

LARGE DATA LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR A CLASS OF KDV-TYPE EQUATIONS II

BENJAMIN HARROP-GRIFFITHS

ABSTRACT. We consider the Cauchy problem for an equation of the form

$$(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)u = F(u, u_x, u_{xx})$$

where F is a polynomial with no constant or linear terms and no quadratic uu_{xx} term. For a polynomial nonlinearity with no quadratic terms, Kenig-Ponce-Vega proved local well-posedness in H^s for large s . In this paper we prove local well-posedness in low regularity Sobolev spaces and extend the result to certain quadratic nonlinearities. The result is based on spaces and estimates similar to those used by Marzuola-Metcalf-Tataru for quasilinear Schrödinger equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)u = F(u, u_x, u_{xx}) & u: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where we assume F is a constant coefficient polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$ with no constant or linear terms.

It is natural to consider well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. However, due to the infinite speed of propagation, even a linear equation

$$(\partial_t + \partial_x^3 + a(x)\partial_x^2)u = 0$$

where a is smooth with bounded derivatives requires a Mizohata-type necessary condition for L^2 well-posedness [1, 12, 14]

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{x_1 \leq x_2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} a(x) dx < \infty$$

So at the very least, when F contains a term of the form uu_{xx} we expect any solution u to (1.1) to require some additional integrability. Indeed, an ill-posedness result in H^s was proved by Pilod [13]. Local well-posedness was established using weighted spaces $H^s \cap L^2(|x|^k dx)$ for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $s > 0$ by Kenig-Ponce-Vega [5, 6] and in the case of systems by Kenig-Staffilani [8]. Several authors have considered quasilinear versions of the problem for which (1.1) is a special case (see [1], [3] and references therein).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q53, 35G25.

By replacing weighted spaces with a spatial summability condition, Marzuola-Metcalf-Tataru [10] proved a small data result for quasilinear Schrödinger equations in a translation invariant subspace $l^1 H^s \subset H^s$ using a similar space to one suggested in [7]. In [4] the author adapted this result to (1.1) and using a similar method to Bejenaru-Tataru [2] was able to prove the result for large initial data.

As in [5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11] we expect to be able to consider initial data in H^s when F contains no quadratic terms. In fact, the Mizohata condition (1.2) and ill-posedness results of Pilod [13] suggest that we should be able to establish well-posedness provided F contains no quadratic uu_{xx} term. Our main result is that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose F contains no terms of the form uu_{xx} . Then for all $s > \frac{9}{2}$ there exists some $C > 0$ such that the equation (1.1) is locally well-posed in H^s on the time interval $[0, T]$ where $T = e^{-C\|u_0\|_{H^s}}$.*

We take the definition of “well-posedness” to be the existence and uniqueness of a solution $u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ to (1.1) and Lipschitz continuity of the solution map

$$H^s \ni u_0 \mapsto u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

Remark 1.2. In the case that u is complex valued, we consider “terms of the form uu_{xx} ” to include the terms $\bar{u}u_{xx}, u\bar{u}_{xx}, \bar{u}\bar{u}_{xx}$. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will ignore complex conjugates, but it will be clear from the proof that F can be a polynomial in $u, \bar{u}, u_x, \bar{u}_x, u_{xx}, \bar{u}_{xx}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to [4] with key new ingredients being trilinear estimates similar to those proved in [11] and a linear estimate for a system of frequency localised equations. As our function spaces are adapted to the unit time interval, following Bejenaru-Tataru [2], we split the data into low and high frequency components. The low frequency component of the initial data u_0^l is essentially stationary on the unit interval so we fix it at $t = 0$ and solve an equation for the high frequency part of the solution $v = u - u_0^l$,

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)v = \tilde{F}(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) \\ v(0) = u_0^h = u_0 - u_0^l \end{cases}$$

By rescaling the initial data we can ensure the high frequency component of the initial data u_0^h is small and hence we can solve for v using a perturbative argument. The Mizohata condition (1.2) suggests that the quadratic terms involving v_{xx} behave in a quasilinear manner. In order to handle this, we use a paradifferential decomposition similar to Marzuola-Metcalf-Tataru

[10, 11] to break the equation into a system of frequency localised equations of the form

$$(1.3) \quad (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - \partial_x a_{<j} \partial_x^2) v_j = f_j$$

As in [4], we solve this by conjugating the principal part by a suitable exponential term and find an approximate solution by solving a linear Airy equation.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we define the function spaces used to prove Theorem 1.1 and prove a number of estimates. In Section 3 we prove estimates for the system of frequency localised equations (1.3). In Section 4 we discuss the splitting of the initial data and rescaling. In Section 5 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. While this result covers the case of the KdV, mKdV and gKdV, it is far from the best known results for these equations and we refer the reader to [9] for a summary of results and references.

However, as in [4], even in the case of nonlinearities involving u_{xx} with which we are primarily concerned, we are able to relax the assumption $s > \frac{9}{2}$ to $s > s_0$ where s_0 is determined by the structure of F as follows.

s_0	F contains terms of the form	
$\frac{1}{2}$	u^{α_0}	
1	$u^{\alpha_0} u_x$	$\alpha_0 \geq 2$
$\frac{3}{2}$	$u^{\alpha_0} u_x^{\alpha_1}$	$\alpha_0 \geq 1$
	$u^{\alpha_0} u_x^{\alpha_1} u_{xx}$	$\alpha_0 \geq 2$
2	$u_x^{\alpha_1}$	$\alpha_1 \geq 3$
$\frac{5}{2}$	$u^{\alpha_0} u_x^{\alpha_1} u_{xx}^{\alpha_2}$	$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \geq 2$
$\frac{7}{2}$	$u^{\alpha_0} u_x^{\alpha_1} u_{xx}^{\alpha_2}$	$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq 3$
$\frac{9}{2}$	$u_{xx}^{\alpha_2}$	

A key difference to [4] is that by taking initial data in H^s rather than $l^1 H^s$ we do not have additional restrictions imposed by rescaling the initial data.

2. FUNCTION SPACES AND ESTIMATES

2.1. Definitions. We take a standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S_j$$

constructed by taking smooth $\varphi_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\varphi_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \xi \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

We then define, for $j > 0$

$$\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi_0(2^{-j}\xi) - \varphi_0(2^{-j+1}\xi)$$

and

$$f_j = S_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_j \hat{f})$$

where $\mathcal{F}u = \hat{u}$ is the spatial Fourier transform. We also use the notation

$$f_{<j} = S_{<j} f = \sum_{k < j} S_k f \quad f_{\geq j} = S_{\geq j} f = \sum_{k \geq j} S_k f$$

Given a Fourier multiplier S_j that localises to frequencies $\sim 2^j$ we define \tilde{S}_j to be a Fourier multiplier that localises to frequencies $\sim 2^j$ and satisfies $S_j \tilde{S}_j = \tilde{S}_j S_j = S_j$.

For each $j \geq 0$ we take \mathcal{Q}_{2^j} to be a partition of \mathbb{R} into intervals of length 2^{2j} and

$$1 = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{2^j}} \chi_Q^2$$

to be a smooth square partition of unity such that $\chi_Q \sim 1$ on Q and $\text{supp } \chi_Q \subset B(Q, \frac{1}{2})$. For a Sobolev-type space U we define

$$\|u\|_{l_{2^j}^2 U}^2 = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{2^j}} \|u \chi_Q\|_U^2$$

and

$$\|u\|_{l_{2^j}^\infty U} = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{2^j}} \|u \chi_Q\|_U$$

We define the $l^2 H^s$ norm by

$$\|u\|_{l^2 H^s}^2 = \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2js} \|S_j u\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L^2}^2$$

and note that $\|u\|_{H^s} \sim \|u\|_{l^2 H^s}$.

By replacing the partition of unity by a frequency localised version we have a Bernstein-type inequality for $r \in [1, \infty]$ and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$

$$(2.1) \quad \|S_j u\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^r L_x^q} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|S_j u\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^r L_x^p}$$

We define the local energy space X (see [7] Remark 3.7) with norm

$$\|u\|_X = \sup_{l \geq 0} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_l} 2^{-l/2} \|u\|_{L^2([0,1] \times Q)}$$

and look for solutions in the space $l^2 X^s \subset C([0, 1], H^s)$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{l^2 X^s}^2 = \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2js} \|S_j u\|_{l_{2^j}^2 X_j}^2$$

where

$$\|u\|_{X_j} = 2^j \|u\|_X + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$$

We note that we have the low frequency estimate

$$(2.2) \quad \|S_0 u\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \|S_0 u\|_{l^2 L_t^\infty H_x^s}$$

We define the atomic space Y such that $Y^* = X$ (see [10] Proposition 2.1) with atoms a such that there exists some $l \geq 0$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_l$ with $\text{supp } a \subset [0, 1] \times Q$ and

$$\|a\|_{L^2([0,1] \times Q)} \lesssim 2^{-l/2}$$

and with norm

$$\|f\|_Y = \inf \left\{ \sum |c_k| : f = \sum c_k a_k, a_k \text{ atoms} \right\}$$

We define

$$\|f\|_{Y_j} = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} \left(2^{-j} \|f_1\|_Y + \|f_2\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \right)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{l^2 Y^s}^2 = \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2js} \|S_j f\|_{l^2_{2^j} Y_j}^2$$

2.2. Bilinear estimates.

Proposition 2.1.

a) (Algebra estimates) For $s > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|uv\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 H^s} \|v\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|uv\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^s} \|v\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

b) (Bilinear estimate) For $\alpha + \beta > s + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq s - 1$,

$$(2.5) \quad \|uv\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|v\|_{l^2 X^\beta}$$

c) (Frequency localised bilinear estimates I) For $\alpha + \beta > s + \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.6) \quad \|S_{<j-4} u S_j v\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \quad \beta \geq s - 1$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|S_j (S_{\geq j-4} u S_{\geq j-4} v)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(s+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-\beta)j} \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0$$

d) (Frequency localised bilinear estimates II) For $s \geq 0$ and $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.8) \quad \|S_{<j-4} u S_j v\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 H^\sigma} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|S_{<j-4} u S_j v\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|S_j (S_{\geq j-4} u S_{\geq j-4} v)\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim 2^{-j/2} \|u\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|v\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \|S_{<j-4} u S_j v\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 Y^s}$$

Proof. a) The estimate (2.3) follows from the fact that the H^s and $l^2 H^s$ norms are equivalent.

For (2.4) we use the Littlewood-Paley trichotomy and consider terms of the form $S_k(S_i u S_j v)$.

High-low interactions. $|i - k| < 4$, $j < k - 4$. Using Bernstein's inequality (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^k}^2 X_k} &\lesssim \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^i}^2 X_i} \|S_j v\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j/2} \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^i}^2 X_i} \|S_j v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric low-high interaction is similar.

High-high interactions. $|i - j| \leq 4$, $i, j \geq k - 4$. Using Bernstein's inequality (2.1), Cauchy-Schwarz and switching interval size we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^k}^2 X_k} &\lesssim 2^{k/2} \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^k}^2 X_k} \|S_j v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{i-k/2} \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^i}^2 X_i} \|S_j v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

b) We note that for all j

$$\|f\|_{l_{2^k}^2 Y_k} \lesssim \|f\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_{t,x}^2}$$

High-low interactions. $|i - k| < 4$, $j < k - 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^k}^2 Y_k} &\lesssim \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^j}^\infty L_{t,x}^2} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_{t,x}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} 2^{-i} \|S_i u\|_{X_i} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^\infty L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric low-high interaction is similar.

High-high interactions. $|i - j| \leq 4$, $i, j \geq k - 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^k}^2 Y_k} &\lesssim \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k/2} \|S_i u S_j v\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^1 L_x^1} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k/2} \|S_i u\|_{l_{2^j}^\infty L_{t,x}^2} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k/2} \|S_i u\|_{X_i} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^j}^2 L_t^\infty L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

c) The estimates (2.6), (2.7) follow from the proof of part (b).

d) For (2.8) we take $i < k - 4$, $|j - k| < 4$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k(S_i u S_j v)\|_{l_{2^k}^2 L^2} &\lesssim \|S_i u\|_{L^\infty} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^k}^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{i/2} \|S_i u\|_{L^2} \|S_j v\|_{l_{2^k}^2 L^2} \end{aligned}$$

The estimates (2.9), (2.11) are identical. The estimate (2.10) follows from the proof of (2.4). □

As a consequence of the algebra estimates (2.3), (2.4) and the bilinear estimates (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2.

a) (Estimates with an exponential) For $s > \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.12) \quad \|e^a u\|_{l^2 H^s} \leq e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 H^s}} \|u\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|e^a u\|_{l^2 X^s} \leq e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^s}} \|u\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

b) (Frequency localised estimates with an exponential) For $s \geq 0$ and $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.14) \quad \|S_{<j-4}(e^a) S_j u\|_{l^2 H^s} \leq e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 H^\sigma}} \|S_j u\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|S_{<j-4}(e^a) S_j u\|_{l^2 X^s} \leq e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|S_j u\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|S_{<j-4}(e^a) S_j f\|_{l^2 Y^s} \leq e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|S_j f\|_{l^2 Y^s}$$

2.3. Trilinear estimates.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > s + 1$.

a) (Trilinear Estimate) If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq s - 2$ and $\alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma, \gamma + \alpha > s - \frac{1}{2}$ then,

$$(2.17) \quad \|uvw\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

b) (Frequency Localised Trilinear Estimate) If $j \leq k$, $\gamma \geq s - 2$ and $\beta + \gamma > s - \frac{1}{2}$ then,

$$(2.18) \quad \|S_{<j-4} u S_j v S_k w\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

The proof relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $i \leq j \leq k \leq l$ then

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{[0,1]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_i v_j w_k z_l dx dt \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}i + \frac{3}{2}j - k - l} \|u_i\|_{l^2_{2^i} X_i} \|v_j\|_{l^2_{2^j} X_j} \|w_k\|_{l^2_{2^k} X_k} \|z_l\|_{l^2_{2^l} X_l}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint u_i v_j w_k z_l dx dt &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{2^i}} \iint u_i \chi_Q v_j \chi_Q w_k z_l dx dt \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{2^i}} \|u_i \chi_Q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|v_j \chi_Q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \right) \|w_k\|_{l^\infty_{2^i} L^2_{t,x}} \|z_l\|_{l^\infty_{2^i} L^2_{t,x}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_i\|_{l^2_{2^i} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|v_j\|_{l^2_{2^j} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|w_k\|_{l^\infty_{2^i} L^2_{t,x}} \|z_l\|_{l^\infty_{2^i} L^2_{t,x}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{5}{2}i + \frac{1}{2}j - k - l} \|u_i\|_{l^2_{2^i} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|v_j\|_{l^2_{2^j} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|w_k\|_{X_k} \|z_l\|_{X_l} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}i + \frac{3}{2}j - k - l} \|u_i\|_{l^2_{2^i} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|v_j\|_{l^2_{2^j} L^\infty_{t,x}} \|w_k\|_{l^2_{2^k} X_k} \|z_l\|_{l^2_{2^l} X_l} \end{aligned}$$

□

To complete the proof of (2.17) we use that with respect to L^2 duality $(l_{2l}^2 Y_l)^* = l_{2l}^2 X_l$. We consider terms of the form $S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)$ and by symmetry we may assume $i \leq j \leq k$. The non-zero interactions can be divided into the following cases.

Case 1. $|l-k| < 4$. We use the above estimate and the fact that $\gamma \geq s-2$ to get

$$\|S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{2}-\alpha)i} 2^{(s-\frac{1}{2}-\beta-\gamma)j} \|S_i u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

If $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{2}$ then we use that $\beta + \gamma > s - \frac{1}{2}$. If $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$ then summing over $i \leq j$ we have

$$\sum_{i \leq j} \|S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(s+1-\alpha-\beta-\gamma)j} \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

Case 2. $k-j < 4$, $i \leq l \leq k-4$. Switching the roles of z_l and v_j in Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\|S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(\frac{3}{2}-\alpha)i} 2^{(s-\frac{1}{2}-\beta-\gamma)l} \|S_i u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

and a similar argument to Case 1 gives that the sum converges.

Case 3. $k-j < 4$, $l \leq i \leq k-4$. Switching the roles of z_l and u_i in Lemma 2.4

$$\|S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(s+\frac{3}{2})l} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+\beta+\gamma)i} \|S_i u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

so summing over $i \geq l$

$$\sum_{i \geq l} \|S_l(S_i u S_j v S_k w)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{(s+1-\alpha-\beta-\gamma)l} \|u\|_{l^2 X^\alpha} \|S_j v\|_{l^2 X^\beta} \|S_k w\|_{l^2 X^\gamma}$$

The frequency localised estimate (2.18) follows from the proof of (2.17).

2.4. Commutator estimates.

Proposition 2.5. *For $s \geq 0$ and $\sigma > \frac{7}{2}$, we have the estimate*

$$(2.20) \quad \|[S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x^2 u\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|\tilde{S}_j u\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

Proof. Due to the frequency localisation we can replace u by $\tilde{S}_j u$ and write

$$[S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x^2 \tilde{S}_j u = \partial_x [S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x \tilde{S}_j u - [S_j, \partial_x^2 a_{<j-4}] \partial_x \tilde{S}_j u$$

The second commutator can be estimated by the bilinear estimate (2.6). To estimate the first commutator, as in [10] Proposition 3.2, we can write

$$\partial_x [S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x \tilde{S}_j u = C(\partial_x a_{<j-4}, \partial_x \tilde{S}_j u)$$

for a disposable operator

$$C(f, g) = \iint f(x+y)g(x+z)w(y, z) dydz \quad \|w\|_{L^1} \lesssim 1$$

and then use the bilinear estimate (2.6). □

3. LINEAR ESTIMATES

3.1. The Airy equation. We consider the linear equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)u = f \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

An identical argument to [4] Proposition 4.3 gives the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *For $s \geq 0$, the linear equation (3.1) is well-posed in H^s on the time interval $[0, 1]$ with the estimate*

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f\|_{l^2 Y^s}$$

3.2. A paradifferential equation. We define the paraproduct

$$T_a u = \sum_{j \geq 0} S_{\langle j-4 \rangle} a S_j u$$

and consider the equation

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a} \partial_x^2)u = f \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

The equation (3.3) is a system of equations for the frequency localised components u_j . We construct approximate solutions to each of these frequency localised equations by conjugating the linear operator by a suitable exponential term, similar to [2]. We then use these approximate solutions to construct a solution to (3.3). The main result we prove is the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \geq 0$, $\sigma > \frac{7}{2}$ and $a \in l^2 X^\sigma$. Then there exists $\delta = \delta(s, \sigma, \|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) > 0$ such that if a satisfies the estimates*

$$(3.4) \quad \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \leq \delta$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)a}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \leq \delta$$

there exists a unique solution u to (3.3) that satisfies the estimate

$$(3.6) \quad \|u\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

3.2.1. *Proof of existence.* We start by looking for a frequency localised solution to the equation

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - \partial_x a_{<j-4} \partial_x^2) u_j = f_j \\ u_j(0) = u_{0j} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.3. *If $s \geq 0$, $\sigma > \frac{7}{2}$ and $a \in l^2 X^\sigma$ satisfies (3.4) and (3.5) for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the equation (3.7) has a frequency localised approximate solution \tilde{u}_j satisfying the estimate*

$$(3.8) \quad \|\tilde{u}_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

and the error estimates

$$(3.9) \quad \|\tilde{u}_j(0) - u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

$$(3.10) \quad \|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - \partial_x a_{<j-4} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u}_j - f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

Proof.

Using Proposition 3.1 we take v_j to be the solution to

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3) v_j = S_{<j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}}) f_j \\ v_j(0) = S_{<j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)}) u_{0j} \end{cases}$$

so by (3.2) and Corollary 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j\|_{l^2 X^s} &\lesssim \|S_{<j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)}) u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|S_{<j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}}) f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ &\lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \end{aligned}$$

We construct a frequency localised approximate solution by taking

$$\tilde{u}_j = \tilde{S}_j \left(e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}} v_j \right)$$

The estimate (2.14) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_j\|_{l^2 X^s} &\lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|v_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ &\lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.8).

For the error estimate (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_j(0) &= \tilde{S}_j \left(e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)} S_{<j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)}) u_{0j} \right) \\ &= u_{0j} - \tilde{S}_j \left(e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)} S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)}) u_{0j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We can then use the bilinear estimates (2.8), (2.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_j(0) - u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} &\lesssim \|e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)} S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}(0)})\|_{l^2 H^\sigma} \|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} \\ &\lesssim \|S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a < j-4})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} &\lesssim \|\partial_x S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a < j-4})\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x a_{< j-4}\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

For (3.10) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - \partial_x a_{< j-4} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u}_j &= \tilde{S}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} S_{< j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a < j-4}) f_j) \\ &\quad + [\tilde{S}_j, \partial_x a_{< j-4}] \partial_x^2 (e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j) \\ &\quad + \tilde{S}_j R(a_{< j-4}, e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j) \end{aligned}$$

where

(3.12)

$$R(g, h) = \left(\frac{1}{3}(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)g - \frac{1}{3}\partial_x g \partial_x^2 g + \frac{1}{27}(\partial_x g)^3\right) h + \left(\partial_x^2 g - \frac{1}{3}(\partial_x g)^2\right) \partial_x h$$

As in the estimate (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} S_{< j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a < j-4}) f_j) - f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} S_{\geq j-4}(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a < j-4})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s} \end{aligned}$$

From the commutator estimate (2.20) we have

$$\|[\tilde{S}_j, \partial_x a_{< j-4}] \partial_x^2 (e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|\tilde{S}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

To estimate the remainder term we write

$$\tilde{S}_j R(a_{< j-4}, e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j) = \tilde{S}_j R(a_{< j-4}, \tilde{\tilde{S}}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j))$$

The hypothesis (3.5) gives

$$\|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3) a_{< j-4} \tilde{\tilde{S}}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \delta \|\tilde{\tilde{S}}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

The remaining terms can be estimated using Propositions 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 with the hypothesis (3.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}_j R(a_{< j-4}, e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 Y^s} &\lesssim \delta \|\tilde{\tilde{S}}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|v_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

The worst term in this estimate is $\partial_x^2 a_{< j-4} \partial_x \tilde{\tilde{S}}_j(e^{\frac{1}{3}a < j-4} v_j)$ which requires $\sigma > \frac{7}{2}$. \square

Taking $f_j^{(0)} = f_j$ and $u_{0j}^{(0)} = u_{0j}$ we can use Lemma 3.3 find an approximate solution $\tilde{u}_j^{(0)}$ to (3.7). We then take

$$f_j^{(n+1)} = f_j^{(n)} - (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - \partial_x a_{< j-4} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u}_j^{(n)} \quad u_{0j}^{(n+1)} = u_{0j}^{(n)} - \tilde{u}_j^{(n)}(0)$$

and use Lemma 3.3 to construct a sequence of approximate solutions $\tilde{u}_j^{(n)}$. For δ sufficiently small

$$(3.13) \quad u_j = \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{u}_j^{(n)}$$

converges to a solution to (3.7) in $l^2 X^s$ satisfying the estimate

$$(3.14) \quad \|u_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

We can now use the solutions to (3.7) to construct an approximate solution to (3.3).

Lemma 3.4. *If $s \geq 0, \sigma > \frac{7}{2}$ and $a \in l^2 X^\sigma$ satisfies (3.4) and (3.5) for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists an approximate solution \tilde{u} to (3.3) satisfying the estimate*

$$(3.15) \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

and the error estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u} - f\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

Proof. We define

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{j \geq 0} u_j$$

where u_j is a solution to (3.7). Due to the frequency localisation of u_j, u_{0j}, f_j and the estimate (3.14) this converges in $l^2 X^s$ and satisfies the estimate (3.15). We can calculate the error

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u} - f &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \sim j} \{S_j(\partial_x(a_{<k-4} - a_{<j-4}) \partial_x^2 u_k) \\ &\quad + [S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x^2 u_k\} \end{aligned}$$

The commutator can be estimated using (2.20) to get

$$\|[S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] \partial_x^2 u_k\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|\tilde{S}_j u_k\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

For the remaining term we can use the bilinear estimate (2.7) and the frequency localisation to get

$$\|S_j(\partial_x(a_{<k-4} - a_{<j-4}) \partial_x^2 u_k)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|u_k\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

So from (3.14) and almost orthogonality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a} \partial_x^2) \tilde{u} - f\|_{l^2 Y^s} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \sim j} \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}}^2 \|u_k\|_{l^2 X^s}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2.2. *Proof of uniqueness.* The uniqueness of the solution to (3.3) is a corollary of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $s \geq 0, \sigma > \frac{7}{2}$ and for $i = 1, 2$, $a^{\{i\}} \in l^2 X^\sigma$ satisfy (3.4) and (3.5). If $u^{\{i\}}$ solves*

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a^{\{i\}}} \partial_x^2) u^{\{i\}} = f^{\{i\}} \\ u^{\{i\}}(0) = u_0^{\{i\}} \end{cases}$$

then for $\delta = \delta(s, \sigma, \|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u^{\{1\}} - u^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) \left(\|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f^{\{1\}} - f^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} + \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}})}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s})(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We define

$$v_j^{\{i\}} = \tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}} S_j u^{\{i\}})$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3) v_j^{\{i\}} = \tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}} f_j^{\{i\}}) - r(a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}, v_j^{\{i\}}) \\ v_j^{\{i\}}(0) = \tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}(0)} u_{0j}^{\{i\}}) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r(a_{<j-4}, v_j) &= \left(\frac{1}{3}(\partial_t + \partial_x^3) a_{<j-4} + \frac{2}{27}(\partial_x a_{<j-4})^3 \right) v_j \\ &\quad + \left(\partial_x^2 a_{<j-4} - \frac{1}{3}(\partial_x a_{<j-4})^2 \right) \partial_x v_j \end{aligned}$$

From the estimate (3.2) with the estimates of Corollary 2.2 we have

$$\|v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim e^{C\|a^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} (\|u_{0j}^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s}) + \|r(a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}, v_j^{\{i\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s}$$

From the hypothesis (3.5) we have

$$\|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3) a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}} v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \delta \|v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

and from the hypothesis (3.4) and the estimates of Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\|r(a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}, v_j^{\{i\}})\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \delta \|v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

We note that the worst term we need to estimate is

$$\|\partial_x^2 a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}} \partial_x v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x a^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|v_j\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

which requires $\sigma > \frac{7}{2}$. For δ sufficiently small we then have

$$(3.19) \quad \|v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim C(\|a^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) (\|u_{0j}^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

The difference $v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}) = \tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}} f_j^{\{1\}} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}} f_j^{\{2\}}) \\ \quad + r(a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}, v_j^{\{1\}}) - r(a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}, v_j^{\{2\}}) \\ v_j^{\{1\}}(0) - v_j^{\{2\}}(0) = \tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}(0)} u_{0j}^{\{1\}} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}(0)} u_{0j}^{\{2\}}) \end{cases}$$

We can use (2.4) and (2.16) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}} f_j^{\{1\}} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}} f_j^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim e^{C \max(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma})} \|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|f_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \quad + e^{C \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|f_j^{\{1\}} - f_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly using (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{S}_j(e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}} u_{0j}^{\{1\}} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}} u_{0j}^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 H^s} \\ & \lesssim e^{C \max(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma})} \|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|u_{0j}^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \\ & \quad + e^{C \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|u_{0j}^{\{1\}} - u_{0j}^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}v_j^{\{1\}} - (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}})}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \|v_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \quad + \delta \|v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining terms we use the hypothesis (3.4) with the estimates (2.4), (2.6) and (2.17) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|r(a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}, v_j^{\{1\}}) - r(a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}, v_j^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) \left(\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}})}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \|v_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \right) \\ & \quad + \delta \|v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

So, choosing δ sufficiently small, from (3.2) and (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) \left(\|u_{0j}^{\{1\}} - u_{0j}^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j^{\{1\}} - f_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} + \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}})}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s}) (\|u_{0j}^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|f_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we write

$$u_j^{\{i\}} = S_{<j-4}(e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{i\}}})w_j^{\{i\}}$$

then as for the estimate (3.9), we have

$$\|v_j^{\{i\}} - w_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \delta e^{C\|a\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}} \|w_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

So, for sufficiently small δ ,

$$\|w_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim C(\|a^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) \|v_j^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}} &= \tilde{S}_j \left((e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}}) S_{<j-4} (e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}}) w_j^{\{1\}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{S}_j (e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}} S_{<j-4} (e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{1\}}} - e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}}) w_j^{\{1\}}) \\ &\quad + \tilde{S}_j (e^{-\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}} S_{<j-4} (e^{\frac{1}{3}a_{<j-4}^{\{2\}}}) (w_j^{\{1\}} - w_j^{\{2\}})) \end{aligned}$$

and have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}) - (w_j^{\{1\}} - w_j^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ &\lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) (\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|v_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

So we then have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_j^{\{1\}} - u_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ &\lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) (\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|w_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|w_j^{\{1\}} - w_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \\ &\lesssim C(\|a^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}, \|a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma}) (\|a^{\{1\}} - a^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \|v_j^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v_j^{\{1\}} - v_j^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

□

4. RESCALING

As the $l^2 X^s, l^2 Y^s$ spaces are adapted to the unit interval, we rescale the initial data to allow us to consider a small data problem on the unit time interval. Following Bejenaru-Tataru [2] we split the initial data into low and high frequency parts. As the large low frequency part is essentially stationary on the unit interval we freeze it a time $t = 0$ and solve for the high frequency component.

We rescale the initial data according to the nonlinearity

$$u_0^{(k)} = 2^{\lambda k} u_0(2^{-k}x)$$

where, for

$$F(u, u_x, u_{xx}) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} u^{\alpha_0} u_x^{\alpha_1} u_{xx}^{\alpha_2}$$

we define

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda = \max \left\{ \frac{\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - 3}{|\beta| - 1} : |\beta| \geq 2, \beta \leq \alpha, c_{\alpha} \neq 0 \right\}$$

We then define the low and high frequency components of the rescaled initial data to be

$$u_0^{(k)l} = S_0 u_0^{(k)} \quad u_0^{(k)h} = u_0^{(k)} - u_0^{(k)l}$$

We have the following estimates for the low and high frequency components of the rescaled initial data.

Lemma 4.1.

a) (*High frequency estimate*)

$$(4.2) \quad \|u_0^{(k)h}\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim 2^{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2} - s)k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

b) (*Low frequency $l^2 H^s$ estimate*) If $s > \frac{1}{2}$ then for any $\sigma \geq 0$

$$(4.3) \quad \|\partial_x^r u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 H^\sigma} \lesssim 2^{(\lambda - \min\{s - \frac{1}{2}, r\})k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

Proof.

a) This follows from the fact that

$$\|u_0^{(k)h}\|_{l^2 H^s} \sim \|u_0^{(k)h}\|_{H^s}$$

b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^r u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 H^s} &\lesssim \|\partial_x^r u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l_0^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x^r u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l_0^2 L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda - r)k} \|\partial_x^r S_{\leq k} u_0\|_{l_{-k}^2 L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda - r)k} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{rj} \|S_j u_0\|_{l_{-k}^2 L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda - r)k} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{(r + \frac{1}{2})j} \|S_j u_0\|_{l_{-k}^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\lambda - \min\{s - \frac{1}{2}, r\})k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.2. We note that for any of the possible nonlinearities F , we have $\lambda \in [-3, 2)$. We also have that $s_0 \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$, so provided $s > s_0$ we can ensure $\|u_0^{(k)h}\|_{l^2 H^s}$ is arbitrarily small by choosing sufficiently large k .

If u solves (1.1), we rescale

$$u^{(k)}(t, x) = 2^{\lambda k} u(2^{-3k} t, 2^{-k} x)$$

and fix the low frequency component at time $t = 0$

$$v = u^{(k)} - u_0^{(k)l}$$

Taking $v_0 = u_0^{(k)h}$, we then have that v solves the equation

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)v = \tilde{F}(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) \\ v(0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{F} = 2^{(\lambda-3)k} F \left(v + u_0^{(k)l}, 2^k \partial_x(v + u_0^{(k)l}), 2^{2k} \partial_x^2(v + u_0^{(k)l}) \right) + \partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l}$$

Due to the Mizohata condition (1.2) we split the nonlinearity

$$\tilde{F}(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) = B(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) + G(x, v, v_x, v_{xx})$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad B(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) = c_1 2^{-\lambda k} (\partial_x u_0^{(k)l} v_{xx} + v_x v_{xx}) + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} (\partial_x^2 u_0^{(k)l} v_{xx} + v_{xx}^2)$$

contains the ‘bad’ quadratic terms where two derivatives fall on one term. We have the following estimate for the ‘good’ terms G .

Proposition 4.3. *For $s > s_0$*

(4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(x, v, v_x, v_{xx})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(\|v\|_{l^2 X^s}^2 \langle \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \rangle^{m-2} + 2^{-k} \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where m is the degree of F and

$$(4.7) \quad \gamma = \min(1, s - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}) > 0$$

Further, if $v^{\{i\}}$, $G^{\{i\}}$ correspond to initial data $u_0^{\{i\}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the following estimate for the difference

(4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - G^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|v^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \left(\|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \left(\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-k} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \left((\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s})^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2^{-k} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) + 2^{-\gamma k} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We note that by Remark 4.2 we have $\gamma > 0$. To estimate the inhomogeneous term $\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l}$ we use (4.3).

$$\|\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{-(\min(s-\frac{1}{2}, 3)-\lambda)k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

The remaining terms in G are of the form

$$w_{\alpha\beta} = c_\alpha 2^{(\lambda-\lambda|\alpha|+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2-3)k} (u_0^{(k)l})^{\alpha_0-\beta_0} (u_0^{(k)l})_x^{\alpha_1-\beta_1} (u_0^{(k)l})_{xx}^{\alpha_2-\beta_2} v^{\beta_0} v_x^{\beta_1} v_{xx}^{\beta_2}$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. We can use the algebra estimate (2.4), bilinear estimate (2.5), trilinear estimate (2.17) and the low frequency estimates (2.2) and (4.3) to get

$$\|w_{\alpha\beta}\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim 2^{-\mu_{\alpha\beta}k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} \|v\|_{l^2 X^s}^{|\beta|}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\alpha\beta} &= \lambda(|\beta| - 1) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - 3) + (s - \frac{1}{2})(\alpha_0 - \beta_0) \\ &\quad + \min(s - \frac{3}{2}, 0)(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + \min(s - \frac{5}{2}, 0)(\alpha_2 - \beta_2) \end{aligned}$$

If $|\beta| \geq 2$, from the definition of λ we have,

$$\mu_{\alpha\beta} \geq \lambda(1 - |\beta|) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - 3) \geq 0$$

If $|\beta| = 1$ we have

$$\mu_{\alpha\beta} \geq 3 - \beta_1 - 2\beta_2 \geq 1$$

If $|\beta| = 0$ we have

$$\mu_{\alpha\beta} \geq 3 - \lambda \geq 1$$

For (4.8) we write the difference $G^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - G^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})$ as a polynomial in $(u_0^{\{i\}})^{(k)l}$, $v^{\{i\}}$, $(u_0^{\{1\}})^{(k)l} - (u_0^{\{2\}})^{(k)l}$, $v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}$ and use the same estimates as for (4.6). (See [4] Lemma 5.2). \square

Remark 4.4. In the case that $B \equiv 0$ we can now apply a contraction mapping argument using Proposition 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.1 (see [4] for example).

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

5.1. The paradifferential decomposition. We now consider the case that $B \not\equiv 0$. The difficulty here is that we cannot apply the bilinear estimates of Proposition 2.1 when two derivatives fall at high frequency. However, by using a paradifferential decomposition we can consider an equation of the form (3.3) and use Proposition 3.2.

We decompose B at frequency 2^j as

$$S_j B(x, v_x, v_{xx}) = \partial_x a_{<j-4} S_j v_{xx} + [S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] v_{xx} + b_j$$

where

$$a_{<j-4}(x, v) = c_1 2^{-\lambda k} \left(u_0^{(k)l} + S_{<j-4} v \right) + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x \left(u_0^{(k)l} + 2S_{<j-4} v \right)$$

and

$$b_j(v) = c_1 2^{-\lambda k} S_j (S_{\geq j-4}(v_x) v_{xx}) + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} S_j ((S_{\geq j-4} v_{xx})^2)$$

Let

$$H_j(x, v) = [S_j, \partial_x a_{<j-4}] v_{xx} + b_j(x, v) + S_j G(x, v, v_x, v_{xx})$$

and $H(x, v) = \sum H_j(x, v)$. The equation (4.4) for v can then be written as

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a} \partial_x^2) v = H(x, v) \\ v(0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

We have the following estimate for H .

Proposition 5.1. *For $s > s_0$ and m, γ as in Proposition 4.3*

(5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H(x, v)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 X^s}) \left(\|v\|_{l^2 X^s}^2 \langle \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \rangle^{m-2} + 2^{-k} \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Further, if $v^{\{i\}}, H^{\{i\}}$ correspond to initial data $u_0^{\{i\}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the following estimate for the difference

(5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|v^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \left(\|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-k}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \left((\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s})^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2^{-k} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) + 2^{-\gamma k} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } c_2 = 0 \\ s - 1 & \text{if } c_2 \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, by the commutator estimate (2.20) and the low frequency estimate (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[S_j, \partial_x a_{< j-4}]v_{xx}\|_{l^2 Y^s} & \lesssim \|\partial_x a\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|\tilde{S}_j v\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim (2^{-k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v\|_{l^2 X^s}) \|\tilde{S}_j v\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

From the frequency localised bilinear estimates (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j(x, v) \right\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim \|v\|_{l^2 X^s}^2$$

where we have used that if $c_1 \neq 0$ then $\lambda \geq 0$ and if $c_2 \neq 0$ then $\lambda \geq 1$. The remaining terms in (5.2) can then be estimated using Proposition 4.3.

For the estimate (5.3), as in Proposition 4.3, we write the difference $H^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})$ in terms of $(u_0^{\{i\}})^{(k)l}$, $v^{\{i\}}, (u_0^{\{1\}})^{(k)l} - (u_0^{\{2\}})^{(k)l}$, $v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}$ and use the same estimates as for (5.2). \square

5.2. The solution map. Let σ be as in (5.4), γ as in (4.7) and $v_0 = u_0^{(k)h}$. Define

$$\mathcal{X} = \{v \in l^2 X^s : \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}\}$$

We note that if $v \in \mathcal{X}$, from the low frequency estimate (4.3)

$$\|a(v)\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

and

$$\|\partial_x a(v)\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \lesssim 2^{-k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

In particular, if $\delta = \delta(s, \sigma, \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s})$ is as in Proposition 3.2, for sufficiently large k ,

$$\|\partial_x a(v)\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \leq \delta$$

Suppose $v \in \mathcal{X}$ also satisfies

$$(5.5) \quad \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)a(v)}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \leq \delta$$

then by Proposition 3.2 we can find a solution $w = \mathcal{T}(v)$ to the equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a(v)} \partial_x^2)w = H(x, v) \\ w(0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(5.6) \quad \|w\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 X^s})(\|v_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|H(x, v)\|_{l^2 Y^s})$$

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose $s > s_0$, $v \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfies (5.5) and $w = \mathcal{T}(v)$, then for sufficiently large k ,*

$$(5.7) \quad \|w\|_{l^2 X^s} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)a(w)}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \leq 2^{-\gamma k} C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s})$$

So, for sufficiently large k , $w \in \mathcal{X}$ and satisfies (5.5).

Further, if $v^{\{i\}} \in \mathcal{X}^{\{i\}}$ satisfy (5.5) for $\delta^{\{i\}} \geq 0$ and $w^{\{i\}} = \mathcal{T}^{\{i\}}(v^{\{i\}})$ where $\mathcal{X}^{\{i\}}, \mathcal{T}^{\{i\}}$ correspond to initial data $u_0^{\{i\}}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have the following estimate for the difference

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}}(w^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(w^{\{2\}}))}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|w^{\{1\}} - w^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the high frequency estimate (4.2) we have

$$\|v_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

and from Proposition 5.1 and the hypothesis $\|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k}$ we have

$$\|H(x, v)\|_{l^2 Y^s} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

The estimate (5.7) then follows from (5.6) for sufficiently large k .

To prove (5.8) we take $z \in l^2 X^s$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a_{<j-4}(w))S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l})S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \quad + \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + 2c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(S_{<j-4} H(x, v))S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \quad + \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + 2c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(S_{<j-4}(T_{\partial_x a(v)} \partial_x^2 w))S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \end{aligned}$$

Using the frequency localised bilinear estimate (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l})S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \quad + \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + 2c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(S_{<j-4} H(x, v))S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim (\|\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} + \|S_{<j-4} H(x, v)\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}}) \|S_j z\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

From the low frequency estimate (4.3) we have

$$\|\partial_x^3 u_0^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \lesssim 2^{(\lambda-3)k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \lesssim 2^{-k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

Replacing the frequency localised bilinear estimates (2.6) and (2.7) and the commutator estimate (2.20) in Proposition 5.1 by the frequency localised bilinear estimates (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$\|H(x, v)\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \lesssim (2^{-k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v\|_{l^2 X^s}) \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|G(x, v)\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}}$$

Similarly, replacing the bilinear estimate (2.5) and the trilinear estimate (2.17) in Proposition 4.3 by the algebra estimate (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(x, v)\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(\|v\|_{l^2 X^s}^2 \langle \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} \rangle^{m-2} + 2^{-k} \|v\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So combining these we have

$$\|H(x, v)\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma k} C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s})$$

For the remaining term we use the frequency localised trilinear estimate (2.18) with the estimate (5.7) to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + 2c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x)(S_{<j-4}(T_{\partial_x a(v)} \partial_x^2 w))S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_x a(v)\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|w\|_{l^2 X^s} \|S_j z\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}^2 \|S_j z\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

To prove (5.9) we consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})) \\
&= (c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x) \left(\partial_x^3 (u_0^{\{1\}})^{(k)l} - \partial_x^3 (u_0^{\{2\}})^{(k)l} \right) \\
&\quad + (c_1 2^{-\lambda k} + 2c_2 2^{(1-\lambda)k} \partial_x) \left(T_{\partial_x a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{1\}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - T_{\partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{2\}} + H^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

As above we have

$$\|\partial_x^3 (u_0^{\{1\}})^{(k)l} - \partial_x^3 (u_0^{\{2\}})^{(k)l}\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \lesssim 2^{-k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

and as in Propositions 4.3, 5.1 we can write the difference

$$H^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})$$

as a polynomial in $(u_0^{\{i\}})^{(k)l}$, $v^{\{i\}}$, $(u_0^{\{1\}})^{(k)l} - (u_0^{\{2\}})^{(k)l}$, and $v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}$ and apply the same estimates as for (5.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|H^{\{1\}}(x, v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(x, v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^{s-2}} \\
&\lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|v^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \left(\|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-k}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \left((\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s})^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2^{-k} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) + 2^{-\gamma k} \right) \right) \\
&\lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) (2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s})
\end{aligned}$$

For the remaining term we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{\partial_x a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{1\}} - T_{\partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{2\}} = T_{\partial_x a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - \partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{1\}} \\
&\quad + T_{\partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})} \partial_x^2 (w^{\{1\}} - w^{\{2\}})
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the frequency localised trilinear estimate (2.18) as above we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|S_{<j-4} (T_{\partial_x a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{1\}} - T_{\partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})} \partial_x^2 w^{\{2\}}) S_j z\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_x a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - \partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|w^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \|z_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^{\sigma-1}} \|w^{\{1\}} - w^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \|z_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \\
&\lesssim (2^{-k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \|z_j\|_{l^2 X^s} \\
&\quad + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \|w^{\{1\}} - w^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \|z_j\|_{l^2 X^s}
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.3. Existence of a solution. Let $v^{(-1)} \equiv 0$ and let

$$v^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{T}(v^{(n)})$$

Then $v^{(n)} \in \mathcal{X}$ and satisfies (5.5) for $n \geq 0$. To estimate the difference $v^{(n+1)} - v^{(n)}$ we use Proposition 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{(n+1)} - v^{(n)}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(\|H(v^{(n)}) - H(v^{(n-1)})\|_{l^2 Y^s} + \left(\|a(v^{(n)}) - a(v^{(n-1)})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a(v^{(n)}) - a(v^{(n-1)}))}\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \right) \|H(v^{(n)})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H(v^{(n)}) - H(v^{(n-1)})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|v^{(n)}\|_{l^2 X^s}, \|v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \|v^{(n)} - v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s} \left(\|v^{(n)}\|_{l^2 X^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-k} \right) \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{(n)} - v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\|a(v^{(n)}) - a(v^{(n-1)})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \lesssim \|v^{(n)} - v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s}$$

From (5.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)(a(v^{(n)}) - a(v^{(n-1)}))}\|_{l^2 X^\sigma \rightarrow l^2 Y^\sigma} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) (2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{(n-1)} - v^{(n-2)}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{(n)} - v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{(n+1)} - v^{(n)}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{l^2 H^s}) (2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{(n)} - v^{(n-1)}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{(n-1)} - v^{(n-2)}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

So provided k is sufficiently large, the sequence converges to a solution $v \in \mathcal{X}$ to (4.4). Adding the low frequency component of the initial data $u_0^{(k)l}$ and rescaling we get a solution $u \in C([0, 2^{-3k}], H^s)$ to (1.1).

5.4. Uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence on initial data. Suppose we have solutions $u^{\{i\}}$ for $i = 1, 2$ to (1.1) corresponding to initial data $u_0^{\{i\}}$. After rescaling and subtracting the low frequency component we have $v^{\{i\}}$ satisfying

$$(5.10) \quad \|v^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{i\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_x^3 - T_{\partial_x a^{\{i\}}(v^{\{i\}})} \partial_x^2) v^{\{i\}} = H^{\{i\}}(v^{\{i\}}) \\ v^{\{i\}}(0) = v_0^{\{i\}} \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 3.5 we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \left(\|v_0^{\{1\}} - v_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|H^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\|a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)}(a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}}))\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s}) (\|v_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|H^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

From the estimate (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - H^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(\|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-k}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} \left((\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s})^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2^{-k} (\|v^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + \|v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) + 2^{-\gamma k} \right) \right) \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}})\|_{l^2 X^\sigma} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) (\|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

and from the estimate (5.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{(\partial_t + \partial_x^3)}(a^{\{1\}}(v^{\{1\}}) - a^{\{2\}}(v^{\{2\}}))\|_{l^2 X^s \rightarrow l^2 Y^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) \left(2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We then have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim C(\|u_0^{\{1\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}, \|u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s}) (2^{-\gamma k} \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma k} \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s}) \end{aligned}$$

and so sufficiently large k we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\{1\}} - u^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} & \lesssim \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 H^s} + \|v^{\{1\}} - v^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0^{\{1\}} - u_0^{\{2\}}\|_{l^2 X^s} \end{aligned}$$

so the solution map is locally Lipschitz.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank his advisor, Daniel Tataru, for suggesting the problem, his guidance and several suggestions for the proof.

REFERENCES

1. T. Akhunov, *Local well-posedness of quasi-linear systems generalizing KdV*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **12** (2013), no. 2, 899–921.
2. I. Bejenaru and D. Tataru, *Large data local solutions for the derivative NLS equation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **10** (2008), no. 4, 957–985.
3. H. Cai, *Dispersive smoothing effects for KdV type equations*, J. Differential Equations **136** (1997), no. 2, 191–221.
4. B. Harrop-Griffiths, *Large data local well-posedness for a class of KdV-type equations*, preprint (2011) available at [arXiv:1110.5402](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5402).
5. C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *On the hierarchy of the generalized KdV equations*, Singular limits of dispersive waves (Lyon, 1991), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., vol. 320, Plenum, New York, 1994, pp. 347–356.
6. ———, *Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), no. 1, 157–166.
7. ———, *Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), no. 3, 489–545.
8. C. E. Kenig and G. Staffilani, *Local well-posedness for higher order nonlinear dispersive systems*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3** (1997), no. 4, 417–433.
9. F. Linares and G. Ponce, *Introduction to nonlinear dispersive equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.
10. J. L. Marzuola, J. Metcalfe, and D. Tataru, *Quasilinear Schrödinger equations I: Small data and quadratic interactions*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1151–1172.
11. J. L. Marzuola, J. Metcalfe and D. Tataru, *Quasilinear Schrödinger equations II: Small data and cubic nonlinearities*, preprint (2012) available at [arXiv:1208.0544](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0544).
12. Sigeru Mizohata, *On the Cauchy problem*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 3, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985.
13. D. Pilod, *On the Cauchy problem for higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), no. 8, 2055–2077.
14. Shigeo Tarama, *On the wellposed Cauchy problem for some dispersive equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **47** (1995), no. 1, 143–158.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: benhg@math.berkeley.edu