

TREE-IRREDUCIBLE AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE GROUPS

MARTIN LUSTIG

To appear in: Extended Conference Abstracts, Spring 2013, CRM Documents, Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, Bellaterra (Barcelona)

Throughout this extended abstract of [8] we denote by F_N the non-abelian free group of finite rank $N \geq 2$. We assume familiarity of the reader with the basic terminology around automorphisms of free groups and Outer space; details as well as some back ground can be found for example in [7] or [9].

An outer automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ is called *iwip* (or *fully irreducible*) if no positive power φ^t fixes the conjugacy class of any non-trivial proper free factor $F_M \subset F_N$. Furthermore, φ is called *atoroidal* if no positive power φ^t fixes a non-trivial conjugacy class of elements of F_N . An automorphism of F_N is atoroidal if and only if it is *hyperbolic* (i.e. the associated mapping torus group $F_N \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$ is Gromov-hyperbolic). An iwip φ is not atoroidal if and only if φ is *surface* (i.e. φ is induced by a homeomorphism of a surface with boundary).

It follows directly from these definitions that $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ is iwip if and only if φ^{-1} is iwip as well, and that φ is atoroidal if and only if φ^{-1} is also atoroidal.

Atoroidal iwip automorphisms have been widely recognized as the closest possible analogues in $\text{Out}(F_N)$ of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes of surfaces. In particular, the following well known properties correspond directly to known properties of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes of a closed surface Σ , if one “translates” F_N into $\pi_1 \Sigma$, “basis” into “standard generating system”, “Outer space” into “Teichmüller space”, etc.:

Facts 1 ([1, 3, 5, 6]). *Let $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ be an iwip automorphism. Then the following holds:*

- (1) *There exists a stretching factor $\lambda_{\varphi} > 1$ such that every conjugacy class $[w] \subset F_N$ which is not φ -periodic has uniform exponential growth*

$$|\widehat{\varphi^t([w])}|_{\mathcal{A}} \sim \lambda_{\varphi}^t C_{[w]}^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Here \mathcal{A} is any “fixed” basis of F_N , $C_{[w]}^{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ is a constant which depends only on $[w]$ (and the choice of \mathcal{A}), $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes word length

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F, Secondary 20E, 57M.

Key words and phrases. \mathbb{R} -tree, indecomposable, iwip automorphism, Outer space.

in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}^{-1}$, and by \widehat{v} we mean the cyclically reduced word in \mathcal{A} which is given (up to cyclic permutation) by the conjugacy class $[v] \in F_N$.

[Moreover, if φ is atoroidal then the only φ -periodic conjugacy class is the trivial one.]

- (2) φ is represented by an (absolute) train track map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, with respect to some marking isomorphism $\pi_1 \Gamma \cong F_N$. The non-negative transition matrix $M(f)$ (defined by the action of f on the edges of Γ) is primitive, and its Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue is equal to λ_φ .
- (3) φ does not split over any very small graph-of-groups decomposition of F_N . Equivalently, there is no very small simplicial tree T with F_N -action by homeomorphisms such that φ “commutes” with some homeomorphisms $H : T \rightarrow T$. (By this we mean that there exists a representative $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_N)$ of φ which satisfies $\Phi(w)H = Hw : T \rightarrow T$ for every $w \in F_N$.)
- (4) There is an (up to rescaling) unique \mathbb{R} -tree $T_+ = T_+(\varphi)$, equipped with a very small action of F_N by isometries, which is projectively φ -invariant with stretching factor $\lambda_+ > 1$:

$$\|\varphi([w])\|_{T_+} = \lambda_+ \| [w] \|_{T_+}$$

for any $w \in F_N$, where $\|\cdot\|_{T_+}$ denotes the translation length on T_+ . Furthermore one has $\lambda_+ = \lambda_\varphi$ (as given in (1) above).

- (5) The tree $T_+(\varphi)$ is indecomposable. This means that for any two non-degenerate segments $I, J \subset T$ the segment J is contained in the union of finitely many translates $w_i \overset{\circ}{I}$ of the interior $\overset{\circ}{I}$ of I , with $w_i \overset{\circ}{I} \cap w_{i+1} \overset{\circ}{I} \neq \emptyset$.
[Moreover, if φ is atoroidal, then the action of F_N on $T_+(\varphi)$ is free.]
- (6) φ induces on the Thurston compactification \overline{CV}_N of Culler-Vogtmann’s Outer space CV_N a locally uniform North-South dynamics, where the sink is the projective class $[T_+(\varphi)]$, and the source is the projective class $[T_-(\varphi)]$, with $T_-(\varphi) = T_+(\varphi^{-1})$.

Since, as pointed out above, the assumption “ φ (atoroidal) iwip” is equivalent to “ φ^{-1} (atoroidal) iwip”, all of the above facts are true for φ^{-1} as well. The reader should however be warned that, contrary to the situation of pseudo-Anosov’s on surfaces, the stretching factor $\lambda_- := \lambda_{\varphi^{-1}}$ may well be different from $\lambda_+ = \lambda_\varphi$. For more information about the fine-structure of iwips and the subtle differences to pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms see [2].

If one considers a surface Σ with precisely one boundary component, and a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, then the induced outer automorphism $\varphi = h_*$ on $F_N = \pi_1 \Sigma$ is iwip, but not atoroidal. Indeed, all iwips φ which are not atoroidal arise precisely in this way.

Facts 2. *Let $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ be induced by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ of a surface Σ with 1 or more boundary components, via some isomorphism $F_N \cong \pi_1\Sigma$. Then one has:*

- (1) φ satisfies all of the properties (1) - (6) of Facts 1.
- (2) However, if Σ has at least 2 boundary components, then φ is not fully irreducible (= iwip).

The proof of fact (2) is obvious, since each boundary curve C_i of Σ generates a cyclic subgroup which, in the presence of at least one more boundary component $C_j \neq C_i$, is a free factor of $\pi_1\Sigma$, and some power of h maps C_i to itself. The reader should note here that, contrary to the situation for pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms on a surface, the negation of “iwip” is for a free group automorphisms φ in general a much weaker property than the existence of a φ -invariant small graph-of-groups decomposition of F_N .

In view of these facts it seems clear that for many purposes the notion of iwip automorphisms is too restrictive. We propose here the following definition as a useful class of free group automorphisms:

Definition 3. An automorphism $\psi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ is called *tree-irreducible* if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) There is no very small graph-of-groups decomposition of F_N which is preserved by ψ .
- (2) There is an (up to rescaling) unique \mathbb{R} -tree $T_+ = T_+(\psi)$ with very small action of F_N by isometries that is projectively ψ -invariant with stretching factor $\lambda_+ > 1$.
- (3) The tree $T_+(\psi)$ is indecomposable.
[However, the action of F_N on $T_+(\psi)$ is in general not free.]
- (4) The outer automorphism ψ fixes every conjugacy class of any elliptic subgroup of T . Equivalently, if $x \in T$ is a point with non-trivial stabilizer $\text{stab}_{F_N}(x) \subset F_N$, then φ fixes the F_N -orbit of the subgroup $\text{stab}_{F_N}(x)$, and it induces on it the trivial outer automorphism.

It turns out that this definition is not minimal: one can deduce property (1) as well as the uniqueness in property (2) from the remaining properties in Definition 3. On the other hand, the following is not totally obvious:

Lemma 4. *The inverse of any tree-irreducible $\psi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ is also tree-irreducible.*

The properties (1) and (2) (the latter slightly adjusted) of Facts 1 also hold for tree-irreducible automorphisms. The most interesting property however, given its wide use for iwips, seems to be property (6). It turns out that property (3) of Definition 3 is the main ingredient in the proof given in [6] for iwips, so that its main part can be applied word-by-word to tree-irreducible automorphisms as well. We obtain:

Theorem 5. *Every tree-irreducible automorphism $\psi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ induces on the compactified Outer space \overline{CV}_N a locally uniform North-South dynamics.*

As in the iwip case, the sink of this North-South dynamics is the projective class $[T_+(\psi)]$, and the source is the projective class $[T_-(\psi)]$, with $T_-(\psi) = T_+(\psi^{-1})$.

The second main reason why one may consider seriously tree-irreducible automorphisms of F_N comes from train-track technology: Bestvina-Handel, in their celebrated paper [1], have shown that every automorphism $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ possesses a relative train-track representative, i.e. there is a graph Γ with marking isomorphisms $F_N \cong \pi_1\Gamma$ such that φ is induced by a self-map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, and there exists an f -invariant filtration into not-necessarily connected subgraphs $\Gamma = \Gamma_r \supset \Gamma_{r-1} \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_0$, such that on each stratum Γ_i , considered modulo Γ_{i-1} , the restriction of f is either zero, periodic, or train track with primitive transition matrix. After rising f to a suitable positive power f^t it follows that φ^t can be written as *semi-commuting product* (see [8]) $\varphi_s \circ \varphi_{s-1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1$, where each φ_i is either a Dehn twist automorphism, or else it is, up to an enlargement of the elliptic subgroups, a tree-irreducible automorphism.

The “enlargement technique” in the previous paragraph, however, is more delicate than one may suspect at first sight. In particular, we use this technique to exhibit the first explicit examples of the following kind:

Proposition 6. *There exist \mathbb{R} -trees T with very small action of F_N by isometries which are not indecomposable, but do also not decompose as dusted action or as very small graph-of-actions.*

The interest in this subtle phenomenon comes from the fact that the opposite statement, but with the words “very small” before “graph-of-actions” erased, has been recently proved by Guirardel-Levitt [4].

Finally, in the last part of [8] a technique is presented for producing tree-irreducible automorphisms from fully irreducible ones by “puncturing the singularities of the expanding lamination”, in analogy to what is often done for pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of surfaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bestvina, and M. Handel, *Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups*. Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), 1–51
- [2] T. Coulbois and A. Hilion, *Botany of irreducible automorphisms of free groups*. Pacific J. Math. **256** (2012), 291–307
- [3] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion and P. Reynolds, *Indecomposable F_N -trees and minimal laminations*.
(arXiv math.GR 1110.3506)
- [4] V. Guirardel and G. Levitt, work in progress
- [5] I. Kapovich and M. Lustig, *Invariant laminations for irreducible automorphisms of free groups*, to appear in Quart. J. Math.
(arXiv math.GR 1104.1265)

- [6] G. Levitt and M. Lustig, *Irreducible automorphisms of F_n have North-South dynamics on compactified outer space*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), 59–72
- [7] M. Lustig, *Conjugacy and centralizers for iwip automorphisms of free groups*. In “Geometric Group Theory : Geneva and Barcelona Conferences”, eds. G. Arzhantseva, L. Bartholdi, J. Burillo, E. Ventura, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser 2007, pp. 197–224
- [8] M. Lustig, *Tree-irreducible automorphisms of free groups*. Preliminary preprint, 2012
- [9] K. Vogtmann, *Automorphisms of Free Groups and Outer Space*. Geometriae Dedicata **94** (2002), 1–31

LATP, CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, 39, RUE F. JOLIOT CURIE, 13453 MARSEILLE 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: `Martin.Lustig@univ-amu.fr`