

# EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR WITTEN-KONTSEVICH TAU-FUNCTION

JIAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We present an explicit formula for Witten-Kontsevich tau-function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By the famous Witten Conjecture/Kontsevich Theorem [12, 9], the generating series of intersection numbers of  $\psi$ -classes on moduli spaces of algebraic curves is a tau-function of the KdV hierarchy. Furthermore, as Witten [12] pointed out, this fact together with the string equation completely determines this tau-function. Finding the explicit formula of this Witten-Kontsevich tau-function has remained an open problem for a long time. We will solve this problem in this paper.

In Kyoto school's approach to the integrable hierarchy [11], there are three pictures to describe the tau-function of the KdV hierarchy: (a) as an element of the Sato infinite-dimensional Grassmannian; (b) as a vector in the bosonic Fock space, i.e. the space of symmetric functions; (c) as a vector in the fermionic Fock space, i.e., the semi-infinite wedge space. Hence one can describe the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function from each of these pictures. For the first picture, it was given by Kac-Schwarz [8] using the asymptotic expansion of the Airy function. For the second picture, Alexandrov [2] recently gave a recursive solution in terms of a cut-and-join type differential operator:

$$(1) \quad Z_{WK} = e^{\hat{W}} 1 = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \hat{W}^n 1,$$

where the operator  $\hat{W}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W} &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k, l \geq 0} (k + \frac{1}{2}) u_k \cdot (l + \frac{1}{2}) u_l \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k+l-1}} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{12} \sum_{k, l \geq 0} (k + l + \frac{5}{2}) u_{k+l+2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{u_0^3}{3!} + \frac{u_1}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Inspired by these results, we study the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function in the third picture and obtain the following result: The Witten-Kontsevich tau-function is a Bogoliubov transformation:

$$(2) \quad Z_{WK} = e^A |0\rangle, \quad A = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} A_{m,n} \psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^*$$

where  $A_{m,n} = 0$  if  $m+n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3m-1,3n} &= A_{3m-3,3n+2} = (-1)^n \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^{m+n} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+n))!} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (m+j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2m+2j-1) \cdot \left( B_n(m) + \frac{b_n}{6m+1} \right), \\ A_{3m-2,3n+1} &= (-1)^{n+1} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^{m+n} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+n))!} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (m+j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2m+2j-1) \cdot \left( B_n(m) + \frac{b_n}{6m-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_n(m)$  is a polynomial in  $m$  of degree  $n-1$  defined by:

$$(3) \quad B_n(x) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^n 108^j b_{n-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]},$$

where

$$(4) \quad (a)_{[j]} = \begin{cases} 1, & j=0, \\ a(a-1)\cdots(a-j+1), & j>0, \end{cases}$$

and  $b_n$  is a constant depending on  $n$  defined by:

$$(5) \quad b_n = \frac{2^n \cdot (6n+1)!!}{(2n)!}.$$

After using the boson-fermion correspondence, our result can be translated into the bosonic picture to give an explicit formula for the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function. and it takes a very simple form. The Witten-Kontsevich tau-function is given in suitable coordinates as follows:

$$(6) \quad Z_{WK} = \sum_{|\mu| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}} A_\mu \cdot s_\mu,$$

where  $s_\mu$  is the Schur function indexed by a partition  $\mu$ , and  $A_\mu$  is a specialization of  $s_\mu$  given as follows. Let  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_k | n_1, \dots, n_k)$  in Frobenius notation, then

$$(7) \quad A_\mu = (-1)^{n_1 + \dots + n_k} \cdot \det(A_{m_i, n_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$


Originally we have attempted to derive the fermionic operator from Alexandrov's result by boson-fermion correspondence. This is a natural approach but unfortunately we did not succeed.

Our result solves a special case of a remarkable conjecture of Aganagic-Dijkgraaf-Klemm-Mariño-Vafa [1]. In joint work with Fusheng Deng [3, 4], we have established some other cases of this conjecture. In [14] we have generalized Alexandrov's result to Witten's  $r$ -spin intersection numbers (this corresponds to simple singularities of type A) and further generalization to the simple singularities of types D and E can also be made [15]. In a work in progress [16], we will generalize our result to these cases. Working on the general  $r$ -spin case has provided with us some important insight on the original Witten-Kontsevich tau-function, which corresponds to the  $r = 2$  case. In particular, a choice of coordinates that make the idea of Bogoliubov transformation work comes from the consideration of the  $r$ -spin case in [14].


The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we review some preliminary backgrounds on partitions, symmetric functions, and boson-fermion correspondence. In Section 3 we recall Witten Conjecture/Kontsevich Theorem, DVV Virasoro constraints, and Alexandrov's bosonic operator formula for the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function. In Section 4 we present our main result, whose proof is presented in the last two Sections.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON BOSON-FERMION CORRESPONDENCE

**2.1. Partitions.** A partition  $\mu$  of a nonnegative integral number  $n$  is a decreasing finite sequence of nonnegative integers  $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_l > 0$ , such that  $|\mu| := \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_l = n$ . It is very useful to graphically represent a partition by its Young diagram, e.g.,  $\mu = (3, 2)$  is represented by:




This leads to many natural definitions. First of all, by transposing the Young diagram one can define the conjugate  $\mu^t$  of  $\mu$ , e.g., for  $\mu = (3, 2)$ ,  $|\mu^t| = (2, 2, 1)$ :



Secondly, assume the Young diagram of  $\mu$  has  $k$  boxes in the diagonal. Define  $m_i = \mu_i - i$  and  $n_i = \mu_i^t - i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then it is clear that  $m_1 > \dots > m_k \geq 0$  and  $n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 0$ . The partition  $\mu$  is completely determined by the numbers  $m_i, n_i$ . One can denote

the partition  $\mu$  by  $(m_1, \dots, m_k | n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , this is called the Frobenius notation. A partition of the form  $(m | n)$  in Frobenius notation is called a hook partition, for example:



**2.2. Schur functions.** Let  $\Lambda$  be the space of symmetric functions in  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . For a partition  $\mu$ , let  $s_\mu := s_\mu(\mathbf{x})$  be the Schur function in  $\Lambda$ . If we write  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_k | n_1, \dots, n_k)$  in Frobenius notation, then there is a determinantal formula that expresses  $s_\mu$  in terms of  $s_{(m | n)}$  ([10, p. 47, Example 9]):

$$s_\mu = \det(s_{(m_i | n_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$

where  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_k | n_1, \dots, n_k)$  be a partition in Frobenius notation.

**2.3. Fermionic Fock space.** We say a sequence of half-integers  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$  is admissible if both the set  $\mathbb{Z}_- + \frac{1}{2} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$  and the set  $\mathbb{Z}_- + \frac{1}{2} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  are finite, where  $\mathbb{Z}_-$  is the set of negative integers.

For an admissible sequence  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$ , let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ , associate an element  $\underline{A}$  in the half-infinite wedge space as follows:

$$\underline{A} = \underline{a_1} \wedge \underline{a_2} \wedge \dots$$

The free fermionic Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{span}\{\underline{A} : A \subset \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ is admissible}\}.$$

One can define an inner product on  $\mathcal{F}$  by taking  $\{\underline{A} : A \subset \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ is admissible}\}$  as an orthonormal basis.

**2.4. Charge decomposition.** There is a natural decomposition

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(n)},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{F}$  the subspace spanned by  $\underline{A}$  such that

$$|A \setminus \mathbb{Z}_- + \frac{1}{2}| - |\mathbb{Z}_- + \frac{1}{2} \setminus A| = n.$$

An operator on  $\mathcal{F}$  is called charge 0 if it preserves the above decomposition.

The charge 0 subspace  $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$  has a basis indexed by partitions:

$$(8) \quad |\mu\rangle := \underbrace{\mu_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \underbrace{\mu_2 - \frac{3}{2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{\mu_l - l + \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \underbrace{-l - \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \cdots,$$

where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ . If  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_k | n_1, \dots, n_k)$  in Frobenius notation, then

$$(9) \quad |\mu\rangle = \underbrace{m_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{m_k + \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2}} \wedge \underbrace{-\frac{3}{2}} \wedge \cdots \\ \wedge \underbrace{-n_k - \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{-n_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \wedge \cdots.$$

In particular, when  $\mu$  is the empty partition, we get:

$$|0\rangle := -\frac{1}{2} \wedge -\frac{3}{2} \wedge \cdots \in \mathcal{F}.$$

It is called the fermionic vacuum vector.

**2.5. Creators and annihilators on  $\mathcal{F}$ .** For  $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ , define operators  $\psi_r$  and  $\psi_r^*$  by

$$\psi_{-r}(\underline{A}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \underline{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{a_k} \wedge \underline{r} \wedge \underline{a_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots, & \text{if } a_k > r > a_{k+1} \text{ for some } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_r^*(\underline{A}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+1} \underline{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\underline{a_k}} \wedge \cdots, & \text{if } a_k = r \text{ for some } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The anti-commutation relations for these operators are

$$(10) \quad [\psi_r, \psi_s^*]_+ := \psi_r \psi_s^* + \psi_s^* \psi_r = \delta_{-r,s} id$$

and other anti-commutation relations are zero. It is clear that for  $r > 0$ ,

$$(11) \quad \psi_r |0\rangle = 0, \quad \psi_r^* |0\rangle = 0,$$

so the operators  $\{\psi_r, \psi_r^*\}_{r>0}$  are called the fermionic annihilators. For a partition  $\mu = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ , it is clear from the definition of  $|\mu\rangle$  that

$$(12) \quad |\mu\rangle = (-1)^{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k} \prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{m_i+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n_i-\frac{1}{2}}^* |0\rangle.$$

So the operators  $\{\psi_{-r}, \psi_{-r}^*\}_{r>0}$  are called the fermionic creators.

**2.6. The boson-fermion correspondence.** For any integer  $n$ , define an operator  $\alpha_n$  on the fermionic Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows:

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} : \psi_{-r} \psi_{r+n}^* :$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \Lambda[z, z^{-1}]$  be the bosonic Fock space, where  $z$  is a formal variable. Then the boson-fermion correspondence is a linear isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by

$$(13) \quad u \mapsto z^m \langle \underline{0}_m | e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{pn}{n} \alpha_n} u \rangle, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$$

where  $|\underline{0}_m\rangle = \underline{-\frac{1}{2} + m} \wedge \underline{-\frac{3}{2} + m} \wedge \cdots$ . It is clear that  $\Phi$  induces an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$  and  $\Lambda$ . Explicitly, this isomorphism is given by

$$(14) \quad |\mu\rangle \longleftrightarrow s_\mu.$$

### 3. BOSONIC REPRESENTATION OF WITTEN-KONTSEVICH TAU-FUNCTION

**3.1. Free energy of 2D topological gravity.** The correlators of the 2D topological gravity are defined as the following intersection numbers on the moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  of stable algebraic curves:

$$(15) \quad \langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_n} \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_n^{a_n}.$$

Because the dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is given by the following formula:

$$(16) \quad \dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} = 3g - 3 + n,$$

the following selection rule is satisfied by the correlators: The correlator  $\langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_n} \rangle_g \neq 0$  only if

$$(17) \quad a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 3g - 3 + n.$$

This suggests the following grading:

$$(18) \quad \deg t_a = 2a + 1.$$

In the literature, the following gradings have also been used:  $\deg t_a = a + \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\deg t_a = \frac{1}{3}(2a + 1)$ . The reason for our choice of the grading will be made clear later in this paper.

The free energy is defined by

$$(19) \quad F(\mathbf{t}) = F_0(\mathbf{t}) + F_1(\mathbf{t}) + \cdots,$$

where the genus  $g$  part of the free energy is defined by:

$$(20) \quad F_g(\mathbf{t}) = \langle \exp \sum_{a \geq 0} t_a \tau_a \rangle_g = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0} t_{a_1} \cdots t_{a_n} \langle \tau_{a_1} \cdots \tau_{a_n} \rangle_g.$$

It is a common practice to introduce a genus tracking parameter  $\lambda$  and set

$$(21) \quad F(\mathbf{t}; \lambda) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \lambda^{2g-2} F_g(\mathbf{t}).$$

For our purpose in this paper, it is crucial to suppress the explicit dependence on  $\lambda$ . However, this will not cause any loss of information. Indeed, by the selection rule (17), one can recover  $F(\mathbf{t}; \lambda)$  from  $F(\mathbf{t})$  as follows:

$$(22) \quad F(\mathbf{t}; \lambda) = F(\lambda^{-2/3} t_0, t_1, \dots, \lambda^{(2n-2)/3} t_n, \dots).$$

For the reader's convenience, we recall the first few terms of  $F_g$  here:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \frac{1}{6} t_0^3 + \frac{1}{6} t_0^3 t_1 + \frac{1}{6} t_0^3 t_1^2 + \frac{1}{24} t_0^4 t_2 + \cdots, \\ F_1 &= \frac{1}{24} t_1 + \frac{1}{24} t_0 t_2 + \frac{1}{48} t_1^2 + \frac{1}{72} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{12} t_0 t_1 t_2 + \frac{1}{48} t_0^2 t_3 + \cdots, \\ F_2 &= \frac{1}{1152} t_4 + \frac{29}{5760} t_2 t_3 + \frac{1}{384} t_1 t_4 + \frac{1}{1152} t_0 t_5 + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

**3.2. Partition function of 2D topological gravity.** It is defined by

$$(23) \quad Z_{WK}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp F(\mathbf{t}).$$

This is also called the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function. The following are the first few terms of  $Z(\mathbf{t})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{WK}(\mathbf{t}) &= 1 + \left( \frac{1}{6} t_0^3 + \frac{1}{24} t_1 \right) + \left( \frac{25}{144} t_0^3 t_1 + \frac{1}{24} t_0 t_2 + \frac{25}{1152} t_1^2 + \frac{1}{72} t_0^6 \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{7}{144} t_0^4 t_2 + \frac{1225}{82944} t_1^3 + \frac{1}{1152} t_4 + \frac{49}{1728} t_0^6 t_1 \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{73}{6912} t_0^3 t_1^2 + \frac{1}{576} t_1 t_0 t_2 + \frac{1}{1296} t_0^9 \right) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

**3.3. Witten Conjecture/Kontsevich Theorem and Virasoro constraints.** Witten [12] conjectured that  $Z_{WK}$  is a tau-function of the KdV hierarchy. He also pointed out that together with the string equation satisfied by  $Z_{WK}$ , this hierarchy of nonlinear differential equations uniquely determines  $Z_{WK}$ . For a proof of this conjecture, see Kontsevich [9]. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde [5] and independently Fukuma, Kawai, Nakayama [6] showed that that condition of being a

solution of the KdV hierarchy satisfying the string equation is equivalent to satisfying a sequence of linear differential equations called the Virasoro constraints. In terms of correlators they are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad \langle \tilde{\tau}_{a_0} \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\tau}_{a_i} \rangle_g &= \sum_{i=1}^n (2a_i + 1) \langle \tilde{\tau}_{a_0+a_i-1} \prod_{j \in [n]_i} \tilde{\tau}_{a_j} \rangle_g \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b_1+b_2=a_0-2} \left( \langle \tilde{\tau}_{b_1} \tilde{\tau}_{b_2} \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\tau}_{a_i} \rangle_{g-1} \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{\substack{A_1 \amalg A_2 = [n] \\ g_1+g_2=g}}^s \langle \tilde{\tau}_{b_1} \prod_{i \in A_1} \tilde{\tau}_{a_i} \rangle_{g_1} \cdot \langle \tilde{\tau}_{b_2} \prod_{i \in A_2} \tilde{\tau}_{a_i} \rangle_{g_2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}_a = (2a+1)!! \cdot \tau_a$  and  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $[n]_i = [n] - \{i\}$ . Here the summation  $\sum_{\substack{A_1 \amalg A_2 = [n] \\ g_1+g_2=g}}^s$  is taken over pairs  $(g_1, A_1)$  and  $(g_2, A_2)$  that satisfy the stability conditions:

$$2g_1 - 1 + |A_1| > 0, \quad 2g_2 - 1 + |A_2| > 0.$$

With the following change of coordinates:

$$(25) \quad t_k = \frac{1}{2^k} (2k+1)!! u_k,$$

the Virasoro constraints can be written as the following sequence of differential equations:

$$(26) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n+1}} Z_{WK} = \hat{L}_n Z_{WK}, \quad n \geq -1,$$

where the operators  $\hat{L}_n$  are defined by:

$$(27) \quad \hat{L}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k+n}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_{n-k-1}} + \frac{u_0^2}{2\lambda^2} \delta_{n,-1} + \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{16}.$$

**3.4. Kontsevich model and Alexandrov's solution.** The Witten-Kontsevich tau-function can be represented by Kontsevich matrix integral [9]:

$$(28) \quad Z_{WK} = \frac{\int [dA] \exp\left(-\lambda^{-1} \text{Tr}\left(\frac{A^3}{3!} + \frac{\Lambda A}{2}\right)\right)}{\int [dA] \exp\left(-\lambda^{-1} \text{Tr}\left(\frac{A^3}{3!}\right)\right)},$$

with times given by the Miwa variables:

$$(29) \quad u_k = \frac{\lambda}{2k+1} \text{Tr} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2k+1}}.$$



One can write

$$(30) \quad Z_{WK} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{WK}^{(n)},$$

where

$$(31) \quad Z_{WK}^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(3!\lambda)^n} \frac{\int [dA] (\text{Tr } A^3)^n \exp\left(-\lambda^{-1} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\Lambda A}{2}\right)\right)}{\int [dA] \exp\left(-\lambda^{-1} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{A^3}{3!}\right)\right)}.$$

Alexandrov [2] noticed that if one defines  $\deg u_k = \frac{2k+1}{3}$ , then one has

$$(32) \quad \deg Z_{WK}^{(n)} = n.$$

Therefore, by (26),

$$(33) \quad \hat{W} Z_{WK} = \hat{D} Z_{WK},$$

where

$$(34) \quad \hat{D} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$$

is the Euler vector field for the grading, and the operator

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{W} &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) u_k \hat{L}_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) u_k \cdot \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) u_l \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k+l-1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{12} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \left(k + l + \frac{5}{2}\right) u_{k+l+2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_k \partial u_l} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{u_0^3}{3!} + \frac{u_1}{16} \end{aligned}$$

has

$$(36) \quad \deg \hat{W} = 1.$$

Using the grading decomposition (30), one has

$$(37) \quad \hat{W} Z_{WK}^{(n)} = (n+1) Z_{WK}^{(n+1)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Alexandrov then gets the following solution:

$$(38) \quad Z_{WK} = e^{\hat{W}} 1.$$

We will not use this result in this paper, but as mentioned in the Introduction, the search for the fermionic version of this result serves as a motivation for our work.

## 4. MAIN THEOREM

4.1. **A natural coordinate.** If we use the following change of coordinates introduced in [14]:

$$(39) \quad t_n = (-1)^n \sqrt{-2} T_{2n+1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n (j + \frac{1}{2}),$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{WK} &= 1 - \sqrt{-2} \left( \frac{1}{24} T_1^3 + \frac{1}{32} T_3 \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{25}{384} T_1^3 T_3 + \frac{5}{64} T_1 T_5 + \frac{25}{1024} T_3^2 + \frac{1}{576} T_1^6 \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-2} \left( \frac{105}{4096} T_9 + \frac{1225}{98304} T_3^3 + \frac{245}{2048} T_3 T_1 T_5 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{35}{512} T_1^2 T_7 + \frac{1225}{24576} T_1^3 T_3^2 + \frac{35}{1536} T_1^4 T_5 \\ &\quad + \frac{49}{18432} T_1^6 T_3 + \frac{1}{41472} T_1^9 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$(40) \quad u_n = \frac{(-1)^n \sqrt{-2}}{2} T_{2n+1},$$

one can rewrite the operators  $L_n = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n+1}} + \hat{L}_n$  and  $\hat{W}$  in the  $T$ -coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2n+3}} + \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) T_{2k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2k+2n+1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^n \lambda^2}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_{2k+1} \partial T_{2n-2k-1}} - \frac{T_1^2}{4\lambda^2} \delta_{n,-1} + \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{16}, \\ \hat{W} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{12} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (2k+1) T_{2k+1} \cdot (2l+1) T_{2l+1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2k+2l-1}} \\ (41) \quad &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{24} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (2k+2l+5) T_{2k+2l+5} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_{2k+1} \partial T_{2l+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{24} T_1^3 - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{32} T_3. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$L_{-1} = \sqrt{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k+1) T_{2k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2k-1}} - \frac{T_1^2}{4\lambda^2},$$

and

$$L_0 = -\sqrt{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) T_{2k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{2k+1}} + \frac{1}{16}.$$

We define the following operator on the space  $\mathbb{C}[T_1, T_3, \dots]$ :

$$(42) \quad \gamma_n = \begin{cases} (-n) \cdot T_{-n}, & n < 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial T_n}, & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we get

$$(43) \quad L_n = (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{-2} \gamma_{2n+3} + \frac{(-1)^n}{4} \sum_{a+b=n-1} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} : + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{n,0},$$

in particular,

$$(44) \quad L_{-1} = \sqrt{-2} \gamma_1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=-2} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} :$$

and

$$(45) \quad L_0 = -\sqrt{-2} \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=-1} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} : + \frac{1}{16}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{12} \sum_{\substack{k,l \geq 0 \\ k+l > 0}} \gamma_{-(2k+1)} \gamma_{-(2l+1)} \gamma_{2k+2l-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{24} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \gamma_{-(2k+2l+5)} \gamma_{2k+1} \gamma_{2l+1} - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{24} \gamma_{-3} - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{96} \gamma_{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be written in a more compact form:

$$(46) \quad \hat{W} = -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{24} \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_{-(2k+1)} \left( \sum_{a+b=k-2} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} : + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{k,1} \right).$$

**4.2. Reformulation of Witten-Kontsevich tau-function in terms of Schur functions.** We make a further change of variables:

$$(47) \quad T_n = \frac{1}{n} p_n.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{WK} = & 1 - \sqrt{-2} \left( \frac{1}{96} p_3 + \frac{1}{24} p_1^3 \right) \\ & - \left( \frac{25}{1152} p_1^3 p_3 + \frac{1}{64} p_1 p_5 + \frac{25}{9216} p_3^2 + \frac{1}{576} p_1^6 \right) \\ & + \sqrt{-2} \left( \frac{35}{12288} p_9 + \frac{1225}{2654208} p_3^3 + \frac{49}{6144} p_3 p_1 p_5 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{5}{512} p_1^2 p_7 + \frac{1225}{221184} p_1^3 p_3^2 + \frac{7}{1536} p_1^4 p_5 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{49}{55296} p_1^6 p_3 + \frac{1}{41472} p_1^9 \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We understand  $p_n$  as the Newton power function:

$$(48) \quad p_n = x_1^n + x_2^n + \dots,$$

and so each  $Z_{WK}^{(n)}$  lies in  $\Lambda^{3n}$ , the degree  $3n$  subspace of the space  $\Lambda$  of symmetric functions in  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ .

Recall that for a partition  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$  of a positive integer,

$$p_\nu = p_{\nu_1} \cdots p_{\nu_l},$$

and for the empty partition  $\emptyset$ ,  $p_\emptyset = 1$ . It is well-known that  $\{p_\nu\}_\nu$  form an additive basis of  $\Lambda$ . Another additive basis is provided by the Schur functions  $\{s_\nu\}_\nu$ , and they are related to each other by the Frobenius formula [10]:

$$(49) \quad p_\nu = \sum_{\mu} \chi_\nu^\mu s_\mu.$$

We use this formula to rewrite  $Z_{WK}$  in terms of Schur functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= 1 - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{96}(5s_{(3)} + 7s_{(2,1)} + 5s_{(1^3)}) \\
&- \frac{1}{9216}(385s_{(6)} + 455s_{(5,1)} + 0 \cdot s_{(4,2)} + 385s_{(4,1^2)} \\
&\quad - 70s_{(3,3)} - 50s_{(3,2,1)} - 70s_{(2,2,2)} \\
&\quad + 385s_{(3,1^3)} + 455s_{(2,1^4)} + 385s_{(1^6)}) \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{2654208}(85085s_{(9)} + 95095s_{(8,1)} + 0 \cdot s_{(7,2)} \\
&\quad + 85085s_{(7,1^2)} - 8085s_{(6,3)} - 5775s_{(6,2,1)} \\
&\quad + 87010s_{(6,1^3)} + 6825s_{(5,4)} + 0 \cdot s_{(5,3,1)} \\
&\quad - 6825s_{(5,2^2)} + 0 \cdot s_{(5,2,1^2)} + 91910s_{(5,1^4)} \\
&\quad + 5775s_{(4^2,1)} + 8085s_{(4,3,2)} + 0 \cdot s_{(4,3,1^2)} \\
&\quad + 0 \cdot s_{(4,2^2,1)} + 0 \cdot s_{(4,2,1^3)} + 87010s_{(4,1^5)} \\
&\quad - 1050s_{(3^3)} + 8085s_{(3^2,2,1)} - 6825s_{(3^2,1^3)} \\
&\quad + 5775s_{(3,2^3)} + 0 \cdot s_{(3,2^2,1^2)} - 5775s_{(3,2,1^4)} \\
&\quad + 85085s_{(3,1^6)} + 6825s_{(2^4,1)} - 8085s_{(2^3,1^3)} \\
&\quad + 0 \cdot s_{(2^2,1^5)} + 95095s_{(2,1^7)} + 85085s_{(1^9)}) + \dots .
\end{aligned}$$

4.3. **Fermionic formula for  $W_{WK}$ .** Now we use the formula for boson-fermion correspondence to rewrite  $W_{WK}$  in the fermionic picture:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{WK} = & 1 - \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{96}(5\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 7\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 5\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^*) \\
& - \frac{1}{9216}(385\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 455\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 385\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^* \\
& + 70\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^*\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 50\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^*\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* + 70\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^*\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* \\
& - 385\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}^* + 455\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}^* - 385\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}^*) \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{2654208}(85085\psi_{-\frac{17}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 95095\psi_{-\frac{15}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 85085\psi_{-\frac{13}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^* \\
& + 8085\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^*\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 5775s_{(6,2,1)} \\
& - 87010\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}^* + 6825s_{(5,4)} \\
& - 6825s_{(5,2^2)} + 91910\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}^* \\
& + 5775s_{(4^2,1)} + 8085s_{(4,3,2)} \\
& - 87010\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}^* \\
& - 1050s_{(3^3)} + 8085s_{(3^2,2,1)} - 6825s_{(3^2,1^3)} \\
& + 5775s_{(3,2^3)} - 5775s_{(3,2,1^4)} \\
& + 85085\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{13}{2}}^* + 6825s_{(2^4,1)} - 8085s_{(2^3,1^3)} \\
& - 95095\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{15}{2}}^* + 85085\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{17}{2}}^*) + \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z = & \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{96}(5\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 7\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 5\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^*) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{9216}(385\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 455\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 385\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^* \\
& \left. - 385\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}^* + 455\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}^* - 385\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}^*) \right. \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{-2}}{2654208}(85085\psi_{-\frac{17}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - 95095\psi_{-\frac{15}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + 85085\psi_{-\frac{13}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}^* \\
& - 87010\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}^* + 91910\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{9}{2}}^* - 87010\psi_{-\frac{7}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{11}{2}}^* \\
& \left. + 85085\psi_{-\frac{5}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{13}{2}}^* - 95095\psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{15}{2}}^* + 85085\psi_{-\frac{17}{2}}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^*) + \cdots \right) 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we state our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *In the fermionic picture, the Witten-Kontsevich tau-function is given by a Bogoliubov transformation:*

$$(50) \quad Z_{WK} = e^A|0\rangle,$$

where the operator

$$(51) \quad A = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} A_{m,n} \psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^*$$

with the coefficients  $A_{m,n}$  as given in the Introduction.

By applying (12) and (14), one easily derives the formula (6) in the Introduction.

The proof of this Theorem will occupy the next two Sections.

## 5. DERIVATION OF THE EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR $A_{m,n}$

**5.1. Fermionic formulation of the Virasoro operators.** To make the computations easier, we make use of fields of operators and operator product expansions [7]. Consider the fields of fermionic operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \psi_r z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \psi^*(z) &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \psi_r^* z^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

The commutation relations

$$(52) \quad [\psi_r, \psi_s]_+ = 0, \quad [\psi_r^*, \psi_s^*] = 0, \quad [\psi_r, \psi_s^*] = \delta_{r+s,0}$$

are equivalent to the following OPE's:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z)\psi^*(w) &\sim: \psi(z)\psi^*(w) : + \frac{1}{z-w}, \\ \psi^*(z)\psi(w) &\sim: \psi^*(z)\psi(w) : + \frac{1}{z-w}, \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$(53) \quad \gamma(z) =: \psi(z)\psi^*(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_n z^{-n-1},$$

where

$$(54) \quad \gamma_n = \sum_{\substack{r,s \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2 \\ r+s=n}} : \psi_r \psi_s^* : .$$

Consider

$$(55) \quad \eta(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma(z) + \gamma(-z)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{2n+1} z^{-2n-2}.$$

By Wick's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(z)\gamma(w) &= : \psi(z)\psi^*(z) : \cdots : \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : \\
&= : \psi(z)\psi^*(z)\psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + \frac{: \psi(z)\psi^*(w) :}{z-w} \\
&+ \frac{: \psi^*(z)\psi(w) :}{z-w} + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + : \partial_w \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(w)\psi(w) : + \cdots,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta(z)\eta(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma(z)\gamma(w) + \gamma(z)\gamma(-w) + \gamma(-z)\gamma(w) + \gamma(-z)\gamma(-w)) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + : \partial_w \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(w)\psi(w) : + \cdots \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{(z+w)^2} + : \partial_w \psi(-w)\psi^*(-w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(-w)\psi(-w) : + \cdots \\
&+ \frac{1}{(-z-w)^2} + : \partial_w \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(w)\psi(w) : + \cdots \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{(-z+w)^2} + : \partial_w \psi(-w)\psi^*(-w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(-w)\psi(-w) : + \cdots \right) \\
&= \frac{z^2 + w^2}{(z^2 - w^2)^2} + \frac{1}{2} (: \partial_w \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(w)\psi(w) : \\
&+ : \partial_w \psi(-w)\psi^*(-w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(-w)\psi(-w) : ) + \cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
: \eta(w)\eta(w) : &= \frac{1}{2} (: \partial_w \psi(w)\psi^*(w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(w)\psi(w) : \\
&+ : \partial_w \psi(-w)\psi^*(-w) : + : \partial_w \psi^*(-w)\psi(-w) : ) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{r+s=2n} (s-r) : \psi_r \psi_s^* : w^{-2n-2},
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(56) \quad \sum_{a+b=n} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} : := \sum_{r+s=2n+2} (s-r) : \psi_r \psi_s^* :$$

Recall the formula for  $L_n$  is

$$(57) \quad L_n = (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{-2} \gamma_{2n+3} + \frac{(-1)^n}{4} \sum_{a+b=n-1} : \gamma_{2a+1} \gamma_{2b+1} : + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{n,0},$$



because we also have

$$\gamma_{2n+1} = \sum_{\substack{r,s \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2 \\ r+s=2n+1}} : \psi_r \psi_s^* :,$$

we have:

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n &= (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{-2} \sum_{r+s=2n+3} : \psi_r \psi_s^* : \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^n}{4} \sum_{r+s=2n} (s-r) : \psi_r \psi_s^* : + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{n,0}. \end{aligned}$$

This was also obtained by Kac and Schwarz [8] in a different normalization.

**5.2. Constraints from  $L_{-1}$ .** Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} &= \sqrt{-2} \sum_{r_1+s_1=1} : \psi_{r_1} \psi_{s_1}^* : - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r_2+s_2=-2} (s_2 - r_2) : \psi_{r_2} \psi_{s_2}^* : \\ &= \sqrt{-2} \left( \psi_{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^* + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{k+\frac{3}{2}}^* - \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* \psi_{k+\frac{3}{2}}) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \left( \psi_{-\frac{3}{2}} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+3) (\psi_{-l-\frac{5}{2}} \psi_{l+\frac{1}{2}}^* + \psi_{-l-\frac{5}{2}}^* \psi_{l+\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that:

$$(59) \quad Z = \exp\left( \sum_{m,n \geq 0} A_{m,n} \psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^* \right) |0\rangle,$$

where  $A_{m,n} \neq 0$  only if  $m, n \geq 0$  and  $m+n \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-A} L_{-1} Z &= \left[ \sqrt{-2} \left( - \sum_{m,n \geq 0} A_{m,0} A_{0,n} \psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^* \right. \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (\psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}} A_{k+1,l} \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^* + \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* A_{l,k+1} \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \left( \psi_{-\frac{3}{2}} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^* - \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^* \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (2l+3) (\psi_{-l-\frac{5}{2}} A_{l,k} \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* - \psi_{-l-\frac{5}{2}}^* A_{k,l} \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \right] |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of  $\psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^*$ , we get the following equations:

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-2}(-A_{m,0}A_{0,n} + A_{m+1,n} - A_{m,n+1}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{m,1}\delta_{n,0} - \delta_{m,0}\delta_{n,1} \\ & + (2m-1)A_{m-2,n} + (2n-1)A_{m,n-2}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This can be converted into the following recursion relations:

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{m,n+1} = & (A_{m+1,n} - A_{m,0}A_{0,n}) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-2}}(\delta_{m,1}\delta_{n,0} - \delta_{m,0}\delta_{n,1} \\ & + (2m-1)A_{m-2,n} + (2n-1)A_{m,n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

We will make an extra assumption on the initial values:

$$(62) \quad A_{0,3m-1} = (-1)^{3m-1} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^m \frac{(6m-1)!!}{(2m)!},$$

This will uniquely determine all  $A_{m,n}$ 's. One can also convert it into the following recursion relations:

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{m+1,n} = & (A_{m,n+1} + A_{m,0}A_{0,n}) + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-2}}(\delta_{m,1}\delta_{n,0} - \delta_{m,0}\delta_{n,1} \\ & + (2m-1)A_{m-2,n} + (2n-1)A_{m,n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This will also determine all  $A_{m,n}$ 's, together with the initial value:

$$(64) \quad A_{3m-1,0} = \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^m \frac{(6m-1)!!}{(2m)!}.$$

One then notice that  $F_n(m) = A_{m,n}$  and  $G_n(m) = (-1)^n A_{n,m}$  satisfying the same recursion relations in  $n$  and the same initial values for  $n = 0$ , hence we have

$$(65) \quad A_{m,n} = (-1)^n A_{n,m}.$$

**5.3. Ansatz for  $A_{m,n}$ .** We separate the recursion relations (61) into the following three cases:

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{3m-1,3n} = & A_{3m,3n-1} - A_{3m-1,0}A_{0,3n-1} \\ & - \frac{6m-3}{4\sqrt{-2}}A_{3m-3,3n-1} - \frac{6n-3}{4\sqrt{-2}}A_{3m-1,3n-3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{3m-2,3n+1} &= A_{3m-1,3n} \\ &\quad - \frac{6m-5}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-4,3n} - \frac{6n-1}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-2,3n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{3m-3,3n+2} &= A_{3m-2,3n+1} \\ &\quad - \frac{6m-7}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-5,3n+1} - \frac{6n+1}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-3,3n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The following are the first few examples that we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3m-1,0} &= \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^m \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{1}{6m+1}, \\ A_{3m-2,1} &= -\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^m \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{1}{6m-1}, \\ A_{3m-3,2} &= \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^m \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{1}{6m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{3m-1,3} = -\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+1} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+1))!} m(2m+1) \left(18 + \frac{105}{6m+1}\right),$$

$$A_{3m-2,4} = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+1} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+1))!} m(2m+1) \left(18 + \frac{105}{6m-1}\right),$$

$$A_{3m-3,5} = -\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+1} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+1))!} m(2m+1) \left(18 + \frac{105}{6m+1}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3m-1,6} &= \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+2} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+2))!} m(m+1) \cdot (2m+3)(2m+1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(1944m + 5778 + \frac{45045}{2(6m+1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3m-2,7} &= -\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+2} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+2))!} m(m+1) \cdot (2m+1)(2m+3) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(1944m + 5778 + \frac{45045}{2(6m-1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3m-3,8} &= \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144}\right)^{m+2} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+2))!} m(m+1) \cdot (2m+1)(2m+3) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(1944m + 5778 + \frac{45045}{2(6m+1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Based on these concrete results, we make the following assumption on the form of  $A_{m,n}$ : For each  $n \geq 0$ , there are a polynomial

$$B_n(x) = B_0^{(n)} x^{n-1} + B_1^{(n)} x^{n-2} + \cdots + B_{n-1}^{(n)}$$

and a constant  $b_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{3m-1,3n} &= A_{3m-3,3n+2} = (-1)^n \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^{m+n} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+n))!} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (m+j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2m+2j-1) \cdot \left( B_n(m) + \frac{b_n}{6m+1} \right), \\
A_{3m-2,3n+1} &= (-1)^{n+1} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{-2}}{144} \right)^{m+n} \frac{(6m+1)!!}{(2(m+n))!} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (m+j) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (2m+2j-1) \cdot \left( B_n(m) + \frac{b_n}{6m-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The following are some examples:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 &= 0, \\
B_1 &= 18, \\
B_2 &= 1944x + 5778, \\
B_3 &= 209952x^2 + 1253880x + 2277477, \\
B_4 &= 22674816x^3 + 226118304x^2 + 787643676x + 1114815879, \\
B_5 &= 2448880128x^4 + 36665177472x^3 + 207169401168x^2 \\
&\quad + 545727699972x + 2633883829515/4, \\
B_6 &= 264479053824x^5 + 5546713489920x^4 + 46133330328000x^3 \\
&\quad + 193184363553840x^2 + 424746412978761x + 1828597219279695/4,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0 &= 1, \\
b_1 &= 105, \\
b_2 &= 45045/2, \\
b_3 &= 14549535/2, \\
b_4 &= 25097947875/8, \\
b_5 &= 13537833083775/8, \\
b_6 &= 17531493843488625/16,
\end{aligned}$$

**5.4. Recursion relations for  $B_n$  and  $b_n$  and their solutions.** The three types of recursion relations for  $A$  lead to three types of recursion

relations for  $B$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(69) \quad & B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x+1} \\
&= -3(B_{n-1}(x+1) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{6x+7}) \cdot \frac{(6x+5)(6x+7)}{x} \\
&+ \frac{2^n(x+n)}{6x+1} \cdot \frac{(6n-1)!!}{(2n)! \cdot x} + 216(x+n) \cdot (B_{n-1}(x) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{6x+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(70) \quad & B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x-1} = -(B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x+1}) \\
&+ \frac{12(x+n)(x-1)(6x-5)}{(x+n-1)(6x+1)(6x-1)} \cdot (B_n(x-1) + \frac{b_n}{6x-5}) \\
&+ 18(6n-1) \cdot \frac{2(x+n)}{x+n-1} \cdot (B_{n-1}(x) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{6x-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(71) \quad & B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x+1} = -(B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x-1}) \\
&+ \frac{12(x+n)(x-1)(6x-7)}{(x+n-1)(6x+1)(6x-1)} \cdot (B_n(x-1) + \frac{b_n}{6x-7}) \\
&+ 18(6n+1) \cdot \frac{2(x+n)}{x+n-1} \cdot (B_{n-1}(x) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{6x+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

We now find their solutions. We take  $\text{res}_{x=-1/6}$  on both sides of (69) to get:

$$(72) \quad b_n = 36(6n-1)b_{n-1} - \frac{2^n(6n-1) \cdot (6n-1)!!}{(2n)!}.$$

One easily checks that

$$(73) \quad b_n = \frac{2^n \cdot (6n+1)!!}{(2n)!}$$

is a solution. Now we can rewrite (69) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(x) &= -108(x+2) \cdot B_{n-1}(x+1) \\
&- \frac{105}{x} \cdot B_{n-1}(x+1) + \frac{18(n-1)b_{n-1}}{x} \\
&+ 216(x+n) \cdot B_{n-1}(x) + 18b_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (71) from (70) and changing  $x$  to  $x+1$ , one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(x) &= 108(x+2) \cdot B_{n-1}(x+1) \\
&+ 105 \frac{B_{n-1}(x+1)}{x} - \frac{18(n-1)b_{n-1}}{x} + 18b_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding up the above two equations we get:

$$(74) \quad B_n(x) = 108(x+n) \cdot B_{n-1}(x) + 18b_{n-1}.$$

From this one easily gets the following solution:

$$(75) \quad B_n(x) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^n 108^j b_{n-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]}.$$

where

$$(76) \quad (a)_{[j]} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 0, \\ a(a-1) \cdots (a-j+1), & j > 0. \end{cases}$$

## 6. VERIFICATIONS OF THE VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS

In this section we verify that the recursion relations from all  $L_n$  are indeed satisfied for  $e^A|0\rangle$ , with the operator  $A$  as derived in last section, hence completing the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**6.1. Checking the equation (69).** We plug the formula (75) into (69). The light-hand side becomes:

$$LHS = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^n 108^j b_{n-j} (x+n)_{[j-1]} + \frac{b_n}{6x+1},$$

the right-hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-1-j} (x+n)_{[j-1]} \cdot \left( 36(x+2) + \frac{35}{x} \right) \\ &\quad - 3b_{n-1} \left( 6 + \frac{5}{x} \right) + \frac{2^n (6n-1)!!}{(2n)!} \cdot \left( \frac{n}{x} - \frac{6n-1}{6x+1} \right) \\ &\quad + 216(x+n) \cdot \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n-1)_{[j-1]} \\ &\quad + 36b_{n-1} + \frac{36(6n-1)b_{n-1}}{6x+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{15b_{n-1}}{x} + \frac{2^n (6n-1)!!}{(2n)!} \cdot \frac{n}{x} \\ &= -\frac{15b_{n-1}}{x} + \frac{3(6n-1)b_{n-1}}{x} \\ &= \frac{18(n-1)b_{n-1}}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-1-j} (x+n)_{[j-1]} \cdot \left( 36(x+2) + \frac{35}{x} \right) \\ - & \frac{18(n-1)b_{n-1}}{x} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^{j+1} b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j]}. \end{aligned}$$

After multiplying by 6 on both sides it becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x+2) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^{j+1} b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} \\ + & \frac{105}{x} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} - \frac{108(n-1)b_{n-1}}{x} \\ = & \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^{j+1} b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j]}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply both sides of this equation by  $x$ , and note that

$$(x+n)_{[j]} - (x+n)_{[j-1]} = (n-1-j) \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]},$$

so one needs to check that:

$$\begin{aligned} & 105 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-1-j} \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} \\ = & 108(n-1)b_{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 108^{j+1} b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j) \cdot x(x+n)_{[j-1]}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be done by rewriting the right-hand side in the basis  $\{(x+n)_{[j]}\}_{j \geq 0}$  for  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . More precisely, the right-hand side of this equation

can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
RHS &= 108(n-1)b_{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 108^{j+1}b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j) \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} \\
&\quad \cdot [(x+n-j+1) - (n-j+1)] \\
&= 108(n-1)b_{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 108^{j+1}b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j) \cdot (x+n)_{[j]} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 108^{j+1}b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j)(n-j+1) \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 108^j b_{n-j} \cdot (n-j) \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 108^{j+1}b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j)(n-j+1) \cdot (x+n)_{[j-1]}.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of  $(x+n)_{[j-1]}$  on both sides, one needs to check that

$$105 \cdot b_{n-1-j} = b_{n-j} \cdot (n-j) - 108b_{n-1-j} \cdot (n-1-j)(n-j+1).$$

After changing  $n-j$  to  $m$ , one gets an equivalent equation:

$$105 \cdot b_{m-1} = mb_m - 108b_{m-1} \cdot (m-1)(m+1).$$

This last equation is equivalent to

$$(77) \quad b_m = \frac{3(6m+1)(6m-1)}{m} b_{m-1}.$$

This is readily checked by (73).

**6.2. Checking the equation (70) and (71).** We multiply both sides of (70) by  $(x+n-1)(36x^2-1)$  to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(x+n-1)(36x^2-1)B_n(x) + 12b_n x(x-n+1) \\
(78) \quad &= 12(x+n)(x-1)(6x-5)B_n(x-1) + 12b_n(x+n)(x-1) \\
&+ 36(6n-1) \cdot (x+n)(36x^2-1) \cdot B_{n-1}(x) \\
&+ 36(6n-1) \cdot (x+n)(6x+1)b_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This can be checked using similar expansions as in last subsection. Similarly for (71).



6.3. **Checking constraints from  $L_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ).** Recall

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0 &= -\sqrt{-2} \sum_{r_1+s_1=3} : \psi_{r_1} \psi_{s_1}^* : + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r_2+s_2=0} (s_2 - r_2) : \psi_{r_2} \psi_{s_2}^* : + \frac{1}{16} \\
&= -\sqrt{-2} \left( \psi_{\frac{3}{2}} \psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^* + \psi_{\frac{3}{2}} \psi_{\frac{3}{2}}^* + \psi_{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{\frac{5}{2}}^* + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{k+\frac{7}{2}}^* - \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* \psi_{k+\frac{7}{2}}) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) (\psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{l+\frac{1}{2}}^* + \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^* \psi_{l+\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{16},
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0 Z &= -e^A \left[ \sqrt{-2} \left( A_{2,0} + A_{1,1} + A_{0,2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{m,n \geq 0} (A_{m,0} A_{2,n} + A_{m,1} A_{1,n} + A_{m,2} A_{0,n}) \psi_{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^* \\
&\quad + \left. \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (\psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}} A_{k+3,l} \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^* + \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* A_{l,k+3} \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} (2l+1) (\psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}} A_{l,k} \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}^* - \psi_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^* A_{k,l} \psi_{-k-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{16} \right] |0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

hence the constraints from  $L_0$  are

$$\sqrt{-2}(A_{2,0} + A_{1,1} + A_{0,2}) - \frac{1}{16} = 0,$$

which is readily checked, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{-2} \left( - \sum_{i=0}^2 A_{m,i} A_{2-i,n} + A_{m+3,n} - A_{m,n+3} \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \left( (2m+1) A_{m,n} + (2n+1) A_{m,n} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter can be divided into three cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{3m-1,3n+3} &= A_{3m+2,3n} - A_{3m-1,0} A_{2,3n} - \frac{6(m+n)}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-1,3n}, \\
A_{3m-2,3n+4} &= A_{3m+1,3n+1} - A_{3m-2,1} A_{1,3n+1} - \frac{6(m+n)}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-2,3n+1}, \\
A_{3m-3,3n+5} &= A_{3m,3n+2} - A_{3m-3,2} A_{0,3n+2} - \frac{6(m+n)}{4\sqrt{-2}} A_{3m-3,3n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

They are equivalent to the following two relations for  $B_n(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_{n+1}(x) + \frac{b_{n+1}}{6x+1} \\
= & -\frac{3(6x+5)(6x+7)}{x} \left( B_n(x+1) + \frac{b_n}{6x+7} \right) \\
& + 216(x+n+1) \left( B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x+1} \right) \\
& + \frac{2^{n+1}(6n+5)!!}{(2n+2)!} \cdot \frac{x+n+1}{x(6x+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_{n+1}(x) + \frac{b_{n+1}}{6x-1} \\
= & -\frac{3(6x+5)(6x+7)}{x} \left( B_n(x+1) + \frac{b_n}{6x+5} \right) \\
& + 216(x+n+1) \left( B_n(x) + \frac{b_n}{6x-1} \right) \\
& - \frac{2^{n+1}(6n+7)!!}{(2n+2)!(6n+5)} \cdot \frac{x+n+1}{x(6x-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

They can be checked by the same method. Similarly, one can also check the constraints for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Because the Virasoro commutation relation

$$(79) \quad [L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n},$$

all  $L_n$ -constraints are satisfied. This completes the proof.

*Acknowledgements.* This research is partially supported by NSFC grant 1171174.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aganagic, R. Dijkgraaf, A. Klemmn, M.Mariño, C. Vafa, *Topological strings and integrable hierarchies*, Commun. Math. Phys. 261(2006), no. 2, 451-516.
- [2] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-join operator representation for Kontsevich-Witten tau-function*. Modern Phys. Lett. A 26 (2011), no. 29, 2193-2199.
- [3] F. Deng, J. Zhou, *On fermionic representation of the framed topological vertex*, arXiv:1111.0415.
- [4] F. Deng, J. Zhou, *Fermionic gluing principle of the topological vertex*. J. High Energy Phys. 2012, no. 6, 166, front matter+26 pp. arXiv:1204.5067.

- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, *Loop equations and Virasoro constraints in nonperturbative two-dimensional quantum gravity*. Nuclear Phys. B 348 (1991), no. 3, 435-456.
- [6] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai, R. Nakayama, *Continuum Schwinger-Dyson equations and universal structures in two-dimensional quantum gravity*. Internat. J. Modern Phys. A 6 (1991), no. 8, 1385-
- [7] V. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*. Second edition. University Lecture Series, 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [8] V. Kac, A. Schwarz, *Geometric interpretation of the partition function of 2D gravity*. Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991), no. 3-4, 329-334.
- [9] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*. Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1-23.
- [10] I.G. MacDonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition. Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [11] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, E. Date, *Solitons. Differential equations, symmetries and infinite-dimensional algebras*. Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Miles Reid. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 135. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [12] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol.1, (1991) 243-310.
- [13] E. Witten, *Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two-dimensional gravity*. Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY 1991), 235-269, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [14] J. Zhou, *Solution of  $W$ -constraints for  $r$ -spin intersection numbers*, arXiv:1305.6991.
- [15] J. Zhou, *Solution of  $W$ -constraints for simple singularities*, in preparation.
- [16] J. Zhou, *Explicit formula for the partition function of simple singularities*, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING,  
100084, CHINA

*E-mail address:* jzhou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn