

Feynman's path integral to Ostrogradsky's Hamiltonian for Lagrangians with second derivatives

G. E. Hahne *

M. S. 258-6, NASA/Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, California 94035 USA

April 16, 2019

PACS Numbers: 03.65.Ca, 03.65.Db

Abstract

A calculation is presented that shows that Feynman's path integral method implies Ostrogradsky's Hamiltonian for nonsingular Lagrangians with second derivatives. The procedure employs the stationary phase approximation to obtain the limiting change of the wave function per unit time. By way of introduction, the method is applied anew to the case of nonsingular Lagrangians with only first derivatives, but not necessarily quadratic in the velocities. A byproduct of the calculation is an alternate derivation of the Legendre transformation for taking general classical Lagrangians into Hamiltonians. In both first and second derivative cases, the outcome contains precisely the classical Hamiltonian, which represents the so-called "symbol" of a (not necessarily Hermitean) pseudodifferential operator acting on the wave function at an instant of time. The derivation herein argues for a claim that Feynman's method starts with a classical Lagrangian and ends with a classical Hamiltonian—nonclassical operator-ordering prescriptions in the passage from classical to quantum Hamiltonians require external input and are generally not inherent in Feynman's path integral formalism.

1 Introduction

Ostrogradsky ([1], [2], [3]) gave a general formula for transforming a Lagrangian formalism to a canonical, Hamiltonian formalism for general Lagrangians containing derivatives up to n^{th} order for any chosen n . We shall herein specialize to otherwise general Lagrangians with $n = 1$ or $n = 2$. Feynman [4], [6] proposed a "sum over classical paths" as a means of obtaining the propagation

*Electronic address: Gerhard.E.Hahne@nasa.gov

kernel for Schrödinger’s wave function from one time to another later time. The number of applications of Feynman’s formalism, and of papers and books on the subject, is now huge—see [7], [8], [9], or any of the editions of [10], for examples and references. A quick rundown of the basic quantum-mechanical method is given in *Wikipedia* [11]. As a warmup for the main subject, we now derive the Hamiltonian for a conventional Lagrangian (up to first derivatives only) by the method to be applied in Sec. 2 for Lagrangians with up to second derivatives.

The conventional nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation for a Lagrangian L_1 (the subscript 1 means that at most first derivatives appear) comprising kinetic energy minus potential energy is derived à la Feynman in [12], p. 78, and in [13]. We shall follow a different procedure to this end, wherein the Legendre transformation appears as a consequence of the stationary phase approximation. This approximation was used in a mathematical treatment [14] of oscillatory integrals in infinitely many dimensions, this paper also discussed the classical limit of $L = T - V$ quantum mechanics as an asymptotic expansion in powers of \hbar^{-1} —see [14], Ch. 5. Schulman [15] made several uses of the stationary phase approximation, in particular, in obtaining a semiclassical approximation to the propagator for nonzero time intervals ([15], Ch. 14) for conventional $L = T - V$ Lagrangians.

Let time= t be the independent variable, and one-dimensional position $x(t)$ be the dependent variable in the dynamics. Dots, as in $\dot{x}(t)$, $\ddot{x}(t)$, and $\dddot{x}(t)$ stand for the first, second, and third derivatives, respectively, of $x(t)$ with respect to time. We are given a Lagrangian $L_1(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))$, a time interval $t_2 - \Delta \leq t \leq t_2$, and initial and final positions $x(t_2 - \Delta) = x_1$ and $x(t_2) = x_2$. For small $\Delta > 0$, $x(t)$ is approximately linear and $\dot{x}(t)$ constant:

$$x(t) \approx x_2 - \frac{(t_2 - t)}{\Delta}(x_2 - x_1), \quad (1a)$$

$$\dot{x}(t) \approx (x_2 - x_1)/\Delta. \quad (1b)$$

The action functional along this path is

$$S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1) = \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} dt L_1(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)). \quad (2)$$

According to Feynman, we have for the Schrödinger wave function $\psi(t_2, x_2)$ in terms of the wave function $\psi(t_2 - \Delta, x_1)$

$$\psi(t_2, x_2) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \sum_{(\text{paths})} \exp[(i/\hbar)S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1)] N_1 \psi(t_2 - \Delta, x_1), \quad (3)$$

where N_1 is a normalizing entity to be determined. Following [12], p. 77, we presume that to calculate the sum over kinematical paths, it is sufficient to take the single summand arising from the path (1a), provided that Δ is sufficiently small. (In [5], the path integral is formulated in a manner that does not involve Feynman’s “lattice approximation”; the procedure for both L_1 and L_2 used herein is a lattice approximation with a one-cell lattice.)

We now suppose that a wave function at any time t is given in terms of its wavenumber (i.e., momentum) k -space representation $\phi(t, k)$ as follows:

$$\psi(t, x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \exp(ikx) \phi(t, k). \quad (4)$$

Substituting (4) into the rhs of (3), and interchanging the order of integration, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t_2, x_2) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int dk \phi(t_2 - \Delta, k) \int dx_1 N_1 \\ &\quad \times \exp\{(i/\hbar)[S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1) + \hbar k x_1]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We undertake to estimate the integral over x_1 on the rhs of (5) by the stationary phase approximation [16]: we choose $(x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}$ so that

$$0 = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x_1}(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) + \hbar k. \quad (6)$$

Given the $(x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}$ such that (6) is satisfied, a quadratic expansion of S_1 in x_1 at this point will enable a small Δ estimate of the normalization factor N_1 by an integral of Gaussian type—see Appendix A.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1) &= \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} dt L_1(t_2 - (t_2 - t), x_2 - \dot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t), \dot{x}(t_2)) \\ &\approx \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} dt [L_1(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2)) - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2))(t_2 - t) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial x}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2))\dot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)] \\ &= L_1(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2))\Delta - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2))(\Delta^2/2) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial x}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2))\dot{x}(t_2)(\Delta^2/2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The variables t_2, Δ, x_2, x_1 are all independent, but from (1b) we have

$$\frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial x_1}(t_2) = -1/\Delta. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, applying (7) to (6), we have

$$0 = -\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \dot{x}}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}(t_2)) + \hbar k + O(\Delta) \quad (9)$$

as $\Delta \rightarrow 0^+$, where the solution, if it exists and is unique, to (9) with the correction term omitted, determines the stationary phase point $(x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}$. Note that we are assuming in obtaining (9) that for any fixed x_2 , $(x_2 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}})/\Delta = \dot{x}(t_2)$

tends to a finite value as $\Delta \rightarrow 0+$ (see (11), below). This is plausible on physical grounds: as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, the stationary phase point should converge linearly to x_2 in the limit. Given that (9) (to order Δ^0) can be solved for $\dot{x}(t_2)$ in terms of $t_2, x_2, \hbar k$: we infer that there is a unique function F such that

$$\dot{x}(t_2) = F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k), \quad (10)$$

and

$$(x_1)_{\text{s.p.}} = x_2 - \dot{x}(t_2)\Delta, = x_2 - F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)\Delta. \quad (11)$$

We effect the Legendre transformation from x, \dot{x} to canonical variables $x, \hbar k$, and from Lagrangian to Hamiltonian, by defining the Hamiltonian $H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)$ as

$$H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k) = \hbar k F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k) - L_1(t_2, x_2, F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)); \quad (12)$$

we find that the exponential factor on the rhs of (5) has the approximate form

$$\exp[ikx_2 - (i\Delta/\hbar)H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k) + iO(x_1 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}})^2]. \quad (13)$$

We shall discuss the remaining integral over the exponential of the quadratic in x_1 in Appendix A; we presume that the normalization entity N_1 cancels this integral, whereupon, to first order in Δ ,

$$\psi(t_2, x_2) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int dk [1 - (i\Delta/\hbar)H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)] \exp(ikx_2) \phi(t_2 - \Delta, k). \quad (14)$$

The limit $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ now entails

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t_2, x_2) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int dk H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k) \exp(ikx_2) \phi(t_2, k); \quad (15)$$

in the latter form, H_1 is the classical Hamiltonian and acts as the so-called symbol of a pseudodifferential operator (Ψ DO) on (implicitly) $\psi(t_2, x_2)$ — Ψ DO's are defined in many places, as *Wikipedia* [17]. Note, however, that the Ψ DO engendered by $H_1(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)$ is not necessarily Hermitean: for example, if H_1 contains a summand proportional to $x_2 \hbar k$ the resulting Ψ DO is not Hermitean, but the summand $(x_2 \hbar k - i\hbar/2)$ does yield a Hermitean operator. It is not possible, however, to get an imaginary contribution to the action function from a one-classical-path approximation suitable for infinitesimal times.

Feynman ([4], Ch. 6) used a different approach to obtaining the Schrödinger equation from the classical path method, wherein it was possible, at least in simple cases, to address the problem of operator ordering. A particular example was that of a nonrelativistic particle moving in an electromagnetic field represented by a vector potential. Suppose, however, that we start with the classical Lagrangian

$$L_1 = (m\dot{x}^2/2)(1 + \alpha^2 x^2)^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

which yields the classical Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = p^2(1 + \alpha^2 x^2)/(2m). \quad (17)$$

Suppose, furthermore, that the classical entity x^2p^2 is known to be represented by a certain Hermitean operator

$$[x^2p^2]_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow [(x^2p^2 + p^2x^2)/2 + \hbar\beta(xp + px)/2 + \hbar^2\gamma]_{\text{qm}}, \quad (18)$$

where β and γ are real and dimensionless, and determined by nonclassical physics. The stationary phase approximation advocated herein is not able to resolve operator-ordering problems; it seems fair to infer that Feynman's method, which begins with a classical Lagrangian, generally ends with a classical Hamiltonian rather than a quantum Hamiltonian.

To extract Schrödinger quantum mechanics from (15), operator ordering must be guided by physical and mathematical considerations external to the path integral formalism; then, the argument $\hbar k$ in H_1 can be replaced by $(\hbar/i)(\partial/\partial x_2)$, and the resulting H_1 operator taken outside the k -integral in (15). That is, we can obtain the usual Schrödinger equation (with subscripts dropped on t, x , and appropriate operator ordering specified)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t, x) = H_1(t, x, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\psi(t, x). \quad (19)$$

2 Lagrangians with second derivatives

In the case of a general Lagrangian $L_2(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$, the resulting variational principle yields a differential equation of fourth order, so that the four dependent variables $x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t), \dddot{x}(t)$ specify the state of a particle at time t . Ostrogradsky ([1],[2]) worked out a formalism that transforms from these variables to a canonical formalism with two pairs of canonically conjugate dependent variables $(q_1(t), p_1(t)), (q_2(t), p_2(t))$ and a Hamiltonian $H_2(t, q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2)$ such that the usual canonical equations of motion are satisfied. Ostrogradsky's procedure is as follows:

$$q_1 = x, \quad (20)$$

$$q_2 = \dot{x}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}, \\ &= \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t \partial \ddot{x}} - \dot{x} \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x \partial \ddot{x}} \\ &\quad - \ddot{x} \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} - \dddot{x} \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$p_2 = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}. \quad (23)$$

We presume that these equations can be inverted uniquely: $x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t), \dddot{x}(t)$ are given in terms of q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2 , as follows

$$x = q_1, \quad (24)$$

$$\dot{x} = q_2, \quad (25)$$

$$\ddot{x} = F_2(t, q_1, q_2, p_2) \quad (26)$$

$$\dddot{x} = F_1(t, q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2). \quad (27)$$

The Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_2(t, q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) = -L_2(t, q_1, q_2, F_2) + p_1 q_2 + p_2 F_2. \quad (28)$$

Note that on account of (20), (21), and (23), F_2 does not depend on p_1 , so that p_1 appears only linearly in H_2 : this outcome gives rise to the instability (see, e.g., [18], and references given therein) of the resulting classical and quantum mechanics, as the energy ($=H_2$) is unbounded both above and below.

We now proceed to show that the Feynman path integral yields a canonical formalism and Hamiltonian. We shall consider the time interval $t_2 - \Delta \leq t \leq t_2$ with $\Delta > 0$ but small. We also presume that Feynman's sum over paths can be approximated by a single summand, that associated with the cubic curve

$$x(t) = x(t_2) - \dot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \dddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6, \quad (29)$$

where the curve is chosen so as to satisfy the four end-conditions

$$x(t_2 - \Delta) = x_1, \quad (30)$$

$$\dot{x}(t_2 - \Delta) = \dot{x}_1, \quad (31)$$

$$x(t_2) = x_2, \quad (32)$$

$$\dot{x}(t_2) = \dot{x}_2. \quad (33)$$

We infer that

$$\ddot{x}(t_2) = -(6/\Delta^2)[(x_2 - x_1 - \Delta\dot{x}_2) + (\Delta/3)(\dot{x}_2 - \dot{x}_1)] \quad (34)$$

$$\dddot{x}(t_2) = -(12/\Delta^3)[(x_2 - x_1 - \Delta\dot{x}_2) + (\Delta/2)(\dot{x}_2 - \dot{x}_1)] \quad (35)$$

We shall need the following for later applications:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \ddot{x}(t_2) = 6/\Delta^2, \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1} \ddot{x}(t_2) = 2/\Delta, \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \dddot{x}(t_2) = 12/\Delta^3, \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1} \dddot{x}(t_2) = 6/\Delta^2, \quad (39)$$

Feynman's formula for the propagator in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\dot{x}_1 \sum_{(\text{paths})} \\ &\times \exp[(i/\hbar)S_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1)] N_2 \psi(t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In (40), N_2 is a normalizing entity discussed further in Appendix B, and we take the sum over paths to consist of the single summand derived from the path

(29):

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1) &= \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t)) dt \\
&= \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} dt \\
&\quad \times L_2(t_2 - (t_2 - t), x_2 - \dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6, \\
&\quad \dot{x}_2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2, \ddot{x}(t_2) - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)) \\
&\approx \int_{t_2 - \Delta}^{t_2} \{L_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2)) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial t}[-(t_2 - t)] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial x}[-\dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)] + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t \partial t}(t_2 - t)^2/2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x \partial x}[-\dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6]^2/2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \dot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2]^2/2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)]^2/2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t \partial x}[-(t_2 - t)][-\dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t \partial \dot{x}}[-(t_2 - t)][-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t \partial \ddot{x}}(t_2 - t)\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x \partial \dot{x}}[-\dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6] \\
&\quad \quad \times [-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x \partial \ddot{x}}[-\dot{x}_2(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2 - \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^3/6] \\
&\quad \quad \times [-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \ddot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t) + \ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)^2/2][-\ddot{x}(t_2)(t_2 - t)]\}. \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

We now carry out the integral over time, with the result (in the following rhs, we have discarded terms involving powers of Δ greater than, or equal to, four,

as these play a negligible role in the derivation due to (38))

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1) &= L_2(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2))\Delta - \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial t}\Delta^2/2 \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial x}[-\dot{x}_2\Delta^2/2 + \ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/6] + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}}[-\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^2/2 + \ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/6] \\
&- \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^2/2 + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t\partial t}[\Delta^3/6] + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x\partial x}\dot{x}_2^2\Delta^3/6 \\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{x}\partial \dot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)^2\Delta^3/6 + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}\partial \ddot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)^2\Delta^3/6 \\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t\partial x}\dot{x}_2\Delta^3/3 + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t\partial \dot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/3 \\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial t\partial \ddot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/3 + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x\partial \dot{x}}\dot{x}_2\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/3 \\
&+ \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial x\partial \ddot{x}}\dot{x}_2\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/3 + \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \dot{x}\partial \ddot{x}}\ddot{x}(t_2)\ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^3/3\}. \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi(k, k'; t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1) &= \hbar k x_1 + \hbar k' \dot{x}_1 \\
&+ S_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1). \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the momentum representation of the initial wave function, Feynman's formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int dk \int dk' \phi(t_2 - \Delta, k, k') N_2 \int dx_1 \int d\dot{x}_1 \\
&\times \exp[(i/\hbar)\Xi(k, k'; t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1)]. \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the inner double integral by the stationary phase approximation. The stationary phase point $((x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}})$, which we assume exists and is unique, is determined by the two equations

$$\frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial x_1}(k, k'; t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) = 0, \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial \dot{x}_1}(k, k'; t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) = 0. \tag{46}$$

We now calculate the partial derivatives in (45) and (46), using (36)–(39) and (42). We assume that for (x_1, \dot{x}_1) kept at the (Δ -dependent) stationary phase point as Δ decreases to zero with $k, k', t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2$ fixed, then $\ddot{x}(t_2)$ and $\ddot{\dot{x}}(t_2)$ of (34), (35) both tend to a finite limit; correspondingly, we neglect terms of order Δ^1 , Δ^2 , and Δ^3 in the equations resulting from (45) and (46) (more terms will

be dropped later as appropriate). We then infer from (45) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \hbar k + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2))(6/\Delta) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial t}(-3) \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial x}(-3\dot{x}_2) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \dot{x}}(-3\ddot{x}(t_2)) \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}}(-3+2) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \dot{x}}(-3\ddot{x}(t_2)) \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2))(-6/\Delta) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}}(4\ddot{x}(t_2)) \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial t}(4) + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial x}(4\dot{x}_2) \\
&+ \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \dot{x}}(4\ddot{x}(t_2)) + O(\Delta); \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

the terms in Δ^{-1} cancel, so that, consolidating results and taking $\Delta = 0$, we obtain (22):

$$\hbar k = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}. \tag{48}$$

We also have from (46)

$$0 = \hbar k' + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}_2}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2))(2-3) + O(\Delta), \tag{49}$$

that is, for $\Delta = 0$,

$$\hbar k' = \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2), \tag{50}$$

which is (23).

We solve (50) for $\ddot{x}(t_2)$ in terms of k', t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2 and then (48) for $\ddot{x}(t_2)$ in terms of $k, k', t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2$:

$$\ddot{x}(t_2) = F_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k'), \tag{51}$$

$$\ddot{x}(t_2) = F_1(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k, \hbar k'), \tag{52}$$

as in (26), (27). We can now render self-consistent the previously assumed finite limits of (34) and (35) as $\Delta \rightarrow 0+$: Turning these equations around, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}} - \Delta \dot{x}_2 &= -(\Delta^2/2)\ddot{x}(t_2) + (\Delta^3/6)\ddot{x}(t_2) \\
&\approx -(\Delta^2/2)F_2 + (\Delta^3/6)F_1, \tag{53}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{x}_2 - (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}} = \Delta \ddot{x}(t_2) - (\Delta^2/2)\ddot{x}(t_2) \approx \Delta F_2 - (\Delta^2/2)F_1; \tag{54}$$

therefore, if we substitute the rhs's of (53) and (54), which give the presumed limiting values of $\dot{x}(t_2)$ and $\ddot{x}(t_2)$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, into (34) and (35), we infer that the rhs's of (34) and (35) tend to finite limits as $\Delta \rightarrow 0+$.

At the stationary phase point, the first derivatives of Ξ with respect to x_1 and \dot{x}_1 vanish, and we estimate the integral over x_1 and \dot{x}_1 in (44) using a quadratic

approximation to Ξ in the variables $(x_1 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}})$ and $(\dot{x}_1 - (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}})$. We shall consider the double integral involving the quadratic term, which cancels the normalization factor, in Appendix B; the zeroth order term to order Δ^1 leads to the classical Hamiltonian, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi(k, k'; t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) &= \hbar k(x_2 - \dot{x}_2 \Delta) + \hbar k'(\dot{x}_2 - \ddot{x}(t_2) \Delta) \\ &+ L_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2)) \Delta \\ &= \hbar k x_2 + \hbar k' \dot{x}_2 - H_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, F_1, F_2) \Delta,\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

where we have defined

$$H_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k, \hbar k') = -L_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) + \hbar k \dot{x}_2 + \hbar k' F_2. \quad (56)$$

To first order in Δ , therefore, (44) comes down to

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int dk \int dk' \exp[ikx_2 + ik'\dot{x}_2] \\ &\times [1 - (i\Delta/\hbar)H_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k, \hbar k')] \phi(t_2 - \Delta, k, k'),\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int dk \int dk' \exp[ikx_2 + ik'\dot{x}_2] \\ &\times H_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k, \hbar k') \phi(t_2, k, k'),\end{aligned}\quad (58)$$

The latter manifests Ostrogradsky's classical Hamiltonian H_2 as the symbol of a Ψ DO. As in Sec. 1, (15), *et seq.*, the operator defined by H_2 is not necessarily Hermitean. We must be guided here by considerations external to (at least) the one-classical-path approximation: that is, with appropriate operator ordering, we can express $\hbar k, \hbar k'$ in H_2 as differential operators and factor the resulting H_2 operator out of the integral, whereupon, dropping subscripts on t, x, \dot{x} , we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t, x, \dot{x}) = H_2(t, x, \dot{x}, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}) \psi(t, x, \dot{x}). \quad (59)$$

This is the Schrödinger equation (not necessarily uniquely) associated with Ostrogradsky's Hamiltonian.

Appendix A: Normalization with Lagrangian L_1

In order to complete the stationary phase approximation and estimate N_1 in (5), we need to compute the second-order term in the exponent of (5) at the stationary phase point. We use (10) to find

$$\begin{aligned}S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1) &\approx S_1(t_2, x_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \dot{x}}(t_2, x_2, F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)) \\ &\times (x_1 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}})^2 (2\Delta)^{-1}.\end{aligned}\quad (A1)$$

The normalization factor N_1 is therefore

$$N_1 = \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \dot{x} \partial \dot{x}}(t_2, x_2, F(t_2, x_2, \hbar k)) / (2\pi i \hbar \Delta) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the algebraic sign of the second derivative of L_1 must be accounted for. Unless L_1 is quadratic in the velocity, the entity N_1 is itself a nontrivial Ψ DO; hence its function in (3) needs elaboration, which we shall not pursue here. We presume that the second derivative of L_1 is slowly varying in x_1 as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ so that consistent with the stationary phase approximation [16], we have evaluated this entity at the stationary phase point.

Appendix B: Normalization with Lagrangian L_2

Analogous to Appendix A, the normalization factor N_2 in (44) will be chosen to cancel the integral over x_1, \dot{x}_1 arising from the second-order contribution in $(x_1 - (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}), (\dot{x}_1 - (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}})$ to the function Ξ of (43). We shall keep only the dominant term in a negative power of Δ in each of the derivatives of S_2 of (42): the three terms in (42) that provide such contributions comprise

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, x_1, \dot{x}_1) &\approx L_2(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}(t_2))\Delta - \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \ddot{x}} \ddot{x}(t_2)\Delta^2/2 \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \ddot{x}(t_2)^2 \Delta^3/6. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

The computations yield

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) \approx \frac{12}{\Delta^3} \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \right], \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_2}{\partial x_1 \partial \dot{x}_1}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) \approx -\frac{6}{\Delta^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \right], \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_2}{\partial \dot{x}_1 \partial \dot{x}_1}(t_2, x_2, \dot{x}_2; t_2 - \Delta, (x_1)_{\text{s.p.}}, (\dot{x}_1)_{\text{s.p.}}) \approx \frac{4}{\Delta} \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \right], \quad (\text{B4})$$

We define \mathcal{D} as the determinant of the 2×2 matrix of second derivatives:

$$\mathcal{D} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial^2 S_2 / \partial x_1 \partial x_1 & \partial^2 S_2 / \partial x_1 \partial \dot{x}_1 \\ \partial^2 S_2 / \partial \dot{x}_1 \partial x_1 & \partial^2 S_2 / \partial \dot{x}_1 \partial \dot{x}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$= \frac{12}{\Delta^4} \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}}(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2, F_2(t, x_2, \dot{x}_2, \hbar k')) \right]^2. \quad (\text{B6})$$

The normalization N_2 is the reciprocal of the integral of the phase:

$$N_2 = \mathcal{D}^{1/2} / (2\pi i \hbar) = (12)^{1/2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \ddot{x} \partial \ddot{x}} \right] / (2\pi i \hbar \Delta^2), \quad (\text{B7})$$

where, as in (A2), the algebraic sign of the second derivative of L_2 must be taken into account.

References

- [1] M. V. Ostrogradsky, Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg 6 (4), 385 (1850).
- [2] E. T. Whittaker, *A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1960), 4th edition, p. 266.
- [3] J. Z. Simon, Phys. Rev. D41, 3720 (1990).
- [4] R. P. Feynman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 367 (1948).
- [5] C. DeWitt-Morette, A. Maheshwari, and B. Nelson, Phys. Reports 50, 255 (1979).
- [6] L. M. Brown (editor), *Feynman's Thesis*, World Scientific, Singapore (2005); also contains [4] and Dirac's 1933 paper with the motivating suggestions: P. A. M. Dirac, Physikal. Zeitschr. d. Sowjetunion 3, 64 (1933).
- [7] C. Grosche, *An Introduction into the Feynman Path Integral*, arXiv:hep-th/9302097v1 (cited June 22, 2013).
- [8] M. C. Gutzwiller, Am. J. Phys. 66, 304 (1998); Resource Letter: see items 71–73 and 158–168.
- [9] R. Rosenfelder, *Pfadintegrale in der Quantenphysik*, arXiv:1209.1315v2 [nucl-th] (cited June 21, 2013).
- [10] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, World Scientific, Singapore (2004), 3rd edition.
- [11] anonymous author, *Wikipedia: Path Integral Formulation* (cited Sep. 08, 2012).
- [12] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
- [13] D. Derbes, Am. J. Phys. 64, 881 (1996).
- [14] S. Albeverio and R. Höegh-Krohn, Inventiones Math. 40, 59 (1977).
- [15] L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*, Wiley, New York (1981).
- [16] anonymous author, *Wikipedia: Stationary Phase Approximation* (cited Sep. 08, 2012).
- [17] anonymous author, *Wikipedia: Pseudodifferential Operators*, Eq. (2) (cited Sep. 08, 2012).
- [18] T.-j. Chen, M. Fasiello, E. A. Lim, and A. J. Tolley, *Higher derivative theories with constraints: exorcising Ostrogradski's ghost*, arXiv:1209.0583v4 [hep-th] (cited June 22, 2013).