

# A new proof of Vassiliev's conjecture

Igor Nikonov

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,  
Moscow State University, Russia  
nikonov@mech.math.msu.su

October 17, 2018

## Abstract

In the paper [6] on finite type invariants of self-intersecting curves, V.A. Vassiliev conjectured a criterion of planarity of framed four-valent graphs, i.e. 4-graphs with an opposite edge structure at each vertex. The conjecture was proved by V.O. Manturov [4]. We give here another proof of Vassiliev's planarity criterion of framed four-valent graphs (and more generally, (even)  $\ast$ -graphs), which is based on Pontryagin–Kuratowski theorem.

## 1 Introduction

**Definition 1.** A  $\ast$ -graph is a graph for which at each vertex an unoriented cyclic order of the outgoing half-edges is given. The *unoriented cyclic order* can be determined by a bijection from the half-edges to the vertices of a cycle graph. Half-edges which are mapped to adjacent vertices are called *adjacent*.

We call a  $\ast$ -graph *even* if each vertex of the graph has even degree.

*Remark 1.* If all the vertices of a  $\ast$ -graph are of order 4 then we have a framed four-valent graph considered in [4, 6].

**Definition 2.** An *embedding* of a  $\ast$ -graph  $G$  into a surface  $S$  is an embedding of  $G$  (as an ordinary graph) into  $S$  which is compatible with  $\ast$ -structure, i.e. for any vertex  $v$  of  $G$  the cyclic order on the half-edges at  $v$  induced by the embedding must coincide with the cyclic order of the  $\ast$ -graph at  $v$ .

A  $\ast$ -graph is called *planar* if there is an embedding of it into the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .




Figure 1: Intersection of paths at a vertex




Figure 2: Vertex chord diagram

**Definition 3.** Let  $v$  be a vertex of a  $\ast$ -graph  $G$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be paths in  $G$  which have no common edges. Assume that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  go through the vertex  $v$ , and  $e, e'$  (correspondingly,  $f, f'$ ) are the half-edges of the path  $\gamma_1$  (correspondingly,  $\gamma_2$ ) incident to  $v$ . We say that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  *intersect transversely* at  $v$  if the pairs of half-edges  $e, e'$  and  $f, f'$  alternate in the unoriented cyclic order at  $v$  (see fig. 1).

*Remark 2.* The paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  can pass several times through  $v$  and have several transversal intersection at the vertex  $v$ . In order to distinguish intersection points we can consider the following construction. Let  $e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , be the pairs of consecutive (half)edges of the paths  $\gamma_1$  which are incident to  $v$ . Analogously, we denote the edges of the path  $\gamma_2$  incident to  $v$  as  $f_{2j-1}, f_{2j}$ ,  $i = j, \dots, l$ . The unoriented cyclic order at the vertex  $v$  defines a bijection from half-edges to vertices of a cyclic graph. Draw this cyclic graph on the plane as a circle with marked points on it. For any  $i = 1, \dots, k$  ( $j = \dots, l$ ) connect the points that correspond to the edges  $e_{2i-1}$  and  $e_{2i}$  (edges  $f_{2j-1}$  and  $f_{2j}$ ) with a line segment. We shall call the obtained graph as *vertex chord diagram* of  $v$  (see fig. 2). Then the transversal intersection at the vertex correspond to intersections of the chords. For example, the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in fig. 2 have one transversal intersection (and there is a transversal self-intersection of the path  $\gamma_2$ ).

Now we can formulate the main theorem of the paper.

**Theorem 1** (Vassiliev’s planarity criterion for even  $\ast$ -graphs). *An even  $\ast$ -graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a pair of cycles without common edges and with exactly one transversal intersection.*

*Remark 3.* This criterion is not valid for non even  $\ast$ -graphs, a counterexample is the graph  $K_{3,3}$ . This graph appears to be the only additional obstruction to planarity of an arbitrary  $\ast$ -graph.

**Theorem 2** (Planarity criterion for  $\ast$ -graphs). *An  $\ast$ -graph is not planar if and only if it contains a pair of cycles without common edges and with exactly one transversal intersection or contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$ .*

*Remark 4.* Vassiliev’s conjecture was originally formulated for framed 4-valent graphs [6] and was proved under that restriction by V.O. Manturov [4]. Later T. Friesen generalized the result to  $\ast$ -graphs with vertices of degree 4 or 6 [1]. The approach developed by Manturov was based on considering rotating Euler circuits of the graph and allowed not only to prove the planarity criterion but also to give an estimation of the genus of the graph (more accurately, the minimal genus of the surface where the graph can be embedded so that its  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology class is trivial) [5, 2].

*Remark 5.* As I learned from S. Chmutov, an approach to Vassiliev’s conjecture (for framed 4-valent graphs) similar to the one described here was found by A. Kieger [3] who independently introduced web graphs and proved Proposition 1 below. However, he did not finish consideration of all the cases needed for Theorem 1.

*Remark 6.* We can suppose that the transversal cycles in the theorem 1 are simple. Indeed, let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be cycles with one transversal intersection. If  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  is not simple then it can be reduced to a simple one the following way. Assume that cycle  $C_1$  goes several times through a vertex  $v$  of the graph and consider the vertex chord diagram at  $v$ . Then the diagram contains chords that belong to the cycle  $C_1$ . There are chords  $e_1e_2$  and  $e_3e_4$  of  $C_1$  such that the diagram circle does not contain between  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  any ends of chords of  $C_1$ . If there are no ends of chords between  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  (see fig. 3 top) then we can split the cycle  $C_1$  into two cycles  $C'_1$  and  $C''_1$  one of which has transversal intersection with  $C_2$  and has less self-intersections than  $C_1$ . So assume that there are some chord ends of  $C_2$  between  $e_2$  and  $e_3$ . If those ends belong to different chords we can reduce the cycle  $C_2$  by the reasoning above. If there is one or two end of a chord of  $C_2$  between  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  then we can split the cycle  $C_1$  and take the half with intersects transversely with  $C_2$  (fig. 3 middle and bottom). After all reductions we get two cycles which have one transversal intersection and each cycle can go through any vertex of the graph only once.




Figure 3: Reduction of transversal cycles

For ordinary graphs, a known planarity criterion is Pontryagin–Kuratowski theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Pontryagin–Kuratowski planarity criterion). *A graph is planar if and only if it contains a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  (fig. 4).*

## 2 The proof

In order to deduce Vassiliev’s criterion from Pontryagin–Kuratowski planarity criterion one need to find a way to assign to a  $\ast$ -graph an ordinary graph whose planarity is closely related to the planarity of the  $\ast$ -graph.




Figure 4: Graphs  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$

**Definition 4.** Let be  $G$  be a  $\ast$ -graph. We construct the *web graph*  $\tilde{G}$  of the graph  $G$  by converting each vertex of  $G$  into a *web*: on every half-edge incident to a given vertex of  $G$  we set a new vertex and connect the new vertices of adjacent half-edges with an edge (see fig. 5). Informally speaking, we draw a circle around every vertex of  $G$ .




Figure 5: The web

We call the outgoing half-edges of a web as *directions*. There is an unoriented order on the directions of a web induced from the  $\ast$ -structure of the graph.

*Remark 7.* The web graph  $\tilde{G}$  possesses a natural structure of a  $\ast$ -graph but we shall consider  $\tilde{G}$  only as an ordinary graph.

The web graph  $\tilde{G}$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $G$  (one should remove the edges on circles of the webs from  $\tilde{G}$ ). On the other hand, there is a projection  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  which maps any web in  $\tilde{G}$  to the corresponding vertex of  $G$ .

The following technical result will be useful in constructing transversal cycles below.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be paths in the web graph  $\tilde{G}$  which don't intersect internally, i.e.  $(\gamma_1 \setminus \partial\gamma_1) \cap (\gamma_2 \setminus \partial\gamma_2) = \emptyset$ . Then the paths*

$\pi(\gamma_1)$  and  $\pi(\gamma_2)$  in  $G$  have no transversal intersections unless one of the paths becomes closed after projection and one of the following situations takes place:

- the ends of the path  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1$  or  $2$ , lie in one web  $W$  and are separated by the path  $\gamma_{3-i}$ , i.e. the ends lie in different components of the graph  $W \setminus \gamma_{3-i}$  (see fig. 6 left);
- the ends of the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  lie in one web  $W$  and the corresponding directions alternate in the unoriented cyclic order of  $W$  (see fig. 6 right).




Figure 6: Configurations of paths that produce transversal intersections

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  go through a web  $W$  and their projections have a transversal intersection at the vertex  $\pi(W)$  then the half-edges of  $\pi(\gamma_1)$  and  $\pi(\gamma_2)$  must alternate in the unoriented cyclic order at  $\pi(W)$ , so the directions of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  at the web  $W$  alternate too. Then the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  must have an intersection point in  $W$ .

Thus, transversal intersection can only appear when some path becomes closed. Let the path  $\gamma_1$  start and end in a web  $W$  and  $\gamma_2$  go through  $W$ . If the ends of  $\gamma_1$  are separated by an arc in  $\gamma_2 \cap W$  then the initial and final directions of  $\gamma_1$  at  $W$  and the direction of the arc in  $\gamma_2$  alternate in the cyclic order, so the corresponding edges of  $\pi(\gamma_1)$  and  $\pi(\gamma_2)$  alternate too and a transversal intersection appears. If the ends of  $\gamma_1$  are not separated then we can embed the web  $W$  in a disk  $D_W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and connect the ends of  $\gamma_1$  in  $D_W$  with an arc  $\delta \subset D_W \setminus (\gamma_2 \cap W)$ . Then  $\delta$  and the initial and final part of  $\gamma_1$  splits  $D_W$  into two components and any arc  $\gamma_2 \cap W$  lies in one of these components. This ensures that the initial and final directions of  $\gamma_1$  at  $W$  and the direction of the arc in  $\gamma_2$  don't alternate, hence the intersection  $\pi(\gamma_1)$  and  $\pi(\gamma_2)$  is not transversal.

The case when the ends of both the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  lie in one web can be considered analogously.  $\square$

Consideration of the web graph is justified by the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *A  $\ast$ -graph  $G$  is planar if and only if its web graph  $\tilde{G}$  is planar (as an ordinary graph).*

*Proof.* Indeed, if there is an embedding of  $G$  into plane that conserves the unoriented cyclic order at each vertex then one can extend the embedding to an embedding of  $\tilde{G}$  by drawing small circles around the images of the vertices of  $G$ .

On the other hand, if there is an embedding of  $\tilde{G}$  we can take the restriction of the embedding to the subgraph of  $\tilde{G}$  isomorphic to  $G$ . It will be an embedding of  $G$  provided it preserves the cyclic order at vertices. It is suffice to show that the unoriented cyclic order does not change for any four directions of any web in  $\tilde{G}$ . So, assume that for some web with the central vertex  $O$  and non-central vertices  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  (arranged according the unoriented cyclic order) the order of the directions  $OA_1, OA_2, OA_3, OA_4$  is changed by the embedding to  $OA_1, OA_3, OA_2, OA_4$ . Then we can construct an embedding of  $K_5$  into plane as follows (see fig. 7). Consider the subgraph of the embedded graph, which contains the edges  $OA_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and the circle of the web. We take points  $A'_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , on the edges  $OA_i$  near the point  $O$ . Since the cyclic order was changes we can add edges  $A'_1A'_3, A'_3A'_2, A'_2A'_4$  and  $A'_4A'_3$  to the subgraph. Then the new edges, edges  $OA'_i, A'_iA_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and paths  $A_1A_2$  and  $A_3A_4$ , which lies on the web circle, form an embedded graph isomorphic to  $K_5$ . The contradiction with Pontryagin–Kuratowski theorem implies the embedding must be compatible with the  $\ast$ -structure.  $\square$




Figure 7: Embedding of  $K_5$  induced by wrong cyclic order

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We start the proof of theorem 1 with the simple part. Let  $G$  be a  $\ast$ -graph that contains a pair of cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  which has exactly one transversal intersection. According remark 6, we can suppose  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  to be simple cycles. Since  $G$  embeds in its web graph  $\tilde{G}$ , the cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  can be considered as

cycles in  $\tilde{G}$ . We modify the cycles as following: we separate the cycles in the webs where they have nontransversal intersections and add the circle of the web where the cycles have transversal intersection (see fig. 8). The resulting subgraph will be isomorphic to  $K_5$  so the graph  $\tilde{G}$  as well as the  $\ast$ -graph  $G$  will be nonplanar.




Figure 8: Construction of  $K_5$  from a pair of transversal cycles

Now let  $G$  be a nonplanar even  $\ast$ -graph. Then its web graph  $\tilde{G}$  is nonplanar too. By Pontryagin–Kuratowski theorem  $\tilde{G}$  contains a subgraph  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$ . Later we shall ignore the vertices of  $\Gamma$  of degree 2 and assume that a *vertex* of  $\Gamma$  has always degree 3 (when  $\Gamma \simeq K_{3,3}$ ) or 4 (when  $\Gamma \simeq K_5$ ) and an *edge* of  $\Gamma$  is in fact a path in  $\Gamma$  whose inner vertices are of degree two.

*Remark 8.* Let  $W$  be a web in  $\tilde{G}$ . Since the graph  $W$  is planar we can embed it and its outgoing half-edges into a disk  $D_W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Denote the part of the part of  $\Gamma$  which lie inside  $D_W$  as  $\Gamma_W$ . This part can be considered as a plane graph with internal vertices of degree 3 or 4 and boundary vertices on degree 1 which lie on the boundary of the disk  $D_W$  (see fig. 9). Let  $\bar{\Gamma}_W$  be the union of components of  $\Gamma_W$  which contain internal vertices and  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  be the full subgraph of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W$  spanned on its internal vertices. Remark that the graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W$  is determined up to isomorphism by  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$ .

Let  $v_1, v_2$  be adjacent vertices of  $\Gamma$  such that  $v_1 \in W$  and the edge  $v_1v_2 \subset \Gamma$  does not lie in  $W$ . We define the *direction*  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2$  of the edge  $v_1v_2$  as the outgoing half-edge of  $W$  which appears first in the edge  $v_1v_2$  (i.e. the path in  $\Gamma$  that connects  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ).

The rest of the proof of the main theorem is essentially a search through possible configurations for plane graphs  $\Gamma_W$ .






Figure 9: The web disk

Case  $K_5$ . The subgraph  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $K_5$ .

Denote the vertices of  $\Gamma$  as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Case  $K_5$ .a. There is a web  $W$  that contains only one vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $1 \in W$  and that directions of the edges incident to 1 go in the following cyclic order at  $W$ :  $1 \rightarrow 2, 1 \rightarrow 3, 1 \rightarrow 4, 1 \rightarrow 5$ . Consider the paths  $\gamma_1 = 1241$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1351$  in  $\Gamma$  (see. fig. 10). By lemma 1 the projections  $\pi(\gamma_1)$  and  $\pi(\gamma_2)$  form a pair of cycles with one transversal intersection.




Figure 10: Case  $K_5$ .a : one vertex in the web

Case  $K_5$ .b. There is a web  $W$  that contains exactly two vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

We can assume that  $W$  contains the vertices 1 and 2. Then vertices 1 and 2 are connected inside  $W$  (i.e.  $12 \subset W$ ) through the central vertex of  $W$ . Let  $i, j, k$  (corr.,  $k', j', i'$ ) be the numbers of vertices given in the cyclic order of the directions of edges connecting them to the vertex 1 (corr., 2), see fig. 11. Then  $\{i, j, k\} = \{i', j', k'\} = \{3, 4, 5\}$ .

Case  $K_5$ .b.1. The orders of edges incident to the vertices 1 and 2 are not compatible ( $(i, j, k) \neq (i', j', k')$ ).




Figure 11: Case  $K_5.b$  : two vertices in the web

Let  $i \neq i'$ . We can suppose that  $i = 3, i' = 5$ . Then by lemma 1 the projections of paths  $\gamma_1 = 132$  and  $\gamma_2 = 152$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction in  $G$  (see fig. 12). The case  $k \neq k'$  is considered analogously.




Figure 12: Cases  $K_5.b.1$  (left) and  $K_5.b.2$  (right)

Case  $K_5.b.2$ . The orders of edges incident to the vertices 1 and 2 are compatible  $((i, j, k) = (i', j', k'))$ .

We can assume that  $i = 3, j = 4, k = 5$ . Then paths  $\gamma_1 = 142$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1352$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 12).

Case  $K_5.c$ . There is no webs which contains one or two vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

Then all the vertices lie in the same web  $W$ . Since for any vertex of  $\Gamma$  one of edges incident to it goes through the center of the web, the central vertex of  $W$  is a vertex of  $\Gamma$  and the other four vertices of  $\Gamma$  lie in the web circle. Enumerate the vertices of  $\Gamma$  so that 1 be the central and the vertices 2, 3, 4, 5 follow in the given cyclic order (see fig. 13). Then the vertices 2 and 4 (3 and 5) can not be connected inside the web, so the directions  $2 \rightarrow 4$ ,  $3 \rightarrow 5$ ,  $4 \rightarrow 2$  and  $5 \rightarrow 3$  are defined. Moreover, the directions are cyclically arranged as written above. Hence, paths  $\gamma_1 = 1241$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1351$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Case  $K_{3,3}$ . The subgraph  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$ .




Figure 13: Case  $K_{5,c}$  : five vertices in the web

We denote the vertices of  $\Gamma$  as  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  so that the vertices of different parity are adjacent.

Case  $K_{3,3,a}$ . There is a web  $W$  that contains exactly two vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

Case  $K_{3,3,a.1}$ . The two vertices in  $W$  are connected in  $W$ .

We can assume that  $1, 2 \in W$ . Enumerate the other vertices so that the directions of edges incident to the vertices 1 and 2 follow in the cyclic order  $1 \rightarrow 4, 1 \rightarrow 6, 2 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 3$  (see fig. 14). Then paths  $\gamma_1 = 1452$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1632$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction.




Figure 14: Case  $K_{3,3,a.1}$  : two connected vertices in the web

Case  $K_{3,3,a.2}$ . The two vertices in  $W$  are not separated, i.e. they can be connected in  $D_W$  with an arc which does not intersect  $\Gamma$ .

There are two possibilities: the vertices in  $W$  are either adjacent in  $\Gamma$  or not (see fig. 15). In the first case, we can use the reasonings of the case  $K_{3,3,a.1}$ ; in the last case, we can follow the reasonings of the case  $K_{5,b}$ .




Figure 15: Case  $K_{3,3}.a.2$  : two nonconnected nonseparated vertices in the web

Case  $K_{3,3}.a.3$ . The two vertices in  $W$  are separated by an arc of  $\Gamma \cap W$ .

*Remark 1.* There can be only one separating arc in a web because the arc must contain the central vertex of the web.

We can suppose that  $W$  contains the vertices 1 and 2 (if the vertices are adjacent in  $\Gamma$ ) or 1 and 3 (if they are not adjacent). Denote by  $i, j, k, k', j', i'$  the number of vertices arranged according the cyclic order of directions of edges incident to 1 or 2 (or 1 or 3), and let the separating arc belong to the edge  $pq$  (see fig. 16).




Figure 16: Cases  $K_{3,3}.a.3.1$  (left) and  $K_{3,3}.a.3.2$  (right): two separated vertices in the web

Case  $K_{3,3}.a.3.1$ . The two separated vertices in  $W$  are adjacent. If  $\{p, q\} = \{1, 2\}$  then we can substitute the edge 12 with an arc, which connects 1 and 2 inside  $D_W$ , and apply the reasonings of the case  $K_{3,3}.a.1$ . If  $\{p, q\} \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$ , for instance,  $p = 3, q = 4$  then the paths  $\gamma_1 = 12$  and  $\gamma_2 = 34563$  yield a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 17 left). Finally,  $|\{p, q\} \cap \{1, 2\}| = 1$ , for instance,  $p = 1, q = 4$  then the paths we should choose depend on the position of the direction  $1 \rightarrow 2$ . If the direction  $1 \rightarrow 2$  is not separated in the cyclic order from directions  $2 \rightarrow i$  by the directions  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $1 \rightarrow 6$  (see fig. 17 middle) then we take  $\gamma_1 = 12$  and  $\gamma_2 = 14361$ . If the direction  $1 \rightarrow 2$  lies in the cyclic order between  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $1 \rightarrow 6$  (see fig. 17

right) then we can assume that the directions  $2 \rightarrow 1$  and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  are adjacent in the cyclic order (otherwise we interchange the numbers of the vertices 3 and 5) and take  $\gamma_1 = 12341$  and  $\gamma_2 = 1652$ . In either case the projections of the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  form a pair of transversal cycles.




Figure 17: Case  $K_{3,3}.a.3.1$ : two separated adjacent vertices in the web

Case  $K_{3,3}.a.3.1$ . The two separated vertices in  $W$  are not adjacent. Let  $\{p, q\} \cap \{1, 3\} = \emptyset$ , for instance,  $p = 2, q = 5$ . We can suppose that the directions  $1 \rightarrow 2$  and  $1 \rightarrow 4$  are adjacent in the cyclic order (otherwise we interchange the numbers of the vertices 4 and 6), see fig. 18 left. Then the paths  $\gamma_1 = 12541$  and  $\gamma_2 = 163$  gives a Vassiliev's obstruction after projection to  $G$ . Another possibility is that  $p$  or  $q$  is equal 1 or 3, for example,  $p = 1, q = 2$ . We can assume again that the directions  $1 \rightarrow 2$  and  $1 \rightarrow 6$  are adjacent (see fig. 18 right). Then we should take again the paths  $\gamma_1 = 12541$  and  $\gamma_2 = 163$  and get a Vassiliev's obstruction from them.




Figure 18: Case  $K_{3,3}.a.3.2$  : two separated nonadjacent vertices in the web

Thus, the case  $K_{3,3}.a$  is completely investigated.

*Remark 2.* If the number of vertices of  $\Gamma$  in a web  $W$  is greater than 2 we can use the following reductions.

If there is a separating arc then it splits the disc  $D_W$  into two halves. Denote  $D'$  to be the half which contains not less than two vertices of  $\Gamma$  (see fig. 19 left). Then  $D'$  contains less or equal number of vertices of  $\Gamma$  than the disc  $D_W$ . Moreover, the cyclic order of directions of edges outgoing from  $D'$  coincides with the cyclic order in  $D_W$ . Hence if we construct a pair of paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  whose directions alternate in the cyclic order of  $D'$  (as we did above) then the same will be true in the cyclic order  $D_W$ . Hence, the projections of the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in  $G$  will be a pair of transversal cycles.

If the graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W$  (or  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$ ) is not connected then the disc  $D_W$  can be separated with an arc so that one of the halves  $D'$  of the disc contains less vertices of  $\Gamma$  than  $D_W$  but contains at least two vertices. The reasonings of the previous paragraph show that we can construct the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  considering the part of  $\Gamma$  which lies in  $D'$ .




Figure 19: Reductions for a separated (left) and nonconnected (right) cases

Thus, later on we need to consider only nonseparated cases with connected graphs  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  must be a planar subgraph of  $K_{3,3}$ .

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .b. There is a web  $W$  which contains three vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Up to isomorphism there is only one connected subgraph in  $K_{3,3}$  with three vertices. So let the web contain the vertices 1, 2 and 3 and the vertices be connected inside  $W$ . Without loss of generality we can suppose that the directions of edges incident to the vertices 1, 2 and 3 follow in the cyclic order  $1 \rightarrow 4, 1 \rightarrow 6, 2 \rightarrow 5$  then directions of the vertex 3 (see fig. 20). Then the paths  $\gamma_1 = 1452$  and  $\gamma_2 = 163$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .c. There is a web  $W$  which contains four vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Up to isomorphism there are three connected subgraphs in  $K_{3,3}$  with four vertices (see fig. 21).

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .c.1. The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree with a vertex of degree 3. Then there are two vertices among 2, 4, 6, for instance 2 and 4, such that the directions of the edges incident to these vertices are arranged in the unoriented cyclic order as follows:  $2 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 5, 4 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 5$




Figure 20: Case  $K_{3,3}.b$  : three vertices in the web




Figure 21: Connected subgraphs of  $K_{3,3}$  with 4 vertices

(see fig. 22). Then the projections of the paths  $\gamma_1 = 234$  and  $\gamma_2 = 254$  form a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Case  $K_{3,3}.c.2$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree without vertices of degree 3.

We suppose that the vertices are enumerated as shown in fig. 21.2). There are two possibilities: the directions of the edges 25 and 36 can be either separated by the directions of edges incident to the vertices 1 and 4 or not. If the directions  $2 \rightarrow 5$  and  $3 \rightarrow 6$  are not separated (see fig. 23 left) then we choose the paths  $\gamma_1 = 163$  and  $\gamma_2 = 254$ . If they are separated (see fig. 23 right) we take the paths  $\gamma_1 = 14$  and  $\gamma_2 = 2563$ . In both cases the paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  yield a pair of transversal cycles in  $G$ .




Figure 22: Case  $K_{3,3}.c.1$

Case  $K_{3,3}.c.3$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a cycle. A Vassiliev's obstruction is obtained if we take the paths  $\gamma_1 = 163$




Figure 23: Case  $K_{3,3}.c.2$

and  $\gamma_2 = 254$  (see fig. 24).




Figure 24: Case  $K_{3,3}.c.3$

There is a web  $W$  which contains five vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

*Remark 3.* The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  can not contain the graph  $K_{2,3}$  (see fig. 25). Indeed, if the graph  $K_{2,3} \subset \bar{\Gamma}_W^{int} \subset \Gamma$  is embedded into the web  $W$  then one of the vertices of  $K_{2,3}$  of degree 2 lies in the center of the web, whereas the edges between the other vertices  $K_{2,3}$  cover the circle of the web. Hence the vertex of  $\Gamma \setminus K_{2,3}$  lies outside the web  $W$  and the central vertex can not be connected with it by a path which does not intersect the other edges of the graph  $\Gamma$ .




Figure 25: Forbidden subgraph of  $K_{3,3}$

Excluding the forbidden graph, there are three connected subgraphs in  $K_{3,3}$  with five vertices (see fig. 26) up to isomorphism.






Figure 26: Admissible connected subgraphs of  $K_{3,3}$  with 5 vertices

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .d.1. The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree without vertices of degree 3.

Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 26.1).

Assume first, that the directions of the edges incident to the vertices 3 and 5 go in the cyclic order as follows:  $3 \rightarrow 4$ ,  $5 \rightarrow 2$ ,  $5 \rightarrow 6$ ,  $3 \rightarrow 6$ . If the direction  $2 \rightarrow 5$  lies in the cyclic order between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 6$  then the paths  $\gamma_1 = 3655$  and  $\gamma_2 = 25$  give a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 27 left). The same reasonings work if the direction  $4 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 6$ . Hence, we can suppose that the directions  $2 \rightarrow 5$  and  $4 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 4$  and  $5 \rightarrow 2$  (see fig. 27 middle). Then the projections of the paths  $\gamma_1 = 25$  and  $\gamma_2 = 34$  will have one transversal intersection.

Let the cyclic order of the directions of the edges incident to the vertices 3 and 5 be  $3 \rightarrow 4$ ,  $3 \rightarrow 6$ ,  $5 \rightarrow 2$ ,  $5 \rightarrow 6$ . Repeating the reasonings of the previous paragraph we can construct a Vassiliev's obstruction if the direction  $2 \rightarrow 5$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 4$  and  $5 \rightarrow 6$  or the the direction  $4 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 2$ . So we assume that  $2 \rightarrow 5$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 2$  and  $4 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 4$  and  $5 \rightarrow 6$ . Without loss of generality we can suppose that the direction  $1 \rightarrow 6$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 2$  (see fig. 27 right). Then a Vassiliev's obstruction is given by the paths 165 and 25.




Figure 27: Case  $K_{3,3}$ .d.1

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .d.2. The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree with a vertex of degree 3. Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 26.2).

generality we can suppose that the direction  $1 \rightarrow 6$  lies between the directions of the vertex 2 and the directions of the vertex 3.

Let the direction  $3 \rightarrow 6$  (and directions of the vertex 2) separate the direction  $1 \rightarrow 6$  from the direction  $3 \rightarrow 2$  (see fig. 28 left). Then a Vassiliev's obstruction can be obtained from the paths 365 and 25.

Thus, we can assume that the direction  $3 \rightarrow 2$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $1 \rightarrow 6$ . Then the paths 163 and 23 give a Vassiliev's obstruction.




Figure 28: Case  $K_{3,3}.d.2$

Case  $K_{3,3}.d.3$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  contains a cycle, see fig. 26.3). In this case we should take the projections of the paths 163 and 45 (see fig. 29).




Figure 29: Case  $K_{3,3}.d.3$

Case  $K_{3,3}.e$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  contains 6 vertices. There are six connected subgraphs in  $K_{3,3}$  with 6 vertices which don't contain the forbidden graph (see fig. 30)

Case  $K_{3,3}.e.1$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree without vertices of degree 3.

Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.1).

Assume first, that the directions of the edges incident to the vertices 1 and 6 go in the cyclic order as follows:  $1 \rightarrow 4$ ,  $1 \rightarrow 6$ ,  $6 \rightarrow 3$ ,  $6 \rightarrow 1$ . If the direction  $4 \rightarrow 1$  lies in the cyclic order between  $1 \rightarrow 6$  and  $6 \rightarrow 3$  then the paths 14 and 16 give a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 31.1). The same reasonings work if the direction  $3 \rightarrow 6$  lies between  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $6 \rightarrow 1$ . Hence, we can suppose that the direction




Figure 30: Admissible connected subgraphs of  $K_{3,3}$  with 6 vertices

$4 \rightarrow 1$  lies between  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $6 \rightarrow 1$  and the direction  $3 \rightarrow 6$  lies between  $1 \rightarrow 6$  and  $6 \rightarrow 3$ . Look at the position of the direction  $2 \rightarrow 5$ . If it lies between  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $6 \rightarrow 1$  (see fig. 31.2) then we take the paths 14 and 25. If the direction 25 lies between  $1 \rightarrow 6$  and  $6 \rightarrow 3$  (see fig. 31.3) then we take the paths 163 and 25. The projections of the chosen paths form a Vassiliev's obstruction in the graph  $G$ .

So, let the cyclic order of the directions of the edges incident to the vertices 1 and 6 be  $1 \rightarrow 6, 1 \rightarrow 4, 6 \rightarrow 3, 6 \rightarrow 1$ . If the direction  $4 \rightarrow 1$  lies in the cyclic order between  $1 \rightarrow 6$  and  $6 \rightarrow 1$  then the paths 14 and 16 give a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 31.4). Analogously, we get an obstruction if the direction  $3 \rightarrow 6$  lies between  $1 \rightarrow 6$  and  $6 \rightarrow 1$ . Hence, suppose that the directions  $4 \rightarrow 1$  and  $3 \rightarrow 6$  lie between  $1 \rightarrow 4$  and  $6 \rightarrow 6$  (see fig. 31.5). Then the paths 14 and 36 give a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Case  $K_{3,3}$ .e.2. The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree with one vertex of degree 3.

Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.2).

Let the cyclic order of the directions be as follows:  $2 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 5$ , directions of the vertex 3, directions of the vertex 5 (see fig. 32.1). Then the paths 23 and 25 give a Vassiliev's obstruction. Hence, later we can suppose that the order is:  $2 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 3$ , directions of the vertex 3, directions of the vertex 5.

Let the direction  $3 \rightarrow 6$  follow the direction  $2 \rightarrow 3$  in the cyclic order (see fig. 32.2). Then a Vassiliev's obstruction can be obtained from the paths 23 and 36. Hence, hereinafter we can suppose that the direction  $3 \rightarrow 2$  follows  $2 \rightarrow 3$  and (symmetrically)  $2 \rightarrow 5$  follows  $5 \rightarrow 2$ . Thus, the cyclic order of the directions of the vertices 2, 3, 5 will be  $2 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 6, 5 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 2$ .




Figure 31: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.1$

Look at the position of the direction  $4 \rightarrow 5$ . If it lies between the directions  $2 \rightarrow 3$  and  $3 \rightarrow 2$  (see fig. 32.3) then we get a Vassiliev's obstruction from the paths 23 and 45. Analogously, an obstruction appears when the direction  $6 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $2 \rightarrow 5$  and  $5 \rightarrow 2$ .

Thus, we can suppose that  $4 \rightarrow 5$  and  $6 \rightarrow 3$  lies between  $3 \rightarrow 6$  and  $5 \rightarrow 4$  (see fig. 32.4). In this case we obtain an obstruction if we take the paths 36 and 45.

Case  $K_{3,3}.e.3$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a tree with two vertices of degree 3.

Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.3). Without loss of generality we can also suppose that the cyclic order of the directions of the vertices 3, 4, 5, 6 is the following: directions of the vertex 3, directions of 4, directions of 6, directions of 5 (see fig. 33). Then the paths 36 and 45 give a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Case  $K_{3,3}.e.4$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  contains one cycle of length 4. Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.4). Then the projections of the paths 36 and 45 form a Vassiliev's obstruction in  $G$  (see fig. 34).

Case  $K_{3,3}.e.5$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  contains two cycles of length 4. Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.5). Then we obtain a Vassiliev's obstruction from the paths 36 and 45 form a Vassiliev's obstruction in  $G$  (see fig. 35).

Case  $K_{3,3}.e.6$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  is a cycle of length 4. Enumerate the vertices of  $\bar{\Gamma}_W^{int}$  as shown in fig. 30.6). Then a Vassiliev's




Figure 32: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.2$




Figure 33: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.3$




Figure 34: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.4$

obstruction in  $G$  is given by the paths 14 and 25 (see fig. 36).  
 Thus, the case  $K_{3,3}.e$  is finished.




Figure 35: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.5$




Figure 36: Case  $K_{3,3}.e.6$

Case  $K_{3,3}.f$ . Any web in  $\tilde{G}$  contains no more than one vertex of  $\Gamma$ . This case should be treated in a different way than the previous cases.

Let  $\hat{\Gamma} = \pi(\Gamma)$  be the projection of the graph  $\Gamma$  into  $G$ . With some abuse of notation, we denote the projections of the vertices of  $\Gamma$  as  $i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ , and the projections of the edges of  $\Gamma$  as  $ij, 1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ . By assumptions of the case, the projections of the vertices are all different. By lemma 1, the paths  $ij, 1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ , in  $\hat{\Gamma}$  don't intersect each other transversally. The degree of the vertices  $i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ , of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  are odd and the degree of any other vertex in  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is even.

Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all the edges of the graph  $\hat{\Gamma}$ . Since  $G$  is even, the vertices  $i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ , are the only vertices in  $G'$  of odd degree. Denote  $G'_1$  to be the union of components of  $G'$  which contain the vertices 1, 3 or 5. If  $G'$  contains neither the vertex 2, 4 nor 6 then there are exactly three odd vertices in  $G'_1$  that can not be true. Hence,  $G'_1$  contains a vertex  $2k, k = 1, 2$  or 3, therefore, there is a path  $\gamma$  in  $G'$  which connects a vertex  $2l-1, l = 1, 2$  or 3. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $l = 1$ . The path  $\gamma$  is a path in  $G$  which has no common edges with the paths  $ij, 1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ . We can suppose  $\gamma$  has no transversal self-intersections.

*Remark 4.* The proof of existence of the path  $\gamma$  is the only place in the proof of the main theorem where we use the fact that  $G$  is even.

Case  $K_{3,3}.f.1$ . The path  $\gamma$  intersects transversally some path  $ij, 1 \leq$

$i < j \leq 6$ .

Let  $P$  be the transversal intersection of  $\gamma$  with paths  $ij$  which is the nearest to the vertex 1 on  $\gamma$ . If at some vertex of  $G$  the path  $\gamma$  intersects with paths  $ij$  several times, the proximity of transversal intersections to the vertex 1 can be determined by the vertex chord diagram. Note that the order is well defined, since there is no transversal intersections in  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  and the chord, which correspond to paths  $ij$  of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ , don't intersect each other. Denote  $\gamma_0$  to be the part of  $\gamma$  between 1 and  $P$ .

According to the path  $ij$  the transversal intersection  $P$  belong, there are two possible cases.

1. The intersection point  $P$  belongs to path  $1j, j = 2, 4$  or  $6$ . We will suppose  $j = 2$  (see fig. 37). Let  $Q$  be the last transversal intersection of  $\gamma$  with the part  $1P$  of the path  $12$  ( $Q$  can coincide with  $P$ ) and  $\gamma_1$  be the rest part of  $\gamma$  from the point  $Q$ . Then we can perform the following reduction: we replace the path  $12$  with the path  $\gamma_0 P 2$  and replace the path  $\gamma$  with the path  $1 Q \gamma_1$ . Since  $P$  is the first transversal intersection, the path  $\gamma_0 P 2$  has no transversal intersections with other paths in  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . On the other hand, since  $Q$  is the last transversal intersection, the path  $1 Q \gamma_1$  has no transversal self-intersections and the number of transversal intersections of the path  $1 Q \gamma_1$  with paths in modified graph  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is less that the number of transversal intersections in the original path  $\gamma$ .




Figure 37: Case  $K_{3,3}.f.1$ : reduction of the path  $\gamma$

2. The intersection point  $P$  belongs to path  $ij$  such that  $i, j > 1$ . We can assume that  $i = 3$ , so  $j = 2, 4$  or  $6$ . Consider the restriction of the cyclic order at the vertex 1 to the paths  $12, 14, 16$  and  $\gamma$ . If  $\gamma$  and  $1j$  are not adjacent in the restricted cyclic order (see fig. 38 left) then the cycles  $\gamma_0 P 3 4 1$  and  $1 2 5 6 1$  form a Vassiliev's obstructon (we assume here  $j = 2$ ). If  $\gamma$  and  $1j$  are adjacent in the restricted cyclic order (see fig. 38 right) then the cycles  $\gamma_0 P 4 1$  and  $1 2 5 6 1$  form a Vassiliev's obstructon (we assume here  $j = 4$ ).

Case  $K_{3,3}.f.2$ . The path  $\gamma$  does not have transversal intersections




Figure 38: Case  $K_{3,3}.f.1$ : transversal cycles

with paths  $ij, 1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ .

We can suppose that the ends of  $\gamma$  are 1 and 2. Consider the cyclic order at the vertex 1 restricted to the paths 12, 14, 16 and  $\gamma$  and the cyclic order at the vertex 2 restricted to the paths 21, 23, 25 and  $\gamma$ .

Let the paths  $\gamma$  and 12 be not adjacent in the cyclic order either at the vertex 1 or at 2 (for instance, at 1), see fig. 39 left. Then the cycles  $\gamma 21$  and 14561 have one transversal intersection at the vertex 1 and form a Vassiliev's obstruction.

Let the paths  $\gamma$  and 12 be adjacent in the cyclic orders at the vertex 1 and at 2 (see fig. 39 right). Then the cycles  $\gamma 2561$  and 12341 have a transversal intersection at the vertex 2.




Figure 39: Case  $K_{3,3}.f.2$ :  $\gamma$  has no transversal intersections

Thus, theorem 1 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** According to the proof of theorem 1 we need only consider the case when the graph  $K_{3,3}$  can be immersed into the  $\ast$ -graph  $G$  such that it has no transversal self-intersection points (case  $K_{3,3}.f$  in the roof above) and sends the vertices of  $K_{3,3}$  to different vertices of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the image of the immersion. Denote the images of the vertices of  $K_{3,3}$  as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 so that the vertices of different parity corresponds to adjacent vertices of  $K_{3,3}$ . Let  $ij$  denote the image of the edge which connects the vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in  $K_{3,3}$ .



If the subgraph  $\Gamma$  has no self-intersections then it is homeomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$ . So, let us assume  $\Gamma$  has a self-intersection point  $P$ . We shall show below that either  $\Gamma$  contains a pair of transversal cycles or it can be reduced to an immersion  $K_{3,3}$  that contains less number of edges of the graph  $G$ .

Case a. There is a vertex of  $\Gamma$  at the intersection point  $P$ .

Denote  $a$  to be the vertex at  $P$  and let  $i, j, k$  be the adjacent vertices to  $a$ . Since  $P$  is an intersection point there is an edge  $pq$  that goes through  $P$ . If  $P$  is a multiple intersection we take the edge whose arc in the vertex chord diagram is not separated from the vertex  $a$  (see fig. 40).




Figure 40: Case a: there is a vertex at the intersection point

We can suppose that  $a = 1$  and  $\{i, j, k\} = \{2, 4, 6\}$  where the direction 14 is separated from the arc  $pq$  with the directions 12 and 16 in the cyclic order at the point  $P$ .

Case a.1.  $p = 1$  or  $q = 1$ .

In this case we can reduce the subgraph  $\Gamma$ . Assume that  $p = 1$ . The point  $P$  splits the path  $1q$  into paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Then we can replace the path  $1q$  with the path  $\gamma_2$  and get an immersion of the graph  $K_{3,3}$  which has less number of edges of  $G$  (see fig. 41).




Figure 41: Case a.1:  $1 \in \{p, q\}$

Case a.2. The edge  $pq$  is not incident to the vertex 1 and  $p, q \neq 4$ . We can assume that  $\{p, q\} = \{2, 3\}$ . The point  $P$  splits the edge  $pq$  into subpaths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ .

If the direction of the subpath incident to the vertex 2 follows the direction 12 in the cyclic order at  $P$  (see fig. 42 left) then the cycles  $143\gamma_2$  and  $1652\gamma_1$  have a unique transversal intersection at the point  $P$ .

If the direction of the subpath incident to the vertex 2 does not follow the direction 12 in the cyclic order at  $P$  (see fig. 42 right) then the cycles  $12\gamma_2$  and  $163\gamma_1$  form a Vassiliev's obstruction.




Figure 42: Case a.2: vertex 4 is not incident to the edge  $pq$

Case a.3. The edge  $pq$  is not incident to the vertex 1 and  $\{p, q\} \ni 4$ . We can assume that  $\{p, q\} = \{3, 4\}$ . The point  $P$  splits the edge 34 into subpaths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Without loss of generality we can assume the direction of the subpath incident to the vertex 3 follows the direction 12 in the cyclic order at  $P$  (see fig. 43). Then the cycles  $14\gamma_2$  and  $163\gamma_1$  are transversal.




Figure 43: Case a.3: vertex 4 is incident to the edge  $pq$

Case b. There is no vertices of  $\Gamma$  at the intersection point  $P$ . Since  $P$  is an intersection point there are edges  $ij$  and  $kl$  which go through  $P$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the arcs, which correspond to the edges in the vertex chord diagram, are not separated from each other (see fig. 44). We suppose that  $i = 1, j = 2$

Case b.1. The edges  $ij$  and  $kl$  coincide, i.e.  $\{i, j\} = \{k, l\} = \{1, 2\}$ . There are two possibilities.




Figure 44: Case b: there is no vertices at the intersection point

Case b.1.1. The arcs  $ij$  and  $kl$  have different orientations ( $k = 2, l = 1$ ). The arcs split the edge 12 into three paths  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  where  $\gamma_1$  contains the vertex 1 and  $\gamma_3$  contains the vertex 3. Then we can replace the path 12 with the path  $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3$  and get an immersion of the graph  $K_{3,3}$  which has less number of edges of  $G$  (see fig. 45).




Figure 45: Case b.1.1: arcs belong to one edge and have opposite orientations

Case b.1.2. The arcs  $ij$  and  $kl$  have the same orientation ( $k = 2, l = 1$ ). The point  $P$  splits the edge 12 into paths  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ . Then the cycles  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1\gamma_32341$  form a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 46).




Figure 46: Case b.1.2: arcs belong to one edge and have the same orientation

Case b.2. The edges  $ij$  and  $kl$  are adjacent, i.e.  $\{i, j\} \neq \{k, l\}$  and  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} \neq \emptyset$ . We can suppose that  $\{k, l\} = \{1, 4\}$ . The point  $P$  splits the edge 12 into subpaths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma'_1$  and splits the edge 14 into subpaths  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma'_2$ , where  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  contain the vertex 1.

Case b.2.1. The arcs  $ij$  and  $kl$  have different orientations ( $k = 4, l = 1$ ). Then the cycles  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma'_1254\gamma'_2$  have a unique transversal intersection at the point  $P$  (see fig. 47).




Figure 47: Case b.2.1: arcs belong to adjacent edges and have opposite orientations

Case b.2.2. The arcs  $ij$  and  $kl$  have different orientations ( $k = 1, l = 4$ ). In this case we can move the vertex 1 to the point  $P$  and replace the edge 12 with the path  $\gamma'_1$ , the edge 14 with the path  $\gamma'_2$  and the edge 16 with the path  $\gamma_116$  (see fig. 48). Thus we get a new immersion of the graph  $K_{3,3}$  which has less number of edges of  $G$ .




Figure 48: Case b.2.2: arcs belong to adjacent edges and have the same orientation

Case b.3. The edges  $ij$  and  $kl$  are not adjacent, i.e.  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ .

We can suppose that  $\{k, l\} = \{3, 4\}$ . The point  $P$  splits the edge 12 into subpaths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma'_1$  and splits the edge 34 into subpaths  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma'_2$ , where  $\gamma_1$  contains the vertex 1 and  $\gamma_2$  contains the vertex 3.

Case b.3.1. The arcs 12 and 34 have different orientations ( $k = 4, l = 3$ ). In this case the cycles  $\gamma_1\gamma_2361$  and  $\gamma'_1\gamma'_2452$  have a unique transversal intersection at the point  $P$  (see fig. 49 left).

Case b.3.2. The arcs 12 and 34 have the same orientation ( $k = 3, l = 4$ ). Then the cycles  $\gamma_1\gamma'_241$  and  $\gamma'_1\gamma_232$  form a Vassiliev's obstruction (see fig. 49 right).




Figure 49: Case b.3: arcs belong to nonadjacent edges

Thus, if the graph  $\Gamma$  contains a self-intersection point, then either  $\Gamma$  contains a pair of transversal cycles or it can be reduced to an immersion  $K_{3,3}$  which contains less number of edges of the graph  $G$ . Therefore, any immersion of  $K_{3,3}$  into  $G$  without transversal self-intersections contains a pair of transversal cycles or can be reduced to an embedding of  $K_{3,3}$ .

## Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to V.O. Manturov and D.P. Ilyutko for their interest to this work. The author was partially supported by grants RFBR 13-01-00830-a and 14-01-31288-mol-a, and grant of RF President NSh – 1410.2012.1.

## References

- [1] T. Friesen, A generalization of Vassiliev's planarity criterion, arXiv:1210.1539.
- [2] T. Friesen, V.O. Manturov, Embeddings of  $*$ -graphs into 2-surfaces, *J. of Knot Theory and Ramifications*. **22(12)** (2013).
- [3] A. Krieger, Vassiliev's planarity criterion for graphs with cross structure // a talk at the Young Mathematicians Conference, 2011. Abstract is available at <http://www.ymc.osu.edu/2011/docs/AbstractBooklet-comb-Aug-12.pdf>, p. 17
- [4] V.O. Manturov, A proof of Vassiliev's conjecture on the planarity of singular links, *Izvestiya Mathematics*. **69(5)** (2005) 169–178.
- [5] V.O. Manturov, Embeddings of Four-valent Framed Graphs into 2-surfaces, *Doklady Akademii Nauk*. **424(3)** (2009) 308–310.

- [6] V.A. Vassiliev, First-order invariants and cohomology of spaces of embeddings of self-intersecting curves in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Izvestiya Mathematics*. **69(5)** (2005) 865–912.

