

## Cyclically presented modules, projective covers and factorizations

Alberto Facchini, Daniel Smertnig, and Nguyen Khanh Tung

ABSTRACT. We investigate projective covers of cyclically presented modules, characterizing the rings over which every cyclically presented module has a projective cover as the rings  $R$  that are Von Neumann regular modulo their Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  and in which idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ . Cyclically presented modules naturally appear in the study of factorizations of elements in non-necessarily commutative integral domains. One of the possible applications is to the modules  $M_R$  whose endomorphism ring  $E := \text{End}(M_R)$  is Von Neumann regular modulo  $J(E)$  and in which idempotents lift modulo  $J(E)$ .

### 1. Introduction

An  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is said to be *cyclically presented* if  $M_R \cong R/aR$  for some  $a \in R$ . In this paper, we study some natural connections between cyclically presented  $R$ -modules, their submodules, their projective covers and factorizations of elements in the ring  $R$ . That is, we find some results on projective covers of cyclically presented modules and apply them to the study of factorizations of elements in a ring. In this way, we are naturally led to the class of 2-firs. Recall that a ring  $R$  is a 2-fir if every right ideal of  $R$  generated by at most 2 elements is free of unique rank. This condition is right/left symmetric, and a ring  $R$  is a 2-fir if and only if it is a domain and the sum of any two principal right ideals with non-zero intersection is again a principal right ideal [2, Theorem 1.5.1]. P. M. Cohn investigated factorization of elements in 2-firs, applying the Artin-Schreier Theorem and the Jordan-Hölder-Theorem to the corresponding cyclically presented modules [2]. One of the main ideas developed in this paper is to characterize the submodules of a cyclically presented module  $M_R$  that, under a suitable cyclic presentation  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$ , lift to principal right ideals of  $R$  that are generated by a left cancellative element (Lemmas 2.2, 3.1 and 4.3). The key role is played by a class

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D40, 16D80, 16D99, 16S50.

Partially supported by Università di Padova (Progetto di ricerca di Ateneo CPDA105885/10 “Differential graded categories” and Progetto ex 60% “Anelli e categorie di moduli”) and Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo (Progetto di Eccellenza “Algebraic structures and their applications”).

The second author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), W1230.

of cyclically presented submodules of a cyclically presented module  $M_R$ , which we call  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules of  $M_R$ . We show (Theorem 3.8) that, for every cyclically presented right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  and every cyclic presentation  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  with non-zero kernel, the set of all cyclically presented  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules is closed under finite sums if and only if  $R$  is a 2-fir. As we have said above, when sums and intersections of exact submodules are again exact submodules, we can use the Artin-Schreier and the Jordan-Hölder Theorems to study factorizations of elements.

We also study the rings over which every cyclically presented module has a projective cover. We characterize these rings as the rings  $R$  that are Von Neumann regular modulo their Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  and in which idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$  (Theorem 4.1). Finally, in the last Section, we consider the modules  $M_R$  whose endomorphism rings  $E$  are Von Neumann regular modulo the Jacobson radical  $J(E)$  and in which idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(E)$ . In particular, this applies to the case in which the module  $M_R$  in question is quasi-projective (Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 5.5).

Throughout the paper,  $R$  will be an associative ring with identity  $1_R \neq 0_R$  and we will denote by  $U(R)$  its group of invertible elements. By an  $R$ -module, we always mean a unitary right  $R$ -module.

## 2. Generalities

Let  $R$  be a ring. An element  $a \in R$  is *left cancellative* if, for all  $b, c \in R$ ,  $ab = ac$  implies  $b = c$ . Equivalently,  $a \in R$  is left cancellative if it is non-zero and is not a left zero-divisor. A (non-necessarily commutative) ring  $R$  is a *domain* if every non-zero element is left cancellative (equivalently, if every non-zero element is right cancellative). If  $a \in R$ , the right  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\lambda_a: R_R \rightarrow aR, x \mapsto ax$ , is an isomorphism if and only if  $a$  is left cancellative. More precisely,  $aR \cong R_R$  if and only if there exists a left cancellative element  $a' \in R$  with  $a'R = aR$ . If  $a, a' \in R$  are two left cancellative elements, then  $aR = a'R$  if and only if  $a = a'\varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon \in U(R)$ .

Let  $a, x_1, \dots, x_n \in R \setminus U(R)$  be  $n+1$  left cancellative elements and assume that  $a = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ . If  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in U(R)$ , then obviously also  $a = (x_1\varepsilon_1) \cdot (\varepsilon_1^{-1}x_2\varepsilon_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (\varepsilon_{n-1}^{-1}x_n)$ . This gives an equivalence relation on finite ordered sequences of left cancellative elements whose product is  $a$ . More precisely, if  $F_a := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid n \geq 1, x_i \in R \setminus U(R) \text{ is left cancellative for every } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } a = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n\}$ , then the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $F_a$  is defined by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$  if  $n = m$  and there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in U(R)$  such that  $x'_1 = x_1\varepsilon_1$ ,  $x'_i = \varepsilon_{i-1}^{-1}x_i\varepsilon_i$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $x'_n = \varepsilon_{n-1}^{-1}x_n$ . In this paper, we call an equivalence class of  $F_a$  modulo  $\sim$  a *factorization of  $a$  up to insertion of units*. Notice that the factors need not be irreducible. When this causes no confusion, we will simply call a representative of such an equivalence class a factorization.

A factorization  $a = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$  gives rise to an ascending chain of principal right ideals, generated by left cancellative elements and containing  $aR$ :

$$aR \subsetneq x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{n-1}R \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq x_1R \subsetneq R,$$

hence to an ascending chain of cyclically presented submodules

$$0 = aR/aR \subsetneq x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{n-1}R/aR \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq x_1R/aR \subsetneq R/aR$$

of the cyclically presented  $R$ -module  $R/aR$ . Notice that  $x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1}R/aR \cong R/x_i \cdot \dots \cdot x_nR$  is cyclically presented because the elements  $x_i$  are left cancellative.

The next lemma shows that, conversely, every chain of principal right ideals generated by left cancellative elements in  $aR \subset R$ , determines a factorization of  $a$  into left cancellative elements, which is unique up to insertion of units.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $a \in R$  be a left cancellative element,  $aR = y_nR \subsetneq y_{n-1}R \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq y_1R \subsetneq y_0R = R$  be an ascending chain of principal right ideals of  $R$ , where  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in R$  are left cancellative elements,  $y_0 = 1$  and  $y_n = a$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $x_i \in R$  be such that  $y_{i-1}x_i = y_i$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are left cancellative elements and  $a = x_1 \dots x_n$ .*

*Moreover, if  $y'_1, \dots, y'_{n-1} \in R$  are also left cancellative elements with  $y'_iR = y_iR$ ,  $y'_0 = 1$  and  $y'_n = a$ , and we similarly define  $x'_i$  by  $y'_{i-1}x'_i = y'_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then there exist  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in U(R)$  such that  $x'_1 = x_1\varepsilon_1$ ,  $x'_i = \varepsilon_{i-1}^{-1}x_i\varepsilon_i$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $x'_n = \varepsilon_{n-1}^{-1}x_n$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $x_i$  is not left cancellative for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then there exists  $b \neq 0$  such that  $x_ib = 0$ . Therefore  $y_ib = y_{i-1}x_ib = 0$ . This is a contradiction because  $y_i$  is left cancellative. Notice that  $a = y_{n-1}x_n = y_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n = \dots = y_0x_1 \dots x_n = x_1 \dots x_n$ .

Now if  $y'_iR = y_iR$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \in U(R)$  such that  $y'_i = y_i\varepsilon_i$ . Therefore  $y'_{i-1}x'_i = y_{i-1}x_i\varepsilon_i = y'_{i-1}\varepsilon_{i-1}^{-1}x_i\varepsilon_i$ . But  $y'_{i-1}$  is left cancellative, so that  $x'_i = \varepsilon_{i-1}^{-1}x_i\varepsilon_i$  for every  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Moreover,  $y_1 = y_0x_1 = x_1$  and, similarly,  $y'_1 = x'_1$ , so that  $y'_1 = y_1\varepsilon_1$  implies  $x'_1 = x_1\varepsilon_1$ . Finally,  $y_{n-1}x_n = y_n = a = y'_n = y'_{n-1}x'_n = y_{n-1}\varepsilon_{n-1}x'_n$ . Thus  $x_n = \varepsilon_{n-1}x'_n$  and  $x'_n = \varepsilon_{n-1}^{-1}x_n$ .  $\square$

As we have already said in the introduction, we will characterize, in Lemmas 3.1 and 4.3, the submodules of cyclically presented modules  $M_R$  that, under a suitable cyclic presentation  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow M_R$ , that is, a suitable epimorphism  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow M_R$ , lift to principal right ideals of  $R$  generated by a left cancellative element. The following lemma will prove to be helpful to this end.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $A_R, B_R, M_R, N_R$  be modules over a ring  $R$ ,  $\pi_M: A_R \rightarrow M_R$  and  $\pi_N: B_R \rightarrow N_R$  be epimorphisms,  $\lambda: B_R \rightarrow A_R$  be a homomorphism and  $\varepsilon: N_R \rightarrow M_R$  be a monomorphism such that  $\pi_M\lambda = \varepsilon\pi_N$ , so that there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A_R \\ \pi_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_M \\ N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R. \end{array}$$

*Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\pi_M^{-1}(\varepsilon(N_R)) = \lambda(B_R)$ .
- (b)  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$ .
- (c)  $\pi_M$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{coker}(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ .

*If, moreover,  $A'_R, B'_R$  are right  $R$ -modules such that there exist isomorphisms  $\varphi_A: A'_R \rightarrow A_R$  and  $\varphi_B: B'_R \rightarrow B_R$ , and one defines  $\pi'_N := \pi_N\varphi_B$ ,  $\pi'_M := \pi_M\varphi_A$  and  $\lambda' := \varphi_A^{-1}\lambda\varphi_B$ , then the three conditions (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent also to the three conditions*

- (d)  $(\pi'_M)^{-1}(\varepsilon(N_R)) = \lambda'(B'_R)$ .
- (e)  $\lambda'(\ker(\pi'_N)) = \ker(\pi'_M)$ .
- (f)  $\pi'_M$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{coker}(\lambda') \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ .

PROOF. (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b): We have  $\pi_M \lambda(B_R) = \varepsilon \pi_N(B_R) = \varepsilon(N_R)$ . It follows that  $\pi_M^{-1}(\varepsilon(N_R)) = \lambda(B_R) + \ker \pi_M$ . Thus (a) is equivalent to  $\ker \pi_M \subseteq \lambda(B_R)$ . The inclusion  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) \subseteq \ker(\pi_M)$  always holds by the commutativity of the diagram, so that (b) is equivalent to  $\ker(\pi_M) \subseteq \lambda(\ker(\pi_N))$ . Thus (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is trivial. Conversely, if (a) holds, and  $a \in \ker(\pi_M)$ , then  $a = \lambda(b)$  for some  $b \in B_R$ , so that  $0 = \pi_M(a) = \pi_M \lambda(b) = \varepsilon \pi_N(b)$ . But  $\varepsilon$  is mono, so  $\pi_N(b) = 0$ , and  $a = \lambda(b) \in \lambda(\ker(\pi_N))$ .

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) Apply the Snake Lemma to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi_N) & \longrightarrow & B_R & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & N_R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \lambda|_{\ker} & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi_M) & \longrightarrow & A_R & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M_R & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

obtaining a short exact sequence

$$0 = \ker(\varepsilon) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\lambda|_{\ker}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\lambda) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$  if and only if  $\lambda|_{\ker}$  is surjective, if and only if  $\operatorname{coker}(\lambda|_{\ker}) = 0$ , if and only if the epimorphism  $\operatorname{coker}(\lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\varepsilon)$  is injective, if and only if it is an isomorphism.

Now assume that there exist isomorphisms  $\varphi_A: A'_R \rightarrow A_R$  and  $\varphi_B: B'_R \rightarrow B_R$  and set  $\pi'_N := \pi_N \varphi_B$ ,  $\pi'_M := \pi_M \varphi_A$  and  $\lambda' := \varphi_A^{-1} \lambda \varphi_B$ . To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$  if and only if  $\lambda'(\ker(\pi'_N)) = \ker(\pi'_M)$ . This is true, since  $\ker(\pi'_M) = \varphi_A^{-1}(\ker(\pi_M))$  and

$$\lambda'(\ker(\pi'_N)) = \lambda'(\varphi_B^{-1}(\ker(\pi_N))) = \varphi_A^{-1} \lambda \varphi_B(\varphi_B^{-1}(\ker(\pi_N))) = \varphi_A^{-1}(\lambda(\ker(\pi_N))).$$

□

### 3. $\pi$ -exactness

Let  $M_R$  be a cyclically presented right  $R$ -module and  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  a cyclic presentation. We introduce the notion of  $\pi_M$ -exactness to characterize those submodules of  $M_R$  that lift, via  $\pi_M$ , to principal right ideals of  $R$ , generated by a left cancellative element of  $R$ . We give sufficient conditions on  $R$  for this notion to be independent from the chosen presentation  $\pi_M$ .

DEFINITION AND LEMMA 3.1 ( $\pi$ -exactness). *Let  $N_R \leq M_R$  be cyclic right  $R$ -modules. Let  $F_R \cong R_R$ , fix an epimorphism  $\pi_M: F_R \rightarrow M_R$  and let  $\varepsilon: N_R \hookrightarrow M_R$  denote the embedding. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$ .
- (b) *There exists a monomorphism  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow F_R$  and an epimorphism  $\pi_N: R_R \rightarrow N_R$  such that  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$  and the following diagram commutes:*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & F_R \\ \pi_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_M \\ N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R. \end{array}$$

- (c) *There exists a monomorphism  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow F_R$  and an epimorphism  $\pi_N: R_R \rightarrow N_R$  such that diagram (3.1) commutes and induces an isomorphism  $\text{coker}(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ .*

*If these equivalent conditions are satisfied, we call  $N_R$  a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ .*

PROOF. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). By (a), there exists an isomorphism  $\lambda_0: R_R \rightarrow \pi_M^{-1}(N_R)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the composite mapping  $R_R \xrightarrow{\lambda_0} \pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \hookrightarrow F_R$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}: \varepsilon(N_R) \rightarrow N_R$  be the inverse of the corestriction of  $\varepsilon$  to  $\varepsilon(N_R)$ . Noticing that  $\pi_M \lambda(R_R) = \varepsilon(N_R)$ , one gets an onto mapping  $\pi_N := \varepsilon^{-1} \pi_M \lambda: R_R \rightarrow N_R$ . Then diagram (3.1) clearly commutes and  $\lambda(R_R) = \pi_M^{-1}(N_R)$ . The statement now follows from Lemma 2.2.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $F_R \cong R_R$  and let  $\pi_M: F_R \rightarrow M_R$  be an epimorphism. If  $\varphi: F'_R \rightarrow F_R$  is an isomorphism and  $N_R \leq M_R$ , then  $N_R$  is a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$  if and only if it is a  $\pi_M \varphi$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ .*

PROOF. Let  $N_R$  be a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$  and let  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow F_R$  be a monomorphism satisfying condition (b) of Definition and Lemma 3.1. Apply Lemma 2.2 to  $B_R = B'_R = R_R$ ,  $A_R = F_R$ ,  $A'_R = F'_R$ ,  $\varphi_B = 1_R$  and  $\varphi_A = \varphi$ . Setting  $\lambda' := \varphi^{-1} \lambda$ , it follows that  $\lambda'(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M \varphi)$  and hence  $N_R$  is a  $\pi_M \varphi$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ . The converse follows applying what we have just shown to  $\varphi^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $N_R \leq M_R$  be cyclic  $R$ -modules,  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  be an epimorphism and  $N_R \leq M_R$  be a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule. Then  $M_R/N_R$  is cyclically presented with presentation induced by  $\pi_M$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow R_R$  be as in condition (c) of Definition and Lemma 3.1. Then  $M_R/N_R \cong R_R/\lambda(R_R)$ , from which the conclusion follows immediately.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $N_R \leq M_R \leq P_R$  be cyclic  $R$ -modules and let  $\pi_P: F_R \rightarrow P_R$  be an epimorphism, where  $F_R \cong R_R$ . If  $M_R \leq P_R$  is  $\pi_P$ -exact and  $N_R \leq M_R$  is  $\pi_P|_{\pi_P^{-1}(M_R)}$ -exact, then  $N_R \leq P_R$  is  $\pi_P$ -exact.*

PROOF. Set  $F'_R := \pi_P^{-1}(M_R)$ . By condition (a) of Definition and Lemma 3.1,  $F'_R \cong R_R$ . Therefore the notion of  $\pi_P|_{F'_R}$ -exactness of  $N_R$  in  $M_R$  is indeed defined. Since  $\pi_P^{-1}(N_R) = (\pi_P|_{F'_R})^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

Let  $c \in R$  be left cancellative and denote by  $\mathbf{L}(cR, R)$  the set of all right ideals  $aR$  with  $a \in R$  left cancellative and  $cR \subset aR \subset R$ . It is partially ordered by set inclusion. Let  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/cR$  be an epimorphism. Denote by  $\mathbf{L}_\pi(R/cR)$  the set of all  $\pi$ -exact submodules of  $R/cR$ . This set is also partially ordered by set inclusion.

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $c \in R$  be left cancellative and let  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow R/cR$  be the canonical epimorphism. Then  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism of partially ordered sets  $\mathbf{L}(cR, R) \cong \mathbf{L}_\pi(R/cR)$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $N_R \subset R/cR$  is  $\pi$ -exact if and only if there exists a left cancellative  $a \in R$  with  $\pi^{-1}(N_R) = aR$ . But this is equivalent to  $\pi^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$ . The statement now follows from condition Definition and Lemma (a) of 3.1.  $\square$

The following example shows that, in general, the condition of  $\pi$ -exactness indeed depends on the particular choice of the epimorphism  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow M_R$ . We refer the reader to any of [5], [7] or [9] for the necessary background on quaternion algebras.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $A$  be a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $R$  be a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order in  $A$  such that there exists an unramified prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P} \subset R$  and maximal right ideals  $I, J$  of  $R$  with  $I, J \supset \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $I$  principal and  $J$  non-principal. Then  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}$  is principal, say  $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P} = pR$ ,  $R/\mathfrak{P} \cong M_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\mathfrak{P} = \text{Ann}(R/\mathfrak{P})$ . (E.g., take  $A = \left(\frac{-1, -11}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ ,  $R = \mathbb{Z}\langle 1, i, \frac{1}{2}(i+j), \frac{1}{2}(1+k) \rangle$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $I = \mathbb{Z}\langle \frac{1}{2}(1+5k), \frac{1}{2}(i+5j), 3j, 3k \rangle$  and  $J = \mathbb{Z}\langle \frac{1}{2}(1+2j+3k), \frac{1}{2}(i+3j+4k), 3j, 3k \rangle$ ).

The module  $R/\mathfrak{P}$  has a composition series (as an  $R/\mathfrak{P}$ - and hence as an  $R$ -module)

$$0 \subsetneq I/\mathfrak{P} \subsetneq R/\mathfrak{P},$$

and there exists an isomorphism  $R/\mathfrak{P} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{P}$  mapping  $J/\mathfrak{P}$  to  $I/\mathfrak{P}$ , as is easily seen from  $R/\mathfrak{P} \cong M_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Therefore there exist epimorphisms  $\pi_M: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{P}$  and  $\pi'_M: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{P}$  with  $\pi_M^{-1}(I/\mathfrak{P}) = I$  and  $\pi'_M^{-1}(I/\mathfrak{P}) = J$ . This implies that  $I/\mathfrak{P}$  is a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $R/\mathfrak{P}$  that is not  $\pi'_M$ -exact.

However, under an additional assumption on  $R_R$ , which holds, for instance, whenever  $R$  is a semilocal ring, the notion is independent of the choice of  $\pi$ .

LEMMA 3.7. *Suppose that  $R_R \oplus K_R \cong R_R \oplus R_R$  implies  $K_R \cong R_R$  for all right ideals  $K_R$  of  $R$ .*

- (1) *If  $M_R \cong R/aR$  with  $a \in R$  left cancellative and  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  is an epimorphism, then there exists a left cancellative  $a' \in R$  such that  $\ker(\pi_M) = a'R$ .*
- (2) *If  $M_R$  is a cyclic  $R$ -module,  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  and  $\pi'_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  are epimorphisms and  $N_R \leq M_R$ , then  $N_R$  is a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$  if and only if it is a  $\pi'_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ .*

PROOF. (1) Let  $\pi_{aR}: R_R \rightarrow R/aR, 1 \mapsto 1+aR$  be the canonical epimorphism. Since  $a$  is left cancellative,  $aR \cong R_R$ . Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow aR \hookrightarrow R_R \xrightarrow{\pi_{aR}} R/aR \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\pi_M) \hookrightarrow R_R \xrightarrow{\pi_M} R/aR \rightarrow 0.$$

By Schanuel's Lemma,  $R_R \oplus aR \cong R_R \oplus \ker(\pi_M)$ , and hence by assumption  $aR \cong \ker(\pi_M)$ . Thus there exists a left cancellative  $a' \in R$  with  $\ker(\pi_M) = a'R$ .

(2) Let  $\pi_{M/N}: M_R \rightarrow M_R/N_R$  be the canonical quotient module epimorphism. There are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \rightarrow R_R \xrightarrow{\pi_{M/N} \pi_M} M_R/N_R \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \pi'_M{}^{-1}(N_R) \rightarrow R_R \xrightarrow{\pi_{M/N} \pi'_M} M_R/N_R \rightarrow 0,$$

and by Schanuel's Lemma therefore  $R_R \oplus \pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R \oplus \pi'_M{}^{-1}(N_R)$ . If  $N_R$  is a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ , then  $\pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$  and hence  $\pi'_M{}^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$  by our assumption on  $R$ , showing that  $N_R$  is a  $\pi'_M$ -exact submodule. The converse follows by symmetry.  $\square$

Suppose that  $R$  has invariant basis number (for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $R_R^m \cong R_R^n$  implies  $m = n$ ). Then the condition of the previous lemma is satisfied if every stably free  $R$ -module of rank 1 is free [6, §11.1.1]. This is true if  $R$  is commutative [6, §11.1.16]. The condition is also true if  $R$  is semilocal [3, Corollary 4.6] or  $R$  is a 2-fir (by [2, Theorem 1.1(e)]).

Let  $M_R$  be a right  $R$ -module with an epimorphism  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  with  $\ker(\pi_M) = aR$  and  $a \in R$  left cancellative. We say that a finite series

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M_R$$

of submodules is  $\pi_M$ -exact, if every  $M_i$  is an  $\pi_M|_{\pi_M^{-1}(M_{i+1})}$ -exact submodule of  $M_{i+1}$ . By Lemma 3.5 the  $\pi_M$ -exact series of submodules of  $R$  are in bijection with series of principal right ideals in  $L(aR, R)$ . By Lemma 2.1 they are therefore in bijection with factorizations of  $a$  into left cancellative elements, up to insertion of units.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is a 2-fir if and only if it is a domain and the sum of any two principal right ideals with non-zero intersection is again a principal right ideal [2, Theorem 1.5.1]. In the next theorem, we will consider, for a cyclically presented right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  and a cyclic presentation  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  with non-zero kernel, the set of all submodules of cyclically presented  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules. We say it is *closed under finite sums* if for every two cyclically presented  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of  $M_R$ , the sum  $M_1 + M_2$  also is cyclically presented and a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ .

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a domain. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *For every cyclically presented right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  and every cyclic presentation  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  with non-zero kernel, the set of all cyclically presented  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules is closed under finite sums.*
- (2)  *$R$  is a 2-fir.*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $a, b, c \in R \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $cR \subset aR \cap bR$ . We have to show that  $aR + bR$  is right principal. Let  $M_R = R/cR$ ,  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow R/cR$  be the canonical epimorphism,  $M_1 = aR/cR$  and  $M_2 = bR/cR$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $M_1 = \pi_M(aR)$  and  $M_2 = \pi_M(bR)$  are  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules of  $M_R$ . By assumption  $M_1 + M_2$  is a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ . Again by Lemma 3.5,  $aR + bR = \pi_M^{-1}(M_1 + M_2)$  is a principal right ideal of  $R$ , generated by a left cancellative element.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): We may assume  $M_1, M_2 \neq 0$ , as the statement is trivial otherwise. Let  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  be an epimorphism with non-zero kernel. Since  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\pi_M$ -exact submodules of  $M_R$ , there exist  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\pi_M^{-1}(M_1) = aR$  and  $\pi_M^{-1}(M_2) = bR$ . Because  $\ker(\pi) \neq 0$ , we have  $aR \cap bR \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is a 2-fir, there exists  $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $aR + bR = \pi_M^{-1}(M_1 + M_2) = cR$ . Therefore  $M_1 + M_2$  is cyclically presented and a  $\pi_M$ -exact submodule of  $M_R$ .  $\square$

Notice that if we assume that sums and intersections of exact submodules are again exact submodules, one may use the Artin-Schreier and Jordan-Hölder-Theorems to study factorizations of elements. As we have just seen, such an assumption leads to the 2-firs investigated by Cohn in [2].



#### 4. Projective covers of cyclically presented modules

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $R/xR$  a cyclically presented right  $R$ -module,  $x \in R$ . The module  $R/xR$  does not have a projective cover in general, but if it has one, it has one of the form  $\pi|_{eR}: eR \rightarrow R/xR$ , where  $e \in R$  is an idempotent that depends on  $x$  and  $\pi|_{eR}$  is the restriction to  $eR$  of the canonical projection  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow R/xR$  [1, Lemma 17.17]. More precisely, given any projective cover  $p: P_R \rightarrow R/xR$ , there is an isomorphism  $f: eR \rightarrow P_R$  such that  $pf = \pi|_{eR}$ . The kernel of the projective cover  $\pi|_{eR}: eR \rightarrow R/xR$  is  $eR \cap xR$  and is contained in  $eJ(R)$  because the kernel of  $\pi|_{eR}$  is a superfluous submodule of  $eR$  and  $eJ(R)$  is the largest superfluous submodule of  $eR$ . Considering the exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow xR \rightarrow R_R \rightarrow R/xR \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow eR \cap xR \rightarrow eR \rightarrow R/xR \rightarrow 0$ , one sees that  $R_R \oplus (eR \cap xR) \cong eR \oplus xR$  (Schanuel's Lemma), so that  $eR \cap xR$  can be generated with at most two elements.

Recall that every right  $R$ -module has a projective cover if and only if the ring  $R$  is perfect, and that every finitely generated right  $R$ -module has a projective cover if and only if every simple right  $R$ -module has a projective cover, if and only if the ring  $R$  is semiperfect. Denoting by  $J(R)$  the Jacobson radical of  $R$ ,  $R$  is semiperfect if and only if  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$  [1, Theorem 27.6]. The next result gives a similar characterization for the rings  $R$  over which every cyclically presented right module has a projective cover.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring  $R$  with Jacobson radical  $J(R)$ :*

- (1) *Every cyclically presented right  $R$ -module has a projective cover.*
- (2) *The ring  $R/J(R)$  is Von Neumann regular and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** Set  $J := J(R)$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume that every cyclically presented right  $R$ -module has a projective cover. In order to show that  $R/J$  is Von Neumann regular, it suffices to prove that every principal right ideal of  $R/J$  is a direct summand of the right  $R/J$ -module  $R/J$  [4, Theorem 1.1]. Let  $x$  be an element of  $R$ . We will show that  $(xR + J)/J$  is a direct summand of  $R/J$  as a right  $R/J$ -module. By (1), the cyclically presented right  $R$ -module  $R/xR$  has a projective cover. As we have seen above, the projective cover is of the form  $\pi|_{eR}: eR \rightarrow R/xR$  for some idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ , where  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow R/xR$  is the canonical projection.

Applying the right exact functor  $- \otimes_R R/J$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow eR \cap xR \rightarrow eR \rightarrow R/xR \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence  $(eR \cap xR) \otimes_R R/J \rightarrow eR \otimes_R R/J \rightarrow R/xR \otimes_R R/J \rightarrow 0$ , which can be rewritten as  $(eR \cap xR)/(eR \cap xR)J \rightarrow eR/eJ \rightarrow R/(xR + J) \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow ((eR \cap xR) + eJ)/eJ \rightarrow eR/eJ \rightarrow R/(xR + J) \rightarrow 0$ . Now the kernel  $eR \cap xR$  of the projective cover  $\pi|_{eR}$  is superfluous in  $eR$  and  $eJ$  is the largest superfluous submodule of  $eR$ , hence  $((eR \cap xR) + eJ)/eJ = 0$  and  $eR/eJ \cong R/(xR + J)$ .

Now  $(e + J)(R/J) = (eR + J)/J \cong eR/(eR \cap J) = eR/eJ$ , so that  $eR/eJ \cong R/(xR + J)$  is a projective right  $R/J$ -module. Thus the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (x + J)(R/J) = (xR + J)/J \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow R/(xR + J) \rightarrow 0$  splits, and the principal right ideal of  $R/J$  generated by  $x + J$  is a direct summand of the right  $R/J$ -module  $R/J$ .

We must now prove that idempotents of  $R/J$  lift modulo  $J$ . By [1, Proposition 27.4], this is equivalent to showing that every direct summand of the  $R$ -module



$R/J$  has a projective cover. Let  $M_R$  be a direct summand of  $(R/J)_R$ . Then it is also a direct summand of  $(R/J)_{R/J}$  and hence is generated by an idempotent of  $R/J$ . Let  $g \in R$  be such that  $g+J \in R/J$  is idempotent and  $M_{R/J} = (g+J)(R/J)$ . Then  $R/J = (g+J)(R/J) \oplus (1-g+J)(R/J)$  as  $R/J$ -modules, and hence also as  $R$ -modules. The canonical projection  $\pi_g: R/J \rightarrow M_R$  has kernel  $\ker(\pi_g) = (1-g+J)(R/J)$ . Let  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow R/J, r \mapsto r+J$  be the canonical epimorphism. Set  $f := \pi_g \pi$ . Then  $\ker(f) = (1-g)R + J$  and so  $f$  factors through an epimorphism  $\bar{f}: R/(1-g)R \rightarrow M_R$  with  $\ker(\bar{f}) = (J + (1-g)R)/(1-g)R$ . In particular,  $\ker(\bar{f})$  is the image of the superfluous submodule  $J$  of  $R_R$  via the canonical projection  $R_R \rightarrow R/(1-g)R$ . It follows that  $\ker(\bar{f})$  is superfluous in  $R/(1-g)R$ , i.e.,  $\bar{f}$  is a superfluous epimorphism.

By hypothesis, there is a projective cover  $p: P_R \rightarrow R/(1-g)R$ . Since the composite mapping of two superfluous epimorphisms is a superfluous epimorphism (this follows easily from [1, Corollary 5.15]),  $\bar{f}p: P_R \rightarrow M_R$  is a superfluous epimorphism and hence a projective cover of  $M$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Assume that (2) holds. Let  $R/xR$  be a cyclically presented right  $R$ -module, where  $x \in R$ . The principal right ideal  $(x+J)(R/J)$  of the Von Neumann regular ring  $R/J$  is generated by an idempotent and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J$ . Hence there exists an idempotent element  $e \in R$  such that  $(x+J)(R/J) = (e+J)(R/J)$ . Let  $\pi|_{(1-e)R}$  be the restriction to  $(1-e)R$  of the canonical epimorphism  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow R/xR$ . We claim that  $\pi|_{(1-e)R}: (1-e)R \rightarrow R/xR$  is onto. To prove the claim, notice that  $xR+J = eR+J$ , so that  $(1-e)R+xR+J = R$ . As  $J$  is superfluous in  $R_R$ , it follows that  $(1-e)R+xR = R$  and so  $\pi|_{(1-e)R}$  is onto. This proves our claim. Finally,  $\ker(\pi|_{(1-e)R}) = (1-e)R \cap xR \subseteq ((1-e)R+J) \cap (xR+J) = ((1-e)R+J) \cap (eR+J) \subseteq J$ , so that  $\ker(\pi|_{(1-e)R}) \subseteq J \cap (1-e)R = (1-e)J$  is superfluous in  $(1-e)R$ . Thus  $\pi|_{(1-e)R}$  is the required projective cover of the cyclically presented  $R$ -module  $R/xR$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If  $R$  is a domain and every cyclically presented right  $R$ -module has a projective cover, then  $R$  is local.*

**PROOF.** By the previous Theorem,  $R/J(R)$  is Von Neumann regular. Since idempotents lift modulo  $J(R)$ , the only idempotents of  $R/J(R)$  are 0 and 1. Therefore  $R/J(R)$  is a division ring and so  $R$  is local  $\square$

Notice that, conversely, if  $R$  is a local ring and  $M_R$  is any non-zero cyclic module, then every epimorphism  $\pi: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  is a projective cover.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring, let  $N_R \leq M_R$  be cyclic right  $R$ -modules with a projective cover and let  $\varepsilon: N_R \rightarrow M_R$  be the embedding. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist a projective cover  $\pi_N: P_R \rightarrow N_R$  of  $N_R$ , a projective cover  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$  of  $M_R$  and a commutative diagram of right  $R$ -module morphisms*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & Q_R \\ \pi_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_M \\ N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R, \end{array}$$

*such that the following equivalent conditions hold:*

- (a)  $\lambda(P_R) = \pi_M^{-1}(\varepsilon(N_R))$ ;
  - (b)  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$ ;
  - (c)  $\pi_M$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{coker}(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ .
- (2) For every pair of projective covers  $\pi_N: P_R \rightarrow N_R$  of  $N_R$  and  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$  of  $M_R$  and every commutative diagram (4.1) of right  $R$ -module morphisms, the following equivalent conditions hold:
- (a')  $\lambda(P_R) = \pi_M^{-1}(\varepsilon(N_R))$ ;
  - (b')  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$ ;
  - (c')  $\pi_M$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{coker}(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ .

PROOF. The equivalences (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) and (a')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c') have been proved in Lemma 2.2.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (b'): Assume that  $\pi_N: P_R \rightarrow N_R$ ,  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$  and  $\lambda: P_R \rightarrow Q_R$  satisfy condition (b), that is, make diagram (4.1) commute and  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$ . Let  $\pi'_N: P'_R \rightarrow N_R$  and  $\pi'_M: Q'_R \rightarrow M_R$  be projective covers and  $\lambda': P'_R \rightarrow Q'_R$  be a morphism that make the diagram corresponding to diagram (4.1) commute, that is, such that  $\pi'_M \lambda' = \varepsilon \pi'_N$ . Projective covers are unique up to isomorphism and, by Lemma 2.2, we may therefore assume  $P'_R = P_R$ ,  $Q'_R = Q_R$  and  $\pi'_M = \pi_M$ ,  $\pi'_N = \pi_N$ .

Then  $\pi_M(\lambda - \lambda') = \pi_M \lambda - \varepsilon \pi_N = \varepsilon \pi_N - \varepsilon \pi_N = 0$ , so that  $(\lambda - \lambda')(P_R) \subseteq \ker \pi_M$ . Let  $\iota: \ker \pi_M \rightarrow Q_R$  denote the inclusion. Then there exists a morphism  $\psi: P_R \rightarrow \ker \pi_M$  such that  $\lambda - \lambda' = \iota \psi$ . As images via module morphisms of superfluous submodules are superfluous submodules and  $\ker \pi_N$  is a superfluous submodule of  $P_R$ , it follows that  $\psi(\ker \pi_N)$  is a superfluous submodule of  $\ker \pi_M$ . Now  $\ker \pi_M = \lambda(\ker \pi_N) = (\lambda' + \iota \psi)(\ker \pi_N) \subseteq \lambda'(\ker \pi_N) + \iota \psi(\ker \pi_N) = \lambda'(\ker \pi_N) + \psi(\ker \pi_N) \subseteq \ker \pi_M$ . Thus  $\ker \pi_M = \lambda'(\ker \pi_N) + \psi(\ker \pi_N)$ . But  $\psi(\ker \pi_N)$  is superfluous in  $\ker \pi_M$ , hence  $\ker \pi_M = \lambda'(\ker \pi_N)$ , which proves (b').

(b')  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $\pi_N: P_R \rightarrow N_R$  and  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$  be projective covers of  $N_R$ , respectively  $M_R$ . Since  $P_R$  is projective and  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M$  is an epimorphism, there exists a  $\lambda: P_R \rightarrow Q_R$  such that  $\pi_M \lambda = \varepsilon \pi_N$ . By (b'), then  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_N)) = \ker(\pi_M)$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 4.4. If  $N_R \leq M_R$  are cyclic right  $R$ -modules and the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied, we say that  $N_R$  is an *exact submodule* of  $M_R$ .

COROLLARY 4.5. If  $L_R \leq M_R \leq N_R$  are cyclic right  $R$ -modules,  $M_R$  is exact in  $N_R$  and  $L_R$  is exact in  $M_R$ , then  $L_R$  is exact in  $N_R$ .

PROOF. Since  $L_R$  is exact in  $M_R$  and  $M_R$  is exact in  $N_R$ , there exist projective covers  $\pi_L: P_R \rightarrow L_R$ ,  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$ ,  $\pi'_M: Q'_R \rightarrow M_R$  and  $\pi_N: U_R \rightarrow N_R$  and homomorphisms  $\lambda: P_R \rightarrow Q_R$  and  $\mu: Q'_R \rightarrow U_R$  such that  $\pi_M \lambda = \pi_L$ ,  $\pi_N \mu = \pi'_M$ ,  $\lambda(\ker(\pi_L)) = \ker(\pi_M)$  and  $\mu(\ker(\pi'_M)) = \ker(\pi_N)$ .

Since the projective cover of  $M_R$  is unique up to isomorphism, we may assume by Lemma 2.2 that  $Q_R = Q'_R$  and  $\pi'_M = \pi_M$  (replacing  $\lambda$  accordingly). Then  $\pi_N \mu \lambda = \pi_M \lambda = \pi_L$  and  $\ker(\pi_N) = \mu(\ker(\pi_M)) = \mu(\lambda(\ker(\pi_L))) = (\mu \lambda)(\ker(\pi_L))$ . Therefore  $N_R$  is an exact submodule of  $M_R$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 4.6. If a cyclic module  $N_R$  is an exact submodule of a cyclic module  $M_R$  and  $M_R$  has a projective cover isomorphic to  $R_R$ , then  $M_R/N_R$  is cyclically presented.

PROOF. Since  $N_R$  is an exact submodule of  $M_R$ , there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & Q_R \\ \pi_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_M \\ N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_N: P_R \rightarrow N_R$  and  $\pi_M: Q_R \rightarrow M_R$  are projective covers of  $N_R$  and  $M_R$  and  $\text{coker}(\lambda) \cong \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$ . By assumption, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $P_R \cong eR$  and  $Q_R \cong R_R$ . By Lemma 2.2, we may therefore assume  $P_R = eR$  and  $Q_R = R_R$  (replacing  $\pi_M$ ,  $\pi_N$  and  $\lambda$  accordingly). Therefore  $M_R/N_R = \text{coker}(\varepsilon) \cong \text{coker}(\lambda) = R/eR$ . Hence  $M_R/N_R$  is cyclically presented.  $\square$

The following example shows that if  $R$  is not a domain, then even if a non-unit  $x \in R$  is not a zero-divisor, the projective cover of  $R/xR$  need not be isomorphic to  $R_R$ .

EXAMPLE 4.7. Let  $D$  be a discrete valuation ring and  $\pi \in D$  a prime element. The unique maximal ideal of  $D$  is  $\pi D$ . Let  $R = M_2(D)$ ,  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi \end{bmatrix}$  and  $e =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$xR = \begin{bmatrix} D & D \\ \pi D & \pi D \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad eR = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ D & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $p: R_R \rightarrow R/xR$  be the canonical projection. We will show that  $p|_{eR}: eR \rightarrow R/xR$  is a projective cover of  $R/xR$ . We have  $\ker p|_{eR} = xR \cap eR = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \pi D & \pi D \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since  $J(R) = M_2(J(D)) = \begin{bmatrix} \pi D & \pi D \\ \pi D & \pi D \end{bmatrix}$ , it follows that  $\ker p|_{eR} = eJ(R)$ . Since  $e$  is an idempotent of  $R$ ,  $eR$  is projective and  $eJ(R) = J(eR)$ . In particular,  $\ker p|_{eR}$  is superfluous in  $eR$ . Therefore  $eR$  is a projective cover of  $R/xR$ .

We now show that  $eR \not\cong R$ . Assume  $eR$  is isomorphic to  $R$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $f: R_R \rightarrow eR$ . Hence  $f(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ .

Let  $b = \begin{bmatrix} -d & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $b \neq 0$ , because  $f(1) \neq 0$ . But  $f(1)b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -d & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  implies  $f(b) = 0$ . It follows that  $b = 0$ , which contradicts  $b \neq 0$ . Thus  $eR$  is not isomorphic to  $R$ .

The next example shows that the condition for the projective cover of  $M_R$  to be isomorphic to  $R_R$  is necessary in Corollary 4.6.

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let  $R = T_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  be the ring of all upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $J(R)$  consists of all strictly upper triangular matrices,  $R/J(R) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  is semisimple and obviously idempotents lift modulo  $J(R)$ . Therefore every finitely generated  $R$ -module has a projective cover. Set

$$M_R := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$N_R := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$M_R/N_R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + N_R, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + N_R \right\}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: N_R &\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &\longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an idempotent of  $R$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R$  is a projective  $R$ -module. Hence  $N_R$  is a projective  $R$ -module. On the other hand,  $M_R$  is also a projective  $R$ -module, because  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an idempotent of  $R$ . Hence  $1_N: N_R \rightarrow N_R$  and  $1_M: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  are projective covers. This implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R \\ 1_N \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_M \\ N_R & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M_R, \end{array}$$

where  $\varepsilon(\ker 1_N) = \ker 1_M$ , commutes. Therefore  $N_R$  is an exact submodule of  $M_R$ .

Assume  $M_R/N_R$  is a cyclically presented module. Then  $M_R/N_R$  is isomorphic to  $R/xR$ , where  $x \in R$ . Since  $|M_R/N_R| = 2$ ,  $|xR| = 4$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = N_R, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R &= M_R, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b+c \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = M_R, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R &= R_R, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R &= R_R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $xR = M_R$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R/xR = R/M_R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + M_R, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + M_R \right\}, \\ \text{ann}(M_R/N_R) &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in R \mid \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in N_R \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in R \mid \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in N_R \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \\ \text{ann}(R/xR) &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in R \mid \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \in xR = M_R \right\} = M_R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{ann}(M_R/N_R) \neq \text{ann}(R/xR)$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{ann}(M_R/N_R) = \text{ann}(R/xR)$  since  $M_R/N_R$  is isomorphic to  $R/xR$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $M_R/N_R$  is not a cyclically presented module.

**PROPOSITION 4.9.** *Let  $R$  be a local domain. Let  $N_R, M_R \neq 0$  be cyclically presented right  $R$ -modules and let  $\pi_M: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  be an epimorphism. Then  $N_R \subset M_R$  is exact if and only if it is  $\pi_M$ -exact in the sense of Definition and Lemma 3.1.*

**PROOF.** Suppose first  $N_R \subset M_R$  exact. Let  $\pi_N: R_R \rightarrow N_R$  be any epimorphism. Then  $\pi_M$  and  $\pi_N$  are necessarily projective covers, because  $\ker(\pi_M)$  and  $\ker(\pi_N)$  are superfluous. Let  $\varepsilon: N_R \rightarrow M_R$  denote the inclusion. By projectivity of  $R_R$ , there exists a  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow R_R$  such that  $\pi_M \lambda = \varepsilon \pi_N$ . By condition (a) in Lemma 4.3,  $\lambda(R_R) = \pi_M^{-1}(N_R)$ . Since  $\pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\pi_M^{-1}(N_R) \cong R_R$  and hence condition (a) in Definition and Lemma 3.1 is satisfied.

Suppose now that  $N_R \subset M_R$  is  $\pi_M$ -exact. Let  $\pi_N: R_R \rightarrow N_R$  be an epimorphism and  $\lambda: R_R \rightarrow R_R$  a monomorphism satisfying condition (b) of Definition and Lemma 3.1. Then  $\pi_N$  is a projective cover of  $N_R$ , and condition (b) of Lemma 4.3 is satisfied, implying that  $N_R \subset M_R$  is exact.  $\square$

The previous proposition, together with the results from the previous section, shows that in the special case of  $R$  a local domain and  $x \in R$  a non-unit, series of exact submodules of  $R/xR$  may be used to study factorizations of  $x \in R$  up to insertion of units.

## 5. Cokernels of endomorphisms

Let  $M_R$  be a right module over a ring  $R$  and let  $E := \text{End}(M_R)$  be its endomorphism ring. Let  $s$  be a fixed element of  $E$ . In this section, we investigate the relation between projective covers  $eE \rightarrow E/sE$  for an idempotent  $e$ , induced by the canonical epimorphism  $E_E \rightarrow E/sE$ , and properties of the module  $e(M_R)$ . This is of particular interest if we assume that  $E/J(E)$  is Von Neumann regular and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(E)$ , as in this case for every non-zero  $s \in E$  the module  $E/sE$  has a projective cover. For instance, every continuous module  $M_R$  has this property [8, Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.9], in particular every quasi-injective module has this property, and every module of Goldie dimension one and dual Goldie dimension one has this property [8, Proposition 2.5].

Let  $s: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  be an endomorphism of  $M_R$ . We can consider the direct summands  $M_1$  of  $M_R$  such that there exists a direct sum decomposition  $M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2$  of  $M_R$  for some complement  $M_2$  of  $M_1$  with the property that  $\pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  is a split epimorphism. Here  $\pi_2: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  is the canonical projection with kernel  $M_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all such direct summands, that is,

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ M_1 \mid M_1 \leq M_R, \text{ there exists } M_2 \leq M_R \text{ such that } M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2 \\ \text{and } \pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2 \text{ a split epimorphism} \}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{F}$  can be partially ordered by set inclusion.

It is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all pairs  $(M_1, M_2)$  of  $R$ -submodules of  $M_R$  such that  $M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and the set of all idempotents  $e \in E$ . If  $e \in E$  is an idempotent, the corresponding pair is the pair  $(M_1 := e(M_R), M_2 := (1 - e)(M_R))$ . If  $s \in \text{End}(M_R)$ , we always denote by  $\varphi: E_E \rightarrow E/sE$  the canonical epimorphism  $\varphi(f) = f + sE$ .

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , let  $\pi_2: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  be the projection with kernel  $M_1$ , and let  $e \in \text{End}(M_R)$  be the endomorphism corresponding to the pair  $(M_1, M_2)$ . If  $s: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  is an endomorphism, then  $\pi_2 s$  is a split epimorphism if and only if  $\varphi|_{eE}: eE \rightarrow E/sE$  is surjective.*

**PROOF.** We have to show that  $\pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  is a split epimorphism if and only if  $eE + sE = E$ . In order to prove the claim, assume that  $\pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  is a split epimorphism, so that there is an  $R$ -module morphism  $f: M_2 \rightarrow M_R$  with  $\pi_2 s f = 1_{M_2}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_2: M_2 \rightarrow M_R$  be the embedding. Then the right ideal  $eE + sE$  of  $E$  contains the endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} e(1_M - s f \pi_2) + s(f \pi_2) &= e + (1_M - e) s f \pi_2 = e + \varepsilon_2 \pi_2 s f \pi_2 \\ &= e + \varepsilon_2 1_{M_2} \pi_2 = e + (1_M - e) = 1_M, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $eE + sE = E$ . Conversely, let  $e \in E$  be an idempotent with  $eE + sE = E$ , so that there exist  $g, h \in E$  with  $1 = eg + sh$ . Then  $(1 - e) = (1 - e)sh$ , so that  $(1 - e) = (1 - e)sh(1 - e)$ , that is,  $\varepsilon_2 \pi_2 = \varepsilon_2 \pi_2 sh \varepsilon_2 \pi_2$ . Since  $\varepsilon_2$  is injective and  $\pi_2$  is surjective, they can be canceled, so that  $1_{M_2} = \pi_2 sh \varepsilon_2$ . Hence  $\pi_2 s$  is a split epimorphism, which proves our claim.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** *Let  $M_R$  be a right module, and let  $E := \text{End}(M_R)$  be its endomorphism ring. Let  $s \in E$  and suppose that  $E/sE$  has a projective cover. Then*

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ M_1 \mid M_1 \leq M_R, \text{ there exists } M_2 \leq M_R \text{ such that } M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2 \\ \text{and } \pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2 \text{ a split epimorphism} \}$$

*has minimal elements, and all minimal elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are isomorphic  $R$ -submodules of  $M_R$ .*

**PROOF.** From the previous lemma, it follows that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set  $\mathcal{F}'$  of all pairs  $(M_1, M_2)$  of  $R$ -submodules of  $M_R$  such that  $M_R = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $\pi_2 s: M_R \rightarrow M_2$  is a split epimorphism and the set of all idempotents  $e \in E$  for which the canonical mapping  $eE \rightarrow E_E/sE$ ,  $x \in eE \mapsto x + sE$ , is surjective. In order to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  has minimal elements, it suffices to show that if the canonical mapping  $eE \rightarrow E_E/sE$  is a projective cover, then  $e(M_R)$  is a minimal element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $e \in E$  be such that  $eE \rightarrow E_E/sE$  is a projective cover, and let  $M'_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $M'_1 \subseteq e(M_R)$ . Let  $e' \in E$  be an

idempotent such that  $M'_1 = e'(M_R)$  and  $\pi'_2 s: M_R \rightarrow (1 - e')(M_R)$  is a split epimorphism. Then  $M'_1 = e'(M_R) \subseteq e(M_R)$ , so that  $ee' = e'$ . Thus  $e'E = ee'E \subseteq eE$ . If  $\varphi|_{eE}: eE \rightarrow E/sE$  is the projective cover,  $\varphi|_{e'E}: e'E \rightarrow E/sE$  denotes the canonical epimorphism and  $\varepsilon: e'E \rightarrow eE$  is the embedding, it follows that  $\varphi|_{eE}\varepsilon = \varphi|_{e'E}$ . Now  $\varphi|_{eE}$  is a superfluous epimorphism and  $\varphi|_{eE}\varepsilon = \varphi|_{e'E}$  is onto, so that  $\varepsilon$  is onto, that is,  $e'E = eE$ . Thus  $e = e'f$  for some  $f \in E$ , so that  $e(M_R) \subseteq e'(M_R) = M'_1$  and  $M'_1 = e(M_R)$ . It follows that  $e(M_R)$  is a minimal element of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Now let  $M''_1$  be any other minimal element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $e''$  be an idempotent element of  $E$  with  $\pi''_2 s: M_R \rightarrow (1 - e'')(M_R)$  a split epimorphism. Then the canonical projection  $e''E \rightarrow E/sE$  is an epimorphism. As the canonical projection  $\varphi|_{eE}: eE \rightarrow E/sE$  is the projective cover, there is a direct sum decomposition  $e''E = P'_E \oplus P''_E$  with the canonical projection  $P'_E \rightarrow E/sE$  a projective cover. Thus  $P'_E = p'E$  for some idempotent  $p'$  of  $E$  with  $p'E + sE = E$ , so that  $p'(M_R) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now  $e''E \supseteq P'_E = p'E$  implies that  $p' = e''g$  for some  $g \in E$ , so that  $p'(M_R) \subseteq e''(M_R) = M''_1$ . By the minimality of  $M''_1$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $p'(M_R) = e''(M_R)$ , so that  $M''_1 = e''(M_R) = p'(M_R) \cong p'E \otimes_E M_R = P' \otimes_E M_R \cong eE \otimes_E M_R \cong e(M_R)$ . Thus every minimal element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $e(M_R)$ .  $\square$

We conclude the paper by considering quasi-projective modules. Let  $M_R$  and  $N_R$  be right  $R$ -modules. Recall that  $M_R$  is  $N_R$ -projective if for every epimorphism  $f: N_R \rightarrow L_R$  and every homomorphism  $g: M_R \rightarrow L_R$  there exists a homomorphism  $h: M_R \rightarrow N_R$  such that  $g = fh$ . Equivalently, for every epimorphism  $f: N_R \rightarrow L_R$ , the induced homomorphism  $f_*: \text{Hom}_R(M_R, N_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_R, L_R)$  is surjective. If  $M_R$  is  $N_R$ -projective and  $K_R \leq N_R$ , then  $M_R$  is also  $K_R$ -projective [1, Proposition 16.12(1)]. A right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is *quasi-projective* if it is  $M_R$ -projective. Trivially, projective modules and semisimple modules are quasi-projective.

Let  $M_R$  be quasi-projective,  $E := \text{End}_R(M_R)$  and suppose  $s \in E$ . In the following, we relate projective covers of the  $R$ -module  $M_R/s(M_R)$  and the cyclically presented  $E$ -module  $E/sE$ .

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $M_R$  be a quasi-projective right  $R$ -module,  $E$  the endomorphism ring of  $M_R$  and let  $s \in E$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical epimorphism of  $M_R$  onto  $M_R/s(M_R)$  and  $\varphi$  the canonical epimorphism of  $E_E$  onto  $E/sE$ .*

- (1) *For every  $g \in E$ ,  $\pi|_{g(M_R)}$  is surjective if and only if  $\varphi|_{gE}$  is surjective.*
- (2) *For every  $g \in E$ ,  $gE$  is a direct summand of  $E_E$  if and only if  $g(M_R)$  is a direct summand of  $M_R$ .*
- (3) *Let  $e, e'$  be idempotents in  $E$ . Then  $e(M_R) \cong e'(M_R)$  if and only if  $eE \cong e'E$ .*
- (4) *Let  $e \in E$  be idempotent. Then  $\ker(\pi|_{e(M_R)})$  is superfluous if and only if  $\ker(\varphi|_{eE})$  is superfluous.*

PROOF. (1) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $\varphi|_{gE}$  is surjective, there exists  $h$  in  $E$  such that  $gh + sE = 1_M + sE$ . Hence there exists  $h'$  in  $E$  such that  $gh = 1_M + sh'$ . For all  $m \in M_R$  we have  $\pi(m) = \pi(1_M(m)) = \pi(g(h(m)))$ , whence  $\pi|_{g(M_R)}$  is surjective.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $M_R$  is quasi-projective and  $\pi g: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  is an epimorphism, there exists  $h: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  such that  $\pi gh = \pi$ . Therefore  $(gh - 1_M)(M_R) \subset s(M_R)$ . Since  $s: M_R \rightarrow s(M_R)$  is an epimorphism, quasi-projectivity of  $M_R$  implies that there exists  $h' \in E$  such that  $gh - 1_M = sh'$ . This implies that  $\varphi(gh) = 1_M + sE$ . Therefore  $\varphi|_{gE}$  is surjective.



(2) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $gE$  is a direct summand of  $E$ , there exists an idempotent  $e$  in  $E$  such that  $gE = eE$ . Hence there exist  $h, h'$  in  $E$  such that  $g = eh$  and  $e = gh'$ . This implies that  $g(M_R) = e(M_R)$ . On the other hand,  $e(M_R)$  is a direct summand of  $M_R$  since  $e$  is an idempotent of  $E$ . Therefore  $g(M_R)$  is a direct summand of  $M_R$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $g(M_R)$  is a direct summand of  $E$ , there exists an idempotent  $e$  in  $E$  such that  $g(M_R) = e(M_R)$ . Hence  $eg = g$ . Therefore  $gE \subset eE$ . Since  $g: M_R \rightarrow e(M_R)$  is an epimorphism and  $M_R$  is quasi-projective, there exists  $h: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  such that  $e = gh$ . This implies that  $eE \subset gE$ . Hence  $eE = gE$ .

(3) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $eE \cong e'E$ , there exists an isomorphism  $\Gamma: eE \rightarrow e'E$ . Consider the two following homomorphisms  $f: e(M_R) \rightarrow e'(M_R)$  defined via  $f(m) = e'x(m)$  where  $e'x = \Gamma(e)$  and  $g: e'(M_R) \rightarrow e(M_R)$  defined via  $g(m) = ey(m)$  where  $ey = \Gamma^{-1}(e')$ . It suffices to show that  $fg = 1_{e'(M_R)}$  and  $gf = 1_{e(M_R)}$ . For  $m \in e'(M_R)$ ,  $fg(m) = f(ey(m)) = e'xey(m) = e'xy(m) = \Gamma(e)y(m) = \Gamma(ey)(m) = \Gamma(\Gamma^{-1}(e'))(m) = e'(m) = m$ , it follows that  $fg = 1_{e'(M_R)}$ . By an argument analogous to the previous one, we get  $gf = 1_{e(M_R)}$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $e(M_R) \cong e'(M_R)$ , there exists an isomorphism  $h: e(M_R) \rightarrow e'(M_R)$ . Consider the two following homomorphisms  $\theta: eE \rightarrow e'E$  defined via  $\theta(ex) = e'hex$ , and  $\theta': e'E \rightarrow eE$  defined via  $\theta'(e'x) = eh^{-1}e'x$ . It suffices to show that  $\theta\theta' = 1_{e'E}$  and  $\theta'\theta = 1_{eE}$ . Since  $\theta\theta'(e'x)(m) = \theta(eh^{-1}e'x)(m) = e'h eh^{-1}e'x(m) = e'he(h^{-1}(e'x(m))) = e'h(h^{-1}(e'x(m))) = e'e'(x(m)) = e'(x(m))$ , it follows that  $\theta\theta'(e'x) = e'x$ . Hence  $\theta\theta' = 1_{e'E}$ . By an argument analogous to the previous one, we get  $\theta'\theta = 1_{eE}$ .

(4) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $K_E$  be a submodule of  $eE$  such that  $K_E + \ker(\varphi|_{eE}) = eE$ . It suffices to show that  $K_E = eE$ . There exists  $h \in \ker(\varphi|_{eE}) = eE \cap sE$  and  $k \in K_E$  such that  $e = k + h$ . Hence  $e(M_R) = k(M_R) + h(M_R)$ . This implies that  $e(M_R) = k(M_R) + (e(M_R) \cap s(M_R))$ . Since  $e(M_R) \cap s(M_R)$  is superfluous in  $e(M_R)$ , then  $e(M_R) = k(M_R)$ . Since  $k: M_R \rightarrow e(M_R)$  is an epimorphism and  $M_R$  is quasi-projective, there exists  $h'$  in  $E$  such that  $e = kh'$ . This implies that  $e \in K_E$ . Therefore  $K_E = eE$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $N_R$  be a submodule of  $M_R$  such that  $N_R + \ker(\pi|_{e(M_R)}) = M_R$ . Hence  $\pi|_{N_R}$  is surjective. It suffices to show that  $N_R = M_R$ . Since  $M_R$  is quasi-projective and  $N_R$  is a submodule of  $M_R$ , it follows that  $M_R$  is also  $N_R$ -projective. Therefore the induced homomorphism  $(\pi|_{N_R})_*: \text{Hom}(M_R, N_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_R, M_R/s(M_R))$  is surjective and hence there exists  $g: M_R \rightarrow N_R$  such that  $\pi g = \pi e$ . Again by quasi-projectivity of  $M_R$ , there exists  $h: M_R \rightarrow M_R$  such that  $g - e = sh$ . Since  $g(M_R) \subset N_R \subset e(M_R)$ , for every  $x \in M_R$  there exists  $y \in M_R$  such that  $g(x) = e(y)$ . We have  $eg(x) = e(e(y)) = e(y) = g(x)$ . Thus  $eg = g$ . Since  $g - e = eg - e = sh$ ,  $eg - e \in eE$  and  $sh \in sE$ , it follows that  $g - e \in eE \cap sE$ . From  $e = g - (g - e)$ , we have  $eE = gE + (g - e)E$ . Hence  $eE = gE + (eE \cap sE)$ . Since  $eE \cap sE = \ker \varphi|_{eE}$  is superfluous,  $eE = gE$ . Therefore  $e(M_R) = g(M_R) \subset N_R$ . Thus  $N_R = e(M_R)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.4.** *Let  $M_R$  be a projective right  $R$ -module and  $E$  the endomorphism ring of  $M_R$ . Let  $s \in E$  and let  $\pi$  be the canonical epimorphism from  $M_R$  to  $M_R/s(M_R)$  and  $\varphi$  the canonical epimorphism from  $E$  to  $E/sE$ . Then  $\pi|_{e(M_R)}$  is a projective cover of  $M_R/s(M_R)$  if and only if  $\varphi|_{eE}$  is a projective cover of  $E/sE$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $M_R$  is projective, so is  $e(M_R)$ . Hence  $\pi|_{e(M_R)}$  is a projective cover if and only if  $\ker(\pi|_{e(M_R)})$  is superfluous. Therefore the corollary follows from the previous lemma.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let  $M_R$  be a quasi-projective right  $R$ -module, let  $s \in E = \text{End}(M_R)$  and let  $\pi: M_R \rightarrow M_R/s(M_R)$  be the canonical epimorphism. Suppose that  $E/sE$  has a projective cover.*

*Consider  $\mathcal{E} := \{N_R \leq M_R \mid \pi|_{N_R} \text{ is surjective}\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus := \{N_R \in \mathcal{E} \mid N_R \text{ is a direct summand of } M_R\}$ , both partially ordered by set inclusion. Then  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus$  has minimal elements, any two minimal elements of  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus$  are isomorphic as right  $R$ -modules and any minimal element of  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus$  is minimal in  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $N_R \leq M_R$  be a direct summand of  $M_R$ , let  $e \in E$  be an idempotent with  $e(M_R) = N_R$  and let  $\pi_2: M_R \rightarrow \ker(e)$  be the canonical projection corresponding to the direct sum decomposition  $M_R = N_R \oplus \ker(e)$ . Lemma 5.3(1) implies that  $\pi|_{N_R}: N_R \rightarrow M_R/s(M_R)$  is surjective if and only if  $\varphi|_{eE}: eE \rightarrow E/sE$  is surjective. By Lemma 5.1 this is the case if and only if  $\pi_2 s$  is a split epimorphism. This shows that  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus = \mathcal{F}$ , where the latter is defined as in Proposition 5.2. The claims about  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus$  therefore follow from the proposition.

It remains to show that the minimal elements of  $\mathcal{E}_\oplus$  are minimal in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $N_R \in \mathcal{E}_\oplus$  be minimal, and let  $e: M_R \rightarrow N_R$  be an idempotent with  $e(M_R) = N_R$ . From the proof of Proposition 5.2, we see that  $eE \rightarrow E/sE$  is a projective cover. Therefore Lemma 5.3(4) implies that  $\ker(\pi|_{N_R})$  is superfluous. Therefore, if  $L_R \leq N_R$  and  $\pi|_{L_R}$  is surjective, we have  $L_R + \ker(\pi|_{N_R}) = N_R$  and hence  $L_R = N_R$ , showing that  $N_R$  is minimal in  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the anonymous referee for pointing out and correcting a mistake in an earlier version of the proof of Lemma 5.3(4).

## References

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, “Rings and categories of modules”, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
2. P. M. Cohn, “Free rings and their relations”, second edition, London Mathematical Society Monographs **19**, Academic Press, London, 1985.
3. A. Facchini. “Module theory. Endomorphism rings and direct sum decompositions in some classes of modules”, Progress in Mathematics **167**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
4. K. R. Goodearl, “Von Neumann Regular Rings”, Second Edition, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Malabar, FL, 1991.
5. C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid, “The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds”, GTM **219**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
6. J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson. “Noncommutative Noetherian rings“, Graduate Studies in Math. **30**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. With the cooperation of L. W. Small.
7. I. Reiner, “Maximal orders”, London Math. Soc. Monographs **5**, Academic Press, London-New York, 1975.
8. S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Müller: Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **147**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
9. M.-F. Vignéras. “Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions”, Lecture Notes in Math. **800**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY  
E-mail address: facchini@math.unipd.it

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND WISSENSCHAFTLICHES RECHNEN, KARL-FRANZENS-UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA  
E-mail address: daniel.smertnig@uni-graz.at

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY  
E-mail address: nktung@math.unipd.it