/2) K_1^{2r+N} (3C_2)^{r+1} \varepsilon_1,$$

using $6C_2 \leq 2C_2^2$. Then any arc of G' with endpoints in $(B_0 \cup B_2) \cap G'$ has diameter $\leq 3K_1^{2r+1+N} (3C_2)^{r+1} \varepsilon_1 = \delta$. Then we apply the separation property 1.10 for this δ and $x \in B_2 \cap G'$. Within $C_2\delta$ of x along any arc of $f^{N-k_1N}(G')$, there is an arc of diameter δ which does not intersect $f^{-k_1N-i}(G')$ for any $0 < i < N$. So there is a union of at most two arcs of $f^{N-k_1N}(G')$ (containing at most one vertex) containing all the points of $\Omega(k_1 - 1; N) \cap f^{N-k_1N}(G')$ in B' and of diameter $\leq C_2\delta$. We have $\delta > 2K_1^{N-1} \varepsilon_1$. So using the bounds on $\text{diam}(B_1)$ and $\text{diam}(B_0)$, and $C_2 \geq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(B') &\leq ((3/2)C_2 K_1^{2r+1+N} (3C_2)^{r+1} + 2K_1^{r+N} (3C_2)^{r+1}) \varepsilon_1 \\ &< K_1^{2r+1+N} (3C_2)^{r+2} \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

So the inductive step is completed.

Now if $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is sufficiently small, we can choose k_1 large enough that

$$\lambda^{k_1} C_3 < \frac{1}{3},$$

where $\lambda < 1$ is such that f^{-nN} contracts by a factor λ^n on the $2K_1\delta_0$ neighbourhood of G' , for $n \geq k_1$. Now let B be any component of $\Omega(k_1N - 1)$. Let B^n be defined inductively by $B^0 = B$ and B^{n+1} is the union of B^n and any components of $f^{-(n+1)k_1N}(\Omega(k_1N - 1))$ that it intersects. These components have diameter $< 3^{-(n+1)} \varepsilon_1$. Then $B^n \subset N_{\varepsilon_1/2}(B)$ for all n . It follows that the component of $\Omega(\infty)$ which contains B has diameter $\leq C_3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_1$. So we take $C_1 = C_3 + 1$. We then define \mathcal{B} as described at the start of the proof. □

Now we have the following information about intersections between G^0 and $\partial\Omega_0$.

Lemma 1.14. *$\partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega_0)$ is contained in the backward orbit of a finite set of periodic points.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B}_0 be as in the proof of 1.13. Write

$$\Omega_{0,0} = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_0.$$

First we consider $\partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega_{0,0})$. Let $x \in f^{-\ell}(G') \cap \partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega_{0,0})$. We can assume that x is not a vertex of $f^{-m}(G')$ for any $0 \leq m < N$, since these

are eventually periodic. Suppose $x \in \gamma \cup \eta \subset \Omega_{0,0}$, where $\gamma \subset f^{-k}(G')$ is an arc in a nested sequence for $f^{-i}(G')$ and $f^{-k}(G')$, or for $(f^{-i}(G'), f^{-j}(G'))$ and $f^{-k}(G')$, with $0 \leq i, j, k < N$, and η is the arc with the same endpoints as γ in $f^{-i}(G')$, or $\eta = \eta^1 \cup \eta^2$ with $\eta^1 \subset f^{-i}(G')$ and $\eta^2 \subset f^{-j}(G')$. If $x \in \gamma \cap \eta$, or $x = \eta^1 \cap \eta^2$ in the case when $\eta = \eta^1 \cup \eta^2$, then it follows from 1.7 that x is in the backward orbit of a finite set Y_1 of periodic points. If $x \in \gamma \setminus \eta$, then it again follows from 1.7 that x is in the backward orbit of Y_1 , because $x \in f^{-\ell}(G') \cap f^{-k}(G')$ is an endpoint of an arc in $f^{-\ell}(G')$ which is disjoint from $f^{-k}(G')$. Similarly the proof is finished by 1.7 if $x \in \eta \setminus \gamma$.

Now each component B of Ω_0 is the Hausdorff limit of some sequence B_k of components of $\bigcup_{n=0}^k f^{-n}(\Omega_{0,0})$, where $B_k \subset B_{k+1}$ and B_0 is a component of $\Omega_{0,0}$. It suffices to prove that $\partial(G' \setminus B)$ is contained in the backward orbit of a finite set of periodic points when B is *periodic*, that is, B contains at least one component of $f^{-n}(B)$ for at least one $n > 0$. For any component C of $\Omega_{0,0}$ is of the form $f^{-n}(B')$ for some periodic B' and some $n \geq 0$. So let B_i be the periodic sets in Ω_0 for $1 \leq i \leq r$. There are finitely many maps

$$T_j : \bigcup_{i=1}^r B_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^r B_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s$$

such that T_j is a local inverse of f on each B_i and $T_j(B_i) \subset B_{k_{j,i}}$ for some $1 \leq k_{j,i} \leq r$. Then every component of $f^{-n}(B_i)$ which is contained in B_j for some j is of the form $T_{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{m_n}$ for some $1 \leq m_k \leq s$. Write \mathcal{T}_n for the set of maps of the form $T_{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{m_n}$. So $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{T_j : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. The set

$$X = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup \{TB_i : T \in \mathcal{T}_n, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

is closed, nonempty (it is a decreasing intersection of closed sets), and satisfies $f(X) = X$. Also, $B_i \setminus X \subset B'_i$, where $B'_i = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} B'_{i,m}$ and $B'_{i,0} = B_i \cap \Omega_{0,0}$ and

$$B'_{i,m} = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \bigcup_{n=0}^m \{T(B'_{j,0}) : T \in \mathcal{T}_n, T(B_j) \subset B_i\}.$$

So $\partial(G^0 \setminus B'_{i,m})$ is contained in the backward orbit of $\partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega_{0,0})$, that is, in the backward orbit of the set Y_1 mentioned above. But $\partial(G^0 \setminus B_i) \cap B'_{i,m} \subset \partial(G^0 \setminus B_{i,m})$. So it remains to prove that $X \cap \partial(G^0 \setminus B_i)$ is contained in the backward orbit of a finite set of periodic points. But

$$X \cap \partial(G^0 \setminus B_i) \subset \partial(G^0 \setminus X) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} \partial(f^{-i}(G') \setminus X).$$

It suffices to consider $\partial(G' \setminus X)$. Now $f^N : G' \rightarrow G'$ maps $X \cap G'$ onto $X \cap G'$ and is expanding. We now employ the same standard argument as in 1.7. Any arc γ with interior in $G' \setminus X$ and endpoint in X is mapped by f^{nN} , for some $n > 0$, to contain an arc ζ of diameter $> \delta$ for some specified $\delta > 0$

with interior in $G' \setminus X$, with one endpoint of γ mapped to one end point of ζ . So the endpoint of γ is eventually periodic, in the backward orbit of a finite set of periodic points. \square

Corollary 1.15. *There exists a set Ω_0 such that the set of components of Ω_0 satisfies the properties 1 to 4 of 1.13 satisfied by \mathcal{B} , and in addition, $\partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega)$ is a finite set of eventually periodic points.*

Proof Let $\Omega'_0 = \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ be as in 1.13, but with all components of diameter $< \varepsilon_0/2$. Let $|S'| \leq K_1$ for all local inverses of S of f^n , for all $n > 0$, defined on balls of radius ε_0 centred on points of G^0 . Let $\delta \leq \eta \leq \varepsilon_0/4$ be such that the minimum distance between any two components Ω'_0 is $\geq 4\eta$ and such that if γ is an arc with interior in $G' \setminus \Omega'_0$ of diameter $\leq \delta$ with endpoints in $\partial\Omega_0$ then $\gamma \cup \partial\Omega'_0$ bounds a disc $D(\gamma)$ of diameter $\leq \eta$. Let Ω_0 be the union Ω'_0 and of all components of $f^{-n}(D(\gamma))$ which intersect $\partial\Omega'_0$ for all arcs γ of diameter $\leq \delta/K_1$ with interior in $G' \setminus \Omega'_0$ and endpoints in Ω'_0 . Then Ω_0 has all the required properties. \square

Lemma 1.16. *As usual let $G^0 = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < N} f^{-i}(G')$.*

Let an integer N_0 and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ be given. Let Ω_0 be as in 1.15. Write

$$\Omega_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(\Omega_0).$$

Let Y_0 be the union of the vertices of $f^{-i}(G')$, for $0 \leq i < N$, whose forward orbits do not intersect Ω_0 , and of the forward orbits of $\partial(G^0 \setminus \Omega_0)$. (By 1.14, 1.15, Y_0 is a finite set.)

There exist integers p_0, p_1 , and for N_0 sufficiently large, there exist Y with $f^{p_0-N_0}(Y_0) \subset Y \subset f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$, a set Ω which is the union of components of Ω_{N_0} which are intersected by Y , and a finite collection $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ of closed connected subsets of G^0 , such that the following hold.

- (1) $\bigcup \mathcal{R}(G^0) = G^0 \setminus \text{Int}(\Omega)$.
- (2) For each $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, $G^0 \setminus P$ is connected.
- (3) The interiors of sets in $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$, as subsets of G^0 , are disjoint.
- (4) Let $\partial_{G^0} P$ denote the boundary of P as a subset of G^0 , for any $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Then

$$(1.16.1) \quad \bigcup \{\partial_{G^0} P : P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)\} = Y,$$

and

$$\#(P \cap Y) \leq p_1, \quad \#(P \cap \partial\Omega_0) \leq 1.$$

- (5) P has diameter $< \varepsilon_1$, for each $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$.
- (6) For each $P, P' \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, and local inverse S of f defined on P' , if the interiors of P and $S(P') \cap G^0$, as subsets of G^0 , intersect, then

$$S(P') \cap G^0 \subset P.$$

Consequently, $Y \subset f^{-1}(Y)$.

Remark 1.16.1. *The ε_1 here is not the same as in the proof of 1.13*

Proof.

We assume, redefining N if necessary, that G' is not contained in $f^{-i}(G')$ for $0 \leq i < N$. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ and $K_1 > 1$ be such that any local inverse S of f^n on any ball B of radius δ_0 round a point of G^0 is univalent and satisfies $|S'| \leq K_1$ on B and $|S'(x)|/|S'(y)| \leq K_1$ for all $x, y \in B$. We also assume that K_1 satisfies the conclusions of 1.8 and 1.11.

Let $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be given, to be chosen sufficiently small later, given ε_1 . Take any N_0 sufficiently large that so that $f^{N-N_0-i}(Y_0) \cap f^{-i}(G')$ is ε_2 -dense in $f^{-i}(G')$ for each $0 \leq i < N$. Write

$$X_{i,i} = f^{-i}(G') \setminus f^{N-i-N_0}(Y_0),$$

and for $i \leq j$, write

$$X_{i,j} = \bigcup_{i \leq \ell \leq j} X_{\ell,\ell}.$$

Now we will prove by induction on r that each component B of $X_{0,r}$

$$(1.16.2) \quad \text{diam}(B) \leq K_1^{2r} (3C_2)^r d(B),$$

where $d(B)$ is the maximum diameter of a component of $X_{j,j}$ in B , and K_1 is as stated at the start, and C_2 is as in 1.10. We have the result for $r = 0$. So now suppose the result is true for r . We need to prove it for $r + 1$. The technique is very similar to one used in 1.13. By the inductive hypothesis, every component B' of $X_{1,r+1}$ has diameter $\leq K_1^{2r+1} (3C_2)^r d(B')$, where $d(B')$ is the maximum diameter of a component of $X_{i,i}$ in B' , for $1 \leq i \leq r + 1$. So now, assuming that $C_2, K_1 > 1$, we need to consider each component B of $X_{0,r+1}$. Since B is path-connected we only need to bound the diameter of each path in B . We already have the bound for a path which lies in a component of $X_{1,r+1}$. Any other path in B must intersect G' . If $x, y \in G' \cap B'$ for a component $B' \subset B$ of $X_{1,r+1}$, then by 1.8, $d(x, y) \leq K_1^{2r+2} (3C_2)^r d(B')$. If $x \in G' \cap B'$ and $y \in G'$ and the open arc in G' between x and y is in B and does not intersect $X_{1,r+1}$, then this open arc is disjoint from $f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$, and so $d(x, y) \leq d(B)$. So applying 1.10 with $\delta = K_1^{2r+2} (3C_2)^r d(B)$ and adding in components of $X_{1,r+1}$ at the ends of the path, if necessary, we see that the diameter of any path in B is $\leq C_2 K_1^{2r+2} (3C_2)^r d(B) + 2K_1^{2r+1} (3C_2)^r d(B)$. Since $C_2 \geq 1$ and $K_1 \geq 1$ this completes the inductive step.

Write $C_3 = K_1^{2N} (3C_2)^N$. Let B be a component of $G^0 \setminus f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$. Since $f^{N-i-N_0}(Y_0) \subset f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$, we have $\text{diam}(B) \leq C_3 \text{diam}(Q)$, for some component Q of $f^{-r}(G') \setminus f^{N-r-N_0}(Y_0)$ in B , for some $0 \leq r < N$. Now consider $f^{N_0+r-N-p_2}(B)$, chosen so that

$$\delta_0 / (C_3 K_1^2) \leq \text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(Q)) \leq \delta_0 / (C_3 K_1).$$

The lower bound on the diameter of $f^{N_0+r-N-p_2}(Q)$, if $p_2 > 0$, gives an upper bound on p_2 in terms of δ_0, C_3 and K_1 . Also, $f^{N_0+r-N-p_2}$ maps B

univalently with image of diameter $\leq \delta_0$. It then follows that

$$\#(f^{-N_0}(Y_0) \cap B) \leq \#(f^{-p_2}(Y_0)).$$

Now for any component B of $X_{i,i}$, for $0 \leq i < N$, we have $d(B) < \varepsilon_2$. So all components of $G^0 \setminus f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$ have diameter $C_3\varepsilon_2$. We can also choose ε_2 so that $C_3\varepsilon_2$ is less than the minimum distance between points of $Y_0 \cap \partial\Omega_0$.

We define $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ to be the collection of sets of the form $\overline{X} \cup B$, where X is a component of $G^0 \setminus (f^{-N_0}(Y_0) \cup \Omega_{N_0})$, and B is the (possibly empty) union of components of Ω_{N_0} such that $B \cap G^0 \subset X$ is separated by X from $G^0 \setminus X$.

Then $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ is finite, because $f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$ is finite and the number of disjoint arcs of $f^{-i}(G')$ which can meet at a point of $f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$ is ≤ 3 for each $0 \leq i < N$. The sets in $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ are connected. Property 3 holds. If we define Y by (1.16.1), then Property 4 holds for $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ by the proofs above, for a suitable p_1 . Property 1 holds, if we define Ω to be the union of components of Ω_{N_0} intersected by Y . Property 5 holds by the proofs above, for suitable ε_2 , given ε_1 . So now we need to modify the sets of $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ to obtain $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ which still satisfies these properties, for suitable Y and Ω , and also satisfy Properties 2 and 6.

We order the sets in $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ by: $R_1 < R_2$ if R_2 bounds a disc of diameter $\leq \varepsilon_0$ containing R_1 . Let $\mathcal{R}''(G^0)$ be the set of maximal sets in $\mathcal{R}'(G^0)$ in this ordering. For any $R \in \mathcal{R}''(G^0)$, let

$$B(R) = R \cup \bigcup \{R' \in \mathcal{R}'(G^0) \cup B' : R' < R\}.$$

Then we define

$$\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0) = \{B(R) : R \in \mathcal{R}''(G^0)\}.$$

Now $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0)$ satisfies Property 2. But Property 6 is still a problem. The required set $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ will be a collection of sets from $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - p)$ for different values of $p \geq 0$. Note that each set of $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - p)$ contains any set in the collection $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - q)$ that it intersects, if $q \leq p$. We now investigate when a set R_1 of $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - p)$ contains any set $S(R)$ that it intersects, for $R \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - q)$ and S a local inverse of f . If $R \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$, then $S(R) \subset R_1$ for some $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$ unless $S(R) \cap f^{-N}(G') \neq \emptyset$, when it is possible that $S(R) \cap G^0$ is disconnected and intersects more than one set in $\mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$. However we claim that, if n is sufficiently large, there is an integer p , bounded independently of n , such that for any $R \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$, we have $S(R) \subset R_1$ for some $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n - p)$.

First we show that, given a constant C_4 , for p depending on C_4 but not on n , if $y \in f^{p-n}(Y_0) \cap G' \setminus \Omega_{n-p}$ and

$$\delta = \text{Min}\{d(z, y) : z \in f^{-n}(Y_0), z \neq y\},$$

then any arc of $f^{-N}(G')$ in $B(y, C_4\delta) \setminus \{y\}$ can only intersect at most one component of $G^0 \setminus (\{y\} \cup \Omega_{N_0-p}) \cap B(y, C_4\delta)$ with y in its closure. This follows using bounded distortion of local inverses of f^m on a neighbourhood

of G^0 , for all m . There is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $y_0 \in Y_0$, then an arc of $f^{-N}(G') \setminus \{y_0\}$ in $B(y_0, \delta_0) \setminus \{y_0\}$ can intersect at most one component of $G^0 \setminus (\{y_0\} \cup \Omega_0) \cap B(y_0, \delta_0)$ with y_0 in its closure. Then choose p so that $f^{-p}(Y_0)$ is $\delta_0/(K_1 C_4)$ -dense in $f^{-i}(G')$ for all $0 \leq i < N$. Assume without loss of generality that $n - p$ is divisible by n . Choose y_0 with $y = Ty_0$ for a local inverse T of f^{n-p} . Then $T(B(y_0, \delta_0)) \supset B(y, C_4 \delta)$ and the result follows. It then follows from (1.16.2) that, for a suitable C_4 , if $R \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$ and S is a local inverse of f then, since $S(R) \cap G^0$ is contained in a single component of $G^0 \setminus f^{p_2-n}(Y_0)$, then $S(R) \subset R_1$ for $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, n - p_3)$, for p_3 bounded independently of n .

Now for $x \in G^0$ we define

$$m(x) = \#(\{i : x \in f^{-i}(G'), 0 \leq i < N\}).$$

Then $1 \leq m(x) \leq m(f(x)) \leq N$. Define

$$M(x) = \text{Max}\{m(f^n(x)) - m(x) : n > 0\}.$$

Then $0 \leq M(x) \leq N - 1$. The sets $X_k = \{x \in G^0 : M(x) \leq k\}$ are nonempty, closed and forward invariant under f for each $0 \leq k \leq N - 1$. They also satisfy $X_k \subset X_{k+1}$ and $X_{N-1} = G^0$. For $x \in X_0$, define $R(x)$ and $R'(x)$ to be the sets of $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - p_3)$ which contain x . Then $S(P) \subset G^0$ if S is a local inverse of f and $P = R(x)$ or $R'(x)$. So let $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0, X_0) \subset \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0)$ be defined by

$$X_0 \subset Z_0 = \bigcup \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0, X_0) = \bigcup \{R'(x) : x \in X_0\}.$$

So Z_0 is a union of sets in $\mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - p_3)$. Similarly, we define, inductively, for $x \in X_k \setminus Z_{k-1}$, sets $R(x) \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - kp_3)$ and $R'(x) \in \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - (k+1)p_3)$ which contain x .

$$X_k \setminus Z_{k-1} \subset Z_k = \bigcup \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - kp_3, X_k) = \bigcup \{R'(x) : x \in X_k \setminus Z_{k-1}\}.$$

Then we define

$$\mathcal{R}(G^0) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{R}(G^0, N_0 - kp_3, X_k).$$

So Property 6 holds for $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Write $p_0 = Np_3$. Using Property 4 as a definition of Y , we have $f^{p_0-N_0}(Y_0) \subset Y$. By the same arguments as for $X_{0,N}$, we have $\text{diam}(P) \leq C'_3 d(P)$ for a suitable constant C'_3 , and also have the bound on $\#(P \cap f^{-N_0}(Y))$, for $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. So Property 4 holds for $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Property 5 holds, if ε_2 is sufficiently small given ε_1 . We define Ω to be the union of components of Ω_{N_0} which intersect Y . Then Property 1 holds. Property 2 holds by construction, since each set $\mathcal{R}(G^0, n)$ is of the form \mathcal{R}'' with n replacing N_0 . Property 3 holds because $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ is constructed to be a partition of $G^0 \setminus \Omega$. □

1.17. The iterative construction of G . Let Ω be as in 1.15, so that Ω satisfies the conditions of 1.15, and of $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$ in 1.13. Let ε_0 be as in 1.13. Let Y , ε_1 , $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ be as in 1.16. Note that G^0 is connected, and in fact path-connected and locally connected. Let K_1 and δ_0 satisfy the conclusions of 1.8, 1.11 and 1.9, and satisfy $|S'| \leq K_1$ for any local inverse of f^n defined on the δ_0 neighbourhood of a point in G^0 , and also $|S'(x)|/|S'(y)| \leq K_1$ for any x and y in a ball of radius δ_0 centred on G^0 . For the moment we assume that $K_1\varepsilon_1 < K_1\varepsilon_0 < \delta_0$. Later, we shall make assumptions on ε_1 being sufficiently small.

For each $m \geq 0$ we also write $\mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ for the set of components of sets $f^{-m}(P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}(G^0) = \mathcal{R}_0(G^0)$, and $\mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ is a partition of $f^{-m}(G^0)$.

We will construct $\Gamma_n \subset f^{-n}(G^0) \cup f^{-n}(\Omega)$. If $\Omega = \emptyset$, then Γ_n is a finite connected graph. If $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, then the quotient $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n)$ of Γ_n obtained by collapsing components of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ to points is a finite connected graph. We shall see that the Hausdorff limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n = G$ is a finite graph satisfying

$$G \subset f^{-1}(G).$$

We define Γ_0 to be a union of trees $\Gamma_0(P) \subset P$ and of Ω . For a component B of Ω , we define $\Gamma_0(B) = B$. So $\Gamma_0 \subset G^0 \cup \Omega$.

For $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, let $R(P)$ be the union of P and any topological discs of diameter $< \varepsilon_1$ which are bounded by P . By property 2 of 1.16, $R(P)$ is disjoint from $\text{int}(P')$ for $P' \neq P$, and of course $R(P)$ is contractible. Let $D(P)$ be a closed topological disc with $P \subset R(P) \subset D(P)$ such that the (finitely many) points of $\partial R(P) \cap Y$ are in $\partial D(P)$, but otherwise $\partial D(P)$ is disjoint from $R(P)$. Also, $D(P) \cap D(P') \subset \partial D(P) \cap R(P)$ for any $P' \neq P$ with $P, P' \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$.

Now we define $\Gamma_0(P) = \Gamma_0 \cap P$ for each $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. For each pair of adjacent points x and y in $P \cap \partial D(P)$, and component C of $\partial D(P) \setminus P$ bounded by x and y , there is a unique arc $\gamma_C \subset P$ between x and y such that $\gamma_C \cup C$ bounds a component of $D(P) \setminus P$. If x and y are the only two points in $P \cap \partial D(P)$ then there are two possibilities for C . Otherwise, there is only one. We choose $\Gamma_0(P)$ to be contained in $\bigcup_C \gamma_C$, removing some subarcs that have the same endpoints as some other subarcs. This is done by successively removing some arcs from pairs $(\gamma_{C_1}, \gamma_{C_2})$, where $\gamma_{C_1} \cup \gamma_{C_2}$ is not an arc. If this is the case, then there are subarcs γ_{C_1, C_2} and γ_{C_2, C_1} of γ_{C_1} and γ_{C_2} respectively with the same endpoints, and such that

$$\gamma_{C_1} \cap \gamma_{C_2} = \gamma_{C_1, C_2} \cap \gamma_{C_2, C_1}.$$

These properties uniquely determine γ_{C_1, C_2} and γ_{C_2, C_1} . If γ_{C_1, C_3} is another such subarc of γ_{C_1} , then γ_{C_1, C_2} and γ_{C_1, C_3} have at most a common endpoint — which is also a common endpoint of γ_{C_2, C_1} and γ_{C_3, C_1} . We obtain $\Gamma_0(P)$ from $\bigcup_C \gamma_C$ by removing one of $\gamma_{C_1, C_2} \setminus \gamma_{C_2, C_1}$ or $\gamma_{C_2, C_1} \setminus \gamma_{C_1, C_2}$ for each such pair (C_1, C_2) . When this has been done for all pairs (C_1, C_2) , the remaining set $\Gamma_0(P) \subset P$ is a tree with finitely many endpoints, at all the points of

$\partial_{G^0}P$. It is therefore uniquely determined up to Whitehead equivalence, using isotopy fixing the endpoints

The tree $\Gamma_0(P)$, might not be uniquely determined up to homeomorphism, but it is uniquely determined up to Whitehead equivalence, because it is a tree in $D(P)$ with a finite number of vertices, and with extreme points at specified points of $\partial D(P)$. Here, two graphs are Whitehead equivalent if one is obtained from the other by finitely many *Whitehead moves*, followed by isotopy. Alternatively, isotopy can be performed first, or both before and after the Whitehead moves. A *Whitehead move* moves apart two vertices with a common edge between them, or moves two such vertices together. We allow Whitehead moves which move together a vertex and an extreme point on the boundary. Any tree in a topological disc with n extreme points, all on the boundary of the topological disc, is Whitehead equivalent to a tree with a single vertex. So all these trees are Whitehead equivalent. It follows that $\text{quot}(\Gamma_0)$ is completely determined up to Whitehead equivalence by the collection of sets $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ and Ω .

Next we choose $\Gamma_1 \subset f^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$. Γ_1 will be a union of sets $\Gamma_1(P) = \Gamma_1 \cap P$ for $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, and sets $\Gamma_1(B)$ for components B of Ω . $\Gamma_1(P)$ will be finite tree, or a finite union of trees and any sets of $f^{-1}(\Omega) \setminus \Omega$ which intersect P . Then $\text{quot}(\Gamma_1(P))$ will be a tree which is Whitehead equivalent to $\Gamma_0(P)$, using isotopy which fixes endpoints in $Y \cap P$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Since $\Gamma_0(P) \subset G^0$, we can cover $\Gamma_0(P) \setminus f^{-1}(\Omega)$ by sets P_i, B_j for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$ for some r, s , with $P_i \in \mathcal{R}_1(G^0)$, where P_i is a component of $f^{-1}(P'_i)$ for some $P'_i \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$ and B_j is a component of $f^{-1}(\Omega) \setminus \Omega$. We take a minimal such covering so that $\text{int}_{G^0}(P) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{int}_{G^0}(P) \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then we can choose a tree

$$\Gamma_1(P) \subset P \cap f^{-1}(\Gamma_0) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r P_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^s B_j \right)$$

with the same endpoints as $\Gamma_0(P)$, and the trees $\Gamma_0(P)$ and $\text{quot}(\Gamma_1(P))$ are Whitehead equivalent. Note that, even in the case that $P \cap f^{-1}(\Omega) = \emptyset$, it is possible, and probably inevitable, that $\Gamma_1(P)$ intersects $f^{-1}(G^0) \setminus G^0$, and hence $\Gamma_1(P)$ might not be contained in P , although its endpoints are in P .

Now we define $\Gamma_1(B) \subset \Gamma_1 \subset f^{-1}(\Gamma_0) \cup f^{-1}(\Omega_0)$ for a component B of Ω_0 . We choose $\Gamma_1(B)$ to have endpoints in common with $\Gamma_1(P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$ with $P \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$. So all endpoints of $\Gamma_1(B)$ are in ∂B , and all points of $Y \cap \partial B$ are in $\Gamma_1(B)$. Interior points of edges, or vertices, are allowed. There is still a lot of choice here. Care is needed, in order to ensure that we get only finitely many vertices, and no free vertices in the limit. We have finitely many points on ∂B which are endpoints of $\Gamma_0 \setminus B \subset Y$. Each one is in $f^{-i}(G')$ for some $0 \leq i < N$. If there is only one point in $f^{-i}(G') \cap B$, then $f^{-i}(G') \cap \text{int}(B) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $\#(f^{-i}(G') \cap \partial B) \geq 2$, there have to be entry and exit points for $f^{-i}(G')$ into B . Let B_i be the components

of $f^{-1}(\Omega) \cap B$ with $B_i \cap f^{-1}(\Gamma_0) \neq \emptyset$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Now we choose $\Gamma_1(B) \subset f^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$ to join points of $\Gamma_0 \cap \partial B$ to ∂B_i for some of the B_i , not necessarily all of them. We join up the points by finitely many arcs in $f^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$, where these arcs do not intersect transversally, and so that no closed loops are created, and if $\text{End}(B_i)$ denotes the number of endpoints on B_i , then

$$\text{End}(B) - 2 \geq \sum_i \text{Max}(\text{End}(B_i) - 2, 0).$$

Then $\Gamma_1(B)$ is the union of these arcs and the components C of $f^{-1}(\Omega_0) \cap B$ containing endpoints of the arcs. Then $\text{quot}(\Gamma_1(B))$ is a tree. Because of the construction of Ω in 1.15, we have $\Gamma_1(B) \subset B$.

We then define Γ_1 to be the union of all sets $\Gamma_1(P)$, for $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, and $\Gamma_1(B) = B$ for components B of $\Omega \setminus \Omega_0$. For $Q \in \mathcal{R}_1(G^0)$, we define $\Gamma_1(Q) = \Gamma_1 \cap Q$. For components C of $f^{-1}(\Omega)$, we define $\Gamma_1(C) = C$.

Now suppose that $n \geq 1$, and that Γ_k has been defined for $k \leq n$, and $\Gamma_k(P)$ has been defined for some of the $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$, for each $m \leq k$ such that, if $m \geq 1$,

$$\Gamma_k(P) \subset f^{-1}(\Gamma_{k-1}(P_1)) \subset f^{1-k}(\Gamma_1(P_2)) \subset f^{-k}(\Gamma_0(P_3)),$$

where P is a component of $f^{-1}(P_1)$ and P_1 is a component of $f^{1-k}(P_2)$ and P_2 is a component of $f^{-1}(P_3)$, and $\Gamma_k(P)$ has the same endpoints as $\Gamma_{k-1}(P)$, for $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$, for each $0 \leq m \leq k-1$. First let $m \geq 1$. Then we define $\Gamma_{n+1}(P)$ to be the unique subset of $f^{-1}(\Gamma_n(P_1))$ such that $\Gamma_{n+1}(P) \cap f^{-n}(Y) = \Gamma_n(P) \cap f^{-n}(Y)$, and $\text{quot}(\Gamma_{n+1}(P))$ is Whitehead equivalent to $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n(P))$, with isotopy fixing $f^{-n}(Y)$. Now we consider the case $m = 0$ and $n \geq 1$. The definitions are exactly as before, except with $\Gamma_n(P_1)$ replaced by Γ_n . Similarly, we define $\Gamma_{n+1}(B)$ for a component B of Ω_m , assuming inductively that $\Gamma_k(B)$ has been defined for $k \leq n$ and any component B of Ω_m . Then we define Γ_{n+1} to be the union of all $\Gamma_{n+1}(P)$ and $\Gamma_{n+1}(B)$, for $P \in \mathcal{R}_n(G^0)$ and components B of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ for which $\Gamma_{n+1}(P)$ and $\Gamma_{n+1}(B)$ are defined. Equivalently, we can use $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ and components B of $f^{-m}(\Omega)$, for any $0 \leq m \leq n$. Then we define $\Gamma_{n+1}(P) = \Gamma_{n+1} \cap P$ for any $P \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(G^0)$ for which $P \cap \Gamma_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$, and we define $\Gamma_{n+1}(B) = B$ for any component B of $f^{-n-1}(\Omega)$ with $\Gamma_{n+1} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ — in which case, of course, $B \subset \Gamma_{n+1}$.

For each n , $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n)$ is a finite connected graph with the same number of vertices: for Γ_n itself, some vertices are replaced by components of $f^{-n}(\Omega_0)$. For each $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$, the trees $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n(P))$ are finite trees in the same Whitehead equivalence class for all $n \geq m$, with isotopy fixing endpoints. Similarly, if B is a component of $f^{-m}(\Omega)$, then $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n(B))$ are finite trees in the same Whitehead equivalence class for all $n > m$, with isotopy fixing endpoints. (If $m = n$ then $\Gamma_n(B) = B$.) So there is a bound, independent of n , on the number of sets $P \in \mathcal{R}_n(G^0)$ for which $\Gamma_n(P)$ is defined and not homotopic to an arc. Using the contraction of f^{-n} in a neighbourhood of G^0 , we see that there are constants $C_0 > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that,

if d_H denotes Hausdorff distance, with respect to the spherical metric, and $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$, then, recalling that sets in $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$ have diameter $< \varepsilon_1$,

$$(1.17.1) \quad d_H(\Gamma_n(P), \Gamma_{n+1}(P)) \leq C_0 \varepsilon_1 \lambda^{n-m}$$

for all $n \geq m$. Also, if $P \in \mathcal{R}_n(G^0)$,

$$(1.17.2) \quad \text{diam}(\Gamma_n(P)) \leq C_0 \varepsilon_1 \lambda^n.$$

Similar inequalities hold if $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ is replaced by a component B of $f^{-m}(\Omega)$ for $m \leq n$, and ε_1 is replaced by ε_0 . Now we claim that $\bigcup_{P \subset U} \Gamma_n(P)$ converges to an arc in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ — which will be an arc in our graph G — whenever U is a union of sets $P \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ and components of $f^{-m}(\Omega)$, and the boundary of U consists of two points of $f^{-m}(Y)$ — such that $\text{quot}(\Gamma_n(P))$ is an arc for one, and hence all, $n \geq m$. It suffices to prove that for P_1 and $P_2 \in \mathcal{R}_m(G^0)$ with $P_1, P_2 \subset U$ and $\partial_{G^0}(P_1) \cap \partial_{G^0}(P_2) = \emptyset$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n(P_1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n(P_2)$ do not intersect. We only need to prove this when $d(x, y) \leq 2C_0 \varepsilon_1 / (1 - \lambda)$ for some $x \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$. It suffices to prove it for $m = 0$, since we then get the result for a general m by applying local inverses of f^m . For if P_1 and P_2 are intersections with G^0 of components of $f^{-\ell}(Q)$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{R}_{m-\ell}(G^0)$ and minimal ℓ , then the components of $f^{-1}(Q)$ are bounded apart. If $\Gamma_n(P_i) \subset P_i$ for all n and for $i = 1$ and 2 , then the proof is finished. But in general $\Gamma_n(P_i)$ is contained in a possibly larger set, which we need to analyse.

For $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, define $A_1(P)$ to be the union of P and of arcs of $f^{-N}(G')$ with endpoints in P . Then we define

$$\mathcal{R}(f^{-1}(G^0)) = \{A_1(P) : P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)\}.$$

Then each $A_1 \in \mathcal{R}(f^{-1}(G^0))$ intersects $\text{int}_{G^0}(P)$ for a unique $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Then

$$A_1(P) \setminus P \subset (f^{-1}(G^0) \setminus G^0) = (f^{-N}(G') \setminus G').$$

So $A_1(P) = P$ if $A_1(P) \cap f^{-N}(G') \setminus G' = \emptyset$. Similarly for $P \in \mathcal{R}(f^{-n}(G^0))$, we define $A_1(P)$ to be the union of P and of arcs in $f^{-n+1-N}(G')$ with endpoints in P . Then

$$\mathcal{R}(f^{-n-1}(G^0)) = \{A_1(P) : P \in \mathcal{R}(f^{-n}(G^0))\}.$$

We also define $A_n(P)$ inductively by $A_{n+1}(P) = A_1(A_n(P))$.

Property 6 of 1.16 still holds for $\mathcal{R}(f^{-n}(G^0))$, for all $n \geq 0$. If P_1 and $P_2 \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$ with $P_1 \neq P_2$ then $A_n(P_1)$ and $A_n(P_2)$ intersect only in common boundary points of P_1 and P_2 . Write $\Omega_n(P)$ for the union of components of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ which intersect $A_n(P)$. Then $\Gamma_n(P) \subset A_n(P) \cup \Omega_n(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$. Diameters of components of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ tend to 0 uniformly with n , and $\Gamma_n(P) \cup \Omega_n(P)$ is connected. So it suffices to show that the Hausdorff limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(P_1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(P_2)$ are disjoint if P_1 and P_2 are disjoint.

Write $\delta = \text{diam}(P_1)$. We claim that, given $C_1 > 0$ there is a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that if

$$(1.17.3) \quad \text{Min}\{d(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in P_1, x_2 \in P_2\} \leq C_1\delta,$$

then

$$(1.17.4) \quad C_4^{-1}\delta \leq \text{diam}(P_2) \leq C_4\delta.$$

We see this as follows. Note that P_1 and P_2 can be interchanged, so we can assume that $\text{diam}(P_2) \leq \delta$ and $C_1 \geq 2$, and $\text{diam}(P_1 \cup P_2) \leq 2C_1\delta$. Let $Y(P) = P \cap f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$. The argument is similar to that in 1.16. We recall from 1.16 that there is a constant C_3 such that $\delta \leq C_3\text{diam}(Q)$ for a component Q of $f^{-r}(G') \setminus f^{-N_0}(Y_0)$ with $Q \subset P_1$ and $0 \leq r < N$. Choose the least $p_2 \geq 0$ such that $\text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(Q)) \leq \delta_0/(2C_1C_3)$, so that if $p_2 > 0$, then $\text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(Q)) \geq \delta_0/(2K_1C_1C_3)$, and p_2 is bounded in terms of C_1 , K_1 and C_3 . Then $\text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(P_1 \cup P_2)) \leq \delta_0$. So

$$\text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(P_2)) \geq \text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(P_2) \cap f^{-p_2}(Y_0)) \geq C'_4\text{diam}(f^{N_0-p_2}(Q)),$$

where C'_4 is a constant bounded in terms of the minimum distance between points of $f^{-p_2}(Y_0)$. Then pulling back to $P_1 \cup P_2$ under the local inverse of $f^{N_0-p_2}$, we obtain (1.17.4) with $C_4 = C'_4K_1$.

Similarly for any $P \in \mathcal{R}(G^0)$, there is a constant C_6 such that

$$\text{diam}(A_1(P)) \leq K_1\text{diam}(P),$$

because if $A_1(P)$ is any larger than P then $A_1(P)$ is obtained from P by adding in arcs of $f^{-N}(G')$ with endpoints in P . Arcs in $f^{-N}(G')$ are K_1 -quasi-arcs, by 1.8. The diameter of any component of $f^{-1}(\Omega)$ in P is bounded by the a constant times the distance between the boundary points in $f^{-1}(Y)$ and these points are themselves in arcs between points of $Y \cap P$, or in $Y \cap P$.

So we now assume that (1.17.3) and (1.17.4) hold, with $C_1 = 2C_0/(1 - \lambda)$, and P_1 and P_2 are disjoint. Then there is a universal constant $C_5 > 0$, depending only on G' and C_4 such that

$$(1.17.5) \quad \text{Min}\{d(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in P_1, x_2 \in P_2\} \geq C_5^{-1}\delta.$$

For suppose that $d(x_1, x_2) < C_5^{-1}\delta$ and $x_k \in f^{-i_k}(G')$ for some $0 \leq i_k < N$. By 1.9 there is $x_3 \in f^{-i_1}(G') \cap f^{-i_2}(G')$ with $d(x_k, x_3) \leq K_1C_5^{-1}\delta$. Then either $x_3 \in P_k$ or x_3 is separated in $f^{-i_k}(G')$ from x_k by a point $y_k \in Y(P_k)$. In such a case we have $d(x_k, y_k) \leq K_1^2C_3^{-1}\delta$. This is only possible for one of the P_k because otherwise we would have $d(y_1, y_2) < 2K_1^2C_3^{-1}\delta$, which is impossible with C_3 large enough, because it would mean $y_1 = y_2$, contrary to our assumption. But it cannot be possible for just one P_k either because then P_1 and P_2 have a common boundary point. This completes the proof of (1.17.5)

Also, for each n and P , any set P' of $\mathcal{R}_n(G^0)$ which intersects P has boundary in $f^{-n}(Y)$ and with interior disjoint from this. So we have

$$\text{diam}(P') \leq C_0 \lambda^n \text{diam}(P).$$

We now assume that ε_1 is sufficiently small, that the following holds, given an integer i_1 and the constant C_4 , which we assume is $\geq C_1$. The integer i_1 will be specified later, but is independent of N_0 , and hence of ε_1 . If $0 \leq i, j < N$ with $i \neq j$ and $x \in f^{-i}(G') \cap f^{-j-kN}(G')$ for some $0 \leq k \leq (1+N)i_1$, then x is distance $\geq 6C_4\varepsilon_1$ from $f^{-j-\ell N-kN}(G') \setminus f^{-j-kN}(G')$ for all but at most one ℓ with $k < \ell \leq k + (1+2N)i_1$, and if there is such an ℓ then, using 1.14, x must be distance $\leq 6K_1C_4\varepsilon_1$ from a vertex in the boundary between $f^{j-kN}(G')$ and $f^{-j-\ell N-kN}(G') \setminus f^{-j-kN}(G')$. Here, as assume, as we may do, using bounded distortion of local inverses of f^n , that 1.14 works with $f^{-i}(G')$ replaced by $f^{j-kN}(G')$ and $K_1\delta_0$ replaced by half the minimum distance between vertices of $f^{j-kN}(G')$. So we also assume that ε_1 is sufficiently small given i_1 that any two vertices for $f^{-j-mN}(G')$ are distance $12K_1C_4\varepsilon_1$ apart or coincide, for $0 \leq j < N$ and $m \leq (1+2N)i_1$, and any vertex of $f^{-k}(G')$ is either distance $\geq 12K_1C_4\varepsilon_1$ from $f^{-\ell}(G')$ or contained in $f^{-\ell}(G')$ for $0 \leq k, \ell \leq (2+2N)i_1$.

These conditions ensure that there are at most $2N$ values of n (depending on P_1) such that $n \leq (2N+2)i_1$ and $A_{n+1}(P_j) \neq A_n(P_j)$ for at least one of $j = 1, 2$

So now, there is i_0 (depending on P_1 and P_2) with $i_0 \leq (2N+1)i_1$ such that $A_n(P_j) = A_{i_0}(P_j)$ for $i_0 \leq n \leq i_0 + i_1$ and $j = 1, 2$. Then for any $x_j \in A_n(P_j)$ for $n \leq i_0 + i_1$ and $j = 1, 2$,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq C_5^{-2N} \delta.$$

This is the same argument as for $x_j \in P_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, together with induction replacing P_j by $A_i(P_j)$ for each i with $A_{i+1}(P_j) \neq A_i(P_j)$ for at least one j . But for any n , for $P = P_1$ or P_2 ,

$$d_H(A_n(P), A_{n+1}(P)) \leq C_0 C_4 \lambda^n \delta$$

So for any $x_j \in A_n(P_j)$ for $n \geq i_0 + i_1$ and $j = 1, 2$,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq C_5^{-2N} \delta - C_0 C_4 \delta \lambda^{i_1+i_0} / (1-\lambda).$$

So if i_1 is large enough given C_0 , λ , C_4 and C_5 , the Hausdorff limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(P_1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(P_2)$ are disjoint, as required, and our required graph is the Hausdorff limit

$$G = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n.$$

For Conclusion 1 of 1.1, by (1.17.1), G is in an $O(\varepsilon_1)$ neighbourhood of G^0 , where ε_1 can be taken as small as desired. For conclusion 2 of 1.1, G has paths within $O(\varepsilon_1)$ of every path through $\mathcal{R}(G^0)$, and also passes through all components of Ω , which, by 1.13, have diameter $< \varepsilon_0$. For $0 < i < N$, any arcs of $f^{-i}(G') \setminus G^1$ have diameter $\leq K_1\varepsilon_0$, for K_1 as in 1.8, since the endpoints of such an arc are in the same component of Ω . So since $G' \subset G^0$,

given ε' , we can choose ε_0 and ε_1 of 1.13 and 1.16 sufficiently small that G has closed loops within ε' of any closed loop of G^0 , and hence also of G' , which bounds a disc of diameter $\geq \alpha_0$.

□

2. BOUNDARY OF EXISTENCE OF MARKOV PARTITION

The main motivation for constructing Markov partitions as in Section 1 is that Markov partitions with such properties exist on an open subset of a suitable parameter space. One can then use such partitions to analyse dynamical planes of maps in a subset of parameter space, and this subset of parameter space itself, and try to follow at least part of the programme introduced by Yoccoz for quadratic polynomials, and generalised by others, including Roesch [15] to other families of rational maps.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be a rational map with critical value set Y . Let $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a connected finite graph, and $r > 0$ an integer such that the following hold*

- (1) $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$.
- (2) For each edge e of G , $f^n(e)$ is more than a single edge of G , for all sufficiently large n .
- (3) G separates the points of Y .
- (4) Y is separated from G by $f^{-r}(G) \setminus G$, that is, any path from a point of Y to G must cross $f^{-r}(G) \setminus G$.

Then f^N is expanding in some neighbourhood of G with respect to the spherical metric, for all sufficiently large N . Moreover, for all rational maps g sufficiently close to f in the uniform topology, the properties above hold with g replacing f and a graph $G(g)$ isotopic to the graph $G = G(f)$ above, and varying continuously with g .

In particular, these properties hold for nearby g , if f is a rational map such that the forward orbit of every critical point is attracted to an attractive or parabolic periodic orbit, the closures of any two periodic Fatou components are disjoint, and G is a graph with the properties above, and which is also disjoint from the closure of any periodic Fatou component.

Proof. Define

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\overline{W} : W \text{ is a component of } \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G\}.$$

Then define

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{P' : P' \text{ is a component of } f^{-n}(P) \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

First we show that f^N is expanding on a suitable neighbourhood of G , for N sufficiently large. if $x \in G$, and W is the union of sets of \mathcal{P}_r containing x , and S_n is sequence of local inverses of f^n with $f \circ S_{n+1} = S_n$ and $S_n(x) \in G$, then $\text{diameter}(S_n(W)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x . For suppose not. Then $\text{diameter}(S_{m_n}(W)) \rightarrow 0 \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, some x and subsequence m_n , and then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{m_n}(\text{int}(W))$ is contained in the Fatou set of f

and has non-empty interior, which intersects G . It follows that G intersects a periodic component of the Fatou set. This component must be an attractive or parabolic component, because a Siegel disc or Herman ring cannot intersect a forward invariant graph G , unless the graph is a finite union of circles in the Fatou set, which does not satisfy the stated conditions of G . So this Fatou component can be assumed to contain a critical value of f , that is, a point y_0 of $Y(f)$, whose forward orbit is attracted to the periodic orbit of an attractive or parabolic periodic point x_0 . We can assume that $f^{tn}(y_0) \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where t is the period of x_0 . Let W_0 be the union of sets of \mathcal{P}_r containing x_0 . Then W_0 is a closed neighbourhood of x_0 . Let T be the local inverse of f^t with $T(x_0) = x_0$. We have $T^n(\partial W_0) \subset W_0$ for all n , and $f^{tn}(T^n(\partial W_0)) = \partial W_0$. Let W_1 be the attracting petal of x_0 with $y_0 \in \partial W_1$ and $f^{tn}(z) \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $z \in W_1$. Then $T^n(\partial W_0) \cap W_1 = \emptyset$ for sufficiently large n . This is a contradiction, because $T^n(\partial W_0)$ separates y_0 from x_0 . So $\text{diameter}(S_n(W)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x . Let $U' = U'_0$ be the union of all sets of \mathcal{P}_r which intersect G , and U'_n the union of all sets of \mathcal{P}_{n+r} which intersect G . Then $f^{n-t}(U'_n) = U'_t$ for each $0 \leq t \leq n$, and for all sufficiently large N , we have $U'_N \subset \text{int}(U'_0)$ and f^N is expanding on U'_N with respect to the spherical metric d_0 . Then f is expanding on U'_N with respect to the metric d_1 , where

$$d_1(z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d_0(f^i(z), f^i(w)).$$

We are going to construct closed neighbourhoods U, U_1 of G with $U_1 \subset \text{int}(U)$ and $f(U_1) = U$ and such that the inclusion of G in each of U_1 and U is a homotopy equivalence. Our set U will be a perturbation of U'_0 , which can be taken arbitrarily close to U'_0 . We have $U'_{n+1} \subset U'_n$ and $U'_{n+N} \subset \text{int}(U'_n)$ for all n . So we can write $\partial U'_0$ as a union of sets ∂_i which are open in $\partial U'_0$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, such that $U'_i \cap \partial'_i = \emptyset$. To obtain the set U , we make successive perturbations of U'_0 near ∂'_i to $U'_{0,i}$ for $1 \leq i < N$, so that

$$U'_{0,1} \subset U'_0,$$

$$U'_{0,i} \subset f(U'_{0,i}), \quad 1 \leq i < N,$$

$$U'_{0,i+1} \subset U'_{0,i}, \quad 1 \leq i < N$$

and

$$f^{-1}(U'_{0,i}) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} \partial_{j,i} = \emptyset,$$

where ∂_j is perturbed to $\partial_{j,i}$ in $U'_{0,i}$. Then $U'_{0,N-1} = U$, and U_n the corresponding perturbation of U'_n for each $n \geq 0$. Then U and U_1 have the required properties.

For g sufficiently close to f , we can perturb U_1 to $U_1(g)$, which varies isotopically for g near f , with $U_1(f) = U_1$, $U_1(g) \subset U$. We have a homeomorphism $k_1 : U \rightarrow U_1$ which is the identity on G , and a decreasing

sequence of closed neighbourhoods U_n of G , which are the images of homeomorphisms $k_n : U_n \rightarrow U_{n+1}$ satisfying $f \circ k_n = k_{n-1} \circ f$ and $k_n = \text{identity}$ on $f^{-n}(G)$. Correspondingly, we have a homeomorphism $k_g : U \rightarrow U_1(g)$ which is the identity on G . By successive lifts of this homeomorphism, we obtain sets $U_n(g)$ with $U_{n+1}(g) \subset \text{int}(U_n(g))$, $g(U_{n+1}(g)) = U_n(g)$ and homeomorphisms $k_{n,g} : U_n(g) \rightarrow U_{n+1}(g)$ satisfying $g \circ k_{n+1,g} = k_{n,g} \circ g$. We also have a homeomorphism $h_g : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is the identity outside $U_N(g)$ and mapping G to $G_1(g) \subset g^{-1}(G)$ where $G_1(g)$ is an arbitrarily small perturbation of $G_1(f) = G$, by taking g arbitrarily close to f . Then h_g can be taken arbitrarily close to the identity in the C^1 topology, by taking g arbitrarily close to f .

Then both g and $g \circ h_g$ are expanding on $U_N(g)$ with respect to the metric d_1 , for g sufficiently close to f . We can then follow the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 for f^N to obtain a graph $G(g)$, which is homeomorphic to G_0 under a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is arbitrarily close to the identity for g arbitrarily close to f , with $G(g) \subset g^{-1}(G(g))$. \square

So we see that there are natural conditions under which an isotopically varying graph $G(g)$ exists, with $G(g) \subset g^{-1}(G(g))$, for an open connected set of g which are not all hyperbolic. In fact these open connected sets will intersect infinitely many hyperbolic components. We also have an isotopically varying Markov partition $\mathcal{P}(g)$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(g) = \{\overline{W} : W \text{ is a component of } \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G(g)\}.$$

We now proceed to investigate the boundary of the set of g in which $G(g)$ and $\mathcal{P}(g)$ exist. We define

$$\mathcal{P}_n(g) = \{P' : P' \text{ is a component of } g^{-n}(P) \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}(g)\}.$$

We thus have $\mathcal{P}_0(g) = \mathcal{P}(g)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let V be a connected component of an affine variety over \mathbb{C} of rational maps V in which the set $Y(f)$ of critical values varies isotopically. Let V_1 be a maximal connected subset of V such that, for $g \in V_1$, there exist a finite connected graph $G(g)$, and an integer $r(g) > 0$ with the following properties.*

- $G(g)$ varies isotopically with g for $g \in V_1$.
- $G(g) \subset g^{-1}(G(g))$.
- For each edge e of $G(g)$, $g^n(e)$ is more than one edge of $G(g)$, for all sufficiently large n .
- $G(g)$ separates points of $Y(g)$.
- If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{r(g)}(g)$ and $P \cap G(g) \neq \emptyset$ then $Y(g) \cap P = \emptyset$.

Then if $V_2 \subset V_1$ is a set such that $\overline{V_2} \setminus V_1 \neq \emptyset$, where the closure denotes closure in V , the integer $r(g)$ is unbounded for $g \in V_2$.

Definition 2.2.1. *We shall say that $Y(g)$ is combinatorially bounded from $G(g)$ for $g \in V_2$ if $r(g)$ as above is bounded for $g \in V_2$, that is, for some r , $Y(g)$ is separated from $G(g)$ by $g^{-r}(G(g)) \setminus G(g)$ for all $g \in V_2$.*

Remarks 2.2.2. (1) *Because the critical value set $Y(g)$ varies isotopically for $g \in V_1$, the set of critical points also varies isotopically.*

(2) *From the definition of V_1 , and from Theorem 2.1, V_1 is open.*

2.3. Real-analytic coordinates on $G(g)$. A key idea in the proof of 2.2 is to use real-analytic coordinates on the graph $G(g)$ for $g \in V_1$, provided by the normalisations of the sets in the complement of the graph. Let $P_i(g) \in \mathcal{P}(g)$.

We have uniformising maps $\varphi_{i,g} : P_i(g) \rightarrow \{z : |z| \leq 1\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, which are holomorphic between interiors, and unique up to post-composition with Möbius transformations. Then we have a collection of maps $\varphi_{j,g} \circ g \circ \varphi_{i,g}^{-1}$, defined on subsets of the closed unit disc, and mapping onto the closed unit disc. Each of these maps is holomorphic on the intersection of its domain with the open unit disc, and extends by the Schwarz reflection principle to a holomorphic map on the reflection $z \mapsto \bar{z}^{-1}$ of this domain in the unit circle. In particular, each such map is real analytic on the intersection of its domain with the unit circle.

Now $g : g^{-1}(P_i(g)) \rightarrow P_i(g)$ is a branched covering, and, since $G(g)$ separates the critical values of g , each component of $g^{-1}(P_i(g))$ is conformally a disc, and the closure of each component is a closed topological disc. Let $I(i)$ denote the (finite) set of components of $g^{-1}(P_i(g))$. Let

$$\psi_{i,g} : g^{-1}(P_i(g)) \rightarrow \{z : |z| \leq 1\} \times I(i)$$

be a uniformising map, once again, holomorphic on the interior and unique up to post-composition with a Möbius transformation on each component. Then $\varphi_{i,g} \circ g \circ \psi_{i,g}^{-1}$ is a disc-preserving Blaschke product on each of a finite union of discs, mapping each one to the same disc whose degree is the degree of $g|_{P_i(g)}$. Each map $\varphi_{i,g} \circ g \circ \varphi_{j,g}^{-1}$, where defined, is of the form $(\varphi_{i,g} \circ g \circ \psi_{i,g}^{-1}) \circ \psi_{i,g} \circ \varphi_{j,g}^{-1}$. Now we establish an expansion property of these maps.

Definition 2.3.1. *If D denotes the closed unit disc and $A \subset \partial D$ is a finite set, then we say that the moduli of (D, A) are bounded if A contains less than four points, or if the cross-ratio of any subset of A consisting of four points is bounded above and below. If Q is a closed topological disc and $B \subset \partial Q$ is finite, then we say that the moduli of (Q, B) are bounded if the moduli of $(\varphi(Q), \varphi(B))$ are bounded, where $\varphi : Q \rightarrow D$ is a homeomorphism which is holomorphic on the interior of Q .*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $X(g)$ denote the vertex set of $G(g)$. Suppose that N is such that for any i and j and component Q of $g^{-N}(P_j(g))$ with $Q \subset P_i(g)$, at least one component of $\partial P_i(g) \setminus \partial Q$ contains at least two vertices of $G(g)$, and the moduli of*

$$\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g), g^{-N}(X(g)) \cap \partial \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g) \right) \right)$$

are bounded for any finite set I such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g)$ is a topological disc. Then the maps $\varphi_{i,g} \circ g^{N\ell} \circ \varphi_{j,g}^{-1}$ on arcs of the unit circle, where defined, are expanding with respect to the Euclidean metric on the unit circle, with expansion constants bounded from 1, for any $\ell \geq 1$ which is sufficiently large given the moduli bounds.

Proof. It suffices to bound below, by some $\mu > 1$, the derivative of $\varphi_{i,g} \circ g^N \circ \varphi_{j,g}^{-1}$, with respect to a suitable metric d_p which we can show to be boundedly Lipschitz equivalent to the Euclidean metric d_e . Then the derivative of $\varphi_{i,g} \circ g^{N\ell} \circ \varphi_{j,g}^{-1}$ with respect to d_p is $\geq \mu^\ell$, and if d_p/d_e is bounded between $C^{\pm 1}$ for some $C \geq 1$, we see that the derivative with respect to d_e is $\geq C^{-1}\mu^\ell$, giving expansion for all ℓ such that $C^{-1}\mu^\ell > 1$. So it remains to define d_p so that these properties are satisfied. This is the restriction of a Poincaré metric on a suitable surface, one for each component e of $\partial Q \cap \partial P_i(g)$, or union of two such components round a vertex of $g^{-N}(G(g))$ in ∂Q , where Q is the closure of a component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus g^{-N}(G(g))$ with $Q \subset P_i(g)$ and $e \subset \partial Q$. For each such component, we consider a union Q' of closures of components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus g^{-N}(G(g))$ contained in $P_i(g)$, such that Q' is a topological disc and such that the connected component e' of $\partial Q' \cap \partial P_i(g)$ which contains e has e in its interior. We can assume without loss of generality, replacing $G(g)$ by $g^{-M}(G(g))$ for a suitable M if necessary, that the image of Q' under g^N is also a closed topological disc – obviously of the form $\bigcup_{j \in J} P_j(g)$ — and that g^N is a homeomorphism on e' . So there is a map of Q' to $\{z : |z| \leq 1, \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$ which maps e' to the interval $[-1, 1]$, and which is conformal on the interior. We then take the restriction of the Poincaré metric on the unit disc to $(-1, 1)$. This is the metric d_p on $\text{int}(e') \supset e$. The image of e under g^N is an edge of $G(g)$ in $\partial P_j(g)$, or a union of two edges round a vertex in $\partial P_j(g)$, for some $j \in J$. We take the corresponding metric d_p on each edge of $g^{-N}(G(g))$ in $\partial P_j(g)$. Take any edge e_1 of $g^{-N}(G(g))$ or union of two edges of $g^{-N}(G(g))$ which are subsets of edges of $G(g)$, adjacent to a vertex of $G(g)$ in $P_j(g)$, with $e_1 \subset e$. Let Q_1 be the component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus g^{-N}(G(g))$, and $e_1 \subset \partial Q_1$ and $Q_1 \subset g^N(Q)$. Let Q'_1 be the union of closures of components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus g^{-N}(G)$ with $Q_1 \subset Q'_1$ which is used to define the metric d_p on e_1 . Then $Q'_1 \subset g^N(Q')$, and by the hypotheses, if we double $g^N(Q')$ across $g^N(e')$ by Schwarz reflection, and then normalise, the image of the double of Q'_1 within this is contained in $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$, for some $r < 1$ bounded from 1, simply because there are just finitely many edges. It follows that g^N is expanding on e with respect to the metric d_p , with expansion constant bounded from 1. \square

2.5. Real-analytic maps $h_{1,g}$ and $h_{2,g}$. Now each edge of $G(g)$ is in the image of two maps $\varphi_{i_1,g}$ and $\varphi_{i_2,g}$, where the edge is a connected component of $\partial P_{i_1}(g) \cap \partial P_{i_2}(g)$. Since $G(g) \subset g^{-1}(G(g))$, it is also the case that each edge is contained in a union of components of sets $g^{-1}(P_{j_1}(g) \cap P_{j_2}(g))$, where these sets are disjoint apart from some common endpoints. It follows

that from g , and after imposing a direction on each edge of $G(g)$, we obtain two real-analytic maps $h_{1,g}$ and $h_{2,g}$, defined piecewise by $\varphi_{j_1,g} \circ g \circ \varphi_{i_1,g}^{-1}$ and $\varphi_{j_2,g} \circ g \circ \varphi_{i_2,g}$, each mapping a finite union of intervals to itself, mapping endpoints to endpoints, except for being two-valued at finitely many interior points in the intervals, but at these points, the right and left-derivatives exist and coincide, so that the derivative is single valued at such points, and extends continuously in the neighbourhood of any such point. These two maps are quasi-symmetrically conjugate, because the maps $\varphi_{i,g}$ are quasi-conformal. The quasi-symmetry is unique, and the pair $(\overline{\mathbb{C}}, g^{-1}(G))$ can be reconstructed from it, up to Möbius transformation of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. In 2.6, we make this idea more precise. Lemma 2.4 shows that the hypotheses are satisfied.

Note that it is possible for the image of $h_{1,g}$ to intersect the domain of $h_{2,g}$, and vice versa, if g maps some edge of G over itself with direction reversed. In that case, since the domain and image of $h_{\ell,g}$ are to be the same, it can happen that $h_{1,g}$ and $h_{2,g}$ agree on a nonempty intersection between their two domains. But we do not need to make any special consideration of this possibility and even if this happens the quasisymmetric conjugacy constructed in 2.6 need not be the identity.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $I_{i,t}$ be finite intervals for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $t = 1, 2$. Let*

$$h_t : \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,t} \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,t}$$

be C^2 maps which are multivalued just at points which are mapped to endpoints of intervals, but with well-defined continuous derivatives at such points, such that $h_t(I_{i,t})$ is a union of intervals $I_{j,t}$ for each of $t = 1, 2$, and $I_{j,1} \subset h_1(I_{i,1})$ if and only if $I_{j,2} \subset h_2(I_{i,2})$, and $I_{i,t} \cap h_1^{-1}(I_{j,t})$ has at most one component, for both $t = 1$ and 2 . Suppose also that there is N such that h_1^n and h_2^n are expanding with respect to the Euclidean metric for all $n \geq N$. Then h_1 and h_2 are quasi-symmetrically conjugate, with the norm of the quasi-symmetric conjugacy bounded in terms of N and of the bound of the expansion constants of h_1^N and h_2^N from 1.

Proof. This is standard. We simply choose

$$\varphi_0 : \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,1} \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,2}$$

to be an affine transformation (for example) restricted to $I_{i,1}$, mapping $I_{i,1}$ to $I_{i,2}$, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then φ_n is defined inductively by the properties

$$h_2 \circ \varphi_{n+1} = \varphi_n \circ h_1$$

and

$$\varphi_{n+1}(I_{i,1}) = I_{i,2} \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Then

$$\varphi_0 \circ h_1^n = h_2^n \circ \varphi_n \text{ for all } n,$$

and we deduce from this that

$$|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_{n+1}(x)| \leq C_2 \lambda^n$$

for all x and n , for some constant C_2 depending on C_1 , and some $\lambda < 1$, where

$$|h_2^n(x) - h_2^n(y)| \geq C_1 \lambda^{-n}$$

for all n and all x and y such that $h_2^m(x)$ and $h_2^m(y)$ are in the same set $I_{i_m,2}$, for all $0 \leq m \leq n$. Then φ_n converges uniformly to φ , with

$$\varphi \circ h_1 = h_2 \circ \varphi.$$

Similarly, using the expanding properties of h_1 , we deduce that φ_n^{-1} converges uniformly to φ^{-1} .

To prove quasi-symmetry of φ , we use the standard result that $(h_t^n)'$ varies by a bounded proportion on any interval J such that $h_t^n(J)$ is a union of at most two subintervals of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,t}$. This uses continuity of the derivative across the finitely many discontinuities of h_t . So then given any $x \neq y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,1}$ such that $|x-y|$ is sufficiently small, we choose the greatest n such that $|h_1^n(x) - h_1^n(y)| \leq c$, for a suitable constant $c > 0$ such that any interval of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,1}$ which has length $\leq c$ is mapped to a union of at most two intervals of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k I_{i,1}$. Then

$$|h_1^{n+p}(x) - h_1^{n+p}(y)|$$

is bounded above and below for any bounded p , and $(h_1^{n+p})'$ varies by a bounded proportion on the interval $[x, y]$. So does the derivative S' , on the smallest interval containing $h_1^{n+p}(x)$, $h_1^{n+p}(y)$, where S is the branch of $h_2^{-(n+p)}$ such that $\varphi_{n+p} = S \circ \varphi_0 \circ h_1^{n+p}$. We can choose p so that each of the points $h_1^n(x)$, $h_1^n(y)$, $h_1^n((x+y)/2)$ is separated by at least two points from $\bigcup_{i=1}^k h_1^{-p}(\partial I_{i,1})$ — but only boundedly many, by the bound on p . Now

$$\varphi_m = \varphi_{n+p} \text{ on } \bigcup_{i=1}^k h_1^{-(n+p)}(\partial I_{i,1})$$

for all $m \geq n+p$, and hence

$$\varphi = \varphi_{n+p} \text{ on } \bigcup_{i=1}^k h_1^{-(n+p)}(\partial I_{i,1}).$$

If z_1 , z_2 and z_3 are any three distinct points of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k h_1^{-(n+p)}(\partial I_{i,1})$ which are either between x and y , or the nearest point on one side, then

$$\frac{|\varphi_{n+p}(z_1) - \varphi_{n+p}(z_2)|}{|\varphi_{n+p}(z_1) - \varphi_{n+p}(z_3)|}$$

is bounded and bounded from 0, that is,

$$\frac{|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)|}{|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_3)|}$$

is bounded and bounded from 0. But then since $|\varphi(x) - \varphi((x+y)/2)|$ is bounded between some such $|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)|$ and $|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_3)|$, and similarly for $|\varphi(y) - \varphi((x+y)/2)|$, we have upper and lower bounds on

$$\frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi((x+y)/2)|}{|\varphi(y) - \varphi((x+y)/2)|},$$

and quasi-symmetry follows. \square

We deduce the following.

Lemma 2.7. *Let V_1 be as in Theorem 2.2. For $f \in V_1$, let $P_i(f)$, $\varphi_{i,f}$ and $\psi_{i,f}$ be as previously defined. Let $\{g_n : n \geq 0\}$ be any sequence in V_1 such that $Y(g_n)$ is combinatorially bounded from $G(g_n)$ for $n \geq 0$, and let $g_n \rightarrow g$. Let $X(g_n)$ denote the vertex set of $G(g_n)$. Then $g \in V_1$ if the moduli of*

$$(2.7.1) \quad \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g_n), g^{-\ell}(X(g_n)) \cap \partial \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g_n) \right) \right)$$

are bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed ℓ , and any finite set I such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g_n)$ is a topological disc, and, using this to normalise the maps φ_{i,g_n} and ψ_{i,g_n} , the disc-preserving Blaschke products $\varphi_{i,g_n} \circ g_n \circ \psi_{i,g_n}^{-1}$ are also bounded.

Proof. The bounds on moduli and Blaschke products ensure that the real analytic maps h_{1,g_n} and h_{2,g_n} have derivatives which are bounded above and below. Also, they extend to Blaschke products on neighbourhoods of intervals of the unit circle. By the hypothesis $r(g_n) \leq r$ for all n , there is N such that, if $U'(g_n)$, $U'_i(g)$ are the unions of sets of $\mathcal{P}_r(g_n)$, $\mathcal{P}_{r+i}(g)$ intersecting $G(g)$, then $U'_N(g_n) \subset \text{int}(U'(g_n))$ and $g_n^N(U'_N(g_n)) = U'(g_n)$. We have seen from 2.4 and 2.6 that the maps h_{1,g_n} and h_{2,g_n} are boundedly quasi-symmetrically conjugate, that is, there is a quasi-symmetric homeomorphism φ_n whose domain is the domain, and contains the image, of h_{1,g_n} , and whose image is the domain, and contains the image, of h_{2,g_n} , that is, a finite union of intervals in each case, such that

$$\varphi_n \circ h_{1,g_n} = h_{2,g_n} \circ \varphi_n.$$

Then φ_n can be used to define a Beltrami differential μ_n on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, which is uniformly bounded independently of n , as follows. This sphere is, topologically, a finite union of discs, with the boundary of each disc written as a finite union of arcs, and with each arc identified with one other, from a different disc, by φ_n in one direction and φ_n^{-1} in the other. It is convenient to identify this sphere with the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, in such a way that each of the discs has piecewise smooth boundary, and the maps identifying the copies of the closed unit disc with the image discs in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ are piecewise smooth. The union of the images of copies of the unit circle form a graph $\Gamma \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. We then define a quasi-conformal homeomorphism ψ_n from the union of copies of the closed unit disc to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that, whenever I_1 and I_2 are arcs on the boundaries of discs D_1 and D_2 , identified by $\varphi_n : I_1 \rightarrow I_2$, we have ψ_n on I_2

is defined by $\psi_n \circ \varphi_n^{-1}$, using $\varphi_n^{-1} : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ and $\psi_n : I_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. The q-c norm of ψ_n can clearly be bounded in terms of the q-s norm of φ_n , and the identification we choose of the copies of the closed unit disc with their images in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. This means that the q-c norm of φ_n can be bounded independently of n . We then define

$$\mu_n = (\varphi_n)_* 0$$

on the image of each copy of the open unit disc, where 0 simply denotes the Beltrami differential which is 0 everywhere on the open unit disc. Then μ_n is defined a.e. on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, and is uniformly bounded, in n , in the L_∞ norm.

So there is a quasi-conformal map $\chi_n : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, with q-c norm which is uniformly bounded in n , such that $\mu_n = \chi_n^* 0$, where, here, 0 denotes the Beltrami differential which is 0 everywhere on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. By construction, there is a conformal map of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which maps $\chi_n(\Gamma)$ to $G(g_n)$. So we can assume without loss of generality that $\chi_n(\Gamma) = G(g_n)$. By taking limits, we can assume that χ_n has a limit χ in the uniform topology, which is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism. So $\chi_n(\Gamma)$ has a limit $\chi(\Gamma)$, which is also a graph, and since $G(g_n) \subset g_n^{-1}(G(g_n))$, we have $\chi(\Gamma) \subset g^{-1}(\chi(\Gamma))$. We therefore write $G(g) = \chi(\gamma)$ and $G(g)$ is homeomorphic to $G(g_n)$ under $\chi \circ \chi_n^{-1}$. We can also assume, by restricting to a subsequence of g_n if necessary, that for each $i \leq r$, and all n , the sets $g_n^{-i}(G(g_n)) \cup Y(g_n)$ are isotopic. The bounds on moduli (2.7.1) then give a lower bound on modulus of each component of $U'(g_n) \setminus U'_N(g_n)$, independent of n . So then $U'(g_n)$ converges to $U'(g)$, while $U'_N(g_n)$ converges isotopically to $U'_N(g)$ and $g \in V_1$ with $r(g) \leq r + N$. Note that we could have $Y(g) \cap \partial U'(g) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Since $G(g)$ varies isotopically for $g \in V_1$, the set $X(g)$ of vertices of $G(g)$ also varies isotopically for $g \in V_1$. But $X(g)$ is a finite forward invariant set for all $g \in V_1$. Hence $X(g)$ varies locally isotopically for g in the dense open subset V_0 of V such that the multiplier of any periodic points in $X(g)$ is not 1, and there are no critical points in $X(g)$. We have $V_1 \subset V_0$, since g^N is expanding near $G(g)$ for $g \in V_1$, for a suitable N , by 2.1. Now, to prove Theorem 2.2, we need to verify the conditions of Lemma 2.7.

Definition 2.7.1. *A path α with endpoints in $X(g)$ has homotopy length $\leq M$ if it can be isotoped, by an isotopy which is the identity on $X(g)$, to be arbitrarily uniformly close to a path in $G(g)$ which crosses $\leq M$ edges of $G(g)$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let V and V_1 be as in 2.2. Let V_0 be as at the end of 2.7. Fix $g_0 \in V_1$. Let W_0 be a compact subset of V containing g_0 , and let $M_0 > 0$ be given. There is $M_1 = M_1(M_0, W_0)$ with the following property. Let $g \in V_1 \cap W_0$. If e is an edge of $G(g)$ and $e' \subset e$ is a connected set which shares its first endpoint with e , and α is any extension of e' by spherical length $\leq M_0$ to a path with both endpoints in $X(g)$, then α has homotopy length $\leq M_1$.*

Proof. Let g_t be a path in V_1 between g_0 and $g = g_1$. Since $V \setminus V_0$ has codimension two, we can assume without loss of generality, enlarging W_0 if

necessary, that $g_t \in V_0 \cap W_0$ for all t , so that $X(g_t)$ varies isotopically. We can choose the path g_t so that its length is bounded in terms of W_0 , using any suitable Riemannian metric on V , for example, that coming from the embedding of V in \mathbb{C}^m (since V is an affine variety).

Now given $R > 1$, there is k such that $g^k(e'')$ is a union of at least R edges for each edge e'' of $G(g)$. This is true for all $g \in V_1$, because the dynamics of the map $g : G(g) \rightarrow G(g)$ is independent of g . We take $R = 2$. For this k (or, indeed, any strictly positive integer), $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 0} g^{-\ell k}(X(g))$ is dense in $G(g)$, because, for any edge e of $G(g)$, the maximum diameter of any component of $g^{-n}(e)$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by ref2.1. So it suffices to prove the lemma for $e' \subset e$ sharing first endpoint with e and with the second endpoint in $g^{-\ell k}(X(g)) \setminus g^{-(\ell-1)k}(X(g))$ for some $\ell \geq 0$, but we cannot obtain any bound on ℓ . So fix such an e' . For each $i \leq \ell$, let $e_{ik} = e_{ik}(g) \subset e$ such that $g^{ik}(e_{ik})$ is an edge of $G(g)$, hence with endpoints in $X(g)$, such that the second endpoint of e' is in e_{ik} , and is not the first endpoint of e_{ik} .

Any point of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical distance $\leq \pi$ from a point of $X(g)$ (assuming the sphere has radius 1). Any path of bounded (spherical) distance between points of $X(g)$ is homotopically bounded, because of the bounded distance between $X(g_0)$ and $X(g)$. We suppose for contradiction that, for some path α_0 of length $\leq M_0$ from the second endpoint of e' to a point of $X(g)$, the path $e' * \alpha_0$ has homotopy length $\geq M_1$. Then $g^k(e' * \alpha_0)$ has homotopy length $\geq 2M_1$. Now let α_k be a path of spherical length $\leq M_0$ connecting the second endpoint of $g^k(e')$ to $X(g)$. Now we have a bound on the homotopy length of $g^k(e' \setminus e_k)$ depending only on k , because this is a union of a number of edges of $G(g)$, where the number is bounded in terms of k . We also have a bound in terms of k and M_0 (and on g_0 , but g_0 is fixed throughout) on the spherical length of $\overline{\alpha_k} * g^k(\alpha_0)$, where $\overline{\alpha_k}$ denotes the reverse of α_k . This is because the bound on the path between g_0 and g gives a bound on the spherical derivative of g^k in terms of M_0 and k . If φ is the homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ given by the isotopy from the identity mapping $X(g)$ to $X(g_0)$, then φ is bounded in terms of M_0 . So we have a bound on the spherical length of $\varphi(\overline{\alpha_k} * g^k(\alpha_0))$. This is a path between points of $X(g_0)$. So we have a bound on the homotopy length of this path in terms of M_0 and k (and g_0 , but this is fixed throughout). But the homotopy length is the same as the homotopy length of $\overline{\alpha_k} * g^k(\alpha_0)$. So both $g^k(e' \setminus e_k)$ and $\overline{\alpha_k} * g^k(\alpha_0)$ have homotopy length $\leq M'_0$ where M'_0 is bounded in terms of M_0 and k . So then $g^k(e' \cap e_k) * \alpha_k$ has homotopy length $\geq 2M_1 - 2M'_0 > M_1$ assuming that M_1 is sufficiently large given M'_0 and k , that is, sufficiently large given M_0 . Similarly, for each i , $g^k((e' \cap e_{(i-1)k}) \setminus e_{ik})$ and $\overline{\alpha_{ik}} * g^k(\alpha_{(i-1)k})$ have homotopy length $\leq M'_0$, and hence we prove by induction that $g^{ik}(e_{ik} \cap e')$ has homotopy length $> M_1$ for all $i \geq 0$. For $i = \ell$ we obtain the required contradiction, because $g^{\ell k}(e' \cap e_{\ell k})$ is a single edge. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let V, V_1, g_0, M_0, W_0 and g be as in 2.8. There is $M_2 > 0$, depending on M_0, W_0 and g_0 with the following property. If e' is any path*

in an edge of $G(g)$ then e' is homotopic, via a homotopy fixing endpoints and $X(g)$, to a path of (spherical) length $\leq M_2$.

Proof. It suffices to prove this for paths with one endpoint at $X(g)$, because $e' = \overline{e'_1} * e'_2$ for two such paths in the same edge as e' . So now assume that e' shares an endpoint with e . Then by 2.8, we can extend e' by spherical length $\leq M_0$ to a path α with both endpoints in $X(g)$ so that α is homotopic, via a homotopy fixing $X(g)$, to an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of a path crossing $\leq M_1$ edges of $G(g)$. Because the movement of $X(g_0)$ to $X(g)$ is bounded, this means that α is homotopic, via a homotopy fixing $X(g)$, to a path of spherical length $\leq M'_2$. Then since e' can be obtained from α by adding length M_0 , we obtain the required bound on e' with $M_2 = M'_2 + M_0$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let V, V_1, g_0, M_0, W_0 and g be as in 2.8. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on M_0 and g_0 such that for each i , there is some point in $P_i(g)$ which is distance $\geq \varepsilon$ from $\partial P_i(g)$.*

Proof. It suffices, for some $x \in P_i(g)$ and for some fixed n , to find a lower bound on the length of $g^n \alpha$, where α is any path from x to $\partial P_i(g)$. By 2.9, we can extend $g^n \alpha$ by a path γ in some $\partial P_j(g) \cap g^n(\partial P_i(g))$ to a point of $X(g)$, such that γ is homotopic, via a homotopy fixing endpoints and $X(g)$, to a path of length $\leq M_2$, which is independent of n . But we can choose $x \in g^{-n}(X(g))$, for some n , so that if α' is any path from x to $\partial P_i(g) \cap g^{-n}(X(g))$ then the homotopy length of $g^n \alpha'$ is $> M_3$, where M_3 is sufficiently long to force spherical length $> 2M_2$. We do this using the bound on the isotopy distance between $X(g)$ and $X(g_0)$, and the number of sets of $\mathcal{P}(g)$ that $g^n(\alpha')$ must cross. Then the spherical length of $g^n \alpha$ is $> M_2$, which gives us a strictly positive lower bound on the spherical length of α : in terms of n , which means, ultimately, in terms of M_0 . \square

In a similar way, we can prove the following.

Lemma 2.11. *Let V, V_1, g_0, M_0, W_0 and g be as in 2.8. Let A be any embedded annulus which is a union of $N_1 \geq 1$ components of sets $g^{-r}(P_i(g))$ (for varying i) surrounding a union of $N_2 \geq 1$ components of sets $g^{-r}(P_j(g))$ (for varying j). Then the modulus of A is bounded and bounded from 0, where the bounds depend on N_1, N_2, M_0, g_0 and r .*

Proof. It suffices to prove this with $r = 0$, since the result remains true under branched covers, just depending on r and the degree of g_0 . The upper bound on modulus is clear, from the bound on the diameter of the sets $P_i(g)$ from 2.8 and on the lower bound on the interior of sets $P_j(g)$ in 2.10. Actually a lower bound on the diameter of the sets $P_j(g)$ is enough, and this is easily obtained. So now we need to bound the modulus below. For this, we need to bound below the length (in the spherical metric) of any path γ between the two boundary components of A . As in 2.10, it suffices to bound below the length of $g^n(\gamma)$, for some fixed n , and it suffices to show that this length tends to ∞ with n . As in 2.10, it suffices to prove this for paths with

endpoints in $X(g)$, in distinct components of ∂A , and this length tends to ∞ because of the bounded homotopy distance of points in $X(g)$ from $X(g_0)$, and the homotopy length tends to ∞ . \square

Then using this, we can prove the following.

Lemma 2.12. *Let V , V_1 , g_0 , M_0 , W_0 and g be as in 2.8. The moduli of $(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g), g^{-t}(X(g)) \cap \partial(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g)))$ are bounded whenever $\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g)$ is a topological disc, with bound depending only on M_0 , g_0 , t and $\#(I)$.*

Proof. Write $Q = \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g)$, for any fixed I such that Q is a topological disc. If (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) is an ordered quadruple of four points of $\partial Q \cap g^{-t}(X(g))$, with x_1 and x_2 not separated in ∂Q by the set $\{x_3, x_4\}$, then we define the modulus of (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) to be the modulus of the rectangle $\varphi(Q)$ where φ is conformal on the interior and the vertices are the points $\varphi(x_i)$. In turn, we define modulus to be the modulus of the annulus formed by identifying the edge of the rectangle joining $\varphi(x_1)$ and $\varphi(x_2)$ to the edge joining $\varphi(x_3)$ and $\varphi(x_4)$. So it suffices to bound below the modulus of each such quadruple (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) . But then it suffices to do it in the case when x_1 and x_2 come from adjacent points of $g^{-t}(X(g))$ on ∂Q , and similarly for x_3 and x_4 , because $\text{modulus}(A_1) \leq \text{modulus}(A_2)$ if $A_1 \subset A_2$ and the inclusion is injective on π_1 . But if we have two disjoint edges on ∂Q , we can make an annulus which includes Q and encloses a union of partition elements $P_j(g)$. The partition elements $P_j(g)$ are those with edges on one path in ∂Q between the edges associated with (x_1, x_2) and (x_3, x_4) . So the lower bound on the modulus of (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) comes from the lower bound of this annulus, which was obtained in 2.11. \square

2.13. Proof of Theorem 2.2. We recall that we are making the assumption that $Y(g_n)$ is combinatorially bounded from $G(g_n)$. We need to check that the assumptions of Lemma 2.7 are satisfied, since Theorem 2.2 will then immediately follow. Lemma 2.12 gives the bounds on the moduli of

$$\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g_n), g_n^{-t}(X(g_n)) \cap \partial \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i(g_n) \right) \right),$$

for any particular t . By 2.11, the set $Y(g_n)$ is bounded from $G(g_n)$ by a union of annuli of moduli bounded from 0. Together with the bound on the moduli of $(P_i(g_n), X(g_n) \cap \partial P_i(g_n))$, which is just used for normalisation, this gives the required bound on the Blaschke products $\varphi_{i,g_n} \circ g_n \circ \psi_{i,g_n}^{-1}$ of 2.7, and the proof is completed.

3. PARAMETRISATION OF EXISTENCE SET OF MARKOV PARTITION

3.1. In Section 2, the parameter space V was a connected component of an affine variety over \mathbb{C} . In this section, we put more restrictions on V . In particular, the restrictions include that V is of complex dimension one. This means that we are looking at a familiar scenario, in which it is reasonable to suppose that parameter space can be described by movement of a single

critical value. It is certainly possible that the ideas generalise to higher dimensions. But there are still new features to consider, even for V of complex dimension one.

We restrict to the case of V being a parameter space of quadratic rational maps g with numbered critical points for which one critical point $c_1(g)$ is periodic of some fixed period and the other, $c_2(g)$, is free to vary. The family of such maps, quotiented by Möbius conjugation, is of complex dimension one, and is well known to have no finite singular points. (See, for example, Theorem 2.5 of [9].) So V , or a natural quotient of it, is a Riemann surface, with some punctures at ∞ , where the degree of the map degenerates. So we assume from now on that V is a Riemann surface. We write $v_1(g) = g(c_1(g))$ and $v_2(g) = g(c_2(g))$ for the critical values. Fix a postcritically finite map $g_0 \in V$ for which a connected finite graph $G(g_0)$ exists with $G(g_0) \subset g_0^{-1}(G(g_0))$ and such that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Write

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(g_0) = \{\bar{U} : U \text{ is a component of } \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G(g_0)\}.$$

We write $V(G(g_0), g_0)$ for the connected set $V_1 \subset V$ containing g_0 defined in 2.2, with g_0 replacing f . We write $V([G(g_0)])$ for the subset of V , which is the union of sets $V(G(g_1), g_1)$ for which

$$G(g_0) \cup \{g_0^i(v_1(g_0)) : i \geq 0\} \cup \{v_2(g_0)\}$$

and

$$G(g_1) \cup \{g_1^i(v_1(g_1)) : i \geq 0\} \cup \{v_2(g_1)\}$$

are isotopic. Thus, $V(G(g_0), g_0)$ is a component of $V([G(g_0)])$, which could, potentially, have more than one component.

In Section 2 we found a partial characterisation of the boundary of $V(G(g_0), g_0)$. Now we want to try and obtain a parametrisation of this set. For any $g \in V([G(g_0)])$, and integer $n \geq 0$, we define

$$G_n(g) = g^{-n}(G(g)).$$

We continue with the notations $\mathcal{P}(g)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(g)$ established at the end of 2.1. Thus, $G_n(g)$ is the union of boundaries of the sets of $\mathcal{P}_n(g)$.

3.2. The possible graphs. Let $g_0 \in V$ and $G(g_0)$ be as above. Following a common strategy, we want to use the dynamical plane of g_0 to investigate the variation of dynamics in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$. The set

$$G(g) \cup \{g^i(v_1(g)) : i \geq 0\} \cup \{v_2(g)\}$$

varies isotopically for $g \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$. In fact $(G(g), g)$ varies continuously as a dynamical system, because, by 2.1 and 2.2, backward orbits of vertices of $G(g)$ are dense in $G(g)$. Also,

$$G_1(g) \cup \{g^i(v_1(g)) : i \geq 0\}$$

varies isotopically with $g \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$. But, because $v_2(g)$ is not included in this isotopically varying set, it is not true that $G_n(g)$ varies isotopically for $n > 1$. But nevertheless, it is possible to determine inductively all the

possible graphs $G_n(g)$ up to isotopy, for $g \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$. The different possibilities for $G_n(g)$, up to isotopy, are determined from the different possibilities for $G_{n-1}(g) \cup \{v_1(g), v_2(g)\}$ up to isotopy. Inductively, this means that the different possibilities for $G_n(g)$ (and $\mathcal{P}_n(g)$), up to isotopy, are determined by $(Q_i(g) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, where:

- $Q_0 = Q_0(g)$ is the set in $\mathcal{P}(g)$ with $v_2(g) \in \text{int}(Q_0)$;
- $Q_{i+1}(g) \subset Q_i(g)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- $Q_i(g) \in \mathcal{P}_i(g)$ or $Q_i(g)$ is an edge of $G_i(g)$ or a vertex of $G_i(g)$;
- $v_2(g) \in Q_i(g)$ for $i \leq n-1$ and $v_2(g) \in \text{int}(Q_i(g))$ if $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}_i(g)$, and $v_2(g)$ is not an endpoint of $Q_i(g)$ if $Q_i(g)$ is an edge of $G_i(g)$.

Inductively, this means that the different possibilities for $Q_n(g)$ are determined by $Q_i(g)$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and hence so is the graph $G_n(g)$, up to homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, and the dynamical system $(G_n(g), g)$, up to isomorphism. So the different possibilities for any sequence $(Q_i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ as above, or even any infinite sequence $(Q_i : i \geq 0)$ with these properties, are determined by $g_0 : G_1(g_0) \rightarrow G(g_0)$, up to homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which is the identity on ∂Q_0 . We will write $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}([g_0])$ for the set of sequences, either finite or infinite, up to equivalence, where two sequences $(Q_i : i \geq 0)$ and $(Q'_i : i \geq 0)$ are regarded as equivalent if there is a homeomorphism φ of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which maps Q_i to Q'_i for all $i \geq 0$. We will write \mathcal{Q}_∞ for the set of infinite sequences in \mathcal{Q} , and \mathcal{Q}_n for the set of finite sequences (Q_0, \dots, Q_n) in \mathcal{Q} . For $\underline{Q} = (Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{Q}$, we write $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ or $V(\underline{Q}, [g_0])$ for the set of $g \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$ or $V([G(g_0)])$ such that $(Q_i(g) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ is equivalent to (Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}) . For $g \in V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$, the graphs $G_n(g)$ are all isotopic, and for $g \in V(\underline{Q}, [g_0])$, the dynamical systems $(G_n(g), g)$, are isomorphic. We write $G(\underline{Q})$ for this graph and $\mathcal{P}(\underline{Q})$ for the corresponding partition, where, because of the isomorphism of the dynamical systems, there is a canonical homeomorphism between $G(\underline{Q})$ and $G_n(g)$ for any $g \in V(\underline{Q}, [g_0])$ which varies continuously with g , and therefore induces an isotopy of $G_n(g)$. This homeomorphism is actually a bit more general, which will be important later. Let $Q'_{n-1} \subset Q_{n-1}$ be an edge or point of $G(\underline{Q})$ (not necessarily a vertex). Then $g^{-1}(G_{n-1}(g) \setminus Q'_{n-1}(g))$ are all canonically homeomorphic, with homeomorphism varying continuously for $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, [g_0]) \cup V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-2}, Q'_{n-1}, [g_0])$.

For $g \in V([G(g_0)])$, we also define

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty(g) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \{Q_n(g) : Q_n(g) \subset Q_{n-1}(g), Q_n(g) \in \mathcal{P}_n(g) \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_\infty(g)$ is a collection of closed sets whose union is the whole sphere. If $v_2(g)$ is *not persistently recurrent* then all the sets in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(g)$ are either points or closures of Fatou components for g . This follows from [15].

For any $\underline{Q} = (Q_i : i \geq 0) \in \mathcal{Q}_\infty$, we also define

$$V(\underline{Q}, g_0) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0) \cup V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n, g_0)),$$

where $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n, g_0)$ is the union of all those $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, Q', g_0)$ such that $Q' \subset Q_n$ and Q' is an edge or vertex of $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ which is not a vertex of $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-2})$. For each n , we have

$$V(G(g_0), g_0) = \bigcup_{\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_n} V(\underline{Q}) = \bigcup_{\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\infty} V(\underline{Q}).$$

Similar definitions and statements hold for $V([G(g_0)])$.

We now have the notation in place to state the main theorem of this section. The hypotheses imply the hypotheses of 3.2. A branched covering f of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be *postcritically finite* if the postcritical set $Z(f) = \{f^n(c) : c \text{ critical}, n > 0\}$ is finite.

Theorem 3.3. *Let V be the Riemann surface consisting of a connected component of the set of quadratic rational maps f with numbered critical values $v_1(f)$ and $v_2(f)$, such that $v_1(f)$ is of some fixed period, quotiented by Möbius conjugation (all as previously stated). Let $g_0 \in V$ be such that there exists a finite connected graph $G(g_0) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following properties.*

- (1) $G(g_0) \subset g_0^{-1}(G(g_0))$.
- (2) For each edge e of $G(g_0)$, $g_0^n(e)$ is more than a single edge of $G(g_0)$, for all sufficiently large n .
- (3) $G(g_0)$ separates $v_1(g_0)$ and $v_2(g_0)$.
- (4) $v_1(g_0)$ and $v_2(g_0)$ are separated from $G(g_0)$ by $g_0^{-t}(G(g_0)) \setminus G(g_0)$ for some $t > 0$.

If $g_1 \in V([G(g_0)])$, and $v_2(g_1) \in g_1^{-s}(G(g_1)) \setminus G(g_1)$ for some $s > 0$, then $g_1 \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$.

Let \underline{Q} be defined as in 3.2 for $G(g_0)$. Let $\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

- $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ is nonempty, connected and its complement in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$ is connected.
- If there is some n such that

$$Q_i \subset G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}) \cap \text{int}(Q_0(g)) \text{ for all } i \geq n,$$

or if there is n such that

$$\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i(g) \subset \text{int}(Q_n(g)) \text{ for all } g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n),$$

$$g^m \left(\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i(g) \right) \cap \text{int}(Q_n(g)) = \emptyset \text{ for all } m > 0,$$

then $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ is a single point.

- If $\underline{Q} = (Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and if $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ is open, and

$$\overline{V(\underline{Q}, g_0)} \subset V(\underline{Q}, g_0) \cup V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n, g_0),$$

where the closure is taken in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$.

Moreover

$$V(G(g_0), g_0) = V([G(g_0)]).$$

For the rest of this section, we keep the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3, and we use the notation that we have established. The following proposition shows that the possibilities for \underline{Q} can be analysed by simply looking at those $\underline{Q} = (Q_i) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for which all the Q_i are topological discs.

Proposition 3.4. *For any $(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, there is $(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that Q'_i is a topological disc for all $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $Q_i \subset Q'_i$ for $0 < i \leq n$, and there are isotopic subgraphs $G'(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ and $G'(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{n-1})$ of $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ and $G(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{n-1})$ such that $Q_i \subset G'(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{n-1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ with $Q'_i \neq Q_i$, and the isotopy between $G'(Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_i)$ and $G'(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_i)$ extends to the isotopy between $G'(Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ and $G'(Q_0, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{n-1})$ for all $0 \leq i < n-1$.*

This is not difficult. The main step is the following.

Lemma 3.5. *If e is any edge of $G_n(g) \setminus G(g)$, for any $g \in V(G(g_0))$ and any integer $n \geq 1$, then $e \cap g^{-m}(e) = \emptyset$ for any $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $n = 1$, because any edge e of $G_n(g) \setminus G(g)$ is contained in $g^{1-n}(e')$ for some edge e' of $G_1(g) \setminus G(g)$. So now we assume that e is an edge of $G_1(g) \setminus G(g)$. Now $G_1(g) = g^{-1}(G(g))$. So

$$g^{-m}(G_1(g) \setminus G(g)) = g^{-(m+1)}(G(g)) \setminus g^{-m}(G(g)).$$

So

$$g^{-m}(G_1(g) \setminus G(g)) \cap g^{-m}(G(g)) = \emptyset$$

for all $m \geq 0$. But $G(g) \subset g^{-1}(G(g)) = G_1(g)$, and hence $G(g) \subset g^{-m}(G(g))$ for all $m \geq 0$ and $G_1(g) \subset g^{-m}(G(g))$ for all $m \geq 1$. So

$$g^{-m}(G_1(g) \setminus G(g)) \cap G_1(g) = \emptyset$$

for all $m \geq 1$, as required. \square

Proof of the proposition. We prove this by induction on n . If $n = 1$ then there is nothing to prove, because $G(g)$ is isotopic to $G(g_0)$. So we assume it is true for $n-1 \geq 1$, and we need to prove that it is also true for n . If Q_n is a topological disc, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, there is a least $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that Q_i is not a topological disc. Then Q_i is an edge or point of $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1})$. Let $Q_i(g)$ be the corresponding isotopically varying edge or point of $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1})$ for $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, g_0)$. Fix such a g . Write $e = Q_i(g)$ if $Q_i(g)$ is an edge of $G_i(g)$. Otherwise, let e be an edge of $G_i(g)$ in

$\partial Q_{i-1}(g)$ which contains the point $Q_i(g)$. Let Q'_i be any closed topological disc such that $(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q'_i) \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ with $Q_i \subset Q'_i$. It has already been noted in 3.2 that if $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q_i, g_0)$ and $h \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q'_i, g_0)$ then $g^{-1}(G_i(g) \setminus Q_i(g))$ and $h^{-1}(G_i(h) \setminus Q_i(h))$ are isotopic. Then by 3.5, $e \cap g^{-m}(e) = \emptyset$ for all $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_i) \cup V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q'_i)$ and all $m > 0$. So $Q_\ell \cap g^{i-\ell}(e) = \emptyset$ for all $i < \ell \leq n$ and for all such g . For $i \leq \ell \leq n$ we choose a topological disc Q'_ℓ so that $(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q'_i \cdots Q'_\ell) \in \mathcal{Q}_\ell$ and $Q_\ell \subset Q'_\ell$. Once Q'_i has been chosen, the choice of Q'_ℓ for $\ell > i$ is unique. So then by induction on ℓ , we have that if $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_\ell, g_0)$ and $h \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1}, Q'_i \cdots Q'_\ell, g_0)$, then

$$G'_{\ell+1}(g) = g^{-1}(G'_\ell(g)) \setminus g^{-1}(Q_\ell) = G_{\ell+1}(g) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i}^{\ell} g^{-1-\ell+j}(Q_j(g))$$

and

$$G_{\ell+1}(h) = G_{\ell+1}(h) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i}^{\ell} h^{-1-\ell+j}(Q_j(h))$$

are isotopic. The claimed extension property holds, by construction. \square

The following lemma uses Thurston's theorem for critically finite branched coverings, and the set-up for this. Hopefully the explanation is sufficiently self-contained, but see [14] or [7] for more details. Two critically finite branched coverings f_0 and f_1 are said to be *Thurston equivalent* if there is a homotopy f_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) through critically finite branched coverings, such that the postcritical set $Z(f_t)$ varies isotopically for $t \in [0, 1]$. Thurston's theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a critically finite branched covering f of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ to be Thurston equivalent to a critically finite rational map. The rational map is then unique up to conjugation by a Möbius transformation. The condition is in terms of non-existence of loop sets in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus Z(f)$ with certain properties. In the case of degree two branched coverings, the criterion reduces to the non-existence of a *Levy cycle*, as is explained in the proof below.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n(g_0)$ where Q_n is a closed topological disc (that is, the closure of a component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$) if $n \geq 1$, or $Q_0 = Q_0(g_0)$ if $n = 0$) and that $\underline{Q} = (Q_i : 0 \leq i < N) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for $N > n + 1$, possibly $N = \infty$, with $Q_i \subset Q_n \cap G(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \cap \text{int}(Q_{n-1})$ for $i > n$ and such that $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i$ represents an eventually periodic point. Suppose that $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, [g_0]) \neq \emptyset$. Then $V(\underline{Q}, [g_0]) = \{g_1\}$ for some $g_1 \in V$.*

Remark 3.6.1. *Note that there is still no statement that $g_1 \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$. That will come later.*

Proof. Let $g \in V(Q_1, \dots, Q_n, [g_0])$. Then $G(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ is canonically homeomorphic to $G_{n+1}(g)$, and the homeomorphism carries $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i$ to a point z_0 in $G_{n+1}(g)$, which, like $v_2(g)$, is in $\text{int}(Q_{n-1}(g)) \cap Q_n(g)$. We can construct a path $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow Q_n(g) \cap \text{int}(Q_{n-1}(g))$ with $\beta(0) = v_2(g)$ and $\beta(1) =$

$\cap_{0 \leq i < N} Q_i(g) = z_0$. We can also choose β so that $\beta([0, 1]) \subset \text{int}(Q_n(g))$. The hypotheses ensure that

$$z_0 \in G_{n+1}(g) \setminus G_{n-1}(g) = (G_{n+1}(g) \setminus G_n(g)) \cup (G_n(g) \setminus G_{n-1}(g)).$$

The endpoint-fixing homotopy class of β is uniquely determined in

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{g^i(z_0) : i > 0\}.$$

This means that the Thurston-equivalence class of the post-critically finite branched covering $\sigma_\beta \circ g$ is well defined, where σ_β is a homeomorphism which is the identity outside an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of β and maps $\beta(0)$ to $\beta(1) = z_0$.

Then we claim that $\sigma_\beta \circ g$ is Thurston equivalent to a rational map. Since this is a branched covering of degree two, it suffices to prove the non-existence of a Levy cycle. By definition, a Levy cycle is an isotopy class of a collection of distinct and disjoint simple closed loops, where the isotopy is in the complement of the postcritical set. In the present case, it is convenient to consider isotopy in the complement of a potentially larger forward invariant set X consisting of the union of the forward orbits of z_0 , $c_1(g)$ and the vertices of $G_0(g)$. Thurston's Theorem adapts naturally to this setting. A Levy cycle for $\sigma_\beta \circ g$ is then the isotopy class in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$ of a finite set $\{\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ of distinct and disjoint simple closed loops, such that there is a component γ'_i of $(\sigma_\beta \circ g)^{-1}(\gamma_{i+1})$ (writing $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{r+1}$, so that this also makes sense if $i = r$), such that γ_i and γ'_i are isotopic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We consider the case when $z_0 \in \partial Q_n(g) \cap \text{int}(Q_{n-1}(g)) \subset G_n(g) \setminus G_{n-1}(g)$. The other case, when $z_0 \in G_{n+1}(g) \cap \text{int}(Q_n(g)) \subset G_{n+1}(g) \setminus G_n(g)$, can be dealt with similarly. The γ_i can also be chosen to have only transversal intersections with $G_{n-1}(g)$. We have $z_0 \notin G_{n-1}(g)$. So $(\sigma_\beta \circ g)^{-1}(G_{n-1}(g)) = g^{-1}(G_{n-1}(g)) = G_n(g)$. Now $(\sigma_\beta \circ g)^{-1}(\gamma_{i+1})$ has two components γ'_i and γ''_i , each of them mapped homeomorphically to γ_{i+1} by $\sigma_\beta \circ g$. Each transverse intersection between γ_i and $G_{n-1}(g)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$ lifts to two transverse intersections between $\gamma'_i \cup \gamma''_i$ and $G_n(g) \supset G_{n-1}(g)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\sigma_\beta \circ g)^{-1}(X)$, one of these intersections with γ'_i and one with γ''_i . Because of the isotopy between γ_i and γ'_i , the intersection on γ'_i must be in $G_{n-1}(g)$ and must be essential in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$. So this means that each arc on γ_{i+1} between essential intersections in $G_{n-1}(g)$ lifts to an arc on γ'_i between essential intersections in $G_{n-1}(g)$, and this arc can be isotoped in the complement of X to an arc on γ_i between essential intersections in $G_{n-1}(g)$. Since $g^{-1}(G_{n-j}(g) \setminus G_{n-j-1}(g)) = G_{n-j+1}(g) \setminus G_{n-j}(g)$, it follows by induction on $j \geq 1$ that all intersections between γ_i and $G_{n-1}(g)$ are in $G_0(g)$. So every arc of intersection of γ_i with $G_{n-1}(g)$ must be with $G_0(g)$, and in a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(g)$ adjacent to a vertex of $G_0(g) = G(g)$. If n is large enough, this is clearly impossible, because successive arcs are too far apart. But we can assume n is large enough to make this impossible, by replacing γ_i by γ_i^m if necessary, where $\gamma_i^0 = \gamma_i$ and $\gamma_i^1 = \gamma'_i$ and γ_i^{m+1} is isotopic to γ_i^m , obtained by lifting, under $\sigma_\beta \circ g$, the isotopy between γ_{i+1}^m and γ_{i+1}^{m-1} ,

writing $\gamma_1^m = \gamma_{r+1}^m$. It follows that all intersections between γ_i^m and $G_0(g)$ are in a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n+m-1}(g)$, adjacent to a vertex of $G_0(g)$. If m is large enough, this is, once again, impossible.

So Thurston's Theorem for critically finite branched coverings implies that $\sigma_\beta \circ g$ is Thurston equivalent to a unique rational map g_1 . From the definitions, we have $g_1 \in V(\underline{Q})$. By the uniqueness statement in Thurston's Theorem, we have $V(\underline{Q}) = \{g_1\}$. For if $g_2 \in V(\underline{Q})$ and $v_1(g_1) \in G_{m+1}(g) \setminus G_m(g_1)$ for $m = n$ or $n - 1$ then there is a homeomorphism φ of \mathbb{C} which maps $G_m(g_1)$ to $G_m(g_2)$ which conjugates dynamics of g_1 and g_2 on these graphs, and maps $v_2(g_1)$ to $v_2(g_2)$ and $g_1^i(v_1(g_1))$ to $g_2^i(v_1(g_2))$ for all $i \geq 0$. So $\varphi \circ g_1 \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and g_2 are homotopic through branched coverings which are constant on $G_m(g_2)$, and on the postcritical sets. \square

The following lemma, like the preceding one, gives a condition under which $V(\underline{Q}, [g])$ is nonempty. It has some overlap with the preceding one, but is of a rather different type. It uses the λ -Lemma of Mane, Sullivan and Sad [12] rather than Thurston's Theorem, and is a result about connected sets of maps rather than postcritically finite maps. 3.7 has no uniqueness statement. The two lemmas complement each other in the proof of 3.3.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $g_1 \in V([G(g_0)])$. Let $Q_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(g_1)$ and let $v_2(g_1) \in \text{int}(Q_{n-1}) \cap G_n(g_1)$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $V(\underline{Q}, g_1) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\underline{Q} = (Q'_i)$ with $Q'_i = Q_i$ for $i \leq n - 1$ such that $\cap_i Q_i$ is in the same component of $G_n(g_1) \cap \text{int}(Q_0) \cap Q_{n-1}$ as $v_2(g_1)$.*

Proof. From the hypotheses on g_1 , the graph $G_n(g)$ varies isotopically for

$$g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1} \cup \partial Q_{n-1}, g_1),$$

and the dynamics of maps in $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1} \cup \partial Q_{n-1}, g_1)$ are conjugate in the following sense. There is a homeomorphism

$$\varphi_{g,h} : G_n(h) \rightarrow G_n(g), \quad (g, h) \in (V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1} \cup \partial Q_{n-1}, g_1))^2,$$

such that the map $(g, h) \mapsto \varphi_{g,h}$ is continuous, using the uniform topology on the image and $\varphi_{g,h} \circ h = g \circ \varphi_{g,h}$ on $G_i(h)$, and $\varphi_{h,h}$ is the identity. Each preperiodic point in $G_n(g)$ varies holomorphically for $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, g_1)$, that is, $\varphi_{g,h}(z)$ varies holomorphically with g for each preperiodic point $z \in G_n(g_1)$. But preperiodic points are dense in $G_n(g_1)$. (For example, the backward orbits of vertices of $G_n(g_1)$ are dense in $G_n(g_1)$, by the expansion properties of g_1 on $G_n(g_1)$ established in 2.2.) It follows by the λ -Lemma [12] that $(z, g) \mapsto \varphi_{g,h}(z)$ is continuous in (z, g) , and holomorphic in $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}; g_1)$ for each $z \in G_n(g_1)$. (In fact it is also possible to prove this by standard hyperbolicity arguments.) Now we assume without loss of generality, conjugating by a Möbius transformation if necessary, that $Q_{n-1}(g) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}; g_1)$, in particular, $\{v_2(g)\} \cup (G_n(g) \cap Q_{n-1}(g)) \subset \mathbb{C}$. We consider the maps

$$\psi(z, g) = \varphi_{g,g_1}(z) - v_2(g)$$

for $z \in G_n(g_1) \cap Q_{n-1}(g_1)$. The map $(z, g) \mapsto \psi(z, g)$ is, once again, continuous in (z, g) and holomorphic in $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, g_1)$. Now write $z_0 = v_2(g_1)$, so that $z_0 \in G_n(g_1) \setminus G_{n-1}(g_1)$. The map $g \mapsto \psi(z_0, g)$ is holomorphic in g and the inverse image of a disc round 0 is a topological disc containing z_0 in its interior. By continuity, the same is true for z sufficiently near z_0 . Hence for all z sufficiently near z_0 , the map $g \mapsto \psi(z, g)$ has a zero. This argument shows that the set of $z \in Q_{n-1}(g_1) \cap \text{int}(Q_0) \cap G_n(g_1)$ for which $g \mapsto \psi(z, g) : V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a zero in $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ is open, because z_0 can be replaced by any other point z in $Q_{n-1}(g_0) \cap \text{int}(Q_0) \cap G_n(g_0)$. But the set is also closed in $\text{int}(Q_0(g_1)) \cap Q_{n-1}(g_1) \cap G_n(g_1)$. For suppose $\psi(z_k, g_k) = 0$ and $z_k \rightarrow z$. Then either some subsequence of g_k has a limit g , in which case $\psi(z, g) = 0$ for any such g , and the proof is finished, or $g_k \rightarrow \infty$ in V .

We now have to deal with the situation that $g_k \rightarrow \infty$ in V . In this case, we can assume that all z_k are in a single edge of $G_n(g_1)$. We will now show that this implies the existence of a Levy cycle for the unique map $h_1 \in G(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, Q'_n)$, where Q'_n is a vertex of $G_n(g_1) \setminus G_0(g_1)$. This contradicts the result of 3.6, and hence $g_k \rightarrow \infty$ is impossible. We use certain facts about the ends of V . These appear in Stimson's thesis [17] and in various other papers, for example [9]. Choosing suitable representatives of g_k up to Möbius conjugation, chosen, in particular, so that $c_1(g_k) = 1$ for all k , g_k converges to a periodic Möbius transformation $g(z) = e^{2\pi ir/q} z$ for some integer $q \geq 2$ and some $r \geq 1$ which is coprime to q , and the set $\{g_k^i(v_1(g_k)) : i \geq 0\} \cup \{v_2(g_k)\} = Z_1(g_k)$ converges $Z_1(g) = \{e^{2\pi ij/q} : 0 \leq j \leq q-1\}$. Let \bar{V} be the compactification of V obtained by adding the Möbius transformations at infinity and consider a fixed $g \in \bar{V} \setminus V$. The parametrisation can be chosen so that the other critical point $c_2(g_k) = 1 + \rho_k$ where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k = 0$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that g_k is in a single branch of V near g . Then $(g_k^q(1 + z\rho_k) - 1)/\rho_k$ has a limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for z bounded and bounded from $\frac{1}{2}$, which is the quadratic map

$$h : z \mapsto qa + z + \frac{1}{4(z - \frac{1}{2})}$$

for a constant $a \neq 0$.

Because of the nature of h , it follows that all the eventually periodic points of g_k whose forward orbits have size $\leq N$ lie in the $C|\rho_k|$ -neighbourhood of $Z(g)$, if k is sufficiently large given N , for a suitable constant C . We will call this neighbourhood U_1 . So if N is a bound on the number of vertices of $G_n(g_k)$ — which is, of course, the same for all k — then all vertices of $G_n(g_k)$ lie in U_1 , for all sufficiently large k . If the edge e of $G_n(g_k)$ between one vertex and $v_2(g_k)$ is contained in a single component of U_1 , then the boundary of U_1 provides a Levy cycle for h_1 , where Q'_n is taken to be this vertex, and this gives the required contradiction. Now $e \subset G_n(g_k) \setminus G(g_k)$, and we claim that $e \subset U_1$, up to isotopy preserving the set X which is the

union of the vertex set of $G_n(g_k)$ and the set $\{g_k^i(v_1(g_k)) : i \geq 0\}$. We consider only essential intersections between $G_n(g_k) \setminus G(g_k)$ and ∂U_1 under isotopies preserving X . If γ is an arc of essential intersection then it must be in the inverse image under g_k of an arc which contains one or more arcs of essential intersection. Since the number of such arcs is finite, each arc must be in the inverse image of exactly one other, and the inverse image of each arc contains exactly one other. But then each edge must be contained in a periodic edge of $G_n(g_k) \setminus G(g_k)$. But there are none. So there are no essential intersections with ∂U_1 . In particular, $e \subset U_1$ up to isotopy preserving X , as required. \square

Corollary 3.8. *For all $(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, if $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, [g_0]) \neq \emptyset$, then it is connected.*

Proof. By 3.7, if $g_1 \in V([G(g_0)])$, for any nonempty component $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, 1, g_1)$ of $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_1)$,

$$V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, 1, g_1) \cap V(\underline{Q}, g_1) \neq \emptyset$$

for any $\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that \underline{Q} extends (Q_0, \dots, Q_n) .

In particular, if $g_2 \in V(G([g_0]))$, possibly with $g_2 = g_1$, and $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, 2, g_2)$ is another component of $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, [g_0])$, then there is \underline{Q} with $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i$ representing an eventually periodic point such that $V(\underline{Q})$ which intersects both components. But this is impossible, because $V(\underline{Q}, [g_0])$ contains a single postcritically finite map. So $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, [g_0])$ is connected. \square

Lemma 3.9. *$V(\underline{Q}, g_0) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Hence $V([G(g_0)]) = V(G(g_0), g_0)$.*

Proof. By 3.7, $V(\underline{Q}, g_0) \neq \emptyset$ for all \underline{Q} with $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} Q_i \subset \partial Q_n$ for any $(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and such that $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n, g_0) \neq \emptyset$ with $Q_n \subset \text{int}(Q_0)$, because then $\partial Q_n \cap \text{int}(Q_0)$ is connected. This means that if $V(\underline{Q}) \cap V(G(g_0), g_0) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $V(\underline{Q}') \cap V(G(g_0), g_0) \neq \emptyset$ for any \underline{Q}' which can be connected to \underline{Q} by sets $\partial Q_{n_i}^i$, for varying n_i and $\underline{Q}^i = (Q_0^i \cdots Q_{n_i}^i)$ with $Q_{n_i}^i \subset \text{int}(Q_0)$. But any \underline{Q} and \underline{Q}' can be connected in this way.

The final statement of the lemma follows, using 3.8. \square

Lemma 3.10. *$V(\underline{Q}, [g_0]) = V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ is singleton, if there is $n \geq 1$ such that either $\bigcap_{i=0}^\infty Q_i(g) \subset G_n(g) \cap \text{int}(Q_0(g))$ or $\bigcap_{i=0}^\infty Q_i(g) = \underline{Q}(g) \subset \text{int}(Q_n(g))$ and such that $g^k(\underline{Q}(g)) \cap \text{int}(Q_n(g)) = \emptyset$ for all $k > 0$, and for at least one $g \in V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$.*

Proof. In both cases, the set $Q(g) = \bigcap_{i=0}^\infty Q_i(g)$ is well-defined for all $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$. It is a point, which follows from the result of [15] about non-persistently-recurrent points, but in any case the construction of a nested sequence of annuli of moduli bounded from 0 is straightforward. Moreover $z(g) = Q(g)$ is the limit of a sequence $z_\ell(g)$ of eventually periodic points in $G_\ell(g)$ with the same property of being defined for all $g \in V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)$.

Write $z(g_0) = \underline{Q}(g_0)$ and $z_\ell(g_0)$ for the sequence of eventually preperiodic points under g_0 with $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} z_\ell(g_0) = z(g_0)$. Then since $g \mapsto \psi(z_\ell(g_0), g)$ is holomorphic in g and has a single zero h_ℓ , the same is true for the limiting holomorphic function $g \mapsto \psi(z(g_0), g)$. The single zero is the unique point in $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$. \square

Now the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 3.11. *The complement of $\overline{V(\underline{Q}, g_0)}$ has exactly one component in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$ for all $\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, for $\underline{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ and $\underline{Q} = (Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that $Q_0 \setminus Q_n$ is connected..*

Proof. If $\underline{Q} = (Q_i : i \geq 0) \in \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ and the complement of $V(\underline{Q}, g_0)$ has more than one component in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$, then the same is true for the complement of $\overline{V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)}$, for some n . So it suffices to show that the complement of $V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)$ has at most one component in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$ for each $(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that $Q_0 \setminus Q_n$ is connected, as this holds automatically if n is sufficiently large. So suppose this is not true. Then $\partial V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)$ is disconnected, taking boundary as a subset of $V(G(g_0), g_0)$. But

$$\partial V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0) \subset V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n \setminus \partial Q_0, g_0),$$

Moreover, if we fix $h \in V(G(g_0), g_0)$, there is a continuous surjective map

$$\Phi : V(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}, \partial Q_n \setminus \partial Q_0, g_0) \rightarrow \partial Q_n(h) \setminus \partial Q_0(h),$$

defined by

$$\Phi(g) = \varphi_{g,h}^{-1}(v_2(g)),$$

where $\varphi_{g,h}$ is as in the proof of 3.7. By 3.7 to 3.9, $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(g))$ is connected for each g . In fact if $v_2(g)$ is eventually periodic, then this already follows from 3.6. Also, $\Phi(\partial V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)) \supset \partial Q_n(h) \setminus \partial Q_0(h)$ by the proof of 3.7. So if $\partial V(Q_0, \dots, Q_n, g_0)$ can be written as a disjoint union of two nonempty closed sets X_1 and X_2 in $V(G(g_0), g_0)$, we have $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X_1$, and similarly with X_1 and X_2 interchanged. So $\Phi(X_1)$ and $\Phi(X_2)$ are disjoint. Since X_j is closed and bounded (and hence compact), we see that $\Phi(X_j)$ is also closed (and bounded and compact). So then $\partial Q_n(h) \cap \text{int}(Q_0(h))$ is a union of two non-empty disjoint closed sets and is disconnected, giving a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L., Quasiconformal reflections, Acta Math., 109 (1963), 291-301.
- [2] Bonk, M. and Meyer, D., Expanding Thurston Maps, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Series, 225, 2017.
- [3] Bowen, Rufus, Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970) 725-747.
- [4] Bowen, Rufus, Markov partitions are not smooth, Proc. AMS 71 (1978) 130-132.
- [5] Brolin., H., Invariant Sets under Iteration of Rational Functions, Arkiv for Mathematik 6 (1965), 103-144.

- [6] Carleson, L. and Gamelin, T.D., *Complex Dynamics*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [7] Douady, A. and Hubbard, J.H., A proof of Thurston's topological characterization of rational functions. *Acta Math.*, 171 (1993), 263-297.
- [8] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E., Markov Cell Structures for Expanding Maps in Dimension Two, *Transactions AMS* 259 (1979), 315-327.
- [9] Kiwi, J. and Rees, M., Counting Hyperbolic Components, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 88 (3) (2013) 669-698.
- [10] Lehto, O., *Univalent Functions and Teichmüller spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1987
- [11] Lehto, O. and Virtanen, K.I., *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 126 (2nd edition) Springer-Verlag.
- [12] Mané, R., Sad, P., and Sullivan, D., On the dynamics of rational maps, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, (4) 16 (1983), 193-217.
- [13] Przytycki, F. and Urbanski, M., *Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods* LMS Lecture Note Series 371, CUP 2010.
- [14] Rees, M., Views of Parameter Space, *Topographer and Resident. Astérisque*, 288 (2003).
- [15] Roesch, P., Puzzles de Yoccoz pur les applications à l'allure rationnelle, *l'Enseignement Mathématique* 45 (1999) 133-168.
- [16] Shub, M., Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds, *Amer J. Math.* 91 (1969) 175-199.
- [17] Stimson, J., Degree two rational maps with a periodic critical point. Thesis, University of Liverpool, July 1993.
- [18] Willard, Stephen, *General Topology*, Dover Publications, 2004, reprint of the original 1970 edition

DEPT. OF MATH. SCI., UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, MATHEMATICS BUILDING, PEACH ST., LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, U.K.,

E-mail address: `maryrees@liv.ac.uk`